

| ID | Metric | Target | FY12 Avg | FY13 Avg | FY14 Avg | FY15 Avg | FY16 Avg | FY17 Avg | FY18 Avg | Feb 2017 | Mar 2017 | Apr 2017 | May 2017 | Jun 2017 | Jul 2017 | Aug 2017 | Sep 2017 | Oct 2017 | Nov 2017 | Dec 2017 | Jan 2018 | Feb 2018 | |
|---|--|--------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| Goal 1: Create a safer transportation experience for everyone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 1.1: Improve security for transportation system users | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.1 | SFPD-reported Muni-related crimes/100,000 miles | 5.3 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.4 | | |
| 1.1.2 | Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while on a Muni vehicle); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.2 | Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while waiting at a Muni stop or station); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | | 3.2 | | | 3.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.4 | Security complaints to 311 (Muni) | | 41.6 | 36 | 29 | 37 | 29 | 37 | 40 | 26 | 24 | 37 | 27 | 45 | 56 | 35 | 43 | 44 | 43 | 34 | 34 | 29 | |
| Objective 1.2: Improve workplace safety and security | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.1 | Workplace injuries/200,000 hours | 11.3 | 16.2 | 13.8 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 12.8 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 11.1 | 12.9 | 14.8 | 15.4 | 16.3 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 17.5 | 9.8 | 13.0 | | |
| 1.2.2 | Security incidents involving SFMTA personnel (Muni only) | | 11.3 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 13 | 5 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 9 | | |
| 1.2.3 | Lost work days due to injury | | | 16,445 (CY13) | 15,221 (CY14) | 13,625 (CY15) | 15,992 (CY16) | 21,745 (CY17) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.4 | Employee rating: I feel safe and secure in my work environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 1.3: Improve the safety of the transportation system | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.3.1 | Muni collisions/100,000 miles | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 4.6 | | |
| 1.3.2 | Collisions involving motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists | | 3,235 (CY12) | 3,049 (CY13) | 2,995 (CY14) | 3,046 (CY15) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.3.3 | Muni falls on board / 100,000 miles* | | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.5 | | |
| 1.3.4 | "Unsafe operation" Muni complaints to 311 | | 179.1 | 157 | 174 | 179 | 183 | 179 | 178 | 160 | 110 | 165 | 154 | 207 | 156 | 193 | 177 | 214 | 195 | 167 | 172 | 145 | |
| 1.3.5 | Customer rating: Safety of transit riding experience; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 | | 3.9 | | | 3.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goal 2: Make transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, ridesharing & carsharing the preferred means of travel | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 2.1: Improve customer service and communications | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.1 | Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with transit services; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | 3.4 | | | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | | 3.2 | | | 3.