

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 1: QA. CELL PHONE	8
Table 2: Q1A. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : San Francisco city government	10
Table 3: Q1B. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni	14
Table 4: Q1C. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : Caltrain	19
Table 5: Q1D. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA	23
Table 6: Q1E. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : BART	28
Table 8: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service—is it excellent, good, not so good or poor?	32
Table 9: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve the S-F-M-T-A and Muni's transportation and public transit system in San Francisco?	36
Table 10: Q5A. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Does a good job of serving the whole city	40
Table 11: Q5B. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Trustworthy	45
Table 12: Q5C. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Well-managed	50
Table 13: Q5D. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Uses taxpayer money efficiently	55
Table 14: Q5E. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Responsive to community concerns	60
Table 15: Q5F. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Convenient	65
Table 16: Q5G. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Crowded	70
Table 17: Q5H. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easily accessible	75
Table 18: Q5I. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Reliable	80
Table 19: Q5J. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Up-to-date technologically	85
Table 20: Q5K. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Unsafe from crime	90
Table 21: Q5L. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Slow way to travel	95
Table 22: Q5M. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Inefficient	100
Table 23: Q5N. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Innovative	105
Table 24: Q5O. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A vital part of the community	110

Table 25:	Q5P. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Good for the environment	115
Table 26:	Q5Q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Outdated	120
Table 27:	Q5R. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Affordable	125
Table 28:	Q5S. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Dirty	130
Table 29:	Q5T. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Quick way to travel	135
Table 30:	Q5U. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easy to use	140
Table 31:	Q5V. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Equitable	145
Table 32:	Q5W. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Safe from crime	150
Table 33:	Q5X. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A good way to get where I am going	155
Table 35:	Q7(1ST CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 1st choice	160
Table 36:	Q7(2ND CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 2nd choice	165
Table 37:	Q8. I am going to read you a pair of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important: Having a Muni stop within 2 or 3 blocks to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are more than 2 or 3 blocks from where I live.	170
Table 38:	Q9A. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Continuing to expand safe, protected lanes for bicycling and active transportation to give San Franciscans more options to get around	173
Table 39:	Q9B. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Maintaining increased security on Muni to keep riders safe	178
Table 40:	Q9C. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Communicating with local neighborhood groups on transportation priorities	183
Table 41:	Q9D. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability	188
Table 42:	Q9E. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for walking	193
Table 43:	Q9F. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Constructing safer sidewalks and road crossings to improve safety for people walking	198
Table 44:	Q9G. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding Muni service	203
Table 45:	Q9H. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety	208
Table 46:	Q9I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable	213

Table 47:	Q9J. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni free for youth	218
Table 48:	Q9K. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding reduced fares for seniors and low-income residents	223
Table 49:	Q9L. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit	228
Table 50:	Q9M. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit	233
Table 51:	Q9N. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to North Beach and Fisherman's Wharf	238
Table 52:	Q9O. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled	243
Table 53:	Q9P. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles	248
Table 54:	Q9Q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Updating bus yards and Muni infrastructure to withstand a major earthquake	253
Table 55:	Q9R. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding Muni's Ambassador program on vehicles to improve safety from crime without police	258
Table 56:	Q9S. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni is affordable and easy to use for all San Franciscans	263
Table 57:	Q9T. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing parking and driving in transit lanes	268
Table 58:	Q9U. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading traffic signals to give priority to emergency vehicles to reduce response times	273
Table 59:	Q9V. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving street infrastructure in every San Francisco neighborhood	278
Table 60:	Q9W. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Protecting Muni infrastructure from flooding caused by sea level rise and storms	283
Table 61:	Q9X. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing safety from crime on Muni buses	288
Table 62:	Q9Y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving Muni's real-time update digital displays	293
Table 63:	Q9Z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all	298
Table 64:	Q9AA. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding more transit lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic	303
Table 65:	Q9BB. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways	308
Table 66:	Q9CC. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Creating a citywide network of Slow Streets	313
Table 67:	Q9DD. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing crowding on Muni	318
Table 68:	Q9EE. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Addressing the backlog of maintenance work before increasing inflation makes it even more expensive	323
Table 69:	Q9FF. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco	328

Table 70:	Q9GG. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading San Francisco's aging rail network	333
Table 71:	Q9HH. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding a new subway line on Geary Boulevard and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods	338
Table 72:	Q9II. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving the flow of traffic	343
Table 73:	Q9JJ. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni trains running on time in the subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street	348
Table 74:	Q9KK. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Getting Muni on firmer financial footing	353
Table 75:	Q10A. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Less frequent and reliable Muni service	358
Table 76:	Q10B. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Unreliable transit equipment and infrastructure	363
Table 77:	Q10C. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Reduced maintenance of San Francisco streets and sidewalks	368
Table 78:	Q10D. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Fewer projects for transportation safety on city streets	373
Table 79:	Q10E. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased traffic congestion	378
Table 80:	Q10F. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased crowding, wait times and delays on Muni	383
Table 81:	Q11C. The proposed measure would read as follows: To increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version	388
Table 82:	Q11D. The proposed measure would read as follows: To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version	393
Table 83:	Q11. The proposed measure would read as follows: (SPLIT SAMPLE C ONLY – DETAILED VERSION) To increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? (SPLIT SAMPLE D ONLY – GENERAL TRANSPORTATION VERSION) To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total	398
Table 84:	Q12A. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SAFETY) Without improvements to our public transportation system, lives will be put in danger; ambulances and fire trucks get stuck in traffic, there are safety risks for people walking and bicycling, and air pollution will get worse. Improving our transportation system will improve San Franciscans' quality of life and their safety	403
Table 85:	Q12B. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FISCAL CLIFF) Federal relief funds are much of what's keeping Muni running now. We've stretched out these funds	

	for as long as possible, but they will run out by next year, leaving a large and growing deficit. Without additional funding, we face tough choices ahead about cuts to Muni service or other transportation improvement projects	408
Table 86:	Q12C. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street and transit improvements that create a network of buses and trains that come every 5 minutes to provide quick, convenient access to all parts of San Francisco, including commercial districts, jobs, and housing	413
Table 87:	Q12D. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EFFICIENT APPROACH) In recent years SFMTA has worked with local neighborhoods to prioritize projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans. Additional funding would allow us to increase the number of these quick-build projects, ensuring we can respond to San Franciscans' input and deliver improvements quickly and use funds more efficiently	418
Table 88:	Q12E. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. Investing in Muni service and expanding safe bike and pedestrian pathways is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood	423
Table 89:	Q12F. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their loved ones and wasting precious free time. If we don't repair, upgrade, and improve public transportation, commute times will continue to worsen, trapping all of us in endless gridlock	428
Table 90:	Q12G. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. We need to improve the reliability and speed of Muni service, invest in electric buses, and make San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike. This will help get cars off the road, reduce air pollution, and make San Francisco a more sustainable city	433
Table 91:	Q12H. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color, and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni	438
Table 92:	Q12I. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (INFLATION/URGENCY) Continuing to delay maintenance and repairs to Muni buses and our public transportation system will only increase costs in the long run, hurting service and making us a less equitable City. These funds will help the SFMTA repair our deteriorating public transportation system before rising inflation and interest rates make it completely unaffordable	443
Table 93:	Q12J. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable, fast service that is reliable systemwide. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability and reduce delays on the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah and K Ingleside, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and SF State/Parkmerced	448
Table 94:	Q12K. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FACILITIES) Some Muni bus yards were built over a hundred years ago and will not withstand a major earthquake, which could interrupt service indefinitely. Bus yards are too small and outdated to accommodate our modern fleet, which slows down maintenance and service on a daily basis. Additional funds will help upgrade these sites to support reliable Muni service and a modern fleet of cleaner, healthier, battery electric buses	453
Table 95:	Q12L. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future	458
Table 96:	Q13C. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks. Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version	463
Table 97:	Q13D. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version	468
Table 98:	Q13. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: (SPLIT SAMPLE C: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and	

equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks.) (SPLIT SAMPLE D: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total	473
Table 99: Q14. Have you heard anything about SFMTA and Muni's funding needs?	478
Table 100: Q15A. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride a bicycle	481
Table 101: Q15B. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride Muni	484
Table 102: Q15C. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride BART	487
Table 103: Q15D. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride Caltrain	490
Table 104: Q15E. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Carpool	493
Table 105: Q15F. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Drive alone	496
Table 106: Q15G. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Use a ride hail service like Uber or Lyft	499
Table 107: Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION	502
Table 108: Q16. OWNS CARS	505
Table 109: Q17. OWN/RENT HOME	508
Table 110: Q18. RACE/ETHNICITY	511
Table 111: Q19. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER	516
Table 112: Q20. EDUCATION	519
Table 113: Q21. IDEOLOGY	524
Table 114: Q22. HOUSEHOLD INCOME	527
Table 115: Q23. HOUSEHOLD SIZE	532
Table 116: Q24. GENDER	535
Table 117: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW	540
Table 118: PARTY REGISTRATION	543
Table 119: FLAGS	546
Table 120: PERMANENT ABSENTEE	549
Table 121: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE	551
Table 122: NEIGHBORHOODS	554
Table 123: AGE	558
Table 124: SUPERVISOR DISTRICTS	563
Table 125: A/B SPLIT	568
Table 126: C/D SPLIT	570
Table 127: MODE OF INTERVIEW	572
Table 128: QUADRANT	575
Table 129: TARGETS	578
Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS	581

Table 1: QA. CELL PHONE

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS				
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	447	52	284	144	177	329	34	65	31	19	189	48	124	291	142	72	18	151	49	16	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	71	84	72	70	74	72	83	74	76	78	70	59	67	71	78	57	37	75	67	80	
No, not on cell	29	16	28	30	26	28	17	26	24	22	30	41	33	29	22	43	63	25	33	20	
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	447	140	152	27	94	292	121	34	186	300	115	32	126	44	234	213	289	82	371	70	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	71	71	81	77	56	76	61	57	77	75	64	52	63	71	64	78	68	75	69	75	
No, not on cell	29	29	19	23	44	24	39	43	23	25	36	48	37	29	36	22	32	25	31	25	
	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION							
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	447	96	282	159	56	103	248	188	160	128	37	103	268	88	38	29	151	147	93	180	240
Yes, cell and can talk safely	71	75	69	74	83	79	71	76	69	71	70	82	75	63	90	76	67	73	74	69	73
No, not on cell	29	25	31	26	17	21	29	24	31	29	30	18	25	37	10	24	33	27	26	31	27
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS						
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOV	
Base	447	121	126	107	18	103	101	67	16	87	205	169	112	223	85	8	277	67	104	24	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	71	77	73	73	57	70	71	68	70	81	70	75	75	71	70	18	77	50	66	52	
No, not on cell	29	23	27	27	43	30	29	32	30	19	30	25	25	29	30	82	23	50	34	48	
	Q24 GENDER		PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY									
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	447	265	180	268	143	36	104	85	69	103	48	39	258	190	87	194	62	51	41	13	11
Yes, cell and can talk safely	71	72	69	70	75	55	82	86	84	58	51	40	84	53	46	75	61	76	87	86	38
No, not on cell	29	28	31	30	25	45	18	14	16	42	49	60	16	47	54	25	39	24	13	14	62

Table 1: QA. CELL PHONE

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	447	92	88	163	102	85	181	75	68	21	16	165	103	74	69	19	18	60	104	120
Yes, cell and can talk safely	71	82	55	85	51	68	71	73	78	60	48	84	48	86	64	73	37	78	66	64	79
No, not on cell	29	18	45	15	49	32	29	27	22	40	52	16	52	14	27	63	22	34	36	21	

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	447	40	37	38	40	42	37	37	43	35	53	45	406	41	204	49	20	4	127
Yes, cell and can talk safely	71	68	79	81	39	91	65	67	74	83	71	61	71	64	81	44	83	18	80	21
No, not on cell	29	32	21	19	61	9	35	33	26	17	29	39	29	36	19	56	17	82	20	79

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	447	36	6	26	7	15	29	19	20	19	270	217	157	124	81	41	316	131	155
Yes, cell and can talk safely	71	69	69	91	61	73	97	87	88	63	64	72	72	70	65	70	100	0	58	80
No, not on cell	29	31	31	9	39	27	3	13	12	37	36	28	28	30	35	30	0	100	42	20

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	447	338	53	56	230	217	232	215	447	0	109	177	79
Yes, cell and can talk safely	71	69	90	60	70	71	68	73	71	0	85	69	72	55
No, not on cell	29	31	10	40	30	29	32	27	29	0	15	31	28	45

Table 2: Q1A. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : San Francisco city government

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
TOTAL APPROVE	35	64	49	14	37	40	33	40	46	38	35	42	41	35	39	12	32	48	22	33
Strongly approve	5	25	7	2	6	5	6	7	14	7	2	7	7	4	7	2	0	5	6	11
Somewhat approve	30	39	42	12	31	35	27	33	32	30	32	35	34	30	32	10	32	43	15	22
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	59	27	45	83	58	53	65	55	51	56	60	51	52	60	55	84	55	48	77	50
Somewhat disapprove	29	11	26	34	32	30	26	29	24	39	32	29	22	31	32	29	18	30	23	35
Strongly disapprove	30	15	19	48	26	23	40	26	27	18	28	23	29	29	23	55	37	17	54	15
DK/NA	6	10	6	4	5	6	2	4	3	6	5	7	7	6	6	5	13	5	1	16
DK/NA	6	10	6	4	5	6	2	4	3	6	5	7	7	6	6	5	13	5	1	16
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
TOTAL APPROVE	35	47	40	30	10	44	16	33	38	43	18	27	31	30	33	39	30	44	32	48
Strongly approve	5	8	3	7	3	6	4	5	4	6	4	5	5	8	6	4	3	7	3	10
Somewhat approve	30	39	37	23	8	38	12	28	35	38	14	23	25	21	26	35	27	37	29	37
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	59	50	52	69	85	51	81	53	52	51	79	56	68	67	66	51	66	52	63	41
Somewhat disapprove	29	32	30	33	24	31	26	25	29	31	25	26	32	43	33	24	31	27	31	24
Strongly disapprove	30	19	22	36	62	20	55	28	23	20	54	29	36	24	32	27	34	25	33	17
DK/NA	6	3	8	1	4	5	3	15	9	5	3	17	2	3	2	10	5	4	4	11
DK/NA	6	3	8	1	4	5	3	15	9	5	3	17	2	3	2	10	5	4	4	11

Table 2: Q1A. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : San Francisco city government

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
TOTAL APPROVE	35	33	38	45	56	38	30	30	34	35	45	55	42	34	34	63	41	32	31	44	32
Strongly approve	5	6	6	10	17	4	3	6	3	4	4	14	8	2	7	18	6	4	3	8	4
Somewhat approve	30	27	31	35	39	35	27	24	31	30	41	41	34	33	27	46	35	28	28	36	28
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	59	62	56	52	42	61	66	66	64	55	40	41	49	52	62	24	57	58	66	52	62
Somewhat disapprove	29	34	30	28	18	30	32	33	35	27	19	19	23	25	30	18	27	30	33	26	32
Strongly disapprove	30	28	27	24	24	31	34	33	29	29	21	21	26	27	32	7	30	28	33	27	30
DK/NA	6	5	6	3	2	1	4	5	2	10	15	5	9	14	3	13	2	9	3	4	7
DK/NA	6	5	6	3	2	1	4	5	2	10	15	5	9	14	3	13	2	9	3	4	7
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
TOTAL APPROVE	35	45	42	23	38	44	40	34	31	32	42	33	35	31	50	63	44	31	15	34	
Strongly approve	5	4	5	4	19	11	6	3	1	3	9	3	5	3	10	0	6	6	3	6	
Somewhat approve	30	42	37	19	20	33	35	31	30	30	34	30	30	28	40	63	39	26	12	28	
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	59	50	51	74	62	48	53	63	68	62	50	63	58	63	49	26	50	60	82	62	
Somewhat disapprove	29	23	29	35	22	29	27	29	32	31	28	30	28	32	27	16	30	31	24	37	
Strongly disapprove	30	27	22	38	39	19	26	33	36	31	22	32	30	31	22	10	20	28	58	25	
DK/NA	6	5	7	3	0	8	6	3	1	6	7	4	7	6	1	11	5	9	3	4	
DK/NA	6	5	7	3	0	8	6	3	1	6	7	4	7	6	1	11	5	9	3	4	
	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
TOTAL APPROVE	35	39	33	42	27	15	47	30	31	34	39	33	35	35	36	45	31	39	23	3	24
Strongly approve	5	6	4	5	5	10	3	8	3	6	4	4	5	5	4	3	6	8	5	0	17
Somewhat approve	30	33	29	37	22	5	43	22	29	28	35	29	30	30	33	41	25	32	18	3	7
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	59	53	63	52	68	80	44	67	59	63	60	57	58	61	59	49	65	57	75	94	76
Somewhat disapprove	29	29	29	28	32	25	24	37	24	32	21	34	29	29	26	25	38	30	29	42	20
Strongly disapprove	30	24	35	24	36	54	20	31	35	31	38	23	29	32	33	23	27	27	46	52	56
DK/NA	6	8	4	6	5	6	10	3	10	3	2	10	7	4	5	7	4	4	2	2	0
DK/NA	6	8	4	6	5	6	10	3	10	3	2	10	7	4	5	7	4	4	2	2	0

Table 2: Q1A. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : San Francisco city government

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	35	34	32	38	41	43	43	24	32	7	29	39	46	30	23	16	14	42	29	47	35
Strongly approve	5	3	5	7	5	5	4	3	8	4	20	4	6	6	3	16	7	8	2	8	5
Somewhat approve	30	30	27	31	36	38	38	21	24	3	9	35	40	24	20	0	8	34	27	39	30
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	59	62	65	52	54	54	49	72	62	92	59	52	53	66	71	84	77	54	67	49	55
Somewhat disapprove	29	29	28	28	30	29	26	28	36	30	25	27	30	33	31	40	18	22	33	27	30
Strongly disapprove	30	33	37	24	24	25	22	43	26	62	34	25	23	34	40	44	60	32	34	22	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	3	10	5	4	8	4	6	2	12	9	2	4	6	0	8	3	4	4	10
DK/NA	6	4	3	10	5	4	8	4	6	2	12	9	2	4	6	0	8	3	4	4	10
	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE							
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84	
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	35	32	29	27	28	40	43	25	35	38	42	49	34	42	39	56	17	0	27	27	
Strongly approve	5	3	0	8	5	9	6	4	5	4	3	10	5	4	5	4	8	0	5	7	
Somewhat approve	30	29	29	19	23	31	37	21	31	34	39	39	29	38	34	53	9	0	22	21	
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	59	65	64	59	63	58	52	64	58	59	56	51	60	56	54	42	81	54	68	70	
Somewhat disapprove	29	31	32	28	36	29	22	30	24	38	31	17	30	21	28	25	21	0	32	37	
Strongly disapprove	30	34	32	31	27	29	30	34	33	21	25	34	29	35	26	17	60	54	35	33	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	7	13	8	2	5	12	7	4	1	0	6	2	7	1	2	46	5	3	
DK/NA	6	3	7	13	8	2	5	12	7	4	1	0	6	2	7	1	2	46	5	3	

Table 2: Q1A. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : San Francisco city government

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	35	31	47	27	36	27	33	34	46	42	36	32	34	34	32	31	38	31	35	36
Strongly approve	5	3	0	0	0	10	6	16	8	4	5	5	6	4	5	5	7	3	4	6
Somewhat approve	30	28	47	27	36	17	27	17	38	38	31	27	28	30	26	26	31	27	31	30
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	59	67	53	64	48	61	60	61	51	53	59	62	60	61	63	65	54	64	64	56
Somewhat disapprove	29	33	44	26	20	47	20	32	19	19	30	32	29	28	31	30	22	38	32	28
Strongly disapprove	30	33	10	38	28	15	40	29	32	34	29	31	31	33	32	36	32	26	32	27
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	2	0	9	16	12	7	5	3	5	5	6	6	5	5	4	8	6	1	9
DK/NA	6	2	0	9	16	12	7	5	3	5	5	6	6	5	5	4	8	6	1	9

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	35	34	63	27	32	38	30	41	36	35	34	43	31	27
Strongly approve	5	5	13	2	4	6	4	6	6	4	6	6	3	3
Somewhat approve	30	29	50	25	28	32	25	35	30	30	28	37	28	24
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	59	61	37	50	63	55	64	55	57	61	59	54	65	62
Somewhat disapprove	29	31	10	26	31	27	29	29	26	32	28	28	31	31
Strongly disapprove	30	31	27	24	32	28	35	26	30	30	32	26	34	31
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	0	23	5	7	6	5	7	4	6	3	4	10
DK/NA	6	5	0	23	5	7	6	5	7	4	6	3	4	10

Table 3: Q1B. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS				
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
TOTAL APPROVE	62	100	88	25	67	71	29	65	58	64	65	66	70	60	80	32	73	70	33	42
Strongly approve	20	82	33	2	18	24	4	22	19	7	21	21	22	18	28	2	28	26	5	15
Somewhat approve	42	18	55	23	50	47	25	44	39	57	44	45	48	42	52	30	45	44	28	27
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	0	10	73	31	25	54	33	29	29	31	32	28	35	17	62	25	24	65	58
Somewhat disapprove	16	0	7	33	20	14	13	25	7	21	15	18	13	18	13	31	5	12	22	27
Strongly disapprove	17	0	3	41	11	10	41	8	22	8	17	14	15	18	4	31	20	12	43	30
DK/NA	4	0	2	2	2	4	16	2	13	7	4	2	2	5	3	6	2	6	2	0
DK/NA	4	0	2	2	2	4	16	2	13	7	4	2	2	5	3	6	2	6	2	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
TOTAL APPROVE	62	78	71	43	28	74	32	65	69	72	38	67	53	61	57	69	58	70	60	72
Strongly approve	20	29	24	4	3	27	3	25	25	24	11	25	8	20	13	29	15	19	16	38
Somewhat approve	42	49	46	39	26	48	29	41	45	49	27	43	46	40	44	40	43	50	44	34
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	17	26	57	66	21	63	33	27	23	58	31	45	36	42	24	39	25	36	23
Somewhat disapprove	16	8	17	27	28	13	27	11	16	14	24	10	22	23	22	10	19	14	18	12
Strongly disapprove	17	8	8	30	38	8	36	23	12	9	34	21	24	13	20	14	20	11	18	12
DK/NA	4	5	4	0	6	5	4	2	3	5	4	2	1	4	2	7	4	6	4	4
DK/NA	4	5	4	0	6	5	4	2	3	5	4	2	1	4	2	7	4	6	4	4

Table 3: Q1B. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
TOTAL APPROVE	62	70	67	69	78	57	59	58	68	56	81	74	64	55	57	46	71	65	54	69	61
Strongly approve	20	24	23	22	35	25	17	19	20	10	33	42	24	7	14	27	28	19	15	28	18
Somewhat approve	42	46	44	47	43	32	42	39	48	46	48	32	40	48	43	19	43	46	39	41	43
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	30	31	28	21	39	37	34	29	36	19	21	30	36	34	34	24	31	44	25	36
Somewhat disapprove	16	16	16	15	15	14	16	16	12	25	10	6	17	28	20	0	10	16	27	9	20
Strongly disapprove	17	14	15	13	6	26	21	18	17	11	9	14	12	8	14	34	14	15	17	16	16
DK/NA	4	1	2	2	1	4	5	8	3	9	0	5	6	9	8	20	5	4	1	6	3
DK/NA	4	1	2	2	1	4	5	8	3	9	0	5	6	9	8	20	5	4	1	6	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
TOTAL APPROVE	62	76	61	51	63	70	70	61	71	56	70	59	62	63	62	82	73	70	31	57	
Strongly approve	20	31	19	7	23	48	15	14	8	16	33	14	26	16	20	46	24	35	2	17	
Somewhat approve	42	45	42	44	40	22	55	47	63	40	38	45	36	47	42	36	49	35	29	41	
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	21	35	46	28	23	27	37	20	40	25	37	36	30	38	18	22	29	64	43	
Somewhat disapprove	16	10	12	27	14	12	9	22	15	22	11	21	18	15	22	0	13	13	26	26	
Strongly disapprove	17	12	23	19	14	11	18	15	5	18	15	16	18	15	16	18	9	16	38	17	
DK/NA	4	3	4	3	9	6	3	2	10	3	5	4	2	7	0	0	5	1	5	0	
DK/NA	4	3	4	3	9	6	3	2	10	3	5	4	2	7	0	0	5	1	5	0	

Table 3: Q1B. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
TOTAL APPROVE	62	70	56	67	59	29	80	57	60	60	55	61	65	59	58	70	59	63	48	4	65
Strongly approve	20	23	19	22	19	6	50	15	15	11	15	19	25	14	16	26	10	23	8	0	12
Somewhat approve	42	47	37	45	40	23	31	42	44	49	41	42	40	45	41	45	49	40	40	4	53
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	26	39	30	36	54	19	35	37	38	44	28	31	38	38	27	38	31	52	82	21
Somewhat disapprove	16	14	18	14	15	43	11	21	20	9	28	11	18	15	21	12	21	4	29	67	21
Strongly disapprove	17	12	21	16	21	11	8	14	17	28	16	17	13	23	17	15	18	27	23	16	0
DK/NA	4	4	5	3	4	17	1	8	3	2	1	11	5	4	5	3	3	5	0	14	14
DK/NA	4	4	5	3	4	17	1	8	3	2	1	11	5	4	5	3	3	5	0	14	14

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
TOTAL APPROVE	62	57	55	71	68	64	71	50	72	36	33	68	67	66	50	13	38	55	57	78	66
Strongly approve	20	24	13	28	16	24	22	14	30	9	4	24	21	30	4	13	2	26	17	30	20
Somewhat approve	42	33	42	43	52	40	49	36	42	27	29	44	47	36	46	0	36	29	40	48	46
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	40	38	23	31	33	26	46	23	48	38	29	32	31	45	58	52	37	39	17	31
Somewhat disapprove	16	20	14	16	12	13	14	20	11	36	32	15	13	18	11	54	37	11	22	7	19
Strongly disapprove	17	19	24	7	20	19	13	26	11	13	5	14	18	12	34	4	15	26	18	10	12
DK/NA	4	3	7	6	1	4	2	4	5	16	29	4	1	3	6	28	10	8	3	5	3
DK/NA	4	3	7	6	1	4	2	4	5	16	29	4	1	3	6	28	10	8	3	5	3

Table 3: Q1B. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120	44
TOTAL APPROVE	62	50	50	55	64	70	66	64	59	73	70	63	64	49	67	69	29	55	59	53
Strongly approve	20	9	17	23	12	26	14	16	22	36	23	24	21	9	23	24	6	0	21	7
Somewhat approve	42	42	33	31	52	44	52	47	38	37	47	39	42	40	44	45	23	55	38	46
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	50	42	40	32	22	27	36	35	27	26	37	32	49	29	30	59	24	36	41
Somewhat disapprove	16	24	16	25	9	20	11	20	15	10	20	12	16	20	14	10	46	0	15	27
Strongly disapprove	17	26	26	15	22	1	16	15	20	17	6	26	16	29	15	20	13	24	21	14
DK/NA	4	0	9	5	4	9	7	1	6	0	4	0	4	2	3	1	12	22	5	6
DK/NA	4	0	9	5	4	9	7	1	6	0	4	0	4	2	3	1	12	22	5	6

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/NOE V	CHNT/SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152	250
TOTAL APPROVE	62	54	57	41	44	54	58	54	80	54	67	59	63	61	66	63	60	67	57	67
Strongly approve	20	10	39	13	0	16	40	8	40	9	20	18	21	19	14	12	20	16	7	27
Somewhat approve	42	44	18	29	44	39	18	46	40	44	47	41	42	42	52	51	40	52	50	40
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	46	43	46	56	46	25	43	20	32	31	34	31	31	30	33	32	27	41	27
Somewhat disapprove	16	27	20	11	11	37	16	25	20	8	14	18	15	17	17	22	16	14	21	13
Strongly disapprove	17	19	23	35	45	9	8	17	0	24	16	16	16	14	13	11	16	13	20	15
DK/NA	4	0	0	13	0	0	17	3	0	14	2	7	7	8	4	3	8	5	2	6
DK/NA	4	0	0	13	0	0	17	3	0	14	2	7	7	8	4	3	8	5	2	6

Table 3: Q1B. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	62	63	56	58	62	0	65	60	62	62	62	67	50	65
Strongly approve	20	20	39	4	20	0	20	20	19	22	25	24	11	16
Somewhat approve	42	43	17	54	42	0	44	40	44	40	37	43	39	49
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	34	34	35	28	34	0	32	36	31	37	34	28	45	33
Somewhat disapprove	16	17	4	27	16	0	18	15	16	17	20	13	22	13
Strongly disapprove	17	17	32	2	17	0	14	20	15	19	14	15	23	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	9	14	4	0	4	5	7	1	5	5	5	2
DK/NA	4	3	9	14	4	0	4	5	7	1	5	5	5	2

Table 4: Q1C. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : Caltrain

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	46	56	52	39	50	49	31	57	49	49	44	55	49	47	59	34	42	44	40	12
Strongly approve	17	37	24	7	19	19	5	10	17	28	18	25	20	17	29	8	21	15	6	0
Somewhat approve	29	19	28	32	31	30	26	46	32	21	26	30	29	30	30	27	22	29	35	12
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	13	4	8	20	13	11	16	6	8	22	8	16	14	10	5	22	6	16	15	11
Somewhat disapprove	8	0	7	9	8	7	6	3	7	14	3	16	9	5	3	10	2	11	11	0
Strongly disapprove	5	4	2	11	5	4	10	3	2	8	5	0	5	5	2	11	4	5	4	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	41	40	40	40	37	40	53	37	42	29	48	29	37	43	36	44	52	40	44	77
DK/NA	41	40	40	40	37	40	53	37	42	29	48	29	37	43	36	44	52	40	44	77
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	46	50	52	45	34	51	37	35	48	51	35	43	47	63	50	40	44	44	44	51
Strongly approve	17	23	20	7	7	22	7	15	19	22	8	16	17	19	19	15	15	18	15	24
Somewhat approve	29	27	32	38	27	29	30	19	29	30	27	27	30	44	32	25	30	26	29	27
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	13	14	8	15	20	11	19	7	8	9	24	7	13	6	12	13	15	7	14	8
Somewhat disapprove	8	11	4	6	12	7	11	1	3	5	15	1	7	6	8	7	10	2	8	4
Strongly disapprove	5	3	4	9	8	4	8	6	4	4	8	6	6	0	4	6	5	5	5	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	41	36	41	40	46	38	44	58	45	40	42	50	41	31	37	47	40	49	42	40
DK/NA	41	36	41	40	46	38	44	58	45	40	42	50	41	31	37	47	40	49	42	40

Table 4: Q1C. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : Caltrain

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
TOTAL APPROVE	46	52	48	55	89	56	40	48	44	47	54	53	49	44	53	38	36	55	44	36	51
Strongly approve	17	25	18	24	43	12	12	11	19	11	36	20	17	7	17	14	18	22	10	17	17
Somewhat approve	29	28	30	31	46	44	28	37	25	37	18	33	32	37	36	23	19	33	34	19	33
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	13	16	12	10	6	8	16	16	12	9	11	18	14	11	6	7	23	8	8	21	8
Somewhat disapprove	8	14	7	5	6	6	10	10	8	5	0	13	8	6	2	0	12	5	6	11	5
Strongly disapprove	5	2	5	5	0	3	6	6	4	5	11	5	6	5	4	7	11	3	2	11	2
DK/NA	41	31	40	34	4	36	45	36	44	43	35	29	37	45	41	56	41	37	48	42	41
DK/NA	41	31	40	34	4	36	45	36	44	43	35	29	37	45	41	56	41	37	48	42	41
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
TOTAL APPROVE	46	50	47	50	45	44	43	36	58	62	43	53	47	48	40	0	51	40	35	46	
Strongly approve	17	24	18	9	16	25	15	13	33	18	21	18	21	17	10	0	22	15	6	11	
Somewhat approve	29	27	29	41	29	19	27	23	25	45	23	35	26	31	30	0	29	26	29	35	
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	13	12	3	17	8	18	16	13	3	4	17	7	10	13	15	0	9	15	20	0	
Somewhat disapprove	8	5	2	9	0	13	9	6	0	3	11	4	4	9	5	0	5	11	12	0	
Strongly disapprove	5	7	1	8	8	5	7	7	3	0	6	3	6	3	10	0	4	4	9	0	
DK/NA	41	37	51	33	47	38	41	51	39	34	39	40	42	39	44	100	39	45	44	54	
DK/NA	41	37	51	33	47	38	41	51	39	34	39	40	42	39	44	100	39	45	44	54	
	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
TOTAL APPROVE	46	38	55	50	38	40	51	58	39	45	39	24	51	39	33	51	51	38	49	58	32
Strongly approve	17	15	20	19	16	6	25	20	16	15	11	11	20	13	11	21	11	20	12	0	3
Somewhat approve	29	23	35	31	22	34	26	38	23	30	28	13	30	26	22	30	40	18	37	58	29
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	13	14	12	8	23	11	20	3	17	17	16	4	12	14	12	5	19	19	17	10	0
Somewhat disapprove	8	8	7	4	16	11	13	3	5	9	12	4	7	9	9	3	7	6	12	10	0
Strongly disapprove	5	6	5	5	7	0	6	0	12	7	4	0	5	5	2	2	12	13	5	0	0
DK/NA	41	49	34	42	39	50	29	39	45	38	45	72	37	47	56	44	30	43	33	32	68
DK/NA	41	49	34	42	39	50	29	39	45	38	45	72	37	47	56	44	30	43	33	32	68

Table 4: Q1C. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : Caltrain

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	46	58	50	44	28	68	39	39	34	37	37	52	47	45	27	65	26	50	56	27	46
Strongly approve	17	21	18	19	9	27	15	14	18	3	4	19	19	25	4	5	7	23	17	14	17
Somewhat approve	29	37	32	25	19	42	24	26	17	34	32	33	29	21	23	60	19	27	39	14	29
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	13	11	13	13	15	6	9	22	29	5	0	8	8	22	25	0	16	14	11	27	5
Somewhat disapprove	8	6	8	6	9	4	3	12	25	5	0	3	4	15	17	0	16	4	8	16	2
Strongly disapprove	5	5	5	6	6	2	7	10	4	0	0	5	4	7	8	0	0	9	3	12	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	41	31	37	43	57	26	51	39	37	58	63	40	44	33	48	35	58	36	34	46	49
DK/NA	41	31	37	43	57	26	51	39	37	58	63	40	44	33	48	35	58	36	34	46	49

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	46	20	42	53	43	48	51	45	41	57	48	58	47	33	52	42	55	0	38	41
Strongly approve	17	1	6	28	18	27	9	17	16	22	24	23	18	9	21	13	7	0	13	17
Somewhat approve	29	19	36	25	25	21	42	29	24	34	24	35	29	24	30	28	48	0	24	24
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	13	15	16	9	12	3	15	12	9	20	15	17	13	11	6	17	0	0	24	14
Somewhat disapprove	8	9	6	5	9	3	13	11	6	20	1	3	8	7	2	10	0	0	17	10
Strongly disapprove	5	7	10	4	3	0	2	1	2	0	14	14	5	5	4	7	0	0	7	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	41	64	42	38	45	49	34	43	51	23	37	25	40	56	42	42	45	100	38	45
DK/NA	41	64	42	38	45	49	34	43	51	23	37	25	40	56	42	42	45	100	38	45

Table 4: Q1C. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : Caltrain

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	46	24	40	45	22	51	61	62	33	33	48	42	44	47	46	53	49	45	41	48
Strongly approve	17	1	14	6	0	26	41	16	21	21	17	14	17	19	16	24	19	15	13	18
Somewhat approve	29	22	26	39	22	25	20	46	12	12	31	27	27	27	30	29	30	29	29	30
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	13	16	0	23	7	12	2	0	8	10	15	17	15	10	12	1	13	14	7	16
Somewhat disapprove	8	8	0	8	7	4	2	0	8	4	10	9	8	7	10	1	6	11	5	8
Strongly disapprove	5	8	0	15	0	9	0	0	0	6	5	8	7	3	2	0	7	3	2	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	41	61	60	32	72	37	37	38	60	57	37	41	41	44	42	46	38	41	52	35
DK/NA	41	61	60	32	72	37	37	38	60	57	37	41	41	44	42	46	38	41	52	35

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	46	45	65	32	46	0	49	42	48	43	47	52	33	45
Strongly approve	17	17	27	10	17	0	22	12	18	16	23	19	5	17
Somewhat approve	29	28	37	23	29	0	28	29	30	27	24	33	28	27
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	13	13	13	13	13	0	10	16	13	13	7	16	15	12
Somewhat disapprove	8	8	0	9	8	0	5	10	7	8	5	9	6	10
Strongly disapprove	5	5	13	3	5	0	5	5	6	4	2	7	9	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	41	42	22	55	41	0	40	43	39	44	46	32	53	43
DK/NA	41	42	22	55	41	0	40	43	39	44	46	32	53	43

Table 5: Q1D. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
TOTAL APPROVE	63	93	84	29	76	72	61	74	44	76	65	64	71	63	77	37	71	71	38	42
Strongly approve	16	50	24	4	20	18	17	16	23	12	16	17	19	16	16	4	0	24	15	19
Somewhat approve	46	43	60	25	56	54	44	58	21	64	49	47	53	48	62	34	71	47	22	24
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	32	7	13	65	21	25	33	22	56	11	31	33	24	32	19	54	29	24	60	44
Somewhat disapprove	18	7	11	31	17	18	10	18	26	9	22	17	12	22	15	18	19	19	19	33
Strongly disapprove	14	0	2	34	5	7	23	3	31	2	9	15	12	10	4	36	9	5	41	11
DK/NA	5	0	3	6	3	3	6	4	0	13	4	3	5	5	3	9	0	5	2	14
DK/NA	5	0	3	6	3	3	6	4	0	13	4	3	5	5	3	9	0	5	2	14

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
TOTAL APPROVE	63	82	64	52	32	74	38	54	62	74	32	62	61	72	64	61	59	76	62	67
Strongly approve	16	22	17	13	6	20	8	11	16	21	6	6	19	27	21	10	11	37	14	22
Somewhat approve	46	60	47	38	25	54	29	43	46	53	26	57	42	45	43	51	49	38	47	45
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	32	17	28	46	61	22	56	38	30	22	62	30	34	26	33	32	34	24	33	29
Somewhat disapprove	18	12	23	27	14	17	18	28	24	16	24	20	18	13	17	21	18	15	18	24
Strongly disapprove	14	5	4	20	46	4	38	10	6	5	39	11	16	13	16	11	16	9	15	5
DK/NA	5	1	8	2	8	4	6	8	8	5	6	7	5	3	3	7	6	0	5	4
DK/NA	5	1	8	2	8	4	6	8	8	5	6	7	5	3	3	7	6	0	5	4

Table 5: Q1D. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
TOTAL APPROVE	63	70	71	72	70	59	55	60	66	63	73	72	66	59	68	75	70	64	62	71	63
Strongly approve	16	16	23	29	30	16	12	21	13	16	10	33	20	15	14	30	25	12	12	25	12
Somewhat approve	46	54	47	43	41	43	43	39	53	47	63	39	46	44	54	44	45	52	50	45	51
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	32	25	26	24	24	33	37	35	30	32	27	20	29	38	23	25	23	32	34	23	33
Somewhat disapprove	18	11	16	14	13	18	18	17	17	19	17	17	17	23	13	7	12	21	18	11	20
Strongly disapprove	14	14	10	10	12	14	19	18	12	13	10	4	11	15	10	19	11	11	15	12	13
DK/NA	5	5	4	4	5	8	8	6	5	5	0	8	5	3	9	0	7	4	5	6	4
DK/NA	5	5	4	4	5	8	8	6	5	5	0	8	5	3	9	0	7	4	5	6	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
TOTAL APPROVE	63	70	66	54	77	70	63	70	70	63	67	66	60	63	73	92	75	58	31	60	
Strongly approve	16	20	13	12	40	18	25	19	4	10	21	13	14	14	28	5	21	10	7	20	
Somewhat approve	46	50	53	42	38	52	38	51	66	53	45	53	46	49	46	87	54	48	24	40	
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	32	27	28	40	23	26	29	28	30	34	27	31	32	34	21	8	20	38	62	36	
Somewhat disapprove	18	17	16	21	12	20	21	19	13	18	20	18	22	18	13	0	16	25	20	19	
Strongly disapprove	14	10	12	19	10	6	8	10	16	16	7	14	10	16	8	8	4	13	43	17	
DK/NA	5	4	5	6	0	4	8	1	0	3	6	2	9	2	5	0	5	5	7	4	
DK/NA	5	4	5	6	0	4	8	1	0	3	6	2	9	2	5	0	5	5	7	4	

Table 5: Q1D. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
TOTAL APPROVE	63	63	64	66	56	65	74	70	60	56	55	53	68	55	54	68	58	67	50	79	65
Strongly approve	16	19	15	15	16	30	26	10	16	16	14	19	17	16	16	15	13	18	13	11	53
Somewhat approve	46	44	50	51	40	35	48	59	44	40	42	34	52	40	39	52	45	50	37	68	13
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	32	31	31	31	37	24	21	26	34	37	43	39	27	39	41	30	36	21	44	21	35
Somewhat disapprove	18	19	17	17	23	9	16	16	16	22	19	23	16	21	20	18	15	10	29	8	16
Strongly disapprove	14	12	14	13	15	15	5	10	17	15	24	16	11	18	21	12	21	10	16	12	19
DK/NA	5	6	5	4	7	11	5	4	6	7	2	9	5	5	4	3	6	12	6	0	0
DK/NA	5	6	5	4	7	11	5	4	6	7	2	9	5	5	4	3	6	12	6	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
TOTAL APPROVE	63	74	53	66	58	68	66	58	53	62	68	70	59	62	48	88	54	66	65	75	61
Strongly approve	16	16	13	19	18	15	16	15	16	5	54	14	16	18	13	45	23	23	10	29	13
Somewhat approve	46	58	40	46	41	53	50	43	37	58	14	56	43	44	35	43	31	43	55	45	47
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	32	22	42	28	35	26	31	38	35	34	14	25	39	32	44	12	29	26	32	21	34
Somewhat disapprove	18	12	23	18	21	14	19	22	25	15	3	13	24	24	21	7	10	11	18	12	20
Strongly disapprove	14	10	19	10	14	12	13	16	11	20	10	12	15	9	23	5	20	14	14	9	13
DK/NA	5	4	5	6	6	5	3	4	11	3	18	5	2	6	9	0	16	8	3	5	5
DK/NA	5	4	5	6	6	5	3	4	11	3	18	5	2	6	9	0	16	8	3	5	5

Table 5: Q1D. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120	40
TOTAL APPROVE	63	66	52	66	49	77	68	67	63	66	65	48	62	68	64	79	79	16	56	58
Strongly approve	16	9	13	24	13	22	28	6	8	18	21	19	16	16	16	9	23	0	17	19
Somewhat approve	46	56	39	43	36	54	41	61	54	48	45	28	46	52	48	70	56	16	39	39
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	32	30	33	32	43	23	26	32	33	29	32	43	33	29	33	15	21	24	35	40
Somewhat disapprove	18	22	17	22	22	8	19	17	18	26	22	12	19	12	19	7	4	0	20	29
Strongly disapprove	14	8	17	10	21	15	7	16	15	4	9	32	13	18	14	8	17	24	15	11
DK/NA	5	4	14	2	7	0	6	1	5	5	3	9	6	2	3	5	0	61	8	2
DK/NA	5	4	14	2	7	0	6	1	5	5	3	9	6	2	3	5	0	61	8	2

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/NOE V	CHNT/SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167	245
TOTAL APPROVE	63	67	51	48	58	64	80	73	76	68	60	64	60	57	58	59	63	61	57	68
Strongly approve	16	11	42	7	23	13	21	20	28	10	16	17	17	18	17	18	24	10	10	20
Somewhat approve	46	56	9	41	36	51	59	53	49	58	44	47	43	39	41	41	39	51	47	48
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	32	28	26	41	34	36	13	24	20	29	36	31	34	39	38	38	30	33	38	28
Somewhat disapprove	18	20	11	17	7	24	10	15	10	17	21	18	17	17	19	23	20	21	18	18
Strongly disapprove	14	8	15	24	27	12	3	10	10	13	15	13	17	22	19	15	10	13	20	9
DK/NA	5	5	23	11	8	0	7	3	4	2	4	4	6	4	4	3	7	5	5	4
DK/NA	5	5	23	11	8	0	7	3	4	2	4	4	6	4	4	3	7	5	5	4

Table 5: Q1D. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	63	63	72	47	0	63	64	61	63	63	71	61	60	57
Strongly approve	16	14	55	7	0	16	11	21	20	13	18	19	12	13
Somewhat approve	46	49	16	40	0	46	54	39	43	50	53	42	47	45
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	32	32	20	53	0	32	31	34	31	33	25	35	33	38
Somewhat disapprove	18	17	20	37	0	18	16	20	20	17	15	21	18	19
Strongly disapprove	14	15	0	16	0	14	14	13	11	17	10	14	15	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	8	0	0	5	5	6	6	4	5	4	8	5
DK/NA	5	5	8	0	0	5	5	6	6	4	5	4	8	5

Table 6: Q1E. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : BART

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
TOTAL APPROVE	58	80	71	37	67	63	62	59	48	79	58	64	60	59	69	42	28	65	31	59
Strongly approve	14	39	20	5	18	15	12	9	26	12	13	20	16	14	16	3	0	19	11	19
Somewhat approve	44	41	51	32	48	48	50	50	23	67	45	44	45	46	53	39	28	47	20	41
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	27	7	17	45	21	22	27	24	48	12	28	21	23	27	17	43	52	20	52	26
Somewhat disapprove	17	5	13	24	14	15	18	15	20	12	21	9	12	18	11	22	47	17	19	19
Strongly disapprove	10	2	4	21	7	7	9	9	27	0	7	12	11	8	6	21	5	3	33	7
DK/NA	15	14	12	18	12	15	11	17	4	9	15	15	17	14	14	15	20	15	17	15
DK/NA	15	14	12	18	12	15	11	17	4	9	15	15	17	14	14	15	20	15	17	15

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
TOTAL APPROVE	58	77	55	39	37	67	38	47	53	70	30	41	63	80	66	47	54	67	56	65
Strongly approve	14	23	10	4	7	17	6	11	11	18	5	7	15	23	18	9	9	32	12	23
Somewhat approve	44	54	45	35	30	50	31	36	43	52	25	34	48	57	49	38	45	35	44	42
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	27	14	24	53	44	18	47	37	27	17	51	39	28	17	26	29	29	23	28	25
Somewhat disapprove	17	10	19	29	18	14	21	30	22	13	23	32	18	13	16	19	19	13	18	14
Strongly disapprove	10	4	5	24	27	4	26	6	5	4	28	7	10	4	10	11	10	9	10	11
DK/NA	15	9	21	8	19	14	16	17	20	13	19	20	10	3	8	24	17	10	16	10
DK/NA	15	9	21	8	19	14	16	17	20	13	19	20	10	3	8	24	17	10	16	10

Table 6: Q1E. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : BART

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
TOTAL APPROVE	58	64	65	74	71	56	51	59	63	58	45	64	58	57	59	51	65	56	59	63	57
Strongly approve	14	10	18	25	17	17	10	16	13	16	10	25	17	9	24	14	24	12	8	22	10
Somewhat approve	44	54	47	49	54	39	41	42	50	42	36	39	41	48	35	37	41	44	50	41	47
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	27	23	22	26	22	25	29	30	25	27	35	23	27	26	30	21	22	28	30	22	29
Somewhat disapprove	17	13	12	14	13	15	18	17	19	12	13	18	14	11	14	6	11	21	19	10	20
Strongly disapprove	10	10	10	12	9	11	11	13	7	15	22	5	14	15	16	15	11	8	11	12	9
DK/NA	15	13	13	0	7	19	20	11	12	15	20	13	15	18	11	28	12	16	11	15	14
DK/NA	15	13	13	0	7	19	20	11	12	15	20	13	15	18	11	28	12	16	11	15	14
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
TOTAL APPROVE	58	65	57	57	82	56	56	67	58	65	56	65	63	57	62	29	70	43	33	63	
Strongly approve	14	14	14	10	38	16	19	18	4	10	18	13	12	13	23	5	18	9	5	15	
Somewhat approve	44	51	43	47	44	40	37	49	54	54	39	52	51	45	39	24	52	35	27	49	
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	27	25	26	34	15	21	35	24	29	26	28	26	25	27	21	71	16	40	49	30	
Somewhat disapprove	17	13	21	19	11	10	21	15	14	24	15	20	15	18	11	63	12	31	19	24	
Strongly disapprove	10	12	5	15	5	11	14	9	15	2	12	6	10	9	10	8	4	9	30	6	
DK/NA	15	10	17	10	2	23	9	9	13	9	16	9	12	15	18	0	14	17	18	7	
DK/NA	15	10	17	10	2	23	9	9	13	9	16	9	12	15	18	0	14	17	18	7	
	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
TOTAL APPROVE	58	54	62	59	56	51	68	56	51	61	52	56	58	57	53	58	65	66	54	52	68
Strongly approve	14	15	14	15	11	20	19	12	8	12	16	20	13	15	17	15	14	10	11	0	44
Somewhat approve	44	39	48	44	45	31	48	44	43	49	35	37	45	42	36	43	52	56	43	52	23
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	27	28	27	25	31	34	24	32	32	27	24	20	30	25	22	27	24	21	36	44	28
Somewhat disapprove	17	18	15	17	18	17	18	20	22	16	10	10	20	13	10	19	12	12	23	21	20
Strongly disapprove	10	9	12	8	13	17	6	12	10	10	13	9	9	11	12	8	12	9	13	23	8
DK/NA	15	18	11	16	13	15	9	12	17	12	25	24	13	18	25	14	10	13	10	4	4
DK/NA	15	18	11	16	13	15	9	12	17	12	25	24	13	18	25	14	10	13	10	4	4

Table 6: Q1E. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : BART

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	58	66	58	52	57	69	53	56	54	36	66	55	65	64	45	57	48	60	64	65	50
Strongly approve	14	14	14	14	17	20	13	8	15	0	39	12	18	14	7	22	20	20	12	26	9
Somewhat approve	44	52	44	39	39	50	40	48	39	36	27	43	47	50	39	36	29	41	53	39	41
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	27	25	29	31	22	19	29	34	29	56	14	30	18	27	36	43	30	27	26	18	31
Somewhat disapprove	17	14	16	23	12	9	21	21	15	29	7	20	12	20	15	20	16	12	16	9	22
Strongly disapprove	10	10	13	8	10	9	7	12	14	27	7	10	6	7	21	23	14	15	10	9	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	15	10	13	16	21	12	18	11	17	8	21	15	17	10	19	0	22	13	10	17	18
DK/NA	15	10	13	16	21	12	18	11	17	8	21	15	17	10	19	0	22	13	10	17	18
	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE							
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120	40	
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	58	53	52	74	41	58	70	47	65	66	78	31	57	63	60	55	51	0	59	52	
Strongly approve	14	5	16	18	10	16	29	11	4	16	15	12	14	11	15	11	23	0	11	14	
Somewhat approve	44	48	36	56	30	41	40	36	60	50	62	19	42	52	44	44	28	0	48	38	
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	27	25	25	21	34	32	25	21	26	24	14	57	28	23	25	27	43	39	28	33	
Somewhat disapprove	17	21	15	11	27	10	22	12	15	21	7	32	18	10	16	24	22	0	16	20	
Strongly disapprove	10	4	10	10	6	22	4	9	12	3	8	25	10	13	9	3	21	39	11	13	
<u>DK/NA</u>	15	22	24	5	26	11	5	32	9	10	8	12	15	13	15	19	6	61	13	15	
DK/NA	15	22	24	5	26	11	5	32	9	10	8	12	15	13	15	19	6	61	13	15	

Table 6: Q1E. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing. : BART

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	58	53	57	50	58	73	71	57	71	64	56	58	52	53	52	58	55	48	56	59
Strongly approve	14	6	26	14	33	0	27	12	9	5	14	13	12	12	10	11	21	8	8	17
Somewhat approve	44	48	31	35	25	73	43	45	62	59	42	45	41	40	42	47	34	39	49	42
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	27	23	20	27	42	13	24	28	29	23	29	27	29	36	36	27	29	29	29	26
Somewhat disapprove	17	21	20	13	15	6	14	9	21	17	19	15	16	17	17	14	16	20	17	17
Strongly disapprove	10	2	0	15	27	6	11	19	7	6	10	12	14	18	19	13	13	9	12	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	15	23	23	23	0	14	5	15	0	14	15	15	18	11	13	15	17	23	15	14
DK/NA	15	23	23	23	0	14	5	15	0	14	15	15	18	11	13	15	17	23	15	14

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	58	59	59	35	0	58	58	57	53	62	68	61	48	46
Strongly approve	14	12	48	0	0	14	11	17	17	11	15	17	10	10
Somewhat approve	44	46	11	35	0	44	47	40	35	52	52	45	38	36
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	27	28	21	30	0	27	28	27	29	26	24	29	29	28
Somewhat disapprove	17	18	19	6	0	17	17	18	17	17	12	19	19	19
Strongly disapprove	10	10	3	23	0	10	11	10	12	8	11	10	10	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	15	14	20	35	0	15	15	15	18	12	9	9	23	26
DK/NA	15	14	20	35	0	15	15	15	18	12	9	9	23	26

Table 8: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service—is it excellent, good, not so good or poor?

