

ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013
Goa	1: Create a safer transportation experience	e for every	one												
Obje	ctive 1.1: Improve security for transportation system use	ers.													
1.1.1	SFPD-reported Muni-related crimes/100,000 miles	3.39	3.77	7.25	4.85	5.09	5.98	5.65	5.26	4.81	7.24	9.44	10.68	9.24	11.37
1.1.2	Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while on a Muni vehicle); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.95											
	Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while waiting at a Muni stop or station); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.89											
	SFPD-reported taxi-related crimes		3	4	1	2	10	6	3	4	2	1	6	2	2
1.1.4	Security complaints to 311 (Muni)		46	34	36	42	39	27	40	31	44	29	33	25	26
Obje	ctive 1.2: Improve workplace safety and security.														
1.2.1	Workplace injuries/200,000 hours	14.6	16.2	14.2	14.2	17.9	13.0	15.0	18.0	13.7	13.7	12.8	11.3	12.0	
1.2.2	Security incidents involving SFMTA personnel (Muni only)		14	16	16	11	16	12	20	19	16	14	18	14	
1.2.3	Lost work days due to injury		3,764	3,912	4,242	4,535	3,495	3,779	3,646	3,773					
Obje	ctive 1.3: Improve the safety of the transportation system	m.													
1.3.1	Muni collisions/100,000 miles	4.53	5.03	5.18	5.12	4.91	4.67	6.42	4.45	5.00	4.27	5.76	5.05	5.99	
1.3.2a	Collisions involving motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists	Awaiting 2012	results.												
1.3.2b	Collisions involving taxis	Awaiting 2012	results.												
1.3.3	Muni falls on board/100,000 miles	Ü	4.65	4.20	4.94	4.60	4.99	4.24	3.49	4.26	4.36	3.87	2.92	4.25	
1.3.4	"Unsafe operation" Muni complaints to 311		179	149	158	179	166	173	129	123	155	147	137	145	126
1.3.5	Customer rating: Safety of transit riding experience; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.40											
Goa	I 2: Make transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, rid	lesharing 8	& carsha	ring the											
Obje	ctive 2.1: Improve customer service and communication	s.													
2.1.1	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with transit services; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.48											
2.1.2	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with taxi availability; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.48											
	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with bicycle network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.81											
2.1.4	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with pedestrian environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.54											
2.1.5	Average time to communicate Muni service advisories to customers	This is proving	challenging	to quantify.	We are ev	aluating al	ternative n	netrics.							
2.1.6	Percentage of color curb requests addressed within 30 days		87%	93%	89%	92%	88%	94%	89%	95%	96%	97%	97%	92%	
2.1.6	Percentage of hazardous traffic sign reports addressed within 24 hours		99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
2.1.6	Percentage of parking meter malfunctions addressed within 48 hours		85%	82%	82%	84%	81%	86%	63%	79%	80%	82%	87%	86%	87%
2.1.6	Percentage of traffic and parking control requests addressed within 90 days		81%	76%		69%			76%			82%			
2.1.6	Percentage of traffic signal requests addressed within 2 hours		97%	97%	98%	94%	99%	97%	97%	97%	95%	99%	97%	93%	98%

•		
Outperforms	Underperforms	Equal to
FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg



ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013
2.1.7	Percentage of actionable 311 Muni-related complaints addressed within 14 days (60 days for ADA violations)		87%	89%	91%	93%	87%	86%	93%	82%	82%	87%	94%	97%	
2.1.8	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni vehicles; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.39											
2.1.9	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni facilities (stations, elevators, escalators); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.47											
Obje	ctive 2.2: Improve transit performance.														
2.2.1	Percentage of transit trips with <2 min bunching on Rapid Network	5.3%	7.1%	7.0%	7.0%	8.0%	7.7%	7.6%	6.5%	6.5%	6.3%	6.5%	6.6%	6.9%	7.1%
2.2.1	Percentage of transit trips with + 5 min gaps on Rapid Network	13.9%	18.5%	17.7%	19.1%	20.3%	19.1%	18.8%	17.0%	18.6%	16.6%	17.0%	15.7%	15.2%	16.8%
2.2.2	Percentage of on-time performance for non-Rapid Network routes	85%	61.0%	59.3%	60.0%	57.2%	56.9%	57.6%	59.1%	59.2%	60.0%	59.2%	60.4%	62.0%	61.6%
2.2.3	Percentage of service pulled out at scheduled time	98.5%	96.6%	96.7%	95.3%	94.0%	95.7%	96.2%	96.7%	96.0%	97.8%	96.7%	98.4%	99.2%	97.9%
2.2.4	Percentage of on-time departures from terminals	85%	76.9%	73.4%	76.4%	70.0%	70.2%	71.1%	73.1%	72.8%	74.5%	73.6%	75.0%	76.1%	75.0%
2.2.5	Average Muni system speed	Results reporti	ng to begin	in FY13 Q4.											
2.2.6	Percentage of on-time performance	85%	60.1%	58.8%	59.0%	55.6%	56.0%	56.6%	58.9%	59.0%	60.5%	59.8%	60.7%	61.3%	60.4%
2.2.7	Percentage of trips over capacity during AM peak (8:00a-8:59a, inbound) at max load points		6.4%	7.6%	7.5%	7.7%	8.5%	9.4%	7.8%	7.1%	6.6%	7.6%	7.4%	7.0%	6.7%
2.2.7	Percentage of trips over capacity during PM peak (5:00p-5:59p, outbound) at max load points		7.1%	7.7%	7.7%	10.1%	8.5%	8.9%	6.7%	8.0%	6.4%	5.9%	7.0%	7.6%	7.3%
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Bus)		3,300	3,259	2,820	3,087	2,815	2,877	3,071	3,197	3,631	3,723	4,170	3,712	
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (LRV)		3,137	3,796	4,211	3,358	3,657	3,660	3,910	3,167	3,927	4,440	3,984	3,655	
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Historic)		2,055	2,247	2,454	6,566	2,200	2,144	1,990	1,891	1,958	2,316	1,620	2,530	
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Cable)		2,936	3,627	4,571	6,202	4,248	2,386	4,244	2,624	2,649	2,811	4,814	5,488	
2.2.9	Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered	Please see 2.2.3	3.												
2.2.10	Percentage of scheduled trips completed	Measure in dev	elopment.												
2.2.11	Ridership (rubber tire, average weekday)		490,514	496,840	486,497	505,630	517,674	515,379	484,545	500,121	467,267	488,616	493,484	501,281	504,742
2.2.12	Percentage of time that elevators are available		93.6%	96.5%	96.8%	96.8%	98.9%	96.2%	96.9%	91.7%	96.5%	95.8%	98.4%	96.7%	96.8%
2.2.13	Percentage of time that escalators are available		94.2%	87.4%	84.5%	87.1%	87.1%	89.3%	87.3%	84.1%	85.7%	87.0%	93.0%	88.2%	88.0%
Obje	ctive 2.3: Increase use of all non-private auto modes.														
2.3.1	Non-private auto mode share (all trips)	50%	45% (2011	Mode Share	Survey)										
Obje	ctive 2.4: Improve parking utilization and manage parkir	ng demand.													
2.4.1	Parking reliability rate of SFpark spaces (Marina District)	Ī	48.8%	58.3%	61.5%	55.1%	53.9%	47.2%	45.4%	51.2%	64.8%	58.3%	58.3%	60.6%	59.4%
2.4.2	Parking reliability of SFMTA garage spaces (median garage rate)		99.7%	99.7%	99.7%	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%	99.8%	98.2%	99.5%	99.5%	99.8%	100.0%	99.8%
2.4.3	# of secure on-street bicycle parking spaces			6,804											
2.4.3	# of secure off-street bicycle parking spaces (garage bicycle parking)			888											
2.4.4	On-street payment compliance (median district rate)			56.8%	56.7%	56.5%	57.4%	56.9%	56.4%	57.0%	57.2%	57.9%	57.8%	56.3%	54.7%

•		
Outperforms	Underperforms	Equal to
FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg



ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013
Goa	13: Improve the environment and quality o	f life in San	Francis	СО											
Obied	ctive 3.1: Reduce the Agency's and the transportation sy	stem's resour	ce consun	nption, em	issions. v	vaste, and	noise.								
•	Metric tons of C02e for the transportation system	1,515,000		.,										2.155	5,000 (2010)
3.1.2	% of SFMTA non-revenue and taxi fleet that is alternative fuel/zero	_,													
	emissions														94%
3.1.3	% biodiesel to diesel used by SFMTA														2% (FY11)
3.1.4	Number of electric vehicle charging stations														31
3.1.5	Citywide gasoline consumption rate													149,156	5,104 (2009)
3.1.6	Agency electricity consumption (kWh)													123,746	6,104 (FY11)
3.1.6	Agency gas consumption (therms)													579	9,043 (FY11)
3.1.6	Agency water production (gallons)													21,301	1,010 (FY11)
3.1.7	Agency compost production (tonnes)														13 (CY09)
3.1.7	Agency recycling production (tonnes)														534 (CY09)
3.1.7	Agency waste production (tonnes)														592 (CY09)
Objec	ctive 3.2: Increase the transportation system's positive i	mpact to the e	conomy.												
	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			n FY14.											
Obje	ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effectively.														
3.3.1	% of all capital projects delivered on-budget by phase	Results reportir													
3.3.2	% of all capital projects delivered on-time by phase	Results reportir	ng to begin i	in FY14.											
3.3.3	% of all capital projects delivered in-scope by phase	This is proving of	hallenging	to quantify.	We are ev	aluating alt	ternative n	netrics.							
Objec	ctive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently.														
3.4.1	Average annual transit cost per revenue hour	\$184	\$195												
3.4.2	Passengers per revenue hour for buses		70												
3.4.3	Cost per unlinked trip		\$2.77												
3.4.4	Pay hours: platform hours ratio		1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.13	1.12	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11
3.4.5	Farebox recovery ratio		30.8%												
Objec	ctive 3.5: Reduce capital and operating structural deficit	S.													
3.5.1	Operating and capital structural deficit					\$70M	additional	needed fo	-					-	(SOGR) and ansit (FY12)
Goa	14: Create a workplace that delivers outsta	nding servi	ce												
	ctive 4.1: Improve internal communications.														
•	Employee rating: Information needed to do the job? Informed about agency issues, challenges and current events?; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Results will be	reported fo	r FY13 Q4.											
4.1.2	% of employees that complete the survey	Results will be r	eported for	FY13 Q4.											
4.1.3	Employee rating: I have a clear understanding of my division's goals/objectives and how they contribute to Agency success	Results will be r	•												
4.1.4	Employee rating: I have received praise for my work in the last month	Results will be r	eported for	FY13 Q4.											

J		
Outperforms	Underperforms	Equal to
FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg



ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013
4.1.5	Employee rating: Communication between leadership and employees has improved	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
4.1.6	Employee rating: My concerns, questions, and suggestions are acted upon quickly and appropriately	Results will be	reported fo	r FY13 Q4.											
4.1.7	Employee rating: Discussions with my supervisor about my performance are worthwhile	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
Obje	ctive 4.2: Create a collaborative and innovative work en	vironment.													
4.2.1	Employee rating: Overall employee satisfaction; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Survey distribu	ition will be	gin this mon	th. Results	will be re	ported for	FY13 Q4.							
4.2.2	Employee rating: My opinions seem to matter to my manager	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
4.2.3		Results will be													
4.2.4	Employee rating: Employees in my division consistently look for more efficient/effective ways of getting the job done	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
4.2.5	Employee rating: Employees in my work unit share job knowledge to solve problems efficiently/effectively	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
4.2.6	Employee rating: I feel comfortable sharing my thoughts and opinions, even if they're different than others'	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
4.2.7	Employee rating: My work gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
Obje	ctive 4.3: Improve employee accountability.														
4.3.1	% of employees with performance completed/appraisals conducted	Results will be	available af	fter the end	of the fisca	al year.									
4.3.2	% of employees with performance plans prepared by start of fiscal year														
4.3.3	% of employees who have received feedback on their work	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
4.3.4	% of divisions/units that report metrics	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
4.3.5	Unscheduled absence rate by employee group (Transit operators)		12.2%	8.6%	9.4%	10.5%	9.3%	6.6%	7.0%	9.0%	8.9%	10.3%	8.5%	6.9%	8.3%
4.3.6	Employee rating: My manager holds me accountable to achieve my written objectives	Results will be	reported for	r FY13 Q4.											
Objec	ctive 4.4: Improve relationships and partnerships with o	ur stakeholde	rs.												
4.4.1	Stakeholder rating: satisfaction with SFMTA decision-making process/communications; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Survey will be	conducted i	n FY14.											

Outperforms	Underperforms	Equal to
FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg