

SFMTAMunicipal Transportation Agency



FEBRUARY 2013 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA





Overview

- On a regular basis we highlight progress toward achievement of our strategic plan goals in this report
- This month we have included a status update on our efforts toward reporting on all strategic plan measures
- Next month's presentation will feature proposed modifications to the metrics based on our initial data collection, analysis and reporting efforts





GOAL 1 - Create a safer transportation experience for everyone

ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013
1.1.1	SFPD-reported Muni-related crimes/100,000 miles	3.23	3.59	4.85	5.09	5.98	5.60	5.26	4.81	*
1.1.2	Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience	Surv	eying initiate	ed. In proce	ss of buildin	_			e to receive s be reported i	•
1.1.3	SFPD-reported taxi-related crimes			SFPD do	es not curre	ntly collect t	his data. W	e are evalua	ting alternat	ive metrics
1.1.4	Security complaints to 311 (Muni)		34	36	42	39	27	39	30	42
Objective 1.2: Improve workplace safety and security.										
1.2.1	Workplace injuries/200,000 hours	14.9	16.6	14.2	17.9	13.0	15.0	18.0	13.7	*
1.2.2	Security incidents involving SFMTA personnel	Collecting Agencywide data. First results to be reported in Apr 2						in Apr 2013		
1.2.3	Lost work days due to injury		3,764	4,242	4,535	3,495	3,779	3,646	3,773	*
Object	tive 1.3: Improve the safety of the transportatio	n system.								
1.3.1	Muni collisions/100,000 miles	4.48	4.98	5.12	4.91	4.67	6.42	4.45	5.01	*
1.3.2a	Collisions involving motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists	Awaiting 2012 results						2012 results		
1.3.2b	Collisions involving taxis								Awaiting 2	2012 results
1.3.3	Muni falls on board/100,000 miles		4.53	4.94	4.60	4.99	4.15	3.49	4.26	*
1.3.4	"Unsafe operation" Muni complaints to 311		173	158	179	166	173	128	123	153
1.3.5	Customer rating: Safety of transit riding experience	Surveying initiated. In process of building a sufficiently large sampling frame to receive surveys on a quarterly basis. First results to be reported in Apr 2013.								

*data forthcoming Color Legend: Outperforms FY12 Avg FY12 Avg FY12 Avg FY12 Avg FY12 Avg





GOAL 1 - Create a safer transportation experience for everyone

Action	Update
1.1.6 Procure and install cameras on historic streetcars	 Shifted this effort toward increasing POP and SFPD presence on the F-Line rather than altering historic streetcars.
1.1.8 Implement expanded educational campaign regarding theft on Muni	 Determined objectives and approach for comprehensive campaign to begin by June.
1.2.7 Develop a prioritized list of workplace facility improvements based on the recommendations of the Real Estate and Facilities Vision for the 21 st Century Report	 Completed report and presented findings at the Board workshop. Key action items in the report were discussed comprehensively in the report and are in process.
1.3.4 Develop process to incorporate safety into culture and daily processes to improve safety	 Finished field efficiency testing in January. Currently review results with Transit and Training.
1.3.5 Ensure Training staff have met the latest qualifications and certifications	 Ensured all Training staff have been training in accordance with rules and regulations. Awaiting Transportation Safety Institute training which will complete the process. (Class originally scheduled for this month was cancelled by vendor and will be rescheduled.)
1.3.11 Identify and implement remaining safety capital actions from Bicycle Plan and collisions analysis specific to SFMTA	 Completed 76 percent of near-term projects; recent developments have included reaching midway point in community process for 2nd St from King to Market and realigning San Bruno Ave between August and Paul to Bayshore to avoid TEP rapid network.
1.3.15 Establish a monthly report on accident trending and proposed mitigation actions	 Launched display of Divisional DriveCam safety videos in Gilley rooms.