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	60	100	100	0	64	69	46	71	53	71	61	73	67	60	78	34	50	69	29	43
Excellent	9	100	15	0	8	11	7	10	18	5	7	12	12	8	14	2	3	11	1	11
Good	51	0	85	0	56	58	39	60	35	66	54	61	54	52	64	32	47	58	28	32
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	37	0	0	100	33	28	46	25	37	20	39	26	28	38	20	60	48	27	67	53
Not so good	24	0	0	65	23	21	33	17	16	20	28	12	20	25	17	34	38	20	30	44
Poor	13	0	0	35	9	8	13	8	21	0	11	14	9	13	3	26	9	8	38	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	0	0	3	3	7	4	10	9	1	1	5	2	2	6	2	3	4	4
DK/NA	3	0	0	0	3	3	7	4	10	9	1	1	5	2	2	6	2	3	4	4
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	60	76	70	44	27	73	32	47	65	71	34	56	55	53	56	65	55	70	58	69
Excellent	9	12	13	4	1	12	2	7	12	13	1	5	8	9	8	10	6	15	7	16
Good	51	65	57	40	27	61	30	41	53	59	32	50	47	44	48	54	49	55	50	53
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	37	21	27	53	67	24	63	50	33	26	62	40	42	46	42	31	41	29	39	28
Not so good	24	15	23	36	30	18	32	41	27	20	31	34	27	30	27	21	26	21	25	19
Poor	13	6	5	17	36	6	31	9	6	6	31	6	15	16	15	10	14	8	13	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	3	3	6	3	5	3	3	3	5	4	2	1	2	5	4	1	4	2
DK/NA	3	2	3	3	6	3	5	3	3	3	5	4	2	1	2	5	4	1	4	2

Table 8: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service—is it excellent, good, not so good or poor?

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION						
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+	
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530	
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	60	63	66	67	77	53	54	54	61	58	77	71	63	57	59	54	64	61	60	63	61	
Excellent	9	7	11	13	17	9	7	8	9	8	12	16	12	5	12	15	12	10	6	12	8	
Good	51	56	55	54	61	43	47	46	52	50	65	55	52	52	47	38	53	51	54	51	52	
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	37	36	33	32	23	45	42	43	36	38	23	27	33	39	36	43	33	35	37	34	36	
Not so good	24	26	22	19	15	25	26	27	23	28	13	17	23	31	24	29	19	26	23	20	25	
Poor	13	10	11	13	8	20	16	17	13	9	10	10	11	8	12	14	14	9	14	14	11	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	0	1	0	3	5	3	3	4	0	2	3	4	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	
DK/NA	3	1	0	1	0	3	5	3	3	4	0	2	3	4	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR		
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44		
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	60	76	59	51	64	73	58	69	65	54	66	60	62	59	67	90	73	59	28	55		
Excellent	9	10	12	6	9	20	6	7	2	9	13	8	12	7	12	23	13	7	1	10		
Good	51	66	47	45	55	54	53	62	64	45	53	53	51	52	55	67	60	52	27	45		
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	37	21	39	46	32	25	38	28	35	43	31	37	35	37	33	10	25	39	67	45		
Not so good	24	12	26	29	19	19	26	19	18	26	22	23	26	23	22	4	19	32	31	35		
Poor	13	8	13	17	13	6	12	9	16	16	9	14	10	14	11	6	6	7	35	10		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	3	3	5	2	3	3	0	3	3	3	2	4	0	0	3	2	6	0		
DK/NA	3	4	3	3	5	2	3	3	0	3	3	3	2	4	0	0	3	2	6	0		
	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY									
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP	
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20	
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	60	65	56	63	56	47	80	53	60	54	49	71	63	56	58	68	52	62	53	52	50	
Excellent	9	13	5	9	9	9	13	8	13	4	9	9	11	7	9	11	6	12	7	13	4	
Good	51	52	51	54	48	38	68	45	47	50	40	62	52	49	49	57	47	50	46	39	46	
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	37	30	42	34	41	41	19	42	37	43	46	23	34	40	37	30	43	32	46	46	43	
Not so good	24	19	28	22	27	26	16	26	27	24	35	13	23	25	27	19	27	20	28	35	25	
Poor	13	10	15	12	14	15	4	17	10	20	11	10	11	15	11	10	16	12	18	11	18	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	2	3	3	12	1	5	3	2	5	5	3	4	5	2	5	6	1	2	8	
DK/NA	3	5	2	3	3	12	1	5	3	2	5	5	3	4	5	2	5	6	1	2	8	

Table 8: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service—is it excellent, good, not so good or poor?

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	60	60	51	67	63	57	69	55	59	49	47	64	62	63	48	54	44	56	57	69	65
Excellent	9	6	4	15	10	6	12	5	14	4	18	10	9	12	4	25	1	6	5	16	12
Good	51	54	47	52	53	52	57	51	45	45	29	55	53	50	44	30	42	50	51	53	53
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	37	39	46	29	31	41	27	43	36	46	29	34	34	34	50	31	46	42	41	28	30
Not so good	24	26	30	20	19	28	17	26	28	31	14	23	22	24	31	22	28	26	28	14	22
Poor	13	13	16	9	12	13	10	17	9	15	15	11	13	10	9	19	19	16	14	13	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	4	6	2	4	1	5	5	24	2	3	3	2	15	10	2	2	3	5
DK/NA	3	2	2	4	6	2	4	1	5	5	24	2	3	3	2	15	10	2	2	3	5
	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE							
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	60	64	61	56	46	67	69	60	53	72	63	47	61	55	62	72	60	0	55	54	
Excellent	9	8	4	15	2	12	11	11	9	9	14	6	9	8	9	11	10	0	10	4	
Good	51	56	57	42	44	55	58	49	44	63	49	41	51	46	53	61	50	0	45	50	
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	37	33	34	43	48	29	28	37	41	25	37	51	36	42	35	25	35	49	42	43	
Not so good	24	24	18	33	27	23	19	24	30	15	27	25	24	21	24	14	26	25	28	21	
Poor	13	8	16	9	22	6	9	13	11	10	10	26	12	21	11	11	9	24	13	21	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	5	1	5	3	3	3	6	2	1	2	3	3	3	3	5	51	3	3	
DK/NA	3	3	5	1	5	3	3	3	6	2	1	2	3	3	3	3	5	51	3	3	

Table 8: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service—is it excellent, good, not so good or poor?

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	60	66	59	58	49	53	66	64	65	47	60	59	57	56	55	55	65	60	57	64
Excellent	9	9	0	7	0	22	12	7	25	2	9	11	10	9	7	11	14	6	4	12
Good	51	57	59	51	49	32	54	57	40	44	51	48	46	47	48	45	51	54	53	52
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	37	30	41	37	51	47	33	31	29	46	37	38	40	40	42	43	32	33	39	34
Not so good	24	23	31	14	32	38	26	24	27	39	23	26	27	26	27	30	21	19	23	24
Poor	13	7	10	22	19	8	7	7	3	7	15	13	13	14	15	12	11	14	16	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	0	6	0	0	1	5	6	7	3	3	3	4	3	2	3	7	4	2
DK/NA	3	4	0	6	0	0	1	5	6	7	3	3	3	4	3	2	3	7	4	2

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	60	60	61	58	59	61	62	58	64	56	62	62	60	54
Excellent	9	9	14	4	8	10	9	9	12	7	13	10	5	7
Good	51	51	47	54	51	51	52	49	52	50	49	52	56	48
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	37	37	35	40	37	36	35	38	32	41	36	35	35	41
Not so good	24	24	18	33	23	25	24	24	21	27	28	22	23	24
Poor	13	13	16	7	14	11	11	15	12	14	8	14	12	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	5	3	4	3	3	3	4	2	2	3	5	4
DK/NA	3	3	5	3	4	3	3	3	4	2	2	3	5	4

Table 9: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve the S-F-M-T-A and Muni's transportation and public transit system in San Francisco?

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	88	85	56	100	100	60	88	76	76	78	72	78	74	90	33	74	88	40	73
Great need	39	34	42	35	100	53	28	47	27	62	44	39	34	42	50	9	12	54	22	23
Some need	34	54	43	22	0	47	33	41	49	14	34	32	43	32	40	24	63	34	18	50
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	21	9	12	37	0	0	35	8	20	13	15	27	19	20	9	61	11	7	48	15
A little need	6	3	5	8	0	0	2	3	3	5	7	7	3	7	5	12	9	4	6	11
No real need	15	6	7	29	0	0	33	5	17	8	8	20	17	13	4	49	3	3	43	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	4	7	0	0	4	3	4	11	7	1	3	5	0	5	14	5	12	12
DK/NA	5	3	4	7	0	0	4	3	4	11	7	1	3	5	0	5	14	5	12	12
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	92	85	57	28	89	36	74	83	88	37	77	69	76	71	77	69	84	72	85
Great need	39	62	41	25	11	52	15	16	35	52	14	18	44	55	46	31	35	56	38	44
Some need	34	30	45	33	18	37	22	57	47	36	23	59	24	21	25	46	34	28	33	41
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	21	6	10	35	64	8	56	13	11	9	55	12	25	23	25	17	24	13	22	13
A little need	6	3	6	10	9	4	9	9	7	5	9	5	7	4	7	5	6	9	7	3
No real need	15	3	4	24	55	4	46	3	4	4	46	8	18	19	18	12	18	4	15	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	2	5	8	8	3	8	13	7	3	8	11	6	1	5	6	7	2	6	2
DK/NA	5	2	5	8	8	3	8	13	7	3	8	11	6	1	5	6	7	2	6	2

Table 9: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve the S-F-M-T-A and Muni's transportation and public transit system in San Francisco?

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	78	78	76	79	73	66	73	80	71	77	80	74	70	72	63	77	74	77	75	75
Great need	39	49	45	49	48	35	32	40	49	36	34	36	35	34	38	10	43	41	43	39	42
Some need	34	29	33	26	31	39	33	33	31	36	43	44	39	37	34	53	34	33	33	36	33
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	21	18	17	20	19	24	27	24	15	24	15	17	22	24	24	21	20	21	18	20	20
A little need	6	7	6	6	7	8	8	6	5	7	2	5	6	5	11	0	4	9	5	4	7
No real need	15	11	12	14	13	16	20	17	9	17	13	11	15	19	13	21	15	12	13	16	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	5	5	2	3	7	3	5	5	9	3	5	5	4	15	4	5	5	5	5
DK/NA	5	5	5	5	2	3	7	3	5	5	9	3	5	5	4	15	4	5	5	5	5

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	89	79	60	69	79	73	80	78	77	76	78	77	74	75	80	89	73	32	71
Great need	39	62	39	24	43	38	40	51	47	42	39	46	35	43	42	0	55	16	14	15
Some need	34	27	40	36	26	41	34	29	30	35	38	32	42	32	34	80	35	57	18	57
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	21	8	17	34	28	16	24	15	21	18	19	17	18	21	21	11	7	18	59	23
A little need	6	4	6	7	8	4	6	8	6	5	5	6	7	5	9	0	4	7	10	13
No real need	15	3	11	27	20	12	17	7	16	14	14	12	11	16	12	11	3	11	49	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	4	6	3	5	3	5	1	5	4	5	5	4	4	9	3	9	8	6
DK/NA	5	4	4	6	3	5	3	5	1	5	4	5	5	4	4	9	3	9	8	6

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	81	68	78	70	49	89	78	70	63	65	74	79	66	68	85	59	78	67	50	60
Great need	39	40	39	44	33	23	44	50	34	30	36	36	43	33	36	50	28	49	26	12	34
Some need	34	41	29	34	37	26	45	28	36	33	29	38	36	33	32	35	31	29	41	38	26
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	21	15	25	17	23	47	10	20	23	26	26	24	18	26	25	11	32	17	29	50	35
A little need	6	4	7	7	5	7	4	5	6	7	7	8	5	7	7	5	8	6	8	0	5
No real need	15	11	18	11	18	40	6	14	17	19	19	16	12	18	18	6	24	11	22	50	30
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	6	5	7	3	1	2	7	10	9	2	3	8	7	4	9	5	4	0	5
DK/NA	5	4	6	5	7	3	1	2	7	10	9	2	3	8	7	4	9	5	4	0	5

Table 9: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve the S-F-M-T-A and Muni's transportation and public transit system in San Francisco?

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	75	60	83	77	75	81	66	78	33	81	81	74	79	57	53	48	66	71	81	81
Great need	39	47	30	40	40	47	42	31	37	19	33	47	39	40	23	13	28	41	41	36	43
Some need	34	28	31	43	37	28	40	35	42	14	48	34	35	39	33	40	19	25	31	45	38
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	21	20	32	15	15	19	14	27	16	62	16	17	18	16	32	40	51	24	25	17	13
A little need	6	6	9	4	5	7	5	7	3	5	0	6	8	5	5	0	10	2	10	5	4
No real need	15	14	23	11	10	12	9	20	13	57	16	11	10	11	27	40	40	22	14	12	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	8	2	8	6	4	7	6	5	3	2	8	4	11	7	2	10	4	2	6
DK/NA	5	5	8	2	8	6	4	7	6	5	3	2	8	4	11	7	2	10	4	2	6

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	69	69	74	63	78	75	65	78	82	85	68	74	72	79	81	49	76	72	50
Great need	39	40	35	34	37	40	42	34	42	39	45	38	40	31	45	46	7	76	35	26
Some need	34	29	34	40	26	39	33	30	36	43	40	29	34	41	35	35	42	0	37	24
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	21	27	25	18	33	12	21	23	17	13	14	32	21	22	16	16	46	18	20	45
A little need	6	9	8	1	8	5	7	12	5	3	6	2	6	7	6	5	3	0	5	11
No real need	15	18	16	16	25	7	14	11	12	11	8	30	15	15	10	11	43	18	16	35
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	6	9	4	9	4	12	5	5	1	0	5	6	5	3	5	5	7	5
DK/NA	5	4	6	9	4	9	4	12	5	5	1	0	5	6	5	3	5	5	7	5

Table 9: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve the S-F-M-T-A and Muni's transportation and public transit system in San Francisco?

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	74	68	65	73	81	67	77	89	86	73	75	73	71	68	68	75	70	68	80
Great need	39	43	13	31	17	31	39	43	47	48	40	42	39	39	40	39	41	36	32	45
Some need	34	31	55	34	55	50	28	34	42	38	33	33	34	33	29	30	34	34	35	35
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	21	24	29	27	11	13	21	16	11	11	22	20	23	24	25	23	20	26	25	17
A little need	6	8	16	7	2	3	3	4	11	3	6	6	6	6	8	8	6	5	7	5
No real need	15	16	13	21	9	10	19	12	0	7	16	14	17	18	17	15	15	21	18	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	2	3	8	16	6	12	7	0	4	5	4	4	5	6	8	5	4	7	3
DK/NA	5	2	3	8	16	6	12	7	0	4	5	4	4	5	6	8	5	4	7	3

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	73	83	67	71	76	71	76	73	73	75	78	71	66
Great need	39	40	46	21	39	39	34	44	40	38	40	41	37	37
Some need	34	33	37	46	32	37	37	32	34	35	35	37	34	29
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	21	21	17	27	23	19	25	17	22	20	18	20	23	26
A little need	6	6	3	5	5	7	8	5	5	7	6	4	7	9
No real need	15	15	13	22	18	13	18	12	17	14	12	15	16	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	6	0	6	6	5	3	7	5	6	7	2	6	7
DK/NA	5	6	0	6	6	5	3	7	5	6	7	2	6	7

Table 10: Q5A. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Does a good job of serving the whole city

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	53	85	69	30	53	57	48	59	46	61	55	59	60	52	61	45	59	56	33	42
Very well	15	57	24	2	14	16	22	14	17	23	12	25	19	14	21	7	10	18	6	10
Somewhat well	38	28	45	28	39	40	26	45	29	38	43	35	41	38	40	38	49	38	27	32
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	10	26	67	44	39	47	37	45	28	42	32	34	43	35	47	28	40	62	52
Not too well	27	10	22	34	29	27	31	26	27	24	27	20	20	28	25	21	8	30	28	50
Not at all well	15	0	4	33	15	11	16	10	18	4	15	13	14	14	10	26	20	9	34	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5	9	11	4	8	5	5	4	8	14	4	5	6
DK/NA	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5	9	11	4	8	5	5	4	8	14	4	5	6
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	53	56	61	54	35	58	40	52	59	60	34	57	50	51	52	54	49	59	51	63
Very well	15	18	20	12	5	19	7	10	18	19	6	15	13	11	13	17	11	20	13	25
Somewhat well	38	38	40	42	30	39	33	42	40	41	29	42	37	40	38	37	38	38	38	38
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	40	35	44	57	37	54	38	36	36	59	32	43	42	43	41	45	39	44	30
Not too well	27	29	27	23	25	28	24	26	26	27	28	23	27	28	27	27	29	26	28	19
Not at all well	15	10	8	21	33	9	29	12	9	9	31	9	16	14	16	14	17	12	16	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	5	2	8	4	6	10	6	4	6	11	7	7	5	5	6	3	5	7
DK/NA	5	4	5	2	8	4	6	10	6	4	6	11	7	7	5	5	6	3	5	7

Table 10: Q5A. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Does a good job of serving the whole city

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	53	52	59	60	65	46	45	48	54	53	60	55	53	53	53	47	61	52	50	59	51
Very well	15	16	17	23	37	13	12	16	18	8	27	24	16	4	12	14	23	15	9	22	13
Somewhat well	38	36	41	37	28	33	33	33	36	45	33	31	37	49	41	33	37	37	41	37	39
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	43	37	38	32	52	49	47	42	41	38	39	42	41	42	47	37	41	45	39	42
Not too well	27	29	24	26	18	28	32	32	27	28	29	27	29	29	28	32	26	28	26	26	27
Not at all well	15	14	13	12	13	23	17	15	15	13	9	12	12	12	14	15	12	13	19	12	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	4	2	3	2	6	5	4	6	2	6	5	6	5	6	2	7	5	2	6
DK/NA	5	5	4	2	3	2	6	5	4	6	2	6	5	6	5	6	2	7	5	2	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	53	56	54	48	72	69	48	54	54	47	59	50	60	49	54	75	59	55	34	66	
Very well	15	16	16	11	34	31	16	14	3	9	24	10	20	14	12	0	20	10	6	5	
Somewhat well	38	39	38	37	38	38	32	40	50	38	35	40	39	35	42	75	39	45	29	61	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	39	42	47	25	28	48	39	39	48	38	44	36	45	44	16	36	38	59	30	
Not too well	27	25	28	30	21	22	30	28	23	31	26	29	24	30	28	4	27	27	26	21	
Not at all well	15	14	14	16	4	6	18	11	16	17	12	14	12	15	17	11	9	11	33	10	
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	6	4	5	3	3	3	7	7	5	3	6	4	7	1	9	4	7	7	4	
DK/NA	5	6	4	5	3	3	3	7	7	5	3	6	4	7	1	9	4	7	7	4	

Table 10: Q5A. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Does a good job of serving the whole city

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	53	51	54	54	52	41	53	52	55	54	45	59	53	52	50	54	54	58	47	37	60
Very well	15	15	17	15	16	11	18	12	18	17	9	18	15	15	12	16	13	18	14	13	12
Somewhat well	38	36	37	39	37	30	35	40	37	37	36	40	38	37	38	38	41	40	33	24	48
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	43	41	41	43	44	41	45	40	39	48	33	43	41	42	42	39	36	50	52	35
Not too well	27	28	26	26	27	31	31	30	24	18	35	24	28	24	31	27	25	24	32	37	29
Not at all well	15	15	15	15	16	13	10	16	16	21	13	9	14	16	12	14	14	12	18	16	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	6	5	5	5	15	6	3	5	6	7	9	4	7	8	4	6	6	3	11	5
DK/NA	5	6	5	5	5	15	6	3	5	6	7	9	4	7	8	4	6	6	3	11	5

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	53	57	51	49	54	54	54	56	45	44	38	54	55	54	51	45	39	62	52	57	50
Very well	15	16	18	15	14	18	14	17	17	11	13	14	17	16	15	24	4	29	12	17	14
Somewhat well	38	41	33	34	40	36	41	39	28	33	25	39	39	37	36	21	35	33	39	40	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	39	42	46	38	41	40	39	50	46	37	42	40	44	42	44	44	34	43	42	41
Not too well	27	25	26	32	22	28	25	21	36	29	30	27	25	31	21	32	30	23	28	29	26
Not at all well	15	14	17	14	16	13	15	18	14	18	7	15	14	13	21	12	14	12	15	13	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	7	5	8	4	6	5	5	10	25	5	5	3	7	11	17	4	5	1	8
DK/NA	5	4	7	5	8	4	6	5	5	10	25	5	5	3	7	11	17	4	5	1	8

Table 10: Q5A. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Does a good job of serving the whole city

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
TOTAL WELL	53	48	46	69	51	60	62	51	53	48	57	38	52	56	55	51	41	12	51	55
Very well	15	13	16	19	5	23	25	15	17	13	11	9	15	13	16	14	7	0	17	14
Somewhat well	38	34	30	50	46	37	37	36	36	35	46	29	37	43	39	38	34	12	35	41
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	44	51	27	41	34	34	43	40	44	41	59	42	40	40	44	49	37	44	37
Not too well	27	27	33	16	29	26	24	34	21	31	30	22	27	21	26	29	35	0	28	23
Not at all well	15	17	18	11	12	8	10	9	19	14	11	37	15	18	15	15	14	37	16	14
DK/NA	5	8	4	4	8	6	4	6	7	8	2	3	6	4	5	5	10	51	4	8
DK/NA	5	8	4	4	8	6	4	6	7	8	2	3	6	4	5	5	10	51	4	8

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
TOTAL WELL	53	49	47	43	61	56	72	58	68	61	50	56	57	54	55	59	54	54	52	55
Very well	15	15	26	15	13	16	27	21	23	17	12	15	16	14	14	13	18	13	12	17
Somewhat well	38	34	22	28	48	41	45	37	45	44	38	41	42	40	41	46	36	41	40	38
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	43	45	54	34	36	27	36	26	34	44	39	38	41	41	35	41	37	41	41
Not too well	27	27	28	32	19	19	22	19	20	17	29	25	24	25	25	22	26	21	23	29
Not at all well	15	16	18	22	16	17	4	17	6	17	15	14	14	16	15	13	15	16	18	12
DK/NA	5	7	8	3	5	8	2	6	6	5	6	5	5	5	5	6	5	9	7	4
DK/NA	5	7	8	3	5	8	2	6	6	5	6	5	5	5	5	6	5	9	7	4

Table 10: Q5A. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Does a good job of serving the whole city

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	53	52	67	47	47	58	56	50	54	52	63	52	43	50
Very well	15	15	27	6	14	16	15	15	17	13	20	14	15	12
Somewhat well	38	37	40	41	33	42	40	35	37	38	43	38	29	39
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	43	25	43	46	37	38	46	40	44	32	44	51	43
Not too well	27	28	12	25	28	26	22	31	24	29	21	27	31	31
Not at all well	15	15	12	18	19	11	16	14	16	15	11	17	20	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	9	10	6	4	6	5	6	5	5	5	6	7
DK/NA	5	5	9	10	6	4	6	5	6	5	5	5	6	7

Table 11: Q5B. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Trustworthy

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	100	75	16	52	59	30	53	45	67	54	63	61	50	65	34	47	60	18	42
Very well	11	58	19	1	14	14	4	12	14	6	12	14	12	11	19	7	7	11	0	24
Somewhat well	40	42	56	15	38	45	26	41	31	61	42	48	49	40	46	27	40	49	18	18
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	0	21	78	44	36	42	46	55	26	41	33	35	45	29	56	39	39	74	45
Not too well	28	0	15	48	35	26	12	35	45	21	27	25	22	32	22	37	22	30	28	20
Not at all well	15	0	6	30	9	10	29	11	10	5	14	8	13	13	7	20	16	9	46	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	0	4	5	4	4	29	1	0	7	6	4	5	5	6	9	14	2	8	12
DK/NA	6	0	4	5	4	4	29	1	0	7	6	4	5	5	6	9	14	2	8	12
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	63	60	38	23	62	27	46	57	61	30	46	42	44	46	58	46	56	48	65
Very well	11	16	13	3	4	15	4	11	13	13	8	14	8	15	9	14	6	18	8	22
Somewhat well	40	47	47	35	19	47	23	34	44	49	22	32	35	29	36	44	40	39	40	42
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	35	34	54	68	34	64	41	35	35	62	41	53	51	50	35	47	39	45	32
Not too well	28	25	28	43	29	26	33	22	27	28	30	18	33	44	33	22	28	33	29	25
Not at all well	15	10	6	12	38	8	31	19	9	6	32	23	20	7	17	13	19	6	17	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	2	6	7	9	4	9	14	8	4	8	14	5	4	5	7	7	5	6	3
DK/NA	6	2	6	7	9	4	9	14	8	4	8	14	5	4	5	7	7	5	6	3

Table 11: Q5B. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Trustworthy

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
TOTAL WELL	51	51	54	49	67	41	47	43	59	51	43	56	50	53	46	42	54	50	53	53	51
Very well	11	14	14	16	28	11	8	8	18	5	0	14	8	5	5	8	17	7	13	16	10
Somewhat well	40	38	41	33	39	30	39	35	41	46	43	43	42	48	42	34	37	43	40	37	42
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	42	42	46	31	58	45	53	37	43	55	39	45	38	51	50	43	45	39	44	43
Not too well	28	23	28	37	29	38	25	36	25	29	49	27	32	29	30	18	30	31	25	29	29
Not at all well	15	20	14	8	3	20	19	16	12	14	6	12	13	9	21	31	13	14	14	15	14
DK/NA	6	6	4	5	2	2	8	4	4	6	2	5	5	9	2	9	3	5	8	4	6
DK/NA	6	6	4	5	2	2	8	4	4	6	2	5	5	9	2	9	3	5	8	4	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
TOTAL WELL	51	64	50	36	68	66	45	60	50	51	56	54	58	50	54	46	62	47	26	42	
Very well	11	16	12	3	23	24	12	18	9	5	19	10	22	8	10	0	13	18	3	6	
Somewhat well	40	49	38	33	45	42	33	41	41	46	38	44	36	42	44	46	49	29	23	36	
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	30	43	62	27	29	52	36	42	45	40	42	36	45	44	18	34	45	64	55	
Not too well	28	24	31	39	19	18	41	21	18	33	29	27	23	30	32	0	28	18	33	33	
Not at all well	15	6	12	23	8	11	11	15	24	12	11	15	13	15	12	18	6	28	31	22	
DK/NA	6	5	7	2	5	5	4	5	8	3	4	4	6	5	2	36	4	8	9	3	
DK/NA	6	5	7	2	5	5	4	5	8	3	4	4	6	5	2	36	4	8	9	3	

Table 11: Q5B. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Trustworthy

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	54	52	53	49	45	63	44	55	51	40	59	53	49	47	59	37	53	39	49	57
Very well	11	15	8	12	11	11	17	12	10	7	11	15	13	10	13	14	6	12	5	0	14
Somewhat well	40	39	44	41	37	34	46	31	45	44	29	43	40	39	34	44	31	41	33	49	43
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	39	44	42	45	40	33	51	40	44	48	38	42	44	44	35	63	43	57	43	36
Not too well	28	25	29	32	20	27	20	38	26	20	39	19	29	26	31	29	45	21	29	17	29
Not at all well	15	14	15	10	26	13	14	12	13	24	8	18	13	18	12	6	18	23	28	27	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	4	5	6	15	4	5	5	5	13	3	5	7	9	7	0	4	5	8	7
DK/NA	6	7	4	5	6	15	4	5	5	5	13	3	5	7	9	7	0	4	5	8	7

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	56	47	52	59	56	54	50	52	38	66	55	50	49	47	36	50	53	50	54	56
Very well	11	10	5	16	14	8	15	8	18	4	9	12	11	15	6	7	13	8	9	22	11
Somewhat well	40	45	42	36	44	48	40	41	34	34	57	43	39	34	41	29	37	45	40	32	45
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	40	48	42	34	42	39	45	45	49	5	41	44	45	46	43	38	45	45	42	37
Not too well	28	28	30	30	18	35	29	22	17	26	5	34	29	22	17	18	32	35	28	23	28
Not at all well	15	12	19	12	16	8	10	23	28	23	0	7	15	23	29	25	6	10	17	19	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	5	6	7	2	7	5	3	14	29	4	6	5	7	22	12	3	6	5	7
DK/NA	6	4	5	6	7	2	7	5	3	14	29	4	6	5	7	22	12	3	6	5	7

Table 11: Q5B. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Trustworthy

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
TOTAL WELL	51	49	56	60	22	66	59	54	39	54	45	61	51	47	53	63	63	0	47	36
Very well	11	11	20	28	3	2	12	6	13	14	11	9	11	16	10	22	18	0	13	3
Somewhat well	40	38	36	32	18	65	47	48	26	40	34	52	41	31	43	41	45	0	35	33
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	42	40	39	70	25	35	37	53	42	49	39	42	51	41	36	30	78	46	56
Not too well	28	18	29	24	51	18	16	27	36	13	37	36	27	32	30	27	18	55	20	43
Not at all well	15	24	11	15	19	7	19	11	17	30	12	3	15	19	11	10	12	24	26	13
DK/NA	6	9	4	1	8	9	6	9	8	4	6	0	6	1	6	1	7	22	7	7
DK/NA	6	9	4	1	8	9	6	9	8	4	6	0	6	1	6	1	7	22	7	7

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
TOTAL WELL	51	51	58	51	49	59	68	55	64	34	49	52	53	52	53	45	52	58	49	55
Very well	11	11	25	8	0	26	19	7	14	5	11	11	10	7	6	8	13	14	6	15
Somewhat well	40	40	33	43	49	33	49	48	50	28	38	40	43	45	47	38	39	44	43	40
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	40	36	46	44	41	30	45	20	58	46	41	40	40	38	45	41	34	45	41
Not too well	28	22	10	33	0	34	21	32	20	41	29	30	29	27	28	36	28	26	32	26
Not at all well	15	19	26	12	44	7	9	13	0	16	17	11	12	13	9	9	13	9	13	15
DK/NA	6	9	6	3	7	0	2	0	17	8	6	7	7	8	9	9	7	7	5	5
DK/NA	6	9	6	3	7	0	2	0	17	8	6	7	7	8	9	9	7	7	5	5

Table 11: Q5B. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Trustworthy

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	50	56	61	51	0	53	49	54	48	56	53	54	38
Very well	11	12	11	5	11	0	14	9	13	9	15	11	14	5
Somewhat well	40	38	45	56	40	0	39	40	40	39	41	42	40	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	45	35	26	43	0	38	48	39	48	38	42	40	54
Not too well	28	29	32	16	28	0	27	29	27	28	28	26	21	38
Not at all well	15	17	4	9	15	0	12	19	12	19	10	16	20	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	9	13	6	0	8	4	7	5	6	5	6	8
DK/NA	6	5	9	13	6	0	8	4	7	5	6	5	6	8

Table 12: Q5C. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Well-managed

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	73	54	6	42	40	20	50	28	32	33	43	43	32	47	14	35	42	8	27
Very well	4	42	7	0	5	6	0	7	4	0	4	4	5	3	6	1	0	6	0	15
Somewhat well	30	31	46	6	37	34	20	43	23	32	28	39	38	29	41	13	35	35	8	12
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	50	0	29	85	49	44	54	40	50	52	51	34	44	51	36	72	34	44	79	62
Not too well	23	0	21	27	32	26	5	21	29	45	29	8	21	25	24	24	12	28	15	4
Not at all well	27	0	8	57	17	17	50	19	22	7	22	26	24	25	12	48	22	16	64	58
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	27	18	9	9	16	26	11	22	16	16	22	12	17	17	14	32	14	13	11
DK/NA	16	27	18	9	9	16	26	11	22	16	16	22	12	17	17	14	32	14	13	11

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	46	41	22	7	44	11	33	39	46	9	34	29	32	33	36	28	42	31	51
Very well	4	5	7	2	0	6	0	4	7	6	0	4	2	1	2	8	1	9	3	11
Somewhat well	30	41	34	20	7	38	11	29	33	40	8	30	27	32	31	28	27	34	28	39
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	50	43	38	64	80	41	75	41	39	38	78	39	60	49	55	44	57	44	54	30
Not too well	23	26	27	37	14	27	20	10	24	27	21	4	24	27	23	24	25	31	26	12
Not at all well	27	17	11	26	66	14	55	31	15	12	57	35	36	22	32	20	32	13	28	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	11	21	15	13	15	14	27	22	16	13	27	12	19	13	20	15	13	15	19
DK/NA	16	11	21	15	13	15	14	27	22	16	13	27	12	19	13	20	15	13	15	19

Table 12: Q5C. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Well-managed

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
TOTAL WELL	34	39	38	37	45	32	29	33	40	23	52	46	34	27	17	27	40	37	27	38	33
Very well	4	5	6	8	12	2	1	4	7	0	1	7	3	0	0	8	9	3	1	9	3
Somewhat well	30	35	32	29	33	30	28	29	33	23	51	39	31	27	17	19	31	34	25	30	30
TOTAL NOT WELL	50	50	48	52	44	58	53	56	44	59	40	41	51	54	67	58	47	46	58	48	51
Not too well	23	21	23	30	29	24	22	25	22	28	32	23	26	24	35	38	22	24	29	24	26
Not at all well	27	29	25	22	15	35	31	31	23	31	8	18	25	30	32	19	25	22	29	24	25
DK/NA	16	11	14	10	11	10	18	12	16	18	8	13	14	20	16	16	13	17	15	14	16
DK/NA	16	11	14	10	11	10	18	12	16	18	8	13	14	20	16	16	13	17	15	14	16

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15
TOTAL WELL	34	50	30	24	33	48	30	35	31	31	39	32	40	32	38	0	45	32	8	53
Very well	4	6	1	2	15	15	2	1	0	1	9	1	10	2	5	0	7	2	1	0
Somewhat well	30	44	29	21	18	33	28	34	31	30	30	31	30	30	33	0	39	30	8	53
TOTAL NOT WELL	50	35	51	65	39	37	58	42	51	58	47	52	43	53	52	18	39	48	78	33
Not too well	23	28	25	22	17	22	25	24	18	35	24	29	22	25	31	0	27	13	21	24
Not at all well	27	7	26	43	22	15	33	19	34	23	23	23	21	28	20	18	12	34	57	10
DK/NA	16	15	19	11	28	15	12	23	17	12	14	16	17	14	11	82	16	20	13	14
DK/NA	16	15	19	11	28	15	12	23	17	12	14	16	17	14	11	82	16	20	13	14

Table 12: Q5C. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Well-managed

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	35	36	38	30	17	46	29	30	34	31	35	35	33	33	43	23	28	31	4	28
Very well	4	6	3	3	8	2	5	6	4	3	7	1	5	4	5	2	5	9	3	0	7
Somewhat well	30	29	33	36	21	15	42	24	26	31	25	33	30	30	28	41	18	18	29	4	22
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	50	43	54	47	56	51	36	57	53	51	52	46	50	50	49	39	65	55	61	72	38
Not too well	23	23	23	26	20	14	19	34	28	13	22	22	28	17	22	26	27	29	13	7	26
Not at all well	27	20	30	21	36	37	17	22	26	38	30	24	22	33	28	13	38	26	48	65	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	22	10	15	14	32	17	14	17	14	17	19	16	16	18	17	12	17	7	24	34
DK/NA	16	22	10	15	14	32	17	14	17	14	17	19	16	16	18	17	12	17	7	24	34

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	41	31	32	40	46	36	28	39	15	8	37	41	35	23	7	23	44	33	36	36
Very well	4	5	2	5	7	2	3	5	14	4	0	1	4	11	4	7	0	6	3	11	3
Somewhat well	30	36	29	27	33	44	32	23	24	11	8	35	36	23	19	0	23	38	31	25	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	50	50	59	47	38	49	42	57	53	67	9	49	43	52	62	41	56	49	55	46	41
Not too well	23	24	22	29	15	25	26	22	17	20	9	31	19	24	16	17	12	25	26	21	26
Not at all well	27	25	37	18	23	24	16	35	35	47	0	18	24	29	47	24	45	24	30	25	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	10	10	22	21	5	22	15	9	17	83	14	16	13	15	52	21	7	11	19	23
DK/NA	16	10	10	22	21	5	22	15	9	17	83	14	16	13	15	52	21	7	11	19	23

Table 12: Q5C. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Well-managed

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	28	42	34	18	39	44	36	48	22	27	34	35	24	38	41	17	0	32	19
Very well	4	8	6	4	0	0	9	0	8	3	9	0	4	4	3	3	4	0	10	0
Somewhat well	30	20	36	30	18	39	35	36	39	19	18	34	31	20	36	38	13	0	23	19
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	50	52	47	45	67	43	41	42	35	60	57	66	49	62	46	44	45	78	55	63
Not too well	23	17	23	19	24	25	16	17	14	26	40	40	23	31	27	27	15	0	20	14
Not at all well	27	35	24	26	43	18	25	25	21	34	17	26	26	31	19	17	30	78	35	49
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	20	11	21	15	18	15	22	17	18	17	0	16	15	16	15	38	22	13	17
DK/NA	16	20	11	21	15	18	15	22	17	18	17	0	16	15	16	15	38	22	13	17

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	32	51	32	37	24	41	21	60	37	33	36	37	38	40	34	35	43	31	37
Very well	4	10	25	0	0	4	3	7	12	0	3	4	4	2	3	5	7	2	1	5
Somewhat well	30	22	26	32	37	21	38	14	49	37	29	33	33	35	37	29	28	41	29	32
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	50	49	43	59	55	57	40	53	23	43	52	51	49	46	45	45	50	42	57	45
Not too well	23	17	11	30	13	30	26	21	9	24	25	26	23	19	16	16	30	18	30	21
Not at all well	27	32	32	29	43	28	15	32	15	20	27	24	26	26	29	29	20	24	26	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	19	5	9	7	18	19	26	17	20	15	13	14	16	16	22	16	15	12	17
DK/NA	16	19	5	9	7	18	19	26	17	20	15	13	14	16	16	22	16	15	12	17

Table 12: Q5C. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Well-managed

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	34	52	24	34	0	35	33	37	31	39	32	35	31
Very well	4	5	8	0	4	0	4	5	5	3	5	5	7	0
Somewhat well	30	29	45	24	30	0	32	28	32	27	34	27	27	31
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	50	50	39	58	50	0	47	53	47	53	44	55	47	51
Not too well	23	21	34	39	23	0	23	24	26	20	22	31	16	19
Not at all well	27	29	5	18	27	0	24	29	21	33	21	24	31	33
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	16	9	18	16	0	18	14	16	16	17	13	18	17
DK/NA	16	16	9	18	16	0	18	14	16	16	17	13	18	17

Table 13: Q5D. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	31	67	47	7	34	39	16	36	33	37	29	41	40	27	36	11	25	45	8	24
Very well	6	30	9	2	6	8	0	7	4	0	6	8	8	4	7	0	9	9	2	15
Somewhat well	25	38	37	5	28	31	16	30	29	37	24	34	32	23	29	11	16	36	6	9
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	0	27	81	45	38	57	48	33	46	47	38	43	50	36	78	33	35	78	63
Not too well	20	0	18	23	28	23	8	26	23	15	26	9	17	22	24	10	18	23	12	16
Not at all well	28	0	9	58	17	15	49	22	10	31	22	29	27	28	12	68	16	12	66	47
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	33	26	12	21	23	28	16	34	17	24	20	16	23	28	10	42	20	14	12
DK/NA	21	33	26	12	21	23	28	16	34	17	24	20	16	23	28	10	42	20	14	12

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	31	41	41	20	6	41	10	25	37	40	13	26	21	18	25	38	28	32	29	43
Very well	6	13	3	0	1	8	1	10	5	6	5	14	3	5	4	10	5	7	6	9
Somewhat well	25	28	38	20	5	33	9	14	33	34	8	12	19	13	21	29	23	25	23	35
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	39	31	59	85	35	78	41	33	37	74	35	60	62	58	35	51	50	51	33
Not too well	20	27	20	24	6	24	11	17	19	24	12	14	17	34	20	19	18	38	22	11
Not at all well	28	12	12	35	79	12	67	23	14	13	62	21	42	28	37	16	33	13	29	22
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	20	28	20	9	24	12	35	29	23	13	39	19	20	17	27	21	18	21	24
DK/NA	21	20	28	20	9	24	12	35	29	23	13	39	19	20	17	27	21	18	21	24

Table 13: Q5D. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	31	32	33	31	37	36	28	32	28	32	38	53	39	32	32	16	37	38	23	35	32
Very well	6	10	8	6	6	6	5	8	4	6	0	16	9	4	6	16	8	6	6	9	6
Somewhat well	25	22	26	24	30	30	22	24	24	27	38	37	30	27	26	0	29	32	17	26	26
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	47	46	46	41	57	50	50	44	51	40	36	45	51	52	70	42	39	58	45	47
Not too well	20	22	19	20	23	16	18	20	19	17	23	28	21	15	20	52	16	19	25	20	22
Not at all well	28	25	27	26	18	41	32	30	25	34	17	7	24	36	33	18	26	19	33	25	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	21	21	23	22	7	23	18	29	17	22	11	15	18	15	14	21	23	19	20	22
DK/NA	21	21	21	23	22	7	23	18	29	17	22	11	15	18	15	14	21	23	19	20	22
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	31	42	29	20	42	47	28	27	24	33	38	30	30	30	36	46	40	36	7	42	
Very well	6	6	5	3	15	16	4	4	0	6	10	5	5	7	4	46	6	19	0	6	
Somewhat well	25	36	24	17	27	31	24	23	24	28	27	26	25	23	32	0	34	18	7	37	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	32	44	69	43	34	48	36	60	50	41	47	41	49	49	54	36	36	81	48	
Not too well	20	22	21	19	6	22	12	18	19	25	17	22	19	21	23	0	24	17	11	25	
Not at all well	28	10	22	50	37	13	36	18	41	25	24	24	21	28	25	54	12	19	70	23	
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	26	28	11	16	19	24	36	16	17	21	23	29	20	15	0	24	27	12	10	
DK/NA	21	26	28	11	16	19	24	36	16	17	21	23	29	20	15	0	24	27	12	10	

Table 13: Q5D. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
TOTAL WELL	31	36	28	31	35	14	53	24	24	32	26	27	33	29	26	34	21	42	25	0	41
Very well	6	8	5	6	8	2	17	3	5	4	8	0	8	4	5	6	7	7	0	0	7
Somewhat well	25	28	23	25	27	12	35	20	19	28	18	27	24	25	22	29	14	35	25	0	35
TOTAL NOT WELL	48	34	59	46	46	62	24	54	50	51	50	59	44	52	54	38	71	35	61	72	49
Not too well	20	17	23	24	14	6	10	33	14	15	22	23	21	19	22	24	22	12	16	11	0
Not at all well	28	17	36	22	32	57	14	21	36	36	29	36	23	34	32	14	49	24	45	62	49
DK/NA	21	31	12	22	19	23	23	23	26	17	24	14	24	19	20	28	9	23	14	28	9
DK/NA	21	31	12	22	19	23	23	23	26	17	24	14	24	19	20	28	9	23	14	28	9

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
TOTAL WELL	31	29	27	36	35	32	33	26	49	15	21	30	32	41	27	7	19	28	30	40	34
Very well	6	8	3	9	5	8	6	2	15	4	0	7	6	12	2	7	0	3	7	13	4
Somewhat well	25	21	25	27	30	24	27	23	34	11	21	24	26	29	25	0	19	25	23	27	30
TOTAL NOT WELL	48	57	63	31	37	56	37	61	27	74	14	47	45	36	61	48	71	61	58	33	33
Not too well	20	23	24	18	15	29	20	19	11	10	0	26	22	14	16	0	9	29	23	12	21
Not at all well	28	34	39	13	22	27	17	42	16	64	14	22	23	22	45	48	62	32	35	22	12
DK/NA	21	15	10	33	27	12	31	14	24	10	65	22	23	24	13	46	11	11	12	27	33
DK/NA	21	15	10	33	27	12	31	14	24	10	65	22	23	24	13	46	11	11	12	27	33

Table 13: Q5D. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
TOTAL WELL	31	32	17	21	17	32	46	30	43	53	20	28	32	20	32	32	13	0	35	23
Very well	6	10	0	5	0	2	9	0	12	28	1	3	7	5	7	5	4	0	8	2
Somewhat well	25	22	17	16	17	30	37	30	32	24	19	25	26	15	25	27	9	0	26	21
TOTAL NOT WELL	48	45	55	48	70	49	35	39	36	33	57	58	46	59	44	49	63	78	45	61
Not too well	20	8	24	16	24	31	16	10	13	16	29	31	20	20	24	27	9	0	16	7
Not at all well	28	38	32	33	46	17	19	29	23	17	28	27	27	38	20	22	55	78	29	55
DK/NA	21	22	28	30	13	20	19	31	21	14	23	14	21	21	24	19	23	22	20	15
DK/NA	21	22	28	30	13	20	19	31	21	14	23	14	21	21	24	19	23	22	20	15

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
TOTAL WELL	31	31	37	17	33	14	35	13	45	48	32	31	35	36	32	25	36	29	27	35
Very well	6	5	12	0	0	7	5	7	14	0	7	5	5	5	3	3	6	3	2	9
Somewhat well	25	26	25	17	33	7	30	6	31	48	25	27	30	31	29	22	30	26	25	26
TOTAL NOT WELL	48	46	23	75	49	60	42	57	36	28	47	50	46	43	46	50	47	50	55	42
Not too well	20	8	3	35	0	28	15	28	29	13	20	21	16	15	16	19	24	18	24	19
Not at all well	28	39	20	40	49	32	27	29	8	15	27	29	30	29	30	30	23	32	32	23
DK/NA	21	22	40	8	18	27	23	30	19	24	21	19	19	20	22	25	17	21	18	23
DK/NA	21	22	40	8	18	27	23	30	19	24	21	19	19	20	22	25	17	21	18	23

