## STRATEGIC PLAN METRICS REPORT | November 2013

ID	Metric	Target	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	FY14 Avg	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013	Jun 2013	Jul 2013	Aug 2013	Sep 2013	Oct 2013	Monthly Trend
Goa	<b>1</b> : Create a safer transportation experience for everyon	е														
Objec	tive 1.1: Improve security for transportation system users															
-	SFPD-reported Muni-related crimes/100,000 miles	3.39	3.77	7.56	10.92	7.24	9.44	10.68	9.24	11.37	11.01	11.18	10.24	11.34		~~~
1.1.2	Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while on a Muni vehicle); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.00												
1.1.2	Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while waiting at a Muni stop or station); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.90												
	SFPD-reported taxi-related crimes		3	3.9	3.3	2	1	6	3	7	2	5	2	5	1	$\sim \sim \sim$
1.1.4	Security complaints to 311 (Muni)		42	36.3	32.8	44	29	35	40	34	38	39	27	39	26	$\sim \sim \sim$
Objec	tive 1.2: Improve workplace safety and security															
1.2.1	Workplace injuries/200,000 hours	14.6	16.2	13.8	13.2	13.7	12.8	11.3	12.0	13.9	10.4	11.7	16.5	11.3		$\sim$
1.2.2	Security incidents involving SFMTA personnel (Muni only)		11	11.7	10	21	12	19	11	13	8	0	15	15		$\sim\sim$
1.2.3	Lost work days due to injury		3,764	3,912												
1.2.4	Employee rating: I feel safe and secure in my work environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.23												
Objec	tive 1.3: Improve the safety of the transportation system															
1.3.1	Muni collisions/100,000 miles	4.53	5.03	5.23	5.94	4.27	5.81	5.18	6.20	5.36	5.23	5.72	6.59	5.51		$\sim$
1.3.2	Collisions involving motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists	Awaiting 20	12 results.													
1.3.2	Collisions involving taxis	Awaiting 20	12 results.													
1.3.3	Muni falls on board/100,000 miles		4.65	4.25	4.40	4.40	3.97	2.97	4.42	3.75	4.87	4.28	4.35	4.59		$\sim\sim$
1.3.4	"Unsafe operation" Muni complaints to 311		179	157.1	188.3	158	152	156	179	164	148	176	190	212	175	$\sim$
1.3.5	Customer rating: Safety of transit riding experience; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.40												
Goa	<b>12:</b> Make transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, ridesharing & ca	arsharing	g the pr	eferred	means	of trav	/el									
	tive 2.1: Improve customer service and communications															
2.1.1	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with transit services; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.55												
2.1.2	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with taxi availability; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.52												
2.1.3	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with bicycle network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.76												
2.1.4	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with pedestrian environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.58												
2.1.5	City Survey rating: Communications to passengers; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.20												
2.1.6	Percentage of color curb requests addressed within 30 days		87%	93.3%	91.8%	96%	97%	97%	92%	99%	91%	98%	87%	90%		$\sim \sim$
2.1.6	Percentage of hazardous traffic sign reports addressed within 24 hours		99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
2.1.6	Percentage of parking meter malfunctions addressed within 48 hours		85%	81.8%	71.1%	80%	82%	87%	86%	87%	84%	86%	56%	87%		
2.1.6	Percentage of traffic and parking control requests addressed within 90 days		81%	79.1%	79.2%		82%			89%			79%			
2.1.6	Percentage of traffic signal requests addressed within 2 hours		97%	96.8%	98.1%	95%	99%	97%	93%	98%	98%	99%	98%	97%	98%	$\sim$
2.1.7	Percentage of actionable 311 Muni-related complaints addressed within 28 days		87%	90%	89%	82%	87%	94%	97%	96%	92%	90%	92%	85%		
2.1.8	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni vehicles; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.45												
2.1.9	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni facilities (stations, elevators, escalators); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.54												

 Color Legend
 Underperforms Previous
 Equal to Previous

 Outperforms Previous
 FY Average
 FY Average

Note: Reported results are subject to change as data quality improves or new data become available.