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.2 | Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with taxi availability; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.2 | | 3.2 | | | 3.1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.3 | Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with bicycle network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.4 | Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with pedestrian environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | | 3.1 | | | 3.3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.5 | Customer rating: Satisfaction with communications to passengers; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | | 2.9 | | | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.6 | Percentage of color curb requests addressed within 30 days | | 86.4% | 93.3% | 93.6% | 69.9% | 96.6% | 95.8% | 98.8% | 98.8% | 94.8% | 85.3% | 100.0% | 98.6% | 100.0% | 96.1% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.3% | 98.5% | 97.7% | | |
| 2.1.6 | Percentage of hazardous traffic sign reports addressed within 24 hours | | 99.0% | 100.0% | 99.5% | 98.0% | 98.4% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| 2.1.6 | Percentage of parking meter malfunctions addressed within 48 hours | | 85.0% | 82.4% | 75.6% | 60.0% | 82.5% | 91.2% | 88.0% | 95.7% | 91.9% | 89.6% | | 87.4% | 97.7% | | 84.0% | 78.9% | 84.8% | 84.0% | 98.6% | | |
| 2.1.6 | Percentage of traffic and parking control requests addressed within 90 days | | 81.0% | 79.1% | 53.8% | 40.4% | 54.7% | 82.1% | 83.8% | 82.2% | | | 87.1% | | 76.9% | | | | 90.6% | | | | |
| 2.1.6 | Percentage of traffic signal requests addressed within 2 hours | | 97.0% | 96.9% | 96.8% | 96.8% | 97.5% | 97.9% | 98.8% | 99.4% | 98.8% | 98.8% | 98.1% | 99.4% | 100.0% | 99.3% | 98.0% | 98.8% | 97.7% | 98.3% | 98.5% | 99.7% | |
| 2.1.7 | Percentage of actionable 311 Muni operator conduct complaints addressed within 28 business days | | 94.2% | 93.5% | 89.8% | 89.5% | 57.5% | 74.3% | 80.8% | 93.4% | 90.7% | 85.4% | 80.8% | 80.8% | 87.0% | 84.8% | 88.0% | 89.4% | 61.4% | 85.4% | 91.2% | | |
| 2.1.8 | Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni vehicles; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.9 | Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni facilities (stations, elevators, escalators); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | | | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 2.2: Improve transit performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.1 | Percentage of transit trips with <2 min bunching on Rapid Network | 1.8% | 3.9% | 4.0% | 4.0% | 4.8% | 5.4% | 5.9% | 6.0% | | 7.0% | 5.8% | 5.8% | 5.7% | 5.9% | 6.8% | 7.7% | 5.8% | 6.0% | 5.6% | 5.0% | 5.6% | |
| 2.2.1 | Percentage of transit trips with +5 min gaps on Rapid Network | 8.8% | 19.5% | 17.8% | 18.6% | 17.2% | 16.9% | 18.1% | 17.1% | | 18.8% | 17.7% | 17.6% | 17.5% | 17.1% | 16.9% | 17.5% | 19.2% | 18.0% | 17.0% | 16.2% | 15.0% | |
| 2.2.2 | Percentage of on-time performance for non-Rapid Network routes | 85% | 61.1% | 59.9% | 59.6% | 57.4% | 60.5% | 59.5% | 57.5% | 59.6% | 59.8% | 59.7% | 59.4% | 57.7% | 57.1% | 57.8% | 56.7% | 56.3% | 56.5% | 58.4% | 58.5% | | |
| 2.2.4 | Percentage of on-time departures from terminals | 85% | 76.9% | 73.7% | 73.9% | 72.2% | 75.3% | 75.0% | 74.8% | 74.6% | 75.1% | 75.0% | 75.3% | 74.3% | 73.4% | 74.2% | 73.5% | 73.8% | 74.5% | 77.1% | 77.4% | | |
| 2.2.6 | Percentage of on-time performance | 85% | 60.1% | 59.0% | 58.9% | 57.0% | 59.8% | 57.3% | 56.1% | 56.8% | 57.3% | 57.3% | 57.2% | 55.9% | 55.5% | 56.3% | 55.0% | 55.1% | 55.5% | 57.5% | 57.7% | | |
| 2.2.7 | Percentage of bus trips over capacity during AM peak (8:00a-8:59a, inbound) at max load points | | 5.9% | 7.4% | 7.4% | 4.7% | 3.4% | 2.1% | 1.5% | 3.3% | 1.5% | 1.4% | 1.3% | 2.0% | 1.1% | 1.5% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.4% | 1.4% | 1.9% | 1.9% | |
| 2.2.7 | Percentage of bus trips over capacity during PM peak (5:00p-5:59p, outbound) at max load points | | 7.1% | 8.6% | 8.3% | 5.6% | 4.1% | 2.5% | 2.0% | 2.8% | 1.9% | 1.2% | 1.8% | 1.5% | 1.4% | 2.0% | 3.7% | 3.1% | 1.5% | 1.6% | 2.2% | 1.0% | |
| Objective 2.2: Improve transit performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.8 | Mean distance between failure (Bus) | | 3,300 | 3,310 | 4,632 | 5,650 | 5,416 | 5,155 | 6,809 | 4,404 | 5,107 | 5,235 | 6,780 | 6,508 | 8,079 | 7,528 | 5,982 | 7,388 | 6,492 | 6,652 | 6,027 | | |
| 2.2.8 | Mean distance between failure (LRV) | | 3,137 | 3,571 | 3,164 | 4,517 | 5,547 | 5,218 | 5,126 | 5,216 | 5,536 | 4,979 | 5,776 | 5,497 | 5,008 | 5,591 | 3,895 | 5,246 | 5,911 | 5,464 | 5,256 | | |
| 2.2.8 | Mean distance between failure (Historic) | | 2,055 | 2,179 | 2,045 | 1,797 | 1,971 | 2,865 | 2,396 | 3,128 | 3,651 | 3,827 | 2,909 | 2,543 | 2,952 | 2,610 | 2,577 | 2,382 | 2,346 | 1,807 | | | |
| 2.2.8 | Mean distance between failure (Cable) | | 2,936 | 3,835 | 4,734 | 5,200 | 4,412 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.9 | Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered | | 96.8% | 97.0% | 96.2% | 97.7% | 99.0% | 98.9% | 98.3% | 99.2% | 99.2% | 99.2% | 99.0% | 98.7% | 99.0% | 98.0% | 98.6% | 97.5% | 98.9% | 98.6% | 98.1% | 97.7% | |
| 2.2.11 | Ridership (Bus, average weekday) | | 490,598 | 495,341 | 504,205 | 510,844 | 519,462 | 507,333 | 506,366 | 506,930 | 499,370 | 512,610 | 519,700 | 497,800 | 486,780 | 516,200 | 546,920 | 536,920 | 494,230 | 487,910 | 475,600 | | |
| 2.2.11 | Ridership (LRV, average weekday) | | 141,000 | 145,700 | 155,800 | 157,841 | 171,598 | 170,388 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.11 | Ridership (Historic, average weekday) | | 23,450 | 23,210 | 22,521 | 20,988 | 19,750 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.11 | Ridership (Cable, average weekday) | | 20,160 | 18,960 | 20,640 | 19,070 | 15,490 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.11 | Ridership (faregate entries, average weekday) | | 71,172 | 74,054 | 74,137 | 75,967 | 70,307 | 70,236 | 66,474 | 72,014 | 70,990 | 70,860 | 59,946 | 69,093 | 65,350 | 64,482 | 67,464 | 65,804 | 63,357 | 62,109 | 65,562 | 68,056 | |
| 2.2.12 | Percentage of days that elevators are in full operation | | 93.6% | 96.3% | 94.4% | 93.3% | 94.4% | 97.0% | 97.7% | 97.4% | 97.6% | 93.5% | 92.5% | 91.7% | 97.0% | 99.4% | 98.9% | 97.5% | 100.0% | 98.9% | 98.5% | | |
| 2.2.13 | Percentage of days that escalators are in full operation | | 94.2% | 88.1% | 93.8% | 91.9% | 86.5% | 91.4% | 93.9% | 85.8% | 89.2% | 92.5% | 93.0% | 88.5% | 83.8% | 94.1% | 95.6% | 94.2% | 95.8% | 98.3% | 95.7% | 93.6% | |