Table 13: Q5D. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	31	29	48	43	31	0	27	35	34	28	29	38	25	25
Very well	6	6	11	5	6	0	5	8	5	8	7	10	5	1
Somewhat well	25	23	37	38	25	0	22	27	29	20	23	28	20	24
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	47	44	57	48	0	48	47	48	47	49	44	48	52
Not too well	20	19	35	20	20	0	19	20	22	17	24	23	13	16
Not at all well	28	28	8	37	28	0	29	26	26	30	25	21	35	37
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	24	9	0	21	0	25	18	18	25	21	18	27	22
DK/NA	21	24	9	0	21	0	25	18	18	25	21	18	27	22

Table 14: Q5E. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Responsive to community concerns

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	32	66	50	8	36	38	9	29	35	29	30	51	39	29	41	16	26	42	10	27
Very well	7	47	11	0	6	8	4	14	11	0	4	9	11	4	9	1	5	8	4	15
Somewhat well	26	19	38	8	30	30	5	15	24	29	26	42	28	25	32	15	21	34	6	12
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	47	3	26	80	50	41	60	46	55	54	45	31	42	49	36	63	31	40	78	61
Not too well	20	3	18	25	25	21	14	18	29	32	22	9	18	21	21	24	15	20	20	5
Not at all well	27	0	8	56	25	20	46	27	26	22	23	21	24	28	15	39	16	21	58	55
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	31	25	12	14	21	30	25	10	17	25	19	19	22	23	21	43	18	13	12
DK/NA	21	31	25	12	14	21	30	25	10	17	25	19	19	22	23	21	43	18	13	12

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	32	47	34	22	10	41	13	26	32	40	18	28	27	33	31	34	26	49	31	39
Very well	7	7	10	4	2	8	3	7	9	7	3	15	3	4	3	11	3	17	5	9
Somewhat well	26	40	24	18	8	33	11	19	23	33	14	13	24	29	28	23	24	32	25	29
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	47	32	46	61	72	38	69	38	44	39	67	36	61	47	56	36	54	34	50	33
Not too well	20	12	30	36	17	20	22	13	26	21	21	10	25	24	23	17	21	21	21	17
Not at all well	27	20	16	25	55	18	47	25	18	18	46	25	36	22	33	19	33	13	29	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	21	20	16	18	20	17	35	23	21	15	37	12	20	13	30	20	18	19	29
DK/NA	21	21	20	16	18	20	17	35	23	21	15	37	12	20	13	30	20	18	19	29

Table 14: Q5E. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Responsive to community concerns

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	32	38	35	41	52	28	30	31	37	24	28	43	31	27	20	21	43	30	28	41	29
Very well	7	7	8	12	28	10	5	5	7	6	1	15	8	6	6	21	8	6	3	9	5
Somewhat well	26	31	27	29	24	18	26	26	30	18	27	28	23	21	14	0	35	24	24	31	24
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	47	44	46	45	45	54	49	49	43	58	50	30	49	55	62	34	45	46	52	43	48
Not too well	20	17	19	19	19	12	19	19	21	26	36	10	23	27	25	18	20	24	20	20	23
Not at all well	27	27	27	26	26	42	31	29	22	32	14	19	26	28	38	16	24	22	32	23	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	18	20	13	4	18	20	20	20	18	22	27	20	18	18	45	12	24	20	16	22
DK/NA	21	18	20	13	4	18	20	20	20	18	22	27	20	18	18	45	12	24	20	16	22

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	32	38	32	15	40	52	35	29	17	21	44	23	37	30	33	36	39	39	12	44
Very well	7	2	10	4	18	17	9	0	0	1	13	1	12	3	9	36	7	13	2	11
Somewhat well	26	36	23	12	22	35	26	29	17	20	31	23	25	28	24	0	32	26	10	34
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	47	39	47	68	37	23	56	48	65	55	38	54	47	48	45	18	39	36	72	36
Not too well	20	19	26	24	19	13	30	17	19	26	21	22	23	21	20	0	21	11	23	17
Not at all well	27	20	21	44	18	10	26	31	46	29	18	32	23	27	25	18	18	25	49	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	22	20	17	23	25	9	23	18	24	18	23	16	22	22	46	21	25	17	19
DK/NA	21	22	20	17	23	25	9	23	18	24	18	23	16	22	22	46	21	25	17	19

Table 14: Q5E. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Responsive to community concerns

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	32	39	28	35	29	25	33	26	29	33	35	48	29	37	40	39	20	21	15	4	30
Very well	7	9	5	6	9	3	6	8	11	5	7	1	8	5	5	6	6	7	5	4	7
Somewhat well	26	30	23	29	20	22	27	18	18	29	28	47	21	32	35	34	14	14	10	0	23
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	47	41	51	46	48	50	28	54	52	55	46	36	46	49	42	40	67	55	61	76	49
Not too well	20	19	21	24	16	9	7	24	23	22	25	17	19	22	22	24	25	19	24	0	22
Not at all well	27	22	29	23	32	41	21	30	29	33	21	19	27	27	20	16	41	36	36	76	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	21	21	19	23	25	39	20	19	12	19	16	25	15	18	21	13	24	24	21	20
DK/NA	21	21	21	19	23	25	39	20	19	12	19	16	25	15	18	21	13	24	24	21	20

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	32	25	32	34	46	37	35	20	49	9	41	28	44	33	24	12	33	25	30	53	30
Very well	7	7	2	10	8	5	7	6	16	4	4	5	7	15	2	7	1	4	4	13	6
Somewhat well	26	18	30	24	38	33	28	14	33	5	38	23	37	18	22	6	31	21	27	39	24
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	47	47	54	40	41	47	42	52	40	63	24	46	47	45	52	54	47	54	49	34	44
Not too well	20	20	23	17	21	25	21	17	14	16	0	22	25	12	20	12	7	29	21	12	24
Not at all well	27	28	31	24	20	22	21	35	25	47	24	23	22	32	32	42	40	25	29	23	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	27	13	25	13	15	23	28	11	28	34	26	9	22	24	33	20	21	20	13	25
DK/NA	21	27	13	25	13	15	23	28	11	28	34	26	9	22	24	33	20	21	20	13	25

Table 14: Q5E. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Responsive to community concerns

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
TOTAL WELL	32	29	31	41	30	26	39	23	30	38	32	40	33	29	35	37	41	0	31	16
Very well	7	4	0	12	7	2	13	2	10	3	15	8	7	4	5	10	5	0	10	1
Somewhat well	26	25	31	29	24	24	26	21	20	35	17	33	26	25	30	27	36	0	21	15
TOTAL NOT WELL	47	52	48	51	59	38	28	53	47	40	51	51	46	58	44	48	33	78	46	64
Not too well	20	18	34	26	20	24	5	22	14	26	19	15	19	27	24	30	7	0	18	5
Not at all well	27	34	14	25	39	14	23	31	33	15	32	36	26	31	21	18	25	78	29	59
DK/NA	21	19	21	8	11	36	33	24	23	21	18	8	22	13	21	16	26	22	23	20
DK/NA	21	19	21	8	11	36	33	24	23	21	18	8	22	13	21	16	26	22	23	20

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
TOTAL WELL	32	33	15	30	37	21	55	17	26	29	33	27	28	28	33	29	30	46	30	35
Very well	7	4	0	0	0	5	14	7	7	0	8	5	6	4	6	9	13	2	4	8
Somewhat well	26	28	15	30	37	16	42	10	19	29	25	22	23	24	28	20	17	43	26	28
TOTAL NOT WELL	47	53	55	49	55	71	25	64	31	59	46	48	49	47	49	51	46	47	55	42
Not too well	20	17	34	35	18	42	14	23	29	16	17	20	21	23	22	25	24	19	29	15
Not at all well	27	36	20	14	38	29	10	41	3	42	28	28	27	24	27	26	22	28	26	26
DK/NA	21	14	30	21	7	8	20	19	42	13	21	24	23	25	18	20	24	7	15	23
DK/NA	21	14	30	21	7	8	20	19	42	13	21	24	23	25	18	20	24	7	15	23

Table 14: Q5E. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Responsive to community concerns

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	32	32	37	26	32	0	31	33	35	30	33	36	30	28
Very well	7	6	18	10	7	0	6	7	10	3	7	10	2	6
Somewhat well	26	27	19	16	26	0	25	26	25	26	26	26	28	22
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	47	47	36	50	47	0	44	50	46	48	45	44	48	53
Not too well	20	19	14	33	20	0	21	19	23	17	24	17	23	18
Not at all well	27	28	22	17	27	0	23	31	24	30	21	26	26	36
<u>DK/NA</u>	21	20	27	23	21	0	25	17	19	23	22	20	22	19
DK/NA	21	20	27	23	21	0	25	17	19	23	22	20	22	19

Table 15: Q5F. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Convenient

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	67	94	84	41	65	70	46	71	61	63	63	88	72	66	68	52	76	71	61	70
Very well	17	59	27	4	18	21	9	25	9	19	12	32	28	13	20	10	15	22	7	19
Somewhat well	49	35	57	38	47	48	37	46	52	43	50	56	44	52	48	42	62	50	54	51
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	31	0	14	59	34	29	38	29	30	30	37	12	26	33	29	44	21	28	34	30
Not too well	22	0	13	36	25	21	26	26	18	22	23	12	18	23	22	27	8	22	20	17
Not at all well	9	0	1	22	9	8	12	2	12	7	14	0	9	9	7	17	14	6	14	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	6	2	0	1	2	16	0	9	7	1	0	2	2	3	4	2	1	5	0
DK/NA	2	6	2	0	1	2	16	0	9	7	1	0	2	2	3	4	2	1	5	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	67	72	67	57	56	70	56	75	69	70	54	81	62	68	63	71	62	68	63	86
Very well	17	22	19	7	9	21	9	16	18	21	7	23	11	17	13	22	13	20	14	31
Somewhat well	49	50	48	49	47	49	47	59	51	49	47	58	50	51	50	49	49	48	49	55
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	31	27	30	43	38	28	40	24	29	29	40	17	38	27	35	26	35	31	34	14
Not too well	22	21	24	30	22	22	24	10	21	22	25	4	25	22	24	19	23	28	24	8
Not at all well	9	6	7	14	16	6	16	13	8	6	15	14	13	5	12	7	12	3	10	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	0	6	2	4	2	2	1	6	2	0	5	2	3	3	1	2	0
DK/NA	2	1	2	0	6	2	4	2	2	1	6	2	0	5	2	3	3	1	2	0

Table 15: Q5F. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Convenient

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	67	61	71	71	59	66	64	66	68	64	65	73	66	68	56	43	74	70	58	70	65
Very well	17	15	21	23	38	15	14	18	22	11	27	24	16	9	13	13	22	16	14	21	15
Somewhat well	49	46	50	48	20	51	50	49	46	53	38	49	50	59	43	30	51	54	44	49	50
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	31	36	28	27	37	33	33	32	29	33	35	23	31	30	39	49	22	29	42	25	34
Not too well	22	25	20	19	30	26	24	21	17	22	35	20	24	25	19	38	13	21	30	16	25
Not at all well	9	11	8	8	7	7	10	11	12	11	0	4	7	5	21	11	9	8	11	9	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	1	2	4	1	3	2	3	3	0	3	3	2	5	9	5	1	0	5	1
DK/NA	2	3	1	2	4	1	3	2	3	3	0	3	3	2	5	9	5	1	0	5	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	67	75	61	67	56	81	74	68	65	56	78	61	73	67	60	46	70	75	53	77	
Very well	17	22	17	9	23	28	21	19	11	9	25	13	21	16	17	46	21	15	8	14	
Somewhat well	49	54	44	57	33	52	53	49	55	47	53	48	52	51	43	0	49	60	45	63	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	31	23	37	33	26	14	26	30	35	44	20	38	25	31	40	18	29	21	42	23	
Not too well	22	19	25	23	26	12	19	21	7	37	15	28	17	20	33	18	22	13	26	22	
Not at all well	9	4	12	11	0	3	7	9	28	7	5	10	8	11	7	0	7	8	17	2	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	0	18	5	0	2	0	0	3	1	2	2	0	36	1	4	5	0	
DK/NA	2	1	2	0	18	5	0	2	0	0	3	1	2	2	0	36	1	4	5	0	

Table 15: Q5F. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Convenient

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
TOTAL WELL	67	71	61	70	66	39	80	55	64	65	76	66	65	68	72	68	76	71	58	24	51
Very well	17	21	15	16	19	14	28	11	21	14	19	12	19	15	16	17	15	27	6	0	14
Somewhat well	49	51	47	54	47	25	53	44	43	51	57	54	46	53	56	51	61	44	52	24	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	25	37	29	31	44	19	41	34	33	23	29	32	29	26	31	24	25	42	76	22
Not too well	22	18	25	22	17	38	15	29	18	20	21	24	22	21	23	25	14	9	31	68	22
Not at all well	9	7	12	7	14	6	3	12	16	13	2	5	10	8	3	6	10	16	11	8	0
DK/NA	2	4	1	1	2	17	1	4	2	2	1	5	3	2	2	1	0	4	0	0	27
DK/NA	2	4	1	1	2	17	1	4	2	2	1	5	3	2	2	1	0	4	0	0	27

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
TOTAL WELL	67	61	62	69	76	65	74	59	70	47	25	68	72	65	67	19	50	66	60	75	71
Very well	17	22	5	17	26	13	20	19	24	6	17	16	16	25	12	12	15	15	14	26	17
Somewhat well	49	39	56	52	50	53	55	40	46	40	8	52	56	41	55	7	35	50	46	50	53
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	37	37	28	20	35	24	40	26	44	30	32	26	30	33	52	39	32	39	18	29
Not too well	22	26	24	19	15	27	18	21	15	34	30	23	21	17	18	47	33	22	28	9	22
Not at all well	9	12	13	9	5	8	7	19	10	10	0	9	5	14	15	5	6	10	11	8	7
DK/NA	2	2	1	3	4	0	1	2	4	9	46	0	2	4	0	28	11	3	1	7	0
DK/NA	2	2	1	3	4	0	1	2	4	9	46	0	2	4	0	28	11	3	1	7	0

Table 15: Q5F. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Convenient

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120	44
TOTAL WELL	67	75	55	62	60	72	65	61	83	73	62	59	68	50	68	72	46	78	67	60
Very well	17	22	18	25	10	11	23	7	22	13	24	13	18	10	17	20	23	0	22	1
Somewhat well	49	52	38	36	50	61	42	54	61	59	38	46	50	41	51	52	23	78	46	59
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	22	42	32	36	23	28	38	17	27	38	41	29	46	31	28	41	0	30	34
Not too well	22	13	27	28	20	20	17	32	14	19	21	32	21	27	22	24	38	0	17	21
Not at all well	9	9	14	4	17	3	12	7	4	9	18	8	8	19	9	4	3	0	12	13
DK/NA	2	4	3	6	4	5	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	14	22	3	6
DK/NA	2	4	3	6	4	5	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	14	22	3	6

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152	250
TOTAL WELL	67	76	65	47	80	52	62	76	77	90	65	70	76	78	79	84	60	67	62	71
Very well	17	22	44	12	0	25	17	15	26	9	16	20	22	17	14	9	22	19	9	23
Somewhat well	49	55	20	35	80	27	45	61	51	81	49	49	54	61	64	75	38	47	53	48
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	20	35	49	20	48	31	21	15	10	33	28	23	19	21	16	36	29	37	26
Not too well	22	13	23	33	20	45	24	16	15	10	21	19	14	12	12	12	25	24	29	16
Not at all well	9	6	12	15	0	3	7	5	0	0	12	9	8	8	9	4	12	5	9	10
DK/NA	2	4	0	4	0	0	7	3	8	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	4	5	1	3
DK/NA	2	4	0	4	0	0	7	3	8	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	4	5	1	3

Table 15: Q5F. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Convenient

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	67	69	55	52	67	0	64	69	62	71	69	67	66	63
Very well	17	16	35	11	17	0	16	18	21	13	16	19	21	12
Somewhat well	49	52	20	41	49	0	48	51	41	59	53	48	45	51
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	31	29	37	44	31	0	33	29	34	28	27	32	30	35
Not too well	22	20	33	34	22	0	22	21	24	19	25	21	18	23
Not at all well	9	10	4	9	9	0	11	8	10	9	3	11	12	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	9	4	2	0	3	2	4	1	4	2	3	2
DK/NA	2	2	9	4	2	0	3	2	4	1	4	2	3	2

Table 16: Q5G. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Crowded

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	60	54	59	57	56	25	60	47	64	54	66	56	54	47	55	47	64	47	42
Very well	13	9	13	15	17	15	2	18	6	18	13	3	16	11	9	12	4	19	11	13
Somewhat well	41	51	42	44	39	41	23	43	41	45	41	63	40	43	38	43	43	45	36	29
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	39	40	43	33	40	40	29	36	42	29	43	31	39	39	49	37	37	31	38	55
Not too well	27	28	32	19	30	29	23	25	40	27	28	25	28	27	32	29	29	22	18	49
Not at all well	12	13	11	14	10	11	6	10	2	1	14	7	11	13	17	7	8	9	20	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	0	3	8	3	4	46	4	11	7	4	3	5	7	5	9	17	5	15	3
DK/NA	7	0	3	8	3	4	46	4	11	7	4	3	5	7	5	9	17	5	15	3

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	54	60	57	49	56	51	46	56	55	55	41	46	62	48	62	51	55	52	62
Very well	13	17	11	4	14	15	12	6	10	10	18	13	10	10	10	16	12	15	13	12
Somewhat well	41	36	48	53	35	42	40	40	46	45	37	29	35	52	38	45	39	40	39	49
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	39	41	37	43	35	39	37	41	38	40	34	43	46	35	45	30	39	40	40	36
Not too well	27	27	26	30	22	27	24	34	28	27	23	35	32	17	32	20	28	24	27	25
Not at all well	12	13	11	13	13	12	13	8	10	13	11	8	13	19	13	10	11	16	12	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	4	0	16	5	11	13	6	5	11	15	8	3	7	8	9	5	9	2
DK/NA	7	6	4	0	16	5	11	13	6	5	11	15	8	3	7	8	9	5	9	2

Table 16: Q5G. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Crowded

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	53	60	57	57	61	54	56	48	59	56	66	62	64	52	84	60	50	48	63	49
Very well	13	18	17	15	31	19	13	19	10	11	20	25	16	15	5	0	18	9	12	16	11
Somewhat well	41	35	42	42	25	42	41	37	38	49	36	42	46	50	47	84	42	40	35	47	38
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	39	43	37	40	40	29	35	38	46	37	40	24	32	31	47	7	35	45	44	31	45
Not too well	27	27	25	26	29	25	25	26	32	25	33	15	22	21	31	0	25	29	32	23	30
Not at all well	12	16	12	13	12	4	11	12	14	12	7	9	10	10	16	7	9	16	12	9	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	4	3	4	3	10	10	6	6	4	4	9	6	5	2	9	6	5	8	6	6
DK/NA	7	4	3	4	3	10	10	6	6	4	4	9	6	5	2	9	6	5	8	6	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	42	61	49	70	74	60	51	46	41	67	45	56	50	69	0	55	57	51	64	
Very well	13	12	9	12	27	18	15	14	20	7	16	11	14	12	17	0	11	21	13	2	
Somewhat well	41	30	51	37	43	56	45	38	26	34	51	34	42	37	52	0	44	35	39	63	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	39	55	32	42	21	22	35	40	52	55	28	50	39	43	28	64	41	35	36	33	
Not too well	27	39	24	28	14	14	20	37	17	41	17	37	22	31	22	64	27	27	24	18	
Not at all well	12	16	8	14	7	8	15	3	35	14	11	13	16	13	6	0	13	8	12	15	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	7	8	9	4	5	9	3	4	5	5	5	7	3	36	5	9	12	3	
DK/NA	7	3	7	8	9	4	5	9	3	4	5	5	5	7	3	36	5	9	12	3	

Table 16: Q5G. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Crowded

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	54	54	52	56	61	65	49	57	61	42	38	56	51	41	50	50	56	52	58	64
Very well	13	9	17	11	16	21	28	5	17	14	9	2	15	10	6	9	16	15	9	14	27
Somewhat well	41	45	36	41	40	40	38	44	41	47	34	36	41	41	35	41	33	41	43	44	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	39	38	41	42	37	18	33	46	39	31	41	45	40	37	43	44	41	41	45	17	22
Not too well	27	26	29	32	20	8	24	25	28	23	31	36	25	28	33	33	33	26	21	0	14
Not at all well	12	12	12	10	17	10	9	21	11	8	10	9	15	9	10	11	8	16	23	17	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	9	5	6	7	21	2	5	4	8	16	16	4	12	16	5	10	3	3	24	14
DK/NA	7	9	5	6	7	21	2	5	4	8	16	16	4	12	16	5	10	3	3	24	14

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	54	54	58	48	53	52	56	57	52	66	57	46	58	53	42	72	61	49	64	49
Very well	13	20	15	11	5	16	7	18	15	25	4	13	8	20	10	13	25	18	16	13	6
Somewhat well	41	34	39	46	43	37	45	37	42	28	62	44	38	38	44	29	47	43	33	51	42
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	39	42	39	39	35	43	40	42	35	24	5	41	43	40	33	25	14	35	45	29	45
Not too well	27	28	31	24	27	36	30	25	17	9	0	30	35	19	22	12	6	26	31	20	30
Not at all well	12	14	8	15	8	7	11	17	18	15	5	11	8	22	11	13	8	9	14	9	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	7	3	17	4	8	3	8	24	29	3	11	2	14	33	14	5	7	7	7
DK/NA	7	5	7	3	17	4	8	3	8	24	29	3	11	2	14	33	14	5	7	7	7

Table 16: Q5G. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Crowded

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
TOTAL WELL	54	58	53	73	48	48	49	45	39	69	48	74	54	54	51	55	72	0	57	54
Very well	13	9	13	26	7	13	8	3	5	20	22	24	13	12	9	16	20	0	16	18
Somewhat well	41	50	41	46	41	36	41	42	34	49	25	50	41	41	42	39	52	0	41	36
TOTAL NOT WELL	39	25	40	26	37	45	44	41	52	29	51	26	38	42	43	40	16	78	35	32
Not too well	27	20	31	22	19	32	24	27	34	29	38	10	27	25	33	35	6	0	19	19
Not at all well	12	5	9	4	18	13	20	13	18	0	13	16	12	17	11	5	10	78	16	13
DK/NA	7	16	7	1	15	7	7	14	9	2	1	0	8	5	6	5	12	22	8	14
DK/NA	7	16	7	1	15	7	7	14	9	2	1	0	8	5	6	5	12	22	8	14

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
TOTAL WELL	54	59	68	57	53	87	70	33	43	31	53	54	55	52	54	42	58	54	44	60
Very well	13	10	14	14	5	30	20	16	17	5	12	15	20	15	14	16	11	19	8	17
Somewhat well	41	49	54	44	48	56	50	18	26	26	41	39	35	37	39	26	47	35	36	42
TOTAL NOT WELL	39	28	21	35	47	11	30	52	50	60	40	38	36	40	36	43	35	34	47	36
Not too well	27	22	21	22	41	11	15	37	45	37	27	27	24	27	23	23	23	28	33	24
Not at all well	12	6	0	13	7	0	14	14	4	24	13	11	12	13	13	20	12	5	14	11
DK/NA	7	13	12	8	0	3	0	15	8	9	7	8	8	9	10	15	6	12	9	4
DK/NA	7	13	12	8	0	3	0	15	8	9	7	8	8	9	10	15	6	12	9	4

Table 16: Q5G. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Crowded

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	53	70	53	54	0	49	59	57	51	54	59	50	48
Very well	13	12	27	11	13	0	9	17	13	13	15	17	11	4
Somewhat well	41	41	44	42	41	0	40	42	44	38	39	41	39	44
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	39	40	21	43	39	0	43	34	35	43	38	39	39	39
Not too well	27	27	20	27	27	0	31	23	25	29	27	26	30	24
Not at all well	12	12	1	16	12	0	13	11	10	14	11	13	9	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	8	9	4	7	0	7	7	8	6	7	2	11	13
DK/NA	7	8	9	4	7	0	7	7	8	6	7	2	11	13

Table 17: Q5H. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easily accessible

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	73	94	87	50	70	75	54	68	60	76	75	87	68	75	73	59	80	81	60	86
Very well	21	60	29	10	20	22	9	23	15	16	21	32	26	20	25	11	18	23	21	15
Somewhat well	52	34	58	40	51	53	45	45	45	60	54	55	42	55	48	48	62	58	38	71
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	23	0	9	47	26	22	30	29	33	13	22	10	28	21	22	36	17	17	34	14
Not too well	18	0	8	36	20	17	20	28	25	8	16	10	22	17	17	28	9	14	25	14
Not at all well	5	0	1	11	5	4	10	1	8	5	6	0	6	4	5	8	8	3	9	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	6	3	3	4	3	16	3	7	12	3	3	3	4	6	5	4	2	7	0
DK/NA	4	6	3	3	4	3	16	3	7	12	3	3	3	4	6	5	4	2	7	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	73	79	75	70	55	77	59	81	76	78	59	81	70	63	68	79	68	78	70	88
Very well	21	24	23	18	15	24	16	17	22	22	16	28	13	28	17	26	17	25	19	31
Somewhat well	52	55	52	53	40	53	44	64	54	55	43	53	56	35	51	52	51	52	51	57
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	23	17	22	27	38	19	35	16	21	19	34	16	28	24	28	17	27	17	25	11
Not too well	18	13	18	25	27	15	27	10	17	15	26	10	20	23	21	15	21	16	20	9
Not at all well	5	4	4	2	11	4	8	6	4	4	8	6	8	1	7	3	7	2	6	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	3	3	7	4	6	3	3	3	7	3	2	13	4	4	4	5	4	1
DK/NA	4	4	3	3	7	4	6	3	3	3	7	3	2	13	4	4	4	5	4	1

Table 17: Q5H. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easily accessible

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	73	69	76	76	68	74	72	74	72	72	74	81	76	79	60	54	80	71	69	77	70
Very well	21	19	25	29	47	27	20	24	24	15	29	33	23	14	16	42	23	17	21	25	19
Somewhat well	52	50	51	47	21	46	52	50	48	57	45	48	53	65	44	12	58	54	48	53	52
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	23	25	22	22	26	25	24	22	23	26	26	16	22	21	33	46	15	24	29	19	26
Not too well	18	17	17	18	20	19	18	16	17	21	26	12	18	20	23	46	12	18	23	16	20
Not at all well	5	8	5	4	5	6	6	6	6	5	0	3	4	1	11	0	3	5	6	3	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	6	2	2	6	2	4	4	5	3	0	3	2	0	7	0	5	5	3	4	4
DK/NA	4	6	2	2	6	2	4	4	5	3	0	3	2	0	7	0	5	5	3	4	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	73	81	69	63	81	85	78	79	59	65	82	69	74	69	87	64	77	81	57	89	
Very well	21	25	17	16	31	38	24	23	15	9	32	14	26	20	22	18	23	21	16	5	
Somewhat well	52	57	52	47	50	47	54	55	44	57	50	55	48	49	65	46	54	60	41	83	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	23	15	25	35	10	10	22	16	35	32	15	27	23	26	10	36	20	14	37	8	
Not too well	18	11	21	30	4	9	19	10	13	30	13	21	18	20	7	36	16	10	28	8	
Not at all well	5	5	4	4	6	1	3	6	22	3	2	6	5	6	3	0	4	4	9	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	6	3	9	5	0	5	7	3	3	4	4	5	3	0	3	5	6	4	
DK/NA	4	3	6	3	9	5	0	5	7	3	3	4	4	5	3	0	3	5	6	4	

Table 17: Q5H. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easily accessible

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	73	73	72	74	72	66	82	61	76	74	73	79	71	74	75	76	69	73	60	45	76
Very well	21	19	23	23	18	18	23	21	21	13	27	27	22	20	27	21	23	20	14	8	14
Somewhat well	52	54	49	51	53	48	59	40	54	61	45	51	49	54	48	54	46	53	47	37	63
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	23	22	24	23	25	20	11	31	24	23	26	20	23	23	24	20	31	23	32	55	10
Not too well	18	19	17	20	16	15	8	25	19	15	26	14	18	18	21	16	27	14	26	55	0
Not at all well	5	3	7	3	9	5	3	6	5	8	0	6	5	5	2	3	3	9	6	0	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	4	3	4	14	7	8	0	3	2	1	6	2	1	5	0	4	8	0	14
DK/NA	4	4	4	3	4	14	7	8	0	3	2	1	6	2	1	5	0	4	8	0	14

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	73	70	74	73	73	75	76	68	71	66	43	74	73	70	74	36	83	79	69	79	72
Very well	21	29	15	16	23	27	21	22	14	8	17	23	23	21	15	12	21	31	19	21	18
Somewhat well	52	41	58	57	50	49	55	46	57	58	27	52	50	49	60	23	61	48	50	57	54
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	23	25	24	21	24	22	21	28	24	22	28	22	25	24	25	36	11	21	25	16	25
Not too well	18	17	18	19	20	20	19	15	20	13	28	19	21	14	17	31	6	18	18	13	22
Not at all well	5	8	6	2	4	2	3	13	5	9	0	3	4	10	8	5	5	3	7	3	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	2	6	3	3	3	3	5	12	29	4	2	6	1	28	7	0	6	5	3
DK/NA	4	5	2	6	3	3	3	3	5	12	29	4	2	6	1	28	7	0	6	5	3

Table 17: Q5H. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easily accessible

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
TOTAL WELL	73	71	69	56	69	71	76	81	81	73	63	85	73	69	73	76	70	24	73	71
Very well	21	18	16	23	20	17	25	10	26	17	27	36	22	14	22	26	26	0	19	18
Somewhat well	52	54	53	33	49	54	51	71	56	56	37	49	51	55	51	51	44	24	54	53
TOTAL NOT WELL	23	28	22	31	31	26	18	18	12	23	36	15	22	30	25	18	21	55	24	20
Not too well	18	21	19	28	18	23	13	15	8	17	28	13	18	21	21	16	20	0	17	7
Not at all well	5	7	3	3	13	2	5	4	4	6	8	2	5	9	4	2	1	55	6	13
DK/NA	4	1	9	13	0	3	6	1	7	5	1	0	5	1	3	5	9	22	4	9
DK/NA	4	1	9	13	0	3	6	1	7	5	1	0	5	1	3	5	9	22	4	9

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
TOTAL WELL	73	72	70	65	64	47	75	79	66	86	74	74	74	76	73	76	65	80	68	77
Very well	21	18	37	13	0	17	34	10	29	13	22	21	21	16	15	14	22	27	14	27
Somewhat well	52	54	33	53	64	30	40	69	37	72	52	53	53	60	58	62	43	53	54	51
TOTAL NOT WELL	23	27	16	26	36	42	16	21	26	9	23	20	22	20	23	22	31	14	29	19
Not too well	18	21	11	22	31	42	10	20	26	9	17	16	18	15	20	19	26	7	26	13
Not at all well	5	6	5	4	5	0	6	2	0	0	6	4	4	5	3	3	5	7	4	6
DK/NA	4	1	14	9	0	11	9	0	8	5	3	6	4	4	3	1	4	6	3	4
DK/NA	4	1	14	9	0	11	9	0	8	5	3	6	4	4	3	1	4	6	3	4

Table 17: Q5H. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easily accessible

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	73	73	73	71	73	0	69	76	69	76	68	73	72	77
Very well	21	21	38	11	21	0	20	22	23	19	21	25	16	18
Somewhat well	52	52	35	59	52	0	50	53	46	57	47	48	56	59
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	23	23	18	29	23	0	25	21	26	20	24	23	24	22
Not too well	18	18	15	27	18	0	19	17	20	16	21	18	19	13
Not at all well	5	6	4	2	5	0	6	4	6	5	3	5	5	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	9	0	4	0	5	3	5	4	8	4	4	0
DK/NA	4	4	9	0	4	0	5	3	5	4	8	4	4	0

Table 18: Q5I. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Reliable

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	100	80	19	53	62	35	59	39	52	52	72	69	50	66	44	55	60	29	53
Very well	11	58	18	1	11	14	5	18	16	6	8	13	18	9	18	6	5	11	5	15
Somewhat well	44	42	61	19	42	48	29	41	23	46	44	59	52	41	48	38	50	49	23	38
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	0	20	80	45	37	46	39	56	40	48	28	30	49	33	51	45	38	69	47
Not too well	28	0	19	42	30	26	28	29	44	35	30	23	18	33	23	29	27	29	32	38
Not at all well	15	0	1	38	15	11	18	9	12	5	18	5	12	16	10	22	18	8	37	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	1	1	1	20	2	4	7	0	0	1	2	1	5	0	2	2	0
DK/NA	2	0	0	1	1	1	20	2	4	7	0	0	1	2	1	5	0	2	2	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	66	59	47	34	63	37	55	58	61	41	60	45	49	47	65	48	66	52	70
Very well	11	13	16	6	5	14	6	8	14	12	9	16	3	17	6	17	7	12	8	21
Somewhat well	44	54	43	40	28	49	32	47	44	49	32	44	42	32	41	47	41	53	43	48
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	33	39	53	61	36	59	45	40	37	56	40	53	50	51	32	49	33	46	30
Not too well	28	22	31	26	32	27	30	30	31	28	28	22	31	32	31	23	32	26	31	15
Not at all well	15	10	8	27	30	9	29	15	9	9	28	18	22	18	20	9	17	6	15	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	0	5	2	4	0	2	2	3	0	2	1	1	3	3	1	3	0
DK/NA	2	1	2	0	5	2	4	0	2	2	3	0	2	1	1	3	3	1	3	0

Table 18: Q51. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Reliable

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	56	58	54	64	46	54	54	60	53	56	66	57	57	48	56	64	54	51	63	53
Very well	11	12	14	15	35	13	8	9	12	9	1	20	12	10	8	16	12	10	8	13	9
Somewhat well	44	44	45	39	29	33	45	45	48	44	55	46	45	47	40	39	51	44	43	50	44
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	43	42	46	36	53	43	43	39	46	42	29	41	41	52	44	34	44	47	35	45
Not too well	28	29	28	35	29	34	28	28	19	30	37	24	30	28	33	27	27	29	24	27	27
Not at all well	15	14	14	12	6	19	15	16	20	15	5	5	11	13	19	17	7	15	24	8	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	1	1	2	5	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	2
DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	1	1	2	5	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	67	52	43	47	81	58	55	37	45	70	47	59	56	51	82	61	68	34	66	
Very well	11	11	10	6	26	21	10	11	6	7	16	8	16	7	19	36	12	15	6	0	
Somewhat well	44	56	42	38	21	60	48	44	31	39	54	39	43	49	32	46	48	53	28	66	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	32	47	55	43	16	41	41	63	54	28	51	39	41	49	18	37	32	62	34	
Not too well	28	25	32	31	29	14	27	26	42	33	20	32	22	27	35	0	28	22	31	32	
Not at all well	15	7	15	24	15	3	14	15	22	21	8	19	17	14	14	18	9	10	31	2	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	2	9	3	1	4	0	1	2	2	1	3	0	0	2	0	4	0	
DK/NA	2	1	1	2	9	3	1	4	0	1	2	2	1	3	0	0	2	0	4	0	

Table 18: Q51. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Reliable

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
TOTAL WELL	55	63	49	58	56	28	71	42	53	54	55	70	54	57	61	62	46	51	48	28	25
Very well	11	12	10	11	12	8	16	11	13	7	14	6	13	9	11	11	12	7	5	0	7
Somewhat well	44	50	39	47	44	20	55	31	40	46	41	64	41	48	50	51	34	43	43	28	19
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	35	49	41	44	57	28	55	46	45	42	29	44	41	36	36	52	49	51	72	60
Not too well	28	25	28	28	26	35	20	36	30	25	34	17	29	26	27	27	31	36	26	27	44
Not at all well	15	10	21	13	18	22	7	20	17	20	8	12	15	15	9	9	21	14	25	45	17
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	0	14	2	3	0	2	4	1	2	2	3	2	2	0	1	0	14
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	0	14	2	3	0	2	4	1	2	2	3	2	2	0	1	0	14

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
TOTAL WELL	55	48	50	60	67	52	64	53	62	16	49	54	63	56	55	33	26	57	46	69	60
Very well	11	16	3	11	14	12	11	9	18	4	0	11	12	18	4	7	10	7	9	17	10
Somewhat well	44	32	47	49	52	39	53	43	44	12	49	43	52	38	52	26	17	49	37	52	51
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	49	49	38	30	47	35	47	38	72	22	45	34	44	45	39	67	43	50	28	38
Not too well	28	29	27	28	21	31	25	22	26	37	22	32	23	25	27	26	40	30	28	23	25
Not at all well	15	20	21	10	9	16	10	25	12	34	0	14	11	19	18	13	27	13	22	5	13
DK/NA	2	2	1	2	3	2	2	0	0	12	29	1	3	0	0	28	7	0	3	3	1
DK/NA	2	2	1	2	3	2	2	0	0	12	29	1	3	0	0	28	7	0	3	3	1

Table 18: Q5I. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Reliable

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	61	55	64	38	58	62	44	43	71	58	57	56	45	56	69	45	0	57	32
Very well	11	15	6	28	7	2	16	8	10	15	15	7	11	11	10	18	14	0	12	3
Somewhat well	44	46	49	35	31	57	46	36	33	55	44	49	45	34	46	51	31	0	45	29
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	39	41	36	60	38	32	55	54	28	42	43	42	53	42	28	46	78	43	62
Not too well	28	29	25	23	40	27	13	38	39	17	25	27	29	20	29	20	24	0	26	38
Not at all well	15	11	16	13	20	11	18	17	15	11	17	16	13	33	13	8	22	78	16	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	5	0	3	3	6	1	3	2	0	0	2	2	2	3	9	22	0	6
DK/NA	2	0	5	0	3	3	6	1	3	2	0	0	2	2	2	3	9	22	0	6

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	60	62	51	33	68	71	37	55	40	55	56	56	54	50	47	50	59	48	63
Very well	11	15	19	4	0	26	21	7	12	0	11	10	10	7	6	9	16	13	4	15
Somewhat well	44	45	42	47	33	42	51	30	43	40	44	45	46	47	43	38	34	46	44	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	40	35	43	67	32	29	63	38	60	43	42	42	43	50	53	48	37	50	35
Not too well	28	28	18	29	48	21	12	47	38	45	26	27	26	28	33	35	37	24	31	23
Not at all well	15	11	17	15	19	11	17	16	0	15	16	15	16	15	17	18	11	13	19	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	8	0	2	3	2	2	0	0	3	4	1	2
DK/NA	2	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	8	0	2	3	2	2	0	0	3	4	1	2

Table 18: Q5I. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Reliable

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	55	52	60	55	0	58	52	53	58	55	61	59	42
Very well	11	10	15	17	11	0	13	10	15	7	13	13	10	8
Somewhat well	44	44	37	43	44	0	45	42	37	51	42	48	48	34
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	43	40	40	43	0	40	46	44	41	42	37	40	57
Not too well	28	27	36	26	28	0	25	30	33	22	31	22	25	37
Not at all well	15	16	4	14	15	0	15	15	11	19	11	15	15	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	9	0	2	0	2	2	3	1	3	2	2	2
DK/NA	2	2	9	0	2	0	2	2	3	1	3	2	2	2

Table 19: Q5J. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Up-to-date technologically

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	81	56	18	38	48	27	49	45	57	36	59	51	38	45	31	31	54	19	28
Very well	8	29	11	3	9	8	0	11	4	0	7	15	12	6	7	3	5	12	4	15
Somewhat well	34	51	45	15	29	39	27	38	41	57	29	44	39	32	38	28	26	42	15	14
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	17	34	77	56	45	49	48	50	30	55	36	41	53	47	56	47	43	67	38
Not too well	29	17	27	35	36	31	12	33	32	25	36	11	21	33	31	30	25	32	17	31
Not at all well	20	0	7	42	20	14	37	14	18	5	20	25	20	20	16	26	22	10	51	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	3	10	5	5	7	24	4	5	13	8	5	8	9	8	13	22	4	13	34
DK/NA	9	3	10	5	5	7	24	4	5	13	8	5	8	9	8	13	22	4	13	34

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	52	48	28	25	50	26	31	44	51	26	29	34	37	36	49	38	42	39	57
Very well	8	11	8	7	3	10	4	7	7	10	3	5	3	12	6	10	3	9	4	24
Somewhat well	34	40	40	22	22	40	22	23	36	40	23	23	31	25	30	38	35	33	35	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	43	47	65	59	45	61	45	46	44	61	50	61	55	57	39	51	49	51	37
Not too well	29	28	36	40	18	32	24	27	34	31	26	30	31	31	29	29	27	41	30	23
Not at all well	20	15	11	25	41	13	37	18	12	13	34	20	30	24	27	10	24	8	21	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	6	5	6	16	6	13	25	10	6	14	21	5	8	7	12	10	9	10	7
DK/NA	9	6	5	6	16	6	13	25	10	6	14	21	5	8	7	12	10	9	10	7

Table 19: Q5J. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Up-to-date technologically

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	42	43	43	53	35	36	42	42	43	37	61	46	45	40	27	43	51	34	41	44
Very well	8	6	10	12	16	12	4	10	8	6	9	16	9	7	6	27	7	10	2	9	7
Somewhat well	34	37	33	31	37	23	33	32	35	37	28	45	37	38	34	0	37	42	32	32	38
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	51	50	51	41	60	55	52	47	53	56	31	48	50	60	64	48	40	56	50	46
Not too well	29	30	30	28	24	29	33	27	29	33	33	23	29	33	33	45	29	25	35	31	29
Not at all well	20	21	19	24	16	32	23	25	18	20	23	8	18	17	27	19	19	15	21	19	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	7	7	5	7	5	9	7	11	4	7	8	6	6	0	9	9	9	10	9	9
DK/NA	9	7	7	5	7	5	9	7	11	4	7	8	6	6	0	9	9	9	10	9	9
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	52	39	27	44	62	44	43	28	39	53	39	55	40	35	46	49	46	22	79	
Very well	8	8	7	5	14	21	8	4	0	2	15	2	14	5	8	0	10	5	3	11	
Somewhat well	34	44	32	21	30	41	36	39	28	37	39	36	41	35	27	46	39	40	19	68	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	40	56	63	28	33	51	46	61	56	41	53	36	50	59	18	45	41	64	18	
Not too well	29	26	33	36	28	29	19	31	29	37	24	34	22	33	35	0	31	30	24	18	
Not at all well	20	14	23	27	0	4	32	14	32	19	17	19	14	18	24	18	14	11	40	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	8	5	11	27	5	6	11	11	6	5	8	9	10	6	36	6	14	14	3	
DK/NA	9	8	5	11	27	5	6	11	11	6	5	8	9	10	6	36	6	14	14	3	

Table 19: Q5J. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Up-to-date technologically

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	41	44	39	51	24	49	39	42	41	38	40	43	40	39	43	21	54	44	10	37
Very well	8	9	7	8	8	7	9	6	11	6	14	1	8	7	9	6	6	11	9	4	0
Somewhat well	34	33	37	31	44	17	40	34	31	35	24	38	35	33	30	37	14	43	35	6	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	46	49	53	42	49	44	56	52	48	56	27	51	46	44	48	70	46	43	70	36
Not too well	29	29	30	33	24	24	32	32	26	21	42	23	30	28	35	32	39	22	27	34	36
Not at all well	20	17	19	20	18	25	12	23	26	27	14	4	21	19	10	16	31	24	16	36	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	13	7	9	7	27	8	5	6	11	6	34	6	14	17	9	9	0	13	21	27
DK/NA	9	13	7	9	7	27	8	5	6	11	6	34	6	14	17	9	9	0	13	21	27

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	47	41	41	43	48	35	44	66	24	17	37	42	59	41	23	25	45	46	40	44
Very well	8	9	4	8	10	5	10	11	4	0	4	7	9	12	2	0	11	10	5	8	9
Somewhat well	34	37	37	33	33	43	25	33	62	24	13	30	32	47	40	23	14	35	42	31	35
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	48	50	52	36	50	52	47	29	52	32	59	44	36	49	44	52	48	48	50	43
Not too well	29	25	36	36	18	31	34	29	11	27	32	36	29	20	28	26	23	32	30	29	29
Not at all well	20	23	14	16	18	19	18	18	18	26	0	23	16	16	21	18	29	16	18	21	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	5	9	7	21	2	13	9	5	24	51	5	14	5	9	33	23	7	6	11	13
DK/NA	9	5	9	7	21	2	13	9	5	24	51	5	14	5	9	33	23	7	6	11	13

Table 19: Q5J. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Up-to-date technologically

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120	44
TOTAL WELL	42	36	48	53	21	42	55	35	44	48	26	51	41	45	39	42	32	0	52	28
Very well	8	8	2	17	3	7	13	4	9	3	3	19	7	11	9	7	11	0	8	1
Somewhat well	34	28	46	36	18	35	42	31	35	45	23	32	34	33	31	35	21	0	44	27
TOTAL NOT WELL	49	52	45	42	65	44	38	53	38	44	72	49	49	48	53	51	39	78	41	53
Not too well	29	25	36	30	27	34	23	40	17	23	48	19	30	25	31	45	19	55	24	18
Not at all well	20	27	10	11	38	9	15	14	20	21	24	30	19	23	21	5	20	24	18	35
DK/NA	9	12	7	5	14	14	7	11	18	8	3	0	10	8	8	8	29	22	6	19
DK/NA	9	12	7	5	14	14	7	11	18	8	3	0	10	8	8	8	29	22	6	19

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152	250
TOTAL WELL	42	33	54	57	32	57	53	30	47	40	39	43	46	46	39	38	39	42	39	45
Very well	8	7	0	3	0	12	15	7	20	0	8	11	12	10	9	15	8	8	5	10
Somewhat well	34	26	54	54	32	45	38	23	28	40	32	32	33	36	30	23	31	34	34	35
TOTAL NOT WELL	49	56	35	35	54	40	43	54	34	44	52	49	46	45	51	50	54	37	51	46
Not too well	29	28	23	26	18	40	33	31	26	22	30	30	28	27	30	29	32	19	35	27
Not at all well	20	27	12	9	36	0	10	23	8	22	23	19	18	18	21	21	22	18	17	19
DK/NA	9	11	11	8	14	2	4	16	19	15	8	8	8	9	10	12	7	21	10	8
DK/NA	9	11	11	8	14	2	4	16	19	15	8	8	8	9	10	12	7	21	10	8

Table 19: Q5J. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Up-to-date technologically

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	40	57	42	42	0	39	44	40	44	46	45	42	30
Very well	8	7	15	6	8	0	6	10	8	8	10	9	5	5
Somewhat well	34	33	42	36	34	0	33	34	32	36	36	36	37	25
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	50	31	49	49	0	50	48	49	49	43	50	49	55
Not too well	29	29	27	38	29	0	30	29	28	31	30	28	29	30
Not at all well	20	22	3	11	20	0	20	20	21	19	13	22	19	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	9	13	9	9	0	11	7	11	7	11	5	10	14
DK/NA	9	9	13	9	9	0	11	7	11	7	11	5	10	14

Table 20: Q5K. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Unsafe from crime

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	40	37	41	40	41	41	55	48	39	37	36	46	41	38	41	29	44	46	35	24
Very well	11	6	5	22	10	9	25	15	6	13	9	14	6	13	9	12	19	7	24	12
Somewhat well	29	31	36	18	31	33	30	33	33	23	27	32	35	25	32	18	26	39	11	12
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	53	56	52	56	53	53	31	50	59	56	56	49	54	56	52	66	31	50	61	70
Not too well	31	43	37	23	33	35	15	30	40	52	34	28	28	35	34	34	22	35	18	26
Not at all well	22	13	15	33	20	17	16	20	19	4	22	21	26	21	18	32	10	15	43	44
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	6	4	6	6	14	2	2	7	8	5	6	6	7	5	24	4	4	6
DK/NA	6	7	6	4	6	6	14	2	2	7	8	5	6	6	7	5	24	4	4	6

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	40	41	48	19	37	44	32	39	46	43	33	50	44	35	38	44	40	40	40	43
Very well	11	6	11	3	22	8	17	17	12	9	15	16	14	6	12	10	14	4	12	10
Somewhat well	29	35	37	16	14	36	15	22	34	34	18	34	29	29	26	33	26	36	28	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	53	55	46	81	57	51	64	41	45	52	63	32	51	65	58	47	54	58	55	46
Not too well	31	34	35	52	18	34	27	23	32	35	29	12	24	44	30	32	31	36	32	29
Not at all well	22	21	11	29	39	16	37	18	13	17	34	20	27	21	28	15	23	22	23	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	6	0	6	5	4	20	9	6	4	18	5	1	4	9	6	3	5	11
DK/NA	6	4	6	0	6	5	4	20	9	6	4	18	5	1	4	9	6	3	5	11

Table 20: Q5K. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Unsafe from crime