Obje	Objective 2.1: Improve customer service and communications										
ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	
2.1.1	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with <i>transit</i> services; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)										
2.1.2	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with <i>taxi</i> availability; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Survey	ing initiated	. In process	of building	a sufficientl	y large sam	pling frame	to receive s	urveys on a	
2.1.3	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with <i>bicycle</i> network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)		quarterly basis. First results to be reported in Apr 2013.								
2.1.4	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with <i>pedestrian</i> environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)										
2.1.5	Average time to communicate Muni service advisories to customers	This is proving challenging to quantify. We are evaluating alternative metrics.									
2.1.6	Percentage of Color Curb Requests Addressed According to Board-approved standards		87%	89%	92%	88%	94%	89%			
2.1.6	Percentage of Hazardous Traffic Sign Reports Addressed According to Board-approved standards		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
2.1.6	Percentage of Parking Meter Malfunctions Addressed According to Board-approved standards		84%	82%	84%	81%	86%	63%	79%	80%	
2.1.6	Percentage of Traffic and Parking Control Requests Addressed According to Board-approved standards				69%						
2.1.6	Percentage of Traffic Signal Requests Addressed According to Board-approved standards		98%	98%	94%	99%	97%	97%	97%	95%	
2.1.7	Percentage of actionable 311 Muni-related complaints addressed within 14 days (60 days for ADA violations)		87%	91%	93%	87%	86%	93%	82%		
2.1.8	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni vehicles	Surv	eying initiate	ed. In proce	ss of buildin	-			to receive so		
2.1.9	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni facilities (stations, elevators, escalators)	Surv	eying initiate	ed. In proce	ss of buildin	_			e to receive s be reported i		





D Metric Goal FY12 Avg Jul 2012 Aug 2012 Sep 2012 Oct 2012 Nov 2012 Dec 2012	2 Jan 2013 6.3% 16.7%					
2.2.1 on Rapid Network* 2.2.1 Percentage of transit trips with + 5 min gaps on Rapid Network* 2.2.2 Percentage of on-time performance for non-Rapid Network routes* 85.3% 7.0% 6.9% 8.0% 7.7% 7.6% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 5.3% 7.0% 6.9% 8.0% 7.7% 7.6% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 5.3% 7.0% 6.9%						
2.2.1 Rapid Network* 2.2.2 Percentage of on-time performance for non-Rapid Network routes* 13.9% 18.5% 19.1% 20.2% 19.0% 18.8% 17.0% 18.5% 19.0% 18.8% 59.0%	16.7%					
Network routes* 85% 61.0% 60.0% 57.1% 56.7% 58.9% 59.0%						
	59.9%					
Percentage of service pulled out at scheduled time (Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered**) 98.5% 96.3% 95.3% 96.2% 96.7% 96.2%	97.8%					
2.2.4 Percentage of on-time departures from terminals* 85% 77.4% 76.4% 70.0% 70.2% 71.1% 73.1% 72.8%	74.5%					
2.2.5 Average Muni system speed Results reporting to begin	Results reporting to begin in April 2013					
2.2.6 Percentage of on-time performance* 85% 60.4% 59.0% 55.6% 56.0% 56.6% 58.9% 59.0%	60.5%					
Percentage of trips over capacity during AM peak (8:00a-8:59a, inbound) at max load points 6.5% 7.5% 7.7% 8.5% 9.4% 7.8% 7.1%	6.6%					
2.2.7 Percentage of trips over capacity during PM peak (5:00p-5:59p, outbound) at max load points 7.2% 7.7% 10.1% 8.5% 8.9% 6.7% 8.0%	6.4%					
2.2.8 Mean distance between failure (Bus) 2,909 2,820 3,087 2,815 2,877 3,071 3,197	3,631					
2.2.8 Mean distance between failure (Rail) 2,922 3,945 3,880 3,504 3,464 3,457						
2.2.9 Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered PI	ase see 2.2.3.					
2.2.10 Percentage of scheduled trips completed Reporting in	development.					
2.2.11 Ridership (rubber tire, average weekday) 491,553 486,628 505,681 517,675 515,379 484,577						
2.2.12 Percentage of time that elevators are available 94.4% 96.8% 96.8% 98.9% 96.2% 96.9% 91.7%	96.5%					
2.2.13 Percentage of time that escalators are available 91.8% 84.5% 87.1% 89.3% 87.3% 84.1%	85.7%					

^{*}Historical monthly results may change as we continue to work with and refine reporting based upon NextBus data.

^{**}This reflects % of service pulled out of divisions (as in past reports).





Obje	Objective 2.3: Increase use of all non-private auto modes.									
ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013
2.3.1	.3.1 Non-private auto mode share when traveling to work								62% (2011 (Census ACS)
Objective 2.4: Improve parking utilization and manage parking demand.										
2.4.1	% occupancy of SFpark spaces								Data fo	orthcoming.
2.4.2	% occupancy of SFMTA garage spaces								Data f	orthcoming.
2.4.3	# of secure on street bicycle racks								2,739	
2.4.3	# of secure off street bicycle parking spaces (garage bicycle parking)								475	
2.4.4	Parking regulation compliance	Data forthcoming.								





Action	Update
2.1.1 Utilize social media tools (Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, blogging) to communicate SFMTA news and information to our customers	 Hiring of new employee this month will allow us to expand Twitter coverage to morning shift as well.
2.1.4 Complete SFMTA website rebuild	 Completed homepage design; content development is underway.
2.1.10 Develop and implement customer focused program for mezzanine-level customer service interactions with the Muni station agents	 Preparing subway shutdown communications SOP for implementation this Spring. Planning to install new monitors with upgraded traveler information in station agent booths by April and extending internet to all booths by Fall.
2.1.15 Modify on-vehicle stroller policy	Completed proposed policy. Outreach forthcoming.
2.2.2 Develop and implement measures to improve reliability and reduce transit travel times	 Ensuring all new procurements include Transit Only Lane Enforcement cameras; anticipate 300+ buses to be completed by end of CY. Released TEP draft EIR in Jan; process is on track for January 2014 completion.
2.2.7 Maintain vehicles, equipment, and facilities in a state of good repair	 Hiring of new mechanics delayed until middle of this month. Expect first of 80 rehabilitated buses in Feb and first of 62 new buses in Mach.





Action	Update
2.2.12 Decrease lead time to deliver parts	 Reduced outstanding materials and supplies requests from 1,100 in December 2012 to 665 in January 2013. Developing SFMTA and OCA purchaser performance benchmarks.
2.2.13 Enforce leave paperwork deadlines	 Completed majority of tasks associated with action including updating the Request for Leave, developing a means of flagging expired leaves in Trapeze, and implementing improved follow-up with employees who are on leave.
2.3.3 Develop and roll out a revised Traffic Calming Program to focus on pedestrian and bicycle safety	 Presenting recommendation on how to allocate funds among the Local, Arterial, and Schools tracks to SFMTA PAG, SFCTA CAC, SFCTA Plans and Programs, and individual members of the BOS. Planning to welcome residents to submit applications for the revamped local/application-based traffic calming program in the spring.
2.3.7 Implement comprehensive bicycle sharing program and expand scope	 Completed vendor negotiations on Jan 24; targeting Jun launch and full deployment in July.
2.3.13 Improve and increase mechanisms for direct customer-to-taxi driver communications	 Expecting to select an vendor and execute an agreement by end of month. Vendor will be tasked with building a taxi data hub that will enable independent developers to build e- hailing apps covering all San Francisco taxis.





Action	Update
2.3.14 Develop and implement vehicle sharing strategy	 Shared draft proposal for up to 400 on-street car sharing locations shared with BOS, Agency partners, and stakeholders Presenting to SFMTA Board in March with goal of launching in July if approved.
2.4.1 Evaluate the SFpark pilot projects and develop proposal for SFpark-relate parking management at all SFMTA-administered paid parking	Refining scope of work for evaluation to be conducted by fall.
2.4.2 Develop and implement proposal for expanding where and when SFMTA manages parking	 Implementing extended hours and special event pricing in Mission Bay starting in March. Proceeding with NE Mission parking plan; conducting third community meeting in March and producing final proposal in April.





