

Lombard Street Safety Project

Guideline for Reviewing the Transit Effectiveness Project EIR

Guidelines for Reviewing the Transit Effectiveness Project EIR

The Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP) Environmental Impact Report (EIR), approved by the SFMTA Board March 2014, was an in-depth planning process to evaluate Muni's performance system wide and identify program and project level improvements along key transit corridors. The implementation of these improvements is known as Muni Forward.

The Lombard Street corridor hosts Muni routes 28, 43, and the 91 OWL. The 28 is part of Muni's rapid network and is slated for improvements under the TEP. These improvements are referred to as travel-time reduction proposals (TTRP's). The TEP evaluated each TTRP based on a standard set of roadway and traffic engineering treatments referred to as the transit preferential streets (TPS) toolkit, including lane modifications, traffic signal and stop sign changes, transit stop changes, parking and turn restrictions, and pedestrian improvements. Two segments of the 28 route were identified in the TEP for proposed improvements: 1.) 19th Avenue, 2.) Van Ness Avenue, Lombard Street and Richardson Avenue; these are referred to in the document as TTRP 28_1 and TTRP 28_2 respectfully.

The following identifies the location of information related to capital, programmatic changes and policies that are relevant to the Lombard Street Safety Project. The EIR chapters can be found on the project website under details: https://www.sfmta.com/projects-planning/projects/lombard-street-safety-project

Chapter 2: Project Description

Overview: 2-7

Service Policy Framework: 23

Project/ program description: 11, 12, 17, 55

TPS Toolkit: 13, 23-51

Chapter 4.2: Transportation and Circulation

Significance Criteria: 20-21

> Approach to Analysis: 23-39

Summary of Impact Analysis: 40-65

Service-related Capital Improvements: 44-47

o Impacts of Program-level Proposals: 47-63

Cumulative Transportation Impacts: 63-64



Lombard Street Safety Project

Guideline for Reviewing the Transit Effectiveness Project EIR

o Summary: 65

Program-level Impacts

Common Construction Impacts: 66-71

Impacts of Service Policy Framework: 71-81

Impacts of TPS Toolkit: 80-101

Impacts of Program-level Proposals: 102-116

Chapter 4.3 Noise

Construction Impacts on Noise and Vibration

Noise: 25-32Vibration: 32-35

Operational Impacts on Noise and Vibration:

Noise: 35-48Vibration: 48-51

Chapter 4.4 Air Quality

Construction Impacts

o Emissions: 38-41

Health Risks and Hazards: 41-42

Operational Impacts

o Air Quality: 43-47

Health Risks and Hazards: 47-49

o Compliance with 2010 Clean Air Plan: 49-52

Cumulative Impacts

o Emissions: 52

Health Risks and Hazards: 52-55

For other subject areas not listed above refer to the initial study in Appendix 2. The initial study determined that all program and project level components would result in less than significant impacts in all subjects not listed above including: Land Use and Land Use Planning, Aesthetics, Population and Housing, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Wind and Shadow, Recreation, Utilities and Service Systems, Public Services, Biological Resources, Geology and Soils, Hydrology and Water Quality, Mineral and Energy Resources, and Agriculture and Forest Resources.