Color Legend

Outperforms Previous FY Average Underperforms Previous FY Average Equal to Previous FY Average

Note: Reported results are subject to change as data quality improves or new data become available.

| ID | Metric | Target | FY12 Avg | FY13 Avg | FY14 Avg | FY15 Avg | FY16 Avg | FY17 Avg | FY18 Avg | Feb 2017 | Mar 2017 | Apr 2017 | May 2017 | Jun 2017 | Jul 2017 | Aug 2017 | Sep 2017 | Oct 2017 | Nov 2017 | Dec 2017 | Jan 2018 | Feb 2018 |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Objective 2.3: Increase use of all non-private auto modes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.1 | Non-private auto mode share (all trips) | 50% | | 50% | 54% | 52% | 54% | 57% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.2 | Average daily bikeshare trips (Weekday) | | | | 885 | 1,089 | 1,023 | 984 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 2.4: Improve parking utilization and manage parking demand | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4.1 | Percentage of metered hours with no rate change in SFpark pilot areas ¹ | 40.5% | 52.2% | 66.2% | 60.3% | 64.7% | 71.8% | 80.1% | | | | | | | 80.1% | | | | | | | |
| 2.4.2 | Off-peak share of SFMTA garage entries (before 7:00a/after 9:59a) ² | 81.2% | 81.3% | 80.7% | 80.9% | 80.6% | 80.7% | 81.2% | 80.1% | 79.7% | 80.5% | 80.2% | 80.2% | 80.2% | 82.2% | 79.8% | 80.1% | 79.6% | 81.7% | 85.3% | 79.9% | 80.2% |
| 2.4.2 | Hourly share of SFMTA garage entries (vs. monthly & early bird) ² | 85.2% | 85.3% | 84.4% | 85.9% | 84.7% | 84.2% | 83.7% | 82.7% | 82.0% | 82.5% | 81.9% | 82.3% | 82.2% | 83.9% | 81.8% | 82.8% | 84.8% | 87.7% | 82.8% | 82.8% | 82.8% |
| 2.4.3 | # of secure on-street bicycle parking spaces ³ | | | | 7,958 | 8,925 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4.3 | # of secure off-street bicycle parking spaces (garage bicycle parking) ³ | | | | 1,329 | 1,429 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goal 3: Improve the environment and quality of life in San Francisco | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 3.1: Reduce the Agency's and the transportation system's resource consumption, emissions, waste, and noise | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 | SFMTA carbon footprint (metric tons CO2e) | 17,434 | 49,811 | 46,272 | 45,244 | 43,499 | 24,146 | 3,483 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.2 | Percentage of SFMTA non-revenue fleet that is alternative fuel/zero emissions | 24.1% | 28.0% | 28.1% | 28.5% | 42.2% | 29.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.2 | Percentage of SFMTA taxi fleet that is alternative fuel/zero emissions | 94.0% | 94.0% | 98.0% | 98.0% | 94.6% | 94.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.4 | Number of electric vehicle charging stations | 33 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.6 | Agency electricity consumption (kWh) ⁴ | 9,862,454 | 9,790,994 | 9,944,080 | 9,783,200 | 9,957,470 | 9,928,945 | 9,855,954 | 9,124,890 | 10,188,051 | 9,727,734 | 10,143,276 | 10,028,603 | 9,936,073 | 10,133,623 | 9,498,167 | | | | | | |
| 3.1.6 | Agency gas consumption (therms) ⁴ | 33,934 | 32,049 | 23,057 | 19,265 | 21,108 | 24,260 | 12,375 | 59,391 | 33,353 | 27,102 | 19,588 | 25,793 | 14,501 | 11,615 | 10,956 | | | | | | |
| 3.1.6 | Agency water consumption (gallons) ⁴ | 1,444,139 | 1,476,801 | 1,903,909 | 1,735,422 | 1,503,979 | 1,431,516 | 1,523,596 | 1,160,828 | 1,179,604 | 1,370,642 | 1,336,119 | 1,597,392 | 1,237,329 | 1,375,218 | 1,341,976 | 1,171,439 | 2,382,053 | 1,216,688 | 1,940,466 | | |
| 3.1.7 | Agency waste diversion rate | 36.4% | 37.9% | 37.1% | 34.5% | 35.1% | 33.3% | 37.3% | 31.7% | 30.2% | 31.3% | 32.9% | 39.7% | 31.5% | 35.7% | 36.4% | 44.6% | | | | | |