GOAL 3 - Improve the environment and quality of life in San Francisco

ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013
3.1.1	Metric tons of CO2e for the transportation system	1,515,000								2,155,000 (2010)
3.1.2	% of SFMTA non-revenue and taxi fleet that is alternative fuel/zero emissions									94%
3.1.3	% biodiesel to diesel used by SFMTA									2% (FY11)
3.1.4	Number of electric vehicle charging stations									31
3.1.5	Citywide gasoline consumption rate									149,156,104 (2009)
3.1.6	Agency electricity consumption (kWh)									123,746,104 (FY11)
3.1.6	Agency gas consumption (therms)									579,043 (FY11)
3.1.6	Agency water production (gallons)									21,301,010 (FY11)
3.1.7	Agency compost production (tonnes)									13 (CY09)
3.1.7	Agency recycling production (tonnes)									534 (CY09)
3.1.7	Agency waste production (tonnes)									592 (CY09)
Obje	ctive 3.2: Increase the transportation sys	tem's pos	itive impa	act to the	economy	у.				
	ctive 3.2: Increase the transportation sys Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	tem's pos	itive impa	act to the			eing develo	ped. Resul	ts will be re	ported for FY13 Q4.
3.2.1	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5	·	itive impa	act to the			eing develo	ped. Resul	ts will be re	ported for FY13 Q4.
3.2.1 Obje	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	·	itive impa	act to the			eing develo	ped. Resul	ts will be re	eported for FY13 Q4.
3.2.1 Obje 3.3.1	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effective 3.3:	·	itive impa	act to the			eing develo			eported for FY13 Q4.
3.2.1 Obje 3.3.1 3.3.2	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effect of all projects delivered on-budget by phase	·	itive impa			Survey is bo		Results re	eporting to b	
3.2.1 Obje 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effect of all projects delivered on-budget by phase of all projects delivered on-time by phase	·	itive impa			Survey is bo		Results re	eporting to b	Degin in March 2013.
3.2.1 Obje 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effect of all projects delivered on-budget by phase of all projects delivered on-time by phase of all projects delivered in-scope by phase	·	itive impa			Survey is bo		Results re	eporting to b	Degin in March 2013.
3.2.1 Obje 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 Obje	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effect % of all projects delivered on-budget by phase % of all projects delivered on-time by phase % of all projects delivered in-scope by phase ctive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently.	tively.	itive impa			Survey is bo		Results re	eporting to b	pegin in March 2013. g alternative metrics.
3.2.1 Obje 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 Obje 3.4.1	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effect of all projects delivered on-budget by phase of all projects delivered on-time by phase of all projects delivered in-scope by phase ctive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently. Average annual transit cost per revenue hour*	tively.	itive impa			Survey is bo		Results re	eporting to b	pegin in March 2013. galternative metrics. \$194
3.2.1 Obje 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 Obje 3.4.1 3.4.2	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effect % of all projects delivered on-budget by phase % of all projects delivered in-scope by phase % of all projects delivered in-scope by phase ctive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently. Average annual transit cost per revenue hour* Passengers per revenue hour for buses	tively.	1.12			Survey is bo		Results re	eporting to b	pegin in March 2013. Statemative metrics. \$194 70
3.2.1 Dbje 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 Dbje 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effect % of all projects delivered on-budget by phase % of all projects delivered in-scope by phase ctive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently. Average annual transit cost per revenue hour* Passengers per revenue hour for buses Cost per unlinked trip*	tively.			This is prov	Survey is bo	ging to qual	Results re	eporting to b	pegin in March 2013. Statemative metrics. \$194 70
3.2.1 Obje 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 Obje 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effect % of all projects delivered on-budget by phase % of all projects delivered in-scope by phase % of all projects delivered in-scope by phase ctive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently. Average annual transit cost per revenue hour* Passengers per revenue hour for buses Cost per unlinked trip* Pay hours: platform hours ratio	tively.	1.12		This is prov	Survey is bo	ging to qual	Results re	eporting to b	pegin in March 2013. Stalternative metrics. \$194 70 \$2.75