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
TOTAL WELL	40	37	43	41	31	36	41	43	39	47	41	40	42	52	39	59	36	39	43	38	40
Very well	11	5	11	12	8	19	12	13	10	13	10	1	10	13	14	9	6	13	10	7	12
Somewhat well	29	32	32	29	23	17	29	30	30	34	31	39	32	40	25	50	30	26	33	32	29
TOTAL NOT WELL	53	56	51	54	68	60	53	52	56	49	52	55	53	42	59	25	60	55	53	56	54
Not too well	31	28	29	29	44	36	28	24	32	32	28	39	33	29	35	18	34	34	33	32	34
Not at all well	22	28	21	25	24	25	25	28	24	17	24	16	20	13	23	7	26	21	19	24	20
DK/NA	6	6	7	5	1	4	6	5	5	4	7	5	6	6	2	16	4	7	4	5	6
DK/NA	6	6	7	5	1	4	6	5	5	4	7	5	6	6	2	16	4	7	4	5	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
TOTAL WELL	40	33	38	44	30	54	38	32	17	41	47	36	37	39	51	18	43	48	31	44	
Very well	11	3	12	21	18	7	5	8	3	17	6	12	11	10	13	0	8	14	17	25	
Somewhat well	29	30	26	24	12	47	33	24	14	25	41	23	26	29	38	18	34	34	13	19	
TOTAL NOT WELL	53	61	54	55	60	38	55	63	80	55	46	61	57	55	47	46	52	41	65	50	
Not too well	31	37	37	27	37	27	26	42	43	34	27	37	35	30	37	46	35	28	26	43	
Not at all well	22	24	17	28	22	11	29	21	37	21	19	23	22	26	10	0	17	13	39	7	
DK/NA	6	6	7	1	10	8	6	4	3	4	7	4	6	6	2	36	6	11	5	6	
DK/NA	6	6	7	1	10	8	6	4	3	4	7	4	6	6	2	36	6	11	5	6	

Table 20: Q5K. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Unsafe from crime

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB	MD/CN	PR/LB	MD/CN	P/L/M	CONS
																DEM	DEM	INDEP	INDEP	REP	REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
TOTAL WELL	40	43	39	38	49	19	35	45	38	51	31	28	40	41	30	36	44	36	45	42	14
Very well	11	9	14	9	18	7	7	15	13	16	6	4	12	10	5	6	17	14	32	0	12
Somewhat well	29	35	25	30	31	12	28	30	25	35	26	25	28	30	25	30	28	23	13	42	2
TOTAL NOT WELL	53	48	58	55	47	68	56	51	56	43	60	65	54	52	62	56	53	64	54	58	83
Not too well	31	32	32	33	27	33	33	33	23	23	42	45	30	33	43	36	27	41	26	7	57
Not at all well	22	17	26	21	20	35	23	18	33	21	18	20	24	20	19	20	26	23	28	51	26
DK/NA	6	8	3	7	4	13	9	4	6	6	9	7	6	7	8	9	3	0	1	0	3
DK/NA	6	8	3	7	4	13	9	4	6	6	9	7	6	7	8	9	3	0	1	0	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
TOTAL WELL	40	36	43	44	42	40	38	41	64	20	28	39	38	44	56	32	11	39	35	39	46
Very well	11	16	11	8	10	12	6	18	18	12	0	10	7	17	19	12	4	7	15	7	9
Somewhat well	29	20	32	36	32	29	31	24	46	8	28	29	31	26	37	20	7	32	20	32	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	53	61	53	47	51	56	53	57	35	70	43	54	55	55	37	47	80	58	61	53	47
Not too well	31	31	32	29	35	35	33	28	27	27	43	32	35	29	24	11	45	32	35	32	33
Not at all well	22	30	21	17	16	21	20	29	9	44	0	22	20	25	13	36	35	26	25	22	14
DK/NA	6	3	4	9	8	3	10	2	1	10	29	7	7	2	6	22	8	3	4	8	8
DK/NA	6	3	4	9	8	3	10	2	1	10	29	7	7	2	6	22	8	3	4	8	8

Table 20: Q5K. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Unsafe from crime

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
TOTAL WELL	40	48	36	43	40	46	43	28	33	50	36	44	40	40	40	34	20	24	52	27
Very well	11	18	10	18	14	0	15	5	10	18	5	17	10	21	7	8	2	24	19	17
Somewhat well	29	30	26	25	26	46	28	23	23	33	32	28	30	19	33	26	18	0	33	9
TOTAL NOT WELL	53	43	59	56	54	48	47	61	58	46	59	54	53	55	52	61	73	55	44	67
Not too well	31	26	22	38	24	33	28	38	26	43	34	37	32	29	33	36	43	0	26	28
Not at all well	22	18	37	18	30	15	19	23	32	3	24	17	22	25	19	24	30	55	18	39
DK/NA	6	9	5	1	6	6	10	11	9	3	5	2	6	5	8	5	7	22	4	7
DK/NA	6	9	5	1	6	6	10	11	9	3	5	2	6	5	8	5	7	22	4	7

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
TOTAL WELL	40	45	52	43	60	47	47	41	41	12	40	37	36	36	38	33	43	35	42	38
Very well	11	16	0	14	38	13	7	6	4	2	13	13	12	12	13	14	9	10	14	9
Somewhat well	29	30	52	29	23	34	40	35	37	10	27	24	23	24	25	18	34	25	28	29
TOTAL NOT WELL	53	47	48	55	40	51	53	46	50	84	53	56	55	54	53	53	51	59	54	56
Not too well	31	29	3	36	33	31	32	31	31	42	31	29	30	31	32	34	33	32	34	32
Not at all well	22	18	45	19	6	20	21	16	19	42	22	27	25	23	21	20	18	28	20	24
DK/NA	6	8	0	3	0	2	0	13	9	5	7	8	10	10	9	14	6	6	4	7
DK/NA	6	8	0	3	0	2	0	13	9	5	7	8	10	10	9	14	6	6	4	7

Table 20: Q5K. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Unsafe from crime

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	40	39	42	58	40	0	38	43	41	40	41	43	43	32
Very well	11	12	3	10	11	0	11	12	9	14	9	13	13	9
Somewhat well	29	27	39	48	29	0	27	31	32	26	32	30	30	23
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	53	55	50	35	53	0	53	53	53	53	55	52	50	58
Not too well	31	31	42	28	31	0	32	30	32	30	33	36	21	30
Not at all well	22	24	7	7	22	0	21	23	21	23	21	15	29	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	9	7	6	0	9	4	6	7	4	5	7	10
DK/NA	6	6	9	7	6	0	9	4	6	7	4	5	7	10

Table 21: Q5L. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Slow way to travel

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	58	56	54	66	55	54	55	71	49	63	56	57	58	61	50	75	53	54	68	46
Very well	20	15	12	35	25	19	20	21	10	21	21	16	16	22	17	27	10	18	31	9
Somewhat well	38	41	42	31	30	35	35	49	39	42	34	41	42	39	33	48	44	36	37	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	42	42	31	39	42	27	29	45	30	41	36	38	36	45	19	34	43	32	44
Not too well	25	13	31	15	30	28	18	25	30	30	29	22	20	28	33	11	32	27	15	15
Not at all well	13	29	12	16	9	14	9	4	16	0	11	14	18	8	13	8	2	16	17	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	3	3	5	5	17	1	6	7	4	7	5	3	4	6	12	3	0	9
DK/NA	4	3	3	3	5	5	17	1	6	7	4	7	5	3	4	6	12	3	0	9
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	58	50	56	78	70	52	72	52	55	55	67	50	57	73	60	55	60	50	58	55
Very well	20	15	21	26	30	18	29	10	18	16	28	20	25	23	23	16	20	21	21	17
Somewhat well	38	34	35	53	40	35	43	42	37	38	39	30	32	50	37	39	39	29	37	38
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	49	38	22	26	44	25	37	38	42	29	40	40	27	37	39	35	48	38	40
Not too well	25	31	28	17	12	30	13	28	28	30	12	33	27	14	24	26	22	29	24	31
Not at all well	13	18	11	5	14	14	12	9	10	12	17	8	13	13	13	14	13	19	14	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	6	0	4	4	3	12	7	4	4	10	4	0	3	6	5	2	4	5
DK/NA	4	2	6	0	4	4	3	12	7	4	4	10	4	0	3	6	5	2	4	5

Table 21: Q5L. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Slow way to travel

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	58	52	55	55	55	65	59	57	53	61	74	55	61	65	54	41	48	62	62	47	62
Very well	20	23	20	19	33	22	21	21	17	19	25	22	21	19	18	14	8	26	24	9	25
Somewhat well	38	29	35	35	23	43	39	36	36	42	49	33	39	45	37	27	40	36	38	38	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	45	42	44	43	34	36	39	42	35	26	41	36	29	46	49	46	35	36	46	35
Not too well	25	28	27	33	27	16	22	23	28	26	22	17	22	25	27	34	25	27	23	26	25
Not at all well	13	17	15	11	15	18	14	16	15	10	4	24	14	4	18	14	21	9	13	20	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	3	1	2	2	5	4	5	4	0	5	3	6	0	11	6	3	3	7	3
DK/NA	4	3	3	1	2	2	5	4	5	4	0	5	3	6	0	11	6	3	3	7	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	58	54	64	63	66	47	58	65	44	65	52	62	57	57	60	82	53	53	72	80	
Very well	20	13	22	27	34	9	24	28	16	23	16	24	22	19	24	0	17	17	30	10	
Somewhat well	38	41	41	36	32	37	34	37	28	42	36	39	35	38	35	82	36	36	42	70	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	41	32	35	30	45	41	31	56	32	43	34	37	40	34	18	43	39	25	14	
Not too well	25	32	21	25	15	21	28	26	26	25	24	25	24	26	22	18	31	23	12	14	
Not at all well	13	9	11	10	15	24	13	5	30	7	19	9	13	14	12	0	13	15	13	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	4	2	4	9	1	5	0	3	5	3	6	3	6	0	3	9	3	6	
DK/NA	4	5	4	2	4	9	1	5	0	3	5	3	6	3	6	0	3	9	3	6	

Table 21: Q5L. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Slow way to travel

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	58	55	63	60	52	61	44	59	73	62	53	47	59	56	51	60	66	55	61	62	59
Very well	20	15	28	18	25	18	23	20	31	18	14	6	24	14	11	17	23	20	39	17	26
Somewhat well	38	40	35	42	27	43	21	39	42	44	39	41	34	42	40	43	42	36	21	45	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	38	35	35	47	29	56	37	22	35	43	37	38	38	41	35	33	45	36	38	34
Not too well	25	24	23	26	26	11	34	24	14	20	34	29	24	26	32	26	27	32	23	4	20
Not at all well	13	14	12	9	21	17	22	13	8	15	9	8	14	12	9	9	6	13	14	35	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	7	2	5	1	10	0	4	4	3	4	16	3	6	9	6	2	0	3	0	6
DK/NA	4	7	2	5	1	10	0	4	4	3	4	16	3	6	9	6	2	0	3	0	6

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	58	67	57	54	57	62	61	63	43	67	24	61	59	55	47	53	66	54	64	44	62
Very well	20	34	20	18	10	24	15	32	16	32	0	21	13	31	17	23	15	10	32	9	20
Somewhat well	38	34	37	36	47	38	45	31	26	35	24	40	46	24	31	30	51	44	31	36	43
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	32	40	41	33	37	32	34	57	31	42	37	32	44	51	26	31	45	34	45	34
Not too well	25	21	26	25	23	27	24	24	22	0	42	26	26	24	29	6	15	30	22	21	27
Not at all well	13	10	14	16	10	10	7	11	36	31	0	11	7	19	22	20	16	15	12	24	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	1	3	5	10	1	8	3	0	2	33	3	8	1	2	22	4	2	2	11	4
DK/NA	4	1	3	5	10	1	8	3	0	2	33	3	8	1	2	22	4	2	2	11	4

Table 21: Q5L. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Slow way to travel

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	58	55	50	65	78	70	46	60	55	41	52	66	58	58	61	55	59	78	53	56
Very well	20	13	21	25	19	12	23	11	17	25	38	19	20	22	18	25	12	78	26	12
Somewhat well	38	41	30	41	58	58	23	49	38	16	14	47	38	36	44	30	47	0	27	44
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	39	46	35	22	25	38	36	41	53	48	34	38	37	33	43	39	0	46	36
Not too well	25	28	37	24	13	16	23	29	28	31	23	20	25	22	25	29	18	0	24	27
Not at all well	13	11	9	11	10	8	15	7	14	23	25	14	13	15	8	14	20	0	22	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	6	3	0	0	5	16	4	4	6	0	0	4	5	6	3	2	22	2	7
DK/NA	4	6	3	0	0	5	16	4	4	6	0	0	4	5	6	3	2	22	2	7

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	58	47	58	59	64	73	67	65	51	49	58	58	61	58	65	71	58	66	66	53
Very well	20	10	32	22	31	30	13	20	8	11	22	23	24	19	25	26	24	22	20	21
Somewhat well	38	37	26	37	33	44	54	45	43	37	35	35	38	40	40	46	34	43	46	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	46	42	41	36	27	33	22	38	49	38	39	36	38	32	28	38	27	30	43
Not too well	25	33	30	32	28	23	22	8	18	45	24	28	24	25	22	25	25	16	23	27
Not at all well	13	13	12	9	7	4	11	14	19	4	15	11	11	12	9	3	12	11	7	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	13	12	2	4	3	3	4	3	1	4	8	4	3
DK/NA	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	13	12	2	4	3	3	4	3	1	4	8	4	3

Table 21: Q5L. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Slow way to travel

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	58	57	62	64	58	0	58	57	61	55	63	51	54	67
Very well	20	19	24	23	20	0	19	21	24	16	15	26	18	17
Somewhat well	38	37	37	42	38	0	39	37	37	39	47	25	36	50
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	40	26	30	38	0	36	40	34	42	34	44	42	30
Not too well	25	25	26	26	25	0	26	24	23	27	25	25	30	20
Not at all well	13	15	0	4	13	0	10	17	12	15	9	19	12	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	12	5	4	0	6	3	5	3	3	6	5	3
DK/NA	4	4	12	5	4	0	6	3	5	3	3	6	5	3

Table 22: Q5M. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Inefficient

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	28	48	66	49	53	59	47	46	58	58	35	53	53	48	66	67	53	51	56
Very well	16	2	8	30	10	12	14	11	15	7	17	21	15	14	10	31	11	11	31	7
Somewhat well	38	26	40	36	39	41	45	36	30	51	41	14	37	39	38	35	56	42	20	49
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	58	44	29	40	38	34	43	49	39	37	49	32	42	47	27	33	38	35	32
Not too well	25	30	29	20	23	26	23	30	30	31	26	27	21	29	32	18	33	21	24	32
Not at all well	13	28	15	9	17	12	12	13	19	8	11	23	11	13	14	8	0	17	11	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	14	8	5	11	9	6	10	5	4	4	16	15	5	5	7	0	9	14	12
DK/NA	8	14	8	5	11	9	6	10	5	4	4	16	15	5	5	7	0	9	14	12

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	45	57	58	61	51	60	60	58	53	55	57	53	46	54	53	59	39	56	42
Very well	16	10	11	23	34	11	31	9	11	11	30	16	18	11	14	18	16	7	15	22
Somewhat well	38	35	46	35	26	40	29	52	47	43	24	41	35	35	40	35	43	32	42	20
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	44	39	30	30	42	30	32	38	40	35	35	39	48	38	39	35	54	38	41
Not too well	25	28	25	24	19	27	21	32	26	25	24	34	22	39	24	28	25	36	26	22
Not at all well	13	16	15	7	10	16	9	0	11	15	10	2	17	10	14	11	10	18	11	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	10	4	11	10	7	10	7	5	7	11	8	8	6	8	8	6	7	6	17
DK/NA	8	10	4	11	10	7	10	7	5	7	11	8	8	6	8	8	6	7	6	17

Table 22: Q5M. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Inefficient

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	48	50	50	35	52	57	55	50	59	60	46	55	59	61	55	58	53	52	57	53
Very well	16	18	13	17	17	22	17	19	15	8	8	19	13	5	15	11	17	16	15	16	15
Somewhat well	38	30	36	33	18	30	40	36	35	51	52	27	42	55	46	44	41	37	37	41	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	41	42	39	52	39	35	39	43	36	23	44	38	39	32	25	35	41	40	33	40
Not too well	25	26	28	23	22	20	26	26	28	25	21	24	24	27	23	15	23	25	28	22	26
Not at all well	13	15	14	16	30	19	10	13	15	11	2	20	14	12	10	10	12	15	12	12	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	11	9	10	13	9	8	7	7	5	16	10	7	2	6	20	7	6	8	9	7
DK/NA	8	11	9	10	13	9	8	7	7	5	16	10	7	2	6	20	7	6	8	9	7
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	47	52	60	53	52	46	52	69	59	49	57	46	54	65	63	51	61	57	74	
Very well	16	11	15	23	0	6	18	8	24	21	11	16	11	18	18	0	10	13	34	13	
Somewhat well	38	36	37	37	53	47	28	44	45	38	38	41	35	36	47	63	41	48	23	61	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	42	42	35	40	39	47	38	25	37	43	37	45	38	29	24	41	35	31	23	
Not too well	25	28	29	23	26	19	35	25	24	27	27	26	30	27	17	11	25	33	20	22	
Not at all well	13	13	12	12	13	20	12	14	2	10	16	11	15	11	12	13	16	2	11	2	
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	12	7	5	7	9	7	10	6	4	8	6	9	8	5	13	8	4	12	3	
DK/NA	8	12	7	5	7	9	7	10	6	4	8	6	9	8	5	13	8	4	12	3	

Table 22: Q5M. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Inefficient

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	52	54	51	60	50	54	53	61	56	53	40	55	52	48	50	58	47	62	63	40
Very well	16	13	17	14	21	10	15	14	14	20	21	6	14	18	16	14	15	11	30	19	0
Somewhat well	38	39	37	37	39	40	39	39	47	35	32	34	41	34	33	37	44	36	32	44	40
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	38	40	40	35	37	44	40	35	31	36	47	40	36	40	41	34	44	35	35	56
Not too well	25	28	24	27	25	19	26	28	24	24	24	26	26	25	25	31	16	24	32	15	41
Not at all well	13	10	16	13	11	17	18	12	11	7	12	21	14	11	15	10	18	21	3	20	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	10	7	9	5	13	2	7	4	13	11	14	5	12	12	9	8	9	3	2	4
DK/NA	8	10	7	9	5	13	2	7	4	13	11	14	5	12	12	9	8	9	3	2	4
	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	49	59	59	42	46	52	65	55	59	41	52	51	63	56	56	47	58	51	57	52
Very well	16	12	22	14	12	13	12	22	20	22	0	12	17	22	20	0	15	22	14	10	15
Somewhat well	38	37	36	45	30	33	40	42	35	38	41	40	34	41	35	56	32	37	37	47	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	47	31	37	41	44	39	33	36	34	39	42	36	35	35	44	33	31	44	36	39
Not too well	25	28	19	26	31	23	31	25	23	20	19	28	24	23	27	16	21	12	29	29	25
Not at all well	13	19	12	10	10	21	8	8	13	14	21	13	12	13	8	28	12	19	14	7	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	4	10	5	17	10	9	2	9	7	19	6	13	2	9	0	20	10	5	8	9
DK/NA	8	4	10	5	17	10	9	2	9	7	19	6	13	2	9	0	20	10	5	8	9

Table 22: Q5M. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Inefficient

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	46	48	48	66	41	40	63	57	46	71	69	53	62	48	73	70	0	59	54
Very well	16	10	12	9	13	21	7	19	24	16	2	40	16	18	14	10	17	0	21	15
Somewhat well	38	36	36	39	53	21	33	44	32	29	68	29	37	44	34	63	53	0	38	39
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	45	48	39	27	42	54	33	36	47	23	21	39	32	42	25	28	39	35	38
Not too well	25	28	41	27	9	32	37	21	25	27	19	11	25	27	27	22	17	16	24	25
Not at all well	13	18	8	11	17	10	17	13	11	20	4	10	14	5	15	3	11	24	11	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	9	3	13	7	17	7	3	7	7	6	10	8	6	10	1	2	61	5	8
DK/NA	8	9	3	13	7	17	7	3	7	7	6	10	8	6	10	1	2	61	5	8

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	46	47	54	60	41	37	42	48	53	59	54	53	51	47	46	50	57	66	46
Very well	16	10	15	17	8	6	16	16	4	21	17	13	13	16	17	15	12	14	25	11
Somewhat well	38	36	32	37	52	35	21	26	44	32	42	40	39	35	31	31	38	43	41	35
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	44	38	46	26	40	51	41	48	40	34	39	40	39	41	44	40	34	27	46
Not too well	25	25	27	40	16	34	38	27	36	30	21	24	22	23	23	28	27	22	17	32
Not at all well	13	19	11	6	10	6	14	14	13	9	13	15	17	16	18	16	12	12	10	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	10	15	0	14	19	12	17	4	8	7	7	8	10	11	10	10	9	7	8
DK/NA	8	10	15	0	14	19	12	17	4	8	7	7	8	10	11	10	10	9	7	8

Table 22: Q5M. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Inefficient

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	54	55	40	49	0	54	55	53	52	56	44	57	48	66
Very well	16	16	21	8	0	16	17	15	13	19	13	16	16	19
Somewhat well	38	39	19	41	0	38	38	38	40	37	31	41	32	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	38	37	47	46	0	38	39	37	38	38	45	35	45	29
Not too well	25	25	14	42	0	25	28	23	26	25	33	23	34	13
Not at all well	13	12	33	4	0	13	12	14	12	13	12	12	11	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	8	13	5	0	8	6	10	10	6	10	8	7	6
DK/NA	8	8	13	5	0	8	6	10	10	6	10	8	7	6

Table 23: Q5N. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Innovative

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	70	46	15	32	37	40	58	31	31	26	40	49	30	44	31	52	33	9	21
Very well	6	27	9	1	7	6	7	6	17	7	5	6	12	4	7	5	0	8	0	0
Somewhat well	28	43	38	14	25	30	33	52	14	24	21	34	37	26	37	26	52	25	9	21
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	57	18	47	74	57	55	47	35	60	60	66	56	40	62	48	61	36	57	85	59
Not too well	31	16	32	30	33	32	29	9	11	37	41	37	19	37	26	22	16	37	41	38
Not at all well	26	2	15	43	24	23	18	26	49	23	25	19	21	25	22	39	20	19	43	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	11	7	11	11	9	13	7	8	9	9	4	11	8	8	8	12	10	7	19
DK/NA	9	11	7	11	11	9	13	7	8	9	9	4	11	8	8	8	12	10	7	19

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	39	38	33	17	38	22	34	37	40	16	37	32	28	35	32	29	32	30	51
Very well	6	9	6	8	1	7	3	0	5	7	0	7	5	7	7	4	2	11	3	19
Somewhat well	28	30	32	25	16	31	19	34	32	32	15	30	26	21	28	28	27	22	26	32
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	57	53	52	62	74	53	70	50	51	51	75	57	61	60	58	56	62	57	61	41
Not too well	31	31	33	29	30	32	30	29	32	32	29	34	29	35	32	31	32	42	34	21
Not at all well	26	22	19	32	44	21	40	21	19	19	46	22	31	25	26	25	29	16	27	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	8	10	5	9	9	8	17	12	10	9	6	7	11	7	12	9	10	10	8
DK/NA	9	8	10	5	9	9	8	17	12	10	9	6	7	11	7	12	9	10	10	8

Table 23: Q5N. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Innovative

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
TOTAL WELL	34	27	36	47	72	36	28	38	24	32	48	59	44	30	37	48	50	29	24	50	27
Very well	6	3	8	11	17	12	2	9	5	4	3	13	7	1	8	4	9	5	3	8	4
Somewhat well	28	24	28	36	55	25	26	29	19	29	45	46	38	29	29	44	41	24	20	42	22
TOTAL NOT WELL	57	65	53	46	22	50	61	55	65	57	52	34	48	58	57	33	41	66	65	39	66
Not too well	31	32	30	24	8	29	30	28	34	32	28	21	27	37	24	23	27	33	36	26	35
Not at all well	26	33	23	22	14	20	31	27	30	26	24	13	21	21	34	11	14	33	29	13	31
DK/NA	9	8	11	7	6	14	11	7	11	10	0	8	7	11	6	19	9	4	11	11	7
DK/NA	9	8	11	7	6	14	11	7	11	10	0	8	7	11	6	19	9	4	11	11	7
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
TOTAL WELL	34	29	40	31	49	56	41	33	15	19	49	24	30	30	51	57	39	42	12	49	
Very well	6	4	6	8	5	6	9	7	4	1	8	4	2	4	17	0	8	4	1	3	
Somewhat well	28	25	34	24	44	49	32	25	11	17	41	20	28	26	34	57	31	38	12	46	
TOTAL NOT WELL	57	62	52	64	46	29	52	62	78	71	40	68	60	61	47	25	52	49	79	40	
Not too well	31	34	27	35	44	21	32	40	13	37	26	36	36	30	31	11	33	24	33	24	
Not at all well	26	29	25	29	2	9	20	23	66	34	14	32	24	30	16	15	19	24	46	16	
DK/NA	9	8	8	5	5	15	7	5	7	10	11	8	10	10	2	18	9	9	8	12	
DK/NA	9	8	8	5	5	15	7	5	7	10	11	8	10	10	2	18	9	9	8	12	

Table 23: Q5N. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Innovative

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
TOTAL WELL	34	40	28	34	34	24	47	25	35	33	26	42	34	33	32	34	34	41	33	32	32
Very well	6	9	3	5	7	2	8	5	3	5	6	8	6	6	7	4	7	8	10	4	0
Somewhat well	28	31	26	29	27	22	38	20	32	29	20	34	29	27	25	30	27	33	23	28	32
TOTAL NOT WELL	57	49	64	57	55	64	48	65	56	61	62	34	58	57	52	58	61	49	61	68	58
Not too well	31	26	36	29	32	52	33	29	31	33	32	28	31	32	31	30	28	28	38	57	54
Not at all well	26	23	29	28	24	12	16	35	25	28	30	7	27	25	21	28	34	21	23	11	4
DK/NA	9	11	8	8	11	12	5	10	8	6	12	24	8	11	16	8	5	10	7	0	10
DK/NA	9	11	8	8	11	12	5	10	8	6	12	24	8	11	16	8	5	10	7	0	10

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
TOTAL WELL	34	29	28	40	39	26	43	33	37	21	27	34	35	34	35	47	13	47	19	54	34
Very well	6	2	3	9	9	3	7	2	14	0	3	4	7	9	4	0	3	4	1	12	7
Somewhat well	28	26	25	32	31	23	36	31	23	21	24	30	28	24	31	47	11	43	18	42	27
TOTAL NOT WELL	57	63	65	52	45	65	49	60	49	76	52	59	55	56	54	48	71	37	77	41	54
Not too well	31	30	42	31	20	30	26	38	24	68	37	28	29	33	29	48	54	20	43	32	25
Not at all well	26	33	23	21	25	35	23	23	25	8	15	30	26	23	25	0	17	17	34	9	29
DK/NA	9	8	7	8	16	9	9	6	14	3	21	7	9	10	11	5	16	16	4	5	12
DK/NA	9	8	7	8	16	9	9	6	14	3	21	7	9	10	11	5	16	16	4	5	12

Table 23: Q5N. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Innovative

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120	40
TOTAL WELL	34	30	28	41	24	33	45	40	18	35	46	36	35	27	33	49	24	16	34	29
Very well	6	7	9	2	4	7	8	5	1	11	8	0	6	5	4	7	0	0	7	9
Somewhat well	28	23	19	39	20	26	37	35	17	25	38	36	29	21	29	42	24	16	27	19
TOTAL NOT WELL	57	66	65	47	54	59	52	53	72	56	46	51	56	65	58	49	70	24	56	61
Not too well	31	37	43	27	34	37	21	25	37	40	24	13	29	45	29	21	59	0	32	44
Not at all well	26	29	22	20	19	22	31	28	36	16	21	38	27	21	29	28	11	24	24	18
DK/NA	9	4	6	11	22	8	4	7	10	9	9	13	9	8	9	3	6	61	10	10
DK/NA	9	4	6	11	22	8	4	7	10	9	9	13	9	8	9	3	6	61	10	10

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167	245
TOTAL WELL	34	28	11	35	24	36	56	22	23	16	35	35	31	28	24	27	46	49	29	39
Very well	6	6	0	12	10	0	6	3	4	0	6	3	2	2	3	1	8	5	4	7
Somewhat well	28	22	11	23	14	36	50	19	19	16	30	32	29	26	22	25	39	44	25	32
TOTAL NOT WELL	57	67	78	59	49	54	40	66	74	70	54	55	59	63	68	67	48	38	63	52
Not too well	31	43	78	32	7	27	29	38	43	35	27	34	39	39	41	43	29	17	33	28
Not at all well	26	24	0	27	42	27	11	28	31	35	27	21	20	24	26	24	18	21	30	24
DK/NA	9	4	11	6	27	10	4	12	3	14	10	10	10	9	8	7	6	13	8	9
DK/NA	9	4	11	6	27	10	4	12	3	14	10	10	10	9	8	7	6	13	8	9

Table 23: Q5N. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Innovative

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	34	30	98	33	0	34	41	27	47	21	32	39	29	31
Very well	6	5	28	0	0	6	6	5	7	4	5	7	6	4
Somewhat well	28	25	71	33	0	28	35	21	40	16	27	32	23	27
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	57	61	0	45	0	57	51	63	45	69	59	53	66	55
Not too well	31	34	0	21	0	31	24	38	26	37	34	26	39	30
Not at all well	26	28	0	24	0	26	27	25	19	32	25	27	26	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	9	2	22	0	9	8	10	8	10	9	8	5	15
DK/NA	9	9	2	22	0	9	8	10	8	10	9	8	5	15

Table 24: Q50. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A vital part of the community

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	88	98	96	74	93	93	80	88	81	100	93	98	92	90	98	73	83	92	70	88
Very well	57	79	69	36	74	63	46	42	50	85	62	86	58	58	72	30	19	66	40	44
Somewhat well	31	18	28	38	19	30	34	46	31	15	30	12	34	32	26	43	64	26	31	44
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	0	3	24	7	6	18	12	15	0	7	2	8	10	2	26	17	6	27	12
Not too well	6	0	1	16	3	5	14	8	8	0	4	2	5	7	2	13	17	3	13	12
Not at all well	5	0	2	8	4	2	3	4	7	0	3	0	3	3	0	13	0	3	14	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	2	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	0
DK/NA	1	2	1	2	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	88	94	96	90	64	95	72	86	94	94	68	97	89	85	89	87	86	97	87	94
Very well	57	74	63	66	20	69	34	34	56	67	31	44	55	69	59	53	50	78	54	70
Somewhat well	31	20	33	24	44	26	38	52	37	27	37	53	34	16	30	34	36	20	33	23
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	5	4	10	33	4	26	14	6	5	30	3	10	15	10	12	13	3	12	5
Not too well	6	3	3	6	16	3	13	14	5	3	16	3	5	14	6	7	8	0	7	2
Not at all well	5	2	2	3	17	2	13	0	1	2	14	0	4	1	4	5	5	3	5	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2

Table 24: Q50. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A vital part of the community

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
TOTAL WELL	88	90	93	92	92	84	84	86	91	84	92	92	86	84	83	84	90	90	87	89	89
Very well	57	73	67	68	66	58	49	59	62	48	44	63	52	43	56	29	56	59	61	52	60
Somewhat well	31	17	26	24	26	27	36	28	29	36	48	29	35	41	28	55	33	31	26	37	29
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	10	6	8	8	13	14	12	9	15	8	7	13	14	17	11	10	10	12	10	11
Not too well	6	5	4	4	1	8	9	7	5	12	0	4	8	12	13	11	4	4	10	5	7
Not at all well	5	5	2	4	7	5	6	5	4	3	8	3	5	2	3	0	7	6	2	6	4
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	5	0	0	1	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	5	0	0	1	1	0
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
TOTAL WELL	88	90	90	85	83	91	92	86	86	89	92	88	93	87	89	87	95	91	66	87	
Very well	57	68	59	48	36	55	58	64	67	56	57	60	56	60	58	24	70	41	31	41	
Somewhat well	31	23	31	38	46	36	34	22	19	34	35	28	37	28	30	63	25	50	35	46	
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	9	9	13	17	9	8	12	14	11	8	12	7	12	11	0	4	9	31	13	
Not too well	6	1	7	7	14	6	3	10	2	7	4	8	5	7	4	0	2	9	15	13	
Not at all well	5	8	2	6	3	3	5	3	12	4	4	4	2	5	8	0	2	0	16	0	
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	13	1	0	3	0	
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	13	1	0	3	0	

Table 24: Q50. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A vital part of the community

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	88	90	85	91	84	79	93	92	82	90	76	92	90	86	82	91	88	88	84	83	75
Very well	57	56	57	62	49	43	64	67	49	49	38	76	61	50	52	67	48	51	50	43	16
Somewhat well	31	34	29	29	36	36	29	25	33	41	39	16	28	36	30	24	40	37	35	40	59
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	9	14	8	15	21	7	7	16	10	20	8	9	13	15	8	12	12	13	17	25
Not too well	6	6	7	6	6	14	3	3	11	5	12	8	5	8	11	5	10	1	1	17	20
Not at all well	5	3	7	2	9	7	4	4	5	5	8	0	4	5	5	2	2	10	12	0	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	1	1	3	1	0	0	2	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	1	1	3	1	0	0	2	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	88	87	83	91	89	90	92	81	86	70	88	92	89	84	85	88	75	82	87	95	89
Very well	57	64	48	59	53	66	59	48	49	25	60	65	58	56	40	52	39	51	61	54	57
Somewhat well	31	23	35	32	37	23	33	33	38	44	29	28	31	29	45	36	36	30	27	41	32
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	12	16	8	11	9	8	19	12	30	12	7	9	14	15	12	25	17	12	5	11
Not too well	6	6	7	5	9	5	6	5	7	24	5	4	8	7	4	8	17	5	7	4	7
Not at all well	5	6	9	4	1	3	2	14	4	7	7	3	1	7	12	5	8	12	5	1	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0

Table 24: Q5O. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A vital part of the community

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
TOTAL WELL	88	91	86	92	82	94	100	90	90	90	91	59	89	82	91	94	79	61	85	85
Very well	57	65	51	67	65	66	71	48	61	63	41	22	56	59	62	61	40	61	49	52
Somewhat well	31	25	35	25	17	28	29	43	29	28	49	38	33	23	29	33	39	0	35	33
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	9	11	5	18	1	0	10	10	10	9	41	11	11	8	6	21	39	14	12
Not too well	6	6	7	3	15	0	0	7	7	3	8	16	6	8	6	2	18	16	4	10
Not at all well	5	3	4	2	3	1	0	3	4	6	2	25	5	3	2	3	3	24	10	2
DK/NA	1	0	3	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	3
DK/NA	1	0	3	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
TOTAL WELL	88	91	85	84	92	81	100	91	100	91	86	90	89	88	87	90	90	89	81	94
Very well	57	69	62	45	49	60	71	64	62	70	52	58	56	63	62	65	57	39	45	67
Somewhat well	31	23	23	39	43	20	29	27	38	21	33	32	33	25	26	25	33	50	36	27
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	9	15	8	8	9	0	5	0	9	14	9	11	12	13	10	8	9	18	5
Not too well	6	5	15	5	0	9	0	0	0	7	8	3	2	3	2	3	6	5	11	2
Not at all well	5	4	0	3	8	0	0	5	0	2	6	6	8	9	11	8	3	5	7	3
DK/NA	1	0	0	8	0	10	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1
DK/NA	1	0	0	8	0	10	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1

Table 24: Q5O. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A vital part of the community

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	88	89	91	75	0	88	89	87	90	86	94	85	87	86
Very well	57	58	76	6	0	57	55	58	52	61	68	50	53	56
Somewhat well	31	30	15	70	0	31	34	29	38	25	26	36	33	30
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	11	9	20	0	11	11	11	9	13	4	15	10	14
Not too well	6	6	0	20	0	6	7	6	5	7	2	7	7	11
Not at all well	5	5	9	0	0	5	4	5	3	6	2	8	3	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	5	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	0	3	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	5	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	0	3	0

Table 25: Q5P. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Good for the environment

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	83	91	93	71	86	87	73	91	66	100	88	93	89	83	94	69	85	84	69	83
Very well	44	71	54	30	60	50	31	47	40	86	47	50	44	45	56	22	17	53	33	30
Somewhat well	39	20	39	40	26	37	41	44	26	14	40	43	45	39	38	47	69	32	36	53
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	7	4	22	7	8	24	4	30	0	6	4	7	11	5	21	11	7	25	12
Not too well	7	4	2	14	6	5	20	3	10	0	5	3	3	7	3	12	0	5	14	12
Not at all well	4	3	2	7	0	2	4	1	20	0	1	1	4	4	2	9	11	3	11	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	2	3	7	8	5	3	5	4	0	7	3	4	5	2	10	4	8	5	5
DK/NA	6	2	3	7	8	5	3	5	4	0	7	3	4	5	2	10	4	8	5	5

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	83	90	88	87	62	89	69	84	87	90	62	94	82	89	85	81	80	96	82	90
Very well	44	55	52	56	15	54	27	24	46	53	21	46	49	59	49	39	40	56	42	58
Somewhat well	39	34	35	30	47	35	42	60	41	37	41	48	34	30	36	42	40	40	40	32
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	6	6	7	29	6	23	12	7	5	30	2	12	11	11	11	14	4	13	2
Not too well	7	6	1	4	16	4	13	7	3	5	14	2	7	8	7	6	9	0	8	0
Not at all well	4	0	4	3	13	2	10	4	4	1	16	0	4	3	4	5	5	4	5	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	7	6	9	5	8	4	6	5	9	4	6	0	4	8	6	0	6	8
DK/NA	6	4	7	6	9	5	8	4	6	5	9	4	6	0	4	8	6	0	6	8

Table 25: Q5P. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Good for the environment

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
TOTAL WELL	83	86	87	85	86	78	77	83	84	86	79	86	83	87	84	83	81	91	83	81	87
Very well	44	53	48	49	66	41	38	38	51	36	36	48	40	32	39	4	46	55	44	40	50
Somewhat well	39	33	39	36	20	36	39	44	33	51	43	38	43	55	45	79	35	36	39	41	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	9	8	11	10	13	15	12	7	12	21	9	14	11	14	6	14	6	10	13	8
Not too well	7	5	4	5	4	10	9	7	7	10	0	4	6	7	14	0	8	3	9	7	6
Not at all well	4	4	3	6	6	2	6	5	1	2	21	5	7	4	0	6	6	4	1	6	2
DK/NA	6	5	5	4	3	10	8	5	9	2	0	5	3	2	2	11	5	3	7	6	5
DK/NA	6	5	5	4	3	10	8	5	9	2	0	5	3	2	2	11	5	3	7	6	5
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
TOTAL WELL	83	89	86	77	87	85	83	82	86	89	84	86	84	82	87	87	90	85	63	88	
Very well	44	62	52	34	56	38	35	44	72	60	37	55	40	49	48	6	55	32	23	25	
Somewhat well	39	27	34	44	32	47	47	38	14	29	47	31	44	34	39	81	35	53	40	63	
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	6	11	16	13	7	12	15	14	6	9	10	11	11	13	0	5	13	27	10	
Not too well	7	3	6	11	3	5	5	8	9	5	5	7	7	7	6	0	4	6	15	10	
Not at all well	4	3	4	6	10	2	7	6	6	1	5	3	4	4	7	0	1	7	12	0	
DK/NA	6	4	4	7	0	8	5	3	0	5	6	4	5	7	0	13	5	3	10	2	
DK/NA	6	4	4	7	0	8	5	3	0	5	6	4	5	7	0	13	5	3	10	2	

Table 25: Q5P. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Good for the environment

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
TOTAL WELL	83	86	79	86	82	67	97	82	84	81	67	87	87	78	74	89	83	82	75	71	83
Very well	44	47	41	50	36	31	55	56	48	32	26	44	53	32	33	57	36	50	36	39	44
Somewhat well	39	39	37	36	46	36	42	26	36	50	41	43	34	46	42	32	47	32	39	32	38
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	8	15	9	11	24	1	9	11	12	30	3	7	16	20	8	11	11	18	29	17
Not too well	7	5	8	6	5	17	0	7	7	3	22	3	5	9	15	5	8	4	8	29	0
Not at all well	4	3	7	3	6	7	1	2	4	9	8	0	2	7	5	3	2	6	10	0	17
DK/NA	6	6	6	5	6	9	2	9	4	7	3	10	6	6	5	3	6	7	8	0	0
DK/NA	6	6	6	5	6	9	2	9	4	7	3	10	6	6	5	3	6	7	8	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
TOTAL WELL	83	83	73	89	81	81	88	77	87	67	67	86	84	89	73	88	57	66	85	93	88
Very well	44	53	28	54	37	52	48	29	44	14	46	60	35	44	26	23	35	31	47	47	52
Somewhat well	39	30	45	36	44	29	40	47	43	52	20	26	50	45	47	65	22	35	38	47	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	11	20	4	13	11	8	17	5	33	15	7	12	6	18	12	29	22	11	6	5
Not too well	7	7	10	3	8	8	5	6	4	24	10	5	7	5	6	0	25	8	8	6	3
Not at all well	4	4	10	1	5	4	3	11	1	9	5	2	5	2	13	12	4	14	4	0	1
DK/NA	6	6	7	6	6	8	4	6	8	0	18	6	3	5	8	0	14	12	4	1	7
DK/NA	6	6	7	6	6	8	4	6	8	0	18	6	3	5	8	0	14	12	4	1	7

Table 25: Q5P. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Good for the environment

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
TOTAL WELL	83	87	93	86	70	91	85	87	83	89	85	55	84	79	87	79	76	16	80	87
Very well	44	53	32	40	48	60	50	54	49	49	26	21	44	49	50	47	29	0	39	39
Somewhat well	39	34	61	46	22	31	35	33	35	40	59	34	40	30	37	33	46	16	41	49
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	10	2	2	12	2	14	11	12	6	11	41	11	10	8	17	24	24	13	10
Not too well	7	10	2	0	12	1	4	9	9	0	10	15	6	9	5	10	19	24	6	6
Not at all well	4	0	0	2	0	1	10	2	3	6	1	26	5	1	3	8	5	0	7	4
DK/NA	6	3	5	13	18	7	2	2	5	5	4	4	5	11	5	4	0	61	7	3
DK/NA	6	3	5	13	18	7	2	2	5	5	4	4	5	11	5	4	0	61	7	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
TOTAL WELL	83	87	100	88	92	86	90	89	96	87	79	87	83	83	80	85	88	81	80	86
Very well	44	54	11	33	58	41	42	56	56	55	43	47	46	42	46	41	49	31	40	50
Somewhat well	39	33	89	55	34	45	48	33	41	33	36	40	37	41	34	44	39	50	40	36
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	10	0	4	8	0	0	2	4	10	15	5	7	9	11	8	7	12	15	8
Not too well	7	10	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	10	8	2	3	4	5	7	5	4	10	4
Not at all well	4	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	7	3	4	5	6	1	2	9	5	4
DK/NA	6	3	0	8	0	14	10	9	0	2	6	8	9	8	9	6	5	6	5	6
DK/NA	6	3	0	8	0	14	10	9	0	2	6	8	9	8	9	6	5	6	5	6

Table 25: Q5P. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Good for the environment

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	83	84	87	73	0	83	85	81	86	81	89	79	90	78
Very well	44	45	64	9	0	44	43	46	44	45	46	39	46	50
Somewhat well	39	39	23	63	0	39	43	35	42	36	43	39	45	28
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	10	13	23	0	11	10	12	9	13	3	18	7	12
Not too well	7	6	0	18	0	7	6	7	5	8	2	7	7	11
Not at all well	4	4	13	5	0	4	5	4	4	5	1	11	0	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	0	5	0	6	4	7	6	6	7	4	3	10
DK/NA	6	6	0	5	0	6	4	7	6	6	7	4	3	10

Table 26: Q5Q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Outdated

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	52	55	49	58	51	53	50	51	62	47	48	55	45	53	49	62	70	44	62	51
Very well	14	20	9	25	13	13	13	8	29	4	12	15	10	15	15	19	4	11	28	0
Somewhat well	37	36	41	33	38	40	37	43	33	44	36	40	35	38	34	43	66	34	34	51
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	38	44	35	39	40	32	42	33	49	47	29	44	40	46	26	30	46	28	37
Not too well	29	12	31	28	29	29	27	33	14	42	38	4	33	30	37	19	30	30	18	37
Not at all well	10	26	13	6	10	11	5	9	20	7	9	24	11	10	9	8	0	16	10	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	7	7	8	9	7	18	7	4	4	4	16	11	7	5	12	0	10	10	12
DK/NA	9	7	7	8	9	7	18	7	4	4	4	16	11	7	5	12	0	10	10	12

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	52	47	46	63	62	47	62	59	49	49	54	63	55	50	55	47	52	53	52	47
Very well	14	9	17	12	27	13	23	2	14	12	24	5	18	13	15	13	17	2	15	13
Somewhat well	37	38	29	51	35	34	39	57	36	38	30	58	38	37	40	34	36	51	38	34
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	47	44	32	25	46	27	34	42	43	34	34	37	46	37	43	39	43	39	42
Not too well	29	33	34	24	16	33	18	34	34	32	21	31	27	32	27	33	30	26	30	29
Not at all well	10	14	11	9	8	13	8	0	8	10	13	3	10	14	11	10	8	17	9	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	6	9	5	14	8	11	7	9	8	12	3	8	4	7	10	9	4	8	10
DK/NA	9	6	9	5	14	8	11	7	9	8	12	3	8	4	7	10	9	4	8	10

Table 26: Q5Q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Outdated

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	52	50	47	55	45	52	52	57	47	50	68	55	55	48	56	54	52	60	43	52	52
Very well	14	16	11	14	16	14	18	19	13	11	35	7	15	9	15	11	12	17	15	12	16
Somewhat well	37	34	36	41	29	37	34	38	34	39	33	47	40	39	40	43	40	43	28	41	36
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	44	45	36	43	43	36	33	44	43	27	37	38	48	32	35	40	33	48	40	40
Not too well	29	37	32	25	33	32	28	22	31	34	8	28	28	41	26	35	29	26	34	30	30
Not at all well	10	7	12	11	10	10	8	11	13	8	19	9	9	7	6	0	12	6	14	10	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	6	8	9	12	6	12	11	9	7	5	8	8	4	13	11	8	7	9	8	8
DK/NA	9	6	8	9	12	6	12	11	9	7	5	8	8	4	13	11	8	7	9	8	8
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	52	54	51	54	43	46	54	40	42	61	50	51	45	54	59	63	47	59	59	69	
Very well	14	11	15	19	5	14	12	8	7	16	13	12	9	18	15	6	12	7	26	6	
Somewhat well	37	42	36	35	38	32	41	32	35	46	37	39	37	36	44	57	35	52	33	63	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	36	42	41	30	46	40	45	52	33	43	39	45	37	38	24	45	37	28	23	
Not too well	29	26	30	29	27	34	31	31	43	25	33	29	29	30	33	11	33	30	18	23	
Not at all well	10	10	12	11	3	12	9	14	9	8	11	11	16	8	5	13	11	7	10	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	10	7	5	27	8	6	15	6	6	7	9	10	9	3	13	8	4	13	8	
DK/NA	9	10	7	5	27	8	6	15	6	6	7	9	10	9	3	13	8	4	13	8	

Table 26: Q5Q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Outdated

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
TOTAL WELL	52	56	47	53	49	50	53	51	62	62	29	40	55	48	33	54	54	46	50	56	53
Very well	14	16	13	15	14	7	7	16	23	14	16	3	15	13	11	15	19	9	19	9	8
Somewhat well	37	40	34	38	35	43	46	35	39	48	13	37	39	35	21	39	35	37	30	47	44
TOTAL NOT WELL	40	34	46	40	42	28	42	41	38	30	54	37	40	39	48	39	39	40	44	27	39
Not too well	29	22	37	28	35	19	35	33	29	19	37	22	32	25	32	26	28	33	34	19	34
Not at all well	10	11	9	12	8	9	7	8	9	11	17	15	8	14	16	13	12	7	10	9	5
DK/NA	9	10	7	7	9	22	5	8	0	8	17	23	5	13	19	7	7	14	6	17	8
DK/NA	9	10	7	7	9	22	5	8	0	8	17	23	5	13	19	7	7	14	6	17	8

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
TOTAL WELL	52	49	44	61	49	43	61	56	40	33	66	58	46	46	54	72	40	43	49	61	56
Very well	14	11	15	19	11	11	19	19	9	2	11	18	11	11	18	8	7	15	11	10	20
Somewhat well	37	38	29	42	38	32	42	38	31	31	55	40	35	35	36	64	33	28	37	51	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	40	50	42	31	38	52	31	38	47	44	13	38	42	47	36	28	28	44	48	34	32
Not too well	29	40	34	25	19	41	19	32	37	36	3	29	26	41	26	16	20	31	40	27	20
Not at all well	10	10	9	6	19	11	12	6	10	8	10	9	16	6	11	12	8	12	8	7	12
DK/NA	9	1	14	8	13	5	8	6	13	23	21	4	12	8	10	0	32	13	4	5	12
DK/NA	9	1	14	8	13	5	8	6	13	23	21	4	12	8	10	0	32	13	4	5	12