| Objective 3.2: Increase the transportation system's positive impact to the economy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2.1 | Muni average weekday boardings | 675,208 | 683,211 | 703,160 | 708,733 | 726,303 | 714,910 | | 711,850 | 708,660 | 721,460 | 730,910 | 707,590 | 702,280 | 725,070 | 753,320 | 735,730 | 698,400 | 666,400 | 663,610 | | |
| Objective 3.3: Allocate capital resources effectively | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.3.1 | Percentage of all capital projects delivered on-budget by phase ⁵ | | | | 65.6% | 81.3% | 92.3% | | 95.1% | 94.3% | 94.4% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.3.2 | Percentage of all capital projects delivered on-time by phase ⁵ | | | | 59.2% | 97.8% | 84.3% | | 69.7% | 61.5% | 62.7% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 3.4: Deliver services efficiently | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.4.1 | Transit passengers per Hour | | | | | | | | 63.3 | 62.9 | 64.1 | 64.9 | 63.2 | 62.7 | 64.6 | 67.1 | 65.5 | 62.2 | 59.9 | 60 | | |
| 3.4.2 | Average annual transit cost per revenue hour ⁶ | \$203 | \$224.73 | \$224.54 | \$224.73 | \$242.35 | \$240.89 | \$236.83 | \$220.39 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.4.3 | Cost per unlinked trip ⁶ | \$3.14 | \$3.22 | \$3.23 | \$3.29 | \$3.48 | \$3.49 | \$3.54 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.4.5 | Farebox recovery ratio | 32.0% | 32.0% | 33.7% | 30.4% | 29.5% | 26.2% | 24.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.4.6 | Average daily Transit Operator shortfall | 37 | 35 | 43 | 25 | 10 | 17 | 12 | 10 | 8 | | | 17 | 13 | 27 | | | | | | | |
| 3.4.7 | Number of individuals entering Transit Operator training per month ⁷ | 205 | 158 | 147 | 594 | 295 | 278 | 95 | 29 | 29 | 28 | | 29 | 29 | | 14 | | | 30 | | 22 | |
| Objective 3.5: Reduce capital and operating structural deficits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.5.1 | Structural capital budget deficit (SOGR) ⁸ | | | | | \$229M (As of Q4) | \$278M (As of Q4) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goal 4: Create a workplace that delivers outstanding service | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 4.1: Improve internal communications | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.1 | Employee rating: I have the information and tools I need to do my job, scale of 1 (high) to 5 (low) | 4.0 | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.1 | Employee rating: I have access to information about Agency accomplishments, current events, issues and challenges; scale of 1 (high) to 5 (low)* | 3.9 | | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | | 3.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.1 | Employee rating: I feel as though the Agency communicates current events, issues, challenges and accomplishments clearly; scale of 1 (high) to 5 (low)* | | | | | | 3.2 | 3.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.2 | Percentage of employees that complete the survey | | | 32.9% | 29.6% | 27.2% | 29.7% | 31.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.3 | Employee rating: I have a clear understanding of my division's goals/objectives and how they contribute to Agency success. | | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.4 | Employee rating: I have received feedback on my work in the last 30 days. | | | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.5 | Employee rating: I have noticed that communication between leadership and employees has improved. | | | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.6 | Employee rating: Discussions with my supervisor about my performance are worthwhile. | | | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Color Legend

