# **STRATEGIC PLAN METRICS REPORT |** February 2013



															**	
ID Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013
Goal 1: Create a safer transportation experience for everyone																
<b>Objective 1.1:</b> Improve security for transportation system u					_					_						
ID Metric	Goal							May 2012							Dec 2012	Jan 2013
1.1.1 SFPD-reported Muni-related crimes/100,000 miles	3.23	3.59	5.27	3.27	3.16	3.90	3.40	3.39	4.43	4.85	5.09	5.98	5.60	5.26	4.81	
1.1.2 Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience	Surveying initiat	ed. In prod	cess of build	ding a suffi	ciently larg	e sampling	frame to re	eceive surv	eys on a qu	arterly bas	s. First res	ults to be i	reported in	Apr 2013.		
1.1.3 SFPD-reported taxi-related crimes	SFPD does not c	urrently co	llect this da	ata. We are	evaluating	g alternativ	e metrics.									
1.1.4   Security complaints to 311 (Muni)		34	36	27	46	38	30	41	24	36	42	39	27	39	30	42
<b>Objective 1.2:</b> Improve workplace safety and security.																
1.2.1 Workplace injuries/200,000 hours	14.9	16.6	15.3	17.1	15.1	14.3	20.4	21.9	10.8	14.2	17.9	13.0	15.0	18.0	13.7	
1.2.2 Security incidents involving SFMTA personnel	Collecting Agend	vwide data	a. First resu	ılts to be re	ported in A	Apr 2013.										
1.2.3 Lost work days due to injury		3,764	3,912	2,191	3,557	4,552	4,246	3,985	4,055	4,242	4,535	3,495	3,779	3,646	3,773	
<b>Objective 1.3:</b> Improve the safety of the transportation sys	tem.	3,101	3,5 ==	_,	2,221	,,,,,,	1,2 10	3,000	.,	.,	.,	3,100	5,110	3,010	3,113	
1.3.1 Muni collisions/100,000 miles	4.48	4.98	5.11	4.2	5.58	4.48	6.07	4.31	5.21	5.12	4.91	4.67	6.42	4.45	5.01	
1.3.2a Collisions involving motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists	Awaiting 2012 re		5.22		3.50		5.57			J. <b></b>		,	J		5.52	
1.3.2b Collisions involving taxis	Awaiting 2012 re															
1.3.3 Muni falls on board/100,000 miles		4.53	4.41	4.69	4.09	5.32	4.37	4.62	4.06	4.94	4.60	4.99	4.15	3.49	4.26	
1.3.4 "Unsafe operation" Muni complaints to 311		173	154	151	160	193	167	178	186	158	179	166	173	128	123	153
	Cuproving initiat					<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	ļ.	Į.						123	133
	Surveying initiat							eceive surv	eys on a qu	arterry bas	s. First res	uits to be i	eporteu iii	Apr 2015.		
<b>Goal 2:</b> Make transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, ri	idesharing &	ፈ carsha	aring th	e prefe	rred me	eans of	travel									
Objective 2.1: Improve customer service and communication	ons.															
ID Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013
2.1.1 Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with transit	Surveying initiat		•		•											
services; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	quarterly basis.	=		_	-		_		•							
2.1.2 Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with taxi	Surveying initiat					e sampling	frame to re	eceive surv	eys on a qu	arterly bas	s. First res	ults to be i	eported in	Apr 2013.		
availability; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)		·		_	, ,					·			•	•		
2.1.3 Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with bicycle	Surveying initiat	ed. In prod	cess of build	ding a suffi	ciently larg	e sampling	frame to re	eceive surv	eys on a qu	arterly bas	s. First res	ults to be i	reported in	Apr 2013.		