Table 26: Q5Q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Outdated

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
TOTAL WELL	52	56	35	59	42	47	48	65	49	47	57	71	53	42	53	60	52	0	49	49
Very well	14	5	10	12	17	16	4	30	12	5	20	28	15	11	16	14	5	0	14	11
Somewhat well	37	52	26	47	25	31	44	36	37	42	37	43	39	30	37	46	47	0	36	38
TOTAL NOT WELL	40	40	43	30	51	49	45	29	39	49	39	18	39	48	39	36	28	39	41	46
Not too well	29	26	35	18	35	33	33	23	32	42	33	6	29	31	27	33	17	16	34	29
Not at all well	10	14	8	11	16	16	12	6	7	7	6	12	9	17	12	3	11	24	7	16
DK/NA	9	4	21	12	7	4	7	6	12	4	4	12	8	10	7	4	20	61	9	5
DK/NA	9	4	21	12	7	4	7	6	12	4	4	12	8	10	7	4	20	61	9	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
TOTAL WELL	52	60	31	47	70	36	42	45	57	45	54	56	56	55	53	50	58	56	57	49
Very well	14	5	15	14	0	15	10	16	7	6	17	15	18	17	20	14	16	12	20	10
Somewhat well	37	55	16	34	70	21	33	29	50	38	37	41	38	38	33	36	42	44	37	39
TOTAL NOT WELL	40	35	31	35	16	49	51	51	43	51	38	37	36	36	38	45	33	36	34	44
Not too well	29	20	20	28	16	39	30	46	30	49	28	28	26	25	26	31	25	29	24	33
Not at all well	10	15	11	7	0	10	22	4	13	2	10	9	10	11	12	14	8	7	10	10
DK/NA	9	5	39	18	14	15	6	4	0	4	8	7	8	9	10	5	9	8	9	7
DK/NA	9	5	39	18	14	15	6	4	0	4	8	7	8	9	10	5	9	8	9	7

Table 26: Q5Q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Outdated

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	52	52	70	35	0	52	55	49	57	46	48	55	47	55
Very well	14	15	4	11	0	14	15	13	15	13	10	15	11	22
Somewhat well	37	37	66	24	0	37	39	36	42	33	38	40	36	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	39	30	60	0	40	38	41	34	45	44	38	41	35
Not too well	29	29	25	47	0	29	30	28	26	33	33	29	31	24
Not at all well	10	11	5	13	0	10	8	13	8	13	11	9	10	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	9	0	5	0	9	7	10	9	8	8	7	12	10
DK/NA	9	9	0	5	0	9	7	10	9	8	8	7	12	10

Table 27: Q5R. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Affordable

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
TOTAL WELL	71	89	81	57	71	73	70	71	61	98	73	78	76	70	76	69	79	72	59	61
Very well	31	58	38	21	37	30	28	22	32	50	35	42	29	31	39	21	5	33	29	24
Somewhat well	40	31	43	35	34	43	42	49	29	48	38	37	47	39	37	48	74	39	30	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	7	15	40	27	24	25	23	27	2	26	22	21	27	21	27	21	24	38	33
Not too well	15	2	10	24	13	14	19	10	18	2	19	14	8	18	15	10	16	15	23	17
Not at all well	10	5	6	16	13	9	6	12	9	0	7	7	13	9	6	17	5	9	15	16
DK/NA	3	4	3	3	2	3	5	6	12	0	1	0	4	3	2	4	0	4	3	6
DK/NA	3	4	3	3	2	3	5	6	12	0	1	0	4	3	2	4	0	4	3	6

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
TOTAL WELL	71	75	73	78	60	74	65	68	72	75	59	77	75	72	75	66	69	78	70	76
Very well	31	44	27	42	17	36	24	16	24	35	23	28	35	34	37	25	25	46	28	48
Somewhat well	40	31	46	36	43	38	41	52	48	40	36	49	40	38	39	41	43	32	42	29
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	23	21	22	35	23	31	28	23	24	32	16	23	26	23	28	27	22	26	23
Not too well	15	15	14	8	18	15	15	17	15	16	17	5	19	20	16	13	17	10	16	9
Not at all well	10	8	7	14	17	8	16	12	8	8	16	12	4	6	7	15	9	12	10	14
DK/NA	3	2	5	0	5	3	4	3	5	1	8	7	2	2	2	6	5	0	4	1
DK/NA	3	2	5	0	5	3	4	3	5	1	8	7	2	2	2	6	5	0	4	1

Table 27: Q5R. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Affordable

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
TOTAL WELL	71	71	72	71	88	60	67	71	72	70	81	73	71	68	72	74	74	74	69	74	72
Very well	31	39	35	34	56	28	27	33	33	32	30	32	31	23	43	9	30	36	31	27	34
Somewhat well	40	32	37	37	32	31	41	38	39	38	51	41	40	45	28	65	43	38	38	47	38
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	28	25	24	12	39	26	25	25	29	6	22	25	32	26	26	22	24	29	22	26
Not too well	15	13	14	12	3	16	18	16	18	20	0	7	13	24	14	0	7	16	23	6	19
Not at all well	10	16	11	13	9	23	9	10	8	9	6	15	12	8	13	26	15	8	6	16	7
DK/NA	3	1	3	5	0	2	6	4	3	1	13	5	4	0	2	0	4	2	2	4	2
DK/NA	3	1	3	5	0	2	6	4	3	1	13	5	4	0	2	0	4	2	2	4	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
TOTAL WELL	71	69	77	75	90	74	68	64	77	79	71	73	77	69	72	92	76	69	61	69	
Very well	31	33	40	28	14	29	25	34	49	35	27	36	40	30	28	13	37	22	23	17	
Somewhat well	40	36	37	47	76	46	43	30	28	44	45	37	37	39	45	79	39	46	38	52	
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	26	19	21	7	24	32	25	19	17	27	21	16	29	26	8	23	22	35	31	
Not too well	15	16	16	13	7	12	18	15	12	14	15	14	13	15	15	0	16	11	17	16	
Not at all well	10	10	3	8	0	12	13	11	7	3	13	7	3	14	11	8	8	12	17	15	
DK/NA	3	5	4	4	3	2	0	11	4	4	1	7	7	2	2	0	1	9	5	0	
DK/NA	3	5	4	4	3	2	0	11	4	4	1	7	7	2	2	0	1	9	5	0	

Table 27: Q5R. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Affordable

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	71	72	71	74	62	81	68	64	71	71	79	70	72	74	73	72	78	80	82	82
Very well	31	26	36	35	27	19	39	37	21	29	28	27	33	29	28	39	28	33	23	41	0
Somewhat well	40	45	36	36	47	43	41	30	42	41	43	52	37	44	46	34	44	45	57	41	82
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	26	25	26	24	28	18	28	33	25	25	18	27	24	22	24	25	16	19	2	13
Not too well	15	16	13	18	12	5	12	13	21	15	17	9	15	15	14	19	16	5	12	0	13
Not at all well	10	10	12	8	12	23	6	15	11	10	8	9	11	9	8	5	10	11	7	2	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	3	3	3	9	1	4	4	5	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	6	1	17	5
DK/NA	3	4	3	3	3	9	1	4	4	5	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	6	1	17	5

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	71	73	71	70	71	71	74	75	72	53	72	70	68	81	66	60	69	73	80	70
Very well	31	43	28	25	27	43	28	27	25	24	15	38	31	23	32	46	6	38	34	19	33
Somewhat well	40	28	46	46	43	28	43	47	50	48	38	34	39	45	48	20	55	32	38	61	38
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	27	23	26	25	27	24	24	23	8	47	26	25	27	19	29	28	27	25	18	28
Not too well	15	13	13	17	15	14	19	13	12	3	7	16	20	15	8	8	4	5	17	5	22
Not at all well	10	14	10	10	9	13	5	12	11	5	40	10	5	13	11	22	24	22	7	13	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	4	3	5	2	5	2	1	20	0	2	5	4	1	5	12	4	3	2	2
DK/NA	3	2	4	3	5	2	5	2	1	20	0	2	5	4	1	5	12	4	3	2	2

Table 27: Q5R. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Affordable

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
TOTAL WELL	71	61	83	64	52	79	81	73	73	77	80	54	71	71	70	86	65	16	74	64
Very well	31	23	48	31	29	40	51	27	31	10	27	25	31	36	36	31	27	0	27	23
Somewhat well	40	38	35	33	24	38	31	46	42	67	54	29	41	35	34	55	38	16	47	40
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	34	17	36	48	19	7	27	23	15	20	39	25	27	26	14	17	84	23	36
Not too well	15	27	16	16	21	13	2	19	15	9	14	16	14	22	17	10	3	0	10	29
Not at all well	10	7	2	20	27	6	5	8	9	6	6	23	11	4	9	5	14	84	13	7
DK/NA	3	5	0	0	0	2	12	0	4	9	0	7	4	2	4	0	19	0	3	0
DK/NA	3	5	0	0	0	2	12	0	4	9	0	7	4	2	4	0	19	0	3	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
TOTAL WELL	71	63	77	81	92	90	60	79	86	72	69	72	69	69	70	67	76	71	73	71
Very well	31	25	61	34	40	39	38	41	45	44	27	32	31	37	36	33	35	24	25	37
Somewhat well	40	38	16	46	52	51	22	38	41	28	43	41	38	33	34	34	41	47	47	35
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	32	23	19	8	10	40	17	14	21	26	25	29	28	27	28	22	28	24	24
Not too well	15	26	15	19	8	6	13	17	14	12	14	13	14	14	16	16	12	20	16	14
Not at all well	10	5	9	0	0	4	27	0	0	10	12	12	15	14	11	12	10	8	8	11
DK/NA	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	4	3	2	3	3	5	2	1	3	4
DK/NA	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	4	3	2	3	3	5	2	1	3	4

Table 27: Q5R. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Affordable

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	71	77	64	0	71	74	68	75	68	74	73	72	63
Very well	31	32	37	18	0	31	31	31	32	31	44	25	28	29
Somewhat well	40	39	41	47	0	40	43	37	43	37	31	48	44	34
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	25	23	36	0	25	23	28	24	27	24	20	26	36
Not too well	15	15	0	26	0	15	13	17	14	16	12	11	21	21
Not at all well	10	10	23	10	0	10	10	11	10	11	11	10	5	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	0	0	0	3	3	4	1	5	2	7	2	1
DK/NA	3	4	0	0	0	3	3	4	1	5	2	7	2	1

Table 28: Q5S. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Dirty

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	34	48	67	50	53	59	54	56	56	55	39	58	55	51	79	84	46	61	50
Very well	18	10	6	39	11	12	33	17	26	0	16	15	17	17	10	39	19	12	38	9
Somewhat well	37	25	42	27	39	41	26	37	30	56	39	24	41	38	41	40	64	33	23	41
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	41	63	49	32	47	44	41	43	44	41	42	51	40	42	48	18	16	49	35	50
Not too well	30	39	38	20	31	32	31	34	23	27	34	29	31	31	39	7	13	34	24	44
Not at all well	11	24	11	12	16	12	10	8	21	14	8	22	10	11	9	11	3	16	11	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3	1	3	3	0	3	0	3	3	10	2	3	1	3	0	5	4	0
DK/NA	3	3	3	1	3	3	0	3	0	3	3	10	2	3	1	3	0	5	4	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	43	53	64	74	48	71	63	56	51	64	71	54	42	55	56	56	45	55	59
Very well	18	9	13	22	45	11	38	13	13	12	38	14	24	7	20	17	21	11	20	12
Somewhat well	37	34	40	42	29	37	33	50	42	39	26	57	30	35	35	39	35	34	35	48
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	41	53	44	34	22	49	25	37	42	46	33	27	41	55	41	42	40	55	42	41
Not too well	30	35	38	18	13	36	14	32	37	34	22	22	29	31	27	34	31	30	31	26
Not at all well	11	18	6	15	9	12	11	5	6	12	11	5	11	24	14	8	8	25	11	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	3	2	4	3	4	0	2	3	3	2	5	3	4	2	4	0	3	0
DK/NA	3	4	3	2	4	3	4	0	2	3	3	2	5	3	4	2	4	0	3	0

Table 28: Q5S. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Dirty

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
TOTAL WELL	55	49	51	50	27	56	60	55	53	56	68	52	58	52	64	35	58	60	51	54	56
Very well	18	16	13	15	4	19	25	21	19	18	8	6	15	17	19	4	18	18	20	16	19
Somewhat well	37	32	37	34	23	37	35	34	33	38	60	45	43	35	45	31	39	42	32	38	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	41	46	47	47	69	43	34	42	45	41	27	47	39	46	29	59	40	38	43	43	40
Not too well	30	32	34	33	54	29	26	29	32	30	18	27	27	34	24	53	23	27	36	27	31
Not at all well	11	14	13	14	15	14	8	13	13	11	9	20	12	12	5	6	18	11	7	16	9
DK/NA	3	5	3	3	4	1	5	2	2	4	5	1	3	2	7	6	2	2	6	3	4
DK/NA	3	5	3	3	4	1	5	2	2	4	5	1	3	2	7	6	2	2	6	3	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
TOTAL WELL	55	49	54	65	53	57	61	47	68	56	59	53	48	58	59	74	49	61	68	63	
Very well	18	15	17	24	24	8	16	15	27	26	12	22	15	20	16	6	11	15	41	16	
Somewhat well	37	34	37	41	29	49	44	32	41	29	47	31	33	37	43	67	38	47	27	46	
TOTAL NOT WELL	41	46	43	32	38	43	37	50	21	40	40	43	50	37	41	26	47	38	28	37	
Not too well	30	37	30	19	31	31	23	33	19	32	27	31	38	27	31	13	34	33	16	31	
Not at all well	11	8	13	13	7	12	14	17	2	8	13	11	12	11	11	13	13	5	11	6	
DK/NA	3	6	3	2	9	0	2	3	11	4	1	4	2	5	0	0	4	1	4	0	
DK/NA	3	6	3	2	9	0	2	3	11	4	1	4	2	5	0	0	4	1	4	0	

Table 28: Q5S. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Dirty

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
TOTAL WELL	55	58	53	55	58	53	56	58	65	55	45	48	59	51	46	51	69	56	60	50	68
Very well	18	16	20	17	20	21	12	17	25	21	24	5	18	19	17	17	19	13	28	17	44
Somewhat well	37	42	34	38	38	32	44	40	39	34	22	43	41	32	29	34	50	44	32	32	24
TOTAL NOT WELL	41	39	43	42	39	43	43	39	34	40	52	46	39	45	50	46	29	38	38	50	16
Not too well	30	28	31	33	24	28	32	29	28	30	33	31	29	31	32	35	22	26	20	34	4
Not at all well	11	11	13	9	15	15	11	10	6	11	19	15	9	14	17	11	6	12	18	17	12
DK/NA	3	3	3	3	3	5	2	3	1	5	3	6	2	4	4	4	2	5	2	0	16
DK/NA	3	3	3	3	3	5	2	3	1	5	3	6	2	4	4	4	2	5	2	0	16

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
TOTAL WELL	55	57	48	62	51	54	55	51	68	54	51	58	50	64	50	41	58	45	57	63	56
Very well	18	15	25	20	11	17	16	26	14	11	30	16	18	21	20	21	21	21	18	12	19
Somewhat well	37	43	23	42	40	37	39	25	54	43	21	42	31	43	30	20	37	24	39	51	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	41	40	48	36	43	42	43	46	29	46	40	39	47	34	46	59	35	53	38	34	41
Not too well	30	28	34	28	28	33	33	28	16	27	28	32	35	21	29	52	16	29	31	22	30
Not at all well	11	11	14	8	15	9	10	17	13	19	12	7	12	13	17	8	19	23	7	11	11
DK/NA	3	3	4	1	5	4	2	3	4	0	9	2	4	2	4	0	7	2	4	3	3
DK/NA	3	3	4	1	5	4	2	3	4	0	9	2	4	2	4	0	7	2	4	3	3

Table 28: Q5S. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Dirty

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
TOTAL WELL	55	57	65	50	77	44	41	54	52	59	53	56	57	47	55	65	27	76	57	56
Very well	18	19	18	9	32	14	14	21	22	12	11	29	19	16	18	17	8	0	21	23
Somewhat well	37	38	47	42	45	31	27	32	31	47	42	27	38	31	37	47	19	76	37	34
TOTAL NOT WELL	41	43	27	48	23	53	59	43	39	37	44	42	40	50	42	33	64	24	39	44
Not too well	30	25	17	31	19	43	53	35	27	22	41	20	29	41	34	24	46	0	25	26
Not at all well	11	18	10	17	4	11	6	8	13	14	3	22	12	9	8	9	18	24	14	18
DK/NA	3	0	7	2	0	2	0	4	8	5	3	1	3	3	3	2	9	0	3	0
DK/NA	3	0	7	2	0	2	0	4	8	5	3	1	3	3	3	2	9	0	3	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
TOTAL WELL	55	57	43	74	61	41	51	49	46	44	56	57	55	50	53	53	54	59	55	56
Very well	18	22	9	23	0	6	18	15	4	17	20	19	22	26	28	30	19	18	25	14
Somewhat well	37	35	35	51	61	35	33	34	43	27	37	38	33	24	25	23	35	41	30	42
TOTAL NOT WELL	41	43	31	26	39	54	49	43	47	56	41	41	41	45	42	45	44	40	42	42
Not too well	30	22	16	16	39	54	24	40	31	35	32	31	30	31	29	33	34	26	30	31
Not at all well	11	21	15	10	0	0	25	3	16	21	9	10	11	14	12	12	10	15	12	10
DK/NA	3	0	26	0	0	4	0	7	7	0	3	3	4	5	5	2	3	1	3	2
DK/NA	3	0	26	0	0	4	0	7	7	0	3	3	4	5	5	2	3	1	3	2

Table 28: Q5S. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Dirty

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	57	61	26	0	55	62	49	55	56	53	52	57	63
Very well	18	20	8	1	0	18	19	17	19	18	14	16	19	27
Somewhat well	37	37	53	25	0	37	42	32	36	38	39	36	38	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	41	40	39	74	0	41	37	46	43	40	43	46	40	33
Not too well	30	30	15	58	0	30	28	33	32	29	30	33	26	28
Not at all well	11	10	24	16	0	11	9	13	11	11	13	12	14	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	0	0	0	3	2	5	2	4	4	2	3	4
DK/NA	3	4	0	0	0	3	2	5	2	4	4	2	3	4

Table 29: Q5T. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Quick way to travel

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
TOTAL WELL	51	92	67	26	52	54	46	69	41	49	45	64	58	50	63	37	55	51	34	52
Very well	13	52	18	5	15	14	12	20	17	10	7	33	13	14	22	7	0	14	5	0
Somewhat well	37	40	48	21	38	40	34	50	24	39	38	31	45	37	40	30	55	37	29	52
TOTAL NOT WELL	48	8	33	73	46	45	49	31	59	51	55	36	39	49	37	55	45	49	66	48
Not too well	28	8	24	33	31	28	26	17	25	47	34	27	23	30	26	19	22	34	24	32
Not at all well	20	0	9	40	15	16	24	13	34	4	20	9	16	18	11	36	23	14	42	16
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	8	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	8	0	1	0	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
TOTAL WELL	51	57	56	35	36	57	36	53	55	57	32	57	52	36	50	51	45	61	47	69
Very well	13	19	17	11	5	18	6	0	13	17	5	6	13	22	13	13	10	20	11	25
Somewhat well	37	39	39	24	32	39	29	53	42	40	27	52	39	15	37	38	35	41	36	43
TOTAL NOT WELL	48	42	44	65	57	43	60	47	45	43	63	43	47	64	49	46	54	39	52	27
Not too well	28	29	32	21	21	31	21	28	31	30	21	25	26	41	28	27	30	27	30	18
Not at all well	20	13	13	45	36	13	39	19	14	12	42	18	22	23	21	19	24	12	22	9
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	1	4
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	1	4

Table 29: Q5T. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Quick way to travel

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	48	60	61	67	50	41	49	50	49	56	71	56	43	57	77	65	46	42	67	44
Very well	13	12	14	20	36	18	12	14	12	14	20	23	17	4	27	19	13	19	9	14	14
Somewhat well	37	36	46	41	31	32	29	35	37	35	36	48	39	39	30	58	52	28	33	53	30
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	52	39	39	33	50	58	50	50	51	44	25	42	57	43	23	33	54	57	32	55
Not too well	28	33	26	25	20	33	31	25	28	37	20	13	26	43	27	19	21	30	33	21	32
Not at all well	20	19	13	14	13	17	27	25	22	14	24	12	16	14	16	4	12	23	24	11	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	0
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	47	52	46	69	70	61	43	38	42	65	42	50	51	55	92	58	50	31	47	
Very well	13	11	19	10	17	21	10	19	0	8	16	12	12	15	16	0	19	3	6	0	
Somewhat well	37	36	33	36	52	48	51	25	38	34	50	31	37	36	39	92	39	48	25	47	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	52	48	52	31	30	39	52	62	58	35	56	48	49	45	8	42	50	64	53	
Not too well	28	33	25	29	27	27	26	35	32	27	27	31	32	26	32	0	31	24	22	27	
Not at all well	20	19	23	23	5	3	13	17	31	31	8	26	16	23	13	8	11	26	42	26	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	
DK/NA	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	

Table 29: Q5T. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Quick way to travel

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	54	48	50	53	50	55	46	55	55	41	54	51	50	46	48	48	56	47	56	50
Very well	13	15	13	14	13	11	15	10	14	15	15	9	13	14	13	15	10	20	12	15	5
Somewhat well	37	40	35	36	41	40	40	36	41	39	26	45	38	36	33	34	38	36	35	42	44
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	45	50	50	47	33	45	53	45	45	55	37	48	47	49	51	52	44	53	27	50
Not too well	28	24	31	28	29	22	31	31	27	20	35	24	30	25	31	28	27	30	31	21	42
Not at all well	20	20	19	22	18	10	14	22	18	26	21	13	19	22	18	23	25	14	21	6	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	2	0	0	17	0	1	0	0	4	9	0	3	6	1	0	0	0	17	0
DK/NA	1	1	2	0	0	17	0	1	0	0	4	9	0	3	6	1	0	0	0	17	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	50	45	52	57	45	55	55	50	38	61	51	47	49	60	73	39	60	41	71	48
Very well	13	12	15	15	14	14	15	11	17	19	3	14	14	11	15	12	10	17	12	13	17
Somewhat well	37	38	30	37	43	31	40	44	33	19	58	38	33	38	45	61	29	43	29	58	31
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	49	52	48	40	54	45	45	50	46	21	48	53	51	40	27	35	36	58	29	52
Not too well	28	32	30	28	19	34	23	26	34	40	5	29	26	32	25	20	23	21	37	22	26
Not at all well	20	16	22	20	21	21	22	20	17	5	15	19	27	20	16	8	12	15	21	7	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	3	0	3	1	0	0	0	16	18	1	0	0	0	0	26	4	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	3	0	3	1	0	0	0	16	18	1	0	0	0	0	26	4	1	0	0

Table 29: Q5T. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Quick way to travel

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
TOTAL WELL	51	42	53	70	48	58	46	54	33	58	58	46	51	48	49	49	55	0	51	66
Very well	13	10	12	18	13	13	16	25	10	14	9	10	14	9	13	16	13	0	12	18
Somewhat well	37	32	41	52	36	45	30	29	23	44	48	36	37	39	36	33	42	0	40	49
TOTAL NOT WELL	48	58	47	30	45	40	54	46	67	42	42	47	47	52	51	51	29	39	49	34
Not too well	28	36	25	8	27	24	38	29	44	29	24	11	27	30	28	29	24	16	31	20
Not at all well	20	22	22	22	18	15	16	17	22	13	18	36	20	22	23	22	5	24	18	14
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	15	61	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	15	61	0	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
TOTAL WELL	51	44	47	50	51	65	70	55	55	34	50	57	56	49	47	54	57	58	46	55
Very well	13	11	11	13	14	11	21	8	9	10	14	14	14	10	11	15	11	11	11	16
Somewhat well	37	33	35	37	37	54	49	48	46	24	36	43	42	39	36	43	42	47	35	40
TOTAL NOT WELL	48	56	53	50	49	35	30	41	45	66	48	43	44	51	53	46	42	37	53	44
Not too well	28	34	39	24	7	14	17	27	41	48	27	25	25	27	31	29	29	24	25	28
Not at all well	20	22	15	26	42	21	13	14	4	18	21	18	19	24	23	17	13	13	28	16
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	0

Table 29: Q5T. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Quick way to travel

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	48	88	64	0	51	54	47	57	44	58	51	41	50
Very well	13	13	32	4	0	13	16	10	14	13	14	13	9	16
Somewhat well	37	35	56	60	0	37	38	37	44	32	44	38	32	34
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	48	51	12	36	0	48	43	52	41	54	41	48	59	46
Not too well	28	29	12	31	0	28	24	32	28	28	25	27	34	27
Not at all well	20	22	0	5	0	20	20	20	13	27	16	21	25	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	3
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	3

Table 30: Q5U. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easy to use

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	79	100	92	57	84	84	71	87	72	98	79	88	84	78	89	62	77	83	63	69
Very well	31	76	43	13	29	33	27	43	23	43	26	49	33	31	41	23	12	30	23	30
Somewhat well	47	24	48	44	55	51	44	44	48	54	52	40	52	47	48	39	65	53	39	39
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	20	0	8	41	16	16	22	13	28	2	21	12	16	21	11	33	23	15	37	31
Not too well	11	0	5	22	10	10	14	12	6	2	14	8	10	13	7	15	7	9	16	31
Not at all well	9	0	3	19	6	6	8	1	22	0	7	3	6	8	4	19	15	6	21	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	79	86	86	78	56	86	62	72	83	87	55	77	76	80	79	79	76	86	77	87
Very well	31	36	35	29	21	36	23	23	33	38	14	24	19	40	29	33	25	54	29	42
Somewhat well	47	51	50	48	35	51	39	49	50	49	41	53	57	40	49	45	51	32	48	45
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	20	13	13	22	40	13	35	28	17	12	42	23	22	20	20	20	23	14	21	13
Not too well	11	9	8	8	18	8	15	21	11	9	17	14	14	15	12	11	12	7	12	9
Not at all well	9	4	5	14	22	5	20	6	6	3	25	9	8	5	8	9	10	7	10	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	0	4	1	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	4	1	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	0

Table 30: Q5U. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easy to use

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	79	83	85	84	83	79	70	74	78	87	76	83	83	83	93	94	77	83	80	80	81
Very well	31	34	36	42	46	28	24	34	27	26	66	40	36	18	35	40	41	34	18	41	26
Somewhat well	47	48	49	42	38	51	46	40	51	61	10	43	47	65	58	54	36	49	62	38	55
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	20	17	15	16	17	21	27	25	22	12	24	12	15	17	4	6	20	17	19	18	18
Not too well	11	10	10	9	6	9	15	14	14	8	0	6	7	11	4	6	9	9	13	9	11
Not at all well	9	7	5	7	11	12	13	12	8	4	24	6	8	6	0	0	11	7	6	9	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	5	2	0	3	0	3	0	1	2	1
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	5	2	0	3	0	3	0	1	2	1

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	79	78	79	74	93	89	84	78	76	76	87	77	81	76	84	92	89	69	58	74
Very well	31	34	32	31	22	46	27	28	33	27	37	28	32	31	34	13	38	26	16	41
Somewhat well	47	44	46	43	71	43	57	50	44	50	50	49	49	45	51	79	51	43	42	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	20	21	21	23	7	11	16	18	24	22	13	21	17	22	16	8	10	31	39	26
Not too well	11	11	11	15	5	8	6	8	12	16	7	12	11	13	6	0	8	18	17	23
Not at all well	9	9	10	8	2	3	10	10	12	6	7	8	6	10	10	8	3	13	22	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	3	2	1	0	0	1	0	3	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	3	2	1	0	0	1	0	3	0

Table 30: Q5U. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easy to use

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
TOTAL WELL	79	79	79	77	81	78	96	78	81	78	58	78	84	72	65	76	82	86	71	68	88
Very well	31	34	27	35	25	28	33	28	30	41	22	26	30	32	24	36	35	25	26	27	21
Somewhat well	47	44	52	43	57	50	62	50	51	37	36	52	54	39	42	41	48	61	45	41	67
TOTAL NOT WELL	20	21	18	22	19	14	4	21	18	22	38	22	15	27	32	23	16	14	29	15	12
Not too well	11	13	10	13	9	10	4	12	9	9	23	20	9	15	22	13	12	5	16	15	8
Not at all well	9	9	9	9	9	5	1	9	8	14	15	2	6	12	10	10	4	9	13	0	4
DK/NA	1	0	2	1	0	8	0	2	2	0	4	0	1	1	3	1	2	0	0	17	0
DK/NA	1	0	2	1	0	8	0	2	2	0	4	0	1	1	3	1	2	0	0	17	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
TOTAL WELL	79	86	72	82	74	80	76	80	84	70	85	84	67	84	78	76	79	68	85	88	77
Very well	31	27	28	32	38	32	38	23	23	14	40	34	36	21	30	50	17	33	24	47	29
Somewhat well	47	59	44	50	36	48	38	57	61	56	45	50	31	63	47	26	62	36	61	41	49
TOTAL NOT WELL	20	12	25	18	26	18	24	20	16	14	15	14	33	16	22	24	10	26	14	12	22
Not too well	11	5	14	12	14	11	13	7	12	11	8	7	20	9	10	24	3	12	8	6	15
Not at all well	9	7	11	6	12	7	11	13	4	2	7	7	13	7	13	0	7	14	6	6	7
DK/NA	1	2	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	16	0	2	0	0	0	0	12	6	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	2	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	16	0	2	0	0	0	0	12	6	1	0	0

Table 30: Q5U. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easy to use

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
TOTAL WELL	79	89	71	83	91	79	81	79	75	87	80	49	79	73	77	80	68	76	80	90
Very well	31	28	23	33	25	44	34	42	20	31	41	23	32	28	34	39	37	0	23	32
Somewhat well	47	61	48	50	67	35	47	37	55	56	39	25	48	45	42	41	31	76	56	57
TOTAL NOT WELL	20	11	29	14	9	19	19	21	25	13	20	41	19	27	22	20	16	24	20	10
Not too well	11	8	15	12	6	16	9	13	19	8	10	5	11	14	13	8	14	0	11	6
Not at all well	9	3	14	2	3	3	10	7	6	5	9	37	8	13	9	12	2	24	10	5
DK/NA	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	1	0	15	0	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	1	0	15	0	0	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
TOTAL WELL	79	89	85	60	100	75	77	80	92	73	79	88	85	80	74	77	87	76	77	81
Very well	31	30	26	25	40	32	47	38	19	31	30	38	29	32	36	33	38	28	29	33
Somewhat well	47	59	59	35	60	43	30	42	73	43	49	50	56	49	38	43	48	48	47	48
TOTAL NOT WELL	20	11	15	40	0	20	23	16	8	27	20	12	14	19	24	21	13	23	21	19
Not too well	11	7	0	28	0	13	19	13	8	25	8	5	6	7	9	8	10	13	9	11
Not at all well	9	3	15	12	0	6	4	3	0	2	11	7	8	11	15	13	3	10	11	8
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	4	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	4	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	0

Table 30: Q5U. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Easy to use

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	79	78	93	75	0	79	80	77	83	74	81	75	76	84
Very well	31	32	37	13	0	31	33	29	35	27	36	29	25	34
Somewhat well	47	46	56	62	0	47	46	48	48	47	46	46	51	50
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	20	21	7	25	0	20	19	22	16	24	17	23	24	16
Not too well	11	12	0	21	0	11	9	13	11	12	14	7	16	11
Not at all well	9	9	7	4	0	9	9	8	5	13	4	15	7	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	0

Table 31: Q5V. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Equitable

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	82	74	47	65	65	63	64	66	88	59	55	65	62	72	57	55	62	52	54
Very well	22	46	28	15	28	21	20	19	30	54	22	16	19	22	27	18	0	24	17	27
Somewhat well	40	37	46	32	37	44	43	45	35	34	37	39	45	40	45	39	55	38	35	27
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	21	11	15	31	21	21	20	25	19	5	22	31	19	22	18	21	25	22	25	26
Not too well	15	11	13	19	18	17	14	21	3	5	19	16	15	17	15	11	16	16	12	26
Not at all well	6	0	2	12	4	4	7	4	16	0	3	16	4	6	4	10	8	5	13	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	7	11	21	14	14	16	11	16	7	19	13	17	15	10	22	20	17	23	20
DK/NA	16	7	11	21	14	14	16	11	16	7	19	13	17	15	10	22	20	17	23	20

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	70	62	55	55	67	55	54	61	68	48	61	66	65	67	57	58	79	61	68
Very well	22	26	25	30	12	25	17	16	23	26	13	19	24	34	27	17	16	44	20	34
Somewhat well	40	44	38	24	43	41	37	39	38	41	35	42	42	31	40	40	41	35	41	35
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	21	18	22	31	19	20	23	26	23	20	27	15	18	21	20	23	24	9	22	18
Not too well	15	16	15	23	7	16	12	22	16	17	11	10	13	16	15	15	17	7	15	15
Not at all well	6	2	7	8	13	4	11	3	7	3	15	6	5	5	5	7	7	2	7	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	12	15	14	26	13	23	20	16	12	25	24	16	14	13	20	18	12	17	13
DK/NA	16	12	15	14	26	13	23	20	16	12	25	24	16	14	13	20	18	12	17	13

Table 31: Q5V. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Equitable

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	66	66	71	80	60	56	63	62	62	69	70	66	61	63	79	66	70	55	68	63
Very well	22	29	27	31	45	19	17	25	23	22	9	29	23	20	22	15	30	29	11	28	21
Somewhat well	40	36	39	40	36	41	39	38	39	40	60	41	43	41	40	64	36	41	45	40	43
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	21	13	19	22	16	24	24	25	19	26	31	16	23	31	18	7	20	16	26	18	20
Not too well	15	10	14	15	11	18	16	15	14	20	15	11	17	25	12	7	16	10	20	14	15
Not at all well	6	3	5	7	6	6	8	11	5	6	16	5	7	6	5	0	5	6	6	4	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	22	15	7	3	16	20	12	19	12	0	14	11	8	19	14	13	14	19	14	16
DK/NA	16	22	15	7	3	16	20	12	19	12	0	14	11	8	19	14	13	14	19	14	16
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	63	66	60	76	63	60	66	70	67	62	67	59	64	70	92	68	58	50	64	
Very well	22	28	20	27	24	11	23	32	38	21	17	27	16	24	32	18	27	16	15	18	
Somewhat well	40	34	46	33	52	52	37	34	32	47	45	40	43	40	38	74	41	42	35	46	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	21	24	22	21	13	17	33	20	11	16	24	17	21	22	23	8	19	27	24	32	
Not too well	15	20	15	13	8	12	27	15	3	9	19	11	13	16	19	0	16	17	11	28	
Not at all well	6	4	8	7	5	5	6	6	9	6	5	6	7	6	4	8	3	10	13	3	
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	13	12	19	11	20	7	13	19	17	14	16	21	15	7	0	13	16	26	4	
DK/NA	16	13	12	19	11	20	7	13	19	17	14	16	21	15	7	0	13	16	26	4	

Table 31: Q5V. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Equitable

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	58	68	63	62	58	73	62	61	63	58	50	65	59	55	65	61	61	64	59	72
Very well	22	20	25	24	23	7	35	25	13	20	18	20	25	20	19	25	24	17	37	13	4
Somewhat well	40	38	43	39	40	50	38	37	48	42	39	30	40	39	36	40	36	44	27	46	69
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	21	25	16	23	19	15	18	20	19	24	24	24	19	24	24	23	23	23	18	14	18
Not too well	15	19	11	15	16	9	16	14	13	15	16	17	15	16	17	16	12	21	14	14	8
Not at all well	6	7	5	8	3	7	2	5	6	9	8	7	5	8	7	7	11	2	4	0	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	16	16	14	18	27	8	19	20	13	18	26	16	17	21	11	17	16	18	27	10
DK/NA	16	16	16	14	18	27	8	19	20	13	18	26	16	17	21	11	17	16	18	27	10

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	73	63	59	57	68	61	74	49	45	69	67	56	58	68	79	48	71	69	68	57
Very well	22	28	22	23	16	26	23	27	17	7	8	28	18	19	28	14	4	33	22	24	19
Somewhat well	40	45	41	36	41	41	38	47	32	38	61	39	38	40	40	65	43	38	47	44	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	21	14	19	24	28	18	26	10	28	24	7	16	33	26	10	16	15	8	19	26	22
Not too well	15	9	13	20	17	12	18	7	26	18	0	11	21	21	10	16	5	4	14	22	17
Not at all well	6	5	5	4	11	6	9	3	2	6	7	5	12	5	0	0	10	4	6	4	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	13	19	17	15	14	13	16	23	30	24	16	11	16	22	5	38	21	12	6	21
DK/NA	16	13	19	17	15	14	13	16	23	30	24	16	11	16	22	5	38	21	12	6	21

Table 31: Q5V. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Equitable

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
TOTAL WELL	62	55	58	59	62	50	84	60	66	54	73	66	63	60	61	74	57	0	62	70
Very well	22	18	19	29	21	23	45	16	22	20	16	20	22	23	22	34	11	0	24	17
Somewhat well	40	36	39	30	41	28	39	44	43	35	57	45	40	37	39	40	46	0	38	53
TOTAL NOT WELL	21	25	20	16	12	31	16	23	21	31	18	18	21	21	23	15	12	39	20	19
Not too well	15	20	12	12	12	23	2	13	17	30	16	8	15	13	15	9	12	16	18	11
Not at all well	6	6	8	5	0	8	14	10	4	2	1	10	6	8	8	6	0	24	3	7
DK/NA	16	20	22	25	25	19	0	17	14	14	9	16	16	19	15	11	31	61	18	12
DK/NA	16	20	22	25	25	19	0	17	14	14	9	16	16	19	15	11	31	61	18	12

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
TOTAL WELL	62	58	69	54	27	53	72	45	80	77	64	63	59	57	54	60	69	70	63	65
Very well	22	19	0	19	9	47	21	27	29	27	23	22	17	22	20	19	26	18	20	26
Somewhat well	40	38	69	35	18	6	51	17	51	49	41	41	42	35	34	41	43	52	43	39
TOTAL NOT WELL	21	24	15	22	7	36	17	34	6	11	21	19	20	22	23	19	20	18	20	20
Not too well	15	21	15	11	7	30	11	26	4	8	15	12	12	12	12	7	16	15	14	14
Not at all well	6	4	0	11	0	6	6	8	3	3	6	7	7	9	11	12	4	3	6	6
DK/NA	16	18	16	24	67	10	12	21	13	12	15	19	21	21	23	21	11	12	17	15
DK/NA	16	18	16	24	67	10	12	21	13	12	15	19	21	21	23	21	11	12	17	15

Table 31: Q5V. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Equitable

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	61	96	51	0	62	66	59	69	56	61	68	56	60
Very well	22	22	40	9	0	22	22	23	23	22	25	27	15	19
Somewhat well	40	39	56	42	0	40	44	36	46	34	36	41	41	41
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	21	21	0	41	0	21	20	23	20	23	20	22	26	18
Not too well	15	15	0	41	0	15	14	17	16	14	15	14	19	14
Not at all well	6	7	0	0	0	6	6	6	4	8	5	8	7	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	16	18	4	8	0	16	14	18	11	22	19	10	18	22
DK/NA	16	18	4	8	0	16	14	18	11	22	19	10	18	22

Table 32: Q5W. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Safe from crime

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	37	63	49	20	47	42	37	34	35	66	41	36	30	41	50	12	43	47	14	19
Very well	6	28	8	2	6	6	3	3	0	12	5	7	4	6	11	2	5	4	3	0
Somewhat well	32	34	41	18	42	36	34	31	35	54	36	29	26	35	39	10	38	43	11	19
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	56	30	45	75	45	51	61	56	60	31	54	58	60	54	42	83	57	47	83	65
Not too well	31	17	31	32	31	33	20	19	29	22	39	33	31	33	31	26	44	32	21	49
Not at all well	25	13	14	44	14	18	41	37	31	9	15	26	30	21	11	57	13	15	62	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	6	5	8	7	2	10	5	3	5	6	10	4	8	5	0	6	3	17
DK/NA	7	7	6	5	8	7	2	10	5	3	5	6	10	4	8	5	0	6	3	17

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	37	48	48	20	10	48	13	28	44	46	12	42	40	37	36	38	35	45	37	40
Very well	6	7	7	5	2	7	3	2	6	7	2	2	5	12	5	6	5	8	5	8
Somewhat well	32	41	41	15	8	41	10	26	38	39	10	40	35	26	31	32	31	37	32	32
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	56	47	42	80	84	45	83	62	46	48	83	46	55	59	60	51	58	49	56	54
Not too well	31	34	28	37	18	31	24	47	33	31	31	30	26	39	29	33	28	44	30	35
Not at all well	25	13	13	43	66	13	59	14	14	17	52	16	29	19	31	18	30	5	26	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	10	0	6	7	4	10	10	6	5	12	5	4	3	10	7	5	7	6
DK/NA	7	5	10	0	6	7	4	10	10	6	5	12	5	4	3	10	7	5	7	6

Table 32: Q5W. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Safe from crime

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	37	47	41	32	56	28	32	30	45	31	27	44	34	23	43	30	37	45	36	36	41
Very well	6	9	6	6	13	5	5	6	3	6	20	10	9	2	9	4	4	12	2	4	7
Somewhat well	32	37	35	26	43	24	27	25	42	25	7	34	26	20	34	26	33	33	34	32	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	56	48	53	64	37	64	61	65	48	62	73	50	60	71	50	57	58	49	57	58	53
Not too well	31	28	33	41	22	32	29	32	25	40	34	34	36	42	39	35	28	28	33	29	30
Not at all well	25	19	20	23	15	33	31	33	23	22	39	16	24	29	11	23	30	21	24	29	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	6	4	8	7	7	5	7	6	0	6	5	7	6	13	5	6	7	6	6
DK/NA	7	6	6	4	8	7	7	5	7	6	0	6	5	7	6	13	5	6	7	6	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	37	48	45	24	26	47	37	35	37	39	42	37	40	39	32	67	49	27	12	13	
Very well	6	7	8	3	5	10	4	4	6	6	8	5	6	7	5	0	8	2	2	3	
Somewhat well	32	41	37	22	21	37	33	31	31	34	35	32	35	32	28	67	42	24	9	10	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	56	42	50	72	69	47	61	60	50	54	54	56	51	56	65	15	44	66	83	84	
Not too well	31	26	31	29	32	28	37	37	26	24	33	29	27	32	38	0	30	39	25	34	
Not at all well	25	15	19	42	37	19	24	23	24	30	21	27	24	24	27	15	13	27	59	50	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	10	5	4	5	6	2	5	13	7	4	7	9	5	3	18	7	7	5	3	
DK/NA	7	10	5	4	5	6	2	5	13	7	4	7	9	5	3	18	7	7	5	3	

Table 32: Q5W. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Safe from crime

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	37	37	38	43	33	9	49	46	40	26	22	39	45	27	28	46	33	48	21	19	0
Very well	6	7	5	7	3	0	9	5	11	3	2	1	8	2	2	9	2	3	5	0	0
Somewhat well	32	30	33	35	30	9	40	40	29	23	20	38	37	24	26	37	31	45	16	19	0
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	56	55	58	52	58	80	50	44	56	70	71	44	49	66	61	49	62	37	74	78	100
Not too well	31	28	35	31	29	37	30	29	38	30	29	31	32	30	29	32	24	19	34	36	34
Not at all well	25	27	23	22	29	43	20	15	17	40	43	13	17	36	32	17	38	18	40	42	66
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	8	3	5	9	11	1	10	4	5	7	17	6	7	10	4	5	15	5	3	0
DK/NA	7	8	3	5	9	11	1	10	4	5	7	17	6	7	10	4	5	15	5	3	0
	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	37	48	27	45	25	46	41	30	36	18	0	52	30	34	31	26	0	41	38	31	44
Very well	6	6	4	10	1	7	9	4	2	0	0	11	2	3	3	0	0	6	5	2	10
Somewhat well	32	42	23	35	24	39	32	26	34	18	0	41	27	31	28	26	0	34	33	29	34
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	56	49	69	49	64	50	55	67	48	82	79	43	66	58	58	74	84	56	58	62	49
Not too well	31	36	34	29	27	35	28	35	24	41	34	29	33	34	23	50	31	28	39	30	23
Not at all well	25	13	34	20	37	15	26	33	24	41	45	14	33	24	35	24	52	28	19	32	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	2	5	6	11	4	4	2	16	0	21	5	4	8	11	0	16	3	4	7	7
DK/NA	7	2	5	6	11	4	4	2	16	0	21	5	4	8	11	0	16	3	4	7	7

Table 32: Q5W. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Safe from crime

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120	40
TOTAL WELL	37	26	48	39	29	32	53	41	38	29	49	26	38	36	43	49	17	0	34	16
Very well	6	5	3	4	0	5	8	17	7	0	7	4	6	5	8	9	0	0	3	3
Somewhat well	32	20	45	34	29	27	44	24	31	29	42	22	32	30	36	40	17	0	32	12
TOTAL NOT WELL	56	65	49	48	59	66	47	54	51	63	50	67	56	58	52	49	80	39	56	82
Not too well	31	43	15	27	25	53	38	34	27	37	18	20	30	39	29	34	49	16	25	46
Not at all well	25	22	34	21	34	13	9	20	24	26	32	46	26	20	22	15	30	24	30	35
DK/NA	7	9	3	14	12	2	0	5	12	8	2	7	7	6	5	2	3	61	10	3
DK/NA	7	9	3	14	12	2	0	5	12	8	2	7	7	6	5	2	3	61	10	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167	245
TOTAL WELL	37	26	59	42	36	55	28	50	44	40	36	41	41	33	35	33	43	24	31	44
Very well	6	6	16	0	0	13	0	14	16	8	5	8	8	5	5	5	9	1	4	7
Somewhat well	32	20	43	42	36	43	28	37	28	31	31	33	33	28	31	29	33	23	28	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	56	63	41	56	23	30	72	43	52	50	58	54	54	60	58	60	53	63	63	50
Not too well	31	39	26	15	8	18	49	36	41	30	30	29	29	31	27	29	28	33	25	33
Not at all well	25	24	15	41	15	12	22	7	11	21	28	24	25	28	31	32	25	30	37	16
DK/NA	7	11	0	2	41	15	0	7	4	10	6	6	6	7	6	6	4	13	6	6
DK/NA	7	11	0	2	41	15	0	7	4	10	6	6	6	7	6	6	4	13	6	6

Table 32: Q5W. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : Safe from crime

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	37	38	35	24	0	37	37	37	37	37	40	37	37	35
Very well	6	6	8	0	0	6	8	4	7	4	6	4	6	8
Somewhat well	32	32	27	24	0	32	30	34	30	33	33	33	31	28
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	56	56	51	64	0	56	56	56	56	56	53	59	58	54
Not too well	31	30	41	41	0	31	30	31	30	32	35	31	29	26
Not at all well	25	26	10	23	0	25	26	25	26	25	18	28	29	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	14	13	0	7	7	7	7	6	7	4	6	11
DK/NA	7	6	14	13	0	7	7	7	7	6	7	4	6	11

Table 33: Q5X. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A good way to get where I am going

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	100	88	43	79	77	53	86	61	94	71	82	76	72	86	48	70	75	45	69
Very well	21	61	31	6	23	23	12	27	30	20	19	43	21	22	32	7	5	22	16	14
Somewhat well	50	39	57	37	56	54	41	59	31	74	53	39	55	50	54	41	65	53	29	54
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	28	0	11	55	21	23	40	10	39	6	28	18	24	26	13	46	30	23	55	31
Not too well	15	0	9	24	15	15	24	5	14	6	19	8	15	16	12	12	11	18	18	19
Not at all well	13	0	2	30	6	8	16	5	25	0	9	10	9	10	1	34	20	5	37	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	2	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	2	0	0
DK/NA	2	0	1	2	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	2	0	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	81	80	53	44	80	47	69	77	80	46	67	67	79	69	73	64	84	67	90
Very well	21	29	24	14	9	27	11	11	21	27	8	15	22	24	23	19	13	41	17	41
Somewhat well	50	52	56	39	35	54	36	58	56	53	38	52	44	55	46	54	51	43	50	49
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	28	18	19	47	51	18	50	31	22	19	50	33	30	21	29	26	33	16	31	10
Not too well	15	15	16	12	16	15	15	16	16	14	15	26	17	10	15	15	19	5	17	7
Not at all well	13	3	3	34	35	3	35	15	6	5	35	7	13	11	14	11	15	11	14	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	0	5	1	4	0	1	1	4	0	3	0	2	1	2	0	2	0
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	5	1	4	0	1	1	4	0	3	0	2	1	2	0	2	0

Table 33: Q5X. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A good way to get where I am going

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	73	83	85	87	72	60	71	69	70	86	79	74	67	74	70	78	69	66	76	68
Very well	21	23	27	28	53	24	13	24	20	22	11	36	25	17	28	25	23	24	17	23	21
Somewhat well	50	51	56	57	34	48	47	47	49	48	75	42	50	50	46	45	55	45	50	53	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	28	27	17	15	13	28	37	29	30	28	14	17	23	32	23	25	20	31	32	20	31
Not too well	15	15	11	4	3	15	18	12	16	19	3	5	12	21	14	14	11	17	17	12	17
Not at all well	13	12	6	10	10	13	19	17	13	10	10	12	12	11	8	11	8	14	15	9	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	2	0	5	3	1	3	6	3	0	2	3	1
DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	2	0	5	3	1	3	6	3	0	2	3	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	73	73	64	77	89	74	73	62	61	82	66	74	66	78	92	82	67	42	66	
Very well	21	23	25	17	23	32	24	15	16	17	28	16	23	20	20	29	28	11	9	12	
Somewhat well	50	50	48	47	55	57	50	58	46	44	54	50	51	46	58	63	53	56	34	54	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	28	26	26	33	20	11	26	22	38	37	18	31	24	32	22	8	17	33	53	34	
Not too well	15	18	13	18	14	8	13	12	26	21	11	18	17	15	15	0	14	16	16	8	
Not at all well	13	8	13	15	5	2	13	9	12	16	7	13	6	17	7	8	3	17	37	26	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	3	3	0	0	5	0	2	0	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	4	0	
DK/NA	2	1	1	3	3	0	0	5	0	2	0	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	4	0	

Table 33: Q5X. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A good way to get where I am going

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	73	69	73	69	61	81	69	69	78	50	71	73	68	57	73	68	74	65	51	65
Very well	21	21	22	22	21	18	29	20	15	20	18	26	21	21	21	22	20	31	17	8	16
Somewhat well	50	52	47	51	48	44	52	49	54	58	32	44	51	47	36	51	48	43	48	42	50
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	28	27	27	27	30	29	19	30	27	20	46	28	26	30	40	26	30	24	34	33	29
Not too well	15	15	14	16	14	16	12	16	14	10	27	15	14	16	23	15	18	10	17	22	20
Not at all well	13	12	14	11	16	13	7	14	14	11	19	13	12	14	17	11	12	13	17	10	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	3	1	2	9	0	1	3	1	4	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	1	17	5
DK/NA	2	0	3	1	2	9	0	1	3	1	4	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	1	17	5

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	75	63	72	75	71	76	73	62	35	85	74	70	69	69	75	55	74	67	78	69
Very well	21	22	23	21	20	25	20	21	22	9	26	20	24	24	17	28	13	27	19	22	22
Somewhat well	50	53	40	50	55	47	56	51	40	26	59	54	46	45	51	47	42	47	48	56	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	28	22	33	28	25	27	24	25	37	45	15	25	30	30	28	20	34	19	32	22	31
Not too well	15	11	17	15	15	16	13	7	24	29	4	13	19	15	12	20	15	4	18	17	15
Not at all well	13	11	16	13	9	10	11	18	13	16	10	11	11	15	16	0	19	14	14	5	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	4	0	1	2	0	3	1	20	0	1	0	1	3	5	12	8	2	0	0
DK/NA	2	3	4	0	1	2	0	3	1	20	0	1	0	1	3	5	12	8	2	0	0

Table 33: Q5X. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A good way to get where I am going

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
TOTAL WELL	71	69	66	78	72	78	90	71	63	74	70	46	70	74	72	74	51	76	68	77
Very well	21	20	16	40	17	31	31	16	19	14	15	17	20	27	21	21	28	0	22	19
Somewhat well	50	49	50	38	56	47	59	55	44	60	55	29	50	46	51	52	23	76	46	58
TOTAL NOT WELL	28	31	34	21	28	19	10	27	37	22	30	43	28	26	27	26	31	24	30	23
Not too well	15	26	21	15	4	9	10	18	30	9	16	3	15	15	16	11	21	0	13	17
Not at all well	13	4	13	6	24	11	0	9	7	13	14	41	13	11	11	15	9	24	17	6
DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	5	0	10	2	0	1	0	19	0	2	0
DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	5	0	10	2	0	1	0	19	0	2	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
TOTAL WELL	71	70	85	56	55	75	91	78	66	69	69	75	71	64	65	71	77	72	62	77
Very well	21	22	26	14	24	38	46	20	25	29	17	20	21	22	22	26	26	18	15	25
Somewhat well	50	48	59	43	31	36	45	57	42	40	52	55	50	42	43	44	52	54	48	52
TOTAL NOT WELL	28	30	15	44	45	21	9	18	34	31	28	24	27	34	33	27	22	28	34	22
Not too well	15	27	15	23	37	9	5	11	30	23	13	11	13	17	17	11	12	16	17	12
Not at all well	13	4	0	21	8	12	4	7	4	8	16	13	14	18	16	15	10	12	17	10
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	3	1
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	3	1

Table 33: Q5X. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe SFMTA and Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes SFMTA and Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well. : A good way to get where I am going

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	69	93	73	0	71	73	68	76	66	80	68	63	70
Very well	21	20	49	9	0	21	22	20	23	19	29	17	20	18
Somewhat well	50	49	44	64	0	50	51	48	52	47	51	50	44	52
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	28	29	7	27	0	28	25	31	24	32	19	29	37	30
Not too well	15	16	0	19	0	15	12	18	13	17	13	12	26	14
Not at all well	13	13	7	8	0	13	13	13	10	15	6	17	10	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	3	1	3	0	1
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	3	1	3	0	1

Table 35: Q7(1ST CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 1st choice

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
Reducing traffic congestion	8	6	6	10	6	7	100	0	0	0	0	0	11	7	5	10	7	8	12	7
Improving traffic flow/public transit	12	13	14	8	15	14	0	100	0	0	0	0	18	11	13	14	5	14	6	15
Improving safety/walking	7	14	6	7	5	7	0	0	100	0	0	0	6	7	5	8	12	8	6	7
Improving safety/bicycling	5	2	5	2	7	5	0	0	0	100	0	0	1	5	7	2	0	4	3	10
Improving/Muni buses & trains	46	34	47	49	53	49	0	0	0	0	100	0	34	54	51	34	61	50	36	36
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	10	13	12	7	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	100	15	9	10	7	7	10	14	4
Other	8	8	5	12	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	6	4	21	6	2	18	18
All	2	0	1	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	4	2	1	1	0
None	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	2	9	3	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	3	4	4

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
Reducing traffic congestion	8	6	8	8	12	7	11	7	8	7	10	9	8	7	8	8	10	3	9	2
Improving traffic flow/public transit	12	12	15	7	11	13	10	9	14	14	7	11	11	9	11	13	12	13	12	11
Improving safety/walking	7	7	6	10	6	7	7	10	7	6	10	8	9	4	7	7	7	4	7	8
Improving safety/bicycling	5	6	4	7	1	5	3	4	4	5	2	8	3	10	5	4	5	2	5	5
Improving/Muni buses & trains	46	51	49	50	28	50	34	51	49	51	34	51	42	54	43	49	43	57	46	49
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	10	11	10	5	12	10	10	6	9	11	9	7	13	12	13	6	9	8	8	17
Other	8	3	3	12	23	3	20	11	5	4	21	4	10	3	9	7	8	9	8	6
All	2	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	1	1	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	0
None	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	2	3	3	0	2	3	2	1	2	2	5	2	1	0	1	4	3	1	3	1

Table 35: Q7(1ST CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 1st choice

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
Reducing traffic congestion	8	7	5	6	6	15	12	8	6	11	1	8	9	14	6	11	9	5	7	9	6
Improving traffic flow/public transit	12	10	12	12	19	15	11	13	11	12	30	16	15	11	13	22	12	12	11	14	12
Improving safety/walking	7	3	6	9	11	6	6	9	8	7	8	11	8	2	16	16	4	8	5	6	7
Improving safety/bicycling	5	15	4	3	3	3	3	3	6	4	2	3	4	4	5	0	4	6	5	3	6
Improving/Muni buses & trains	46	45	51	47	30	39	42	42	51	44	36	38	41	40	48	31	45	48	51	43	49
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	10	7	11	13	17	9	10	11	9	14	5	10	11	18	6	0	13	10	9	11	9
Other	8	6	6	6	10	9	9	8	8	4	3	4	5	3	5	6	7	7	9	6	8
All	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	0	1	6	4	3	2	0	0	4	1	1	3	1
None	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	2	5	2	1	0	1	4	3	0	3	9	5	4	4	1	11	3	3	0	4	2

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
Reducing traffic congestion	8	3	10	8	21	7	7	10	0	8	7	8	5	9	10	0	7	8	11	12
Improving traffic flow/public transit	12	13	8	18	11	15	11	12	4	15	13	13	13	12	15	0	13	15	7	26
Improving safety/walking	7	7	9	9	1	5	2	16	4	7	4	10	8	7	3	0	6	11	8	7
Improving safety/bicycling	5	5	5	6	0	2	3	4	14	7	2	7	4	4	7	0	6	5	2	2
Improving/Muni buses & trains	46	59	50	33	50	41	51	44	66	47	46	48	50	44	50	65	51	42	35	39
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	10	9	10	11	8	14	13	9	5	7	14	7	11	11	3	15	11	6	10	6
Other	8	3	4	13	6	6	6	3	7	8	6	6	8	7	9	0	3	6	22	7
All	2	1	1	2	2	1	5	0	0	1	3	0	2	2	1	0	1	1	3	0
None	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	2	0	3	0	1	7	2	1	0	0	5	1	0	4	1	20	2	5	2	0

Table 35: Q7(1ST CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 1st choice

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Reducing traffic congestion	8	8	7	8	6	22	10	6	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	5	2	10	32	17
Improving traffic flow/public transit	12	13	11	13	11	7	15	10	9	13	16	9	11	13	13	10	23	13	11	14	4
Improving safety/walking	7	8	7	7	9	2	0	10	5	10	8	8	6	9	8	6	10	15	8	2	2
Improving safety/bicycling	5	4	5	5	5	0	2	9	8	4	0	1	6	2	0	5	8	8	4	0	0
Improving/Muni buses & trains	46	43	49	49	43	35	59	51	42	41	38	39	51	40	38	55	27	50	42	33	60
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	10	11	8	11	8	11	8	6	12	11	12	13	8	12	13	10	14	7	8	5	7
Other	8	6	9	5	11	21	0	6	6	10	15	19	5	13	16	4	9	2	17	14	5
All	2	2	1	1	3	1	1	2	3	2	1	2	2	2	1	0	4	2	0	0	4
None	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	2	4	1	2	4	1	5	0	6	1	2	1	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Reducing traffic congestion	8	7	8	9	7	6	8	6	6	21	27	8	7	4	8	34	15	10	5	7	8
Improving traffic flow/public transit	12	11	12	11	16	13	14	11	12	4	13	10	17	12	10	19	1	13	10	14	14
Improving safety/walking	7	6	7	6	12	8	6	6	16	0	4	5	9	8	11	0	2	6	7	6	8
Improving safety/bicycling	5	8	1	4	3	7	4	4	3	0	0	7	2	6	2	0	0	4	6	2	4
Improving/Muni buses & trains	46	53	45	49	34	49	47	49	36	50	22	55	39	46	39	17	44	45	52	41	46
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	10	7	9	10	12	9	12	6	8	4	8	10	13	6	11	9	11	9	8	13	10
Other	8	6	14	3	11	6	5	13	7	16	25	2	11	9	14	20	22	7	10	7	6
All	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	3	3	2	0	1	1	2	3	0	2	3	1	3	1
None	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	2	1	2	5	1	1	2	1	9	3	0	2	1	5	2	0	2	2	1	6	3

Table 35: Q7(1ST CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 1st choice

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
Reducing traffic congestion	8	10	11	7	12	0	12	4	3	11	11	8	8	5	8	5	16	0	5	14
Improving traffic flow/public transit	12	7	10	16	5	15	11	8	13	13	26	9	13	7	12	14	9	0	12	10
Improving safety/walking	7	5	8	8	5	8	13	6	9	8	2	5	7	9	7	5	1	0	9	5
Improving safety/bicycling	5	7	6	6	5	2	5	4	4	8	3	0	5	5	5	8	0	0	4	6
Improving/Muni buses & trains	46	49	51	32	48	52	42	46	51	39	45	48	45	51	49	51	37	25	45	32
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	10	13	5	11	9	15	11	12	12	10	1	9	10	7	11	7	12	0	7	16
Other	8	7	7	10	13	5	6	11	9	3	8	14	7	14	4	7	22	70	10	13
All	2	0	1	6	0	2	1	1	0	0	5	4	2	0	1	1	1	5	3	0
None	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	1	3	2	1	0	8	0	9	0	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	4	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
Reducing traffic congestion	8	10	11	13	20	6	1	1	2	5	9	8	10	10	10	7	9	4	9	6
Improving traffic flow/public transit	12	5	3	10	13	23	12	14	30	4	12	13	10	9	8	11	15	13	13	12
Improving safety/walking	7	4	5	13	20	0	10	10	8	12	6	5	6	6	5	4	7	6	8	7
Improving safety/bicycling	5	8	0	9	0	9	2	0	9	3	4	6	4	4	5	5	5	3	5	4
Improving/Muni buses & trains	46	49	64	45	23	36	43	48	47	55	46	46	45	44	44	43	42	43	43	49
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	10	13	14	3	10	12	20	13	2	10	9	10	12	14	15	12	9	15	10	9
Other	8	7	3	5	12	2	5	9	3	10	10	6	8	9	11	16	6	12	10	5
All	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	2	0	0	2	3	4	3	2	2	4	1	2	2
None	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
DK/NA	2	3	0	1	2	6	0	2	0	0	3	2	3	1	0	0	3	1	1	4

Table 35: Q7(1ST CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 1st choice

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Reducing traffic congestion	8	8	8	5	4	11	7	9	8	8	4	10	9	7
Improving traffic flow/public transit	12	11	26	11	12	12	12	12	15	9	16	14	7	8
Improving safety/walking	7	7	8	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	10	7	6	4
Improving safety/bicycling	5	4	4	7	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	7	5
Improving/Muni buses & trains	46	48	32	37	46	46	47	45	42	50	43	44	52	49
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	10	10	9	15	13	7	9	11	11	9	12	8	9	11
Other	8	9	3	5	9	7	10	7	8	9	7	8	8	11
All	2	1	10	5	2	2	2	1	3	0	2	2	0	1
None	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
DK/NA	2	2	0	7	2	3	2	3	3	2	1	3	2	4

Table 36: Q7(2ND CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 2nd choice

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
Reducing traffic congestion	11	12	10	11	8	9	0	16	10	12	14	10	10	11	7	17	8	10	16	12
Improving traffic flow/public transit	17	18	18	14	20	18	29	0	9	14	24	18	10	20	21	12	26	16	13	14
Improving safety/walking	10	16	13	6	12	11	10	11	0	25	11	17	13	10	14	5	14	12	2	2
Improving safety/bicycling	8	11	9	5	8	9	2	19	16	0	8	2	8	8	8	2	9	2	8	
Improving/Muni buses & trains	15	10	15	15	18	17	28	36	36	39	0	42	20	14	16	7	12	17	18	15
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	23	15	21	25	26	24	19	16	27	6	37	0	18	25	23	16	28	26	19	18
Other	12	9	8	18	6	7	7	0	1	4	5	10	14	10	8	30	9	4	23	27
All	2	0	1	4	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	4	1	1	5	2	2	2	0
None	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	3	9	4	1	1	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	3	0	0	4	6	4

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
Reducing traffic congestion	11	7	10	26	13	8	17	10	10	8	15	12	8	5	9	13	11	8	11	9
Improving traffic flow/public transit	17	15	21	16	11	18	12	21	21	18	12	25	15	16	15	19	17	19	18	12
Improving safety/walking	10	12	14	8	2	13	4	9	13	13	3	8	10	16	11	9	9	9	9	17
Improving safety/bicycling	8	10	7	5	6	9	6	5	6	11	2	2	7	11	9	6	8	9	8	6
Improving/Muni buses & trains	15	18	16	8	13	17	12	13	15	16	11	22	18	21	17	13	15	15	15	18
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	23	27	21	18	17	24	17	24	22	24	20	19	24	20	22	23	22	24	22	24
Other	12	5	6	15	31	6	27	16	8	6	27	9	13	8	14	10	12	13	12	11
All	2	2	1	2	4	1	3	1	1	1	3	1	3	1	3	1	2	1	2	0
None	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
DK/NA	3	3	4	2	3	3	2	1	3	2	5	2	2	1	2	5	4	1	3	1

Table 36: Q7(2ND CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 2nd choice

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
Reducing traffic congestion	11	8	9	9	8	10	13	9	8	14	11	13	13	12	16	15	14	9	10	14	10
Improving traffic flow/public transit	17	16	15	17	12	16	18	16	17	19	3	21	16	18	19	16	15	15	21	15	18
Improving safety/walking	10	11	11	12	6	10	9	9	11	12	15	3	10	13	11	11	12	8	12	12	9
Improving safety/bicycling	8	17	9	9	10	10	6	9	6	7	12	12	9	3	12	0	6	12	6	5	10
Improving/Muni buses & trains	15	13	15	13	22	22	15	18	18	18	15	15	15	22	12	14	13	16	16	13	16
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	23	18	26	26	23	14	21	21	26	17	26	21	20	17	17	18	23	25	19	22	22
Other	12	10	10	10	15	14	13	13	12	7	3	5	8	4	11	6	10	10	14	9	11
All	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	0	1	6	4	3	2	0	0	4	1	1	3	1
None	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	4	0	9	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	3	5	3	1	0	1	4	3	1	4	9	5	5	5	2	11	4	3	1	5	2

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE					TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
Reducing traffic congestion	11	9	12	11	13	10	14	7	11	10	12	9	11	9	17	0	8	13	16	14
Improving traffic flow/public transit	17	21	17	11	7	16	16	20	8	18	16	18	16	18	14	44	18	18	12	12
Improving safety/walking	10	11	11	13	8	13	7	8	14	14	10	12	9	11	8	7	14	8	3	12
Improving safety/bicycling	8	9	9	7	2	6	5	10	11	11	6	11	12	6	7	0	9	9	2	26
Improving/Muni buses & trains	15	13	17	20	5	13	17	18	16	14	15	15	14	15	20	9	17	13	12	4
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	23	27	22	18	48	20	23	30	24	23	22	26	24	23	19	19	25	21	18	20
Other	12	8	7	16	9	11	8	4	16	9	10	8	12	10	10	0	6	11	29	12
All	2	1	2	2	2	1	5	0	0	1	3	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	4	0
None	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0
DK/NA	3	0	3	1	5	7	4	1	0	1	5	1	1	4	1	20	2	5	3	0

Table 36: Q7(2ND CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 2nd choice

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Reducing traffic congestion	11	10	12	11	9	12	11	12	14	10	6	9	12	8	7	11	11	11	10	15	17
Improving traffic flow/public transit	17	17	16	19	12	14	17	17	20	14	15	17	18	15	16	21	12	10	9	13	9
Improving safety/walking	10	10	11	10	9	10	8	14	9	10	6	11	11	9	8	10	12	15	11	16	10
Improving safety/bicycling	8	8	7	9	7	2	10	9	7	9	3	3	9	6	3	9	7	9	8	2	4
Improving/Muni buses & trains	15	15	15	15	17	6	20	19	15	10	11	13	18	11	11	14	23	21	17	11	0
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	23	22	23	23	22	20	27	17	15	26	34	17	19	27	27	25	18	22	26	20	38
Other	12	11	12	9	15	30	2	9	9	18	20	22	7	19	21	8	11	7	19	23	10
All	2	2	2	1	3	1	1	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	4	3	0	0	4
None	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	3	4	2	2	4	4	5	1	8	1	2	3	4	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	8

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Reducing traffic congestion	11	13	11	12	6	11	11	12	7	17	6	13	7	9	10	14	10	15	11	13	9
Improving traffic flow/public transit	17	16	16	19	15	18	19	13	11	15	16	21	17	11	13	20	11	18	15	14	20
Improving safety/walking	10	11	10	11	8	10	11	12	5	9	15	10	12	13	5	17	7	14	9	9	10
Improving safety/bicycling	8	10	3	7	9	10	7	4	12	2	3	9	8	9	3	4	1	2	11	8	9
Improving/Muni buses & trains	15	19	10	18	11	18	14	11	22	8	2	19	10	18	15	12	4	9	16	16	15
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	23	20	26	20	27	22	24	23	18	22	23	20	28	20	25	13	24	27	22	20	24
Other	12	7	18	6	19	7	9	18	12	22	29	4	15	11	22	20	35	7	13	10	9
All	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	3	3	2	0	1	2	3	3	0	2	3	1	3	1
None	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	1	0
DK/NA	3	2	2	6	2	2	3	1	9	3	6	3	1	5	2	0	6	3	1	7	3

Table 36: Q7(2ND CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 2nd choice

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
Reducing traffic congestion	11	11	16	13	12	9	8	5	7	8	13	15	11	5	11	14	14	13	10	6
Improving traffic flow/public transit	17	14	18	19	14	13	18	18	18	17	13	24	16	18	20	20	15	12	12	10
Improving safety/walking	10	14	10	13	6	16	11	5	11	14	9	0	10	13	11	8	8	0	10	12
Improving safety/bicycling	8	6	8	2	2	11	10	5	5	14	15	4	8	2	10	5	2	0	7	3
Improving/Muni buses & trains	15	16	14	19	21	14	14	15	15	15	12	12	15	15	14	17	8	0	16	21
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	23	14	23	12	25	22	31	24	32	17	22	21	22	23	23	23	20	0	22	22
Other	12	20	10	10	16	12	7	17	11	6	8	15	12	17	8	9	27	70	15	20
All	2	1	1	6	0	2	1	3	0	0	5	4	2	0	2	1	1	5	3	0
None	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	4
DK/NA	3	4	1	4	2	1	0	8	0	9	0	5	3	5	3	1	5	0	4	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
Reducing traffic congestion	11	10	7	19	3	17	10	9	8	4	10	11	12	11	10	4	15	9	10	11
Improving traffic flow/public transit	17	13	22	18	11	29	14	12	17	20	17	17	17	17	18	16	18	20	19	16
Improving safety/walking	10	15	5	13	19	10	19	9	6	14	8	9	9	10	11	14	8	11	10	10
Improving safety/bicycling	8	6	16	3	9	0	1	15	22	9	7	9	8	8	7	10	8	10	10	7
Improving/Muni buses & trains	15	15	6	20	40	8	20	13	16	13	14	16	18	19	20	15	14	15	13	16
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	23	16	37	13	5	22	16	24	22	28	25	20	18	18	18	18	19	15	21	25
Other	12	20	6	10	12	2	11	13	9	12	12	10	10	12	14	19	10	15	13	9
All	2	1	0	1	0	0	7	2	0	1	2	3	4	3	2	2	4	2	2	2
None	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	1
DK/NA	3	5	0	1	2	6	1	2	0	0	4	3	4	2	1	1	3	1	1	4

Table 36: Q7(2ND CHOICE). I'm going to read you some different transportation goals for San Francisco. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion, OR improving traffic flow by improving public transit, OR improving safety for people walking, OR improving safety for people bicycling, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. : 2nd choice

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Reducing traffic congestion	11	10	15	19	11	10	10	11	13	8	11	10	12	9
Improving traffic flow/public transit	17	16	18	20	16	17	18	15	19	15	17	18	16	14
Improving safety/walking	10	10	4	16	9	11	11	9	9	12	14	9	11	7
Improving safety/bicycling	8	7	22	1	5	10	7	8	9	6	8	10	6	3
Improving/Muni buses & trains	15	16	15	8	15	15	13	17	14	16	17	13	15	17
Repairing/maintaining infrastructure	23	24	13	10	24	21	21	24	18	27	19	23	20	28
Other	12	13	3	5	15	10	14	10	11	13	10	10	16	15
All	2	1	10	5	2	2	2	1	4	0	3	2	0	1
None	1	0	0	9	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
DK/NA	3	3	0	7	3	3	2	4	3	3	2	3	3	4

Table 37: Q8. I am going to read you a pair of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important: Having a Muni stop within 2 or 3 blocks to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are more than 2 or 3 blocks from where I live.

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
Stop within 2 or 3 blocks/less frequent	24	32	26	18	21	25	32	35	21	6	17	36	100	0	23	28	27	24	20	13
Most frequent/reliable buses & trains	69	61	69	72	75	70	59	62	72	77	80	61	0	100	71	60	67	70	68	78
Both	6	4	4	8	4	5	4	2	7	13	2	2	0	0	5	8	4	5	10	9
Neither	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	3	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
Stop within 2 or 3 blocks/less frequent	24	24	23	18	28	24	25	21	22	24	22	30	25	16	24	23	22	19	22	33
Most frequent/reliable buses & trains	69	71	70	70	61	71	64	72	70	71	64	64	69	79	69	68	69	73	70	64
Both	6	4	6	8	10	5	9	6	6	4	12	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	2
Neither	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
DK/NA	1	1	1	5	1	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION				Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY								Q20 EDUCATION								
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
Stop within 2 or 3 blocks/less frequent	24	17	24	23	29	28	20	26	20	25	40	23	27	26	26	28	29	18	24	29	20
Most frequent/reliable buses & trains	69	73	70	73	62	64	70	64	73	69	60	69	67	66	73	61	62	74	72	61	73
Both	6	9	5	3	7	7	8	9	7	2	0	7	4	3	1	2	9	6	4	8	5
Neither	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	1	0	3	0	2	2	5	0	3	1	2	0	1	1

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME								Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K -\$100K	\$100K -\$175K	\$175K -\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
Stop within 2 or 3 blocks/less frequent	24	23	19	26	24	33	28	18	12	19	31	18	27	21	24	28	23	28	22	30
Most frequent/reliable buses & trains	69	73	75	65	68	58	66	76	79	76	62	76	66	70	73	63	72	60	66	58
Both	6	3	5	7	7	7	5	5	10	4	6	5	6	7	3	0	4	9	10	3
Neither	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	9	1	2	1	7

Table 37: Q8. I am going to read you a pair of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important: Having a Muni stop within 2 or 3 blocks to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are more than 2 or 3 blocks from where I live.

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Stop within 2 or 3 blocks/less frequent	24	26	20	22	27	27	26	18	18	22	34	35	20	28	34	20	23	25	30	32	9
Most frequent/reliable buses & trains	69	64	75	73	62	64	66	75	76	69	60	58	72	64	59	75	68	69	62	60	79
Both	6	8	5	4	9	9	6	7	4	7	5	7	6	6	6	3	7	6	7	8	12
Neither	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Stop within 2 or 3 blocks/less frequent	24	20	20	21	35	16	26	25	29	25	24	17	29	26	29	30	25	23	18	33	23
Most frequent/reliable buses & trains	69	76	73	70	56	81	67	69	54	61	72	79	64	61	64	64	64	72	76	54	71
Both	6	4	6	8	8	3	5	5	16	14	5	3	6	12	5	6	11	4	5	11	5
Neither	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	2

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
Stop within 2 or 3 blocks/less frequent	24	22	17	29	25	29	31	15	21	28	29	17	24	21	23	18	31	52	28	16
Most frequent/reliable buses & trains	69	70	78	66	68	66	64	79	73	55	64	72	69	68	72	75	59	25	61	78
Both	6	7	4	3	2	4	4	6	5	17	7	9	6	10	4	7	10	23	10	2
Neither	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	2	2	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3

Table 37: Q8. I am going to read you a pair of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important: Having a Muni stop within 2 or 3 blocks to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are more than 2 or 3 blocks from where I live.

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/NOE V	CHNT/SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISSION	MARN/PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
Stop within 2 or 3 blocks/less frequent	24	22	13	20	25	10	32	25	33	19	24	23	22	23	22	16	26	31	22	23
Most frequent/reliable buses & trains	69	70	85	71	69	81	67	71	62	75	67	70	71	69	71	77	66	64	70	70
Both	6	7	3	6	6	3	1	3	5	6	7	5	5	6	6	6	5	3	7	5
Neither	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	2	0	6	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	2	1	1

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Stop within 2 or 3 blocks/less frequent	24	23	29	34	24	23	25	22	28	20	25	26	20	21
Most frequent/reliable buses & trains	69	70	65	55	67	70	67	70	65	73	70	64	73	72
Both	6	7	4	2	7	5	6	6	4	8	4	9	6	4
Neither	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	2	6	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	3

Table 38: Q9A. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Continuing to expand safe, protected lanes for bicycling and active transportation to give San Franciscans more options to get around

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	75	64	40	68	65	28	68	54	94	55	46	50	56	69	32	55	65	20	51
Extremely important	30	41	36	22	38	34	17	50	31	75	27	7	19	33	47	21	17	30	11	16
Very important	25	34	29	18	30	30	11	18	23	20	28	38	31	23	22	11	38	34	9	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	19	34	59	31	34	72	31	46	6	43	53	49	43	30	68	43	34	80	49
Somewhat important	20	10	20	19	18	17	27	15	20	0	21	28	17	21	17	26	28	19	17	20
Not too important	25	10	14	40	13	17	44	16	26	6	22	26	32	22	13	42	15	15	63	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	5	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	0	0
DK/NA	1	5	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	0	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	72	61	46	19	67	26	54	59	67	29	51	50	64	52	57	50	66	53	63
Extremely important	30	43	31	22	15	38	16	17	28	36	20	21	28	37	29	31	26	44	30	36
Very important	25	28	30	24	5	29	10	37	31	31	10	30	22	28	23	26	24	23	24	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	27	38	54	80	32	73	45	39	32	71	49	49	36	47	41	49	33	46	35
Somewhat important	20	12	26	31	19	18	22	26	26	19	20	26	22	11	21	18	21	17	20	20
Not too important	25	16	12	23	62	14	51	18	14	13	51	23	27	25	26	23	28	17	26	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	2

Table 38: Q9A. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Continuing to expand safe, protected lanes for bicycling and active transportation to give San Franciscans more options to get around

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	78	60	59	52	44	49	59	62	47	77	68	57	38	59	46	59	59	53	57	56
Extremely important	30	63	35	38	40	24	24	31	31	23	34	44	31	21	27	46	33	29	29	34	29
Very important	25	15	25	21	12	20	25	27	31	23	43	25	25	17	32	0	26	30	23	23	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	22	39	40	48	56	50	41	37	52	23	32	43	62	37	46	41	39	47	42	43
Somewhat important	20	18	17	22	27	16	21	14	18	20	17	16	19	26	11	7	19	19	21	18	20
Not too important	25	4	22	18	21	40	29	27	19	32	5	16	23	36	26	39	22	21	26	24	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	4	8	0	2	0	1	1
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	4	8	0	2	0	1	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	69	54	46	26	63	55	57	59	61	59	59	51	57	57	82	67	52	25	53	
Extremely important	30	40	27	23	8	34	26	32	31	37	30	35	26	32	28	82	37	26	16	22	
Very important	25	29	28	23	17	28	29	25	28	24	29	25	24	24	30	0	31	26	9	31	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	31	43	54	70	36	45	41	41	39	40	40	48	43	39	18	31	47	74	44	
Somewhat important	20	17	20	18	29	17	19	25	15	17	18	19	27	17	17	18	18	23	22	33	
Not too important	25	15	23	36	41	20	26	16	26	22	23	21	21	26	22	0	13	24	53	11	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	3	0	4	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	4	0	1	1	0	3	
DK/NA	1	0	3	0	4	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	4	0	1	1	0	3	

Table 38: Q9A. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Continuing to expand safe, protected lanes for bicycling and active transportation to give San Franciscans more options to get around

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB	MD/CN	PR/LB	MD/CN	P/L/M	CONS
																DEM	DEM	INDEP	INDEP	REP	REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	59	54	61	51	15	79	64	51	48	37	27	65	41	33	64	52	52	42	8	23
Extremely important	30	32	31	34	28	5	50	38	30	23	14	8	39	18	12	35	30	27	17	8	0
Very important	25	27	23	27	23	9	29	26	21	25	22	19	25	23	21	29	22	25	25	0	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	40	45	38	49	84	21	36	48	50	63	68	35	57	65	34	47	48	57	92	77
Somewhat important	20	18	20	19	20	24	8	16	31	16	30	27	18	22	29	18	20	20	21	4	20
Not too important	25	22	25	19	28	60	13	20	17	34	33	40	17	35	36	16	27	27	35	89	57
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	5	0	2	2	2	1	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	5	0	2	2	2	1	0	1	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	67	38	63	52	63	61	52	56	12	30	70	48	64	33	5	20	53	56	60	58
Extremely important	30	40	19	39	20	41	31	22	38	9	0	41	25	42	8	5	6	32	31	37	28
Very important	25	27	19	24	32	22	30	30	18	2	30	29	24	22	26	0	15	21	25	23	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	33	61	36	45	36	37	48	44	88	65	30	49	36	67	95	78	47	43	39	40
Somewhat important	20	18	23	18	18	20	17	21	17	12	32	13	27	24	15	35	17	19	20	18	19
Not too important	25	16	38	18	27	16	20	27	27	76	32	17	22	11	52	60	60	28	23	21	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	2
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	2

Table 38: Q9A. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Continuing to expand safe, protected lanes for bicycling and active transportation to give San Franciscans more options to get around

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	34	61	47	40	60	60	34	55	73	76	57	56	42	65	53	17	0	52	35
Extremely important	30	14	36	20	22	37	25	21	25	52	44	34	32	12	35	34	2	0	29	21
Very important	25	20	25	27	18	23	35	14	30	22	32	24	24	30	30	19	15	0	23	14
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	62	38	53	60	40	40	64	43	27	24	41	43	58	35	44	80	100	48	63
Somewhat important	20	18	14	20	21	18	14	42	24	14	22	11	19	30	18	27	13	0	20	25
Not too important	25	44	24	33	40	22	26	22	20	13	1	29	24	28	17	17	67	100	28	38
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	2
DK/NA	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	2

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	37	60	58	35	50	51	62	68	42	57	52	52	53	52	52	60	41	51	59
Extremely important	30	14	30	30	13	27	32	23	26	33	33	31	29	28	34	44	34	18	25	33
Very important	25	23	30	27	22	23	20	39	42	9	24	22	23	25	18	8	26	22	27	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	58	37	42	65	50	49	38	32	56	42	46	47	46	48	48	38	59	49	39
Somewhat important	20	17	0	14	15	26	18	17	18	23	22	19	19	21	22	28	15	22	24	16
Not too important	25	42	37	29	50	24	31	21	15	33	21	27	28	26	25	21	23	37	24	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
DK/NA	1	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2

Table 38: Q9A. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Continuing to expand safe, protected lanes for bicycling and active transportation to give San Franciscans more options to get around

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	55	70	40	55	0	56	53	54	55	59	64	45	41
Extremely important	30	30	42	20	30	0	35	25	30	31	30	37	23	24
Very important	25	25	28	19	25	0	21	28	25	24	29	27	22	18
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	44	30	60	44	0	43	46	45	44	41	35	53	57
Somewhat important	20	20	15	18	20	0	21	18	17	22	18	17	17	29
Not too important	25	24	15	42	25	0	22	27	27	22	23	18	35	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	1

Table 39: Q9B. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Maintaining increased security on Muni to keep riders safe

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	65	62	79	64	66	88	83	77	49	64	59	68	68	53	77	75	75	70	73
Extremely important	45	31	34	61	37	38	68	55	58	24	39	35	36	48	26	53	68	48	54	55
Very important	24	33	28	18	27	28	19	28	19	25	25	24	32	20	27	23	6	27	16	18
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	31	37	19	34	33	12	16	23	44	35	38	31	31	45	23	25	24	26	27
Somewhat important	23	20	28	15	27	25	12	13	17	38	25	35	22	25	36	14	14	19	25	12
Not too important	7	11	9	4	8	8	0	4	7	6	10	3	8	7	9	8	12	5	1	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	5	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	7	1	4	1	1	1	0	0	1	4	0
DK/NA	1	5	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	7	1	4	1	1	1	0	0	1	4	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE					Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	57	75	68	76	65	74	74	75	66	74	68	69	47	65	73	70	63	69	67
Extremely important	45	37	39	33	61	38	54	65	45	38	55	60	50	30	46	43	49	42	48	28
Very important	24	20	36	35	15	27	20	9	30	28	19	7	19	17	19	30	21	20	21	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	42	22	32	21	33	24	26	23	32	24	32	30	50	34	25	27	37	29	33
Somewhat important	23	33	19	23	17	26	19	13	18	27	16	18	26	40	28	17	21	28	23	28
Not too important	7	10	3	9	4	7	5	12	5	5	8	15	4	10	6	8	6	9	7	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	3	0	3	1	2	0	2	1	2	0	1	3	2	1	2	0	2	0
DK/NA	1	0	3	0	3	1	2	0	2	1	2	0	1	3	2	1	2	0	2	0

Table 39: Q9B. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Maintaining increased security on Muni to keep riders safe

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	62	67	61	67	59	72	73	67	62	84	72	69	61	62	95	74	63	63	76	63
Extremely important	45	44	45	40	44	39	53	52	36	40	61	51	49	41	38	80	51	37	37	54	37
Very important	24	19	22	21	23	20	19	21	31	22	23	21	20	20	24	14	23	27	26	22	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	36	32	37	31	41	25	26	32	38	16	26	30	39	38	5	25	35	36	23	35
Somewhat important	23	32	25	32	19	32	20	21	25	33	7	12	22	36	31	5	20	26	28	18	26
Not too important	7	4	7	5	12	9	5	5	7	5	9	13	8	3	7	0	6	9	8	5	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	2
DK/NA	1	2	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	50	67	73	94	73	69	63	73	55	71	59	69	67	62	54	66	69	75	77	
Extremely important	45	24	39	51	69	41	44	41	53	37	42	40	31	47	47	54	37	59	55	62	
Very important	24	26	28	22	24	32	25	22	21	17	29	19	38	21	15	0	29	10	20	15	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	48	32	25	0	25	31	37	23	44	28	40	29	32	38	46	33	31	23	23	
Somewhat important	23	34	24	22	0	17	27	25	19	37	22	31	20	26	28	46	27	17	17	23	
Not too important	7	13	7	3	0	8	4	11	4	8	6	8	9	6	10	0	5	14	6	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	2	6	1	0	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	
DK/NA	1	2	1	2	6	1	0	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	

Table 39: Q9B. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Maintaining increased security on Muni to keep riders safe

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB	MD/CN	PR/LB	MD/CN	P/L/M	CONS
																DEM	DEM	INDEP	INDEP	REP	REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	65	73	63	77	78	52	56	73	80	79	84	60	80	81	57	78	63	76	52	90
Extremely important	45	41	49	41	46	65	28	38	42	59	51	56	36	56	53	34	58	20	44	49	69
Very important	24	25	24	22	31	12	24	18	31	21	27	28	24	24	28	24	19	42	32	4	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	33	25	36	21	19	45	44	24	18	21	15	39	19	19	41	22	36	20	48	0
Somewhat important	23	25	20	28	15	19	30	33	24	14	18	12	30	15	16	30	21	27	14	48	0
Not too important	7	8	6	8	6	0	15	10	1	5	3	3	9	4	3	11	1	8	7	0	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	2	1	2	3	3	0	3	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	2	4	0	10
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	2	3	3	0	3	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	2	4	0	10

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	66	81	54	82	67	60	80	78	71	100	51	80	74	81	75	79	90	64	67	65
Extremely important	45	41	58	32	54	49	36	46	50	59	80	33	53	36	60	75	59	65	39	46	36
Very important	24	25	23	23	28	18	24	34	28	12	20	18	27	38	22	0	19	26	25	21	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	31	18	45	16	32	39	17	22	23	0	48	19	23	18	18	20	8	33	33	34
Somewhat important	23	23	15	34	12	25	30	13	15	23	0	35	16	20	9	18	20	8	25	25	26
Not too important	7	8	3	11	4	7	9	4	6	0	0	12	2	4	8	0	0	0	9	9	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	6	0	1	1	2	1	7	2	1	2	0	1
DK/NA	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	6	0	1	1	2	1	7	2	1	2	0	1

Table 39: Q9B. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Maintaining increased security on Muni to keep riders safe

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	75	65	78	60	67	73	63	63	67	83	65	68	70	62	69	70	78	78	72
Extremely important	45	38	32	46	43	42	51	52	32	46	66	48	45	41	41	45	50	78	45	59
Very important	24	37	32	32	17	25	22	11	31	21	17	16	23	29	21	24	20	0	33	14
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	23	35	17	40	30	27	35	33	33	17	35	30	30	37	30	27	0	20	27
Somewhat important	23	22	28	9	35	25	17	34	23	28	13	18	23	27	28	25	27	0	16	17
Not too important	7	1	8	8	5	5	10	1	10	5	5	18	7	3	9	5	0	0	4	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	0	5	0	3	0	2	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	4	22	2	1
DK/NA	1	2	0	5	0	3	0	2	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	4	22	2	1

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	77	68	69	100	87	80	70	51	69	66	65	68	66	67	73	66	68	67	68
Extremely important	45	38	38	36	49	58	54	36	26	33	48	41	43	42	46	59	42	48	45	43
Very important	24	39	30	33	51	30	26	34	25	36	18	24	25	24	21	15	24	19	22	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	21	32	31	0	6	18	30	41	29	33	33	30	32	31	25	30	31	32	31
Somewhat important	23	20	26	27	0	4	10	25	26	24	25	26	24	27	26	23	25	26	27	23
Not too important	7	1	5	4	0	3	7	5	15	5	8	7	6	6	5	2	5	5	5	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	0	0	0	6	3	0	8	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	4	1	1	1
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	0	6	3	0	8	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	4	1	1	1

Table 39: Q9B. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Maintaining increased security on Muni to keep riders safe

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	70	64	52	69	0	63	74	67	71	76	69	66	61
Extremely important	45	45	44	40	45	0	40	49	44	45	47	50	31	45
Very important	24	25	20	12	24	0	23	24	23	25	29	19	35	16
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	28	33	48	30	0	36	24	30	29	21	30	33	38
Somewhat important	23	21	20	44	23	0	26	20	25	21	15	21	28	32
Not too important	7	7	13	3	7	0	9	4	5	9	7	9	5	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	2	3	0	3	1	1	1
DK/NA	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	2	3	0	3	1	1	1

Table 40: Q9C. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Communicating with local neighborhood groups on transportation priorities

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	68	63	56	67	62	57	60	52	58	60	64	62	57	56	46	63	69	60	60
Extremely important	34	43	37	30	40	36	28	47	14	20	33	31	34	33	29	25	28	45	27	27
Very important	26	26	27	26	27	25	29	12	38	38	27	32	28	24	27	21	35	24	33	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	32	36	40	32	37	43	40	48	38	37	36	35	42	43	50	31	30	37	40
Somewhat important	23	12	23	22	19	24	39	23	26	15	22	33	21	25	25	24	31	22	15	19
Not too important	15	20	13	18	13	13	4	18	22	23	16	3	14	17	18	27	0	8	22	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	4	3	1	2	1	1	3	6	1	3	0
DK/NA	2	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	4	3	1	2	1	1	3	6	1	3	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	62	64	57	50	63	52	62	64	63	57	46	53	46	52	70	58	61	58	68
Extremely important	34	40	35	12	31	38	26	28	34	36	31	24	27	17	27	42	32	37	33	39
Very important	26	22	29	46	19	25	26	35	30	27	26	22	26	29	26	27	26	23	26	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	37	34	43	45	36	44	33	34	36	40	49	45	51	46	28	40	37	40	30
Somewhat important	23	20	28	22	19	23	20	28	28	23	17	44	23	25	24	21	24	22	24	20
Not too important	15	18	6	21	26	13	25	5	6	13	23	6	23	26	22	7	16	16	16	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	0	5	1	3	5	2	1	3	5	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	5	1	3	5	2	1	3	5	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2

Table 40: Q9C. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Communicating with local neighborhood groups on transportation priorities

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	56	64	64	56	53	57	56	58	58	90	74	66	63	49	74	80	49	53	79	51
Extremely important	34	35	39	33	42	26	33	31	35	31	60	43	37	33	29	43	54	28	21	53	25
Very important	26	20	25	31	14	28	24	25	23	27	30	31	29	30	20	31	25	22	32	26	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	42	35	35	44	47	42	43	41	41	10	26	33	34	51	16	18	49	45	18	47
Somewhat important	23	18	21	18	27	25	25	23	25	24	5	20	21	23	26	16	11	29	27	11	28
Not too important	15	24	14	18	17	22	17	19	16	17	5	6	12	11	25	0	8	20	19	7	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	3	0	11	2	2	1	3	2
DK/NA	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	3	0	11	2	2	1	3	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	70	53	51	54	80	69	62	57	37	75	47	54	61	57	100	63	62	53	71	
Extremely important	34	35	25	30	51	60	37	22	42	20	50	23	30	35	34	54	37	29	28	14	
Very important	26	35	28	21	3	20	32	40	15	17	26	24	23	26	24	46	25	33	25	58	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	29	45	46	43	19	28	38	42	62	23	52	45	37	40	0	36	36	43	29	
Somewhat important	23	12	30	28	28	13	18	24	29	32	16	29	25	22	27	0	24	26	19	11	
Not too important	15	17	15	18	15	6	9	14	13	30	7	23	20	15	13	0	13	10	25	17	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	3	3	0	3	0	2	1	2	1	2	2	3	0	1	3	4	0	
DK/NA	2	1	2	3	3	0	3	0	2	1	2	1	2	2	3	0	1	3	4	0	

Table 40: Q9C. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Communicating with local neighborhood groups on transportation priorities

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	65	56	63	61	31	62	43	62	71	60	71	54	68	64	62	63	60	42	28	44
Extremely important	34	39	30	33	37	23	48	22	32	36	29	42	33	35	34	30	39	26	26	18	42
Very important	26	26	26	29	24	8	14	21	30	35	30	29	22	33	30	31	24	34	16	10	2
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	33	42	35	37	66	37	57	36	24	39	27	45	29	34	37	34	37	56	72	52
Somewhat important	23	21	25	23	19	42	22	28	24	16	23	24	25	20	24	22	27	17	27	31	34
Not too important	15	12	17	13	18	24	15	28	12	8	16	3	20	9	11	16	7	20	29	41	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	1	2	2	3	1	0	2	5	1	2	1	3	2	1	3	3	2	0	4
DK/NA	2	3	1	2	2	3	1	0	2	5	1	2	1	3	2	1	3	3	2	0	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	50	65	58	75	56	66	60	65	40	33	56	71	55	70	17	39	80	49	78	55
Extremely important	34	25	36	39	39	28	35	33	52	31	21	32	35	38	35	5	33	59	20	49	31
Very important	26	25	28	19	36	28	31	28	13	9	11	25	36	16	35	12	6	21	29	29	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	49	33	41	20	43	31	38	32	55	67	42	26	45	26	83	57	20	49	17	44
Somewhat important	23	27	22	25	15	29	19	20	19	22	67	25	19	20	17	58	32	16	27	9	30
Not too important	15	23	11	16	6	14	12	18	14	33	0	17	7	25	8	25	24	5	22	8	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	1	5	1	3	1	3	5	0	2	3	0	4	0	4	0	2	5	1
DK/NA	2	1	2	1	5	1	3	1	3	5	0	2	3	0	4	0	4	0	2	5	1

Table 40: Q9C. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Communicating with local neighborhood groups on transportation priorities

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	68	49	50	68	62	49	53	51	68	64	83	60	61	61	59	29	55	64	59
Extremely important	34	38	17	35	27	36	33	32	22	33	55	48	35	26	32	34	17	55	40	31
Very important	26	30	32	15	40	26	16	21	29	35	8	35	25	35	29	25	12	0	24	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	29	50	46	32	37	44	47	45	31	36	17	38	39	37	39	67	45	34	41
Somewhat important	23	21	20	27	21	13	33	33	26	18	22	16	24	16	24	21	42	0	18	22
Not too important	15	8	30	19	11	25	11	14	19	12	14	1	14	24	12	17	25	45	16	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	1	4	0	1	7	0	4	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	5	0	2	0
DK/NA	2	3	1	4	0	1	7	0	4	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	5	0	2	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	75	50	54	22	73	59	55	54	54	60	62	66	65	75	80	58	66	57	61
Extremely important	34	40	50	5	0	56	44	18	26	24	36	33	34	34	37	49	36	29	29	37
Very important	26	35	0	49	22	17	15	37	28	31	24	29	32	32	38	31	22	37	28	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	25	50	44	71	25	39	42	42	46	37	36	32	32	22	17	38	34	42	37
Somewhat important	23	16	36	21	66	20	19	19	6	26	24	20	19	20	14	12	23	18	25	23
Not too important	15	9	14	24	5	5	20	23	36	20	13	16	12	12	8	5	15	16	17	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	1	7	2	2	2	4	0	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	0	1	2
DK/NA	2	0	0	1	7	2	2	2	4	0	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	0	1	2

Table 40: Q9C. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Communicating with local neighborhood groups on transportation priorities

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	60	60	60	60	0	54	66	61	59	62	62	54	59
Extremely important	34	33	36	35	34	0	28	40	34	34	30	41	29	30
Very important	26	27	24	25	26	0	26	26	27	26	32	21	26	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	38	40	35	38	0	44	32	37	39	36	35	44	41
Somewhat important	23	22	30	21	23	0	25	20	21	24	19	22	22	28
Not too important	15	16	10	14	15	0	19	12	16	15	17	12	22	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	5	2	0	2	1	2	1	3	3	2	0
DK/NA	2	2	0	5	2	0	2	1	2	1	3	3	2	0

Table 41: Q9D. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	84	95	86	79	87	88	84	93	89	68	84	90	82	84	87	68	84	89	79	79
Extremely important	43	48	46	40	52	49	49	60	23	34	43	45	48	43	40	27	55	52	38	50
Very important	40	47	40	39	35	39	35	33	67	34	40	45	34	42	47	41	29	37	41	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	3	13	21	12	11	16	7	11	25	16	10	17	15	12	31	16	10	20	21
Somewhat important	12	3	10	17	12	10	16	5	7	25	14	3	12	13	11	21	16	8	13	21
Not too important	3	0	3	4	0	1	0	2	3	0	2	7	5	3	1	9	0	2	7	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	7	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	7	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	84	90	86	80	70	88	73	83	85	88	74	85	80	80	80	88	82	87	83	86
Extremely important	43	50	43	24	35	47	32	54	46	45	36	61	45	26	41	46	41	50	43	47
Very important	40	40	43	55	36	41	41	28	39	43	38	24	35	55	39	42	41	37	40	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	10	12	19	29	11	26	17	14	11	25	15	20	19	19	11	17	12	16	14
Somewhat important	12	8	11	19	17	9	18	17	13	9	18	15	15	19	16	8	14	9	13	10
Not too important	3	2	1	0	11	2	8	0	1	2	7	0	5	0	4	2	3	3	3	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0