Outperforms Previous FY Average Underperforms Previous FY Average Equal to Previous FY Average

Note: Reported results are subject to change as data quality improves or new data become available.

| ID | Metric | Target | FY12 Avg | FY13 Avg | FY14 Avg | FY15 Avg | FY16 Avg | FY17 Avg | FY18 Avg | Feb 2017 | Mar 2017 | Apr 2017 | May 2017 | Jun 2017 | Jul 2017 | Aug 2017 | Sep 2017 | Oct 2017 | Nov 2017 | Dec 2017 | Jan 2018 | Feb 2018 |
|--|---|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Objective 4.2: Create a collaborative and innovative work environment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.1 | Employee rating: Overall employee satisfaction; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | 3.9 | | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.2 | Employee rating: My concerns, questions, and suggestions are welcomed and acted upon quickly and appropriately. | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.3 | Employee rating: I find ways to resolve conflicts by working collaboratively with others. | | | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.4 | Employee rating: I am encouraged to use innovative approaches to achieve goals. | | | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.5 | Employee rating: Employees in my work unit share job knowledge to solve problems efficiently/effectively | | | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.6 | Employee rating: I feel comfortable sharing my thoughts and opinions, even if they're different than others'. | | | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.7 | Employee rating: My work gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment. | | | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 4.3: Improve employee accountability | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.3.1 | Percentage of employees with performance plans prepared by start of fiscal year | 100% | | 20.3% | 62.5% | 31.3% | 59.1% | 43.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.3.1 | Percentage of employees with annual appraisals based on their performance plans | 100% | | 18.8% | 62.5% | 54.2% | 58.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.3.2 | Percentage of strategic plan metrics reported | | | 73.0% | 92.3% | 93.6% | 96.1% | 96.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.3.3 | Unscheduled absence rate by employee group (Transit operators) | | 12.2% | 8.6% | 9.4% | 7.7% | 8.6% | 8.1% | 8.5% | 8.1% | 7.0% | 8.5% | 9.4% | 7.9% | 8.0% | 8.0% | 7.9% | 9.4% | 8.1% | 8.4% | 9.0% | 9.2% |
| 4.3.4 | Employee rating: My manager holds me accountable to achieve my written objectives. | | | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.3.5 | Employee commendations to 311* | | 127 | 112 | 104 | 104 | 152 | 181 | 109 | 130 | 150 | 157 | 191 | 158 | 131 | 138 | 124 | 102 | 100 | 83 | 92 | 101 |
| Objective 4.4: Improve relationships and partnerships with our stakeholders | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.4.1 | Stakeholder rating: satisfaction with SFMTA management of transportation in San Francisco; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) | | | | | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

