

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
1	4/7/2022 11:39	1	Road diet and protected bike facilities need to be extended south to John Daly at the very minimum. Currently, bike lanes are not protected and low-volume, high-speed traffic make access between Pacelli Center/Lake Merced and Daly City residents intimidating and difficult. Please slow down traffic and improve bike facilities on Lake Merced, south of John	San Francisco jurisdiction ends at the John Muir intersection but we will share your input with the City of Daly City.
2	4/7/2022 15:46	1	Can we please bring back the 57 Muni Bus Line to stop in front of Lake Merced Hills?	Please use 311 or email TellMuni@SFMTA.com to receive updates on the 57 route.
3	4/7/2022 16:30	1	Bike ways in both directions should be on the lake side and protected. Bikes and cars and buses need to be separated as much as possible. That is how bike lanes are designed in European countries which have spectacular bike infrastructure compared to SF.	There is a shared pathway around the lake that can be used for both bicycle and pedestrian use, and the new Class IV protected bike facilities on Lake Merced can offer an alternative to this pathway as well as providing important connections to the neighborhoods to the east of Lake Merced. While there won't be a bus only lane, transit boarding islands will separate buses and bicyclists at bus stops.
4	4/7/2022 17:20	1	There is an astronomical number of cyclists that cycle along Lake Merced Blvd and to have them share the sidewalk with pedestrians, wheel chair users, strollers and people with pets is ridiculous. It is unsafe for everyone! A safe section on the road for cyclists is the only viable option!	Comment noted.
5	4/7/2022 18:29	1	I strongly support the concrete islands separating the bikeway from dangerous traffic. Great work.	Comment noted.
6	4/7/2022 18:30	1	There should be a bike trail as that is the safest way to keep biking safe. Especially where there are vehicular crossing lanes towards highway or right turn. It is extremely dangerous to ride a bike at any intersections.	There is a shared pathway around the lake that can be used for both bicycle and pedestrian use, and the new Class IV protected bike facilities on Lake Merced can offer an alternative to this pathway as well as providing important connections to the neighborhoods to the east of Lake Merced. At the intersections, bicyclists are either being routed to the left side of right turn lanes, onto the sidewalk, or we're proposing a protected corner to set turning vehicles further
7	4/7/2022 18:59	1	I approve the proposed section here but I would like to see more details / specific improvements to improve cross walks for pedestrian movement across John Muir at Lake Merced intersection.	Comment noted.
8	4/7/2022 19:07	1	I did not see specific pedestrian safety improvements for the crossing at John Muir and Lake Merced Blvd. intersection in the MTA's presentation. Please include striping, signage, better signaling, raised cross walks, etc. for whatever would make the blind crossing from lake side to the intersection at John Muir and Lake Merced Blvd.	Comment noted. We are working with the City of Daly City on the possibility of reducing the northbound direction to 1 lane at the intersection so pedestrians would only be crossing 3 lanes instead of 4.
9	4/7/2022 19:12	1	these particular safety improvement approaches will create unintended consequences	Comment noted.
10	4/7/2022 19:22	1	The reduction in traffic lanes is unnecessary, disruptive, and costly, compared to the obvious alternative of carving out bicycle travel lanes on existing sidewalks that are already divorced from traffic. This solution continues to force vehicular traffic with bicycle traffic, and avoiding the obvious solution of designating existing sidewalk space (especially on lake-side) for bicycle use.	Comment noted. The shared pathway around the lake is under PUC/RPD jurisdiction and they currently do not have any plans to widen the pathway and designate pedestrian or bicycle only areas. In addition to the lake path, the new Class IV protected bike facilities on Lake Merced can offer an alternative to this pathway as well as providing important connections to the neighborhoods to the east of Lake Merced.
11	4/7/2022 19:52	1	I hope that the protective medians are high enough and consistently placed to provide full protection to cyclists.	Comment noted.
12	4/7/2022 20:58	1	Should have bus bulb outs and upgrade stops so they're comfortable	We are proposing transit boarding islands where there are bus stops and an on-street bike lane in order to reduce the conflict between bicyclists and bus passengers.
13	4/7/2022 21:12	1	This is amazing for safety	Comment noted.
14	4/7/2022 21:26	1	I would spend a lot more time in this part of town if this were built	Comment noted.
15	4/8/2022 14:18	1	Bike lanes are fine but do not alter lanes remove lanes or parking	Comment noted.
16	4/8/2022 17:11	1	This will cause a good deal of additional traffic congestion in the area	Comment noted.
17	4/8/2022 17:12	1	Don't fix what isn't broken.	Comment noted.
18	4/8/2022 18:25	1	This will cause further back ups, heavier traffic, and a higher potential for stress/road rage induced driving which can harm pedestrians.	Comment noted. Our proposal to reduce lanes between John Muir and Brotherhood consider the traffic volumes and traffic speeds throughout this section.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
19	4/8/2022 21:10	1	This is a stupid design - stop being dense jackasses and leave the roads alone, they are fine and the route is a source for significant commuter flow. Also, the road is designated in the city's priority route map as an EMERGENCY ROUTE. In case you haven't been paying attention, we live in a seismically sensitive area. If you plan to change this road then you need to propose to the city attorney and the DPW an acceptable alternative emergency route, and follow the emergency designation processes that have been established previously. Stop wasting tax money screwing up the roads. I vote.	Comment noted.
20	4/8/2022 22:08	1	I hope that is concrete protection for the bike lane!	Our proposal includes concrete medians in the buffer to protect the bike lane.
21	4/9/2022 1:39	1	The proposed will make traffic even worse and will cause more accidents. Why is the department making it so difficult for all the drivers? You're wasting the taxpayers' money. Try adding more traffic lights instead.	Comment noted.
22	4/9/2022 9:09	1	As someone who both bikes and drives this is totally unnecessary!	Comment noted.
23	4/9/2022 19:52	1	This is already a very congested roadway at most hours of the day and all days of the week. Reducing it to one lane will increase stop and go traffic, idling, car emissions (that impact people walking around the lake) and GHGs.	Comment noted. Our proposal to reduce lanes between John Muir and Brotherhood consider the traffic volumes and traffic speeds throughout this section.
24	4/9/2022 22:16	1	Too much space allocated to bike lanes. Bikes should use one lane.	Comment noted.
25	4/9/2022 23:59	1	Safety is always important, but I am skeptical re the statistics you present, which do not seem to take into account accident per vehicular traffic mile and instead simply use total miles. This route provides access to the freeway south, via brotherhood way, and losing lanes of traffic will seriously impact ability to handle the flow. I'd prefer loss of a parking lane. And restrictions to forbid all the RVs that park, obscure view, and narrow clearance in the driving lanes, as well as allowing parking close to intersections that further restrict views. Improved synchronization of signal lights is needed and help improve flow.	Comment noted. This project is proposing to remove parking spaces and will include daylighting at intersections where there is parking allowed. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation.
26	4/10/2022 8:12	1	Love this proposal. It will be so much better not to have bikes speeding around past families, kids, elderly, pets, and runners! London did something similar along the river and it has been a huge success - I couldn't believe the difference when I last visited (I used to live in London).	Comment noted.
27	4/10/2022 9:08	1	you are taking away 1 full lane for cars! The congestion that it would cause would be terrible. I also take sloat, which you took away one full lane, that left 2 lanes, I have only seen a handful of of bicyclists and at times about 25 bikes in a group that could care less if they used the non bike lane also. When you put bikes in a lane with cars of course you are going to get collision and deaths. I am against taking away one full lane around lake merced and leaving one lane for cars. As the city is growing very fast, (I was born, raised, and still live in the outer sunset) more people are using cars as a result. So, that means you are making it more congested by taking away lanes. Does not make sense!	Comment noted.
28	4/10/2022 9:44	1	Stop removing street access to vehicles!	Comment noted.
29	4/10/2022 9:44	1	You already show cyclists access on the existing pedestrian path. Then why the need for an additional bike lane? The fatalities were caused by someone who was high. Do you think adding these safety measures would have prevented that?	Although there is a shared pathway around the lake that can be used for both bicycle and pedestrian use, the new Class IV protected bike facilities on Lake Merced can offer an alternative to this pathway as well as providing important connections to the neighborhoods to the east of Lake Merced.
30	4/10/2022 10:01	1	If everyone at SFMTA would take a shovel and some hot asphalt to fix all the MANY potholes in San Francisco streets their time would be better spent than working on grandiose plans.	Comment noted. Requests to fix street issues such as potholes can be submitted to Public Works via 311.
31	4/10/2022 10:07	1	Do not do this because it will create a lot of traffic. Before you create any bike lane, please go to the site and observe to see how many bikers vs vehicles use the path.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
32	4/10/2022 11:01	1	I support safety measures that allow autos to share the ride with Bikes...What I VEHEMENTLY oppose is the removal of parking spaces as that is the ONLY way I can get to the lake and enjoy it....	Comment noted. On-street parking is still available on John Muir Drive and at the various parking lots around Lake Merced.
33	4/10/2022 12:25	1	I oppose reducing car traffic lanes. This will only increase congestion.	Comment noted.
34	4/10/2022 14:23	1	Yes, it's dangerous area for walking. So, these college educated wants put the bike lane next to the people walking with their kids and dogs so the bike can kill more people.	Comment noted.
35	4/10/2022 14:23	1	May I suggest that a trial period of 6 months then make the final decision.	This project will be implemented mainly using materials that are adjustable. We also have a Safe Streets Evaluation Program in place that includes a variety of metrics we can evaluate our projects with. More information on the program can be found at <a href="http://SFMTA.com/SafeStreetsEvaluation">SFMTA.com/SafeStreetsEvaluation</a> .
36	4/10/2022 15:26	1	Stop wasting money on the bicycle coalition pressure group and serve the tax paying necessary motorists with fixing the pavements.	Comment noted. Requests to fix street issues such as potholes can be submitted to Public Works via 311.
37	4/10/2022 16:16	1	Removing two lanes will construct vehicle traffic	Comment noted.
38	4/10/2022 16:17	1	I do not think you should be taking away a lane of traffic between these 2 streets, Brotherhood dr is a major through fare to the east side of the city and access to the 280 freeway. All you would do is drop more traffic onto John Daly blvd.	Comment noted.
39	4/10/2022 16:27	1	Important to improve the bike infrastructure around the lake (and really the entire City). Protected bike lanes will really enhance and further encourage the use of this great this public space.	Comment noted.
40	4/10/2022 17:02	1	lighting and crosswalks	Comment noted. Street lighting is typically handled by Public Works or the Public Utilities Commission and is not within the quick-build scope of the project. The quick-build project does not preclude us from including improved lighting as part of a larger capital project along this corridor in the future!
41	4/10/2022 17:02	1	at what point will cyclists pitch in for the costs involved in obtaining asphalt real estate currently funded by gasoline and property taxes?	This project is being funded through Prop K, which is a half cent sales tax.
42	4/10/2022 17:14	1	San Francisco please stop everything you are doing. You are killing business, causing heavy traffic on roads not designed to handle the traduce and you are compressing the amount of horrible drivers into a space that will cause more wrecks and lives lost.	Comment noted.
43	4/10/2022 17:44	1	I would like to see flexible posts along the bike lane to ensure motorists do not veer close; although I think there is a raise sidewalk or median between bike and cae lanes. Tough to tell.	The bike lane will be protected by a concrete median.
44	4/10/2022 17:49	1	keep the 4 lanes; narrow them.	Comment noted.
45	4/10/2022 17:49	1	Biking north on LMB - much nicer on the (west) lake side. I never bike on the other side. But maybe because there's no safe biking there, come to think of it.	Comment noted. The new Class IV protected bike facilities on Lake Merced can offer an alternative to the lake path as well as providing important connections to the neighborhoods to the east of Lake Merced.
46	4/10/2022 18:17	1	Protected (fully) bike Lanes are a must	Comment noted.
47	4/10/2022 18:35	1	Need bike lanes - not a safe road for cyclists!	Comment noted.
48	4/10/2022 19:20	1	Great to have protected lanes here. The mixing zone, for the right-turn slip lane into Lake Merced Hills, is not great for cyclists.	Comment noted.
49	4/10/2022 19:40	1	How many bike lane to people needs Why don't u guys just leave it the way it is If its not broken to fix it .I like it the way it is Stop changing everything it's not working for the best its for the worst	Comment noted.
50	4/10/2022 19:48	1	I walk around Lake Merced Five times a week and bike 4 times a week. I also drive around it 14 times a week. This will cause so much traffic that I really do not think it is worth it. Leave the lake path as is and invest in some street lights if you need to.	Comment noted.
51	4/10/2022 20:45	1	appreciate efforts to make things safer but decreasing traffic lanes will really back up traffic	Comment noted.
52	4/10/2022 20:45	1	how many parking spaces are being eliminated ?	324 parking spaces throughout the corridor. However, 167 of those spaces are between Sunset and Skyline where people historically do not park on a daily basis.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
53	4/10/2022 20:56	1	Traffic in this area is already a bottleneck, increasing frustration of drivers, which may in fact lead to more aggressive driving. Recommend having a shared bike lane (bi-directional) vs reducing car lanes. Also putting in streetside parking restrictions (every other day) would open up additional traffic flow options.	Comment noted. Due to the various demands on this street, installing a two-way bikeway on one side of the street would still result in vehicle lane reduction. Unconventional parking restrictions also lead to low compliance and would require excessive enforcement.
54	4/10/2022 22:10	1	Think about the homeless students in these rvs. I have talked to many. make efficient changes in our community!	The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through this process. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
55	4/10/2022 23:44	1	I occasionally go to Camp Ida Smith as a Girl Scout leader, I've biked it when on my own but did not feel comfortable biking there with my 4th grader for a recent Girl Scout event. I would LOVE to see cyclist safety improvements so that my daughter and I can bike together to Girl Scout events! Pedestrian safety improvements would also be welcome, it was not pleasant crossing Lake Merced Blvd to get from the nearest parking lot to the camp.	Comment noted. While it may be less convenient, we recommend crossing the street at signalized crossings as they offer more protection to pedestrians.
56	4/11/2022 2:33	1	This is the dream! Dedicated lanes for everyone to travel safely in BOTH directions!	Comment noted.
57	4/11/2022 2:41	1	Where will buses and shuttles for the elderly/disabled go? Do bike lanes really help since there is no enforcement of Bicycles getting off sidewalks	Services such as Paratransit are still able to access destination points along Lake Merced based on the proximity of parking lots, side streets, or bus stops. We can't guarantee all bicyclists will use the bike lane but dedicated bike lanes typically encourage bicyclists to use the facility.
58	4/11/2022 4:49	1	where is the bus traffic going to go? I can't use bicycles or walk very far due to asthma and age, where is concern about me and many others supposed to go?	No bus stops are being removed so they either remain as a flag stop or a transit boarding island will be constructed.
59	4/11/2022 6:42	1	This is sorely needed.	Comment noted.
60	4/11/2022 7:12	1	Stop with slow streets- they cause more accidents.. only divert cars to different streets .. more frustrated commuters waiting in traffic.	Comment noted. There are no Slow Streets being proposed as part of this project.
61	4/11/2022 7:24	1	Too many rely on Lake Merced Blvd. For commuting purposes. Please do not take away any car lanes. It is crowded at this point and will all the result and extreme congestion.	Comment noted.
62	4/11/2022 10:02	1	For that segment of the roadway I am less concerned that going to a single lane in either direction will create traffic logjams	Comment noted.
63	4/11/2022 10:26	1	There is no need to make all the changes There side walks and path are sufficient for all Narrowing the streets will add more traffic Keep the parking spaces Leave it as is !,	Comment noted.
64	4/11/2022 10:38	1	bus will halt traffic when boarding passengers	The lanes adjacent to transit boarding islands will be wide enough for a vehicle to go around a stopped bus.
65	4/11/2022 10:57	1	Providing safe spaces for bicycles and pedestrians is so very important and I am thankful that this section of town is being addressed. Thank you.	Comment noted.
66	4/11/2022 11:43	1	Buses and cars should both be supported	Comment noted.
67	4/11/2022 12:09	1	Separated bike lanes are so important for safety!	Comment noted.
68	4/11/2022 12:40	1	This section is proposed to go to one lane each direction. I've seen no studies to support that this will not create a "bottleneck" heading north or south. To proceed without data would be foolhardy as the success of the project relies on this segment being fully functional. I do support better bike lanes as shown in all segments but ONLY if bikes are then eliminated from the current footpath, with clear signage indicating same. Sharing use of the path is a hazard to pedestrians	Our lane reduction proposal considered traffic counts and speeds on the corridor and this section has lower volumes in comparison to other parts of the corridor where we are maintaining 2 lanes in each direction. Although we aren't eliminating bike access on the lake path, bike lanes typically encourage bicyclists to use the facility.
69	4/11/2022 13:02	1	The majority of bicyclists do not obey the rules of the road. This solution must be paired with on-going enforcement and penalties for bicyclists.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
70	4/11/2022 13:02	1	This option for Section 1 has negative consequences, none of which have been disclosed. For activity to be classified as improvement, analysis of both the pros and cons with the same passion must be completed documented, and shared for an accurate assessment to determine the best use of tax payer funding. This is not the case with the content on the website for this proposed effort. In fact, there is no information on the negative consequences at all for a fair and impartial assessment by the general public.	Comment noted.
71	4/11/2022 13:52	1	two lanes to single lane will create bottleneck and potentially increase traffic in the neighboring streets	The majority of southbound drivers at Brotherhood turn onto Brotherhood in comparison to going straight but your comment is noted.
72	4/11/2022 13:52	1	i understand that there is no existing bike lanes. i support for dedicated bike lanes. if you build it and there is no usage, we'll end up traffic calming on steroid - traffic congestion. if it does not produce the intended outcome in allocated amount of time, what is the reversal process? who is actually benefiting from this quick-build - positively/negatively? how will it impact my commute - public transportation as well as pepole who drive or bike?	Comment noted. We have a Safe Streets Evaluation Program in place that includes a variety of metrics we can evaluate our projects with. More information on the program can be found at SFMTA.com/SafeStreetsEvaluation. The materials used to implement this project are also meant to be adjustable.
73	4/11/2022 14:11	1	It is more reasonable to put up signs for pedestrians and bike riders to 'LOOK BOTH WAYS' Changes do not need to be made. Bike riders have used the existing path for years. We just need people to be self responsible.	Comment noted.
74	4/11/2022 14:37	1	I support better marked intersections and crossings, as on Sloat Blvd. today. There is absolutely NO need to choke this vital corridor by reducing lanes and speeds for cars. Bicycling is fine on the shared path by the lake.	Comment noted. We are only proposing one lane in each direction between John Muir and Brotherhood where the traffic volumes are significantly less than the majority of the corridor.
75	4/11/2022 14:37	1	Near total waste of money that will lead to polluting traffic jams, making the lake even less appealing for bikes or walkers. Had Lake Merced originally been developed without major roads completely ringing it, the lake could have been absolutely gorgeous and calm, a gem among the cities natural areas. But it wasn't, and it is many, many decades too late to change that, at least for the eastern, western, and northern sides which have the only main roads connecting major neighborhoods. (The southern side of the lake could perhaps considered for road closure). Trying to pretend those major, vital arteries are tranquil boulevards will serve nobody, like the idiotic attempts to turn eastern Cesar Chavez into a biking corrdor without parking when the suitable streets I actually use as a bicyclist run one block parallel. I've nearly been killed on my bike by some of the weird lane engineering done in SF ostensibly for safety, like green painted bike lanes leading me directly into traffic along San Jose Avenue and where Polk or Larkin crosses Market and you can be led to face cars head on.	Comment noted.
76	4/11/2022 14:55	1	Please STOP narrowing thoroughfares and slowing streets UNTIL the city has updated and added public transportation options for these areas. Thoroughfares are exactly that-- not meant for slow traffic! Stop frustrating drivers! If there were more buses and streetcars folks wouldn't have to drive. Focus on that and leave our few remaining fast streets alone!	Comment noted.
77	4/11/2022 16:07	1	Traffic is heavy during commute hours-one lane each way will congest and is environmentally irresponsible	Comment noted.
78	4/11/2022 16:58	1	Creating additional traffic bottlenecks and bumper to bumper traffic does help anyone - it creates more pollution and frustrates drivers who will then drive more recklessly.	Comment noted.
79	4/11/2022 17:08	1	Bike lane will help everything	Comment noted.
80	4/11/2022 20:45	1	With great highway closed, we need to allow as many cars as possible on Sudbury blvd. this plan reduces lanes and will cause even more slow traffic.	Comment noted.
81	4/11/2022 21:16	1	this City is not thinking about people who pay for roads, if you are thinking about bicyclists maybe it is time to start charging them for roads? A little monetary input would be helpful	Comment noted.
82	4/12/2022 6:33	1	Removing lane for cars will lead to traffic congestion.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
83	4/12/2022 7:58	1	As a resident of SF who only bikes, all new bike lanes need to be protected bike lanes. Cars don't care about colored paint on the ground or flimsy plastic sticks that can be easily destroyed. If there is actually a vision zero that is going to be implemented, there needs to be physical barriers between cars and humans.	Comment noted.
84	4/12/2022 9:13	1	Why not a class 1 path?	There is a shared pathway around the lake that can be used for both bicycle and pedestrian use, and the new Class IV protected bike facilities on Lake Merced can offer an alternative to this pathway as well as providing important connections to the neighborhoods to the east of Lake Merced.
85	4/12/2022 9:13	1	makes more sense to me to build a protected class 1 bi-directional path on the lake side (people prefer the lake side) and move all lanes over.	A Class I two-way cycle track is outside of our current project scope but it will not preclude any future efforts to do so.
86	4/12/2022 14:13	1	Brotherhood way is a busy street, your proposal took away one lane for cars and buses. It will be traffic nightmare.	Comment noted.
87	4/12/2022 14:50	1	looks like a great idea	Comment noted.
88	4/12/2022 20:42	1	I am concerned about cutting out a lane of cars each way as traffic can already get backed up.	Comment noted.
89	4/13/2022 9:19	1	These protected bike lanes look great! I wish we could have these all along Lake Merced Blvd	Comment noted.
90	4/13/2022 16:29	1	You make traffic buildup that makes drivers aggressive and angry. These changes do not help! You already screwed up the cross over on 41st Ave. from Fulton to Lincoln and it took me 20 minutes the other day to get through that and drivers are angry cutting each other off not letting bicycles through it's a madhouse and you're cutting off all Access around the city it's just ridiculous and the single lanes are pissing people off something fierce stop it	Comment noted.
91	4/13/2022 21:33	1	I support having a dedicated bike lane for both directions. I also prefer having two driving lanes for cars.	Comment noted.
92	4/14/2022 11:47	1	you do not need to reduce to one lane in each direction if you take out the turn lane in the middle and instead allow u turns at brotherhood for those who want to access the parking lot. There is also plenty of room on the east side to enlarge and pave the path to allow for both bikes and walkers	To separate bicyclists from bus stops, we unfortunately do not have the street width to maintain 2 lanes in each direction. The lake path is under PUC/RPD jurisdiction and they currently do not have any plans to expand the pathway.
93	4/14/2022 12:00	1	Don't like the elimination of one lane of traffic each way	Comment noted.
94	4/15/2022 16:41	1	Along the south end of Lake Merced there is an awkward turn off into Skyline Blvd. I frequently feel as though cars do not see me crossing the road, and I really worry about others getting hit by cars. I think before that traffic stop there should be flashing lights and signage - even speed bumps to let people know they are entering into a pedestrian crossing area and to look out for people. I've even seen pedestrians hit by cars while crossing when the light is red! It is such a dangerous intersection.	Comment noted. There is existing pedestrian warning signage at the crosswalk across the slip lane onto John Muir Drive but we will evaluate if there are improvements to the signage we can implement.
95	4/16/2022 21:28	1	This proposal runs the access and need for access. You are suggesting to extensively limit the way we get around the City and you are forcing me to shop in other cities, pay taxes there instead of in my own city. Continuing to restrict and remove lanes, at the expense of drivers both by physically reducing lanes and through my taxes and vehicle registration and other fees I pay, will cause a loss of income the City will not recoup and will force many of us to leave.	Comment noted.
96	4/17/2022 2:30	1	The street has already been narrowed. No need for additional road diets or separated bike paths.	Comment noted.
97	4/18/2022 21:40	1	People can drive faster than speed limit in this area and traffic calming in this area - and where Lake Merced heads into the John Muir apartments is a good idea.	Comment noted.
98	4/19/2022 16:10	1	A safer crossing by Lake Merced Blvd and Brotherhood Way is so very much needed. I walk home to John Muir from work at SF State weekly and cars rarely stop for me at the brotherhood/lake Merced intersection where there is a faded crosswalk and no stopping requirement for cars heading west on brotherhood to north on Lake Merced.	Comment noted. This project proposes a number of pedestrian quick-build improvements at this intersection including: signal head size increases from 8" to 12", advance limit lines creating buffers between idling vehicles and pedestrians crossing the street, raised crosswalks along the slip lanes to slow fast turning vehicles, and adding striping to existing crosswalks to improve pedestrian visibility.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
99	4/19/2022 16:53	1	Since Loma Prieta earthquake Lake Merced/19th Avenue/Great Highway has gradually become alternate	Comment noted.
100	4/19/2022 17:09	1	I was almost killed on lake merced Blvd. I know people have gotten killed by speeding cars. I also do not feel safe riding my scooter. When an elderly man was killed several years ago, they installed a traffic light at my location, but then there were many more death in the other areas around lake merced. This project needed to be done much easier and we could have saved people from getting killed or injured.	Comment noted. We are glad you survived and we hope that the implementation of this quick-build project will prevent from any further deaths resulting from traffic crashes along Lake Merced Blvd.
101	4/19/2022 17:24	1	separating cars from bikes from pedestrians is the key to ultimate safety	Comment noted.
102	4/19/2022 20:57	1	Please combine these changes with a 25 to 30 mph poster speed limit when permitted in mid next year pursuant to the new State law eliminating the 85 percent test for cities on interconnector streets	Comment noted.
103	4/20/2022 10:30	1	Protected bike lanes are critical to safety in this city. D7 has very very few Protected bike lanes	Comment noted.
104	4/20/2022 20:00	1	How wide is the bike lane?	The proposed bike lanes widths within section 1 (between John Muir and Brotherhood Way) range between four feet (next to the proposed transit boarding islands) to seven feet.
105	4/21/2022 12:23	1	I love adding a bike lane, however, i worry if it is not large enough large cycling packs will still take over the main lain	Comment noted.
106	4/21/2022 12:23	1	Concrete protected bike lanes look awesome.	Comment noted.
107	4/21/2022 12:30	1	I really, really like the concrete protection for bike lanes. It also provides a better, safer, more pleasant walking environment too.	Comment noted.
108	4/21/2022 14:27	1	protected bicycle lanes are critical to make riding or scooting on Lake Merced safe.	Comment noted.
109	4/22/2022 9:07	1	Please make the bike lanes as safe as possible because even with 1 lane of car traffic the drivers are still going to go as fast as they possible can. I've watched drivers hit 50+mph around this road.	Comment noted. Existing traffic volumes are far lower than the existing right-of-way capacity. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street.
110	4/22/2022 11:52	1	It's the scariest place to ride a bike in the entire city -- slow it down!	Comment noted.
111	4/22/2022 16:14	1	This is the best way to get from the outer Sunset to the freeway. And there's already a lot of traffic. Eliminating two lanes is a VERY bad idea.	Comment noted.
112	4/22/2022 16:16	1	Great idea with the concrete barriers to protect bicyclists!	Comment noted.
113	4/22/2022 16:46	1	I stopped running at Lake Merced about three years ago. It was just too dangerous. I welcome anything that will make it	Comment noted.
114	4/22/2022 16:46	1	I have concerns about how a single lane of traffic will affects cars stacked up behind a bus. I see enough erratic driving even with two lanes so an impatient driver behind a bus could be disastrous	Comment noted. The lanes adjacent to transit boarding islands will be wide enough for a vehicle to go around a stopped bus. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with minimal cost.
115	4/22/2022 16:48	1	Removing traffic lanes will cause excess traffic congestion at peak times. This is frankly ridiculous.	Comment noted. Along this segment of Lake Merced Blvd, existing traffic volumes are far lower than the existing right-of-way capacity. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with minimal cost.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
116	4/22/2022 16:50	1	I am concerned about car traffic clogging the bus route with this iteration.	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with
117	4/22/2022 16:50	1	bike lane don't need to be separate	Comment noted.
118	4/22/2022 16:59	1	The biggest problem in this area is bikes. The majority don't follow traffic laws like speed, lane changing/signaling etc. Enforcing this will ease the problem	Comment noted.
119	4/22/2022 17:13	1	Removing lanes will increase car travel times	Along this segment of Lake Merced Blvd, existing traffic volumes are far lower than the existing right-of-way capacity. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with minimal cost.
120	4/22/2022 17:14	1	Yes! I love this design! We need more space for people. Having one lane for cars in each direction will slow cars down and make the road safer for all users.	Comment noted.
121	4/22/2022 21:26	1	Protected bike lanes are so important! I commute via bike but avoid this route because it's very dangerous!	Comment noted.
122	4/22/2022 21:32	1	The path along the lake, is plenty room for runners and bikers.	Comment noted.
123	4/22/2022 23:03	1	I want metal bollards protecting bikes from cars. I don't trust drivers not to jump a raised curb	Comment noted.
124	4/22/2022 23:05	1	Protection for cyclists and pedestrians is so long overdue.	Comment noted.
125	4/23/2022 0:54	1	Why build these bike lanes and islands on three lane roads? All it does is cause more traffic in these areas because cars cannot pass each other or get around other drivers. 1) this will cause more traffic in an area that already is seeing a lot of congestion in the city 2) there's no need for a bike lane or island when all along Lake Merced pedestrians and cyclists are entitled and encouraged to use the walking/biking path next to the Lake at Lake Merced 3) this will not really help global warming and climate change since it would cause more cars to sit in traffic and burn more fuel 4) how many deaths or injuries has there been lately? Please listen to people who actually live in this area and see that this corridor of SF is fine the way it is. We use this area of the city frequently and the City wants to make transportation more efficient and fast, but this will heavily impact your "goals"	Comment noted. Between 2016 and 2021, there have been 89 collisions that led to 87 injuries and two fatalities. In addition to the other traffic calming improvements proposed, the inclusion of the protected bikeway in some sections of our proposed designs is intended to alleviate the crowding that occurs along the multi-use path, provide extra buffer between pedestrians and motor vehicles, and provide a safe and dedicated location for people riding along or accessing Lake Merced Blvd. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with minimal cost.
126	4/23/2022 7:55	1	I bike along Lake Merced to work everyday. I recognize the right of way of pedestrians, but find it very frustrating when pedestrians clog the walking/bike path, especially when they wear headphones that prevent them from hearing my bell. The proposed plan seems much safer.	Comment noted.
127	4/23/2022 11:53	1	Auto drivers are more distracted than ever. Also lack of traffic law enforcement since pandemic has emboldened drivers to take more risks driving up collisions & deaths/injuries. Physical measures are a proven and cost effective way to build safer streets. Drivers will cry like little babies when they see this!	Comment noted.
128	4/23/2022 12:17	1	It would be better if both bikelanes we're on the same side	Comment noted.



Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
129	4/23/2022 12:44	1	the center lane being used for mass transit when congested may be viable?	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with
130	4/23/2022 13:10	1	Please DO NOT eliminate a lane of auto traffic. Please DO ENFORCE existing speed limits on this section. SFPD is slacking.	Comment noted.
131	4/23/2022 14:04	1	A significant amount of vehicle traffic goes between western SF and Daly City and the Peninsula. I live in the Richmond District, and often need to go south, and I know many others who do as well. Restricting traffic, while also making it harder to drive on the Great Highway, and not making improvements on 19th (where options are limited), people who need to commute in either direction, along with the business they shop at, support, or work at will waste more time and fuel. Many people cannot use walking or bikes to make this long transit; this makes it more painful for them, while not creating any significant improvements. This comes across as another example of trying to ineffectively force people out of cars while not doing anything significant to produce viable alternatives. Pain will not fix SF's transit.	Comment noted.
132	4/23/2022 15:34	1	More protected bike lanes please	Comment noted.
133	4/25/2022 10:01	1	Please put the bike lanes on the lake side, two way. There is lots of room, and it will prevent bikes from crossing car paths.	We prioritized providing an additional bike facility on the neighborhood side for most of the corridor in order to improve neighborhood connections to and along Lake Merced. However, this project will not preclude the future installation of two-way facilities.
134	4/25/2022 12:24	1	I would personally prefer the bike lane be two-way on the lake side. Otherwise one of the bike lanes will hit every	Comment noted.
135	4/25/2022 12:24	1	Less accidents	Comment noted.
136	4/25/2022 12:37	1	Seems like it will cause more traffic	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with
137	4/25/2022 13:44	1	I strongly support if bike lanes have PHYSICAL protection that are real barriers - cement bollards or k-rails or something that would actually stop a car from hitting myself and my children while we're biking.	Comment noted.
138	4/25/2022 15:15	1	There will be just more traffic. I hear cars crashing, speeding and screeching at night from cars driving too fast. We need more information on when these accidents occur. Because if it becomes one lane, traffic will increase into the streets and cause more fear to pedestrians close to Lakeshore elementary, Lakeshore plaza, Lowell high school, SFState and park Merced apartments. I walk, bike lake Merced and there is plenty of space weekends and weekends for people to walk and bike in the pavement. Not justified for individual bike lane.	Comment noted.
139	4/25/2022 15:51	1	100% No. Removing a vehicle lane to create a bike lane will only frustrate drivers who must use single lane only.	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
140	4/25/2022 20:03	1	I'd like to reduce the middle buffer lane - keeping cars moving slower, and have the space for pedestrians widened	Comment noted. If we understood your recommendation correctly, widening the sidewalks is outside the scope, schedule, and budget of this quick-build. However, none of the changes proposed in this quick-build project would inhibit the potential for widening the sidewalks as a larger capital project.
141	4/25/2022 23:20	1	I walk and ride my bike around lake Merced on the pavement and there is plenty of room. It isn't that crowded. It doesn't justify closing down a lane to create a bike lane. That will create more traffic and problems inside the streets. Especially near Lakeshore elementary, Lowell HS, SF state, parkmerced apartments and Stonestown. I predict there will be more accidents and scared pedestrians there if there are changes to Lake Merced. If the city is trying to make it more car less. I don't think people will drive less. They may by more electric cars but not stop driving. The muni is not reliable enough for people to stop driving. It takes 1.5 hours to get across the city. People don't have time for that. I also take the 29 muni bus and by shutting down a lane will make it more problematic for the bus and people to get to where they need to be due to the increased traffic around lake Merced and it's inside streets.	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with minimal cost.
142	4/26/2022 1:25	1	I'd love to see trees or something green in the middle lane!	Comment noted.
143	4/26/2022 14:33	1	I'm opposed to decrease the number of car traffic lanes	Comment noted.
144	4/26/2022 14:33	1	I have walked 1,500 miles around Lake Merced and the new model would be much better. It protects pedestrians by separating them from cyclists and vehicles. That said, I assume there are also items to help protect cyclists and vehicles, also important. Due to so much walking, and living with a view of the tragic vehicle accidents, we know solutions are critical.	Comment noted.
145	4/26/2022 14:34	1	Will cause more traffic and longer delays for drivers and buses commuting	Comment noted.
146	4/26/2022 14:40	1	I live on John Muir Drive and have for 30 years. I have witnessed increasing speeding so much so that I have to pull to the right of the road to allow the cars to continue at their high rates of speed. This proposed street design will improve safety for all. Thank you.	Comment noted.
147	4/26/2022 16:10	1	I'm opposed to decreasing the number of traffic lanes for cars and the elimination of parking spaces. There are a number of apartments in the area that don't have parking, they need to use public street parking.	Comment noted.
148	4/26/2022 16:44	1	My concern is there won't be enough room to add a bike lane. Cars are going way too fast in this area. Don't know if there's room to add bike path.	Comment noted. The addition of a protected bike lane is only proposed where there is adequate roadway width.
149	4/26/2022 16:44	1	I don't see the need for two bikeways when the bikes already use the paved pathways around Lake Merced at this time. It will create traffic congestion during commute times because of traffic coming from Brotherhood and John Daly Blvd.	The inclusion of the protected bikeway in our proposed designs are intended to alleviate the crowding that occurs along the multi-use path, to provide an extra buffer between pedestrians and motor vehicles, and a safe dedicated location for people riding along or accessing Lake Merced Blvd. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with minimal cost.
150	4/26/2022 17:10	1	I am very much in favor of raised crosswalks, and reducing space given to motor vehicles.	Comment noted.
151	4/27/2022 13:43	1	I know this is out of the scope of this project, but, going forward, please add a bikeway to Brotherhood Way as well.	Comment noted. There are talks about
152	4/27/2022 15:34	1	Safety measures	Comment noted.
153	4/27/2022 16:33	1	As a friend of the family who lost a beloved husband and father who was hit and killed by a speeding driver on LakeMerced, I urge you to do all you can to protect this	Comment noted. We send our condolences to you and your friends. We hope this quick-build will prevent traffic deaths along this corridor.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
154	4/27/2022 19:02	1	I've seen this area grow first hand in terms of it's use by bicycles and pedestrians over my 50+ years in this area and I believe that those groups deserve a safe means for the beneficial use of the Lake Merced corridor for recreation. However, I feel strongly that much a more visible, stronger frequent and consistent enforcement of traffic laws by Police also needs to be part of this solution and would also have a lasting impact on traffic safety. It needs to be consistently done and applied, similar to what's been done for keeping areas of Downtown SF clean with the addition of BID's (Business Improvement Districts) and their ambassadors. I feel that with anticipated growth of the Park Merced Development, Stonestown use as well as SF State College, taking away parking along Lake Merced Blvd and taking away lanes of traffic will add traffic and frustration to the area that's already pretty bad during the collegiate school year absent the pandemic we are currently recovering from	Comment noted.
155	4/27/2022 20:08	1	The traffic in this area will only get worse with decreasing number of lanes for travel by automobiles	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with
156	4/28/2022 10:55	1	There is not much traffic now with four lanes but there is a concern that traffic flow will slow down with only one lane and increase congestion	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with
157	4/28/2022 10:55	1	The 19th Avenue corridor and Presidio Parkway crossover improvements have caused more traffic than ever. There are few alternative routes through the city. This proposal will increase traffic congestion along another corridor and cause needless delays with inefficient construction schedules that impact high volume times of day, as you've done on 19th Avenue and Park Presidio.	Comment noted.
158	4/28/2022 13:49	1	Please also lower the speed limit on Lake Merced. It's currently 45.	Comment noted. Under the provision of Assembly Bill 43, San Francisco only has the authority to lower speed limits along certain streets that serve in key business activity districts. Unfortunately, Lake Merced Blvd does not qualify under this law. The speed limit for the rest of the streets in the City are under the State of California's jurisdiction. The only way the SFMTA can lower speeds on streets with speed limits set by the state is through physical intervention. We will be monitoring the impacts of this project, if constructed.
159	4/28/2022 18:03	1	Eliminating driving lanes will cause congestion and endanger motorists as well as pedestrians.	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with
160	4/28/2022 23:13	1	Concerned that with only one lane, bus may block traffic when stopped and cars may not be able to go around.	Comment noted. The lanes adjacent to transit boarding islands will be wide enough for a vehicle to go around a stopped bus.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
161	4/28/2022 23:51	1	losing a lane of car traffic will cause backups	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with
162	4/28/2022 23:51	1	We need LIGHTS (lower level, NOT streetlights) to both SEE & BE SEEN. We are constantly blinded by oncoming headlights & cannot see the path & other pedestrians/ cyclists! Also for basic safety- I don't want my Mom or girlfriend out walking/ jogging after dark. Also a mid-lane stripe w/ directional arrows to let users know to keep to the right & pass on the left.	Comment noted. Street lighting is typically handled by Public Works and/or the Public Utilities Commission and is not within the quick-build scope of the project.
163	4/29/2022 7:05	1	not sure there's enough room for this. We already have bike/walk path, it just needs improvements	Comment noted. The addition of a protected bike lane is only proposed where there is adequate roadway width.
164	4/29/2022 12:10	1	No change to Lake Merced and John Muir?	Unclear if this is a request or if this is confirming whether there are any proposed changes at Lake Merced and John Muir. The changes proposed at Lake Merced and John Muir include crossing upgrades (ie. Advance limit lines, enlarging signal head size), relocation of transit stop in order to install a transit boarding island at a location that encourages safer pedestrian crossing, introduction of protected bike lane made possible by reducing travel lanes.
165	4/29/2022 12:10	1	I don't understand the existing and proposed visuals.	The proposed street configuration is overlaid over the existing street configuration. There is a slider tool that you can use to show the entire existing street configuration or the entire proposed street configuration. If you slide the tool towards the center you will be able to compare the existing and proposed street conditions.
166	4/29/2022 12:29	1	Personally, I'm happy enough using the shared-use path on the lake side to bike in both directions. Also, crossing Lake Merced Blvd to get to the bike lane on the east side would be tricky business I would prefer to avoid. This doesn't seem worth losing a lane each way for.	Comment noted.
167	4/29/2022 13:32	1	The unprotected crossing of the Lake Merced curve where Lake Merced meets John Muir does not address the fear I feel when I cross this intersection as cars fly off Lake Merced Blvd on to John Muir Dr	Comment noted. We anticipate slower vehicle speeds as a result of the quick-build improvements within this section.
168	4/29/2022 13:40	1	A protected bikeway is critical here - the speed differential is very high and is a scary thing to reckon with as a cyclist	Comment noted.
169	4/29/2022 13:40	1	Lake Merced gets very crowded with cars during commute times. We drive our kids to and from school every day and there are already long wait times at stop lights. Eliminating a lane of traffic will only increase the drive times. This is not fair to busy parents with very limited schedules for transporting their children to/from school. The bus lines do not easily serve this area; our children do not typically ride the buses (though they do sometimes) because it would take over an hour to go to/from school and the busses are not reliable on these	Comment noted. We are proposing a lane removal at this location because existing volumes are far lower than existing traffic volumes. This leads to cars traveling at dangerous speeds that have led to severe injuries and fatalities along this street. While we are hoping for slower vehicle speeds following these quick-build improvements we are not anticipating clogged streets. Nonetheless, the benefit of quick-build projects is that it allows us to both address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with
170	4/29/2022 13:53	1	The x section looks like a bus only lane but it's just another travel lane which confused me at first. Totally support reducing to 2 lanes.	Comment noted. We included the bus to show that existing transit service would fit within the proposed widths of the section.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
171	4/29/2022 14:26	1	this is a commuter roadway. I do not support taking out a lane for cars	Comment noted. The right-of-way along Lake Merced Blvd is used by a variety of modes for a multitude of purposes. The goals of the proposed changes to Lake Merced Blvd are to reduce crashes and improve pedestrian and bicycle connections to the corridor. Our project team in addition to our colleagues who worked on the bike feasibility plan and the pedestrian safety project have been in active discussion with residents, students, and recreational users of Lake Merced about strategies to make the corridor safer. Our city's Transit First Policy, Climate Action Plan, and Vision Zero Action Strategies all encourage the city and its agencies to advance strategies to make non-private-vehicle transportation options preferred, and we are committed to balancing those commitments with upholding the mobility needs of folks who depend on vehicles to navigate our city.
172	4/29/2022 14:29	1	Separation of bikeway protected from traffic is important. In both directions.	Comment noted.
173	4/29/2022 18:50	1	Road diets and permanent protective barriers are critical. The average speeds on Lake Merced drive are too fast.	Comment noted.
174	4/29/2022 21:25	1	This design reduces bike/bus conflicts and separates bikes from cars, so I support it.	Comment noted.
175	4/29/2022 23:52	1	Bike infrastructure is critical to reducing our fuel usage, so I strongly support separated bike lanes that help overcome safety fears of people on the fence about bicycle travel.	Comment noted.
176	4/29/2022 23:57	1	bikes should not share space with cars	Comment noted.
177	4/7/2022 16:30	2	instead of having bikes and cars together, why cant you have cars on the neighborhood side of Vidal and Bikes on the other side. Cars and bikes, scooters etc should not be with cars.	Thanks for this input. Circulation patterns and connections, center lines, medians, vehicle turns, turning radii etc would all be significantly impacted and require significant capitol investment by changing roadway patterns this way and would not remove the conflict of having to cross Lake Merced Blvd by foot or bike. The new proposed bike facilities that can be used by scooters will be fully protected by concrete barriers and offer safe, neighborhood connections on the east side of the lake.
178	4/7/2022 17:20	2	Bicycles should NEVER have to share a lane with cars!	Comment noted. New bike facilities are fully protected from vehicle traffic by concrete barriers.
179	4/7/2022 18:30	2	Sharing bike lanes with vehicles are extremely dangerous. Especially at night.	Comment noted.
180	4/7/2022 18:30	2	pedestrian/bike bridge at higuera	Comment noted.
181	4/7/2022 18:30	2	not really sure a bikeway will work-that is what the pedestrain bike path along the entire lake is for	Comment noted.
182	4/7/2022 19:03	2	The neighborway is helpful but a continuous protected bike lane around the lake would avoid the need to cross the intersection.	Comment noted.
183	4/7/2022 19:05	2	not in favor of making island for muni nor extra bike lane of vidal dr	Comment noted.
184	4/7/2022 19:07	2	MTA and RPD should better coordinate signage to improve pedestrian and bike movement along the path adjacent to the lake (RPD's property). If there is no southbound bike lane on Lake Merced Blvd., there may be increased bike use on the path adjacent to the lake. How can signage improve bike and pedestrian conflicts?	Will include additional signage along corridor in coordination with new bike facilities and investigate any additional signage needs.
185	4/7/2022 19:22	2	Pushing away bike traffic to the adjacent street makes sense. Removing parking, a natural barrier to protect pedestrians, does not make sense. Parked cars are a natural protective barrier for pedestrians.	Comment noted.
186	4/7/2022 19:22	2	Widening the median makes partial sense, but pushing vehicular traffic closer to the curb does not. A better solution would be to prohibit RVs and campers that are already too wide for the spaces (and intrude onto the active driving lanes) and allowing cars to continue acting as natural barriers for pedestrians.	Much of the parking is being removed a long the corridor. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through this process. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
187	4/7/2022 19:52	2	I am worried about research that suggests sparrows are less safe than no markings on the road.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
188	4/7/2022 19:52	2	I would prefer as many speed control measures as possible on the shared roadway.	Comment noted.
189	4/7/2022 20:05	2	sharrows do nothing for bike safety	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
190	4/7/2022 20:58	2	I support the road diet but it needs protected bike lanes	Comment noted.
191	4/7/2022 20:58	2	Sharrows do not protect people	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
192	4/7/2022 21:12	2	bike lanes should be protected	Comment noted.
193	4/7/2022 21:12	2	bike lanes should be protected	The new proposed bike facilities that can be used by scooters will be fully protected by concrete barriers and offer safe, neighborhood connections on the east side of the lake.
194	4/7/2022 21:26	2	There should be a bike lane on Lake Merced Blvd here (at least heading southbound)	Based on demands such as bus stops and access into SFSU and Park Merced, the street width does not allow us to install a southbound bike lane within the project scope.
195	4/7/2022 21:26	2	There should be a bike lane on Lake Merced Blvd here (at least heading southbound)	There are southbound bike lanes proposed on Lake Merced Blvd as part of this project in much of the corridor. See detailed proposals here: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb e32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb e32e6b930709240</a>
196	4/8/2022 6:05	2	No shadows please	Comment noted.
197	4/8/2022 6:05	2	There is so much room here. Creating explicit mixed use space even with traffic calming is a poor outcome. Please create safe, separated infrastructure for micro mobility users	Comment noted
198	4/8/2022 17:11	2	Will the City provide alternative sites for people who are living in RV's that regularly park along this route?	The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
199	4/8/2022 17:12	2	Leave it as it is.	Comment noted
200	4/8/2022 21:10	2	This is a stupid design - stop being dense jackasses and leave the roads alone, they are fine and the route is a source for significant commuter flow. Also, the road is designated in the city's priority route map as an EMERGENCY ROUTE. In case you haven't been paying attention, we live in a seismically sensitive area. If you plan to change this road then you need to propose to the city attorney and the DPW an acceptable alternative emergency route, and follow the emergency designation processes that have been established previously. Stop wasting tax money screwing up the roads. I vote.	Comment noted
201	4/8/2022 22:08	2	I like that you remove the parking, but sharrows seem dangerous	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
202	4/9/2022 1:39	2	Why are you taking parking away?	Our proposed designs require parking removal, in order to install traffic calming, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements.
203	4/9/2022 9:09	2	This will create traffic jams	Comment noted
204	4/10/2022 7:27	2	Will overnight parked rv's be prohibited along Lake Merced/Winston?	Our proposed designs require parking removal, in order to install traffic calming, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
205	4/10/2022 8:12	2	Much better to keep bus and car lanes separate. I'm a bit nervous about the car/ bike lane - my teenage kid bikes a lot - and San Francisco drivers are not very good, don't use their mirrors or signals. But if that has been designed by and tested with cyclists then it's fine with me	Comment noted
206	4/10/2022 9:08	2	taking away 1 full lane! No	Comment noted
207	4/10/2022 9:22	2	No reduction in vehicle lanes	Comment noted.
208	4/10/2022 9:22	2	No reduction in vehicle lanes	Comment noted
209	4/10/2022 9:44	2	If you want better safety measures, then ban all the campers that impedes visibility from parking!	Comment noted
210	4/10/2022 10:01	2	The city should fix existing problems with deteriorating streets before going on to new projects	Comment noted
211	4/10/2022 10:07	2	Do not do this because it will create a lot of traffic. Before you create any bike lane, please go to the site and observe to see how many bikers vs vehicles use the path.	Comment noted
212	4/10/2022 11:01	2	no reduced parking	Comment noted.
213	4/10/2022 11:01	2	I support safety measures that allow autos to share the ride with Bikes...What I VEHEMENTLY oppose is the removal of parking spaces as that is the ONLY way I can get to the lake and enjoy it....	Comment noted
214	4/10/2022 12:25	2	Reducing traffic lanes is a failure everywhere it is tried.	Comment noted
215	4/10/2022 16:17	2	don't understand, are you putting the bike lanes on the street along side of Lake Merced blvd.	There are some sections where there will be protected bike lanes on Lake Merced Blvd. and some sections where northbound(i.e. Vidal) that can offer a safe shared road environment.
216	4/10/2022 17:43	2	Older people need parking!	Comment noted
217	4/10/2022 17:44	2	Bike lanes need to be separate from motorists. Some car drivers are impatient and detest getting stuck behind bikes. They drive erratically and without regard for cyclists.	Circulation patterns and connections, center lines, medians, vehicle turns, turning radii would all be significantly impacted and require significant capital investment by changing roadway patterns this way and would not remove the conflict of having to cross Lake Merced Blvd by foot or bike. The new proposed bike facilities will be fully protected by concrete barriers and offer safe, neighborhood connections. All new bike facilities on Lake Merced Blvd. will be fully protected by
218	4/10/2022 17:49	2	better to have dedicated bike lane	Comment noted.
219	4/10/2022 17:49	2	As a biker: Biking is nicer on the west side of Lake Merced Blvd, but the shared pedestrian path is too narrow, particularly between Winston and BrotherHood way. I wouldn't cross LMB to ride on the east side. As a driver: good, keeping 4 lanes. Speed limit has always been too high here. As a walker: looks good.	Comment noted
220	4/10/2022 18:08	2	I'd like to see a dedicated bike lane in this section	Comment noted.
221	4/10/2022 18:08	2	Cn't a dedicated bike lane be put in the flower planting area?	Pathway and land directly around lake are owned by SFPUC/Rec and Park and would require a large capital project.
222	4/10/2022 18:35	2	More bike lanes!!	Comment noted
223	4/10/2022 19:20	2	No protected bike lanes. Multipurpose path insufficient.	Comment noted.
224	4/10/2022 19:20	2	I support removing parking in general. We should use the space from removing parking to add at least one protected bike lane, on the west side. The multiuse path is nowhere near as wide as it is shown here, and people walking/jogging/biking struggle with the minimal space.	Comment noted
225	4/10/2022 20:45	2	need more infor	Comment noted.
226	4/10/2022 20:45	2	how many parking spaces are being eliminated in this design ? is the curb lane transit and taxi only ?	Approximately 324 parking spaces are proposed for removal, and no transit/taxi only lanes are included in the scope of this project.
227	4/11/2022 2:33	2	Losing parking without gaining a protected bike lane?? What is the point? Why make such a sacrifice without gaining anything?	The designs do include a new protected bike lane in many locations and a neighborway on Vidal.
228	4/11/2022 2:41	2	Taking away parking spots limits access for the elderly, disabled, and those who can't bike or walk	Comment noted.
229	4/11/2022 4:49	2	where are these cars to park? They will clog up the neighborhoods. Where are bicycles supposed to ride?	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb e32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb e32e6b930709240</a>

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
230	4/11/2022 7:23	2	The internal lanes should be exclusively for bikes	Comment noted. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
231	4/11/2022 10:02	2	The biggest hazard is the parking which is now wall-to-wall huge RV's. If you take away all of the parking between Brotherhood and Winston then there is more than enough room for the bike lanes without cutting out a lane of traffic in either direction.r	We are only proposing to remove the third northbound lane that exists between Font and Winston so there will still be 2 lanes in each direction between Brotherhood and Winston. There are also bus stops along this section and building transit boarding islands would still narrow the road.
232	4/11/2022 10:02	2	The RV's have to go! There is no need to have any parking on Lake Merced and it doesn't serve the interests of the local residents since it is all RV's that often stick out into the lane of traffic.	Comment noted.
233	4/11/2022 10:38	2	Parking is removed for residential vehicles. On the Lake Merced Blvd section of diagram there is no change affecting pedestrians or bikes. The only changes is remove parking. It appears that the only goal is exclude from the neighborhood those who live in vehicles. I oppose this.	Comment noted.
234	4/11/2022 10:57	2	The parking in this area is taken up by motor homes most all of the time. This takes away from the natural beauty of the area. Please eliminate these useless parking spaces to accommodate bikes and pedestrians. Thank you.	Comment noted.
235	4/11/2022 11:41	2	I don't think shadows are enough for cyclists, protected lanes would be ideal	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
236	4/11/2022 11:43	2	This will cause traffic gridlock	Comment noted.
237	4/11/2022 11:43	2	You can't take away so many lanes for cars and not get traffic backups	Comment noted
238	4/11/2022 12:09	2	Separation between oncoming lanes of traffic is a great idea.	Comment noted.
239	4/11/2022 12:40	2	Where will all the rv's be redirected to? Please do not create new problems for the neighborhoods by dispersing rv's from as many as 200 spaces without reaching out to this rv community SF has de facto endorsed by allowing them to reside at Lake Merced unfettered for years. Have a plan please.	The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
240	4/11/2022 13:02	2	This proposed effort for Section 2, Lake Merced Blvd and Vidal Dr between Brotherhood Way and the future Lake Merced & Vidal intersection, continues intentional efforts by current leaders of the City and County of San Francisco's departments to inconvenience citizens by removing neighbor parking around the city in areas that impact the average taxpayer. This proposal removes the "service" of neighborhood street parking with no impact assessment communicated or equivalent alternative. We need solutions to help the neighborhoods, not penalize the citizens who live, work, and depend on them in their current state.	Comment noted.
241	4/11/2022 13:02	2	Would prefer a continuous protected bike lane on L. Merced Bl from Skyline Bl to J. Muir. Bikes should get off the pedestrian path lakeside. It's disconcerting for people having bikes wizzing by them while walking	Comment noted.
242	4/11/2022 13:15	2	I hate you but this isn't the worst idea you have had.	Comment noted.
243	4/11/2022 13:52	2	Disappearing bike lanes don't encourage cycling	Comment noted.
244	4/11/2022 13:52	2	keeping existing lanes to support existing traffic volume; what are you doing about existing paring that was removed? is there new parking created elsewhere?	On sections where we do not have the street width to fit a protected bike lane, the parking lane will be converted to a striped buffer that follows the shadow of the bike lane downstream. In other sections, the parking lane will be reallocated into a protected bike lane.



Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
245	4/11/2022 13:52	2	some people might like the idea of taking away the parking so that they don't need to see the RVs parked along this stretch. however, people who use those spots, are there new parking spots elsewhere in lieu of those? i'd feel safer with a dedicated bike lane	Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here: <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
246	4/11/2022 14:11	2	No changes needed. Put up safety signs for bikers and walkers. Stop signs for cars. No changes needed.	Comment noted.
247	4/11/2022 15:35	2	The elimination of parking and widening sidewalks/adding bike lanes will help so much.	Comment noted.
248	4/11/2022 16:58	2	Parking in the city is already a major problem and eliminating parking again causes driver frustration which does not benefit anyone.	Comment noted.
249	4/11/2022 21:16	2	We need bus not nuisance on the roads	Comment noted.
250	4/12/2022 0:07	2	Needs protected bike infrastructure	All new bike facilities on Lake Merced Blvd. will be fully protected by concrete barriers.
251	4/12/2022 6:33	2	Removing travel lane willing to congestion	Comment noted.
252	4/12/2022 7:58	2	I don't see any bike lanes. Install protected bike lanes so me and my children can bike without being killed by cars.	Comment noted.
253	4/12/2022 7:58	2	Needs a fully separated and protected bike lane. Anything less at this point is useless.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
254	4/12/2022 9:13	2	A class 1 path would be better	Comment noted.
255	4/12/2022 9:13	2	Why not a class 1 on the lake side?	The multiuse pathway around the lake provides an existing bike path to users, this project proposes additional protected bike lanes a long portions of Lake Merced Boulevard to supplement the pathway and provide direct connections to the neighborhoods east of the lake. Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
256	4/12/2022 14:13	2	Bikes and cars sharing a lane?? Someone will get hurt.	All new bike facilities on Lake Merced Blvd. will be fully protected by concrete barriers.
257	4/12/2022 20:42	2	I am concerned about the lack of parking. Where does that go? Into the surrounding neighborhoods?	Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here: <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
258	4/12/2022 21:58	2	This is an attack on one of the major driving corridors for N-S traffic to connect to 280	Comment noted.
259	4/13/2022 9:19	2	Narrowing lanes is good. But it would be great if this space could be used for a bike lane	This project will narrow lanes to encourage slow speeding and reallocate parking in some parts of Lake Merced Boulevard, this extra space will then be used to install bike facilities. Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
260	4/13/2022 16:29	2	These ideas are not well thought out you put them in quickly nobody likes them or wants them you've made slow streets around the city that people just drive through because they're so angry at them how much room do people actually need to walk people used to define walking on sidewalks now you're teaching kids to walk the streets look both ways for traffic so now they're really going to get hurt because you're turning them into idiots	Comment noted.
261	4/13/2022 21:33	2	I fully support the removal of parking spaces, especially no RV/Bus parking. This area is very congested and lanes are very narrow due to RV, making it extreme dangerous for motorists and cars.	Comment noted.
262	4/13/2022 21:49	2	Narrowing lanes can be dangerous	Comment noted.
263	4/14/2022 11:47	2	there are a lot of sf state students who park here Where are they supposed to park	Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here: <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
264	4/14/2022 12:00	2	I don't support the elimination of one lane of traffic each way. Will add congestion and cause slow downs. Having cars and bike share one single lane of traffic would seem to slow down travel and lead to more potential accidents. I don't know how comfortable children will be riding bikes in the single lane of traffic.	Comment noted.
265	4/15/2022 16:41	2	It looks like there are reduced cars, which is good. At night I hear people drag racing down this section of the street and it really bugs me that there isn't more traffic enforcement around this intersection.	Comment noted.
266	4/17/2022 2:30	2	Lake Merced Blvd serves as a de-facto mobile home park for people who live out of their mobile homes. where are they going to go if the area around Lake Merced is a Metered Four Hour Parking zone? Lets asked the owners of Mobile Homes and trailers whether they want to be moved? Has SFMTA found a place for them to go to? Did you advice them of that they would soon need do find another place to park their mobile homes?	The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
267	4/18/2022 21:40	2	This is where the accidents happen as drivers tend to speed here and cars are not separated in opposite directions. I like the idea of keeping distance between opposite direction of vehicles.	Comment noted.
268	4/18/2022 21:40	2	there certainly is enough space on the side street to have a bike lane separated from cars. Have you ever ridden a bike and actually stayed in the roadway as recommended and ridden over the chevron - the car drivers go nuts. Please bring this back to drawing board and make a separate bile lane.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
269	4/19/2022 17:09	2	no dedicated bike lanes, rerouting of bike traffic into neighborhood and away from the Lake Merced trail	Comment noted.
270	4/19/2022 17:09	2	I would like to see dedicated bike lanes, since share lanes aren't super safe for cyclists. Car usually get angry and go around you in safely.	Comment noted.
271	4/19/2022 17:24	2	See above regarding lowering the speed limit on this section	Under the provision of Assembly Bill 43, San Francisco only has the authority to lower speed limits along certain streets that serve in key business activity districts. The speed limit for the rest of the streets in the City are under the State of California's jurisdiction. If the proposed improvements result in lower speeds, we may be able to conduct a speed survey which would enable speed limit lowering.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
272	4/19/2022 18:03	2	The elimination of free street parking on Lake Merced will be great for the safety of Lake Merced Blvd. by removing all the RVs that line the whole street. The problem could also be solved by adding meters to LM street parking (while avoiding pushing more cars into Parkmerced residential streets).	Comment noted. We looked into adding general metered parking but the materials and cost to install is outside of the quick-build project scope, schedule, and budget.
273	4/19/2022 20:57	2	I worry that large cycling packs will still be motivated to take the main road if there are frequent stops on the side road	Comment noted.
274	4/19/2022 20:57	2	Would prefer a continuous protected bike lane on L. Merced Bl from Skyline Bl to J. Muir. Bikes should get off the pedestrian path lakeside. It's disconcerting for people having bikes wizzing by them while walking	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel.
275	4/20/2022 10:30	2	I don't love have vehicles close to the pedestrian walkway, even if the walkway is elevated, but hopefully the changes elsewhere calm traffic enough that the cars will not be speeding as high as they do now.	Comment noted. The proposed designs include a protected bike lane in some sections of the project area, which we believe will serve as an effective buffer between pedestrians and motor vehicles.
276	4/20/2022 11:14	2	There needs to be a continuous protected bike lane separated from pedestrians around the inside of the lake going clockwise. It is a missed opportunity to not connect it in this section.	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel.
277	4/20/2022 15:33	2	Car lanes should not be reduced as more delays and congestion would result.	Comment noted. The proposed design in this section retains two lanes in both directions ensuring adequate traffic flow given the volumes in this section.
278	4/20/2022 20:00	2	Sharrows alone aren't safe enough. Need speed bumps and narrower travel lane.	Comment noted.
279	4/20/2022 22:01	2	This design requires cyclists already travelling on Lake Merced Boulevard to detour to Brotherhood way and gives the entire Brotherhood Way space to cars. This is not equitable.	Comment noted. This intersection is planned to undergo a major redesign as part of the Parkmerced development. Our project proposes the following quick-build traffic safety improvements at Brotherhood Way: larger signal lens sizes, raised crosswalks at slip lines, advance limit lines, upgrading existing crosswalks to continental crosswalks, cutting through concrete islands to create a continuous path of travel across the street.
280	4/21/2022 12:04	2	I'm a little worried about sharing with drivers on Vidal Dr. Right now, when I bike, anywhere that is "shared" with drivers, it means that I have to hurry and get out of the way of drivers, otherwise they get extremely hostile or - at minimum - make me feel unsafe.	Comment noted.
281	4/21/2022 12:13	2	There should be protected bicycle lanes on Lake Merced.	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel.
282	4/21/2022 12:23	2	the sharrows would need to be accompanied by speed tables and continuous sidewalk crosswalks to slow traffic.	Comment noted.
283	4/21/2022 12:30	2	I like the overall concept but the left side could use an expansion and sidewalk rehab of the multi use trail.	Comment noted. Widening and rehabilitating the sidewalk requires more construction intensive changes that are not within the scope of this quick-build project. This improvement can be incorporated into a larger capital project along this corridor.
284	4/21/2022 13:09	2	Sharrows are dangerous for vulnerable road users. Remove a parking lane to create a real bike lane, if necessary.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
285	4/21/2022 14:27	2	I don't understand the bicycle sharrows. Are bicyclists supposed to cross the street to get to them? That's a very counter-intuitive and disruptive path.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
286	4/22/2022 9:07	2	Would like more protected bike lanes	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
287	4/22/2022 9:07	2	While I hate losing parking in principle, I am strongly in favor of removing the parking spots on Lake Merced. They create a narrowing illusion when driving along it that can make drivers act erratically.	Comment noted.
288	4/22/2022 16:17	2	I appreciate getting rid of the parking, however I'd like to see better flow of infrastructure for bicyclists.	Comment noted.
289	4/22/2022 16:40	2	Sharrows don't really help. Would prefer protect	Comment noted.
290	4/22/2022 16:42	2	This is one of the only stretches of meaningful car parking on the west side with all the RVs keeping people housed. They face harassment elsewhere so it's essential to find a place for them.	Comment noted. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
291	4/22/2022 16:46	2	Why not have the protected bike lanes extend through this area (from section 1)?	Installing protected bike lanes along this section would cause
292	4/22/2022 16:46	2	Removing traffic lanes will negatively impact traffic	Comment noted. The proposed design in this section retains two lanes in both directions ensuring adequate traffic flow given the volumes in this section.
293	4/22/2022 16:46	2	I don't understand how bikes travel this section	This project design connects northbound bikes onto the asphalt path on the neighborhood side of Lake Merced Blvd just before Brotherhood Way. We are also proposing the installation of a bicycle ramp that would connect bikes on the asphalt path on the neighborhood side of Lake Merced Blvd, north of Brotherhood Way to Vidal Dr. Bikes would then be riding along Vidal Dr, which offers a calmer, shared road environment, and just before Vidal Dr curves right, northbound bikes would then be reconnected back onto Lake Merced Blvd via another proposed installation of a bicycle ramp. Lake Merced Blvd Southbound bikes would be encouraged to travel along the existing multiuse path that is
294	4/22/2022 16:50	2	Both lanes of Southbound Lake Merced should direct left to Brotherhood Way. "Clever" and late lane changes into the left lane from the right lane SB Lake Merced cause emergency braking. Continuing past Brotherhood Way on Southbound on Lake Merced should be an exit from the right lane.	Comment noted.
295	4/22/2022 16:59	2	Support this improvement, but we want protected bikeways, like in Section 1.	Comment noted. Circulation patterns and connections, center lines, medians, vehicle turns, turning radii would all be significantly impacted and require significant capital investment by changing roadway patterns this way and would not remove the conflict of having to cross Lake Merced Blvd by foot or bike. The new proposed bike facilities that are proposed on Lake Merced Blvd. will be fully protected by concrete barriers and offer safe, neighborhood connections. Where there aren't new bike lanes proposed, the design offers connections to the lakeside path or to neighborways on Vidal or Gellert. This ensures that a continuous safe path of travel is available for bicyclists for the length of the project area.
296	4/22/2022 17:14	2	Yes! Please get rid of as much parking as possible. I am sick of my tax dollars subsidizing peoples free parking. We should be using that space in better ways.	Comment noted.
297	4/22/2022 17:33	2	Protected bike lanes	Comment noted.
298	4/22/2022 17:55	2	Make sure the people who live in parked RVs still have a place to live	Comment noted. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
299	4/22/2022 18:08	2	Protected bike lanes are preferred over sharing with cars	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
300	4/22/2022 18:22	2	Where are the bike lanes??? Why are we still doing sharrow in this day and age?	Comment noted. Based on demands such as bus stops and access into SFSU and Park Merced, the street width along Lake Merced Blvd does not allow us to install protected bike lanes in this section within the project scope. Sharrow are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrow being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road
301	4/22/2022 18:39	2	I do not like the sharrow	Comment noted.
302	4/22/2022 20:41	2	There is no other way through this area but congested 19th Ave, stop with these suggestions to make travel in the area worse. MOving all the old RVs that stick out into the street, to a safe parking area would be better.	Comment noted.
303	4/22/2022 20:59	2	Disappointing not to see protected bike lanes and to see so many car lanes.	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel.
304	4/22/2022 21:26	2	There is plenty of space to walk or bike along Lake Merced. We don't need more traffic jams because of your "safety improvements"	Comment noted. The proposed design in this section retains two lanes in both directions ensuring adequate traffic flow given the volumes in this section.
305	4/22/2022 22:21	2	What is the logic or reasoning for not continuing the protected bike lane?	Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel.
306	4/22/2022 22:47	2	needs more buffer btwn walkway and traffic	Comment noted.
307	4/22/2022 23:05	2	Why not continue the protected bike lanes on the main thoroughfare? There appears to be plenty of width since parking is eliminated and a wide median added. Shared lanes on the side street are a second-class treatment for people on bikes.	Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel.
308	4/22/2022 23:10	2	It does not go far enough in prioritizing pedestrian and cyclist safety	Comment noted. The beauty of a quick-build project is that while it allows us to address traffic safety issues fast with adaptable and relatively affordable materials, it does not preclude more construction intensive changes in the future.
309	4/22/2022 23:56	2	The lack of protected bike lane here is dangerous.	Comment noted.
310	4/23/2022 0:54	2	I ride a cargo bike carrying two children to work at my children's school on Brotherhood Way every weekday. I do not feel comfortable sharing a lane with cars and strongly feel as if bikes should either be allowed on the pedestrian walk way or have their own designated lane (preferred.)	Comment noted.
311	4/23/2022 0:54	2	The safest setup for bicycles is a separated, protected lane. This doesn't provide that.	Comment noted. Based on demands such as bus stops and access into SFSU and Park Merced, the street width along Lake Merced Blvd does not allow us to install protected bike lanes in this section within the project scope. Sharrow are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrow being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road
312	4/23/2022 7:26	2	It would be nice to see a continued protected bike lane here.	Comment noted.
313	4/23/2022 7:38	2	Protected bike lanes would be better	Comment noted.
314	4/23/2022 8:26	2	Sharrow do not typically make roads much safer, but anything is better than nothing	Comment noted.
315	4/23/2022 8:26	2	Sharrow are worse than useless	Comment noted. Sharrow are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrow being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
316	4/23/2022 9:57	2	the eliminated lanes should be used for bicycle lanes, green space, or for more mass transit	Comment noted. Based on demands such as bus stops and access into SFSU and Park Merced, the street width along Lake Merced Blvd does not allow us to install protected bike lanes in this section within the project scope.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
317	4/23/2022 9:57	2	Please DO NOT eliminate parking along this section. Please DO ENFORCE existing speed limits.	Our proposed designs require parking removal in order to install traffic calming, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements. Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd. near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr. that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here: <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
318	4/23/2022 11:29	2	Better, but needs southbound protected bike lane	Comment noted.
319	4/23/2022 11:53	2	Better, but a protected bike lane for southbound traffic would be ideal. I bike clockwise around the lake (southbound for this stretch) to avoid cross traffic. I imagine that's more common for recreational rides.	Comment noted.
320	4/23/2022 12:17	2	(1) The Lake Merced Blvd northbound drivers are already losing a driving lane from John Muir Dr to Brotherhood Way. The plan could be much simpler and not involve the Vidal Drive sharrow if the NB protected bike lane on Lake Merced Blvd were extended beyond Brotherhood Way. (2) Another option is to extend the NB bike lane to Higuera Ave, to avoid creating an extra intersection on Vidal Dr. The benefit is that it simplifies navigation for both cyclists and drivers by reducing the number of intersections and potential conflict points.	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel. Circulation patterns and connections, center lines, medians, vehicle turns, turning radii would all be significantly impacted and require significant capital investment by changing roadway patterns this way and would not remove the conflict of having to cross Lake Merced Blvd by foot or bike. The new proposed bike facilities that are proposed on Lake Merced Blvd. will be fully protected by concrete barriers and offer safe, neighborhood connections. Where there aren't new bike lanes proposed, the design offers connections to the lakeside path or to neighborways on Vidal or Gellert. This ensures that a continuous safe path of travel is available for bicyclists for the length of the project area.
321	4/23/2022 12:44	2	This seems like a compromise that doesn't serve either drivers or cyclists well, see below	Comment noted.
322	4/23/2022 13:10	2	This doesn't do enough for cyclists and pedestrians. It looks like it is just mild traffic calming and sharrow?	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel. Sharrow are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrow being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
323	4/23/2022 14:04	2	Cars going too fast, bike lanes would help reduce the speed of traffic.	Comment noted.
324	4/23/2022 15:34	2	Would like to see PROTECTED bike lanes, and expanded areas for pedestrians - rather than just shared lanes with cars, which is very unsafe. If we're investing in this, let's make it actually safe.	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel. Sharrow are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrow being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
325	4/23/2022 20:25	2	It would be nice to have a protected bike lane rather than the "sharrow"	Comment noted. Sharrow are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrow being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
326	4/23/2022 20:25	2	Will cause traffic and muni will be further effected. People will still turn to their cars as a solution or ride share. It will not decrease the number of cars and increase biking if that is the intention. People in SF are busy and want convenience. If this slows down muni like the 29 bus. It will become more of a deterrent to take the bus. Right now, the 29 is convenient.	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
327	4/23/2022 21:39	2	Bike lanes need protection	Comment noted.
328	4/23/2022 21:39	2	Taking out parking is never good. It always makes more trouble for people parking in an already non car/parking friendly city.	Our proposed designs require parking removal in order to install traffic calming, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements. Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd. near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr. that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here: <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
329	4/25/2022 9:23	2	Give the bus transit only lanes and give bikes protected, car free space - sharrows are not safe	Comment noted. Based on demands such as bus stops and access into SFSU and Park Merced, the street width along Lake Merced Blvd does not allow us to install protected bike lanes in this section within the project scope. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road
330	4/25/2022 12:37	2	Bike lanes proposed in section 1 on Lake Merced Blvd need to be built in section 2	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
331	4/25/2022 13:44	2	The "Quick Build" area connects to sunset blvd. together they are a major travel and commute area for cars. The loss of traffic lanes is going to create a lot of congestion and if the great highway is permanently closed this will really be congested - a night mare! Due to the great highway closure now, I'm finding I'm relying more on this transit route(sunset blvd & lake merced blvd) as I'm sure everyone else is too.	Comment noted. The proposed design in this section retains two lanes in both directions ensuring adequate traffic flow given the volumes in this section.
332	4/25/2022 15:15	2	I'm opposed to the loss of traffic lanes for cars and loss of parking spaces	Comment noted.
333	4/25/2022 15:15	2	I thknk your diagrams are difficult to understand. You don't provide text descriptions of these and you ar assuming a high comfort lvel with technology for the people that are supposed to be taking this survey. These are community members, non native english speakrers and seniors using these diagrams and surveys, They are not easy to understand.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
334	4/25/2022 15:51	2	Shared bicycle lane is dangerous for bicycles - too many cars going too fast, you need to reduce the speed limit all around Lake Merced	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
335	4/26/2022 1:25	2	Unless the vehicle speeds are very low, sharrows are dangerous.	Comment noted.
336	4/26/2022 14:33	2	Don't really understand	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed explanation and visual of proposed changes: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
337	4/26/2022 14:40	2	Put planting in the middle, also.	Comment noted.
338	4/26/2022 14:46	2	The bike route really needs to be on Lake Merced Blvd itself, not Vidal. Especially for southbound riders, it would be very annoying and circuitous to turn on and off Vidal at the start and end of this segment. If 2 through lanes for car traffic are really needed, then the striped median envisioned here should be replaced with a southbound bike lane.	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
339	4/26/2022 16:44	2	except for the bicycle lanes	Comment noted.
340	4/26/2022 16:44	2	This adds no protection for cyclists at all. Sharrows do not help us with safety. It seems like you are just widening lanes on the other edge too"if anything the parking is protective for people on the sidewalks. Not advocating for more parking, but curious why one of those lanes can't be removed for better usage.	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
341	4/26/2022 17:10	2	sharrows are not safe.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
342	4/26/2022 17:10	2	Less accident	Comment noted.
343	4/26/2022 19:43	2	There should be protected bike lanes, not road-share bike lanes.	Comment noted. Based on demands such as bus stops and access into SFSU and Park Merced, the street width along Lake Merced Blvd does not allow us to install protected bike lanes in this section within the project scope. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road
344	4/26/2022 19:43	2	This street is a perfect example of how SF must begin to engineer horrendous streets like Lake Merced for people and not cars -- we have had it backwards. I hope Lake Merced presents a radical change in favor of saving lives.	Comment noted.
345	4/26/2022 21:43	2	I like planted strips but prefer fewer auto travel lanes.	Comment noted.
346	4/26/2022 21:43	2	protected bike lanes would be ideal, but this is a great improvement over the existing patterns! I hope care will be taken with the folks who live in the mobile homes along this corridor to provide alternative spaces nearby.	Comment noted. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.



Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
347	4/26/2022 21:51	2	It looks like one of these drawings includes a shared bike-car lane? I absolutely feel that bikes-peds- and cars must be completely and safely separated.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
348	4/27/2022 13:43	2	Prefer a protected bike lane	Comment noted.
349	4/27/2022 13:43	2	Removing parking and space for drivers will cause more accidents and congestion.	Comment noted. The primary reason for collisions along Lake Merced Blvd is due to unsafe speeds. Drivers tend to drive faster when there is more space on the road than there are vehicles. While we don't believe the volume of traffic will increase along the corridor, we do want to slow traffic down. Slowing down traffic will dramatically decrease the likelihood of a crash and if there is a crash it will decrease the likelihood of fatalities and injuries.
350	4/27/2022 15:34	2	The city will need to make sure RVs displaced will not move into adjacent neighborhoods	Comment noted. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
351	4/27/2022 15:50	2	it seems like there will be more traffic congestion if you are removing two lanes of traffic.	Comment noted. The proposed design in this section retains two lanes in both directions ensuring adequate traffic flow given the volumes in this section. The primary reason for collisions along Lake Merced Blvd is due to unsafe speeds. Drivers tend to drive faster when there is more space on the road than there are vehicles. While we don't believe the volume of traffic will increase along the corridor, we do want to slow traffic down. Slowing down traffic will dramatically decrease the likelihood of a crash and if there is a crash it will decrease the likelihood of fatalities and injuries. Nonetheless, the SFMTA is committed to thorough on-going evaluation of its quick-build projects and to adjust as required. The project teams will continue to monitor all types of transportation conditions, including pedestrian safety and vehicle traffic, to ensure that the projects continue to meet the needs of the community.
352	4/27/2022 16:33	2	This is a better choice for cyclists than putting us on Lake Merced Drive with or without bike lanes. Should make it safer for pedestrian crossings as well.	Comment noted.
353	4/27/2022 19:02	2	will not support taking away parking or lanes for cars	Comment noted.
354	4/27/2022 22:02	2	It's hard to keep track of how these segment fit together. But it seems this proposal for Section 2 requires a bicyclist heading southbound who is riding on the lakeside shared path in Segment 3 (which is the only option there) has to then cross a multi lane road to access the southbound route Segment 2 on the neighborhood street, and then to cross again to the lakeside bikeway created in Section 1. Realistically, I doubt someone riding a bicycle southward would do that, instead they will go onto the sidewalk on the lakeshore side for this segment then in Section 1 might get into the lakeshore bike lane. And my recollection is that the sidewalk in this area is not very wide, so on a busy time of day this will continue being a crowding problem.	Southbound bikes north of Section 2 would be directed back on to the shared multi-use path lake side at Middlefield Dr and would continue using the path until they reach the protected bike lane south of Brotherhood Way. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel.
355	4/28/2022 7:30	2	I think this is a fine change, but that it does not go far enough in improving bicycle safety.	Comment noted. The beauty of a quick-build project is that while it allows us to address traffic safety issues fast with adaptable and relatively affordable materials, it does not preclude more construction intensive changes in the future.
356	4/28/2022 10:55	2	I see no difference, I already ride my bike in those traffic lanes or most likely on the path. The lane marking is always good but in reality doesn't do much to slow drivers.	Comment noted. Based on demands such as bus stops and access into SFSU and Park Merced, the street width along Lake Merced Blvd does not allow us to install protected bike lanes in this section within the project scope. There will be more extensive changes made to the roadway by Parkmerced and none of the proposed improvements would preclude a more extensive and construction-intensive project down the line.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
357	4/28/2022 10:55	2	Anything will help. More barrier protected bike lanes and sidewalks. Also, add metered parking vs free car storage.	We looked into adding general metered parking but the materials and cost to install is outside of the quick-build project scope, schedule, and budget. Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd. near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr. that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here: <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
358	4/28/2022 23:13	2	Appreciate the two lanes in both directions; how do cars turn right onto Middlefield? Also how will parking be made up? Many parents park here for school events.	The right turn lane from Lake Merced Blvd onto Middlefield Dr would still exist should this proposed design be constructed. Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd. near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr. that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here: <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
359	4/28/2022 23:51	2	the seperated path on the left should have bikes speparated from pedestarians	Comment noted. Lake Merced Blvd is used by all modes and there are some parts of the road where we could not fit a bike lane, transit boarding island, and a travel lane, especially areas that already have a concrete median dividing the two directions of travel. Sharrow are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrow being placed will guide bikes to parallel streets (Vidal/Gellert) with low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
360	4/29/2022 11:42	2	I'd prefer to see the protected bike infrastructure continue down Lake Merced Boulevard for the entirety of the lake - forcing cyclists to zig zag back and forth will cause confusion and may drive some to continue riding on the dangerous roadway out of convenience.	Comment noted.
361	4/29/2022 12:10	2	Thank you for keeping the two lanes of auto traffic in each direction. But where will people park that used to park on Lake Merced Blvd? Why eliminate so much parking?	Our proposed designs require parking removal in order to make room to install traffic calming, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements. Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here : <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
362	4/29/2022 12:29	2	I support a continuous protected bike lane lakeside	Comment noted.
363	4/29/2022 13:32	2	There should be a bike lane in both directions	Comment noted.
364	4/29/2022 13:53	2	i hope that removing parking from this section if lake merced boulevard resolves the issue of RV. They are too wide and get hit often because they are partially in the through lane.	Comment noted.
365	4/29/2022 14:29	2	Would like the other parking removed as well to make cycling lane both ways.	Comment noted.
366	4/29/2022 18:50	2	southbound bike line through the entire route would be better	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
367	4/29/2022 21:25	2	Needs metal bollards to protect bike from cars	Comment noted.
368	4/7/2022 16:30	3	This is nuts...you only have a bike way going in one direction. In the other you have bikes and pedestrians mingling.	Comment noted. Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
369	4/7/2022 17:20	3	A separate, safe lane for cyclists just makes sense!	Comment noted.
370	4/7/2022 18:30	3	Keep biking safe by adding bollards.	Comment noted.
371	4/7/2022 19:03	3	A protected bike lane on both sides or a 2 way protected bike lane would be better to minimize conflicts with pedestrians.	Comment noted.
372	4/7/2022 19:05	3	school area need slower street	Comment noted.
373	4/7/2022 19:07	3	MTA and RPD should better coordinate so that there isn't increased conflict of bikes and pedestrians at the path adjacent to the lake (owned by RPD). The RPD capital project is happening there and what signage could be added to the proposed project to improve the user experience there?	Comment noted.
374	4/7/2022 20:58	3	Why is there not a bidirectional bike lane?	The multiuse pathway around the lake provides an existing bike path to users, this project proposes additional protected bike lanes a long portions of Lake Merced Boulevard to supplement the pathway and provide direct connections to the neighborhoods east of the lake. Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
375	4/7/2022 21:12	3	good protection	Comment noted.
376	4/7/2022 21:26	3	There should be a bike lane on Lake Merced Blvd here (at least heading southbound)	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
377	4/7/2022 21:26	3	There should be a bike lane on Lake Merced Blvd here (at least heading southbound)	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
378	4/8/2022 6:05	3	Should be a bike lane in both directions	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
379	4/8/2022 6:05	3	6 lanes for cars and one for cyclists isn't great. Please enable folk using smaller mobility options safe travel in multiple directions on this stretch	Comment noted.
380	4/8/2022 21:10	3	This is a stupid design - stop being dense jackasses and leave the roads alone, they are fine and the route is a source for significant commuter flow. Also, the road is designated in the city's priority route map as an EMERGENCY ROUTE. In case you haven't been paying attention, we live in a seismically sensitive area. If you plan to change this road then you need to propose to the city attorney and the DPW an acceptable alternative emergency route, and follow the emergency designation processes that have been established previously. Stop wasting tax money screwing up the roads. I vote.	Comment noted.
381	4/8/2022 22:08	3	Where are people biking in the other direction supposed to go? I support getting rid of some parking	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
382	4/9/2022 22:16	3	Remove parking	Comment noted.
383	4/9/2022 22:16	3	Remove parking	Comment noted.
384	4/10/2022 7:27	3	Walkway is critical for pedestrians in this area	Comment noted.
385	4/10/2022 8:12	3	I support any improvement to this intersection - it's where I cross to get to the running trail and it always seems dangerous! Yes to the separate protected lane. Where do the bikes go for the opposite direction? i.e. coming towards us on the left hand side?	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
386	4/10/2022 9:08	3	Maybe if you get rid of the homeless RV camps that are parked along the side in each direction, then maybe this will	Comment noted.
387	4/10/2022 9:44	3	Stop taking away parking spaces without creating new parking structures.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
388	4/10/2022 10:01	3	A curse forever to anyone removing any parking places in San Francisco.	Comment noted.
389	4/10/2022 10:07	3	Do not do this because it will create a lot of traffic. Before you create any bike lane, please go to the site and observe to see how many bikers vs vehicles use the path.	Comment noted.
390	4/10/2022 11:01	3	no reduced parking	Comment noted
391	4/10/2022 11:01	3	I support safety measures that allow autos to share the ride with Bikes...What I VEHEMENTLY oppose is the removal of parking spaces as that is the ONLY way I can get to the lake and enjoy it....	Comment noted.
392	4/10/2022 12:25	3	Why is it always reduce the traffic lanes?	Along some sections of Lake Merced Blvd we are proposing to remove lanes in order to calm traffic and fit pedestrian and bicycle improvements.
393	4/10/2022 14:23	3	Yes, It's dangerous area for walking. So, these college educated wants put the bike lane next to the people walking with their kids and dogs so the bike can kill more people.	Comment noted.
394	4/10/2022 14:23	3	Same as Section 1.	comment noted.
395	4/10/2022 16:17	3	Totally opposed to taking away a lane of traffic between Sunset Blvd and Brotherhood way.	comment noted.
396	4/10/2022 17:43	3	More parking gone!	comment noted.
397	4/10/2022 17:49	3	not sure about eastern bike lane	Comment noted.
398	4/10/2022 17:49	3	Again, biking around Lake Merced is nicer on the western side of LMB. The shared bike/pedestrian path is too narrow from Brotherhood Way to WInston. I'm not interested in crossing LMB to use the eastern bike lane, but recognize it might be more useful to students at SFSU and the schools off Middlefield.	comment noted.
399	4/10/2022 19:20	3	Should have protected bike lanes on both sides	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
400	4/10/2022 19:20	3	It's good to have a protected bike lane for northbound. For southbound, we should also have a protected bike lane. The path by itself is oversubscribed for handling all southbound bike/walk/running, especially since the chainlink fence prevents using any space beyond the path.	Comment noted.
401	4/10/2022 20:45	3	how many parking spaces will be eliminated in this design ? where do you propose people to park their vehicles ? I would support a traffic signal at the new Vidal intersection	Approximately 324 parking spaces are proposed for removal. Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd. near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr. that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus.SFState provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here : <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
402	4/10/2022 23:44	3	I love the separated bike lanes! One concern, how wide will they be? I usually ride a cargo bike with my kids and often find bike lanes too narrow to comfortable pass or be passed.	Bike lanes will be at least the minimum width of 5', some will be wider with protected buffers.
403	4/11/2022 2:33	3	Where is the safe travel for southbound cyclists?	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
404	4/11/2022 2:41	3	Why is eliminating parking needed? What commitment is the city making to actually enforce bike lane rules?	Along some sections of Lake Merced Blvd we are proposing to remove parking in order to calm traffic and fit pedestrian and bicycle improvements.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
405	4/11/2022 4:49	3	where are these people supposed to park?	Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here: <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
406	4/11/2022 4:49	3	This project over all abolishes over 100 parking places, where are these vehicles to go?	Recognizing that some people using the project area may need to load or use short-term parking, the project team is looking at options to provide approximately 680 feet of parking/loading areas on Lake Merced Blvd. near SF State. There are also a number of parking lots in and around the lake and unmetered parking a long John Muir Dr. that can be used to access the lake area. For students and campus users, SF State provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus.SFstate provides daily parking permits and public parking onsite, and shuttles from Daly City BART to campus. A range of transportation options serving the campus can be found here : <a href="https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit">https://parking.sfsu.edu/transit</a>
407	4/11/2022 10:02	3	get rid of the parking and you can leave the lanes of traffic alone. It has become a trailer park and is a safety hazard and an eyesore.	Comment noted.
408	4/11/2022 10:38	3	There is no bike lane in the previous section of this map, but now there is one, but only in one direction. Again, this serves no purpose but to force the relocation of people who live in their vehicles. I oppose this.	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
409	4/11/2022 10:57	3	Yes, please give more room to bikes and pedestrians. There is no need for parking in this area. There are no shops or stores and there is lots of parking nearby in the apartment complexes and the mall.	Comment noted.
410	4/11/2022 11:53	3	Wouldn't it be better to have a bike path on the lake side?	The multiuse pathway around the lake provides an existing bike path to users, this project proposes additional protected bike lanes a long portions of Lake Merced Boulevard to supplement the pathway and provide direct connections to the neighborhoods east of the lake. Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
411	4/11/2022 12:40	3	Bike lanes are good IF bike access is then removed from the lakeside footpath. Your renderings don't show bikes on the path in current scenarios. They are currently allowed and are a hazard you haven't spoken to in this "safety" report.	Comment noted.
412	4/11/2022 13:02	3	This proposed option can be considered with changes and assurance that 1.) law enforcement will enforce penalties for bicyclists so that they do not use the pedestrian sidewalk on the far left of the illustration above; 2.) law enforcement enforces penalties on bicyclists who do not obey the rules of the road, 3) law enforcement continually polices at all times this section of road for bicyclists who break the law; and 4.) additional equivalent parking to that which is there today, ie free/all day/etc., parking is provided within a 5 minute walk.	Comment noted.
413	4/11/2022 13:02	3	Again, inconveniencing the local residents by removing parking and not highlighting potential negative consequences.	Comment noted.
414	4/11/2022 13:02	3	I support a continuous protected bike lane lakeside	Comment noted.
415	4/11/2022 13:52	3	There should be bike lanes throughout.	Comment noted.
416	4/11/2022 13:52	3	removing one lane might create undesired traffic bottle neck	Comment noted.
417	4/11/2022 13:52	3	like the dedicated bike lane	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
418	4/11/2022 14:11	3	Car lanes should not be reduced. There are far more cars than pedestrians and bikers. Please stop this war against drivers. Again, put up safety signs for bikers and walkers.	Comment noted.
419	4/11/2022 14:37	3	Again, I support better marked intersections and crossings, as on Sloat Blvd. today. There is absolutely NO need to choke this vital corridor by reducing lanes and speeds for cars. Bicycling is fine on the shared path by the lake.	Comment noted.
420	4/11/2022 15:35	3	I'm not sure the graphic matches the plan. However, this is a very congested area and curb parking has to go. It's being used by motor homes, which simply don't fit width wise in the spaces and congesting the drive lanes. the old/new plan shows all parking being eliminated makes so much sense. I've traveled this road every day for 22 years, I live at 167 Lakeshore Drive, and this will help a lot. And the bikes now have a place to go without jamming up the pedestrians. this whole design is really well done.	Comment noted.
421	4/12/2022 0:07	3	Needs protected bike infrastructure on the sound bound lane	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
422	4/12/2022 6:33	3	Traffic congestion will be the result when you remove traffic lane	Comment noted.
423	4/12/2022 7:58	3	There needs to be protected bike lanes in both directions. Bikes don't only need to go one direction	Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
424	4/12/2022 9:13	3	wrong side bike lanes	Comment noted.
425	4/12/2022 9:13	3	The class 1 path on the lake side would be berter	Comment noted.
426	4/12/2022 20:42	3	Again, concerned about cutting out parking on the street side.	Comment noted.
427	4/12/2022 21:58	3	(same) limits driving cars from the Sunset to 280	Comment noted.
428	4/13/2022 9:19	3	Ideally I'd like for there to be a southbound bike lane as well. The path is fine but it's not as good as a bike lane would be.	Comment noted.
429	4/13/2022 16:29	3	Stop ruining our city we have cars most of the people in the city are older we need cars this is a city if you wanna walk on grass go to the country	Comment noted.
430	4/13/2022 21:33	3	I want to suggest to further remove parking spaces on southbound lanes or prohibit RV parking.	Comment noted
431	4/14/2022 12:00	3	Losing a westbound lane does not seem ideal for alleviating congestion	Comment noted
432	4/17/2022 2:30	3	Lake Merced BLVD serves as a de-facto Mobile Home Park for those who live out of their mobile homes and trailers. Please find a place for them to move to before removing parking spaces and forcing them to move elsewhere. Are they going to park their trailers on residential streets?	Comment noted. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
433	4/18/2022 21:40	3	I think because of the schools, it warrants this type of update. I'm not aware of a major accident zone here though.	Comment noted.
434	4/19/2022 16:10	3	This will create a bad traffic situation by the university.	Comment noted. There is an evaluation that follows within two years of every Vision Zero quick-build project. This project proposes only removing one northbound lane in this section where there is excess road capacity, leaving two northbound lanes. By using flexible materials we will be able to quickly make as needed adjustments.
435	4/19/2022 16:10	3	Reducing the lanes headed to the sf state parking garage off state drive will create a traffic nightmare. Why aren't the lanes even on both sides?	Comment noted. Currently the number of lanes are not even on both sides. There are two existing southbound lanes and three existing northbound lanes. If this proposal is implemented there would be two southbound and two
436	4/19/2022 17:09	3	We need bike lanes on both sides!	Comment noted. Installing bike lanes on both sides would require more construction intensive changes that are not within the scope of this quick-build project. This improvement can be incorporated into a larger capital project along this corridor.
437	4/19/2022 17:24	3	where is the bike path on the left side	Comment noted.
438	4/19/2022 20:57	3	Does not go far enough in prioritizing safety, especially for people not traveling in cars.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
439	4/19/2022 20:57	3	having had the unfortunate experience of hitting a pedestrian while bile riding, and i now realize that it is undisputable that if bikes are allotted their own lane and separated from pedestrians, such accidents will not occur. Please make a bike lane separate from pedestrians. If bikes are going 15 MPH and a pedestrian is going 2 MPH and the pedestrian wearing headphones makes an unexpected movement, it is likely for the bike to hit the pedestrian.	Comment noted.
440	4/20/2022 10:30	3	See above regarding the speed limit. I also support eliminating the slip lanes	Comment noted.
441	4/20/2022 11:14	3	Removing the one-block long third northbound lane will also improve the flow of traffic coming from Winston. The ambiguity of that short third lane makes the slip lane merge from Winston hard for many drivers.	Comment noted.
442	4/20/2022 15:33	3	The neighborway idea is interesting, but doesn't really address folks cycling southbound at all. Still dangerous for them	Comment noted.
443	4/21/2022 12:04	3	There needs to be a continuous protected bike lane separated from pedestrians around the inside of the lake going clockwise. It is a missed opportunity to not connect it in this	Comment noted.
444	4/21/2022 12:23	3	A two-way protected bike lane would be better	Comment noted.
445	4/21/2022 12:30	3	Love the concrete protected bike lane!	Comment noted.
446	4/21/2022 13:09	3	There is no provision for cyclists travelling southbound on Lake Merced Boulevard in a clockwise direction. Eliminate the the onstreet storage of vehicles (which is mostly filled with derelict RVs today) in this section to provide road space for all transportation modes.	Due to the street width, circulation needs, and bus stops in this area, removal of on-street parking did not provide sufficient space for a protected southbound bike lane. Thus, bicyclists heading south on Lake Merced Blvd who wish to stay on the lake side of the road are encouraged to use the existing multi-use path.
447	4/21/2022 14:27	3	This looks okay, although there is still a ton of space for cars and the bike lane is not bidirectional. Seems like a missed opportunity to make a two-way bike lane.	Comment noted.
448	4/22/2022 9:07	3	The bicycle lane needs to accommodate 2 way bicycle traffic or there needs to be second protected bicycle lane to accompany bicycle traffic in both directions.	Comment noted.
449	4/22/2022 16:14	3	hope that there is a continuous bike lane should't need to get so far into the weeds with you on this -- hope you can just do the job	Comment noted.
450	4/22/2022 16:17	3	Additions of alternatives to asphalt and concrete to some extent of the multi use trail is always nice.	Comment noted.
451	4/22/2022 16:46	3	Too many cars!	Comment noted.
452	4/22/2022 16:50	3	It would be nice to see the protected bike lane on both sides.	Comment noted.
453	4/22/2022 16:59	3	Removing traffic lanes and narrowing lanes will increase travel times	Comment noted.
454	4/22/2022 17:05	3	Will narrower parking lanes affect the traffic flow around the RV's that are usually parked on Lake Merced these days? It already feels risky enough driving on Lake Merced between Brotherhood and Winston with the parking spaces as wide as they currently are with the RV's lined up there.	In sections where on-street parking is allowed, the parking lane and the travel lane next to the parking will not be narrowed more than the existing width.
455	4/22/2022 17:14	3	Removing lanes will increase car travel times	Comment noted.
456	4/22/2022 17:57	3	A completely separate and dedicated bike lane is always best!	Comment noted.
457	4/22/2022 18:08	3	Why is there a protected bike lane only in one direction? Still a car-centric design with way too many lanes for traffic.	Comment noted.
458	4/22/2022 18:22	3	I don't understand what lane south bound bike traffic takes in this model.	Comment noted.
459	4/22/2022 20:41	3	The right lane on Northbound Lake Merced in this area is used as an aggressive passing lane.	Comment noted.
460	4/22/2022 20:59	3	Support but wish there was a protected bikeway in both directions.	Comment noted.
461	4/22/2022 21:26	3	Love the protected bike lane. The only thing I don't like about this if there are still too many lanes for cars.	Comment noted.
462	4/22/2022 21:32	3	Protected bike lanes are so important! I commute via bike but avoid this route because it's very dangerous!	Comment noted.
463	4/22/2022 23:03	3	get rid of more car lanes	Comment noted.
464	4/23/2022 0:54	3	I'd love to see protected bike space in both directions	Comment noted.
465	4/23/2022 0:54	3	Too much space given to cars.	Comment noted.
466	4/23/2022 7:26	3	I'm opposed to eliminating traffic lanes for cars and loss of parking spaces.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
467	4/23/2022 7:38	3	I don't understand where th parking lane is reallocated to	Comment noted.
468	4/23/2022 8:26	3	needs more work	Comment noted.
469	4/23/2022 9:57	3	There should be fewer lanes of auto traffic.	Comment noted.
470	4/23/2022 11:53	3	There should be protected bike lanes on both sides.	Comment noted.
471	4/23/2022 11:53	3	Please provide a designated lane for bikes in both directions (north and south.)	Comment noted.
472	4/23/2022 12:44	3	Not enough bike protection	Comment noted.
473	4/23/2022 14:04	3	This really screws people who live or visit the area by removing parking.	Comment noted.
474	4/23/2022 15:34	3	A significant amount of vehicle traffic goes between western SF and Daly City and the Peninsula. I live in the Richmond District, and often need to go south, and I know many others who do as well. Restricting traffic, while also making it harder to drive on the Great Highway, and not making improvements on 19th (where options are limited), people who need to commute in either direction, along with the business they shop at, support, or work at will waste more time and fuel. Many people cannot use walking or bikes to make this long transit; this makes it more painful for them, while not creating any significant improvements. This comes across as another example of trying to ineffectively force people out of cars while not doing anything significant to produce viable alternatives. Pain will not fix SF's transit.	Based on traffic counts and observations, the majority of vehicle traffic on Lake Merced Blvd are traveling between Sunset Blvd and Brotherhood Way in both directions. We understand this is an important connection in this area so our project proposes to maintain two travel lanes in each direction between Sunset Blvd and Brotherhood Way. In comparison, we see significantly less vehicles traveling on Lake Merced Blvd once we are south of Brotherhood Way. So, that is the only section where we are proposing to remove 1 lane in each direction. We are also able to reallocate some of that space to bike lanes that connect directly to the existing bike lanes on Lake Merced Blvd in Daly City.
475	4/23/2022 20:25	3	Confusing - are bikes allowed only on one side of the road?	Comment noted.
476	4/23/2022 20:25	3	A southbound protected bike lane would be nice	Comment noted.
477	4/25/2022 12:37	3	Where is the bike lane for bikes traveling south? Please put the bike lanes on the lake side. Two lanes, opposite directions, traveling alongside the pedestrian path. This design has northbound cyclists crossing something like 6 lanes of traffic at intersections.	Due to the street width, circulation needs, and bus stops in this area, an on-street protected bike lane on the lake side of Lake Merced Blvd did not fit. We instead encourage southbound bicyclists to utilize the existing multi-use path. Since there is a multi-use path around the lake, this project focuses on providing an alternative to this pathway as well as providing important connections to the neighborhoods to the east of Lake Merced.
478	4/25/2022 13:44	3	Adding more bike lanes will help slow the traffic.	Comment noted.
479	4/26/2022 1:25	3	I walk and ride my bike around lake Merced on the pavement and there is plenty of room. It isn't that crowded. It doesn't justify closing down a lane to create a bike lane. That will create more traffic and problems inside the streets. Especially near Lakeshore elementary, Lowell HS, SF state, parkmerced apartments and Stonestown. I predict there will be more accidents and scared pedestrians there if the are changes to Lake Merced. If the city is trying to make it more car less. I don't think people will drive less. They may by more electric cars but not stop driving. The muni is not reliable enough for people to stop driving. It takes 1.5 hours to get across the city. People don't have time for that. I also take the 29 muni bus and by shutting down a lane will make it more problematic for the bus and people to get to where they need to be due to the increased traffic around lake Merced and it's inside streets.	There are no travel lanes being removed on Lake Merced Blvd north of Winston where the 29 Sunset runs. This also means that no travel lanes are being removed near Lakeshore Elementation or Lowell HS. In the section of Lake Merced Blvd near Parkmerced and SF State, there will still be 2 travel lanes in each direction. Although there is a multi-use path around the lake, installing a protected Class IV bikeway on Lake Merced Blvd also provides an alternative to the lake path as well as providing important connections to the neighborhoods to the east of Lake Merced.
480	4/26/2022 14:33	3	Only support this if the multitude path is made significantly wider to reduce crowding on the path. Southbound bicycle riders and people walking will otherwise will continue to have to navigate around each other as they do now.	Comment noted.
481	4/26/2022 14:40	3	Once again taking out parking. There is already plenty of room for bikers they do not need a full lane with such wide lanes. Bikers almost never even ride in that area and do not need to when there is a path right near it.	Comment noted.
482	4/26/2022 14:46	3	dedicated bike lanes in both directions need to be added to Lake Merced Blvd. This may be accomplished by eliminating street parking on both sides of Lake Merced Blvd	Comment noted.
483	4/26/2022 16:44	3	I'm all for public safety but 89 traffic accidents in this quick build area over a 5 year period doesn't seem like a huge number in a city of 850,000 people.	Comment noted.



Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
484	4/26/2022 17:10	3	Again, I am disappointed that you are engaging in a community outreach and comment when your diagrams and explanations are difficult to understand. This makes it un-inclusive to members of the community. How can we comment on diagrams and plans that we cannot understand?	Comment noted. If we move forward with the legislation phase, we will work on providing clearer explanations and diagrams that are easier to use.
485	4/26/2022 19:43	3	This is a DANGEROUS intersection, bus stop needs improvement, signage bad, nowhere for pedestrians, people in wheelchairs to stand, for bus, there needs to be posts to protect pedestrians from all the speeding cars .Need turn signals. Clearly marked crosswalks, not enough time to cross the street.	Comment noted.
486	4/26/2022 21:43	3	I like the protected bike lane, but there are too many auto lanes. Please widen the path.	Comment noted.
487	4/27/2022 13:43	3	not sure about this one. Looks like too many auto travel lanes. Most important thing we can do in the City is to reduce the number of motor vehicles and the number of trips.	Comment noted.
488	4/27/2022 15:34	3	The failure to accommodate southbound bicyclists here is simply unacceptable. This area, adjacent to SFSU, is where there are the most pedestrians on the path, and the whole point of this project is that the existing multi-use path is insufficient.	Comment noted.
489	4/27/2022 16:33	3	Good for the pedestrians	Comment noted.
490	4/27/2022 22:02	3	Are bikers heading south expected to ride on the sidewalk? (As we do now, but pedestrians are understandably not thrilled about it. But I don't feel safe riding directly on Lake Merced Blvd currently.)	Southbound bikes should use the existing lake multi-use path.
491	4/28/2022 23:51	3	Concerned about increase in traffic between Font and Winston with the reduction in lanes. There is a lot of traffic at times even with three lanes. The city should make sure the displaced RVs do not park in the adjacent neighborhoods off Sunset Blvd (36th 37th Gellert Drive). City should install No Overnight parking signs.	Comment noted. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
492	4/29/2022 12:05	3	This road diet is essential to bringing down dangerous speeding, create more space for people walking and biking, and calm intersections for people walking. The lakeside path can get busy and feel uncomfortable walking with fast cyclists passing. Adding safe space to bike on the street will help a lot with this. Lake Merced Blvd-bound drivers using the slip lanes at Font and Winston drive at fast speeds and rarely yield to pedestrian. Please narrow these slip lanes or add speed cushions/humps, and consider a stop sign for the slip lane at Winston where it crosses the protected bike lane. The lakeside path - between Middlefield to south of Winston - is uncomfortably close to the traffic lane. This will be improved by narrower lanes requiring slower speeds, but can the southbound protected bike lane be extended to Winston? Or a barrier of some other sort be added? It will never be comfortable walking within two feet of drivers going 35-50mph. At Middlefield, can a transit boarding island be added on the lakeside? It would help with crowding on the path here and would narrow the crossing slightly - at a place where drivers often don't yield to pedestrians, including many kids from the schools here.	Comment noted. Due to the southbound left turn lane into Middlefield and the road geometry, a transit boarding island does not fit at Middlefield on the lakeside. This also means a southbound protected bike lane does not fit past Middlefield. At this time, we also are unable to shift the southbound vehicle lanes further away from the lake due to the demand for left turns onto Winston.
493	4/29/2022 12:29	3	I think there will be more traffic congestion b/c you are removing two lanes of traffic and putting in one bike lane.	Comment noted.
494	4/29/2022 13:32	3	I usually cross Lake Merced Blvd to bike on the shared-used path for this section. Being able to stay on the SF State side is a substantial improvement.	Comment noted.
495	4/29/2022 14:29	3	will not support taking away traffic lanes or parking lanes	Comment noted.
496	4/29/2022 21:25	3	I would bike here if there were barriers.	Comment noted.
497	4/29/2022 23:52	3	This design reduces bike/bus conflicts and separates bikes from cars, so I support it.	Comment noted.
498	4/29/2022 23:57	3	Strong support for separated bike lanes for bicycle safety.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
499	4/7/2022 16:30	4	There needs to be a true bike way dedicated to cyclists and other similar vehicles.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
500	4/7/2022 18:59	4	I would like to see MTA better coordinate with RPD in better pedestrian / bike signage along lakeside paths.	Comment noted.
501	4/7/2022 19:22	4	The Northbound traffic does not need to be pushed towards the curb. The existing arrangement is fine on Sunset. Forcing bicycle traffic to share road space with drivers is foolhardy. Have them use Middlefield Dr instead, as it is a much quieter and completely divorced from moving traffic.	Comment noted.
502	4/7/2022 19:52	4	I am concerned about research that suggests harrows are less safe than no markings on the road.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
503	4/7/2022 19:52	4	I prefer as many speed calming measures as possible on the shared roadway on the neighborhoodway.	Comment noted.
504	4/7/2022 19:52	4	I am concerned about research that suggests sparrows are less safe than no markings.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
505	4/7/2022 20:58	4	Why is there not a bidirectional bike lane?	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
506	4/7/2022 21:12	4	bike lanes need protection	Bike lanes on Lake Merced will be protected with doweled in concrete barriers
507	4/7/2022 21:12	4	more protection	Comment noted.
508	4/7/2022 21:26	4	There needs to be a bike lane like this around the whole circumference of the lake or it's almost useless	Comment noted.
509	4/8/2022 6:05	4	No sharrows please	Comment noted.
510	4/8/2022 6:05	4	I'm 2022 we should not be creating new sharrows. We know they aren't safe and don't meet 8-80 transit goals	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
511	4/8/2022 6:05	4	No sharrows please	Comment noted.
512	4/8/2022 17:11	4	I support the added bike lanes but not the forced right turn on Clearfield.	Comment noted.
513	4/8/2022 17:11	4	Clearfield should get a traffic light and a crosswalk. It is very far to walk to cross the street to the lake for residents of the neighborhood. Also, a forced right at Clearfield will cause a huge increase in traffic on Gellert and make the additional bike lanes more dangerous.	Comment noted.
514	4/8/2022 17:12	4	There's not a problem so don't tear up the streets just to provide unnecessary jobs.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
515	4/8/2022 22:08	4	Why is the protected bike lane only one way?	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
516	4/9/2022 9:09	4	You narrow those lanes there will be more collisions and guess where they will end up? On that bike lane and sidewalk. Please just DO NOTHING	Comment noted.
517	4/9/2022 22:16	4	Have bikes share one lane	Comment noted.
518	4/10/2022 7:27	4	Walkway path also critical in this area	Comment noted.
519	4/10/2022 8:12	4	Yes! Great idea! Hardly any cars drive along the residential parking bit.	Comment noted.
520	4/10/2022 9:08	4	cars and bikes in the same lane do not mix. More deaths and accidents will result	Comment noted.
521	4/10/2022 9:44	4	No. Just no. Stop catering to just cyclists. Will you also ban e scooters/bicycles?	Comment noted.
522	4/10/2022 10:07	4	Do not do this because it will create a lot of traffic. Before you create any bike lane, please go to the site and observe to see how many bikers vs vehicles use the path.	Comment noted.
523	4/10/2022 11:01	4	no reduced parking	Comment noted.
524	4/10/2022 11:01	4	I support safety measures that allow autos to share the ride with Bikes...What I VEHEMENTLY oppose is the removal of parking spaces as that is the ONLY way I can get to the lake and enjoy it....	Comment noted.
525	4/10/2022 11:38	4	I use the left turn lane from southbound LMB onto Clearfield. Sad to see it get removed.	Comment noted.
526	4/10/2022 12:25	4	Bikes should be kept out of existing car lanes.	Comment noted.
527	4/10/2022 14:23	4	Yes, it's dangerous area for walking. So, these college educated wants put the bike lane next to the people walking with their kids and dogs so the bike can kill more people.	Comment noted.
528	4/10/2022 17:02	4	Streets are slowly becoming unusable by autos... wouldn't we all love to have private streets but that's not how arteries work. Blocking them impedes peoples travel choices and results in road rage.	Comment noted.
529	4/10/2022 17:44	4	Again, best to separate bike lanes from traffic lanes.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
530	4/10/2022 17:49	4	want western north-heading bike lane	Comment noted.
531	4/10/2022 17:49	4	Like my previous comments: want a north-heading bike lane on the eastern side of LMB. It's a nicer route. My experience in other parts of the city that have separate bike lanes protected from traffic: they aren't cleaned, so trash and debris build up and I end up riding on the sidewalk anyway. But commuter bike riders will probably find the proposed design very useful. It's better than the existing setup.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
532	4/10/2022 19:20	4	It would be good to consider how we can also better handle northbound bikes continuing around the lake. To that end, the intersection at Sunset is not fixed.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
533	4/10/2022 20:45	4	again how many parking spaces are you eliminating and where do you suppose folks will be parking ? I do like the designated bike lane	Comment noted. 324 parking spaces throughout the corridor. However, 167 of those spaces are between Sunset and Skyline where people historically do not park on a daily basis.. On-street parking is still available on John Muir Drive and at the various parking lots around Lake Merced..
534	4/11/2022 2:33	4	No safe travel for northbound cyclists? Why?	There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
535	4/11/2022 2:41	4	We do not need more bike lanes	Comment noted.
536	4/11/2022 4:49	4	mixing cars and bicycles in the 2 lanes is a recipe for injuries, what are you thinking?	Bicyclist will have their own separate on street bike lane protected by dowed in concrete barriers adjacent to the automobile travel lanes. Please refer to our story map linked below for more information regarding our proposed designs for each section along Lake Merced Blvd  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
537	4/11/2022 10:38	4	Does it make sense to have a bike lane on one side (the lake-side) of this section and one bike lane on the opposite side of the adjacent section? Again, this serves as more evidence that the traffic configuration on Lake Merced Blvd in the previous section is made for the sole reason of removing parking for people housed in vehicles. Residential vehicles must be allowed to remain on Lake Merced Blvd.	Comment noted.
538	4/11/2022 10:57	4	Yes please. Thank you	Comment noted.
539	4/11/2022 11:38	4	Looks like there will be narrowing of driving lanes. I do not think narrowing of lanes is safe. This will increase accidents.	Comment noted.
540	4/11/2022 11:41	4	Sharrows aren't enough for cyclists and do nothing for protection. Eliminating 1 side of parking and adding a bike lane would be better.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
541	4/11/2022 12:40	4	Bike paths YES--but only if clear signage eliminates bikes from lakeside footpath. Bicycles on the shared path represent a significant hazard to pedestrians.	Comment noted.
542	4/11/2022 13:02	4	As we all know, most bicyclists do not obey the rules of the road. This option will work only if bicyclists are monitored and penalties given as a result of moving violation citations to them when they break the law. This includes not allowing bicyclists on the pedestrian walkway/sidewalks through law enforcement issuing citations to those bicyclists who break the	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
543	4/11/2022 13:02	4	Everyone is aware that most bicyclists do not obey the rules of the road. This option will work only if bicyclists are monitored and penalties given as a result of moving violation citations to them when they break the law. This includes not allowing bicyclists on the pedestrian walkway/sidewalks through law enforcement issuing citations to those bicyclists who break the law; otherwise, what is the purpose of the bicyclists getting a dedicated lane?.	Comment noted.
544	4/11/2022 13:02	4	It would be nice if cyclists could have the option to ride Gellert Dr and have a cut-through to cross over L. Merced Bl to make the left in order to continue on L. Merced Bl west of Sunset at the junction with Sunset. Otherwise cyclists may just opt to risk the right hand turn off Clearfield Dr on to L. Merced Bl to catch the left turn to continue on L. Merced Bl.	Comment noted. Gellert Dr will be designated as a neighborway as part of this project where northbound bike traffic will be routed onto Gellert using Middlefield Dr where the protected northbound on street bike lane on Lake Merced terminates. Cyclist that would like to continue onto Lake Merced, west of Sunset Blvd, will use Gellert Dr, turn right onto Clearfield, then left onto the bike lanes on Ocean and left onto the Sunset Blvd southbound sidewalk path or Lakeshore Dr to connect to Lake Merced west of Sunset Blvd. Current project funds do not allow us to signalized the complicated Sunset Blvd intersection at this time.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
545	4/11/2022 13:52	4	Bike lanes, on both sides, throughout the project	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
546	4/11/2022 13:52	4	keep the existing on the lake merced blvd	Comment noted.
547	4/11/2022 13:52	4	do we really need additional bike lane on lake merced blvd? narrowing the lanes on the lake merced blvd might not be good for the 29 buses for the curvy part of this stretch.	Comment noted. Lanes along this section will be wide enough to accomdate bus traffic. The project team has been in close coordination with our transit division in developing the proper lane widths for bus travel.
548	4/11/2022 14:11	4	No changes warranted other than safety signs for bikers.	Comment noted.
549	4/11/2022 14:37	4	I support better marked intersections and crossings, as on Sloat Blvd. today. There is absolutely NO need to choke this vital corridor by reducing lanes and speeds for cars. Bicycling is fine on the shared path by the lake. d path by the lake.	Comment noted.
550	4/11/2022 21:16	4	please stop making this City nonsense. We are paying all these taxes to have normal roads and the ability to move around the City. Half of the streets are closed, park partially closed, You are pushing people away	Comment noted.
551	4/12/2022 6:33	4	Removing a lane of traffic is a bad idea, and it will lead to heavy traffic and congestion	Comment noted.
552	4/12/2022 7:58	4	Bike lanes only go one direction. Protected bike lanes need to go both directions.	Comment noted.
553	4/12/2022 9:13	4	class 1 along the lake	The existing lake side path is designated as a shared use path for both bicyclist and peds
554	4/12/2022 9:13	4	There's room here for a class 1 by moving all lanes over	Comment noted.
555	4/12/2022 10:37	4	Please maintain acceleration lane at Clearfield	Acceleration lane at Clearfield is being removed as we are prohibiting left turns onto Lake Merced from Clearfield
556	4/12/2022 10:37	4	I am concerned that making the left turn from Clearfield to Lake Merced would be significantly more dangerous without the acceleration lane to get up to speed. Traffic on Lake Merced is very fast and it can be difficult to speed up and merge in without a dedicated acceleration lane.	We are proposing to prohibit left turns in and out of Clearfield Dr as part of this project.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
557	4/12/2022 14:13	4	Bikes and cars should not be sharing lanes. I believe cars generally travel at 30 to 35 at that stretch of the road. The risk to the cyclist is too high. Can you people locate a side street for dedicated bike lanes?	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
558	4/12/2022 20:42	4	You keep the same amount of lanes and the same amount of parking, and added a safer bike lane/walking path. Well done.	Comment noted.
559	4/12/2022 21:58	4	(same) harms driving from the Sunset to 280 (especially during the 19th Avenue project)	Comment noted.
560	4/13/2022 21:33	4	I'd like to further suggest to add traffic light at Lake Merced & Clearfield. I've seen too many accidents in that intersection. In addition, remove the right only turn lane on Lake Merced into Clearfield Dr	Comment noted. We are proposing to prohibit left turns in and out of Clearfield Dr as part of this project.
561	4/14/2022 11:47	4	I support this as long as parking on both sides of Gellert Drive remain. Teachers and staff from Lakeshore use this parking along with SF state students.	Comment noted. This project does not propose to remove parking on Gellert Dr.
562	4/14/2022 12:00	4	at least a traffic lane is not eliminated	Comment noted.
563	4/15/2022 16:41	4	Happy that bikes will have their own section! I walk this trail 3-4 x a week and have done so for at least ten years.	Comment noted.
564	4/16/2022 21:28	4	Need to better understand this version	Please refer to the story map link below. Here you can find the existing and proposed designs for each section of Lake Merced  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
565	4/17/2022 2:30	4	Bicycles can use adjacent Gellert Drive that is separated from Lake Merced Blvd. It has less traffic and is separated by a curb and sidewalk..	The northbound bike lane will terminate at Middlefield Dr at which cyclist will use Gellert Drive to continue north.
566	4/17/2022 13:37	4	Force right turn from Clearfield onto Lake Merced super counter productive. Please never do this. Instead either install car weight/pedestrian button press triggered traffic signal at this intersection (best idea) or only a pedestrian signal to cross. Thank you.	Comment noted.
567	4/18/2022 21:40	4	I think it's good to maintain better spacing between vehicles in both directions. This proposal seems to push the cars closer together and thus is likely less safe for drivers.	Comment noted. Lane narrowing is an effective way to slow vehicles down in areas like Lake Merced Blvd when drivers often travel greater than the speed limit. All travel lanes will be at least 11' along the project area. The width of a vehicle typically ranges between six to seven feet.
568	4/19/2022 17:09	4	lack of a dedicated bike lane in one direction.	Comment noted. In some parts of the corridor the roadway widths are not wide enough to be able to accommodate existing traffic volumes, a dedicated bike lane in both directions, and transit service. Luckily there is the multi-use path and parallel streets that have great potential to serve as a continuation for bicycle travel at these sections.
569	4/19/2022 17:24	4	Concern that the narrower lanes may slow down traffic and cause more collisions? The wide lanes make driving feel safer around the tight turns.	Comment noted.
570	4/19/2022 17:24	4	This does not seem necessary to make the lanes narrower. Can the green strip of grass be used to maintain the same wider lanes? The wider lanes are safer for making the very sharp turns around the lake edges.	Comment noted.
571	4/19/2022 18:03	4	i really like how Gellert Drive is the focus for bikes and peds here. Vehicles speed through this section of Lake Merced. It is 100% safer for bicyclists to be completely separate from vehicles traveling along Lake Merced Boulevard.	Comment noted.
572	4/19/2022 20:57	4	on the right side bikes need their own lane	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
573	4/19/2022 20:57	4	WHy are bike sbeing put into the situation of sharing lanes with cars - this is a very poor safety option. Does anyone drawing up these final plans actually ride a bike? EVery bike rider knows that sharing the same lane as cars greatly increases the risk of a car hitting the bike rider.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
574	4/20/2022 10:30	4	Reduce the speed limit please	Comment noted.
575	4/20/2022 15:33	4	It would be nice if cyclists could have the option to ride Gellert Dr and have a cut-through to cross over L. Merced Bl to make the left in order to continue on L. Merced Bl west of Sunset at the junction with Sunset. Otherwise cyclists may just opt to risk the right hand turn off Clearfield Dr on to L. Merced Bl to catch the left turn to continue on L. Merced Bl.	Comment noted.
576	4/20/2022 20:00	4	Again, fully protected or designated lanes are better than saved lanes	Comment noted.
577	4/21/2022 12:30	4	sharrows not safe enough. make road narrower and add speed bumps.	Comment noted.
578	4/21/2022 13:09	4	There should be ways for cyclists to leave their dedicated space when travelling southbound/clockwise in order to pass, or avoid debris. Curbs trap them.	Comment noted.
579	4/21/2022 14:27	4	sharing with drivers is not really safe, especially with parking on both sides.	Comment noted.
580	4/22/2022 9:07	4	The protected bicycle lanes need to accommodate two way bicycle traffic.	Comment noted.
581	4/22/2022 9:07	4	The protected bicycle lanes need to accommodate two way bicycle traffic. We do not build bridges that only take automobiles in one direction. Please consider creating a wider two way cycle track or another protected bicycle lane to accommodate two way bicycle traffic.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
582	4/22/2022 16:46	4	I like the dedicated bike lane here, I'm a little concerned about the drainage grates and general trash that tends to accumulate on the lake-side of Lake Merced Blvd. I don't like that the bicycle lane ends with a suggested turn onto the multi-use path. The discontinuous bicycle lane seems jarring, but I guess it is still better than what is there now.	Comment noted. Our project team will be coordinating with DPW street cleaning to help maintain the bike lanes. The areas that bikes are rerouted onto the multi-use path are at areas where we cannot we cannot safely fit in a protected bike lane.
583	4/22/2022 16:46	4	Forgot to comment on the previous page but I REALLY like the idea of getting bikers off of the main road as that will help drivers and cyclists.	Comment noted.
584	4/22/2022 16:50	4	Remove parking on the right for a bike lane.	Comment noted.
585	4/22/2022 16:50	4	dedicated bike lane both directions	Comment noted.
586	4/22/2022 16:59	4	Removing traffic lanes for the 3% of people who ride bicycles is ridiculous, and will increase travel times for the rest of us.	Comment noted.
587	4/22/2022 17:05	4	Maybe a better barrier between the bike lane and vehicles	Comment noted.
588	4/22/2022 17:05	4	Would be nervous about people standing on those islands between the bike lane and traffic.	Comment noted.
589	4/22/2022 17:14	4	Removing lanes will increase car travel times	Comment noted.
590	4/22/2022 17:55	4	Sharrows don't work. Don't bother.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
591	4/22/2022 17:55	4	Like the protected bike lane. Should be a physical barrier like on San Jose Ave, otherwise it will be full of cars	Comment noted. The physical barrier will be similar to what was done on the Embarcadero but without railings.
592	4/22/2022 18:08	4	Could you use the northbound extra street to create a northbound bike lane?	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
593	4/22/2022 18:22	4	The shared bike lane on the far right is dangerous to cyclists	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
594	4/22/2022 18:39	4	Protected bike lanes preferred	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
595	4/22/2022 20:35	4	Yes but should have bike lanes going both ways	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
596	4/22/2022 20:59	4	Great improvement but hope one day protected bikeways will be establish in both directions.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
597	4/22/2022 21:32	4	Protected bike lanes are so important! I commute via bike but avoid this route because it's very dangerous!	Comment noted.



Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
598	4/22/2022 22:47	4	Where are the bike lanes??? Why are we still doing sharrows in this day and age?	<p>Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p>There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
599	4/22/2022 23:03	4	Sharrows are a death trap. Motorists will rub bikes off the road without hesitation	<p>Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p>There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
600	4/22/2022 23:10	4	sharrows are not the answer	<p>Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p>There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
601	4/22/2022 23:10	4	make the vehicle road smaller and add a cycle path	Comment noted.
602	4/23/2022 0:54	4	Not ambitious enough. Still too close to the status quo and too car-centric.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
603	4/23/2022 0:54	4	Sharrows are just paint. It's insulting and dangerous to pretend otherwise.	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
604	4/23/2022 7:38	4	Fully protected and separate bike lanes are safest	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
605	4/23/2022 8:26	4	Wouldn't it make more sense to use the reduced lane size to create a dedicated bike lane on both sides? I would never feel comfortable riding my bike in the two middle lanes. Instead I would ride on the other side with the dedicated bike lane going the wrong direction or on the sidewalk.	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
606	4/23/2022 9:57	4	Very important that at Clearfield Dr there is no left turn from SB Lake Merced Blvd onto Clearfield (i.e., no crossing traffic of NB Lake Merced Blvd) AND forced right turn from SB Clearlake Dr onto Lake Merced Blvd (i.e., no left turn allowed onto SB Lake Merced Blvd into the current merge lane).	<p>Comment noted. Left turns in &amp; out of Clearfield Dr will be prohibited as part of this project.</p>

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
607	4/23/2022 9:57	4	Why only protected bike lane in one direction? There's plenty of width with that striped median to do a physically protected bike lane in that direction too.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
608	4/23/2022 11:53	4	I support the south-bound designated bike lane, but would want to see a north-bound designated bike lane.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
609	4/23/2022 11:53	4	I'm quite confused about how a north bound designated bike lane in section 3 somehow turns into a south bound designated bike lane in section 4.	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
610	4/23/2022 12:44	4	get rid of more car lanes	Comment noted.
611	4/23/2022 13:10	4	Bikelane should be double-wide. Sharrows should be an actual bikelane	Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. & Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr & Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.  Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
612	4/23/2022 14:04	4	don't eliminate parking	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
613	4/23/2022 15:34	4	A significant amount of vehicle traffic goes between western SF and Daly City and the Peninsula. I live in the Richmond District, and often need to go south, and I know many others who do as well. Restricting traffic, while also making it harder to drive on the Great Highway, and not making improvements on 19th (where options are limited), people who need to commute in either direction, along with the business they shop at, support, or work at will waste more time and fuel. Many people cannot use walking or bikes to make this long transit; this makes it more painful for them, while not creating any significant improvements. This comes across as another example of trying to ineffectively force people out of cars while not doing anything significant to produce viable alternatives. Pain will not fix SF's transit.	Comment noted.
614	4/23/2022 21:39	4	This part is definitely a step in the right direction. It also seems weird though. Is there enough room on Lake Merced Blvd NB to add the protected bike lane? It could use the space currently taken by the cross hatch area.	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
615	4/25/2022 9:23	4	Please add protected bike lanes instead of sharrows	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
616	4/25/2022 12:37	4	Where do southbound cyclists go once they hit Middlefield. On the last survey page there was no southbound bike lane along the lake once you hit Middlefield. Please put both the bike lanes on the lake side for the full length of the project.	Comment noted. Southbound cyclists will be rerouted onto the lake side multi-use path at Middlefield Dr via a bike ramp.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
617	4/25/2022 15:15	4	All bike lanes need PHYSICAL barriers	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
618	4/25/2022 15:51	4	This area gets very crazy during drop off and pick up times for lakeshore elementary and Lowell high school. This will cause more stress upon parents who are already under stress raising children. Stress causes anger and noticed more anger in the last 10 years having moved here 16 years ago.	Comment noted.
619	4/25/2022 23:20	4	seems busier at this section	Comment noted.
620	4/26/2022 1:25	4	I walk and ride my bike around lake Merced on the pavement and there is plenty of room. It isn't that crowded and heavily used. To decrease the lane width makes it less safe. It is already narrow as it is with all the RVs parked there.	Comment noted.
621	4/26/2022 14:33	4	Bring in transit lanes and protected bike lanes in both directions	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
622	4/26/2022 14:40	4	The area already has a bike lane on the side street near the houses. No extra bike lanes are needed.	Comment noted.
623	4/26/2022 16:44	4	opposed to loss of parking	Comment noted.
624	4/26/2022 16:44	4	I feel a shared lane for cars and bikes are dangerous	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
625	4/26/2022 17:10	4	What are bike sharrows? What are bike boxes? How is teh public supposed to vote on something they can't uinderstand in plain language?	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
626	4/26/2022 17:47	4	Worry about the additional bike traffic on Gellert. Cars use this as a workaround to Lake Merced Blvd.	Comment noted.
627	4/26/2022 19:43	4	signage and speed	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
628	4/26/2022 19:43	4	Again, the speed to way too fast, it is scary to walk down Lake Merced. The cars create so much noise, you could not hear if there was a danger, the signage is poor.	Comment noted. Narrower vehicle travel lanes will be implemented to help reduce speeding.
629	4/26/2022 21:43	4	sharrows are not safe.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
630	4/26/2022 21:43	4	Sharrows are not safe unless vehicle speeds are very low.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
631	4/26/2022 21:51	4	There should be protected bike lanes in both directions.	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
632	4/27/2022 15:34	4	Not clear how bicyclists heading counter-clockwise around the lake are supposed to transition back to Lake Merced Blvd west of Sunset.	Comment noted. Bicyclist heading counter-clockwise around the lake will still be using the existing multi-use path to connect to Lake Merced Blvd west of Sunset.
633	4/27/2022 15:50	4	not enough bike protection	Comment noted.
634	4/27/2022 16:33	4	Safety	Comment noted.
635	4/27/2022 19:02	4	I don't support bikes and cars sharing the road	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
636	4/28/2022 10:55	4	I feel that having automobiles and bicycles competing for a lane of traffic will add congestion and potential conflicts and accidents to the area	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
637	4/28/2022 23:51	4	Reconfigured lanes appear too narrow Speed bumps do not appear needed. When cars are parked on both sides of Gellert the street is narrow and already slows traffic.	Comment noted.
638	4/29/2022 12:05	4	Adding this protected bike lane will definitely help get some fast bikers off the path, which will make it more comfortable to walk. It will also provide some insulation between the path and very fast drivers on the street.	Comment noted.
639	4/29/2022 13:32	4	I like the idea of biking on Gellert, but I question whether that benefit is worth the pain of crossing Sunset. If I have to detour all the way up to Ocean to do so, I'll cross and use the mixed-used path instead (even if it isn't mixed used any more).	Comment noted. Current project funds do not allow us to redesign and signalized the intersection of Sunset Blvd at this time. Because of this, we were not able to establish a safe and protected bike lane on Lake Merced Blvd north of Middlefield Dr and chose to route bikes onto Gellert which has slower speeds and lower vehicle volume compared to Lake Merced Blvd.
640	4/29/2022 13:53	4	I'd prefer to see the protected bike infrastructure continue down Lake Merced Boulevard for the entirety of the lake - forcing cyclists to zig zag back and forth will cause confusion and may drive some to continue riding on the dangerous roadway out of convenience. Additionally, sharrows don't do a thing to protect cyclists from aggressive drivers. Protected infrastructure is far superior and should be prioritized despite the inconvenience to cars as they will have parallel routes of transit while cyclists are stuck on one bike route.	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
641	4/29/2022 14:29	4	as long as we are not limiting the ability for cars to travel	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
642	4/29/2022 21:25	4	I would bike here with family if barriers to protect cyclists.	<p>Comment noted. There will be a barrier protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a barrier protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p>Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
643	4/29/2022 23:52	4	This design reduces bike/bus conflicts and separates bikes from cars, so I support it.	Comment noted.
644	4/29/2022 23:57	4	Strong support for divided bike lanes for bicycle safety.	Comment noted.
645	4/7/2022 16:30	5	There needs to be a true bike way dedicated to cyclists and other similar vehicles.	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
646	4/7/2022 17:20	5	A separate safe lane for cyclists is important!	Comment noted.
647	4/7/2022 18:29	5	I strongly support removing parking in favor of a concrete, protected bikeway.	Comment noted.
648	4/7/2022 18:30	5	Bike connections and bike trails/safety are high priorities	Comment noted.
649	4/7/2022 19:22	5	Too many parking spaces are sacrificed for unnecessary bike lanes. The roadway to the right will already get bike lanes - adding barriers and removing parking adds unnecessary cost and does not provide a net benefit.	Comment noted.
650	4/7/2022 19:52	5	I support as many traffic calming measures as possible in the neighborhood ways.	Comment noted.
651	4/7/2022 20:58	5	Why is there not a bidirectional bike lane?	<p>Comment noted. There will be a protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
652	4/7/2022 21:12	5	protect bike lanes	Comment noted.
653	4/7/2022 21:26	5	This looks great, we need something like this around the whole lake	Comment noted.
654	4/8/2022 6:05	5	If there isn't room for separated infrastructure, put in speed bumps or divert through traffic (I.e Slow Streets), or otherwise create calming with something other than paint. Paint isn't protection	Comment noted.
655	4/8/2022 18:25	5	This would be devastating to people like me who live in the area.	Comment noted.



Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	FMFTA Response
656	4/8/2022 21:10	5	This is a stupid design - stop being dense jackasses and leave the roads alone, they are fine and the route is a source for significant commuter flow. Also, the road is designated in the city's priority route map as an EMERGENCY ROUTE. In case you haven't been paying attention, we live in a seismically sensitive area. If you plan to change this road then you need to propose to the city attorney and the DPW an acceptable alternative emergency route, and follow the emergency designation processes that have been established previously. Stop wasting tax money screwing up the roads. I vote.	Comment noted.
657	4/9/2022 22:16	5	Too much space allocated to bikes. They should share one bike way as they do in Vancouver, BC	Comment noted.
658	4/10/2022 7:27	5	Walkway critical in this area	Comment noted.
659	4/10/2022 8:12	5	Good plan	Comment noted.
660	4/10/2022 10:01	5	Fix the potholes on Market Street first.	Comment noted.
661	4/10/2022 10:07	5	Do not do this because it will create a lot of traffic. Before you create any bike lane, please go to the site and observe to see how many bikers vs vehicles use the path.	Comment noted.
662	4/10/2022 11:01	5	no reduced parking	Comment noted.
663	4/10/2022 11:01	5	I support safety measures that allow autos to share the ride with Bikes...What I VEHEMENTLY oppose is the removal of parking spaces as that is the ONLY way I can get to the lake and enjoy it....	Comment noted.
664	4/10/2022 12:25	5	Bikes are a low-utilization of road space.	Comment noted.
665	4/10/2022 14:23	5	Yes, it's dangerous area for walking. So, these college educated wants put the bike lane next to the people walking with their kids and dogs so the bike can kill more people.	Comment noted.
666	4/10/2022 16:17	5	this stretch is waide enough to support a bike lane	Comment noted.
667	4/10/2022 17:43	5	More parking gone	Comment noted.
668	4/10/2022 17:43	5	Some ideas are goo, but shrinking lanes is going to cause more chaos!	Comment noted.
669	4/10/2022 17:44	5	Separate bike lanes are good. Combined ones are not a good idea.	Comment noted.
670	4/10/2022 17:49	5	This seems to make much better use of the existing very wide street between Sunset Blvd & Skyline Blvd. But, again, as a pleasure biker I'd prefer to ride on the nicer path on the side of Lake Merced than the separate bike roadway. Also, problems with separate bike roadways: they don't get cleaned enough and end up littered with debris and blowing trash.	Comment noted.
671	4/10/2022 19:20	5	Continuing my comment from Section 4, we need to fix the intersection around Sunset so a cyclist can even get to the bike lane that appears west of Sunset on the north side of the road. Otherwise, how are you supposed to get there?	Comment noted. Gellert Dr will be designated as a neighborway where northbound bike traffic will be routed onto Gellert using Middlefield Dr where the protected northbound on street bike lane on Lake Merced terminates. Cyclist that would like to continue onto Lake Merced, west of Sunset Blvd, will use Gellert Dr, turn right onto Clearfield, then left onto the bike lanes on Ocean and left onto the Sunset Blvd southbound sidewalk path or Lakeshore Dr to connect to Lake Merced west of Sunset Blvd. Current project funds do not allow us to signalized the complicated Sunset Blvd intersection at this time.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
672	4/10/2022 20:45	5	why are you eliminated ALL parking in this section isn't 1 bike lane enough along with the bikes in traffic on the house side of this diagram ?	324 parking spaces will be repurposed throughout the corridor. However, 167 of those spaces are between Sunset and Skyline where people historically do not park on a daily basis.. On-street parking is still available on John Muir Drive and at the various parking lots around Lake Merced..
673	4/11/2022 2:33	5	I'm not sure why the sharrows are needed at all, unless they connect to the other unfortunate sharrows planned in adjacent sections.	Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.
674	4/11/2022 2:41	5	Eliminating parking spots reduces access to the area for those who can't bike/walk.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
675	4/11/2022 4:49	5	What is planned for parking for all these vehicles?	On-street parking is still available on John Muir Drive and at the various parking lots around Lake Merced.
676	4/11/2022 7:23	5	Still too much space dedicated to cars	Comment noted.
677	4/11/2022 10:38	5	No justification to remove parking in order to add bike lanes when the bikes are accommodated via the sharrows.	Comment noted.
678	4/11/2022 10:57	5	No need for parking here. Thank you.	Comment noted.
679	4/11/2022 11:14	5	How is the connection from Lakeshore Dr near the Sunset intersection to Lake Merced Dr (West) to be made by bikes? Shouldn't there be a ramp in the grassy median there?	Comment noted. Gellert Dr will be designated as a neighborway where northbound bike traffic will be routed onto Gellert using Middlefield Dr where the protected northbound on street bike lane on Lake Merced terminates. Cyclist that would like to continue onto Lake Merced, west of Sunset Blvd, will use Gellert Dr, turn right onto Clearfield, then left onto the bike lanes on Ocean and left onto the Sunset Blvd southbound sidewalk path or Lakeshore Dr to connect to Lake Merced west of Sunset Blvd. Current project funds do not allow us to signalized the complicated Sunset Blvd intersection at this time.  <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
680	4/11/2022 12:09	5	Yes to bike lanes and sharrows!	Comment noted.
681	4/11/2022 12:40	5	Bike paths YES, but only if clear signage is then added indicating no bikes are allowed on the lakeside footpath. Shared usage there is a nightmare for pedestrians.	Comment noted.
682	4/11/2022 13:02	5	Preservation of free parking for those who need to drive to the Lake Merced area to enjoy this public resource is a must. This option continues to remove parking spaces with no mention of its negative consequences or where suitable and equivalent parking is to be made available within 5 minutes walking distance. As we all know, most bicyclists do not obey the rules of the road. This option will work only if bicyclists are monitored and penalties given as a result of moving violation citations to them when they break the law. This includes not allowing bicyclists on the pedestrian walkway/sidewalks through law enforcement issuing citations to those bicyclists who break the law. Otherwise, what is the purpose of providing a dedicated lane for them? For those who are not aware, this and all the options proposed that remove parking spaces with no close by replacement are designed to increase revenue to the SFMTA through increased metering of free parking and increased fines resulting from their removal of parking spaces throughout the city. Studies have clearly demonstrated that the city has a shortage of public parking spaces for decades. These proposals required further discussion of negative consequences and how the city will make it right for the citizens of the neighborhoods, the city, and visitors to enjoy our City's natural resources.	Comment noted.
683	4/11/2022 13:52	5	keep the existing on lake merced blvd	Comment noted.
684	4/11/2022 13:52	5	removing existing parking spaces might create parking difficulty in the neighboring streets. do we need that many bike lanes?	Comment noted.
685	4/11/2022 14:11	5	See all previous comments. No need to make driving more difficult. There are more drivers than walkers and bikers., Those two groups just need signs for them to be responsible and look both ways. No changes are needed.	Comment noted.
686	4/11/2022 14:37	5	I support better marked intersections and crossings, as on Sloat Blvd. today. There is absolutely NO need to choke this vital corridor by reducing lanes and speeds for cars. Bicycling is fine on the shared path by the lake.	Comment noted.
687	4/11/2022 15:35	5	One detail. This part of Lake Merced Boulevard gets a LOT of runoff in the rain. We live on this street and we can get 1/3 of the entire LM Boulevard covered in standing water after a big rain. When you put in the bike lane curbs, you're going to dam the street (it slopes south to north) from the storm drains. You're going to need to add curb cuts on the bike curbs to drain the street.	The dowled in concrete barriers seperating the bike lane from vehicle lanes will be designed to accomodate for rain runoff/drainage

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
688	4/11/2022 16:58	5	Eliminating parking again creates issues since the cars will then be taking over the neighborhood. Having bicycle lanes on the inner drive works but I don't eliminating the parking is beneficial.	Comment noted.
689	4/11/2022 21:16	5	we need normal streets!!!! Make it available for everyone	Comment noted.
690	4/12/2022 6:33	5	Stop removing travel lanes all over town	Comment noted.
691	4/12/2022 7:58	5	All new bike lanes the city installs need to be protected. The less the drivers have the more aggressive they become towards cyclists.	Comment noted.
692	4/12/2022 9:13	5	Seems like a class 1 would be cheaper-only one barrier.	Comment noted.
693	4/12/2022 20:42	5	Again, you've taken away a lot of parking.	Comment noted.
694	4/14/2022 11:47	5	if you have a bike path on Lake Merced you dont need a slow street on Lakeshore	Comment noted.
695	4/14/2022 12:00	5	concerned about cars and bikes sharing same lane. is this really safe for kids?	The sections where we're proposing to have bike and cars share the same lane will be on parallel residential streets with low vehicle volumes. We are also proposing to add traffic calming devices (e.g. speed humps/cushions) to further slow down any speeding vehicles
696	4/15/2022 16:41	5	When I lived in West Point, NY, there were some intersections that had mirrors up around strange bends in the road. I have often thought this section (if I'm understanding which area it is) could use a mirror for drivers and also some more flashing lights. I was alarmed during the Pokemon Go era to see so many kids crossing around this section without even looking up, especially knowing drivers are careless and tourists are unfamiliar with the area.	Comment noted.
697	4/17/2022 2:30	5	Bicycles can use adjacent Berkshire Drive to travel from Sunset Blvd to Skyline Blvd. Berkshire is separated from Lake Merced Blvd by a curb and has very little traffic. What is the necessity for creating a protected bike lane when bicyclists can use adjacent side street that has very little car traffic. There are hardly any bicyclists on Lake Merced Blvd Lake Merced BLVD serves as a Tsunami Evacuation Route. Is there a need to slow traffic in an event of an emergency that would require evacuation?	The westbound bike lane between Sunset Blvd and Skyline Blvd will terminate at Berkshire Dr. Cyclist would then turn right on Berkshire Dr and make a left onto Lakeshore Dr parallel to northbound Skyline Blvd where they will be routed onto the sidewalk via a bike ramp at the intersection of Skyline Blvd & Sloat Blvd to connect to the existing bike lanes on Sloat Blvd.
698	4/18/2022 21:40	5	There is one pedestrian crossing just as cars leave sunset for Lake Merced, that is really dangerous and I'm hoping you can address this. Cars speed around Sunset and there's a crosswalk that is around a bend. I'm hoping that this crosswalk can be moved so the pedestrian and cars can see each other with greater depth of field.	Comment noted. There have been no reported collisions in the last five years. Because the crossing is visible, has signage and pavement markings, it is in a position to connect existing and proposed bike paths.
699	4/18/2022 21:40	5	Also --having lived in this area and visited almost daily for more than 60 years, I noted that there is also another danger spot that needs addressing: It's where cars go from lake Merced through skyline onto sloat. There is a right hand-only turn that cars frequently ignore and when they do, it's very dangerous. Also, when I last looked, there was a 40mph sign for cars as they're entering sloat, which didn't make sense as it's a 35mph street I believe. Not sure if that sign is still there. Design section 5 probably has the least accidents as it's already an open area with good visibility.	Comment noted. Thank you for bringing this to our attention. We are examining this location and looking into incorporating potential quick-build improvements.
700	4/19/2022 16:10	5	Safer pedestrian crossings are needed on this section.	Comment noted.
701	4/19/2022 17:24	5	where will parking be made up?	On-street parking is still available on John Muir Drive and at the various parking lots around Lake Merced.
702	4/19/2022 20:57	5	any new proposal should not have bikes sharing lanes with autos	Comment noted.
703	4/19/2022 20:57	5	same thing again. Has anyone on the proposing committee actually ridden a bike in the same lane as a car? I doubt it because if they did they would never propose a new project placing bikes in the same lane as cars	Comment noted.
704	4/20/2022 10:30	5	This section with a pbl should eventually connect to the great walkway. The bike crossing across onto sloat has been extraordinarily dangerous for some time.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
705	4/21/2022 13:09	5	Bike lanes that are completely curbed in trap cyclists and keep them from being able to pass or to avoid obstacles/debris, making them unsafe. Cyclists need to be able to leave the lane when necessary, curbs trap them.	Comment noted.
706	4/21/2022 14:27	5	This looks like the best design so far. I don't mind sharrows when you also have the option for a safer, dedicated bike lane. Hopefully the protected bike lane is also protected in intersections.	Comment noted.
707	4/22/2022 9:07	5	I would like to see traffic calming installed along with the sharrows. In addition to the proposed protected bicycle lanes.	Project designs include traffic calming and traffic safety elements such as narrowing of vehicle lanes, increased signal head size, speed humps, advance limit lines, crosswalk upgrades and restrictions on thru traffic.
708	4/22/2022 16:17	5	I believe this to be the most critical area in my opinion that needs a quick and long term redesign. It's a scary intersection as pedestrian or cyclist which also makes it scary for drivers. Anything and everything we can do to each the room that's needed to cross would be greatly appreciated.	Comment noted.
709	4/22/2022 16:50	5	Remove Lakeshore left side parking for a bike lane or pedestrian path.	Comment noted.
710	4/22/2022 16:59	5	Removing two lanes of traffic? Removing car lanes will increase travel times for everyone. Congestion makes road conditions more dangerous.	Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove a lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
711	4/22/2022 17:14	5	Removing lanes will increase car travel times	Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove a lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
712	4/22/2022 17:55	5	Sharrows don't work, don't bother	<p>Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p>There will be a barrier protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a barrier protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a></p>
713	4/22/2022 17:55	5	Bike lanes should be physically protected.	Comment noted.
714	4/22/2022 18:22	5	Make sure the people who live in parked RVs still have a place to live	Comment noted. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
715	4/22/2022 21:13	5	Separating bicycles and pedestrians will add some clarity to traversing the area.	Comment noted.
716	4/22/2022 21:26	5	Yes. Love the protected bike lane.	Comment noted.
717	4/22/2022 21:32	5	Protected bike lanes are so important! I commute via bike but avoid this route because it's very dangerous!	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
718	4/22/2022 23:03	5	Sharrows are a death trap	<p>Comment noted. Sharrows are proposed primarily as a wayfinding strategy to identify the bike path of travel and will be installed in coordination with speed humps in some areas. The streets where sharrows being placed and bikes will be guided to (Vidal/Gellert) have low volume, low speed traffic and offer a safe shared road environment.</p> <p>There will be a barrier protected northbound bike lane between John Muir Dr - Brotherhoodway; Font Blvd - Middlefield Dr. &amp; Sunset Blvd - Skyline Blvd. In the southbound direction there will be a barrier protected bike lane between Skyline Blvd - Sunset Circle Parking Lot; Sunset Circle Parking Lot - Middlefield Dr &amp; Brotherhoodway - John Muir Dr. Please refer to the story map linked below for more detail regarding each section of Lake Merced Blvd.</p> <p><a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb e32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb e32e6b930709240</a></p>
719	4/22/2022 23:10	5	place a tree, bollard between the cars and bicyclist	Comment noted.
720	4/23/2022 0:54	5	Still too much space given to cars.	Comment noted.
721	4/23/2022 0:54	5	Sharrows are just paint and to pretend otherwise puts people in danger.	Comment noted.
722	4/23/2022 7:26	5	These protected lanes will be absolute life savers!	Comment noted.
723	4/23/2022 7:55	5	Nike and pedestrians already have so much space to walk and bike around lake Merced	Comment noted.
724	4/23/2022 8:26	5	This is the ideal arrangement throughout in my opinion. Love to see it!	Comment noted.
725	4/23/2022 9:57	5	<p>This is great. It's critical that the crosswalk from Lakeshore Dr across Lake Merced Blvd (just west of Sunset Blvd) is HIGHLY VISIBLE (raised/speed humps/whatever). I strongly advise adding stop signs there--at minimum a hawk signal, but there's no reason not to install stop signs at that crosswalk to the transit island proposed. There's not enough traffic that cars would ever stack up at the stop signs from either direction (including coming down SB Sunset and blind curving to the right where the crosswalk is), and create a traffic logjam elsewhere (esp. as SB Sunset has a dedicated right turn lane before that intersection). If possible, PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE install speed humps on Lakeshore Drive, esp. between Ocean and Country Club Dr and/or a stop sign at the intersection of Lakeshore Dr. at Country Club Drive (even our neighbors speed down here--and there are MANY users of Lakeshore Drive who are walkers (incl. w/dogs), joggers, scooters, bicyclists, elderly and children, etc.). I also would STRONGLY encourage SFMTA to study the possibility of installing a small roundabout at the intersection of Lakeshore Drive and Ocean where many cars conduct U-turns having come off SB Sunset Blvd in order to cross back over Sunset Blvd to head east (for Lowell HS, Lakeshore Plaza, residences), as no left turns are allowed off of Sunset. It is morning commute havoc there, issues with cars coming from the neighborhood dealing with the U-turners. A roundabout would likely make it all more orderly without risk of collisions. Crosswalks at that intersection would be a plus. Thanks for considering these suggestions (I've lived on Lakeshore Drive fronting Sunset Blvd for over 12 years).</p>	<p>Comment noted. Proposed designs along the portion of Lake Merced Blvd west of Sunset Blvd, where there are two wide travel lanes in each direction, include protected bike lanes for safer cycling and a raised crosswalk and transit boarding island for a safer walking environment. On Lakeshore Dr, greenback bike sharrows are proposed to safely connect cyclists to the northbound directions. Quick-build projects such as this one do not have budget for concrete improvements, such as roundabouts, but are rather intended to be built relatively quickly with lower-cost improvements such as striping changes.</p>
726	4/23/2022 11:29	5	Love the separated bike lanes.	Comment noted.
727	4/23/2022 11:53	5	I appreciate the designated bike lanes in this section for both north and south bound bikes. Why can't we do this in the other sections?	<p>Proposed designs include sections with protected bike lanes, other sections where northbound neighborways (i.e. Vidal) offer a safe shared road environment and sections where bicyclists will be directed onto the shared multi use path around the lake. With the combination of these different elements, the project offers cyclists a safe, continuous path of travel for the length of the project area.</p>
728	4/23/2022 12:44	5	have dedicated mass transit lanes	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
729	4/23/2022 14:04	5	Please DO NOT eliminate a lane of auto parking. Please DO ENFORCE existing speed limits on this section.	Parking lots around the lake, parking on John Muir Drive, and some short-term parking remaining on Lake Merced Blvd will allow users who need to drive to continue to have access to the lake.
730	4/23/2022 15:34	5	A significant amount of vehicle traffic goes between western SF and Daly City and the Peninsula. I live in the Richmond District, and often need to go south, and I know many others who do as well. Restricting traffic, while also making it harder to drive on the Great Highway, and not making improvements on 19th (where options are limited), people who need to commute in either direction, along with the business they shop at, support, or work at will waste more time and fuel. Many people cannot use walking or bikes to make this long transit; this makes it more painful for them, while not creating any significant improvements. This comes across as another example of trying to ineffectively force people out of cars while not doing anything significant to produce viable alternatives. Pain will not fix SF's transit.	Comment noted.
731	4/23/2022 21:39	5	This is a great change. The current road is too wide for only having one lane, and drivers do not benefit from the current width. It is better to use this space for the bike lane. Drivers are unaffected by this change.	Comment noted.
732	4/25/2022 9:23	5	It would be great if the lanes were narrower on the left, and the right side had 2 lanes instead of 4 and protected bike lanes	Comment noted.
733	4/25/2022 12:37	5	I like the double protected bike lanes, but how do the cyclists going "north"/west here get to that side of the road? How do they navigate the intersection at Merced and Sunset?	Cyclists may use Gellert neighborway which will route them north on Clearfield, west on Ocean, then south along the existing sidewalk path on Sunset to continue back onto Lake Merced west. Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb3e32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb3e32e6b930709240</a> .
734	4/25/2022 15:15	5	Love the narrowing of the lanes for cars, and the addition of a PROTECTED / fully separate bike lane.	Comment noted.
735	4/26/2022 1:25	5	During rush hour, this area is very congested. This will make it even worst. People who can afford to live in SF do not have time to waste and will not stop drive their cars if that is the goal here. Traffic will only get worst. And make people more angry. There is already too much anger amongst the people in the last few years vs 10 years ago.	Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove a lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
736	4/26/2022 14:40	5	this small area has plenty of parking and room for bikes with out making an extra bike lane but there is room for it	Comment noted.
737	4/26/2022 14:40	5	Please do not take out parking.	Comment noted. Our proposed designs require parking removal, in order to install traffic calming, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements.
738	4/26/2022 16:44	5	opposed to loss of parking spaces	Comment noted.
739	4/26/2022 16:44	5	I feel shared car and bike lanes are dangerous	Comment noted.
740	4/26/2022 17:10	5	I don't see the need for bike lanes when lake merced already has a paved path which is protected	Comment noted. Our city's Transit First Policy, Climate Action Plan, and Vision Zero Action Strategies all encourage the city and its agencies to make non-private-vehicle transportation options preferred, and we are committed to balancing those commitments with upholding the mobility needs of folks who depend on vehicles to navigate our city. By providing dedicated bicycle infrastructure, this project intends to reduce crowding where bicyclists and pedestrians share the multiuse
741	4/27/2022 13:43	5	I think this is the area where I am at risk, riding a bike eastward, when the traffic merges in to Lake Merced and Sloat Blvd, just west of Sunset. Need to slow that traffic down and give bicycles right-of-way.	Comment noted.
742	4/27/2022 15:34	5	Looks good!	Comment noted.
743	4/27/2022 15:50	5	not enough bike protection on the right side	Comment noted.
744	4/27/2022 16:33	5	Good for the community	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
745	4/27/2022 19:02	5	I like the designs that create separate spaces for pedestrians, cyclists, and cars. Can't tell from the section on the right whether this includes a shared space for cars/bikes - which I wouldn't support.	Comment noted. This project is proposing neighborways at Vidal, Gellert and Lakeshore. Neighborways improve residential streets by calming vehicle traffic, making them easier to navigate and friendlier for walking and biking. Street designs are tailored to each neighborhood and may include speed humps, crosswalk upgrades and restrictions on thru
746	4/28/2022 10:55	5	I've seen this area grow first hand in terms of it's use by bicycles and pedestrians over my 50+ years in this area and I believe that those groups deserve a safe means for the beneficial use of the Lake Merced corridor for recreation. However, I feel strongly that much a more visible, stronger frequent and consistent enforcement of traffic laws by Police also needs to be part of this solution and would also have a lasting impact on traffic safety. It needs to be consistently done and applied, similar to what's been done for keeping areas of Downtown SF clean with the addition of BID's (Business Improvement Districts) and their ambassadors. I feel that with anticipated growth of the Park Merced Development, Stonestown use as well as SF State College, taking away parking along Lake Merced Blvd and taking away lanes of traffic will add traffic and frustration to the area that's already pretty bad during the collegiate school year absent the pandemic we are currently recovering from. In this location taking away parking, widening a median but not using the space for a designated bicycle lane and having bicycles and automobiles competing for the same lane, will just add additional traffic congestion and potential frustration by drivers sharing one less traffic lane with bicycles	Comment noted.
747	4/29/2022 12:05	5	Adding these protected bike lanes will mean fewer conflicts between people biking and people walking on the path, which is great. Also, narrowing the street here will help with fast and dangerous speeding here. The raised crosswalk at Sunset is necessary. People drive so fast here and don't yield to people crossing. Also, people driving southbound from Sunset onto Lake Merced aren't really visible from the northern side of the crosswalk because of the turn, so anything to bring down their speeds is important. At Berkshire, can stop sign be added here and crosswalk painted? People walking and biking north on Skyline use this as a route to go north to Sloat (e.g. to get to Lucky for groceries). Can no turn on red be added for northbound Skyline drivers turning onto Lake Merced? The crosswalk time is already a little short for slower walkers, and people driving through makes it even more dangerous.	Comment noted. Berkshire at Lakeshore has Stop signs - a Stop on Lake Merced Boulevard at Berkshire is not likely to be warranted based on the frequency of pedestrian crossing at that location. The signal at Skyline/Lake Merced is owned and operated by Caltrans so any changes to signal timing would have to be done by Caltrans.
748	4/29/2022 12:29	5	You are removing two lanes of traffic. This will cause for more congestion on the road.	Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove a lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
749	4/29/2022 13:32	5	Traveling west, how did I get from Gellert back on to Lake Merced Blvd? And how am I getting through that messy intersection to the west side of Skyline? I need to see the intersections.	Cyclists that would like to continue onto Lake Merced, west of Sunset Blvd, will use Gellert Dr, turn right onto Clearfield, then left onto the bike lanes on Ocean and left onto the Sunset Blvd southbound sidewalk path or Lakeshore Dr to connect to Lake Merced west of Sunset Blvd. Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>
750	4/29/2022 13:32	5	Traveling west, how did I get from Gellert back on to Lake Merced Blvd? And how am I getting through that messy intersection to the west side of Skyline? I need to see the intersections.	Cyclists will be routed from the new Gellert neighborway to north on Clearfield then west on Ocean and back to the westside path on Sunset in order to reconnect with Lake Merced Boulevard. Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecbe32e6b930709240</a>