Table 41: Q9D. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	84	80	84	86	90	78	84	81	87	76	97	92	86	76	75	91	89	83	81	90	83
Extremely important	43	38	47	36	45	33	45	43	44	36	64	58	48	31	41	66	53	38	38	55	38
Very important	40	42	37	50	45	44	39	38	43	40	32	34	38	45	34	25	36	46	43	35	45
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	18	15	14	10	22	15	19	12	24	3	8	14	24	23	9	11	16	18	10	17
Somewhat important	12	17	12	11	6	17	10	16	11	20	0	3	10	19	21	9	11	11	15	10	12
Not too important	3	1	3	2	4	6	4	3	1	4	3	5	3	5	2	0	0	5	3	0	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
DK/NA	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	84	85	82	82	74	94	90	83	86	77	92	80	89	81	85	100	88	88	72	90
Extremely important	43	40	42	40	45	58	47	41	51	29	53	35	37	45	50	54	45	56	32	34
Very important	40	46	40	42	29	36	43	42	35	48	39	45	52	36	35	46	42	32	40	56
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	13	17	17	24	6	10	16	12	23	8	19	11	18	15	0	12	12	28	7
Somewhat important	12	13	11	13	19	6	6	15	12	18	6	16	11	14	13	0	10	11	19	2
Not too important	3	1	6	4	5	1	4	1	0	5	2	3	1	4	2	0	2	1	8	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	4
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	4

Table 41: Q9D. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	84	90	79	86	83	66	90	84	84	73	89	88	86	80	88	85	86	79	85	59	60
Extremely important	43	50	38	45	42	38	51	34	51	35	51	52	44	43	51	44	42	27	41	52	37
Very important	40	39	41	41	41	28	39	50	33	38	38	36	42	38	37	41	44	51	44	7	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	9	20	13	17	32	9	16	15	26	10	11	14	18	11	14	14	21	15	41	37
Somewhat important	12	7	18	11	13	23	6	16	12	19	8	9	11	14	8	11	14	16	8	17	29
Not too important	3	2	3	2	4	9	4	0	3	7	3	2	2	5	2	3	0	4	6	24	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	3
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	84	84	72	89	91	83	89	79	92	52	95	84	88	90	73	85	55	83	79	96	87
Extremely important	43	44	30	46	57	38	51	41	43	26	87	42	49	45	38	62	24	45	34	63	43
Very important	40	40	42	43	34	45	38	38	49	26	8	42	40	45	36	23	31	38	46	33	43
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	15	27	11	7	16	10	20	7	46	0	15	10	9	26	15	41	17	20	4	13
Somewhat important	12	14	22	8	6	15	7	18	7	30	0	13	9	8	19	15	27	17	16	4	9
Not too important	3	1	5	3	1	1	3	2	0	16	0	3	2	1	8	0	14	0	3	0	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	2	5	0	1	1	1	0	4	0	1	0	1
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	2	5	0	1	1	1	0	4	0	1	0	1

Table 41: Q9D. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	84	82	81	75	79	82	87	84	91	81	83	92	84	76	85	89	66	24	85	76
Extremely important	43	33	30	43	35	44	51	46	41	36	69	54	44	35	45	48	43	0	44	31
Very important	40	49	51	32	44	38	36	38	51	45	14	38	40	41	40	41	23	24	41	46
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	17	19	21	21	15	13	15	9	19	17	8	15	24	14	11	32	55	15	23
Somewhat important	12	15	13	20	16	15	10	12	8	14	17	0	11	22	12	7	26	0	12	14
Not too important	3	2	6	1	5	0	4	4	1	6	0	8	3	2	2	4	6	55	3	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	4	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	22	0	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	4	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	22	0	1

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	84	85	90	78	28	86	88	72	91	93	84	81	82	83	80	77	83	84	74	91
Extremely important	43	30	60	26	5	55	47	38	44	21	48	44	43	42	41	50	44	42	33	54
Very important	40	55	30	52	23	32	41	35	47	72	36	37	39	41	39	27	39	42	41	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	13	10	22	65	11	10	28	1	7	16	17	17	16	19	22	16	14	26	9
Somewhat important	12	13	0	16	58	11	10	28	1	5	12	15	15	14	16	16	11	13	21	7
Not too important	3	0	10	6	7	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	3	3	3	6	4	2	5	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	7	3	2	0	8	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	7	3	2	0	8	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1

Table 41: Q9D. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	84	84	96	66	84	0	81	86	84	84	85	86	79	81
Extremely important	43	44	52	28	43	0	38	49	43	43	39	51	34	44
Very important	40	40	45	38	40	0	43	38	40	40	47	35	45	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	15	4	34	16	0	18	13	15	16	12	14	20	18
Somewhat important	12	12	4	26	12	0	15	10	12	13	12	10	16	15
Not too important	3	3	0	8	3	0	3	3	3	3	1	4	5	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	1	0

Table 42: Q9E. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Making street safety improvements for walking

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	77	71	59	76	75	46	86	86	85	68	52	69	65	72	45	74	84	29	56
Extremely important	39	56	44	33	45	43	16	66	65	71	34	18	32	41	43	24	41	50	16	34
Very important	28	21	27	26	31	32	30	19	21	14	34	34	36	25	29	21	33	33	13	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	21	27	39	22	24	54	14	14	15	30	45	31	32	25	53	22	16	65	44
Somewhat important	19	12	20	17	17	19	23	10	7	15	20	26	17	20	18	28	16	13	25	41
Not too important	12	9	7	22	5	5	31	4	7	0	10	19	15	12	7	26	6	2	41	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	2	1	4	1	5	0
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	2	1	4	1	5	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	83	73	45	36	79	38	70	72	79	39	67	70	67	68	65	63	80	67	70
Extremely important	39	48	46	22	20	47	21	39	45	45	25	40	45	40	39	40	37	58	41	31
Very important	28	35	27	23	15	32	17	30	28	34	14	27	24	27	29	25	26	22	26	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	16	25	55	60	20	59	28	26	19	58	30	30	30	30	33	35	17	32	27
Somewhat important	19	10	21	45	20	15	26	22	21	16	26	20	15	9	16	22	20	13	18	20
Not too important	12	5	4	10	40	5	32	6	4	4	32	10	15	21	14	10	15	4	13	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	0	4	1	3	3	2	1	3	3	0	3	2	2	1	2	2	4
DK/NA	2	1	2	0	4	1	3	3	2	1	3	3	0	3	2	2	1	2	2	4

Table 42: Q9E. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for walking

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	78	70	68	70	58	62	68	66	65	95	79	74	61	72	95	69	63	68	72	65
Extremely important	39	54	45	47	51	30	39	43	36	28	80	57	45	22	38	70	46	35	33	48	34
Very important	28	24	25	21	19	28	22	25	30	37	15	22	29	39	34	25	23	29	35	24	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	20	28	29	27	42	36	30	32	35	5	19	25	38	28	5	31	34	28	28	31
Somewhat important	19	15	17	18	8	17	20	17	21	20	0	15	15	22	16	5	20	23	16	19	21
Not too important	12	6	11	11	20	26	17	13	11	14	5	4	10	16	12	0	10	10	12	9	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	3	2	0	2	2	2	1	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	3	4	0	3
DK/NA	2	2	2	3	2	0	2	2	2	1	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	3	4	0	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME								Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	77	63	61	59	70	58	68	78	73	65	72	62	69	67	82	80	65	37	73	
Extremely important	39	51	31	35	20	47	30	48	45	39	39	43	37	40	36	36	46	42	21	33	
Very important	28	26	31	26	40	23	28	20	33	34	26	29	25	29	30	46	34	23	16	39	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	22	35	37	35	28	39	28	15	27	33	26	36	29	31	18	19	33	59	27	
Somewhat important	19	16	22	17	11	20	20	18	13	17	20	17	24	18	20	18	16	18	27	14	
Not too important	12	6	13	20	24	8	19	10	3	9	13	9	12	12	11	0	3	15	32	13	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	2	6	1	3	4	7	1	2	2	2	2	2	0	1	2	3	0	
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	6	1	3	4	7	1	2	2	2	2	2	0	1	2	3	0	

Table 42: Q9E. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for walking

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB	MD/CN	PR/LB	MD/CN	P/L/M	CONS
																DEM	DEM	INDEP	INDEP	REP	REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	72	63	71	63	46	79	65	63	67	64	59	69	65	62	71	76	67	42	36	53
Extremely important	39	44	35	41	38	27	49	42	29	40	42	28	40	38	37	42	42	38	24	36	11
Very important	28	29	28	30	25	18	30	23	34	27	22	31	28	26	26	29	34	29	18	0	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	26	35	27	36	51	19	34	36	31	34	35	30	33	34	27	22	33	55	64	38
Somewhat important	19	19	20	18	21	19	14	17	27	17	23	19	19	19	21	20	8	16	33	11	16
Not too important	12	7	15	9	15	31	5	17	9	14	11	16	11	13	13	7	14	17	22	54	22
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	2	6	1	3	3	2	1	0	3	0	9
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	2	6	1	3	3	2	1	0	3	0	9

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	63	62	73	71	70	73	59	71	35	58	71	71	69	55	30	55	67	61	75	71
Extremely important	39	35	35	45	41	39	45	35	39	14	32	40	43	41	33	30	26	47	29	50	39
Very important	28	28	27	28	30	32	28	24	31	21	25	31	28	28	22	0	29	20	32	24	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	35	35	26	26	29	24	38	29	60	42	27	26	30	43	70	40	33	36	25	25
Somewhat important	19	20	20	19	19	17	18	26	18	17	37	16	20	21	20	36	10	23	21	16	21
Not too important	12	15	15	7	7	12	6	12	12	43	5	11	6	9	23	34	30	10	16	9	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3	1	3	1	3	3	0	6	0	2	3	1	2	0	5	0	3	0	3
DK/NA	2	2	3	1	3	1	3	3	0	6	0	2	3	1	2	0	5	0	3	0	3

Table 42: Q9E. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for walking

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	57	72	66	50	72	68	62	64	77	86	61	68	57	72	73	45	0	62	59
Extremely important	39	19	39	40	24	52	21	49	33	49	69	37	40	33	43	44	25	0	37	28
Very important	28	38	33	26	26	20	47	13	31	28	17	24	28	24	29	29	20	0	25	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	40	28	33	50	28	29	34	29	22	14	37	30	42	26	27	52	78	37	36
Somewhat important	19	20	14	16	19	25	20	28	21	11	12	21	18	29	20	13	16	24	23	12
Not too important	12	20	14	17	31	3	9	6	8	11	3	16	12	13	6	14	36	55	14	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	4	0	1	0	0	3	4	6	2	0	2	2	1	2	0	4	22	1	5
DK/NA	2	4	0	1	0	0	3	4	6	2	0	2	2	1	2	0	4	22	1	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	61	79	63	62	83	73	67	66	58	67	65	64	66	64	70	67	62	65	68
Extremely important	39	19	24	39	48	48	52	37	54	33	40	38	35	35	33	42	43	29	32	43
Very important	28	42	55	24	14	35	22	30	12	26	27	28	29	31	31	28	24	33	33	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	35	21	37	38	15	27	33	34	31	31	32	34	32	33	30	31	36	33	29
Somewhat important	19	19	3	18	33	10	10	25	34	19	19	20	21	20	22	21	20	17	23	16
Not too important	12	15	18	19	5	5	16	8	0	12	12	12	13	12	12	9	10	18	11	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	11	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2
DK/NA	2	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	11	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2

Table 42: Q9E. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for walking

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	66	83	66	67	0	64	69	66	68	70	71	63	58
Extremely important	39	39	57	25	39	0	37	41	39	40	49	42	26	35
Very important	28	26	26	41	28	0	27	28	26	29	21	29	38	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	32	13	34	31	0	33	29	32	30	27	27	35	41
Somewhat important	19	19	11	19	19	0	21	17	19	18	20	18	17	22
Not too important	12	13	2	14	12	0	13	12	13	12	8	9	18	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	4	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
DK/NA	2	2	4	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

Table 43: Q9F. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Constructing safer sidewalks and road crossings to improve safety for people walking

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	86	72	54	75	76	35	83	93	70	65	50	69	63	75	42	74	79	28	61
Extremely important	37	62	44	28	47	44	9	73	61	52	27	24	38	36	43	25	34	47	15	38
Very important	28	24	28	26	29	31	27	11	32	18	37	26	31	27	32	17	40	32	12	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	14	27	45	24	24	62	17	7	30	34	48	31	35	24	56	22	20	69	39
Somewhat important	20	7	18	21	15	16	48	11	0	25	23	22	13	23	16	27	21	15	29	23
Not too important	14	8	9	23	9	8	14	6	7	5	11	26	18	13	8	30	2	5	40	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	2	1	1	3	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	2	4	0	4	0
DK/NA	2	0	1	2	1	1	3	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	2	4	0	4	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	83	70	48	31	77	35	71	70	78	37	64	68	62	65	66	59	83	63	72
Extremely important	37	50	39	21	20	45	20	35	39	44	24	32	45	30	37	38	33	53	37	39
Very important	28	34	31	27	11	32	15	36	32	34	13	32	23	32	28	27	26	30	27	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	17	28	52	65	22	62	26	28	21	60	33	32	35	34	32	40	15	35	24
Somewhat important	20	12	21	38	24	16	28	21	21	16	26	27	16	15	17	23	24	6	20	15
Not too important	14	5	7	14	42	6	34	5	7	5	34	6	17	20	17	9	16	9	15	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	2	0	4	1	3	3	2	1	3	3	0	3	1	2	1	2	1	4
DK/NA	2	0	2	0	4	1	3	3	2	1	3	3	0	3	1	2	1	2	1	4

Table 43: Q9F. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Constructing safer sidewalks and road crossings to improve safety for people walking

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	72	70	70	79	58	58	66	66	65	76	82	71	65	64	86	71	63	63	72	63
Extremely important	37	41	45	42	48	32	34	43	35	29	58	63	43	28	30	66	47	30	35	49	32
Very important	28	32	25	28	31	26	25	24	31	36	18	19	27	37	34	19	24	33	28	23	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	26	29	28	18	41	40	32	33	35	24	17	28	34	36	14	29	35	35	28	35
Somewhat important	20	15	16	17	8	16	22	17	20	22	18	8	18	15	32	8	19	21	18	18	20
Not too important	14	11	13	12	11	25	18	15	13	13	5	9	11	19	4	7	10	14	17	9	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	3
DK/NA	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	76	63	53	58	70	61	62	78	70	66	68	61	69	66	82	78	67	34	82	
Extremely important	37	44	32	33	8	48	40	34	37	37	45	36	34	38	42	82	45	36	21	37	
Very important	28	32	32	20	50	22	20	28	40	33	21	32	27	31	24	0	33	31	13	46	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	22	37	44	36	29	37	36	19	30	33	31	38	29	33	18	21	31	63	18	
Somewhat important	20	14	24	19	26	22	18	21	14	19	20	19	21	17	21	0	16	22	27	18	
Not too important	14	9	13	25	10	7	19	15	5	11	13	12	16	12	12	18	6	9	36	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	3	6	1	3	2	3	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	2	3	0	
DK/NA	2	2	0	3	6	1	3	2	3	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	2	3	0	

Table 43: Q9F. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Constructing safer sidewalks and road crossings to improve safety for people walking

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	75	57	70	63	34	79	61	69	60	62	62	69	61	62	70	69	68	36	32	41
Extremely important	37	46	30	40	38	13	49	36	36	39	29	28	40	34	29	38	43	36	16	32	0
Very important	28	28	27	30	24	21	30	25	33	21	32	34	29	27	33	32	27	32	20	0	41
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	24	41	29	35	63	19	38	31	38	37	34	31	37	36	28	29	32	59	68	49
Somewhat important	20	15	25	18	20	33	11	25	18	23	19	16	19	21	18	19	13	20	28	4	40
Not too important	14	8	16	11	15	30	9	14	13	14	17	18	12	16	17	10	16	12	31	64	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	1	0	2	2	4	1	2	3	1	1	0	4	0	9
DK/NA	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	1	0	2	2	4	1	2	3	1	1	0	4	0	9

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	61	53	75	74	63	75	56	76	30	54	71	68	69	54	23	41	59	54	80	72
Extremely important	37	33	27	46	47	32	46	32	51	12	24	40	39	42	34	23	8	42	23	55	41
Very important	28	28	26	29	27	31	29	23	24	18	30	31	29	27	20	0	33	18	31	25	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	38	44	24	24	37	23	42	23	65	46	28	30	30	43	77	54	41	43	20	25
Somewhat important	20	25	24	14	18	24	14	26	17	25	40	15	21	21	20	54	20	26	25	14	15
Not too important	14	13	21	10	6	13	9	16	7	40	5	13	9	9	23	22	34	15	18	6	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	1	3	0	2	3	1	6	0	1	2	1	3	0	5	0	3	0	3
DK/NA	2	1	2	1	3	0	2	3	1	6	0	1	2	1	3	0	5	0	3	0	3

Table 43: Q9F. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Constructing safer sidewalks and road crossings to improve safety for people walking

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	53	67	73	50	58	58	66	66	75	79	75	67	54	72	68	37	24	63	52
Extremely important	37	16	27	30	22	44	31	34	35	45	66	62	38	33	42	33	15	0	39	27
Very important	28	37	40	43	27	14	27	32	31	30	12	14	29	21	30	35	22	24	24	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	44	33	27	50	42	39	31	29	23	21	25	32	46	27	32	59	55	36	43
Somewhat important	20	30	17	15	22	27	26	24	14	14	11	14	18	31	18	18	19	0	22	22
Not too important	14	14	16	12	28	14	13	7	15	9	10	11	14	15	9	14	40	55	14	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	0	0	0	0	3	4	5	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	4	22	1	5
DK/NA	2	3	0	0	0	0	3	4	5	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	4	22	1	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	58	74	55	90	72	64	63	70	60	66	64	65	67	68	78	67	64	64	70
Extremely important	37	16	27	29	7	38	35	40	57	35	41	37	37	37	38	47	46	25	33	43
Very important	28	42	47	26	84	35	29	24	13	24	26	27	29	29	30	31	21	38	31	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	40	21	45	10	28	36	37	30	33	32	34	33	32	31	21	32	34	34	29
Somewhat important	20	27	8	22	0	23	26	18	15	16	19	20	17	17	16	9	19	14	20	16
Not too important	14	13	12	23	10	5	9	18	15	17	13	14	16	15	11	13	19	14	14	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	3	2	1
DK/NA	2	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	3	2	1

Table 43: Q9F. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Constructing safer sidewalks and road crossings to improve safety for people walking

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	64	76	71	65	0	65	66	66	64	68	70	58	60
Extremely important	37	37	58	27	37	0	36	39	40	35	41	50	18	28
Very important	28	27	18	45	28	0	29	27	26	29	27	20	40	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	35	20	29	33	0	34	33	33	34	31	29	40	38
Somewhat important	20	20	18	13	20	0	20	19	18	21	20	17	23	20
Not too important	14	14	2	16	14	0	14	14	15	13	11	11	17	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	4	0	2	0	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	2
DK/NA	2	1	4	0	2	0	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	2

Table 44: Q9G. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Adding Muni service

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	66	63	63	81	73	41	66	58	56	73	52	57	64	68	47	58	72	42	53
Extremely important	31	40	26	40	43	36	11	23	28	20	40	19	20	34	34	23	16	36	27	42
Very important	31	26	37	23	39	37	30	42	30	36	33	33	38	31	34	25	42	37	15	11
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	25	28	34	16	21	56	25	35	24	21	42	33	30	26	47	21	20	55	47
Somewhat important	21	19	21	21	14	18	46	19	23	16	17	27	22	23	21	25	21	18	26	42
Not too important	9	7	7	13	2	3	10	6	11	8	3	15	11	8	5	22	0	2	29	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	9	8	3	3	6	3	10	7	19	7	5	10	5	5	6	21	8	3	0
DK/NA	7	9	8	3	3	6	3	10	7	19	7	5	10	5	5	6	21	8	3	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	77	63	68	37	71	45	57	61	72	47	42	69	54	64	61	60	77	64	61
Extremely important	31	40	29	46	17	35	24	23	27	35	27	14	31	22	28	35	28	44	31	35
Very important	31	37	34	22	20	36	21	34	34	37	21	28	38	32	36	26	33	33	33	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	21	24	32	57	23	50	27	25	23	47	36	27	46	33	27	33	18	30	30
Somewhat important	21	19	19	19	28	19	25	26	21	19	24	29	17	24	21	22	22	17	21	26
Not too important	9	2	5	12	30	3	25	1	4	3	23	6	10	22	12	6	11	2	9	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	1	14	0	6	7	5	16	14	5	6	22	4	0	3	12	7	5	6	9
DK/NA	7	1	14	0	6	7	5	16	14	5	6	22	4	0	3	12	7	5	6	9

Table 44: Q9G. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding Muni service

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	72	69	66	59	56	59	67	63	61	67	67	64	60	62	70	68	62	62	69	62
Extremely important	31	43	37	38	29	31	27	37	35	26	19	38	30	21	35	41	38	29	29	38	29
Very important	31	29	32	28	30	25	31	30	28	34	48	29	34	39	27	29	31	32	33	30	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	24	25	28	34	36	34	30	30	31	16	29	28	30	34	12	22	34	34	21	34
Somewhat important	21	19	18	19	21	25	23	22	22	19	14	28	20	16	24	12	14	25	25	14	25
Not too important	9	5	7	10	13	12	11	8	8	12	2	1	8	13	11	0	8	9	9	7	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	4	6	5	7	7	8	3	7	8	18	4	8	11	4	18	10	4	4	11	4
DK/NA	7	4	6	5	7	7	8	3	7	8	18	4	8	11	4	18	10	4	4	11	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	72	68	47	64	66	64	71	78	62	65	67	59	66	67	36	72	55	44	75	
Extremely important	31	35	37	17	16	44	26	32	40	28	36	30	35	29	42	36	35	29	24	42	
Very important	31	36	31	31	49	22	38	39	38	35	30	36	23	37	25	0	37	27	21	33	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	19	25	49	34	22	31	24	19	36	26	30	36	28	24	46	23	29	51	21	
Somewhat important	21	17	20	31	17	14	19	16	16	28	17	23	25	19	21	46	20	20	26	12	
Not too important	9	2	5	19	17	8	11	8	3	8	9	8	11	9	4	0	3	9	24	10	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	10	7	3	2	12	5	5	3	2	9	3	5	5	9	18	5	16	5	3	
DK/NA	7	10	7	3	2	12	5	5	3	2	9	3	5	5	9	18	5	16	5	3	

Table 44: Q9G. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding Muni service

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	64	61	65	62	43	72	67	63	55	63	48	67	56	57	71	47	64	52	52	63
Extremely important	31	33	29	32	34	11	45	36	36	23	22	12	39	21	18	35	17	39	21	18	11
Very important	31	30	32	33	28	33	27	30	26	32	41	36	28	36	39	36	30	25	31	34	52
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	29	32	26	35	56	21	33	28	32	36	38	28	34	37	20	48	32	48	48	34
Somewhat important	21	21	23	20	23	30	20	22	19	20	21	31	21	22	25	18	29	22	31	31	14
Not too important	9	8	9	6	12	25	1	10	8	12	15	7	7	12	12	2	19	11	17	16	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	8	7	10	3	1	6	1	10	13	1	14	5	9	6	10	5	3	0	0	3
DK/NA	7	8	7	10	3	1	6	1	10	13	1	14	5	9	6	10	5	3	0	0	3
	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	66	55	66	61	65	64	59	64	48	49	69	58	67	55	34	48	66	61	69	62
Extremely important	31	39	17	37	29	30	31	32	45	17	4	37	25	48	15	5	14	29	30	43	28
Very important	31	28	38	29	32	35	33	27	19	32	45	33	33	19	40	29	35	37	31	26	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	28	37	29	28	25	26	37	33	50	51	23	30	33	38	66	50	24	34	19	34
Somewhat important	21	22	24	21	20	20	20	27	20	19	43	18	23	24	21	41	24	19	24	11	27
Not too important	9	6	13	8	8	5	6	10	13	30	8	5	7	9	17	25	26	5	10	9	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	9	5	11	10	9	4	3	2	0	8	12	0	7	0	2	10	5	12	4
DK/NA	7	5	9	5	11	10	9	4	3	2	0	8	12	0	7	0	2	10	5	12	4

Table 44: Q9G. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding Muni service

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	59	68	61	57	54	64	52	56	64	84	70	63	57	67	66	49	55	63	42
Extremely important	31	21	51	26	25	21	25	17	26	37	53	39	31	33	32	32	7	55	37	20
Very important	31	38	17	35	32	33	39	34	31	27	31	31	32	24	35	34	43	0	26	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	35	29	33	35	31	29	41	39	29	10	23	30	38	24	24	51	24	34	53
Somewhat important	21	23	18	10	21	29	20	28	35	19	7	17	21	21	21	15	24	0	23	26
Not too important	9	11	10	22	14	1	9	14	5	10	3	6	8	17	3	9	27	24	11	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	3	7	7	16	7	7	4	7	6	6	7	5	10	10	0	22	3	5
DK/NA	7	6	3	7	7	16	7	7	4	7	6	6	7	5	10	10	0	22	3	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	61	56	62	71	74	50	50	64	52	65	67	65	64	63	63	60	59	56	70
Extremely important	31	18	56	35	0	30	22	30	27	25	34	35	33	34	30	33	31	26	22	38
Very important	31	43	0	27	71	44	28	20	37	27	31	32	32	30	32	31	29	34	33	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	32	44	33	29	11	35	43	29	41	29	29	31	32	32	33	32	35	38	24
Somewhat important	21	26	15	22	24	0	17	39	29	32	20	19	19	21	19	22	23	21	27	17
Not too important	9	5	28	11	5	11	18	4	0	9	9	10	12	12	13	11	9	15	11	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	0	5	0	14	15	7	8	7	6	4	4	3	5	4	8	5	6	5
DK/NA	7	7	0	5	0	14	15	7	8	7	6	4	4	3	5	4	8	5	6	5

Table 44: Q9G. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding Muni service

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	63	63	56	62	0	61	64	60	65	58	69	62	57
Extremely important	31	33	30	11	31	0	28	34	29	33	25	38	36	22
Very important	31	30	33	45	31	0	32	30	31	32	34	30	26	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	31	28	26	31	0	32	29	33	28	33	25	31	36
Somewhat important	21	21	24	19	21	0	22	21	23	20	23	18	22	25
Not too important	9	10	4	8	9	0	10	9	11	8	10	7	10	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	9	17	7	0	8	6	7	7	8	6	6	7
DK/NA	7	6	9	17	7	0	8	6	7	7	8	6	6	7

Table 45: Q9H. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	54	57	42	57	56	39	77	71	82	47	49	52	52	53	34	69	66	28	36
Extremely important	29	22	31	24	32	31	27	37	39	57	25	23	27	29	29	18	35	35	21	17
Very important	24	32	27	19	25	26	12	40	32	25	22	26	25	23	24	16	34	31	7	18
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	47	42	41	56	41	42	61	20	29	18	52	51	46	47	47	61	31	33	72	61
Somewhat important	22	23	24	20	23	22	23	9	13	7	28	22	25	22	26	22	6	20	25	37
Not too important	25	19	17	36	19	20	38	11	16	11	24	29	21	25	21	39	26	13	47	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	3	1	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	5	0	1	0	3
DK/NA	1	3	1	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	5	0	1	0	3

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	63	56	44	27	60	31	61	57	60	34	60	51	52	52	53	53	50	52	52
Extremely important	29	37	26	25	17	32	19	31	27	31	22	34	31	30	28	29	30	30	30	22
Very important	24	26	30	19	10	28	12	30	30	28	12	26	20	22	24	24	22	20	22	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	47	36	43	56	70	39	66	39	42	40	64	40	47	48	48	45	47	50	47	42
Somewhat important	22	17	29	21	24	22	23	13	25	23	21	19	26	12	24	20	18	32	21	26
Not too important	25	19	14	35	45	17	43	25	17	17	43	21	21	37	24	26	28	19	26	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	3	1	3	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	5
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	3	1	3	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	5

Table 45: Q9H. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	64	56	52	60	39	51	53	50	53	66	65	58	58	45	80	53	51	52	56	51
Extremely important	29	40	29	24	26	16	27	29	27	24	31	36	30	25	24	72	26	27	30	31	28
Very important	24	24	27	28	35	23	24	24	22	29	34	29	28	34	21	9	26	24	22	24	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	47	35	43	48	40	61	49	47	49	45	34	35	41	39	54	12	46	49	47	42	48
Somewhat important	22	14	20	26	15	25	22	25	25	20	20	17	19	17	25	0	25	24	20	22	23
Not too important	25	21	23	23	25	37	27	21	23	25	15	17	22	23	28	12	21	25	27	20	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	1	2	1	8	1	0	1	2	1
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	1	2	1	8	1	0	1	2	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	52	51	48	47	57	43	47	65	57	50	55	47	56	45	36	59	65	29	75	
Extremely important	29	31	28	19	21	34	19	22	43	35	27	32	28	29	30	36	30	39	18	43	
Very important	24	21	24	29	26	23	24	25	23	21	24	23	19	27	15	0	28	26	11	32	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	47	48	47	51	51	43	55	51	35	43	48	45	53	43	52	64	40	35	68	23	
Somewhat important	22	26	25	20	18	23	27	27	19	18	25	21	26	21	19	0	23	15	22	13	
Not too important	25	22	22	31	33	20	27	24	15	25	23	24	27	21	33	64	17	20	46	10	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	1	2	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	1	0	3	2	
DK/NA	1	0	2	1	2	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	1	0	3	2	

Table 45: Q9H. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	52	53	58	42	51	47	51	44	56	58	63	48	58	60	56	63	35	27	46	41
Extremely important	29	27	31	31	25	19	23	36	24	33	16	35	29	28	23	32	24	16	16	8	10
Very important	24	25	22	26	16	32	24	15	20	23	42	28	19	30	37	23	39	19	11	38	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	47	46	46	41	57	44	53	49	56	39	40	37	52	39	39	43	37	65	73	40	56
Somewhat important	22	26	18	21	26	13	29	22	29	17	18	13	26	17	16	23	16	36	26	0	28
Not too important	25	20	28	20	32	31	25	27	27	22	22	24	26	22	23	21	21	29	46	40	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	1	1	5	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	14	3
DK/NA	1	2	1	1	1	5	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	14	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	48	59	47	60	66	53	39	42	30	87	52	66	39	45	45	55	58	52	53	52
Extremely important	29	31	31	25	30	41	26	23	28	9	42	32	30	22	29	26	16	30	32	31	24
Very important	24	17	28	22	30	26	28	16	14	21	45	20	35	17	16	18	39	28	20	21	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	47	52	39	53	36	34	45	60	55	61	13	48	32	61	53	55	37	42	48	44	47
Somewhat important	22	22	14	30	21	14	26	24	31	19	8	26	14	25	26	26	6	21	18	24	27
Not too important	25	30	25	23	14	20	19	36	24	42	5	22	18	35	27	29	32	21	30	20	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	0	5	0	2	0	3	9	0	0	2	0	3	0	8	0	0	3	1
DK/NA	1	0	2	0	5	0	2	0	3	9	0	0	2	0	3	0	8	0	0	3	1

Table 45: Q9H. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	46	43	53	46	65	59	55	44	65	52	49	53	47	60	48	41	0	41	57
Extremely important	29	18	31	23	18	33	44	33	21	46	19	28	29	24	35	19	13	0	24	31
Very important	24	28	12	29	29	32	15	22	23	18	33	21	24	22	26	29	28	0	17	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	47	51	54	42	50	35	41	44	56	35	48	51	46	53	39	50	53	78	58	43
Somewhat important	22	38	30	24	13	18	9	24	19	20	28	19	21	34	20	31	13	0	27	11
Not too important	25	13	25	18	37	17	32	21	37	15	20	32	25	20	19	19	40	78	30	32
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	3	3	5	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	6	22	2	0
DK/NA	1	3	3	5	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	6	22	2	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	48	39	50	66	48	67	61	68	35	51	50	54	57	61	64	49	54	59	48
Extremely important	29	18	29	32	0	23	52	21	20	17	30	24	25	26	30	32	25	29	32	26
Very important	24	30	11	18	66	24	14	40	47	18	21	26	29	32	31	32	24	25	27	21
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	47	48	61	46	34	42	33	39	32	65	48	49	45	42	38	36	48	46	40	51
Somewhat important	22	33	45	16	29	21	20	18	16	25	21	24	25	21	18	17	27	23	20	24
Not too important	25	16	15	30	5	20	14	21	16	40	27	24	21	21	20	18	21	23	20	27
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	4	0	4	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	1
DK/NA	1	4	0	4	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	1

Table 45: Q9H. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	50	71	65	52	0	49	55	51	54	63	53	40	48
Extremely important	29	28	42	23	29	0	26	31	26	31	33	32	20	24
Very important	24	22	29	42	24	0	23	24	25	23	30	21	20	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	47	49	29	30	47	0	49	44	47	45	35	47	57	50
Somewhat important	22	23	14	17	22	0	22	22	26	18	18	20	36	17
Not too important	25	26	15	14	25	0	27	22	22	28	18	26	21	33
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	5	1	0	2	1	2	1	1	0	3	2
DK/NA	1	1	0	5	1	0	2	1	2	1	1	0	3	2

Table 46: Q9I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	83	72	83	84	92	89	87	88	79	66	94	64	78	86	87	70	89	89	72	53
Extremely important	49	42	44	59	59	53	50	56	30	47	60	22	42	52	47	46	49	53	46	33
Very important	34	30	40	26	34	36	37	32	49	19	35	42	36	34	40	24	40	35	26	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	28	16	15	5	10	13	11	21	27	6	30	21	13	12	27	11	10	28	47
Somewhat important	11	28	13	7	5	9	12	9	21	27	6	17	12	11	11	16	11	9	8	47
Not too important	5	0	3	7	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	13	9	2	1	10	0	1	21	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	7	0	5	2	0	1	3	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	7	0	5	2	0	1	3	0	1	0	0
		Q11 MEASURE VOTE							Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	83	86	90	86	65	88	71	80	87	87	72	86	82	77	79	87	81	89	83	85
Extremely important	49	57	42	57	42	50	46	45	43	49	47	52	53	45	46	53	47	61	50	44
Very important	34	29	47	29	24	37	25	35	45	38	24	34	29	33	33	34	34	28	33	41
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	14	8	9	34	11	28	20	11	11	28	14	15	23	19	12	18	11	17	11
Somewhat important	11	13	7	8	14	10	13	20	10	10	14	14	8	8	12	11	13	10	12	7
Not too important	5	1	1	1	20	1	15	0	1	1	14	0	7	15	7	1	5	2	5	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	3	5	0	1	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	4
DK/NA	1	0	3	5	0	1	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	4

Table 46: Q9I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	83	91	86	83	84	69	82	84	87	74	91	85	81	70	79	95	81	82	87	83	84
Extremely important	49	56	53	49	36	35	49	53	53	43	54	48	47	39	48	72	51	44	53	53	47
Very important	34	35	32	35	48	35	33	31	34	31	38	37	34	30	31	23	31	38	34	30	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	8	13	15	15	31	17	16	13	24	9	15	17	26	21	5	16	18	13	15	16
Somewhat important	11	6	8	9	11	18	10	11	11	17	9	15	14	15	19	5	12	15	9	11	12
Not too important	5	1	5	6	4	13	6	5	2	7	0	0	3	10	2	0	4	3	4	4	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	5	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
DK/NA	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	5	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	83	85	82	72	97	85	78	90	96	80	82	85	84	80	92	82	89	77	72	62	
Extremely important	49	44	54	39	56	51	48	49	64	44	50	48	43	51	59	36	50	47	47	31	
Very important	34	42	28	33	41	34	31	41	32	36	32	37	41	29	34	46	39	30	25	31	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	13	15	28	1	11	22	9	4	20	16	15	14	19	8	18	10	21	28	27	
Somewhat important	11	12	12	18	0	7	15	9	4	16	11	13	12	14	7	0	9	21	12	27	
Not too important	5	1	3	11	1	4	6	0	0	4	5	2	3	5	0	18	1	0	16	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	3	0	2	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	11	
DK/NA	1	1	3	0	2	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	11	

Table 46: Q9I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB	MD/CN	PR/LB	MD/CN	P/L/M	CONS
																DEM	DEM	INDEP	INDEP	REP	REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	83	82	85	83	84	74	88	82	85	85	83	60	85	80	74	85	74	80	78	52	95
Extremely important	49	50	48	46	56	43	57	61	50	39	34	40	57	38	37	47	39	54	41	49	58
Very important	34	32	37	37	29	31	31	21	35	46	48	20	28	42	37	37	35	26	36	4	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	17	13	16	16	25	12	18	13	13	17	35	15	18	24	13	26	20	22	48	2
Somewhat important	11	13	11	12	10	14	10	13	12	7	10	25	12	11	16	12	15	15	13	38	0
Not too important	5	4	2	4	6	11	1	5	1	6	7	10	3	7	8	1	11	4	9	10	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	1	5	1	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	3
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	1	5	1	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	83	92	77	78	88	86	80	88	85	66	95	83	82	89	79	80	70	82	86	83	82
Extremely important	49	56	38	56	42	42	48	59	51	36	83	54	33	60	50	68	29	43	50	59	45
Very important	34	35	39	22	46	44	32	29	34	30	11	29	48	29	29	12	41	40	36	23	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	8	20	21	10	12	18	12	15	32	5	16	15	11	21	20	28	15	13	16	18
Somewhat important	11	8	15	16	8	11	13	8	12	23	5	12	12	10	10	18	12	15	10	9	15
Not too important	5	0	5	5	2	1	5	4	3	9	0	4	4	2	11	2	16	0	3	6	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	3	1	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	2	3	1	2	0
DK/NA	1	0	3	1	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	2	3	1	2	0

Table 46: Q9I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120	44
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	83	78	73	79	72	83	88	90	81	88	90	88	84	71	86	78	66	55	85	72
Extremely important	49	26	54	51	45	43	51	55	42	50	70	51	50	41	47	44	39	55	58	42
Very important	34	52	19	28	27	40	37	35	39	38	21	37	34	30	39	34	27	0	28	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	18	24	21	28	14	12	9	19	12	9	12	15	29	12	18	34	24	15	28
Somewhat important	11	16	20	15	12	13	6	2	16	6	8	12	10	21	11	13	21	24	11	7
Not too important	5	2	4	6	16	0	6	8	3	6	1	0	4	8	1	5	13	0	4	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	4	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	3	0	22	0	0
DK/NA	1	4	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	3	0	22	0	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152	250
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	83	80	88	66	71	91	86	75	66	81	86	80	76	73	77	77	82	78	77	86
Extremely important	49	23	59	43	28	59	49	37	41	20	56	48	44	42	45	41	46	41	39	57
Very important	34	57	29	23	42	32	37	38	25	62	30	33	32	31	32	37	37	37	37	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	15	12	34	29	9	14	18	27	19	14	19	24	27	23	23	17	19	22	13
Somewhat important	11	15	12	29	29	9	7	15	27	14	8	14	18	21	18	23	15	8	18	8
Not too important	5	0	0	5	0	0	7	2	0	4	6	5	6	6	5	0	2	11	4	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	1
DK/NA	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	1

Table 46: Q9I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	83	83	86	73	83	0	82	83	81	84	84	86	73	83
Extremely important	49	48	62	40	49	0	47	51	44	54	44	54	41	53
Very important	34	35	24	33	34	0	35	32	37	31	39	33	32	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	16	16	14	22	16	0	16	16	18	15	15	13	23	17
Somewhat important	11	11	14	14	11	0	13	10	13	10	12	9	20	7
Not too important	5	5	0	7	5	0	4	6	5	5	3	4	3	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	5	1	0	2	1	2	1	1	0	4	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	5	1	0	2	1	2	1	1	0	4	0

Table 47: Q9J. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni free for youth

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	72	57	40	51	55	53	53	48	37	52	53	60	47	60	35	53	56	37	38
Extremely important	32	58	36	25	36	38	11	42	24	24	32	30	38	29	40	21	20	37	23	31
Very important	19	14	21	14	15	17	43	11	24	14	19	23	22	18	20	14	33	19	14	7
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	28	42	60	49	45	47	47	52	63	47	47	40	52	40	63	47	43	63	62
Somewhat important	25	20	26	23	28	27	38	22	34	47	23	23	17	28	19	22	34	28	23	45
Not too important	24	7	16	37	21	18	8	25	18	16	25	23	23	24	21	41	13	15	40	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	59	57	43	33	58	36	49	55	56	41	51	37	56	42	63	47	55	49	61
Extremely important	32	41	35	24	22	38	22	22	32	35	26	32	24	36	27	39	27	46	31	41
Very important	19	18	22	20	12	20	14	27	23	20	15	19	13	20	14	24	20	9	18	21
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	41	42	57	66	42	63	51	44	44	58	49	62	43	58	37	52	44	51	38
Somewhat important	25	24	24	34	19	24	23	36	27	25	22	34	28	12	28	21	28	20	27	17
Not too important	24	17	18	22	47	18	40	14	17	19	35	15	34	31	30	16	24	24	24	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1

Table 47: Q9J. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni free for youth

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	46	53	53	60	60	50	51	47	49	64	74	58	46	51	56	67	41	42	66	42
Extremely important	32	36	38	33	43	40	31	39	31	26	40	57	38	22	32	50	49	23	26	49	24
Very important	19	10	15	20	17	20	20	12	16	22	23	17	19	24	19	5	18	18	16	17	18
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	53	46	46	40	40	49	49	52	51	36	26	42	54	49	44	33	58	57	34	57
Somewhat important	25	27	24	25	26	19	26	23	29	22	23	20	22	27	15	29	20	29	28	21	28
Not too important	24	26	22	21	14	21	23	26	23	29	13	7	21	27	34	16	13	29	29	13	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	69	47	31	23	70	55	50	40	34	63	40	45	48	64	82	56	56	36	45	
Extremely important	32	47	23	18	13	55	33	33	33	21	45	26	26	33	42	82	36	37	21	21	
Very important	19	22	23	14	10	15	22	16	7	13	18	13	20	14	22	0	20	19	15	24	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	30	53	68	75	30	44	49	60	65	37	60	55	51	36	18	43	44	63	55	
Somewhat important	25	14	32	32	46	17	27	22	33	32	22	29	24	28	22	0	25	27	23	18	
Not too important	24	16	21	36	29	13	17	28	27	33	15	31	30	24	14	18	18	17	40	36	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	
DK/NA	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	

Table 47: Q9J. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni free for youth

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	61	42	53	56	9	74	38	59	52	37	45	55	47	40	59	36	55	30	14	8
Extremely important	32	39	28	33	37	7	61	27	41	22	16	24	41	21	19	35	21	35	15	8	8
Very important	19	22	15	20	19	3	13	10	18	30	21	21	13	26	21	23	15	20	15	6	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	39	57	46	43	90	26	62	41	46	62	54	45	52	59	41	63	45	69	86	89
Somewhat important	25	24	26	25	22	37	9	35	21	26	26	29	23	26	27	23	28	23	39	24	51
Not too important	24	15	30	22	21	52	17	27	19	20	37	25	22	26	32	18	35	22	30	62	39
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	3
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	44	40	65	55	40	64	52	62	17	0	56	50	59	52	9	9	55	35	74	51
Extremely important	32	32	22	49	22	24	39	37	44	12	0	41	23	47	22	5	7	43	20	53	29
Very important	19	12	18	15	32	16	25	16	17	5	0	15	28	11	30	4	2	11	15	21	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	55	58	35	45	60	36	46	38	81	100	44	49	41	47	91	89	45	64	26	48
Somewhat important	25	23	31	23	25	29	22	24	20	21	82	22	28	23	22	52	29	33	24	12	32
Not too important	24	33	27	13	20	31	14	22	19	60	18	23	20	18	26	38	60	12	40	14	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	1
DK/NA	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	1

Table 47: Q9J. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni free for youth

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	58	37	40	61	50	28	48	40	66	65	72	52	40	56	40	5	55	57	45
Extremely important	32	32	20	23	42	34	25	15	30	38	55	44	34	22	33	33	0	55	39	26
Very important	19	26	17	17	19	16	3	33	10	28	10	28	19	18	23	7	5	0	18	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	42	62	60	38	48	71	51	60	32	35	28	47	60	44	59	95	24	42	55
Somewhat important	25	27	26	34	21	20	35	30	37	15	22	3	24	28	25	28	37	0	21	25
Not too important	24	15	36	26	17	29	36	21	23	17	12	25	23	32	19	31	58	24	21	31
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	22	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	22	1	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	63	56	35	28	30	63	30	54	47	53	55	56	54	50	57	45	35	60	
Extremely important	32	34	45	16	0	30	32	15	40	34	35	40	38	35	28	26	40	30	15	45
Very important	19	29	11	19	28	0	31	15	14	12	18	14	18	19	26	24	17	15	20	15
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	37	41	65	72	70	37	70	42	53	46	45	43	45	45	49	43	53	63	40
Somewhat important	25	27	10	34	27	52	9	30	23	34	23	20	22	23	23	21	24	23	33	21
Not too important	24	10	32	31	45	17	28	39	19	19	23	24	21	23	23	29	19	30	30	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	0

Table 47: Q9J. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni free for youth

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	52	56	40	51	0	51	51	53	49	42	57	48	55
Extremely important	32	32	48	21	32	0	31	33	37	28	25	41	27	31
Very important	19	20	8	19	19	0	20	17	16	21	16	16	21	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	48	44	60	48	0	48	49	46	51	58	42	51	45
Somewhat important	25	23	38	31	25	0	22	28	24	26	31	20	24	26
Not too important	24	24	7	29	24	0	26	21	22	25	27	22	28	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1

Table 48: Q9K. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Expanding reduced fares for seniors and low-income residents

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	64	81	69	55	68	70	65	63	69	47	62	79	68	62	70	52	67	67	49	74
Extremely important	41	67	44	36	45	45	32	46	38	41	40	43	43	39	45	31	44	47	28	37
Very important	22	15	25	18	23	25	33	17	31	6	22	35	25	23	25	21	23	21	21	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	19	31	43	31	29	35	37	31	53	36	21	32	36	29	46	31	32	48	26
Somewhat important	20	10	21	19	19	18	24	21	13	29	22	10	17	21	18	24	21	22	15	15
Not too important	15	9	10	24	12	11	11	16	18	24	14	11	15	15	11	22	10	11	33	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	2	2	2	0	2	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	2	2	2	0	2	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	64	71	66	58	49	68	51	69	66	68	55	60	53	57	57	72	59	70	62	73
Extremely important	41	46	45	27	31	46	30	43	45	43	37	40	29	50	34	50	37	42	38	55
Very important	22	24	21	31	17	23	21	26	22	25	18	20	24	8	23	22	22	28	24	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	28	33	42	48	31	47	30	33	31	43	40	45	41	41	28	39	29	37	26
Somewhat important	20	20	21	31	16	20	20	20	20	19	20	32	24	12	22	18	21	18	21	16
Not too important	15	9	13	12	32	11	27	10	12	12	23	8	21	29	20	9	18	11	16	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	0	3	1	2	1	1	1	2	0	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	3	1	2	1	1	1	2	0	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1

Table 48: Q9K. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding reduced fares for seniors and low-income residents

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	64	61	66	67	65	64	60	65	62	67	74	80	72	65	71	84	84	50	59	84	53
Extremely important	41	37	45	44	38	35	40	44	43	35	67	58	46	35	34	84	65	28	31	68	29
Very important	22	24	21	23	27	29	20	21	19	33	7	23	26	30	37	0	19	21	28	16	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	39	32	32	35	36	39	34	37	32	26	19	27	34	28	16	16	49	38	16	45
Somewhat important	20	21	19	22	28	20	23	18	24	13	19	15	15	16	8	7	15	25	17	14	22
Not too important	15	17	13	10	7	16	16	16	13	19	8	3	12	18	20	9	1	23	21	2	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	3	0	2
DK/NA	1	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	3	0	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	64	75	62	43	69	87	79	54	62	45	83	50	58	63	66	82	68	67	51	69	
Extremely important	41	52	33	26	36	76	51	33	33	18	64	24	42	40	43	36	44	48	31	31	
Very important	22	23	28	17	33	11	28	21	29	27	19	25	17	23	22	46	24	19	20	38	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	24	36	57	27	13	19	44	30	55	16	48	41	35	34	18	31	32	47	28	
Somewhat important	20	19	20	31	3	7	15	26	20	25	11	25	22	22	21	0	20	22	20	0	
Not too important	15	5	16	25	24	6	5	19	10	29	5	24	19	14	13	18	11	10	27	28	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	2	1	4	0	1	2	8	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	3	
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	4	0	1	2	8	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	3	

Table 48: Q9K. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding reduced fares for seniors and low-income residents

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	64	74	54	67	61	47	69	59	68	65	59	64	64	63	61	69	56	65	34	14	58
Extremely important	41	51	32	45	40	19	47	36	46	36	40	49	42	40	44	45	38	36	18	8	17
Very important	22	23	22	23	21	28	22	22	22	29	18	15	22	23	17	25	18	29	17	6	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	25	45	31	39	51	30	41	31	33	38	36	35	35	38	29	44	35	65	86	35
Somewhat important	20	16	25	22	17	15	19	17	16	21	26	26	18	23	26	21	28	13	31	38	4
Not too important	15	8	19	10	21	36	11	24	14	12	13	10	17	12	12	8	15	22	33	48	31
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	2	2	3	0	1	2	2	2	1	0	1	0	6
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	2	2	3	0	1	2	2	2	1	0	1	0	6