^{*}Unaudited





GOAL 3 – FY13 Financial Results (as of Dec 2012) - Expenditures

EXPENDITURES	Rev	ised Budget	Actu	als: Year to Date	Enci	umbrances	rojection for ne Year	Sav	ing/(Overage)
SFMTA Agency Wide	\$	120,460,596	\$	8,258,346	\$	59,915,729	\$ 119,282,930	\$	1,177,666
Board of Directors	\$	732,277	\$	253,801	Ş	1,985	\$ 607,444	\$	124,833
Capital Programs and Construction	\$	326,795	\$	(4,349,735)	\$	2,142,675	\$ (0)	\$	326,795
Communications	\$	5,066,419	\$	1,461,436	\$	619,461	\$ 4,258,696	\$	807,723
Director of Transportation	\$	968,057	\$	342,024	\$	159,978	\$ 786,878	\$	181,179
Finance and Information Technology	\$	77,915,166	\$	26,348,225	\$	18,721,552	\$ 75,137,715	\$	2,777,451
Government Affairs	\$	657,807	\$	217,517	\$	140,890	\$ 596,315	\$	61,492
Human Resources	\$	42,398,845	\$	17,683,474	\$	4,285,721	\$ 40,908,740	\$	1,490,106
Safety	\$	4,029,589	\$	1,546,062	\$	785,309	\$ 3,743,981	\$	285,607
Sustainable Streets	\$	139,810,859	\$	46,438,347	\$	29,589,013	\$ 132,004,398	\$	7,806,461
Transit Services	\$	462,205,757	\$	207,465,519	\$	42,934,149	\$ 476,358,891	\$	(14,153,134)
Taxi and Accessible Services	\$	19,979,165	\$	4,179,797	\$	12,286,068	\$ 19,798,537	\$	180,628
TOTAL	\$	874,551,330	\$	309,844,813	\$	171,582,531	\$ 873,484,524	\$	1,066,806

^{*}Revised budget reflects \$49.7 million carry forward for unspent contracts from prior fiscal year.





GOAL 3 – FY13 Financial Results (as of Dec 2012) - Revenue

REVENUE	Revised Budget*	Actuals: Year to Date	Total Projection for the Year	Surplus/(Deficit)
TRANSIT FARES				
Cable Car Fares	\$25,054,000	\$14,461,251	\$25,649,444	\$595,444
Cash Fares	\$71,328,000	\$41,875,291	\$74,730,418	\$3,402,418
Other Fares	\$5,910,200	\$3,727,947	\$6,958,151	\$1,047,951
Passes	\$96,032,140	\$46,637,361	\$95,349,667	(\$682,473)
TRANSIT FARES Total	\$198,324,340	\$106,701,850	\$202,687,680	\$4,363,340
PARKING FEES & FINES				
General Fund Baseline Transfer	\$61,229,000	\$30,617,000	\$61,229,000	-
Citations and Fines	\$109,283,000	\$50,910,889	\$102,052,979	(\$7,230,021)
Garage Revenue	\$46,276,530	\$15,126,322	\$46,982,139	\$705,609
Meter Revenue	\$43,428,400	\$24,454,870	\$47,509,739	\$4,081,339
Permit Revenue	\$9,727,900	\$3,659,605	\$9,754,869	\$26,969
PARKING FEES & FINES Total	\$269,944,830	\$124,768,686	\$267,528,726	(\$2,416,104)
Operating Crants	\$110,000,000	\$55,634,880	\$110,000,000	
Operating Grants Taxi Service	\$10,000,000	\$5,047,821	\$10,000,000	-
Other Revenues	\$23,125,320	\$13,021,625	\$23,749,542	\$624,222
General Fund Transfer	\$23,123,320	\$106,465,000	\$212,930,000	- - γου -
Transfer from Non- Operating Fund	\$1,318,446	\$1,318,446	1,318,446	-
Fund Balance CFWD budget	\$49,695,309	\$49,695,309	\$49,695,309	
TOTAL	\$875,495,984	\$462,653,617	\$878,067,442	\$2,571,458





GOAL 3 - Improve the environment and quality of life in San Francisco

Action	Update
3.1.10 Reduce taxi fleet emissions	 Exploring ways to leverage grant funds awarded through Better Place in anticipation of their expected departure from the North American market. Selected one site for an electric vehicle fast charger and researching a second. Vehicle purchases will follow.
3.4.1 Evaluate and implement schedule deployment efficiencies	 Expanding part-time runs in Spring sign-up. Brought on 30 part-time operators in January; 30 more will come in March.
3.4.2 Identify incremental opportunities to shift resources from underutilized routes to overcrowded routes to increase schedule efficiency	 Shifting resources in Spring sign-up to address crowding and inadequate running time. Pursing implementation of Spring Break schedules. Launching 22-Fillmore free running time schedule in March.
3.4.3 Implement negotiated provisions of labor contracts	 Implementation complete. Continuing to regularly enforce and follow-up with departments.
3.4.15 Establish web-based taxi company reporting	 Expecting development of many useful reporting functions in conjunction with the taxi data clearinghouse and e-hailing apps that will follow.
3.4.20 Improve Customer Service Center services	 Currently on hold due to reallocation of resources required for implementation of Free Muni for Youth pilot.