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
751	4/29/2022 13:53	5	I'd prefer to see the protected bike infrastructure continue down Lake Merced Boulevard for the entirety of the lake - forcing cyclists to zig zag back and forth will cause confusion and may drive some to continue riding on the dangerous roadway out of convenience. Additionally, sharrows don't do a thing to protect cyclists from aggressive drivers. Protected infrastructure is far superior and should be prioritized despite the inconvenience to cars as they will have parallel routes of transit while cyclists are stuck on one bike route.	Proposed designs include sections with protected bike lanes, other sections where northbound neighborways (i.e. Vidal) offer a safe shared road environment and sections where bicyclists will be directed onto the shared multi use path around the lake. With the combination of these different elements, the project offers cyclists a safe, continuous path of travel for the length of the project area.
752	4/29/2022 14:29	5	will NOT support removing parking	Comment noted.
753	4/29/2022 21:25	5	I would bike here with family if barriers to protect cyclists. Reduce the speed limit too please!	Proposed designs include sections with protected bike lanes, other sections where northbound neighborways (i.e. Vidal) offer a safe shared road environment and sections where bicyclists will be directed onto the shared multi use path around the lake. With the combination of these different elements, the project offers cyclists a safe, continuous path of travel for the length of the project area. Under the provision of Assembly Bill 43, San Francisco only has the authority to lower speed limits along certain streets that serve in key business activity districts. The speed limit for the rest of the streets in the City are under the State of California's jurisdiction. If the proposed improvements result in lower speeds, we may be able to conduct a speed survey which would enable speed limit lowering.
754	4/29/2022 23:52	5	This design reduces bike/bus conflicts and separates bikes from cars, so I support it.	Comment noted.
755	4/7/2022 11:39	Overall	Please get Daly City to improve their stretch as well. This is an important connection on Daly City's high-injury network.	Comment noted. SFMTA project team is coordinating with the City of Daly City for continuity of the bicycle facility.
756	4/7/2022 15:46	Overall	Can we please bring back the 57 Muni Bus Line to stop in front of Lake Merced Hills?	Please use 311 or email TellMuni@SFMTA.com to receive updates on the 57 route.
757	4/7/2022 16:30	Overall	It's better than existing but needs much more improvement	Comment noted.
758	4/7/2022 16:30	Overall	I didn't see any discussion about speed limits. Cars travel much too fast around Lake Merced and speed laws are not enforced. There should be traffic calming to slow down cars and lower speed limits. I was run over by MUNI bus at Winston and Lake Merced Blvd. I would have died had the Fire station not been so near. I spent 3 months in the hospital 1 year off work and still suffer from this even though it was in 2004. It cost the city millions in damages so these way overdue improvements are cost effective and needed to protect lives.	Project designs include traffic calming and traffic safety elements such as narrowing of vehicle lanes, increased signal head size, speed humps, advance limit lines, crosswalk upgrades and restrictions on thru traffic.
759	4/7/2022 17:20	Overall	Cyclists need a separate lane away from cars	Comment noted.
760	4/7/2022 18:29	Overall	Please implement this project as designed, including protected bikeways. Thank you!	Comment noted.
761	4/7/2022 18:30	Overall	pedestrian bridge	Comment noted.
762	4/7/2022 19:03	Overall	I live in Ocean View but rarely ride around or by the lake now because of the lack of protected bike lanes, fast moving traffic, and conflicts with pedestrians on the narrow paved path. These changes would all be definite improvements and make me more likely to bike at the lake and use it as a means to access points north. It would be even better if there were a continuous protected bike lane around the entire lake and on Brotherhood Way connecting Ocean View.	Comment noted.
763	4/7/2022 19:05	Overall	not at higuera it is always congested	Comment noted.
764	4/7/2022 19:20	Overall	Thank you for these badly needed safety upgrades! I appreciate the prioritization of safety over quick driving.	Comment noted.
765	4/7/2022 19:22	Overall	Stop forcing bicyclists and cars together. There are areas on sidewalks that can be widened to accommodate bicycle traffic using EXISTING barriers (raised sidewalks), or existing side streets that are significantly less-traveled by cars, that are already completely separated from the Sunset Blvd traffic. There are considerable losses of parking spaces in these areas that will increase traffic on surrounding streets, and negatively impact bicyclists using those streets. These impacts cannot be ignored.	Comment noted. Quick-build projects such as this one do not have budget for concrete improvements but are rather intended to be built relatively quickly with lower-cost improvements such as striping changes.



Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
766	4/7/2022 19:52	Overall	Thank you for helping make our streets safer for all modes of transportation. The crossing from Lake Merced Blvd to Brotherhood way is terrifying and I am hopeful that we will have a safer crossing to get our son to school. We support as much traffic calming and protected cycling infrastructure as possible.	Comment noted.
767	4/7/2022 20:05	Overall	Protected bike infrastructure looks great. So does the road diet. Sharrows are pointless.	Comment noted.
768	4/7/2022 21:12	Overall	i like the protected bike lanes	Comment noted.
769	4/7/2022 21:26	Overall	Too many gaps	Comment noted.
770	4/7/2022 21:26	Overall	I'm astonished that there are portions proposed with no bike lane	Proposed designs include sections with protected bike lanes, other sections where northbound neighborways (i.e. Vidal) offer a safe shared road environment and sections where bicyclists will be directed onto the shared multi use path around the lake. With the combination of these different elements, the project offers cyclists a safe, continuous path of travel for the length of the project area.
771	4/8/2022 6:05	Overall	I support the changes to calm traffic and create bike lanes but I am disappointed that we're still using sharrows in street designs. We need to be fazing out sharrows. They are dangerous	Comment noted.
772	4/8/2022 17:11	Overall	There needs to be an additional cross walk in section 4	Comment noted.
773	4/8/2022 17:11	Overall	I am also worried about added traffic congestion on Gellert Blvd. and along Lake Merced Blvd. with reduced lanes.	The goals of the proposed changes to Lake Merced Blvd are to reduce crashes and improve pedestrian and bicycle connections to the corridor, and we are committed to balancing those goals with the mobility needs of folks who depend on vehicles to navigate our city. The SFMTA will continue to monitor all types of transportation conditions, including pedestrian safety and vehicle traffic, to ensure that the projects continue to meet the needs of the community.
774	4/8/2022 17:12	Overall	No need for redesign	Comment noted.
775	4/8/2022 21:10	Overall	My support is for getting rid of Tumlin and whoever else has been involved in screwing up our roads using falsified data after issuing surveys that are ignored. I can't think of a stupider idea than impairing major emergency routes in earthquake country. Please stop it.	Comment noted.
776	4/8/2022 22:08	Overall	Thank you for prioritizing safety!	Comment noted.
777	4/9/2022 1:39	Overall	Stop reducing lanes and streets in the city. You're wasting taxpayer's money.	Comment noted.
778	4/9/2022 9:09	Overall	STOP SCREWING UP OUR STREETS!!! CARS HAVEN'T MAGICALLY DISAPPEARED, THEY'RE BEING FUNNELED INTO OUR NEIGHBORHOOD! I'm going insane with the constant loud daily traffic in front of and around my house. JUST STOP	Comment noted.
779	4/9/2022 22:16	Overall	Too much space allocation for bikes. Get rid of parking as much currently being used for RV homeless encampments.	Comment noted.
780	4/10/2022 7:27	Overall	I walk/bike/use stroller and many times I've held off plans due to lack of walkable paths	Comment noted.
781	4/10/2022 8:05	Overall	This is ridiculous. The city is pushing out people that have lived here for generations and tearing up every street and creating congestion everywhere and unsafe roads. Horrible idea	Comment noted.
782	4/10/2022 8:12	Overall	Just confused about the one section where it looked as though a bike lane was missing in one direction.	Proposed designs include sections with protected bike lanes, other sections where northbound neighborways (i.e. Vidal) offer a safe shared road environment and sections where bicyclists will be directed onto the shared multi use path around the lake. With the combination of these different elements, the project offers cyclists a safe, continuous path of travel for the length of the project area.
783	4/10/2022 9:22	Overall	Only if vehicle lanes are not reduced	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
784	4/10/2022 9:44	Overall	Better traffic signals at crosswalks, lighting, ban of motor homes/campers/larger vehicles are great; but you are pushing away those who can't walk or ride a bicycle from their homes to LM and effectively creating a commute nightmare now. As is, cars are blocking GGP due to closures and first responders have a hell of a time traveling through the area. Fix what's broken—what is the cause of most vehicular deaths in SF? Who are the people responsible for these accidents/deaths? Would these same accidents have been prevented had these safety measures been in place?	Comment noted.
785	4/10/2022 10:01	Overall	No new projects until the old infrastructure is repaired.	Comment noted.
786	4/10/2022 10:07	Overall	Do not do this because it will create a lot of traffic. Before you create any bike lane, please go to the site and observe to see how many bikers vs vehicles use the path.	Comment noted. The project has utilized bicycle, pedestrian and vehicle count data in developing proposed designs.
787	4/10/2022 11:01	Overall	no reduced parking	Comment noted.
788	4/10/2022 11:01	Overall	I support safety measures that allow autos to share the ride with Bikes...What I VEHEMENTLY oppose is the removal of parking spaces as that is the ONLY way I can get to the lake and enjoy it....	Comment noted. Parking lots around the lake, parking on John Muir Drive and some remaining short-term parking remaining on Lake Merced Blvd will allow users who need to drive to continue to have access to the lake.
789	4/10/2022 11:38	Overall	I would still like to be able to turn left from southbound LMB onto Clearfield	Comment noted.
790	4/10/2022 11:38	Overall	Thanks for improving pedestrian safety! My daughter walks to Lowell High along this route, and I do wish traffic went a little slower and crosswalks were clearly marked.	Comment noted.
791	4/10/2022 12:25	Overall	Looks like one more ruined traffic route. What is wrong with you people?	Comment noted.
792	4/10/2022 14:23	Overall	Yes, it's dangerous area for walking. So, these college educated wants put the bike lane next to the people walking with their kids and dogs so the bike can kill more people.	Comment noted.
793	4/10/2022 16:16	Overall	Traffic congestion will be much worse.	Comment noted.
794	4/10/2022 17:02	Overall	improved crosswalks, traffic calming and lighting only	Comment noted.
795	4/10/2022 17:44	Overall	As long as bike lanes are separate.	Comment noted.
796	4/10/2022 17:49	Overall	Specifics noted in different sections.	Comment noted.
797	4/10/2022 17:49	Overall	Great idea! All in all: 1. Reduce the speed limit 2. keep 4 lanes from Brotherhood Way south, but narrow the lanes; 3. Keep North & South biking on the Western side of Lake Merced Blvd: it's nicer for pleasure riding. 4. Widen the existing shared pedestrian/bike path, particularly from Winston to Brotherhood Way.	Comment noted.
798	4/10/2022 18:08	Overall	I think there should be a dedicated Bike lane in the Brotherhood to Vidal section	Comment noted.
799	4/10/2022 18:35	Overall	More bike lanes!!	Comment noted.
800	4/10/2022 19:20	Overall	This is a good step in the right direction. It needs to provide protected bike lanes the whole way. Particularly on the lake side, the multiuse path is not very wide, and it already doesn't have enough room for the walkers/joggers/runners/bikers trying to share this very limited width. The fact that the edge of the multiuse path on the lake side is an inhospitable chain link fence at the edge of the public golf course also doesn't help at all.	Comment noted. Proposed designs include sections with protected bike lanes, other sections where northbound neighborways (i.e. Vidal) offer a safe shared road environment and sections where bicyclists will be directed onto the shared multi use path around the lake. With the combination of these different elements, the project offers cyclists a safe, continuous path of travel for the length of the project area. The shared pathway around the lake is under PUC/RPD jurisdiction and they currently do not have any plans to widen the pathway and designate pedestrian or bicycle only areas.
801	4/10/2022 19:48	Overall	Just get more streetlights. Prevent turns. Do not reduce the lanes!!!	Comment noted.
802	4/10/2022 20:45	Overall	to be honest I see this as just another effort by the MAKE SF CAR FREE people. I would not have even know about all of this if it wasn't for one of my neighbors on NextDoor ! Why wasn't your survey widely distributed across all the supervisor districts/zip codes that could potentially be effected by these MAJOR changes ? With all the construction on 19th Ave [and when will this be done ??] I just envision a MAJOR traffic snarl with the construction this "quick build" project will entail. Can't you just wait till 19th Ave is finished ? Or is your goal to just make life miserable for those of us who still wish to drive a private vehicle ?	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
803	4/10/2022 23:44	Overall	I'm very excited to see safety improvements for cyclists and pedestrians come to this part of the city!	Comment noted.
804	4/11/2022 2:33	Overall	need continuous bike lanes in both directions	Comment noted.
805	4/11/2022 2:33	Overall	My 14 year old son needs to cycle this route, and it's currently VERY unsafe. If parking & asphalt is going to be repurposed (taken from parking / cars) then the least we can do is get continuous bi-directional protected bike lanes all the way around the lake! This design is a huge improvement from the current situation, but we can do better in SF!	Comment noted.
806	4/11/2022 2:41	Overall	Please stop eliminating parking spots. The city needs parking - not more slow streets or bike lanes!	Comment noted.
807	4/11/2022 4:49	Overall	where are these vehicles to park? mixing bicycles and cars is a bad idea	Comment noted.
808	4/11/2022 9:11	Overall	This is a good thoroughfare for both people and cars. Making it 2 lanes will only increase traffic congestion and road rage. I do not support reducing the street to 2 lanes	Comment noted. Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove a lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
809	4/11/2022 10:38	Overall	I'm upset that the changes to the roadway where people live in their vehicles make useful improvement for bikes and pedestrians. It is clear that these changes are made only to force out the residential vehicles. That section of roadway needs no changes. Please do not take away the parking for residential vehicles.	Comment noted.
810	4/11/2022 10:57	Overall	Thank you for taking on the task of beautifying this area while also making it much safer. This all looks great! Thank you.	Comment noted.
811	4/11/2022 11:14	Overall	I would bike this area MUCH more if these improvement were made. My driving every other day to Harding Park would not be affected.	Comment noted.
812	4/11/2022 12:09	Overall	Really appreciate this project! Lake Merced is such a beautiful and popular place to recreate, but feels rather unsafe and the data affirms this. Thanks for improving our city!	Comment noted.
813	4/11/2022 12:40	Overall	1. Have real 3rd party studies been performed to forecast impacts of the one-lane proposal on the entire commute path? This is essential to avoid creating a huge clog. 2. Has SF created a real, viable plan for the hundreds of displaced vss-- something more considered than "move your vehicle elsewhere" into neighborhoods? That must be done and communicated well in advance to that community which the city has de facto endorsed to date. 3. Has the city considered lowering the speed limit around lake to 25mph in lieu of all these rebuilds? That would be an obvious precursor step to any changes, with strict enforcement. I haven't seen that happen. 4. Has SF considered in this proposal the very real traffic safety hazard created by allowing bikes and pedestrians to share the lakeside footpath? Bicyclists daily are in conflict with pedestrians on that path now. The new bike lanes on the west side are rarely used, with cyclists preferring the path. If all the new bike lanes are added, the cyclists must be required to use them and clear signage added to prohibit cycles on the	1. SFMTA traffic engineers have modeled traffic patterns based on existing data to prepare the proposed designs. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations. 2. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through this process. 3. Lake Merced Blvd is not eligible for speed limit reduction through AB43 because it is not within a Business Improvement District so it will not qualify unless something changes under the law. The ways to lower speeds on Lake Merced Blvd are through physical intervention and enforcement. 4. Bicyclists and pedestrians are allowed to share the multiuse path. By providing dedicated bicycle infrastructure, this project intends to reduce crowding on the path.
814	4/11/2022 13:02	Overall	There is no balance in the information provided. Negative consequences, a key element for intelligent decision making, must be provided to the public in a timely manner for them to digest if you truly intended for them to make informed decisions and provide genuine feedback. In other words, the content chosen to be disclosed to the public on these efforts are one-sided; no details have been provided for anyone to understand the complete proposal and its pros and cons to the people of San Francisco, or to anyone reading the	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
815	4/11/2022 13:02	Overall	This has been a long time coming. I fully support all traffic calming measures. Could you work on how westbound cyclists connect from L. Merced Bl east of Sunset to L. Merced Bl west of Sunset? This is a treacherous transition for cyclists. Also, how do cyclists connect L. Merced > Skyline Bl to Sloat heading west? These are also very difficult to traverse as a cyclist. Are you proposing cyclists navigate this by heading north on Clearfield to Sloat? It would be nice if cyclists could have the option to ride Gellert Dr and have a cut-through to cross over L. Merced Bl to make the left in order to continue on L. Merced Bl at the junction with Sunset. Otherwise cyclists may just opt to risk the right hand turn off Clearfield Dr on to L. Merced Bl to catch the left turn to continue on L. Merced Bl.	Cyclists will be routed from the new Gellert neighborway to north on Clearfield then west on Ocean and back to the westside path on Sunset in order to reconnect with Lake Merced Boulevard. WB cyclists may turn onto Skyline to reach Sloat using the protected bike lanes on Lake Merced Blvd or Lakeshore. Skyline is Caltrans jurisdiction and they have plans for a bike lane but no project yet. Please refer to the proposed designs/virtual handout for detailed info: <a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb32e6b930709240">https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/5434a1264f0640ecb32e6b930709240</a>
816	4/11/2022 13:52	Overall	Needs Bike lanes in both directions throughout the entire project	Comment noted.
817	4/11/2022 13:52	Overall	taking away something without providing alternative will be an issue	Comment noted.
818	4/11/2022 13:52	Overall	once again, who is actually benefiting from this quick-build project, positively, negatively? * making existing lanes narrow might be affecting buses and elderly drivers * taking existing parking spaces - please provide alternate parking spaces (net new) * bike lanes - make them dedicated (safer) compared to shared (accident avoidance - cars and bikes/cyclists) * removing lanes - unintended consequence - bottle neck, additional traffic in the neighboring streets * people use this route (sunset blvd, lake merced blvd) as alternate route to 19th ave. we should wait until 19th ave project is completed to avoid multiple congestion. * provide a way to measure the intended outcome from this project and a way to reverse it if it does not meet during a specific amount of time	Comment noted. The project teams will continue to monitor all types of transportation conditions, including pedestrian safety and vehicle traffic, to ensure that the projects continue to meet the needs of the community. The benefit of quick-build projects is that they allow us to address traffic safety concerns and make adjustments immediately and with minimal cost.
819	4/11/2022 14:11	Overall	Not necessary. Unreasonable to keep targeting cars as the issue. Irresponsible people on all venues need to be aware and watch where they are going and who/what is around them. No changes are necessary	Comment noted.
820	4/11/2022 14:37	Overall	I support better marked intersections and crossings, as on Sloat Blvd. today. There is absolutely NO need to choke this vital corridor by reducing lanes and speeds for cars. Bicycling is fine on the shared path by the lake.	Comment noted.
821	4/11/2022 14:37	Overall	As I wrote before for first section, near-total waste of money that will lead to polluting traffic jams, making the lake even less appealing for bikes or walkers. Had Lake Merced originally been developed without major roads completely ringing it, the lake could have been absolutely gorgeous and calm, a gem among the cities natural areas. But it wasn't, and it is many, many decades too late to change that, at least for the eastern, western, and northern sides which have the only main roads connecting major neighborhoods. (The southern side of the lake could perhaps considered for road closure). Trying to pretend those major, vital arteries are tranquil boulevards will serve nobody, like the idiotic attempts to turn eastern Cesar Chavez into a biking corrdor without parking when the suitable streets I actually use as a bicyclist run one block parallel. I've nearly been killed on my bike by some of the weird lane engineering done in SF ostensibly for safety, like green painted bike lanes leading me directly into traffic along San Jose Avenue and where Polk or Larkin crosses Market and you can be led to face cars head on.	Comment noted
822	4/11/2022 14:55	Overall	Please see my written comments above. Thank you	Comment noted
823	4/11/2022 15:35	Overall	See curb cut comments in 5. Strongly agree with eliminating all street parking around the lake to improve safety and bike access.	Comment noted.
824	4/11/2022 21:16	Overall	Absolutely disagree with everything this City doing on the streets.	Comment noted
825	4/11/2022 22:14	Overall	Please ensure separated bike lanes are continuous throughout the entire project. This is an essential piece for the safety of of both bikers and pedestrians.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
826	4/12/2022 6:33	Overall	This will not improve the situation at lake Merced. It's more of the SFMTA war on cars. It will lead to heavy traffic.	Comment noted
827	4/12/2022 7:58	Overall	All new bike lanes need to be protected from cars	Comment noted.
828	4/12/2022 9:13	Overall	in concept	Comment noted.
829	4/12/2022 9:13	Overall	Although I support bike lanes and road diets, this plan is inconsistent, complicated and confusing. One class I lane along the lake would be more beneficial, and so many riders that loop the lake are recreational and will use all the peripheral roads. A great bike lane would make this a more attractive destination, and the consistency would promote	Comment noted.
830	4/12/2022 14:13	Overall	Need major revisions. 1) If the city can get rid of all the RVs on that stretch of the road and convert those parking spaces into protected bike lanes, it will be a win for all.	Comment noted.
831	4/12/2022 20:42	Overall	Where the lanes and the parking remain the same, it's a good build.	Comment noted.
832	4/12/2022 21:24	Overall	The RVs parked around this area are a nuisance. They are taking up all the space and pose a safety concern.	Comment noted.
833	4/12/2022 21:58	Overall	Dramatically increases congestion and limits driving from the Sunset to 280 - especially aggravating while the Great Highway closes and 19th Avenue endures a major reconstruction.	Comment noted.
834	4/13/2022 9:19	Overall	It would be better if there was a consistent bike lane along all of Lake Merced Blvd in both directions	Comment noted.
835	4/13/2022 9:19	Overall	Biking along Lake Merced in this proposal involves switching between the path and the bike lane or between the path and adjacent streets several times, and northbound you need to take a different route to avoid the Sunset intersection. This is complicated. Good, clear and visible wayfinding signage is going to be very important for bikes to be able to navigate this. It would be much better if the right turn slip lanes for cars could be closed and converted to regular right turns at intersections, instead of having the bike lane cross it. Cars turning right into a slip lane go really fast (because that's what a slip lane is designed to enable), and I'm concerned that biking across those is going to be dangerous.	Comment noted. Project staff will evaluate if there are improvements to the signage we can implement. Closing the slip lanes most likely would require more intensive modifications to the concrete, signals, etc. that are outside the project scope. These improvements are not supported under the quick-build toolkit and budget.
836	4/13/2022 20:48	Overall	Everything SFMTA touches turns out for the worst. Please stop your traffic experiments and join the real world -- serve the citizens that live in SF! Stop steamrolling us! Focus on making the trains and buses run on time. That is your only mandate!	Comment noted.
837	4/14/2022 11:47	Overall	You need to put this on Next Door	Comment noted.
838	4/14/2022 12:00	Overall	Eliminating traffic lanes in a major thoroughfare would increase congestion and slow down traffic. It seems like a lot of parking spaces will be eliminated for this project. It doesn't affect me personally but it will probably affect a lot of people. The proposed areas where cars and bikes share a single lane seem like a recipe for disaster. I would not be comfortable having my kids share a single lane of traffic, especially since there will be annoyed drivers upset that they people on bikes are slowing them down. This will not help the relationship between drivers and bikers and endanger younger or less skilled bikers.	Comment noted. Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove a lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
839	4/15/2022 16:41	Overall	As a frequent walker and long time resident living in Parkmerced, I am very happy that there are finally some improvements. I would also like to see MUCH better bathrooms. I have often thought it would be fantastic to have a community support group to pick up trash around the lake, also. I would like to see more community effort in making Lake Merced much more accessible and enjoyable. No idea how noise reduction could happen, but if it could be reduced, that would further make it a nice place to be for families and exercise enthusiasts like myself.	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
840	4/16/2022 19:39	Overall	Why does SF hate everyone who does not ride a bike? Does it think about families when small children and seniors that can only drive to Lake Merced? What a waste of money. Stop making slow streets. Why does the few houses have a street to themselves that NO one else could drive through but it's paid for by everyone's taxes? Make no sense. This is why families are leaving SF!!! You guys make it impossible to stay.	Comment noted.
841	4/16/2022 21:28	Overall	You are destroying access to those of us who drive, which is the majority. Do not be fooled that you are supporting what you think is wanted. There is not one driver supportive of this or other concepts	Comment noted. Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove a lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
842	4/17/2022 2:30	Overall	Please take into consideration the plight of those who use Lake Merced Blvd between Font Street and Vidal to park their mobile homes and trailers. Before eliminating their place to park find a new space for them and an opportunity to service them. Winston Avenue that serves as another place to park mobile homes is filled to capacity seven days a week. Lets also take into consideration the delayed response time of Fire Engines and Ambulances as a result of protected bike lanes, road diets and another unessential traffic calming measures. How much of a delayed response would those living around Lake Merced be willing to tolerate?	Comment noted. The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements. Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
843	4/17/2022 8:41	Overall	It's poorly designed, heavily said to favor a very small minority of people while completely disenfranchising the majority of those in the area. Removed in total over 200 parking spaces so that a very small amount of people might think about using a bike lane. Should be brought back to the drawing board and a lot of these problems could be taken care of by an increase presence of police actually giving traffic fines and tickets	Comment noted.
844	4/18/2022 15:32	Overall	these particular safety improvement approaches will create unintended consequences	Comment noted. There is an evaluation that follows within two years of every Vision Zero quick-build project. By using flexible materials we will be able to quickly make as needed adjustments.
845	4/18/2022 15:32	Overall	Reduced lanes will result in more traffic and possible further traffic incidents. Reduced parking makes accessibility more difficult for those who cannot commute by other means to use the Lake area	Comment noted. Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove a lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations. Parking lots around the lake, parking on John Muir Drive, and some remaining short-term parking remaining on Lake Merced Blvd will allow users who need to drive to continue to have access to the lake.
846	4/18/2022 21:40	Overall	I really think area 5 doesn't need bike lanes on both sides of the street. I would keep the spacing for cars and the bike lane on the lake side. The beauty of this area is that it's open. Too many changes -- especially since this is not an accidents zone, will detract from the beauty of the lake.	Comment noted.
847	4/18/2022 21:40	Overall	I think that the Lake is underutilized and bringing more pedestrians and bikes out there is a good thing. Also, since Parkmerced is slated to be replaced with 20K units and probably 20-30K cars in the next 20 years, it's good to plan for this influx of new cars and traffic. That said, I also think that the Lake is a majestic body and too many additions to the street will detract from the Lake and the beauty of the area.	Comment noted.
848	4/19/2022 1:30	Overall	Remove RV parking lane and turn that into bike lane	Comment noted.
849	4/19/2022 16:10	Overall	Why isn't John Muir drive and skyline included in this? Crossing at John Muir to skyline towards fort funston is treacherous if cars don't see you. The lanes that allow pedestrians to cross but not stop	Comment noted. Changes proposed as part of the Lake Merced Quick-Build Project would not inhibit further traffic safety improvements in other areas in the neighborhood. Lake Merced Blvd is prioritized for traffic safety improvements because it is currently on the Vision Zero High-Injury Network, and has been prioritized for street improvements by both Supervisor Yee and current D7 Supervisor Melgar.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
850	4/19/2022 16:10	Overall	As a pedestrian, safer crossings are needed throughout this corridor where there is only a cross walk but cars are encouraged to speed/merge onto the other street. Please improve these as well, as a pedestrian it's very dangerous for us to cross in these areas when there isn't a break in traffic.	Comment noted. All intersections within the project area are proposed to receive the following traffic safety improvements: Greater visibility through restriping continental crosswalks, advance limit lines, and enlarging signal head visibility. Pedestrians stepping into the right-of-way will also be more visible following the installation of the bike lane as on-street parking will need to be removed or limited.
851	4/19/2022 17:09	Overall	We need to have bike lanes on both sides.	Comment noted. Installing bike lanes on both sides would require more construction intensive changes that are not within the scope of this quick-build project. This improvement can be incorporated into a larger capital project along this corridor.
852	4/19/2022 17:09	Overall	I strongly support dedicated bike lanes in both directions, rather than shared with auto traffic	Comment noted.
853	4/19/2022 17:24	Overall	When the Great Highway in front of the Oceanside Plant is permanently closed, twice as much traffic will overflow to Lake Merced Blvd. Your planning studies need to include increased travel time for a) Great Highway traffic impacts, b) reduced lanes, c) narrower lanes, d) population growth. Parking spaces need to be similarly evaluated and made up for any that are removed. Seems like green grassy strip could be used for bike lanes instead of taking traffic lanes.	Comment noted, Great Highway impacts were taken into account in traffic analysis.
854	4/19/2022 18:03	Overall	Great work making such a valuable place in the Sunset District even better and safer for all transportation modes.	comment noted
855	4/19/2022 20:57	Overall	all the proposals where bikes are placed in the same roadway as cars and intended to share the road with car are flawed and dangerous	Comment noted.
856	4/19/2022 20:57	Overall	ANy new proposal that includes improving bike safety should never design flow so that bikes are on the same road way as cars. In all honesty there may be many drivers who share teh road but certainly there are a small minority who do not. Drawing such designs puts th ebike rider at risk for injury or death because that small numebr of car drivers who do not buy into sharing the road with the bike will eventually hit the bike rider. Please take this back tot he drawing board and all bike riding options should have a designated separate bike lane and no sharing of the lane with bike and cars - it does not work, i am sure if you road a bike under such circumstances you would agree with me	comment noted
857	4/20/2022 10:30	Overall	Lower speed limits all around lake Merced are needed. Last time I biked on skyline it was posted at 55 mph. This is unacceptable. What will it take to take on caltrans and reduce this hazard for cyclists and pedestrians	comment noted
858	4/20/2022 11:14	Overall	I'm pleasantly surprised by the plan overall. The road diet south of Brotherhood that preserves the 4 travel lanes north of Brotherhood is smart. This will be a great improvement for bikers. Winston from Lake Merced to Buckingham needs a similar treatment--protected bike lanes, limiting street parking (or at least adding meters) and an improved 4-way stop interaction with Buckingham.	comment noted
859	4/20/2022 15:33	Overall	This has been a long time coming. I fully support all traffic calming measures. Could you work on how westbound cyclists connect from L. Merced Bl east of Sunset to L. Merced Bl west of Sunset? This is a treacherous transition for cyclists. Also, how do cyclists connect L. Merced > Skyline Bl to Sloat heading west? These are also very difficult to traverse as a cyclist. Are you proposing cyclists navigate this by heading north on Clearfield to Sloat? It would be nice if cyclists could have the option to ride Gellert Dr and have a cut-through to cross over L. Merced Bl to make the left in order to continue on L. Merced Bl at the junction with Sunset. Otherwise cyclists may just opt to risk the right hand turn off Clearfield Dr on to L. Merced Bl to catch the left turn to continue on L. Merced Bl.	comment noted
860	4/20/2022 20:00	Overall	Please make the bike lanes designated only for bike travel and protected when possible	comment noted
861	4/20/2022 20:09	Overall	More safety improvements would be much appreciated!	comment noted