network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)																
2.1.4 Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with pedestrian	Surveying initiat	ed. In prod	cess of build	ding a suffi	ciently larg	e sampling	frame to re	eceive surv	eys on a qu	arterly bas	s. First res	ults to be i	reported in	Apr 2013.		
environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)									1				1	1		
2.1.5 Average time to communicate Muni service advisories to	This is proving c	hallenging t	to quantify	. We are ev	aluating al	ternative m	netrics.									
customers		1			ı	I										
2.1.6 Percentage of Color Curb Requests Addressed According to Board-		87%	90%	87%	89%	78%	81%	91%	93%	89%	92%	88%	94%	89%		
approved standards																
2.1.6 Percentage of Hazardous Traffic Sign Reports Addressed		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
According to Board-approved standards		0.40/	700/	020/	040/	020/	070/	000/	0.40/	020/	0.40/	040/	0.60/	620/	700/	000/
2.1.6 Percentage of Parking Meter Malfunctions Addressed According		84%	79%	82%	81%	83%	87%	88%	84%	82%	84%	81%	86%	63%	79%	80%
to Board-approved standards  2.1.6 Percentage of Traffic and Parking Control Requests Addressed		700/	C00/		770/			700/			C00/					
According to Board-approved standards		78%	69%		77%			78%			69%					
2.1.6 Percentage of Traffic Signal Requests Addressed According to		98%	97%	96%	97%	97%	99%	99%	98%	98%	94%	99%	97%	97%	97%	95%
Board-approved standards		96/0	37/0	30%	37/0	37/0	33/0	93/0	30/0	90/0	9470	33/0	97/0	37/0	97/0	93/0
2.1.7 Percentage of actionable 311 Muni-related complaints addressed		87%	90%	93%	86%	86%	87%	82%	86%	91%	93%	87%	86%	93%	82%	
within 14 days (60 days for ADA violations)		0770	3070	] 5570	0070	0070	0770	02/0	0070	J170	J370	0770	0070	) ) ) )	02/0	
2.1.8 Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni vehicles	Surveying initiat	ed In proc	ress of huild	i ding a suffi	riently larg	e samnling	frame to re	eceive surv	evs on a dir	arterly has	s First res	ults to he i	reported in	Apr 2013		
2.1.9 Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni facilities (stations, elevators,	Surveying initiat	•		_					-							
escalators)	Jan 10 Jing Illiad	.ca. in proc	Joseph Dall		c.c.rery rung	- Jannyming		Coc. FC out V	-,	corry bus			Sported III	p. 2013.		
Objective 2.2: Improve transit performance.																
ID Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013
2.2.1 Percentage of transit trips with + 5 min gaps on Rapid Network	13.9%	18.5%	18.5%	19.5%	17.6%	17.3%	18.5%	19.0%	19.3%	19.1%	20.2%	19.0%	18.8%	17.0%	18.5%	16.7%
2.2.2 Percentage of on-time performance for non-Rapid Network	85%	61.0%	58.4%	61.7%	61.7%	61.9%	60.9%	60.4%	59.6%	60.0%	57.1%	56.7%	57.5%	58.9%	59.0%	59.9%
2.2.3 Percentage of service pulled out at scheduled time	98.5%	96.3%	96.0%	96.7%	98.4%	96.8%	95.1%	95.3%	95.4%	95.3%	94.0%	95.7%	96.2%	96.7%	96.0%	97.8%
2.2.4 Percentage of on-time departures from terminals	85%	77.4%	72.6%	77.3%	77.6%	78.6%	77.9%	76.7%	76.2%	76.4%	70.0%	70.2%	71.1%	73.1%	72.8%	74.5%
12.2.4 If Crecitage of on time acpartates from terminals																