## STRATEGIC PLAN METRICS REPORT | November 2013

ID	Metric	Target	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	FY14 Avg	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013	Jun 2013	Jul 2013	Aug 2013	Sep 2013	Oct 2013	Monthly Trend
Objec	tive 2.2: Improve transit performance															
2.2.1	Percentage of transit trips with <2 min bunching on Rapid Network (<1 min for headways of 5 min or less)	4.0%	5.3%	5.6%	6.0%	4.8%	5.1%	5.1%	5.4%	5.7%	7.4%	5.6%	5.9%	6.4%	6.3%	$\bigwedge$
2.2.1	Percentage of transit trips with + 5 min gaps on Rapid Network	13.9%	18.5%	18.2%	17.6%	17.7%	18.0%	16.4%	15.3%	16.7%	17.3%	16.8%	17.2%	18.1%	18.7%	$\overline{}$
2.2.1	Percentage of on-time performance for non-Rapid Network routes	85%	61.0%	59.6%	59.8%	60.1%	59.4%	60.5%	62.1%	61.7%	61.5%	62.5%	60.2%	58.7%	57.4%	$\overline{}$
2.2.2	Percentage of scheduled service delivered	98.5%	96.6%	96.8%	97.7%	97.8%	96.7%	98.4%	99.2%	97.9%	97.6%	98.0%	96.9%	97.5%	98.2%	
2.2.3	Percentage of on-time departures from terminals	85%	76.9%	73.7%	74.6%	74.6%	73.8%	75.2%	76.2%	75.2%	74.5%	75.3%	75.0%	74.9%	73.1%	$\sim$
		Results will b				74.070	75.070	73.270	70.270	75.270	74.370	13.370	73.070	74.570	73.170	-
	Percentage of on-time performance	85%	60.1%	59.0%	59.5%	60.5%	59.9%	60.9%	61.4%	60.5%	59.7%	60.0%	60.1%	59.9%	57.9%	
	Percentage of trips over capacity during AM peak (8:00a-8:59a, inbound) at max load points	0070	6.4%	7.5%	9.8%	6.6%	7.6%	7.4%	7.0%	6.7%	5.4%	6.4%	8.4%	12.5%	12.8%	
2.2.7	Percentage of trips over capacity during PM peak (5:00p-5:59p, outbound) at max load points		7.1%	7.7%	10.1%	6.4%	5.9%	7.0%	7.6%	7.3%	7.1%	8.1%	8.9%	11.5%	12.3%	
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Bus)		3,300	3,310	3,890	3,631	3,723	4,170	3,712	3,427	3,771	3,914	3,876	3,735	4,040	$\sim$
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (LRV)		3,137	3,571	2,714	3,927	4,440	3,984	3,655	2,806	2,682	2,714				$\sim$
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Historic)		2,055	2,224		1,958	2,316	1,620	2,530	2,025						$\sim$
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Cable)		2,936	3,835	4,689	2,649	2,811	4,814	5,488	4,979	5,336	3,992	5,600	4,797		<u> </u>
2.2.9	Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered	Please see 2.	2.3.													
2.2.10	Percentage of scheduled mileage delivered	Measure in d	levelopmer	nt.												
2.2.11	Ridership (rubber tire, average weekday)		490,514	495,311	505,030	467,267	488,616	493,484	501,281	504,740	478,503	483,554	504,439	527,096		$\sim$
2.2.11	Ridership (faregate entries, average weekday)	Measure in d	levelopmer	nt.												
2.2.12	Percentage of days that elevators are in full operation		93.6%	96.3%	95.9%	96.5%	95.8%	98.4%	96.7%	96.8%	93.7%	96.2%	95.3%	98.1%	93.9%	$\sim \sim \sim$
2.2.13	Percentage of days that escalators are in full operation		94.2%	88.1%	92.1%	85.7%	87.0%	93.0%	88.2%	88.0%	95.7%	93.6%	91.8%	92.6%	90.0%	$\sim \sim$
Objec	tive 2.3: Increase use of all non-private auto modes															
	Non-private auto mode share (all trips)	50%												45%	(2011 Mo	de Share Survey)
	tive 2.4: Improve parking utilization and manage parking demand															
	Parking reliability rate of SF <i>park</i> spaces		70.0%	71.9%	77.2%	78.7%	74.3%	72.6%	73.2%	73.8%	75.9%	76.8%	79.4%	79.6%	73.0%	
	Parking reliability of SFMTA garage spaces		97.8%	97.7%	98.6%	97.7%	98.2%	98.4%	96.8%	96.8%	98.6%	98.0%	99.0%	98.9%	98.5%	$\sim$
	# of secure on-street bicycle parking spaces		5,732	6,792	6,820	6,366	6,372	6,456	6,558	6,632	6,720	6,792	6,820	6,852	50.570	
	# of secure off-street bicycle parking spaces (garage bicycle parking)		846	882	882	882	882	882	882	882	882	882	882	882		
	On-street payment compliance (SF <i>park</i> pilot areas only)		040	53.3%	53.6%	53.2%	54.4%	54.7%	53.3%	52.9%	53.4%	53.6%	53.5%	53.8%	54.2%	$\sim$
	<b>3:</b> Improve the environment and quality of life in San Fi	ancisco		55.570	55.070	55.270	54.470	54.770	55.570	52.570	55.470	55.070	55.570	55.070	54.270	/
	<b>tive 3.1:</b> Reduce the Agency's and the transportation system's resource		on emissi	ons was	te and no	l										
-	Metric tons of CO2e for the transportation system	1,515,000														2,155,000 (2010)
	Percentage of SFMTA non-revenue and taxi fleet that is alternative fuel/zero emissions	1,515,000														94% (taxi)
3.1.3	Percentage biodiesel to diesel used by SFMTA															2% (FY11)
311	Number of electric vehicle charging stations															33
3.1.4	Citywide gasoline consumption rate														1 /	9,156,104 (2009)
	Agency electricity consumption (kWh)															3,746,104 (FY11)
	Agency gas consumption (therms)														12	579,043 (FY11)
3.1.6	Agency water consumption (gallons)														2	21,301,010 (FY11)
3.1.7	Agency compost production (tons)														2	14 (CY09)
3.1.7	Agency recycling production (tons)															535 (CY09)
	Agency waste production (tons)															593 (CY09)
	tive 3.2: Increase the transportation system's positive impact to the eco	nomv														
3.2.1    Estimated economic impact of Muni service delays (Monthly \$M)    \$3.9    \$2.5									\$4.6	\$4.6	\$2.4	\$3.1	\$1.9			
					γ <b>2</b> .3				ə <del>4</del> .0	<b>ب</b> بر	<b>ېد.4</b>		.т <i>с</i>			
-	tive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effectively	Dec. 11														
	Percentage of all capital projects delivered on-budget by phase	Results reporting to begin in FY14.Results reporting to begin in FY14.														
3.3.2	Percentage of all capital projects delivered on-time by phase	Results repo	rting to beg	gin in FY14.												