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
862	4/21/2022 8:19	Overall	The more bike lanes and pedestrian safer streets via slowing car traffic, the better	comment noted
863	4/21/2022 12:04	Overall	Not a continuous bike lane.	Proposed designs include sections with protected bike lanes, other sections where northbound neighborways (i.e. Vidal) offer a safe shared road environment and sections where bicyclists will be directed onto the shared multi use path around the lake. With the combination of these different elements, the project offers cyclists a safe, continuous path of travel for the length of the project area.
864	4/21/2022 12:04	Overall	There needs to be a continuous protected bike lane separated from pedestrians around the inside of the lake going clockwise. It is a missed opportunity to not connect it in this section. By leaving a gap in the cycle lane the safety hazard that currently exist will continue to exist for cyclists. Especially as now there is addition roadside park in these areas with a cycle lane - causing more occasions for motorists to cut off	comment noted
865	4/21/2022 12:13	Overall	Car lanes should not be sacrificed for bike lanes as it would increase traffic congestion without increased safety.	Comment noted.
866	4/21/2022 12:23	Overall	I worry that if the bike inferstructure does not go far enough, large cycling packs will continue to use the main roads causing even further frustration from drivers. That being said, I believe these changes are phenomenal for commuters	comment noted
867	4/21/2022 12:30	Overall	Love the concrete protection. We need to make sure the sections with sharrows are safe enough for people on bikes ages 8 to 80. I think that means getting observed speeds to be at 20mph probably by using continuous sidewalks (raised crossings) and speed bumps as well as making the travel lane even narrower perhaps by moving the parking in a little or something.	comment noted
868	4/21/2022 13:09	Overall	In general, yes, this is good. But cyclists are either being rerouted off Lake Merced Blvd and onto parallel/sidestreets or being provided only narrow cyclepaths, trapping them. More road space on Lake Merced Blvd itself should be reprioritized to all modes of transportation. Eliminate all onstreet vehicle storage (parking) and use the space for transportation.	Comment noted.
869	4/21/2022 14:27	Overall	Please protect intersections, do not use "mixing zones" and slow drivers. Merced Blvd feels like a highway and there is no good reason why it needs to stay that way. Thanks for your work on this project.	comment noted
870	4/22/2022 9:07	Overall	Two way protected bicycle lanes are needed in all five sectors	Comment noted.
871	4/22/2022 11:52	Overall	This is a wonderful start to a long term problem and to make it possible for more folks to safely walk, ride their bikes and enjoy the lake Merced open space. The more hard scape barriers between cars and walkers / bicyclist the better.	comment noted
872	4/22/2022 16:14	Overall	It's scary to walk and bike around this beautiful lake -- please make these improvements -- it shouldn't be a screaming highway!	comment noted
873	4/22/2022 16:17	Overall	Section 5 is more important than the rest in my opinion.	Comment noted.
874	4/22/2022 16:50	Overall	Could be better with parking removal. Seems like you are minimizing removal impacts for homeowners and maximizing removal for RV dwellers. Is that equitable?	The D7 office and the Coalition on Homelessness are working with and supporting the community through parking removal/RV relocation. Additionally, our implementation schedule will take a phased approach and work from the ends of the corridor inward, where there are the most people living, to ensure enough time to provide alternative housing arrangements.
875	4/22/2022 16:50	Overall	Get rid of the RVs	Comment noted.
876	4/22/2022 16:59	Overall	STOP MAKING IT MORE DIFFICULT AND DANGEROUS TO DRIVE A CAR. 0 pedestrian deaths is great but if the changes result in more car crash deaths what is the point.	comment noted
877	4/22/2022 17:05	Overall	I had some reservations about the sections between Sunset and Brotherhood Way	Comment noted.



Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
878	4/22/2022 17:05	Overall	Most of my reservations were related to how much space might be left for driving if RV's stay parked and the parking spaces were narrowed, also safety for bikers and pedestrians if there's an island but not much of a barrier between them and vehicles. I guess the expectation is that traffic speeds will slow down.	comment noted
879	4/22/2022 17:13	Overall	Again, bikes are majority of the problems! An increasing problem are the rvs parked and taking up road space	comment noted
880	4/22/2022 17:14	Overall	Removing lanes will increase car travel times. Also effecting muni	Comment noted. Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove one lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
881	4/22/2022 17:33	Overall	Not sure if it has a complete bike lane for the entire project	There are some sections where there will be protected bike lanes on Lake Merced Blvd., some sections where northbound(i.e. Vidal) that can offer a safe shared road environment., and some areas where bicyclists will be directed onto the shared multi use path around the lake. With the combination of these different elements, the project offers a safe, continuous path of travel for bikes and scooters for the length of the project area.
882	4/22/2022 17:55	Overall	Be bold. This will be inconvenient for drivers and those who expect free parking. They will howl, but you'll be doing the right thing	comment noted
883	4/22/2022 18:08	Overall	Very bold "I'm excited for these changes!	comment noted
884	4/22/2022 18:22	Overall	Cyclist safety isn't entirely ensured. Bus priority is not ensured. Seems like a lot of homeless people will be displaced. But overall slowing traffic is majorly important.	Comment noted.
885	4/22/2022 18:57	Overall	We need protected bike lanes!	comment noted
886	4/22/2022 20:35	Overall	Yes, except that we should have bike lanes going in both directions on all parts of the project?	Comment noted.
887	4/22/2022 20:35	Overall	This is a great start, and it is much better than the current configuration. I think it could be more ambitious as far as friendliness to bikes and pedestrians and disincentivizing driving.	comment noted
888	4/22/2022 20:41	Overall	Please make efforts to eliminate situations at the intersection of Brotherhood and Lake Merced where late merging is necessary. Westbound Brotherhood and Southbound Lake Merced are dangerous because of late merges.	comment noted
889	4/22/2022 20:59	Overall	Wonderful improvements. Please proceed!	comment noted
890	4/22/2022 21:32	Overall	Protected bike lanes are so important! I commute via bike but avoid this route because it's very dangerous! Thank you!	comment noted
891	4/22/2022 21:44	Overall	Can we install more street lights on Lake Merced. It can be so dark over there at night. Also protected bicycle lanes with car proof barriers, not just painted lines.	Street lighting is typically handled by Public Works or the Public Utilities Commission and is not within the quick-build scope of the project.
892	4/22/2022 23:03	Overall	Too much trust in cars. Bike lanes need more protection and Sharrows need to be eliminated	Comment noted.
893	4/22/2022 23:05	Overall	Leave this area alone, and focus on stop signs at all intersections in neighborhoods.	comment noted
894	4/22/2022 23:10	Overall	some work and some do not	Comment noted.
895	4/23/2022 0:54	Overall	There are some good improvements here, but I'd love to see more decisive and ambitious project plans that truly reflect a commitment to transit first and to our climate. Cars are not sustainable.	Comment noted.
896	4/23/2022 0:54	Overall	I hope that when the usual people make the usual complaints, you'll be able to exercise leadership and do the right thing. Lives hang in the balance.	comment noted
897	4/23/2022 7:38	Overall	Anything that you add will be better than nothing, but research shows the safest thing is a fully separate, protected	Comment noted.

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
898	4/23/2022 7:38	Overall	I'm very happy to hear that changes are happening in this area. I'm a public school teacher in SF, and I use my bike to commute (from the panhandle to the Bayview) during the week as well as take rides for fun on the weekend. I used to ride around Lake Merced frequently but I deemed it too dangerous to continue. If these changes take place I will feel safer and will return to riding around the lake, which is a	comment noted
899	4/23/2022 8:26	Overall	I'd rather see these improvements than not but consistent dedicated bike lanes for all directions of traffic would be preferred.	Comment noted.
900	4/23/2022 8:26	Overall	Thank you for making these improvements! It's one of my favorite areas to bike and run but it's always felt a bit dangerous with the cars so close and so fast.	comment noted
901	4/23/2022 9:57	Overall	It's still a car-centric vision, and should have protected bike lanes on all sections in both directions	Comment noted.
902	4/23/2022 9:57	Overall	There's a climate crisis. Emissions must be reduced as soon as possible (read latest IPCC report if you haven't). We should stop facilitating driving, and as quickly as possible provide viable alternative ways for people to get around. Dedicated bus and bike lanes please!	comment noted
903	4/23/2022 11:29	Overall	San Francisco has been a great city for biking during the pandemic with the Slow Streets initiative - clearly there's a real opportunity for the city to have a strong biking population and get some cars off the street!	comment noted
904	4/23/2022 11:53	Overall	As a cyclist who rides a cargo bike carrying two elementary school children, I strongly advocate for a designated bike lane both north-bound and south-bound throughout all sections of this project. I do not feel comfortable sharing a lane with traffic and will ride on the sidewalk rather than share a lane with cars.	Comment noted.
905	4/23/2022 12:17	Overall	It's refreshing to see our civic leaders focusing on the safety of pedestrians and drivers/riders alike. Thank you for taking it seriously and pushing low cost improvements.	comment noted
906	4/23/2022 12:44	Overall	it can and should discourage car usage further	Comment noted.
907	4/23/2022 12:44	Overall	Proposals are definitely better than the current iteration	comment noted
908	4/23/2022 13:10	Overall	There needs to be protected bike infrastructure running the full length with no gaps. Sharrows are gaps, Unprotected bike lanes are gaps. Flexposts are gaps. It needs to be concrete and steel	comment noted
909	4/23/2022 14:04	Overall	Enforce the existing speed limits and the area will be safer for EVERYONE.	comment noted
910	4/23/2022 15:34	Overall	A significant amount of vehicle traffic goes between western SF and Daly City and the Peninsula. I live in the Richmond District, and often need to go south, and I know many others who do as well. Restricting traffic, while also making it harder to drive on the Great Highway, and not making improvements on 19th (where options are limited), people who need to commute in either direction, along with the business they shop at, support, or work at will waste more time and fuel. Many people cannot use walking or bikes to make this long transit; this makes it more painful for them, while not creating any significant improvements. This comes across as another example of trying to ineffectively force people out of cars while not doing anything significant to produce viable alternatives. Pain will not fix SF's transit.	Comment noted.
911	4/23/2022 20:25	Overall	Close the great highway to cars, so that recreational cyclists can safely connect from Golden Gate Park to Lake Merced	comment noted
912	4/23/2022 21:39	Overall	I am very supportive of this change. It is a step in the right direction for Lake Merced Blvd. As a cyclist, I feel safer with a NB cycle route. As a driver, I feel more comfortable if I am not driving by a bunch of parked cars.	comment noted
913	4/25/2022 10:01	Overall	Please add more protected bike lanes	comment noted
914	4/25/2022 10:12	Overall	We need a better pedestrian crossing at 39th, sloat, and skyline. As well as Herbst Road and Skyline. The "be prepared to stop" signs on Skyline need to be fixed. You can barely see them! Especially in the fog.	comment noted

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
915	4/25/2022 11:50	Overall	I support whatever you can do to make it safer for	comment noted
916	4/25/2022 12:37	Overall	Keep the bike lanes away from traffic and intersections.	Comment noted.
917	4/25/2022 12:37	Overall	Please provide protected bike lanes for people traveling in both directions for the *entire* length of the project. If you don't make it safe, people will continue to bike on the lake-side dirt/asphalt path. I wouldn't feel safe biking with my children using the new proposal. I *do* feel safe taking them on the current lake-side path.	comment noted
918	4/25/2022 13:44	Overall	Keep it safe!	comment noted
919	4/25/2022 15:15	Overall	Presuming all bike lanes are PHYSICALLY separated and protected.	Comment noted.
920	4/25/2022 15:51	Overall	Use money for something else the city needs more attention right now. Safety, school and teacher deficit, homeless. This is secondary relative to today's city issues.	comment noted
921	4/26/2022 1:25	Overall	Just leave it as is. Money can be spent on schools and the deficit there. With teachers leaving and less kids and families in the cities. Other more important problems to use the money for right now. Or use the funds for safety of the city. I know plenty of people who don't want to ride muni because of safety and not from Covid. Or homeless situation. This Lake Merced situation should be low priority for the city.	comment noted
922	4/26/2022 14:33	Overall	I'd love to see transit only lanes to improve 29 service and concrete protected bikelanes in both directions at every step of the way	Comment noted.
923	4/26/2022 14:40	Overall	Plan is not car friendly. will cause major traffic back ups on Lake Merced blvd. This area needs to stay the same it is. Its the only major street connecting to Daly city and Skyline blvd directly from the outer sunset.	comment noted
924	4/26/2022 14:46	Overall	bike lanes need additional work	Comment noted.
925	4/26/2022 16:10	Overall	I fully support and adopt the proposed design for all five sectors of the quick build. I witnessed a young man 2 years ago lose his life to begin a morning walk as I do each morning on Lake Merced Blvd. His body was thrown from the crosswalk across 2 lanes and onto the adjoining sidewalk. The cars are often going at extremely and dangerous rates of	comment noted
926	4/26/2022 16:44	Overall	I'm opposed to the loss of traffic lanes for cars. I feel this is going to create a bottle neck and a lot of traffic congestion. I'm opposed to the loss of parking spaces.	comment noted
927	4/26/2022 17:10	Overall	As per my overall comment: you have put out information on a design that contains unclear images, is not easy to use and contains language like bike sharrows and bike boxes that we do not understand. We are not traffic people or MTA workers, we are just normal everyday people. I don't see enough improvements pertaining to pedestrians and pedestrian crossing. The main improvements seem to be for bike lanes which doesn't make that much sense since bikes can already use paved paths. This inquiry should be more inclusive and easy to understand for the public to be able to properly understand and comment.	comment noted
928	4/26/2022 19:43	Overall	Speed, too many cars, signage	Comment noted.
929	4/26/2022 19:43	Overall	Anything you do will be very good, but the main problem is that the street as it is now belongs to cars 100%. That needs to change! The feeder streets into Lake Merced like Font, Winston need signage and speed limits, cars drove down those streets with disregard for slowing down at crosswalks. The crosswalks are not pedestrian friendly, they leave you or force you to be in unsafe, confusing waiting areas. There should be less parking space near intersections for visibility. Crossing Lake Merced is dangerous! Anyone with any type of disability is at a disadvantage. Are ADA approved crosswalk lights/signals used? It is so noisy from car traffic, you cannot	comment noted
930	4/26/2022 21:43	Overall	Sharrows are dangerous.	comment noted
931	4/26/2022 21:51	Overall	All proposals should include protected bike lanes in both directions.	Comment noted.
932	4/27/2022 13:43	Overall	I am not sure this will address the overall goal of reducing auto numbers and trips. It looks good in general.	comment noted

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
933	4/27/2022 15:34	Overall	We need a continuous bikeway on Lake Merced Blvd in both directions. This plan is a good start, but it leaves unacceptable gaps, especially by SFSU, which is currently the most stressful part to ride.	comment noted
934	4/27/2022 15:50	Overall	While its an improvement, if we're going to redesign the street we should be designing for the most vulnerable and offer full bike protection on all sides.	Comment noted.
935	4/27/2022 16:33	Overall	Safety and comfort	comment noted
936	4/27/2022 19:02	Overall	Informed by the walk audit I recently did with Walk SF, in general, I support a plan where: - peds, bikes, and cars are all well-separated - there are adequate barrier(s) between bikes-cars, bikes-peds, and peds-cars. Currently it feels like a slight swerve from a distracted driver (ie most of them) would easily strike a pedestrian. - Crossing Merced at Middlefield is terrifying. In the space of our hour and a half audit, I was almost struck there 3 times: twice by speeding right-turning drivers (turning right onto Middlefield, at the 'bus stop' in front of Lowell) - Two of us were almost struck by left turning drivers, as we were crossing Merced at Middlefield. - Speeds on this street are horrendous. When we know that a person hit by a driver going 40 mph will likely not survive, why are speeds on a street with multiple schools, and a running/walking/biking path set at 40 mph? - Lanes on Merced seem to be 15 feet wide, inviting excess speed. Fewer driving lanes and fully protected bike lanes needed here. - Walking north across Merced at Winston: a person using a wheelchair can't tell if there even is access on the northbound side, the ped signal is inaudible, and there are no tactile domes on the curb ramp - RV's parked along Winston prevent a ped from seeing (speeding) cars turning right onto Merced. Daylighting badly needed if pedestrians will have a fighting chance. - In general: brighter paint, larger signage, signals, protected bike	comment noted
937	4/27/2022 20:13	Overall	Support only if it doesn't take away the walk path or running path.	The existing multi use path will not be removed and will not be affected in any way by this project.
938	4/27/2022 22:02	Overall	-The intersection of Lake Merced Blvd & Brotherhood Way is unsafe for bikers, and barely better for pedestrians. I hope this project can address that! - Additionally, the sidewalks heading east from Lake Merced Blvd along Brotherhood way are abysmal, and unsafe for bikers or those using assistive devices. I hope they can be cleared and evened out as a part of this initiative.	comment noted
939	4/28/2022 7:30	Overall	Maximum safety provisions for people when walking or biking. Lowering the car speeds, enabling transit, and separating space for	Comment noted.
940	4/28/2022 10:55	Overall	There has to be a better way of allowing the full use of the area and not reducing traffic lanes increasing congestion to the area. It's understood that bicycles need a safe space to ride in an don't think having automobiles competing against bicycles is the way to do it.	Comment noted.
941	4/28/2022 18:03	Overall	Please also lower the speed limit. Also, please do something about all the RVs parked along Lake Merced.	Comment noted. Under the provision of Assembly Bill 43, San Francisco only has the authority to lower speed limits along certain streets that serve in key business activity districts. The speed limit for the rest of the streets in the City are under the State of California's jurisdiction. If the proposed improvements result in lower speeds, we may be able to conduct a speed survey which would enable speed limit lowering.
942	4/28/2022 23:20	Overall	The area is going to be more congested and dangerous for all. The roads and sidewalks are fine as they are. I walked them daily and never felt they needed to be changed. Hardly anyone in this neighborhood bikes.	Comment noted
943	4/28/2022 23:51	Overall	Concerns: -Increased congestion with removal of lanes especially around SF State. -displaced RVs may relocate into neighboring streets off Sunset Blvd. City need to put up no Overnight parking signs to discourage RV parking. -would be better if existing pathways around lake could be widened.	comment noted

Lake Merced Quick-Build Project - Proposed Designs Survey - Comments Received - April 7, 2022 - April 29, 2022

Record	Date Received	Section	Comments	SFMTA Response
944	4/29/2022 12:10	Overall	again, simple improvements to the existing path- LIGHTS & lane striping!	comment noted
945	4/29/2022 12:29	Overall	It seems you are removing several lanes of traffic which will cause for longer and more congested commutes.	Comment noted. Project designs are not expected to impact traffic operations. Proposal is to remove one lane only in 1.5 out of five sections, not the entire corridor, and one of those sections retains two travel lanes after removing one lane. Existing traffic volumes indicate that, in sections with proposed lane removal, there will be little or no impact to traffic operations.
946	4/29/2022 13:32	Overall	I need to see the intersections. They don't seem safe to cycle through. Staying on the mixed-used path and avoiding them is more appealing.	Comment noted.
947	4/29/2022 13:53	Overall	My partial support is due to the zig-zagging nature of the proposed path + lack of completely protected cycling paths for the length of the span under consideration. Some infrastructure is better than none, so this would be a win.. but it could be better. Protected bike lanes and pedestrian paths may hinder traffic flow, but it will make the drive easier in other way (such as not needing to worry about hitting anyone using the roadway). It will also, perhaps obviously, be a boon for cycling and pedestrian travel along lake Merced.	Comment noted. Proposed designs include sections with protected bike lanes, other sections where northbound neighborways (i.e. Vidal) offer a safe shared road environment and sections where bicyclists will be directed onto the shared multi use path around the lake. With the combination of these different elements, the project offers cyclists a safe, continuous path of travel for the length of the project area.
948	4/29/2022 14:29	Overall	I will not support any project that will reduce the ability for cars to travel or to remove parking spaces - even 1 space	comment noted
949	4/29/2022 18:12	Overall	Great work on these designs!	comment noted
950	4/29/2022 18:50	Overall	Note the significant issue for the route a person riding a bicycle southbound in sections 2 and 3 of this proposal. This will not relieve the current problem of conflict of people walking and on bicycles during busy times of day.	Comment noted.
951	4/29/2022 21:25	Overall	Reduce the speed limit, install pedestrian activated crossing. Add speed indication signage and red light cameras, SLOW cars down now!	comment noted