Color Legend

Outperforms Underperforms Equal to FY12 Avg FY12 Avg FY12 Avg

# **STRATEGIC PLAN METRICS REPORT |** February 2013



ID	Metric	Goal	ΕΥ12 Δυσ	FV13 Δνα	lan 2012	Feh 2012	Mar 2012	Δnr 2012	May 2012	lun 2012	Jul 2012	Δμσ 2012	Sen 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	lan 2012			
2.2.5		•			•	LEN TOTA	IVIAI ZUIZ	Abi 2012	IVIAY ZUIZ	Juli 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	3ch 5015	OCI 2012	INOV ZUIZ	DEC 2012	Jail 2013			
2.2.5	Percentage of on-time performance	Results reporting 85%	60.4%	57.9%	60.6%	61.0%	61.9%	60 E0/	59.8%	E0 C0/	59.0%	EE 60/	E6 00/	56.6%	58.9%	EQ 00/	60 E0/			
2.2.6	Percentage of on-time performance  Percentage of trips over capacity during AM peak (8:00a-8:59a,	83%	6.5%	7.8%	5.6%			60.5% 5.9%	7.1%	58.6%		55.6% 7.7%	56.0%	9.4%		59.0%	60.5%			
2.27	inbound) at max load points		0.3%	7.8%	5.0%	6.2%	6.9%	5.9%	/.170	7.0%	7.5%	7.7%	8.5%	9.4%	7.8%	7.1%	0.0%			
2.2.7	Percentage of trips over capacity during PM peak (5:00p-5:59p,		7.2%	8.0%	5.9%	7.0%	6.0%	8.2%	7.8%	8.4%	7.7%	10.1%	8.5%	8.9%	6.7%	8.0%	6.4%			
	outbound) at max load points		7.270	0.070	3.570	7.070	0.070	0.270	7.070	0.470	7.770	10.170	0.570	0.570	0.770	0.070	0.470			
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Bus)		2,909	3,071	2,707	2,883	2,683	2,952	3,230	2,998	2,820	3,087	2,815	2,877	3,071	3,197	3,631			
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Rail)		2,922	3,650	2,834	2,262	2,852	3,183	3,249	3,153	3,945	3,880	3,504	3,464	3,457	,	,			
2.2.9	Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered	Please see 2.2.3.	•	,	,	,	,	·	·		,	,	,	,	,					
2.2.10		Measure in devel	lopment																	
2.2.11	Ridership (rubber tire, average weekday)		491,553	501,988	473,146	496,294	481,998	504,555	504,632	488,692	486,628	505,681	517,675	515,379	484,577					
2.2.12	Percentage of time that elevators are available		94.4%	96.3%	91.4%	92.0%	94.1%	93.6%	99.2%	95.8%	96.8%	96.8%	98.9%	96.2%	96.9%	91.7%	96.5%			
	Percentage of time that escalators are available		91.8%	86.4%	94.5%	93.8%	86.8%	89.1%	93.9%	92.9%	84.5%	87.1%	87.1%	89.3%	87.3%	84.1%	85.7%			
Obied	tive 2.3: Increase use of all non-private auto modes.																			
	Non-private auto mode share when traveling to work	50%													62	2% (2011 Ce	nsus ACS			
	tive 2.4: Improve parking utilization and manage park														32	70 (2022 66				
			_																	
		Data forthcoming																		
		Data forthcoming	5.														2.720			
2.4.3	# of secure on street bicycle racks																2,739			
2.4.3	# of secure off street bicycle parking spaces (garage bicycle																475			
2.4.4	parking) Parking regulation compliance	l Data forthcoming	7																	
Goa	<b>13:</b> Improve the environment and quality of	of life in San	Franci	SCO																
Objec	tive 3.1: Reduce the Agency's and the transportation s	system's resour	rce consu	mption, e	emissions	, waste, a	nd noise.													
ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013			
3.1.1	Metric tons of CO2e for the transportation system	1,515,000														2,155,000 (2010)				
3.1.2	% of SFMTA non-revenue and taxi fleet that is alternative																94%			
	fuel/zero emissions																			
	% biodiesel to diesel used by SFMTA																2% (FY11			
	Number of electric vehicle charging stations																31			
	Citywide gasoline consumption rate																104 (2009			
	Agency electricity consumption (kWh)															123,746,104 (FY11				
	Agency gas consumption (therms)															579,043 (FY1:				
_	Agency water production (gallons)															21,301,	010 (FY11			
	Agency compost produciton (tonnes)																13 (CY09			
	Agency recycling produciton (tonnes)																534 (CY09			
	Agency waste produciton (tonnes)																592 (CY09			
_	tive 3.2: Increase the transportation system's positive	•	-																	
		Survey is being d	eveloped.	Results wi	ill be repor	ted for FY1	.3 Q4.													
	network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)																			
	tive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effectively.																			
3.3.1	% of all capital projects delivered on-budget by phase																			
3.3.2	% of all capital projects delivered on-time by phase	Results reporting	to hegin i	n March 20	<u> </u>  12		-	-		-										
		This is proving ch				l Valuating al	l ternative ~	l netrics		1										
		THIS IS PROVING CH	ianenging l	.o quantily.	. vve are ev	aiuatiiig di	ternative ff	ieuics.												
	tive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently.	6404															A40-			
	Average annual transit cost per revenue hour	\$184								-	-						\$194			
	Passengers per revenue hour for buses									-	-						70			
	Cost per unlinked trip		4 4 4		4	4				4	4.15		4 1 7				\$2.75			
	Pay hours: platform hours ratio		1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.13	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.13			00 = :			
3.4.5	Farebox recovery ratio								<u> </u>								30.8%			