Note: Reported results are subject to change as data quality improves or new data become available.



## STRATEGIC PLAN METRICS REPORT | November 2013

ID Metric	Target	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	FY14 Avg	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013	Jun 2013	Jul 2013	Aug 2013	Sep 2013	Oct 2013	Monthly Trend
<b>Objective 3.4:</b> Deliver services efficiently															
3.4.1 Average annual transit cost per revenue hour	\$187	\$195													\$195 (FY12)
3.4.2 Passengers per revenue hour for buses		70													70 (FY12)
3.4.3 Cost per unlinked trip		\$2.77													\$2.77 (FY12)
3.4.4 Pay hours: platform hours ratio		1.12	1.12	1.11	1.12	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.10	1.11	1.10	1.10	1.12	$\searrow$
3.4.5 Farebox recovery ratio		30.8%													30.8% (FY12)
<b>Objective 3.5:</b> Reduce capital and operating structural deficits															
3.5.1 Operating and capital structural deficit	Make progre mission cirit			-	d		\$70M a	dditional n		-					od Repair (SOGR) nd transit (FY12)
Goal 4: Create a workplace that delivers outstanding service	!														
Objective 4.1: Improve internal communications															
4.1.1 Employee rating: I have the Information and tools I need to do my job; scale of 1 (high) to 5 (low)			3.45												
4.1.1 Employee rating: I have access to information about Agency accomplishments, current events, issues and challenges; scale of 1 (high) to 5 (low)			3.40												
4.1.2 Percentage of employees that complete the survey			34.6%												
4.1.3 Employee rating: I have a clear understanding of my division's goals/objectives and how they contribute to Agency success.			3.44												
4.1.4 Employee rating: I have received feedback on my work in the last 30 days.			3.14												
4.1.5 Employee rating: I have noticed that communication between leadership and employees has improved.			2.92												
4.1.6 Employee rating: Discussions with my supervisor about my performance are worthwhile.			3.42												
<b>Objective 4.2:</b> Create a collaborative and innovative work environment															
4.2.1 Employee rating: Overall employee satisfaction; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.36												
4.2.2 Employee rating: My concerns, questions, and suggestions are welcomed and acted upon quickly and appropriately.			2.94												
4.2.3 Employee rating: I find ways to resolve conflicts by working collaboratively with others.			3.89												
4.2.4 Employee rating: I am encouraged to use innovative approaches to achieve goals.			3.34												
4.2.5 Employee rating: Employees in my work unit share job knowledge to solve problems efficiently/effectively			3.67												
4.2.6 Employee rating: I feel comfortable sharing my thoughts and opinions, even if they're different than others'.			3.58												
4.2.7 Employee rating: My work gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment.			3.68												
<b>Objective 4.3:</b> Improve employee accountability															
4.3.1 Percentage of employees with performance plans prepared by start of fiscal year			20.3%	62.5%											
4.3.1 Percentage of employees with annual appraisals based on their performance plans			18.8%												
4.3.2 Percentage of strategic plan metrics reported			73.0%	90.5%											
4.3.3 Unscheduled absence rate by employee group (Transit operators)		12.2%	8.6%	9.1%	8.9%	10.3%	8.5%	6.9%	8.3%	9.0%	8.8%	10.0%	8.9%	8.8%	$\sim$
4.3.4 Employee rating: My manager holds me accountable to achieve my written objectives.			3.55												
<b>Objective 4.4:</b> Improve relationships and partnerships with our stakeholders															
4.4.1 Stakeholder rating: satisfaction with SFMTA decision-making process/communications; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	ed in FY14.														

Color Legend

Outperforms PreviousUnderperforms PreviousEqual to PreviousFY AverageFY AverageFY Average

Note: Reported results are subject to change as data quality improves or new data become available.