*Notes

- 1.1.2 / 1.3.5 / 2.1.1 / 2.1.2 / 2.1.3 / 2.1.4 / 2.1.5 / 2.1.8 / 2.1.9 Results are based on a non-probability sample from opt-in SFMTA online panel surveys and have been weighted to reflect the geographic distribution of the San Francisco population.
- 1.1.3 Beginning with FY2015, includes all taxi, TNC, and black car service-related incidents reported to SFPD. Reporting for prior months includes "defrauding taxi driver", "operating taxi without a permit", and "overcharging taxi fare" incidents only.
- 1.1.4 / 1.3.4 / 4.3.5 Due to a previous calculation error that resulted in the over-reporting of 311 cases, some monthly values between May 2012 and Dec 2014 were re-calculated and revised in this document.
- 1.2.2 Includes assaults and threats on operators.
- 1.3.1 Results for October 2015, December 2015 and February 2016 have been updated slightly from previously reported figures to reflect some minor categorical revisions to reported collisions.
- 1.3.2 Injury collisions reported in the calendar year.
- 1.3.3 Previously reported figures for falls per 100,000 miles have been updated to account for an adjustment in reported number of falls.
- 2.1.7 Due to a calculation error, the reported figures for FY2017 were revised.
- 2.2.1 <1 min for headway of 5 min or less. September 2017 and October 2017 records cannot be reported pending data quality issues.
- 2.2.1 / 2.2.2 / 2.2.4 / 2.2.6 Effective April 2015, the Muni Rapid Network is defined as routes/lines J, K, L, M, N, 5R, 7R, 9R, 14R, 28R, and 38R. This report reflects the updated Rapid Network.
- January and February 2017 on-time performance, gaps or bunching cannot be reported due to a network issue that limited NextBus predictions and prevented systemwide on-time performance data from being collected.
- 2.2.1 / 2.2.2 / 2.2.6 Previously reported bunching and gap, and on-time performance results have been revised to correct for a prior data processing error.
- 2.2.5 / 2.2.10 Running time performance measure has not been developed.
- 2.2.7 Due to a previous calculation error, monthly FY14 and June FY16 results were incorrectly reported in previous Metrics reports and have been corrected in this document.
- 2.2.8 Reported figures for Oct 2017 to May 2018 subject to data quality issues. FY 16 and FY 17 Rubber Tire MDBF figures were updated to correct for a prior reporting error. April 2015 and May 2015 Mean Distance Between Failure (MDBF) Cable Car figures have been updated to account for an adjustment in reported mileage.
- 2.2.9 September 2016 figures have been revised to account for a prior reporting error.
- 2.2.11 Reported figures for average weekday bus ridership have been modified to correct for a prior reporting error.
- 2.2.12 / 2.2.13 Reported figures for Elevator / Escalator do not include the following days: 1/3/2016, 1/7/2016, 1/8/2016, 2/8/2016, 2/11/2016, 2/12/2016, 2/15/2016, 2/16/2016, 2/22/2016, 3/17/2016, 5/8/2016, and 5/9/2016. Historical figures have been adjusted as per revised data.
- 2.4.1 Increase in percent of metered hours with no rate change indicates achievement of price point and parking availability goals. Note: sensor based rate adjustments were limited to Sfpark pilot blocks with 50% or more parking sensor coverage through February 2014.
- Sensor Independent Rate Adjustments (SIRA) based on meter payment data started in June 2014 and include all Sfpark pilot area blocks including those that fell below the 50% parking sensor threshold. These blocks have not approached their price point yet, which lowers the baseline for this metric. Moving forward, June 2014 will be considered the new baseline for SIRA.
- 2.4.2 Shift in utilization from peak to off-peak indicates successful mitigation of congestion on city streets.
- 2.4.2 / 2.4.3 Shift in utilization to hourly from early bird and monthly indicates garages are used more for short trips that benefit nearby businesses and less for commute trips by auto.
- 2.4.3 Running total of SFMTA-installed facilities.
- 3.1.3 Upon the adopted use of renewable diesel for the Muni fleet in January 2016, the SFMTA no longer reports metric 3.1.3 (Percentage biodiesel to diesel used by SFMTA).
- 3.1.6 Resource consumption data for facilities leased by the SFMTA is not reflected in the current reporting.
- 3.2.1 Reported figures for average weekday boardings have been modified to correct for a prior reporting error.
- 3.3.1 / 3.3.2 Figures reflect estimate at completion-weighted % of projects on or under budget (including contingency) for all projects delivered by the SFMTA's Capital Projects & Construction division. Reported results currently exclude projects in the Sustainable Streets Division portfolio. No data for reporting project delivery budget performance is available for July 2016. Data forthcoming after measure methodology is revised.
- 3.4.1 Historical figures have been slightly revised to account for a prior reporting error.
- 3.4.2 / 3.4.3 Figures are adjusted for inflation to reflect FY17 dollars. FY17 reporting is based on preliminary, pre-audited figures.
- 3.4.6 Due to data system upgrade, April 2017 and May 2017 results cannot be reported.
- 3.4.7 FY Total rather than FY Average.
- 3.5.1 Revised structural deficit figures will be reported in November 2016.
- 4.1.1 Employee rating of "I have access to information about Agency accomplishments, current events, issues and challenges" has been reworded to "I feel as though the Agency communicates current events, issues, challenges and accomplishments clearly" in the 2016 employee satisfaction survey.

Color Legend

Outperforms Previous FY Average Underperforms Previous FY Average Equal to Previous FY Average

Note: Reported results are subject to change as data quality improves or new data become available.