## Color Legend

Outperforms Underperforms Equal to FY12 Avg FY12 Avg FY12 Avg

# **STRATEGIC PLAN METRICS REPORT |** February 2013



l D	Indiana.	Caal	FV42 A	EV42 A	Jan 2012	F-b 2012	NA 2042	A 2012	NA 2042	1 2012	1	A 2012	C 2012	0-+ 2042	N 2012	D = 2012	Jan 2012
ID Obio	Metric	Goal	FY12 AVg	FY13 AVg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	iviar 2012	Apr 2012	Iviay 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	NOV 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013
	ctive 3.5: Reduce capital and operating structural defic	TILS.							4			_	4				
3.5.1	Operating and capital structural deficit								\$70M			-	-	dditional n			-
										(SOGR)	and \$1.7B	5-Year sho	rtfall for b	ike, pedesti	ian, faciliti	es and trar	nsit (FY12)
Goa	I 4: Create a workplace that delivers outst	anding serv	ice														
Obje	ctive 4.1: Improve internal communications.																
4.1.1	Employee rating: Information needed to do the job? Informed	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mor	nth. Results	will be rep	orted for I	Y13 Q3.									
	about agency issues, challenges and current events?; scale of 1		_			•											
	(low) to 5 (high)																
4.1.2	% of employees that complete the survey	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	th. Results	will be rep	orted for F	Y13 Q3.									
4.1.3	Employee rating: I have a clear understanding of my division's	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	th. Results	will be rep	orted for F	Y13 Q3.									
	goals/objectives and how they contribute to Agency success																
4.1.4	Employee rating: I have received praise for my work in the last	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	ith. Results	will be rep	orted for F	Y13 Q3.									
	month																
4.1.5	Employee rating: Communication between leadership and	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	ith. Results	will be rep	orted for F	Y13 Q3.									
	employees has improved																
4.1.6	Employee rating: My concerns, questions, and suggestions are	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	ith. Results	will be rep	orted for F	Y13 Q3.									
	acted upon quickly and appropriately																
4.1.7	Employee rating: Discussions with my supervisor about my	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	ith. Results	will be rep	orted for F	Y13 Q3.									
	performance are worthwhile																
Obje	Objective 4.2: Create a collaborative and innovative work environment.																
4.2.1		Survey distributi	ion will beg	in this mor	nth. Results	will be rep	orted for I	Y13 Q3.									
4.2.2	to 5 (high)	C		:	.th. Daalta	:11 la aa.a	F F	V42 O2									
	Employee rating: My opinions seem to matter to my manager	Survey distributi															
4.2.3	Employee rating: Conflicts are resolved collaboratively	Survey distributi															
4.2.4	Employee rating: Employees in my division consistently look for	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	ith. Results	will be rep	orted for F	Y13 Q3.									
4.2.5	more efficient/effective ways of getting the job done	C			ul. D., li.	201.15	C F	V42.02									
4.2.5	Employee rating: Employees in my work unit share job knowledge to solve problems efficiently/effectively	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	ith. Results	wiii be rep	orted for F	Y13 Q3.									
4.2.6	Employee rating: I feel comfortable sharing my thoughts and	Cum cou distributi	مما النيد مم	in this man	+b Doculto	will be ren	orted for F	V12 O2									
4.2.0	opinions, even if they're different than others'	Survey distributi	on will beg	111 (1115 111011	itii. Kesuits	wiii be rep	orted for F	115 Q5.									
4.2.7	Employee rating: My work gives me a feeling of personal	Survey distributi	on will hea	in this mon	th Results	will he ren	orted for F	V13 O3									
1.2.7	accomplishment	Survey distributi	on will beg	111 (1113 111011	itii. Nesaits	wiii be rep	orted for r	115 Q5.									
Ohie	ctive 4.3: Improve employee accountability.																
ID	Metric	Goal	ΕΥ12 Ανσ	FY13 Avg	Ian 2012	Eab 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	lun 2012	Jul 2012	Λυσ 2012	Son 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Doc 2012	lan 2012
4.3.1	% of employees with performance completed/appraisals	Results will be a					IVIAI ZUIZ	Apr 2012	IVIAY ZUIZ	Juli 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	3ep 2012	OCT 2012	1404 2012	Dec 2012	Jail 2013
4.5.1	conducted	Results will be a	valiable at	the end of	the fiscal y	ear.											
4.3.2	% of employees with performance plans prepared by start of fiscal																
7.5.2	year																
4.3.3	% of employees who have received feedback on their work	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	th. Results	will be rep	orted for F	Y13 O3.									
4.3.4	% of divisions/units that report metrics	Survey distributi															
4.3.5	Unscheduled absence rate by employee group (Transit operators)	carrey albertouti	8.7%	8.7%	8.2%	7.2%	7.7%	9.5%	9.8%	9.9%	9.4%	10.5%	9.3%	6.6%	7.0%	9.0%	8.9%
4.3.6	Employee rating: My manager holds me accountable to achieve	Survey distributi	L		<u> </u>				3.070	3.370	5.470	10.570	5.570	0.070	7.070	3.070	0.570
1.5.0	my written objectives	Janvey distributi	on will beg	(1113 111011	iciii Nesuits	will be rep	orted for I	. 15 QJ.									
Obie	ctive 4.4: Improve relationships and partnerships with	our stakeholde	ers.														
	Stakeholder rating: satisfaction with SFMTA decision-making	Survey is being o		Results wi	ll be report	ted for FY1	3 Q4.										
	process/communications; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Selling (			ac report	.50.10111											
	[																

## Color Legend

Outperforms Underperforms Equal to FY12 Avg FY12 Avg FY12 Avg