GOAL 4 - Create a workplace that delivers outstanding service

Objec	Objective 4.1: Improve internal communications.									
ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013
4.1.1	Employee rating: Information needed to do the job? Informed about agency issues, challenges and current events?; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)									
4.1.2	% of employees that complete the survey									
4.1.3	Employee rating: I have a clear understanding of my division's goals/objectives and how they contribute to Agency success									
4.1.4	Employee rating: I have received praise for my work in the last month	Survey distribution will begin this month. Results will be reported for FY13 Q3.						for FY13 Q3.		
4.1.5	Employee rating: Communication between leadership and employees has improved									
4.1.6	Employee rating: My concerns, questions, and suggestions are acted upon quickly and appropriately									
4.1.7	Employee rating: Discussions with my supervisor about my performance are worthwhile									
Object	tive 4.2: Create a collaborative and innovative work	environme	nt.							
4.2.1	Employee rating: Overall employee satisfaction; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)									
4.2.2	Employee rating: My opinions seem to matter to my manager									
4.2.3	Employee rating: Conflicts are resolved collaboratively									
4.2.4	Employee rating: Employees in my division consistently look for more efficient/effective ways of getting the job done	Survey distribution will begin this month. Results will be reported for					for FY13 Q3.			
4.2.5	Employee rating: Employees in my work unit share job knowledge to solve problems efficiently/effectively									
4.2.6	Employee rating: I feel comfortable sharing my thoughts and opinions, even if they're different than others'									
4.2.7	Employee rating: My work gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment									





GOAL 4 - Create a workplace that delivers outstanding service (cont.)

Objective 4.3: Improve employee accountability.										
ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013
4.3.1	% of employees with performance completed/appraisals conducted	Results will be available at the end of the fiscal year.								
4.3.2	% of employees with performance plans prepared by start of fiscal year									
4.3.3	% of employees who have received feedback on their work	Survey distribution will begin this month. Results will be reported for FY13 Q3.								
4.3.4	% of divisions/units that report metrics		Survey distribution will begin this month. Results will be reported for 1113 Q3.							
4.3.5	Unscheduled absence rate by employee group (Transit operators)		8.7%	9.4%	10.5%	9.3%	6.6%	7.0%	9.0%	8.9%
4.3.6	Employee rating: My manager holds me accountable to achieve my written objectives	Survey distribution will begin this month. Results will be reported for FY13 Q3.								
Objec	tive 4.4: Improve relationships a	nd partne	rships with	our stakeh	olders.					
4.4.1	Stakeholder rating: satisfaction with SFMTA decision-making process/communications; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Survey is being developed. Results will be reported for FY13 Qa					l for FY13 Q4.			





GOAL 4 - Create a workplace that delivers outstanding service

Action	Update
4.1.6 Implement the posting of monthly scorecard no later than the 6th workday of the following month.	 Continuing to expand and enhance the timeliness of reporting. Initiating posting of monthly scorecard on sfmta.com. Designing interacting reports to be available online this Spring.
4.1.9 Implement new performance plan model and ensure all staff have performance plans	Created new performance plan and trained all staff on its use.
4.4.4 Increase visibility of the SFMTA at public events	 Participating in Russian Festival this month. Completing calendar of events for next six months that will enhance Agency participation in community events as well as larger scale Citywide events.
4.4.9 Create advocacy partnership with key business groups on regional transportation issues	 Continuing ongoing efforts to identify and develop key stakeholder relationships in support of the Agency's priorities on regional transportation issues.
4.4.15 Draft regulatory amendments to the Transportation Code taking into account consultant recommendations of the Taxi Best Practices Study	 Anticipating that consultant will submit recommendations to the SFMTA Board in March.