

ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013
Goa	1: Create a safer transportation experience	e for everyo	ne															
Obje	tive 1.1: Improve security for transportation system us	ers.																
ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013
1.1.1	SFPD-reported Muni-related crimes/100,000 miles	3.23	3.59	5.55	3.27	3.16	3.90	3.40	3.39	4.43	4.85	5.09	5.98	5.60	5.26	4.81	7.24	
1.1.2	Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience	Surveying initiate																
1.1.3	SFPD-reported taxi-related crimes	SFPD does not cu							1									
1.1.4	Security complaints to 311 (Muni)		34	36	27	46	38	30	41	24	36	42	39	27	40	31	44	29
Obie	tive 1.2: Improve workplace safety and security.																	
1.2.1	Workplace injuries/200,000 hours	14.9	16.6	15.1	17.1	15.1	14.3	20.4	21.9	10.8	14.2	17.9	13.0	15.0	18.0	13.7	13.7	
1.2.2	Security incidents involving SFMTA personnel	Collecting Agenc			ts to be rep						1.12	27.13	1510	15.0	10.0	1317	1017	
1.2.3	Lost work days due to injury	conceenig / igene	3,764	3,912	2,191	3,557	4,552	4.246	3,985	4.055	4.242	4,535	3,495	3,779	3,646	3.773		
Ohie	ctive 1.3: Improve the safety of the transportation syste	m	-7	-,		-,	.,	./= . •	-,	.,,	.,	.,		-,	0,0.0	-,		
1.3.1	Muni collisions/100.000 miles	4.48	4.98	4.98	4.2	5.58	4.48	6.07	4.31	5.21	5.12	4.91	4.67	6.42	4.45	5.01	4.27	
1.3.2a	Collisions involving motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists	Awaiting 2012 re		4.30	4.2	3.36	4.40	0.07	4.31	3.21	3.12	4.31	4.07	0.42	4.43	3.01	4.27	
1.3.2b	Collisions involving taxis	Awaiting 2012 re																
1.3.3	Muni falls on board/100,000 miles	Awaiting 2012 Te	4.53	4.42	4.69	4.09	5.32	4.37	4.62	4.06	4.94	4.60	4.99	4.15	3.49	4.26	4.49	
1.3.4	"Unsafe operation" Muni complaints to 311		173	154	151	160	193	167	178	186	158	179	166	173	129	123	155	147
1.3.5	·	Surveying initiate		_												123	133	147
									eive sui veys	s on a quart	erry Dasis.	l iist resuits	to be repo	Treu iii Api	2013.			
Goa	l 2: Make transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, ric	desnaring &	carsnar	ing the	preferr	ed mea	ns of tr	avei										
Obje	ctive 2.1: Improve customer service and communication	ıs.																
ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013
2.1.1	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with transit services; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Surveying initiat quarterly basis.			-		e sampling	frame to re	eceive surve	eys on a								
2.1.2	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with taxi availability; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Surveying initiate					sampling fr	ame to rec	eive surveys	s on a quart	erly basis.	First results	to be repo	orted in Apr	2013.			
2.1.3		Surveying initiate	ed. In proce	ess of buildi	ng a sufficie	ently large	sampling fr	ame to rec	eive surveys	s on a quart	erly basis.	First results	to be repo	orted in Apr	2013.			
2.1.4	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with pedestrian environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Surveying initiate	ed. In proce	ess of buildi	ng a sufficie	ently large	sampling fr	ame to rec	eive surveys	s on a quart	erly basis.	First results	to be repo	orted in Apr	2013.			
2.1.5	Average time to communicate Muni service advisories to customers	This is proving ch	nallenging to	quantify. \	We are eva	luating alte	rnative me	trics.										
2.1.6	Percentage of color curb requests addressed within 30 days		87%	92%	87%	89%	78%	81%	91%	93%	89%	92%	88%	94%	89%	95%	96%	
2.1.6	Percentage of hazardous traffic sign reports addressed within 24 hours		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
2.1.6	Percentage of parking meter malfunctions addressed within 72 hours		84%	80%	82%	81%	83%	87%	88%	84%	82%	84%	81%	86%	63%	79%	80%	82%
2.1.6	Percentage of traffic and parking control requests addressed within 90 days		78%	73%		77%	<u> </u>		78%	<u> </u>				76%				
2.1.6	Percentage of traffic signal requests addressed within 2 hours		98%	97%	96%	97%	97%	99%	99%	98%	98%	94%	99%	97%	97%	97%	95%	99%
2.1.7	Percentage of actionable 311 Muni-related complaints addressed		87%	88%	93%	86%	86%	87%	82%	86%	91%	93%	87%	86%	93%	82%	82%	3373
	within 14 days (60 days for ADA violations)		0,70	0070	33/0	0070	0070	0,70	02/0	0070	31/0	3370	3770	0070	3370	0270	0270	
2.1.8	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni vehicles	Surveying initiate	ed. In proce	ss of buildi	ng a sufficie	ently large	sampling fr	ame to rec	eive survev	on a quart	erly basis	First results	to be reno	orted in Apr	2013.			
2.1.9	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni facilities (stations, elevators, escalators)	Surveying initiate																



ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013
Ohie	ctive 2.2: Improve transit performance.																	
2.2.1	Percentage of transit trips with <2 min bunching on Rapid Network	5.3%	7.0%	7.0%	6.9%	7.0%	6.6%	7.0%	7.1%	7.2%	7.0%	8.0%	7.7%	7.6%	6.5%	6.5%	6.2%	6.6%
2.2.1	Percentage of transit trips with + 5 min gaps on Rapid Network	13.9%	18.5%	18.5%	19.5%	17.7%	17.3%	18.5%	19.0%	19.3%	19.1%	20.2%	19.0%	18.8%	17.0%	18.5%	16.5%	17.0%
2.2.2	Percentage of on-time performance for non-Rapid Network routes	85%	61.0%	58.5%	61.7%	61.7%	61.9%	60.9%	60.4%	59.6%	60.0%	57.1%	56.7%	57.5%	58.9%	59.0%	60.0%	59.1%
2.2.3	Percentage of service pulled out at scheduled time	98.5%	96.3%	96.1%	96.7%	98.4%	96.8%	95.1%	95.3%	95.4%	95.3%	94.0%	95.7%	96.2%	96.7%	96.0%	97.8%	96.7%
2.2.4	Percentage of on-time departures from terminals	85%	77.4%	72.7%	77.3%	77.6%	78.6%	77.9%	76.7%	76.2%	76.4%	70.0%	70.2%	71.1%	73.1%	72.8%	74.5%	73.6%
2.2.5	Average Muni system speed	Results reporting	to begin ir	April 2013														
2.2.6	Percentage of on-time performance	85%	60.4%	58.2%	60.6%	61.0%	61.9%	60.5%	59.8%	58.6%	59.0%	55.6%	56.0%	56.6%	58.9%	59.0%	60.5%	59.8%
2.27	Percentage of trips over capacity during AM peak (8:00a-8:59a, inbound) at max load points		6.5%	7.8%	5.6%	6.2%	6.9%	5.9%	7.1%	7.0%	7.5%	7.7%	8.5%	9.4%	7.8%	7.1%	6.6%	7.6%
2.2.7	Percentage of trips over capacity during PM peak (5:00p-5:59p, outbound) at max load points		7.2%	7.8%	5.9%	7.0%	6.0%	8.2%	7.8%	8.4%	7.7%	10.1%	8.5%	8.9%	6.7%	8.0%	6.4%	5.9%
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Bus)		2,909	3,153	2,707	2,883	2,683	2,952	3,230	2,998	2,820	3,087	2,815	2,877	3,071	3,197	3,631	3,723
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (LRV)		3,208	3,699	2,816	2,594	3,063	3,765	3,914	3,097	4,211	3,358	3,657	3,660	3,910	3,167	3,927	
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Historic)		1,898	2,743	2,290	1,041	1,896	1,749	1,644	2,767	2,454	6,566	2,200	2,144	1,990	1,891	1,958	
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Cable)		3,998	3,846	3,852	3,379	2,307	2,529	6,503	5,419	4,571	6,202	4,248	2,386	4,244	2,624	2,649	
2.2.9	Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered	Please see 2.2.3.	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,		
2.2.10	Percentage of scheduled trips completed	Measure in deve	lopment															
2.2.11	Ridership (rubber tire, average weekday)		491,553	496,201	473,146	496,294	481,998	504,555	504,632	488,692	486,628	505,681	517,675	515,379	484,577	-	467,267	
2.2.12	Percentage of time that elevators are available		94.4%	96.2%	91.4%	92.0%	94.1%	93.6%	99.2%	95.8%	96.8%	96.8%	98.9%	96.2%	96.9%	91.7%	96.5%	95.8%
2.2.13	Percentage of time that escalators are available		91.8%	86.5%	94.5%	93.8%	86.8%	89.1%	93.9%	92.9%	84.5%	87.1%	87.1%	89.3%	87.3%	84.1%	85.7%	87.0%
	ctive 2.3: Increase use of all non-private auto modes.																	
	Non-private auto mode share when traveling to work	50%														62	2% (2011 C	ensus ACS)
	ctive 2.4: Improve parking utilization and manage parking																	
2.4.1	% occupancy of SFpark spaces	Data forthcomin	<u>-</u>															
2.4.2	% occupancy of SFMTA garage spaces	Data forthcoming																
2.4.3	# of secure on street bicycle racks	Data fortificonning	j.															2,739
2.4.3	# of secure off street bicycle parking spaces (garage bicycle parking)																	475
2.4.5	" or secure on street breyers parking spaces (garage breyers parking)																	473
2.4.4	Parking regulation compliance	Data forthcoming	Ι															
	I 3: Improve the environment and quality o			0	1													
Obje	ctive 3.1: Reduce the Agency's and the transportation s	ystem's resourc	ce consun	nption, en	nissions,	waste, an	d noise.											
ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013
3.1.1	Metric tons of C02e for the transportation system	1,515,000															2,155,	,000 (2010)
3.1.2	% of SFMTA non-revenue and taxi fleet that is alternative fuel/zero																	94%
	emissions																	
3.1.3	% biodiesel to diesel used by SFMTA																	2% (FY11)
3.1.4	Number of electric vehicle charging stations																	31
3.1.5	Citywide gasoline consumption rate																149,156	,104 (2009)
3.1.6	Agency electricity consumption (kWh)																123,746	,104 (FY11)
3.1.6	Agency gas consumption (therms)																579	,043 (FY11)
3.1.6	Agency water production (gallons)																21,301	,010 (FY11)
3.1.7	Agency compost produciton (tonnes)																	13 (CY09)
3.1.7	Agency recycling produciton (tonnes)																	534 (CY09)
3.1.7	Agency waste produciton (tonnes)																	592 (CY09)
Obje	ctive 3.2: Increase the transportation system's positive	impact to the e	conomy.															
3.2.1	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network;	Survey is being d	leveloped.	Results wi	II be report	ed for FY13	Q4.											
			•															

Color Legend

Outperforms Underperforms Equal to FY12 Avg FY12 Avg FY12 Avg



ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013
Obje	ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effectively.																	
3.3.1	% of all capital projects delivered on-budget by phase	Results reporting	to begin in	March 201	13.													
3.3.2	% of all capital projects delivered on-time by phase	Results reporting																
3.3.3	% of all capital projects delivered in-scope by phase	This is proving ch				luating alte	rnative me	trics.										
	ctive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently.	İ																
_	Average annual transit cost per revenue hour	\$184																\$194
	Passengers per revenue hour for buses	7-01																70
3.4.3	Cost per unlinked trip																	\$2.75
3.4.4	Pay hours: platform hours ratio		1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.13	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.13				
3.4.5	Farebox recovery ratio																	30.8%
Obje	ctive 3.5: Reduce capital and operating structural defici-	ts.																
3.5.1	Operating and capital structural deficit								\$70N	additional	needed fo	r operation	rs, \$260M a	additional	needed for	State-of-Go	od Repair	
																ies and tra		i
Goa	I 4: Create a workplace that delivers outsta	nding servic	e															
Obje	ctive 4.1: Improve internal communications.																	
4.1.1	Employee rating: Information needed to do the job? Informed about	Survey distributi	on will beg	in this mon	th. Results	will be rep	orted for F	Y13 Q3.										
	agency issues, challenges and current events?; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)		J			·												
4.1.2	% of employees that complete the survey	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.1.3	Employee rating: I have a clear understanding of my division's goals/objectives and how they contribute to Agency success	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.1.4	Employee rating: I have received praise for my work in the last month	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.1.5	Employee rating: Communication between leadership and employees has improved	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.1.6	Employee rating: My concerns, questions, and suggestions are acted upon quickly and appropriately	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.1.7	Employee rating: Discussions with my supervisor about my performance are worthwhile	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
Ohie	ctive 4.2: Create a collaborative and innovative work en	vironment																
	Employee rating: Overall employee satisfaction; scale of 1 (low) to 5		on will hea	in this mon	th Results	will he rer	orted for E	V13 O3										
	(high)	our vey distributi	on will beg		itini itesuits	, will be rep	orted for r	. 15 Q5.										
4.2.2	Employee rating: My opinions seem to matter to my manager	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.2.3	Employee rating: Conflicts are resolved collaboratively	Survey distribution																
4.2.4	Employee rating: Employees in my division consistently look for more efficient/effective ways of getting the job done	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.2.5	Employee rating: Employees in my work unit share job knowledge to solve problems efficiently/effectively	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.2.6	Employee rating: I feel comfortable sharing my thoughts and opinions, even if they're different than others'	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.2.7	Employee rating: My work gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be repo	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
Obie	ctive 4.3: Improve employee accountability.																	
	% of employees with performance completed/appraisals conducted	Results will be a	vailable at	the end of	the fiscal y	ear.												
4.3.2	% of employees with performance plans prepared by start of fiscal																	
4.3.3	% of employees who have received feedback on their work	Survey distribution	on will begi	n this mont	h. Results v	will be reno	rted for FY1	.3 Q3.										
4.3.4	% of divisions/units that report metrics	Survey distribution																
		,	8.						•	•	•		•					

Outperforms Underperforms Equal to FY12 Avg FY12 Avg FY12 Avg



ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013
4.3.5	Unscheduled absence rate by employee group (Transit operators)		8.7%	8.9%	8.2%	7.2%	7.7%	9.5%	9.8%	9.9%	9.4%	10.5%	9.3%	6.6%	7.0%	9.0%	8.9%	10.3%
4.3.6	Employee rating: My manager holds me accountable to achieve my written objectives	Survey distribution	on will begin	this montl	n. Results w	rill be repor	ted for FY1	3 Q3.										
Obje	Objective 4.4: Improve relationships and partnerships with our stakeholders.																	
4.4.1	Stakeholder rating: satisfaction with SFMTA decision-making process/communications; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Survey is being d	leveloped.	Results wil	l be report	ed for FY13	Q4.											