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	64	53	54	75	73	54	77	57	68	41	59	67	67	62	59	37	52	82	43	85	67
Extremely important	41	29	35	54	47	34	51	33	51	9	50	45	43	38	42	26	15	61	22	71	38
Very important	22	24	19	21	26	19	26	24	17	32	9	22	24	24	17	11	38	21	22	14	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	46	43	24	26	45	21	42	32	55	41	32	31	38	40	63	44	18	54	15	31
Somewhat important	20	21	30	15	18	30	16	18	17	22	11	19	26	16	20	8	20	16	25	14	18
Not too important	15	25	13	9	8	14	5	23	15	33	30	12	6	22	20	55	25	1	29	2	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	4	0	1	2	0	2	0	3	0	3	0	2
DK/NA	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	4	0	1	2	0	2	0	3	0	3	0	2

Table 48: Q9K. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding reduced fares for seniors and low-income residents

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	64	72	42	58	69	73	64	55	51	70	61	91	65	53	70	51	32	22	63	64
Extremely important	41	42	29	44	47	49	39	35	34	40	48	48	43	29	45	41	12	0	44	29
Very important	22	30	13	14	21	24	25	20	17	30	13	43	22	23	25	10	21	22	19	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	24	57	41	31	24	35	45	46	30	38	9	34	45	28	49	64	78	36	36
Somewhat important	20	14	33	17	17	9	18	28	32	14	28	6	20	21	18	37	20	0	19	12
Not too important	15	10	24	24	14	15	17	17	15	16	10	3	14	24	10	12	45	78	17	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	4	1	1	0	3	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	4	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	4	1	1	0	3	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	4	0	1	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	64	75	60	39	18	67	72	68	69	68	64	67	68	67	65	73	68	59	49	72
Extremely important	41	42	50	23	13	53	56	46	40	41	41	43	44	41	35	32	43	39	21	53
Very important	22	33	10	16	5	14	16	23	29	28	23	24	24	27	31	42	25	20	28	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	20	35	59	75	33	28	32	28	28	36	32	30	31	32	25	31	40	50	27
Somewhat important	20	8	18	31	37	14	7	20	10	22	22	18	15	16	18	8	18	25	29	16
Not too important	15	12	17	28	38	19	21	12	18	6	13	14	15	15	14	17	13	15	21	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	4	6	2	7	0	0	0	3	4	0	1	2	2	3	1	1	1	2	1
DK/NA	1	4	6	2	7	0	0	0	3	4	0	1	2	2	3	1	1	1	2	1

Table 48: Q9K. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding reduced fares for seniors and low-income residents

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	64	62	85	67	64	0	64	63	65	62	65	70	55	60
Extremely important	41	41	59	32	41	0	41	42	42	41	44	43	35	41
Very important	22	21	26	35	22	0	23	21	23	22	20	27	20	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	37	15	33	35	0	34	36	34	36	33	30	43	40
Somewhat important	20	21	12	14	20	0	20	20	20	20	17	18	24	24
Not too important	15	16	4	19	15	0	14	16	14	16	16	12	19	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	2	0	2	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	2	0	2	1

Table 49: Q9L. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	56	56	47	64	59	53	64	38	76	58	42	48	55	53	37	51	65	41	36
Extremely important	26	30	24	30	31	29	12	21	25	36	30	20	24	25	30	19	16	30	21	19
Very important	27	26	32	17	33	31	41	43	12	40	28	21	24	30	23	18	34	36	20	17
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	38	37	49	31	35	40	32	54	24	39	51	48	39	39	58	46	32	50	53
Somewhat important	26	24	25	29	24	26	25	23	31	24	28	29	34	25	28	33	45	20	21	27
Not too important	15	14	12	21	7	10	16	9	23	0	11	22	14	14	11	24	1	12	29	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	7	4	4	5	6	4	9	0	3	7	4	6	8	6	3	3	9	11
DK/NA	6	6	7	4	4	5	6	4	9	0	3	7	4	6	8	6	3	3	9	11

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	68	51	49	34	60	38	47	50	59	40	52	57	34	53	53	49	64	52	58
Extremely important	26	34	25	26	18	30	20	17	23	28	22	22	28	16	23	29	22	35	25	33
Very important	27	34	26	24	17	30	19	30	27	31	18	30	29	18	29	24	27	28	27	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	30	41	47	57	35	55	48	42	36	54	43	41	62	45	38	45	30	42	37
Somewhat important	26	23	24	37	25	23	28	40	28	26	24	33	27	31	28	23	27	18	25	28
Not too important	15	7	16	10	33	12	27	7	14	10	30	10	14	30	16	14	18	12	17	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	2	9	3	8	5	7	5	8	5	7	6	2	4	3	9	5	7	6	5
DK/NA	6	2	9	3	8	5	7	5	8	5	7	6	2	4	3	9	5	7	6	5

Table 49: Q9L. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	61	58	52	44	39	52	52	56	46	60	68	55	44	49	77	57	52	52	60	52
Extremely important	26	33	30	24	14	20	24	28	24	22	25	39	30	19	26	63	31	25	20	34	23
Very important	27	28	28	27	30	19	29	24	32	25	36	29	25	25	23	14	27	27	32	25	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	33	37	43	48	59	41	43	36	49	40	29	42	50	47	7	39	41	44	35	42
Somewhat important	26	22	22	27	19	33	21	30	25	33	21	17	26	34	31	7	21	28	30	19	29
Not too important	15	11	15	16	29	27	20	14	11	16	18	12	16	16	16	0	18	12	15	16	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	5	5	8	2	6	5	8	5	0	2	3	6	4	16	4	8	4	5	6
DK/NA	6	6	5	5	8	2	6	5	8	5	0	2	3	6	4	16	4	8	4	5	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	52	57	44	54	63	50	50	74	53	57	54	50	56	58	64	61	49	38	35	
Extremely important	26	22	29	17	21	40	28	23	31	23	35	24	23	28	30	0	29	28	18	25	
Very important	27	29	28	27	34	22	22	27	43	30	22	31	27	28	27	64	32	20	19	9	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	42	38	51	38	30	46	43	23	45	37	42	42	40	38	0	34	49	55	65	
Somewhat important	26	30	24	30	21	17	29	32	13	29	23	28	27	25	23	0	24	34	26	63	
Not too important	15	12	14	20	17	13	16	11	9	16	15	14	15	14	14	0	10	14	29	3	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	5	5	8	7	4	7	3	2	6	4	8	5	5	36	5	3	8	0	
DK/NA	6	6	5	5	8	7	4	7	3	2	6	4	8	5	5	36	5	3	8	0	

Table 49: Q9L. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	57	52	56	47	52	59	57	49	49	49	53	55	50	50	57	49	42	36	52	61
Extremely important	26	26	28	27	27	15	33	29	32	21	16	22	31	20	18	26	20	21	18	24	12
Very important	27	31	24	29	20	37	26	28	17	28	33	31	25	30	32	31	29	21	18	28	48
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	37	42	39	46	47	38	41	42	43	48	36	40	43	43	36	49	56	51	48	36
Somewhat important	26	24	26	24	31	24	27	26	28	23	35	17	27	25	28	23	29	43	33	14	23
Not too important	15	13	16	15	14	23	11	15	14	20	13	18	14	18	15	14	20	12	18	34	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	6	5	7	1	3	2	9	8	3	12	4	7	7	7	2	2	13	0	3
DK/NA	6	6	6	5	7	1	3	2	9	8	3	12	4	7	7	7	2	2	13	0	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	50	54	59	53	56	56	46	54	50	90	58	52	47	47	67	44	49	55	66	51
Extremely important	26	31	24	30	19	28	25	27	33	27	0	34	16	25	29	21	12	23	33	41	15
Very important	27	19	30	29	34	28	31	19	21	22	90	24	36	22	18	46	32	26	22	25	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	44	40	38	36	41	36	42	44	48	10	38	40	46	45	33	55	47	39	27	43
Somewhat important	26	29	21	24	24	21	24	33	26	21	5	24	23	33	29	15	29	28	26	14	29
Not too important	15	14	19	14	12	20	12	9	18	27	4	14	17	13	17	18	25	19	13	13	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	6	3	10	3	8	11	2	2	0	3	8	7	8	0	2	4	7	6	6
DK/NA	6	6	6	3	10	3	8	11	2	2	0	3	8	7	8	0	2	4	7	6	6

Table 49: Q9L. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	36	50	53	54	61	67	54	35	60	67	47	53	50	61	41	48	0	48	46
Extremely important	26	15	26	22	19	23	35	19	13	46	37	32	27	18	31	15	12	0	29	12
Very important	27	21	24	32	35	37	32	34	22	15	30	15	26	32	30	26	37	0	18	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	54	46	46	36	32	30	37	57	34	33	51	42	40	33	54	52	78	47	46
Somewhat important	26	44	35	28	15	16	18	28	42	19	9	32	27	16	23	25	25	0	35	17
Not too important	15	10	11	18	21	16	12	8	15	15	24	20	14	24	10	29	26	78	12	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	10	4	1	9	8	3	10	8	6	0	2	5	10	6	5	0	22	5	8
DK/NA	6	10	4	1	9	8	3	10	8	6	0	2	5	10	6	5	0	22	5	8

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	41	15	56	62	67	38	65	66	35	56	56	51	52	50	49	51	54	53	59
Extremely important	26	17	15	27	7	35	21	31	10	15	30	28	27	24	24	20	28	21	18	33
Very important	27	24	0	28	54	31	17	34	56	20	26	28	25	28	26	29	23	32	35	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	49	82	40	32	33	56	27	33	62	38	40	44	44	44	43	44	38	39	36
Somewhat important	26	41	53	33	27	20	29	9	33	31	23	29	29	29	31	30	30	15	25	25
Not too important	15	8	29	6	5	14	27	18	0	30	16	11	15	14	13	12	13	23	15	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	10	3	5	6	0	6	8	1	4	6	3	5	4	6	9	5	8	7	5
DK/NA	6	10	3	5	6	0	6	8	1	4	6	3	5	4	6	9	5	8	7	5

Table 49: Q9L. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	51	77	49	53	0	48	58	52	54	58	57	40	51
Extremely important	26	25	39	27	26	0	25	27	26	26	22	36	19	18
Very important	27	27	38	21	27	0	23	31	26	28	36	21	20	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	43	19	43	41	0	46	37	42	41	39	39	52	40
Somewhat important	26	27	6	37	26	0	32	20	26	27	25	20	40	26
Not too important	15	16	13	7	15	0	14	17	16	14	14	19	12	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	4	8	6	0	7	5	6	5	3	3	9	9
DK/NA	6	6	4	8	6	0	7	5	6	5	3	3	9	9

Table 50: Q9M. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	89	84	72	89	86	67	88	81	83	85	71	79	80	86	59	89	88	61	75
Extremely important	49	69	55	41	65	57	25	63	39	43	55	35	49	49	59	28	49	56	33	48
Very important	30	19	30	31	24	29	42	25	42	40	31	37	31	31	27	31	40	32	29	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	19	10	14	26	11	13	33	8	19	17	14	29	17	19	14	38	11	10	35	25
Somewhat important	13	10	12	16	8	9	12	4	18	13	11	25	8	15	11	25	5	8	20	25
Not too important	6	0	3	11	3	4	21	4	2	4	3	3	9	4	3	13	6	2	15	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	2	0	1	0	5	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	3	0	2	4	0
DK/NA	2	1	2	2	0	1	0	5	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	3	0	2	4	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	87	87	80	53	87	60	85	87	87	63	78	76	53	74	86	78	83	79	84
Extremely important	49	58	56	39	26	57	30	49	54	55	36	47	42	38	43	57	45	53	47	61
Very important	30	29	31	41	26	30	30	36	32	32	27	32	35	15	31	29	33	30	33	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	19	13	10	20	43	12	37	15	11	12	34	15	24	45	25	11	21	12	19	15
Somewhat important	13	11	8	18	25	9	23	10	8	10	21	10	15	36	18	7	14	6	13	13
Not too important	6	2	2	2	18	2	14	5	3	2	13	5	8	9	7	4	7	6	6	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	2	0	5	1	3	0	2	0	3	7	0	2	1	3	1	5	2	1
DK/NA	2	0	2	0	5	1	3	0	2	0	3	7	0	2	1	3	1	5	2	1

Table 50: Q9M. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	80	80	79	77	75	75	79	80	80	95	85	84	78	83	93	86	76	80	87	78
Extremely important	49	49	54	51	46	46	44	51	50	48	92	63	58	45	53	84	66	41	47	68	43
Very important	30	31	26	27	30	29	30	28	30	32	3	23	27	33	30	9	21	35	33	19	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	19	20	18	21	18	22	23	20	19	19	5	11	13	20	17	7	14	22	18	13	20
Somewhat important	13	14	13	19	17	18	16	15	13	14	3	11	11	16	12	7	11	16	10	11	14
Not too important	6	6	5	2	1	4	7	5	6	4	2	0	2	4	5	0	2	6	9	2	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	2	1	5	3	2	2	0	1	0	3	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	2
DK/NA	2	0	2	1	5	3	2	2	0	1	0	3	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME								Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	92	76	66	85	85	77	84	87	79	81	81	77	80	81	82	88	78	61	78	
Extremely important	49	65	48	34	42	65	59	46	47	41	62	43	44	48	65	82	56	50	31	37	
Very important	30	28	28	32	43	20	18	39	39	38	19	38	32	32	16	0	31	29	29	40	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	19	8	21	33	13	14	22	15	13	21	18	18	23	19	15	18	12	18	36	22	
Somewhat important	13	6	16	21	6	11	20	10	8	13	15	12	16	13	10	18	9	15	21	22	
Not too important	6	1	5	12	7	2	2	5	5	8	2	7	6	5	4	0	3	3	15	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	3	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4	0	0	4	4	0	
DK/NA	2	0	3	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4	0	0	4	4	0	

Table 50: Q9M. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	87	73	82	79	61	83	72	87	83	83	66	79	80	76	85	71	81	68	52	77
Extremely important	49	58	42	55	45	17	58	43	54	51	44	40	51	47	43	58	42	49	28	11	36
Very important	30	29	30	27	34	44	24	29	32	33	38	26	29	33	33	27	29	32	40	41	41
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	19	12	24	17	19	36	14	28	12	16	16	28	19	18	21	14	28	18	32	48	20
Somewhat important	13	11	14	12	12	24	10	21	8	10	10	20	14	12	14	9	21	18	18	21	9
Not too important	6	1	11	5	7	12	4	7	5	6	6	8	5	6	7	4	7	0	14	27	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	3	1	2	3	3	0	1	1	2	6	1	2	3	1	1	1	0	0	3
DK/NA	2	1	3	1	2	3	3	0	1	1	2	6	1	2	3	1	1	1	0	0	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	75	69	83	93	74	88	74	89	62	57	79	86	84	73	54	65	84	72	89	86
Extremely important	49	46	38	56	63	45	61	42	60	27	8	55	54	48	41	11	21	70	34	65	54
Very important	30	30	32	27	31	29	27	32	30	35	49	24	31	36	32	42	44	14	38	23	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	19	22	27	16	6	24	11	23	10	33	43	19	14	15	23	46	31	16	25	11	13
Somewhat important	13	12	16	15	4	14	9	13	10	11	43	14	10	12	12	27	23	11	14	11	11
Not too important	6	11	11	1	1	9	2	10	0	22	0	5	4	4	10	20	8	5	11	0	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	4	1	1	2	1	3	1	5	0	2	1	1	4	0	4	0	3	0	1
DK/NA	2	2	4	1	1	2	1	3	1	5	0	2	1	1	4	0	4	0	3	0	1

Table 50: Q9M. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	85	76	72	69	83	71	77	81	86	86	87	80	75	85	71	57	55	82	67
Extremely important	49	43	42	45	49	58	47	35	39	48	73	63	50	36	58	41	7	55	49	34
Very important	30	42	33	26	20	25	24	42	43	38	13	24	30	38	27	30	50	0	33	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	19	15	23	27	28	17	29	19	16	14	8	13	18	25	14	24	40	24	18	26
Somewhat important	13	13	10	24	20	10	20	12	12	12	6	9	13	15	10	16	26	0	14	16
Not too important	6	2	14	3	8	6	9	7	4	2	3	4	5	10	4	8	14	24	5	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	1	3	0	0	4	3	0	6	0	2	0	1	5	3	22	0	6
DK/NA	2	0	1	1	3	0	0	4	3	0	6	0	2	0	1	5	3	22	0	6

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	89	71	69	72	87	77	74	89	79	80	81	80	78	78	80	82	68	76	82
Extremely important	49	44	48	39	22	53	56	38	61	41	51	52	52	50	52	60	57	37	41	55
Very important	30	45	23	30	50	33	21	36	28	38	29	29	28	28	26	20	26	31	35	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	19	11	29	29	28	10	23	26	11	19	18	19	19	21	21	20	16	27	23	16
Somewhat important	13	9	12	16	22	10	21	17	0	15	13	13	14	15	13	12	9	22	16	12
Not too important	6	3	17	14	6	0	2	9	11	4	5	6	6	6	8	8	6	5	7	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	2	4	1	2
DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	2	4	1	2

Table 50: Q9M. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	80	75	78	79	0	78	81	78	81	80	82	79	74
Extremely important	49	49	55	43	49	0	48	50	51	47	50	56	42	43
Very important	30	31	20	35	30	0	30	31	27	34	31	26	37	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	19	19	17	18	19	0	21	17	19	19	19	15	20	23
Somewhat important	13	13	17	14	13	0	15	12	13	13	14	11	11	17
Not too important	6	6	0	4	6	0	6	5	6	6	5	4	9	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	9	3	2	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	1	3
DK/NA	2	1	9	3	2	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	1	3

Table 51: Q9N. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to North Beach and Fisherman's Wharf

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	75	56	48	59	59	51	60	47	57	57	46	47	55	61	32	60	61	42	3
Extremely important	28	49	30	25	31	31	34	32	22	30	28	21	20	29	25	15	31	38	23	0
Very important	25	27	27	22	28	28	17	29	25	27	29	26	27	26	36	17	29	23	19	3
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	22	41	48	38	38	44	36	50	43	40	53	51	42	38	63	39	37	52	80
Somewhat important	24	15	25	25	21	23	10	17	18	19	28	29	25	24	21	29	30	21	25	68
Not too important	20	7	17	23	16	15	35	18	32	24	12	23	26	17	17	34	9	16	27	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	4	3	3	5	4	3	0	3	1	2	4	2	4	2	2	6	17
DK/NA	3	3	2	4	3	3	5	4	3	0	3	1	2	4	2	4	2	2	6	17

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	62	59	50	32	61	37	46	56	61	38	41	46	40	44	63	50	64	52	57
Extremely important	28	35	29	25	16	32	19	23	28	31	22	19	24	17	21	37	25	39	28	27
Very important	25	27	30	26	15	28	18	23	29	30	16	22	22	23	24	27	25	25	25	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	36	39	46	63	37	58	49	41	37	57	54	51	60	53	33	46	36	44	41
Somewhat important	24	19	23	36	24	21	28	39	27	21	27	42	24	37	28	19	25	24	24	23
Not too important	20	17	16	10	38	16	31	10	14	16	30	12	27	23	25	13	22	12	20	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	4	5	2	5	5	3	2	5	5	3	0	2	4	4	0	3	3
DK/NA	3	2	2	4	5	2	5	5	3	2	5	5	3	0	2	4	4	0	3	3

Table 51: Q9N. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to North Beach and Fisherman's Wharf

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	56	55	52	50	46	52	61	52	49	61	77	58	49	50	100	59	52	43	64	49
Extremely important	28	33	31	28	28	22	26	34	23	22	30	47	33	24	20	80	33	26	21	38	24
Very important	25	22	24	23	22	24	25	27	29	27	30	30	26	25	30	20	27	26	22	26	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	44	42	47	50	54	45	37	45	47	39	22	39	45	48	0	38	47	49	34	47
Somewhat important	24	24	24	31	35	28	25	19	27	25	15	14	22	27	21	0	27	23	27	24	24
Not too important	20	20	18	16	16	25	20	18	17	21	25	8	17	18	27	0	11	24	22	10	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	3	2	0	0	3	2	3	4	0	1	3	6	2	0	3	1	8	2	4
DK/NA	3	0	3	2	0	0	3	2	3	4	0	1	3	6	2	0	3	1	8	2	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	58	56	42	39	64	45	57	38	55	55	54	45	54	61	82	60	55	35	77	
Extremely important	28	27	27	19	11	48	16	20	21	32	33	27	25	29	29	36	31	34	17	41	
Very important	25	31	29	23	28	16	29	37	17	24	22	27	21	25	32	46	29	21	18	36	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	40	39	58	55	36	51	38	55	42	43	42	52	42	37	18	38	42	60	20	
Somewhat important	24	22	24	29	36	20	40	16	32	18	29	19	34	22	19	0	21	34	26	15	
Not too important	20	18	15	29	19	15	12	22	24	24	14	23	18	20	18	18	17	9	33	5	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	4	1	6	1	3	4	7	3	2	4	3	4	2	0	2	3	5	3	
DK/NA	3	2	4	1	6	1	3	4	7	3	2	4	3	4	2	0	2	3	5	3	

Table 51: Q9N. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to North Beach and Fisherman's Wharf

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	53	55	52	58	39	71	66	47	49	38	23	62	41	32	56	38	61	47	50	30
Extremely important	28	24	33	28	30	21	38	39	19	26	14	17	33	21	15	29	20	21	17	25	3
Very important	25	29	22	24	28	18	33	27	28	23	24	6	29	20	17	28	18	40	31	24	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	43	42	45	40	57	28	34	51	46	56	67	37	53	60	40	61	37	53	50	61
Somewhat important	24	22	23	26	22	23	11	24	26	21	41	33	21	29	38	24	29	19	37	11	31
Not too important	20	21	19	19	17	34	17	11	25	25	15	34	17	24	22	16	32	18	16	40	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	3	3	3	5	1	0	2	5	7	9	1	6	8	4	1	2	0	0	9
DK/NA	3	4	3	3	3	5	1	0	2	5	7	9	1	6	8	4	1	2	0	0	9

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	65	41	59	43	57	49	57	62	32	66	58	43	66	46	82	14	64	51	63	48
Extremely important	28	35	29	30	15	39	20	28	35	15	33	33	20	32	27	39	10	34	34	40	14
Very important	25	30	12	29	28	18	29	30	27	16	32	25	23	34	19	43	4	30	17	23	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	34	53	40	48	40	47	41	34	62	29	41	51	33	48	18	79	33	46	35	47
Somewhat important	24	17	31	22	22	24	25	21	17	27	0	22	31	20	26	8	32	26	23	22	23
Not too important	20	17	22	18	26	16	22	20	17	35	29	19	20	13	23	10	47	7	23	13	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	6	1	8	3	4	2	4	6	5	1	7	1	5	0	7	3	3	2	5
DK/NA	3	1	6	1	8	3	4	2	4	6	5	1	7	1	5	0	7	3	3	2	5

Table 51: Q9N. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to North Beach and Fisherman's Wharf

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	32	56	61	27	60	77	35	54	51	64	67	54	43	54	56	36	0	60	30
Extremely important	28	8	28	32	13	43	50	20	19	32	26	35	29	19	29	28	14	0	32	14
Very important	25	24	28	29	14	16	26	15	35	18	39	31	25	24	25	27	22	0	28	16
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	59	44	31	70	39	22	59	44	46	33	33	43	55	42	42	58	78	38	64
Somewhat important	24	31	25	21	37	28	16	31	24	24	15	14	24	24	24	17	14	0	23	42
Not too important	20	28	20	10	33	11	6	28	20	22	18	20	18	32	18	24	44	78	15	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	8	0	8	3	1	1	5	2	3	3	0	3	2	3	3	6	22	2	5
DK/NA	3	8	0	8	3	1	1	5	2	3	3	0	3	2	3	3	6	22	2	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	33	40	55	89	73	67	52	46	39	54	56	53	53	47	41	54	49	47	58
Extremely important	28	9	34	31	67	33	48	42	28	10	27	28	26	26	23	18	27	24	20	32
Very important	25	24	5	25	22	40	19	10	18	29	27	28	27	27	24	24	27	24	27	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	57	60	45	11	10	32	45	54	55	44	42	45	45	51	58	44	47	48	40
Somewhat important	24	31	32	28	0	8	24	19	45	25	23	26	27	29	34	38	20	27	27	22
Not too important	20	26	29	16	11	2	8	25	9	30	21	16	18	16	17	19	24	20	21	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	10	0	0	0	17	2	3	0	6	2	1	2	2	3	1	2	4	5	3
DK/NA	3	10	0	0	0	17	2	3	0	6	2	1	2	2	3	1	2	4	5	3

Table 51: Q9N. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to North Beach and Fisherman's Wharf

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	50	79	61	53	0	52	54	52	53	58	61	48	36
Extremely important	28	25	52	36	28	0	23	33	26	29	34	33	20	17
Very important	25	25	28	26	25	0	29	21	26	24	24	28	28	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	44	47	21	34	44	0	46	43	45	43	38	37	48	60
Somewhat important	24	26	15	14	24	0	25	24	22	27	26	18	25	31
Not too important	20	21	6	20	20	0	21	18	23	16	11	19	23	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	0	5	3	0	2	4	3	3	4	2	4	4
DK/NA	3	3	0	5	3	0	2	4	3	3	4	2	4	4

Table 52: Q90. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	86	70	61	73	72	68	84	72	49	67	66	74	66	69	42	68	80	57	85
Extremely important	37	56	40	30	42	41	45	54	38	20	37	22	43	34	37	26	40	48	20	33
Very important	31	30	30	31	30	30	23	30	35	30	30	44	31	31	32	16	27	32	37	52
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	14	28	38	26	27	32	14	22	51	32	33	24	33	29	56	32	19	40	15
Somewhat important	22	6	21	26	20	21	24	11	15	43	24	24	12	25	25	39	17	15	19	15
Not too important	9	8	7	12	6	6	8	3	7	8	7	9	12	8	4	17	15	4	22	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	2	6	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	3	0
DK/NA	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	2	6	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	3	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE					Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	77	73	61	44	75	49	72	73	75	53	64	59	73	64	73	66	70	67	72
Extremely important	37	52	32	19	25	43	23	38	34	41	27	45	28	34	31	45	32	47	35	47
Very important	31	25	41	43	19	32	25	34	39	34	26	20	31	39	33	28	34	23	32	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	21	25	37	54	23	49	28	26	24	45	36	39	25	34	26	32	29	31	28
Somewhat important	22	18	22	32	29	20	30	17	20	19	29	19	26	14	23	21	23	22	22	22
Not too important	9	3	4	5	25	4	19	11	6	4	16	17	13	11	11	5	10	6	9	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	0	1	2	2	0	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	0
DK/NA	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	0	1	2	2	0	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	0

Table 52: Q9O. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	61	69	70	69	77	65	67	65	63	92	89	75	56	73	63	81	62	65	79	63
Extremely important	37	40	41	41	42	36	35	42	39	26	54	57	41	17	40	54	49	32	30	50	32
Very important	31	21	27	29	27	41	30	25	27	37	38	32	35	39	33	9	32	30	35	30	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	36	30	30	29	22	33	32	32	36	8	10	24	44	23	37	19	35	33	21	34
Somewhat important	22	31	24	24	24	17	24	25	25	22	6	8	16	27	14	27	16	24	24	18	24
Not too important	9	6	7	6	6	5	9	7	7	14	2	2	8	16	9	11	2	11	9	3	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	0	2	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	4	0	0	3	2	0	2
DK/NA	1	2	1	0	2	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	4	0	0	3	2	0	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	76	65	63	69	74	81	62	74	60	77	62	60	68	76	82	76	64	51	59	
Extremely important	37	48	31	24	46	54	42	28	55	27	48	30	34	36	47	82	42	39	25	19	
Very important	31	29	34	39	23	21	39	35	19	33	29	32	26	32	29	0	34	25	26	39	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	22	33	36	29	26	18	33	22	38	22	35	39	29	23	18	23	35	47	38	
Somewhat important	22	19	28	18	18	21	10	32	13	24	16	25	31	21	16	18	19	24	29	26	
Not too important	9	3	5	17	11	4	8	1	9	14	6	10	8	9	7	0	4	11	18	11	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	2	1	2	0	1	4	3	2	0	3	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	4	
DK/NA	1	2	2	1	2	0	1	4	3	2	0	3	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	4	

Table 52: Q90. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	79	57	75	59	46	76	65	67	68	68	59	69	66	64	72	84	64	45	18	59
Extremely important	37	44	30	40	35	23	54	36	35	29	40	27	41	32	35	42	34	32	19	8	32
Very important	31	35	28	35	24	23	21	29	32	39	28	32	28	35	29	31	50	32	26	11	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	20	41	24	39	53	23	34	31	29	32	41	30	32	35	26	16	33	53	82	38
Somewhat important	22	16	28	19	27	32	17	27	19	23	18	30	22	23	23	21	11	30	20	55	22
Not too important	9	3	13	5	12	21	5	6	13	7	14	11	8	10	13	5	5	3	33	27	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	3	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	3	3	0	3
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	3	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	3	3	0	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	57	57	79	79	65	81	49	76	45	67	73	77	65	50	39	51	70	53	84	75
Extremely important	37	34	23	47	41	33	44	27	47	21	42	43	36	41	26	26	21	40	25	54	39
Very important	31	23	34	32	39	32	37	21	29	25	25	30	41	24	24	12	30	30	28	30	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	40	42	20	19	33	18	49	22	53	33	26	22	33	47	61	48	30	44	16	23
Somewhat important	22	28	28	16	17	23	16	35	16	26	33	19	18	26	29	40	27	24	28	14	19
Not too important	9	12	14	4	2	9	3	14	6	26	0	7	3	8	18	22	20	5	15	2	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	2	0	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	2	0	3	0	2
DK/NA	1	2	2	0	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	1	1	1	2	0	2	0	3	0	2

Table 52: Q9O. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	71	52	64	73	60	63	64	71	71	78	83	69	59	75	68	44	24	62	62
Extremely important	37	42	31	37	22	24	41	39	34	39	58	46	39	21	43	34	8	0	37	27
Very important	31	30	21	27	50	36	22	25	36	32	20	36	30	38	32	34	36	24	25	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	27	46	33	27	39	36	35	28	26	22	16	30	38	24	32	56	55	36	38
Somewhat important	22	21	30	21	22	32	15	24	22	24	18	14	21	30	18	28	32	0	24	28
Not too important	9	6	16	13	6	7	22	11	6	2	4	2	9	9	6	4	24	55	11	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	2	3	0	1	1	2	1	3	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	22	2	0
DK/NA	1	2	2	3	0	1	1	2	1	3	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	22	2	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	76	71	51	65	66	62	65	66	75	69	64	68	69	68	70	74	61	66	70
Extremely important	37	42	56	26	28	44	26	23	34	37	40	39	40	39	34	42	42	27	23	48
Very important	31	34	15	24	36	22	36	42	32	38	30	26	28	29	34	28	31	34	43	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	22	29	46	35	32	36	34	34	22	30	33	29	29	28	27	24	38	32	29
Somewhat important	22	19	0	27	22	30	27	24	17	18	23	24	19	17	18	16	17	28	21	23
Not too important	9	3	29	19	14	2	9	10	17	4	7	9	11	12	10	11	7	10	11	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	0	3	0	2	2	1	0	3	1	2	3	3	4	3	2	0	2	1
DK/NA	1	2	0	3	0	2	2	1	0	3	1	2	3	3	4	3	2	0	2	1

Table 52: Q9O. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	67	94	51	68	0	61	75	70	65	68	73	58	68
Extremely important	37	36	75	18	37	0	34	40	38	37	33	46	31	31
Very important	31	31	20	33	31	0	27	34	32	29	34	27	27	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	31	6	49	31	0	38	24	29	33	30	26	41	32
Somewhat important	22	23	6	32	22	0	28	16	20	24	22	18	29	24
Not too important	9	8	0	17	9	0	9	8	8	9	8	7	12	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	1
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	1

Table 53: Q9P. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	45	58	47	41	57	50	57	62	50	46	37	46	45	43	48	35	40	55	24	55
Extremely important	22	25	20	24	28	22	36	22	19	20	19	16	18	21	21	24	23	24	17	5
Very important	23	33	26	17	29	28	22	40	30	26	18	30	28	22	27	11	17	31	7	50
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	40	51	57	42	48	43	36	50	54	59	53	52	55	51	65	51	42	75	34
Somewhat important	34	36	38	28	31	35	27	22	35	35	39	39	28	38	38	34	48	29	29	30
Not too important	19	4	13	28	11	13	15	14	15	19	20	13	24	17	13	30	3	13	46	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	2	1	2	0	2	0	0	4	1	2	2	1	0	9	3	1	11
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	1	2	0	2	0	0	4	1	2	2	1	0	9	3	1	11

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	45	54	49	38	27	52	30	44	48	51	33	43	43	32	41	50	44	46	44	51
Extremely important	22	24	22	24	20	23	21	18	21	22	23	21	19	13	17	28	21	22	22	24
Very important	23	30	28	13	7	29	9	25	27	30	9	22	23	19	24	22	22	23	22	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	45	47	62	71	46	69	47	47	46	66	49	57	67	58	45	53	54	53	47
Somewhat important	34	32	34	44	27	33	32	44	36	32	33	45	33	40	34	34	31	46	34	34
Not too important	19	13	13	18	44	13	37	3	11	14	33	4	24	27	24	12	23	9	20	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	3	0	1	2	1	9	5	2	1	8	0	1	1	4	3	0	3	1
DK/NA	2	1	3	0	1	2	1	9	5	2	1	8	0	1	1	4	3	0	3	1

Table 53: Q9P. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	45	45	47	45	42	41	44	46	45	41	60	62	50	41	41	57	52	41	41	53	41
Extremely important	22	29	24	26	22	13	23	24	21	12	37	33	23	11	15	49	26	19	13	29	17
Very important	23	15	23	19	20	28	21	22	24	29	23	29	27	30	27	9	26	22	29	24	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	55	50	50	56	58	53	53	54	58	28	37	47	57	59	27	43	59	58	41	58
Somewhat important	34	32	33	38	49	43	32	34	36	34	24	32	32	40	24	27	37	31	34	36	32
Not too important	19	23	18	13	7	15	21	19	18	24	3	5	15	17	35	0	6	28	23	5	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	3	5	2	1	3	1	1	2	13	1	3	3	0	16	5	0	1	6	1
DK/NA	2	0	3	5	2	1	3	1	1	2	13	1	3	3	0	16	5	0	1	6	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	45	50	43	36	31	53	52	40	43	40	53	41	41	50	37	82	52	48	29	46	
Extremely important	22	28	20	9	13	35	26	18	18	11	31	14	21	23	19	36	22	27	20	21	
Very important	23	22	23	27	18	18	27	22	25	30	22	27	20	27	18	46	30	20	9	25	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	46	55	63	69	42	46	59	53	59	44	58	57	49	59	18	46	47	70	49	
Somewhat important	34	37	36	35	24	34	35	42	20	32	35	34	36	32	42	0	33	40	33	27	
Not too important	19	10	20	28	45	7	12	17	33	28	9	25	21	17	18	18	14	7	38	22	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	4	1	1	0	6	1	1	3	0	4	1	2	1	4	0	2	5	1	6	
DK/NA	2	4	1	1	0	6	1	1	3	0	4	1	2	1	4	0	2	5	1	6	

Table 53: Q9P. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	45	54	38	50	41	22	42	38	55	44	52	46	44	47	49	48	53	39	17	8	18
Extremely important	22	26	18	24	21	12	21	23	31	15	27	16	25	18	22	27	16	13	4	8	0
Very important	23	28	20	26	20	10	21	15	24	29	25	30	19	28	27	22	38	26	14	0	18
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	44	59	46	59	78	57	62	43	49	48	52	55	49	50	48	45	61	83	92	82
Somewhat important	34	33	35	33	36	26	48	34	27	26	31	40	36	30	35	36	31	36	47	0	27
Not too important	19	12	23	13	23	52	9	27	16	23	17	12	19	19	15	12	14	25	36	92	55
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	4	4	0	0	1	0	3	7	0	2	1	4	1	3	1	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	2	2	4	4	0	0	1	0	3	7	0	2	1	4	1	3	1	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	45	35	41	54	55	45	55	30	56	25	29	45	58	46	34	26	19	42	34	59	51
Extremely important	22	21	14	30	20	23	25	14	27	7	29	25	23	25	15	26	3	20	15	36	19
Very important	23	14	27	24	34	22	29	16	29	18	0	20	35	21	19	0	16	22	19	24	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	64	52	45	44	48	43	70	44	75	71	54	35	54	66	74	81	47	65	38	49
Somewhat important	34	39	31	35	29	32	34	46	29	9	25	39	25	34	39	12	33	39	35	34	31
Not too important	19	25	21	10	15	16	9	24	14	66	46	15	10	21	27	61	47	8	30	3	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	7	1	2	7	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	11	1	3	1
DK/NA	2	1	7	1	2	7	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	11	1	3	1

Table 53: Q9P. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	45	42	30	44	42	36	42	50	39	58	64	56	46	39	55	37	7	0	42	34
Extremely important	22	25	19	19	15	15	15	28	9	32	35	34	23	16	28	12	0	0	22	16
Very important	23	17	11	25	27	20	27	21	30	26	28	22	23	23	27	25	7	0	21	18
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	54	70	56	58	55	53	50	58	42	34	42	52	61	40	63	93	100	58	66
Somewhat important	34	36	44	32	32	38	29	25	42	23	29	35	34	35	27	55	29	0	39	34
Not too important	19	19	25	24	26	17	24	25	16	19	5	7	18	26	14	8	65	100	19	32
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	4	0	0	0	9	4	0	2	0	3	2	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	2	4	0	0	0	9	4	0	2	0	3	2	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	45	40	49	34	44	59	24	33	72	45	48	48	52	52	48	52	47	40	48	46
Extremely important	22	22	32	22	0	19	24	3	24	7	24	21	21	19	18	23	23	15	14	26
Very important	23	18	16	12	44	40	0	30	48	38	23	27	31	33	30	29	24	25	33	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	55	51	66	56	41	62	67	28	54	51	51	47	47	50	46	50	60	52	52
Somewhat important	34	34	48	33	44	19	48	40	11	38	33	34	32	33	33	31	31	43	30	37
Not too important	19	22	3	33	12	23	14	27	17	15	18	16	15	14	16	16	19	17	22	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	5	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	3	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	5	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	3	0	0	2

Table 53: Q9P. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	45	43	68	51	45	0	43	48	45	46	45	55	31	41
Extremely important	22	22	38	11	22	0	22	22	20	24	19	28	17	20
Very important	23	21	30	41	23	0	21	26	24	22	27	27	13	21
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	55	32	44	52	0	55	50	53	52	51	43	67	58
Somewhat important	34	35	26	29	34	0	38	29	35	32	32	30	43	34
Not too important	19	20	6	14	19	0	17	21	19	19	19	13	24	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	5	2	0	2	3	2	3	4	2	2	1
DK/NA	2	2	0	5	2	0	2	3	2	3	4	2	2	1

Table 54: Q9Q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Updating bus yards and Muni infrastructure to withstand a major earthquake

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	59	63	64	52	66	65	46	68	56	48	58	70	64	58	57	41	84	70	41	55
Extremely important	27	37	29	23	30	32	12	46	20	11	23	24	33	23	26	14	32	35	17	39
Very important	33	26	35	29	35	33	34	22	36	37	35	46	31	35	31	28	51	36	25	16
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	30	32	44	31	32	41	30	44	43	36	24	32	37	39	45	15	27	52	36
Somewhat important	22	21	23	22	24	23	24	14	16	30	27	13	14	26	32	21	8	16	26	18
Not too important	14	9	9	22	6	9	17	16	28	13	10	11	18	12	7	24	7	11	27	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	7	4	5	4	3	12	2	0	9	6	6	4	5	4	13	2	3	6	9
DK/NA	5	7	4	5	4	3	12	2	0	9	6	6	4	5	4	13	2	3	6	9
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	59	63	67	44	40	65	41	76	69	63	48	71	53	50	52	68	56	59	57	74
Extremely important	27	34	27	13	16	31	15	34	29	29	20	37	24	11	19	36	23	36	25	35
Very important	33	29	40	31	25	34	26	43	40	34	28	35	29	39	33	32	33	23	31	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	35	28	54	47	32	49	20	27	34	43	25	42	45	44	25	39	38	38	19
Somewhat important	22	24	21	42	16	23	23	11	19	24	19	17	23	32	28	15	23	25	23	17
Not too important	14	11	7	12	30	9	25	9	8	10	24	7	20	13	16	10	16	13	15	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	2	5	2	13	3	10	4	5	4	9	4	4	5	4	6	5	3	5	7
DK/NA	5	2	5	2	13	3	10	4	5	4	9	4	4	5	4	6	5	3	5	7

Table 54: Q9Q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Updating bus yards and Muni infrastructure to withstand a major earthquake

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	59	55	64	70	73	60	57	55	55	50	90	75	65	49	49	57	80	48	51	77	49
Extremely important	27	23	30	30	24	20	24	26	27	25	31	35	29	25	24	39	47	16	18	46	17
Very important	33	32	33	40	49	40	33	29	29	25	59	39	36	25	25	18	33	32	33	31	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	41	33	29	27	39	39	39	39	48	10	21	32	48	50	36	16	47	44	18	46
Somewhat important	22	34	20	18	17	24	24	26	27	25	10	13	19	25	26	7	11	29	30	10	29
Not too important	14	7	12	11	11	15	15	13	12	23	0	8	14	23	24	29	5	18	14	7	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	4	1	0	1	4	6	5	2	0	4	3	3	1	8	5	5	5	5	5
DK/NA	5	4	4	1	0	1	4	6	5	2	0	4	3	3	1	8	5	5	5	5	5
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME								Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	59	66	50	53	40	77	66	54	59	45	72	50	62	56	65	100	63	69	44	56	
Extremely important	27	27	20	20	15	47	33	16	24	14	40	16	26	24	40	36	29	34	16	15	
Very important	33	39	31	34	25	30	33	38	35	32	31	34	36	31	25	64	34	34	28	41	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	29	43	43	54	16	32	39	35	53	24	46	34	38	31	0	33	28	46	40	
Somewhat important	22	24	27	20	32	6	25	31	33	31	15	31	21	24	23	0	24	15	21	18	
Not too important	14	4	15	23	22	10	7	8	2	22	9	15	13	14	8	0	9	14	25	22	
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	7	4	6	7	2	7	7	2	5	4	4	6	4	0	4	3	11	4	
DK/NA	5	5	7	4	6	7	2	7	7	2	5	4	4	6	4	0	4	3	11	4	

Table 54: Q9Q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Updating bus yards and Muni infrastructure to withstand a major earthquake

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	59	64	53	62	59	38	67	56	61	53	69	52	61	57	62	58	70	58	36	22	30
Extremely important	27	31	22	26	32	8	41	25	31	24	20	12	31	20	17	22	30	29	8	8	3
Very important	33	33	32	36	26	30	25	31	30	29	49	40	29	37	45	36	39	29	28	14	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	29	42	33	38	47	29	41	35	39	27	39	36	35	31	36	28	38	60	64	61
Somewhat important	22	19	25	25	16	18	25	23	24	23	16	15	24	19	15	27	22	24	19	7	32
Not too important	14	10	17	8	22	29	4	18	11	16	11	24	12	16	16	9	6	14	40	57	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	7	4	5	3	15	4	3	4	8	5	9	4	7	6	6	2	4	4	14	9
DK/NA	5	7	4	5	3	15	4	3	4	8	5	9	4	7	6	6	2	4	4	14	9

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	59	56	51	64	64	59	64	53	69	21	33	62	61	64	51	11	53	71	44	80	53
Extremely important	27	26	16	36	24	24	27	22	48	7	4	32	17	34	30	5	10	34	16	54	17
Very important	33	29	35	28	40	35	37	31	20	14	29	30	44	30	21	7	43	38	28	26	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	41	44	31	26	38	29	43	29	66	38	34	31	34	43	67	36	29	50	11	42
Somewhat important	22	26	24	21	16	30	21	17	15	28	8	27	22	17	14	18	18	16	30	7	28
Not too important	14	15	20	10	10	8	8	25	14	38	30	7	9	16	29	49	18	13	19	4	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	6	4	11	3	7	4	3	13	29	3	8	2	5	22	11	0	6	9	4
DK/NA	5	3	6	4	11	3	7	4	3	13	29	3	8	2	5	22	11	0	6	9	4

Table 54: Q9Q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Updating bus yards and Muni infrastructure to withstand a major earthquake

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	59	52	43	52	63	43	61	74	58	50	79	79	59	63	61	58	42	0	58	65
Extremely important	27	20	14	27	21	12	29	28	15	34	42	61	27	20	26	26	7	0	36	17
Very important	33	32	29	25	42	31	33	46	44	15	37	18	31	43	35	31	35	0	23	48
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	37	53	44	31	46	29	25	35	49	21	19	35	37	34	37	48	78	39	27
Somewhat important	22	30	32	17	17	24	14	13	33	28	18	9	22	19	25	34	17	24	17	8
Not too important	14	7	21	26	14	22	16	12	2	20	2	10	13	18	9	3	31	55	21	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	11	4	4	6	11	9	1	6	2	0	2	6	0	5	5	10	22	3	8
DK/NA	5	11	4	4	6	11	9	1	6	2	0	2	6	0	5	5	10	22	3	8

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/NOE V	CHNT/SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	59	58	45	45	13	56	67	39	38	66	64	57	60	59	56	53	61	50	53	64
Extremely important	27	22	39	7	0	39	25	2	12	22	32	28	30	28	30	39	35	18	17	33
Very important	33	36	5	38	13	17	42	38	26	45	32	29	30	31	26	14	26	32	36	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	33	50	51	87	38	31	45	53	27	32	37	35	36	39	45	32	44	43	31
Somewhat important	22	26	32	28	37	16	10	24	36	27	20	25	23	23	22	23	17	33	27	20
Not too important	14	7	18	23	50	22	21	21	17	0	12	13	12	13	16	23	15	11	16	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	9	6	4	0	6	2	16	9	6	4	6	5	5	5	2	6	6	4	5
DK/NA	5	9	6	4	0	6	2	16	9	6	4	6	5	5	5	2	6	6	4	5

Table 54: Q9Q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Updating bus yards and Muni infrastructure to withstand a major earthquake

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	59	60	69	38	59	0	56	63	58	60	52	66	44	68
Extremely important	27	26	31	27	27	0	23	30	30	23	16	41	17	23
Very important	33	34	38	11	33	0	33	32	28	37	36	25	27	45
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	34	23	58	36	0	38	34	36	35	42	29	46	28
Somewhat important	22	22	21	27	22	0	26	18	22	22	26	18	31	16
Not too important	14	13	2	32	14	0	12	15	14	13	16	12	15	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	9	3	5	0	7	4	6	4	5	4	9	3
DK/NA	5	5	9	3	5	0	7	4	6	4	5	4	9	3

Table 55: Q9R. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Expanding Muni's Ambassador program on vehicles to improve safety from crime without police

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	56	61	61	48	58	61	47	70	76	55	53	52	54	56	54	51	77	67	23	82
Extremely important	28	31	27	30	25	28	22	36	21	19	26	19	23	28	18	30	38	36	16	50
Very important	28	31	34	18	33	32	24	34	56	37	27	33	31	28	36	21	39	30	7	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	33	34	47	35	34	17	29	19	42	42	43	41	38	41	41	23	29	63	15
Somewhat important	24	12	22	29	28	23	13	17	12	35	24	36	19	26	29	18	13	21	38	0
Not too important	14	22	12	18	7	10	4	11	7	7	18	7	22	12	23	10	8	25	15	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	5	5	7	5	36	1	5	3	5	5	5	6	5	8	0	4	14	3
DK/NA	6	6	5	5	7	5	36	1	5	3	5	5	5	6	5	8	0	4	14	3
Q11 MEASURE VOTE										Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	56	64	57	45	36	61	39	78	62	61	46	60	49	47	50	64	56	45	54	65
Extremely important	28	30	26	17	27	28	24	41	30	28	28	31	24	19	24	34	28	27	28	28
Very important	28	35	31	28	10	33	15	38	32	33	18	29	25	28	27	30	28	18	26	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	30	39	52	51	34	51	21	35	35	44	39	48	48	46	28	36	51	39	33
Somewhat important	24	20	30	38	23	25	27	10	25	24	24	22	33	25	30	16	23	35	25	21
Not too important	14	10	10	14	28	10	24	11	10	10	20	17	16	23	15	12	14	16	14	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	4	3	13	5	10	1	3	5	10	1	3	5	4	8	7	4	7	2
DK/NA	6	5	4	3	13	5	10	1	3	5	10	1	3	5	4	8	7	4	7	2

Table 55: Q9R. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding Muni's Ambassador program on vehicles to improve safety from crime without police

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	56	50	58	61	73	49	57	61	57	48	74	67	59	50	46	84	68	50	49	70	50
Extremely important	28	27	31	29	44	20	32	31	26	21	54	31	31	22	19	66	40	22	17	43	21
Very important	28	23	26	33	29	29	25	30	30	27	20	36	28	27	27	18	28	28	31	27	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	46	38	33	23	47	37	34	36	47	24	26	36	46	48	7	27	44	46	25	44
Somewhat important	24	27	24	25	14	33	25	24	28	29	15	16	22	31	26	7	22	24	31	20	27
Not too important	14	19	13	8	9	13	11	11	8	18	9	11	14	15	22	0	6	20	15	5	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	5	5	4	4	6	4	7	5	2	6	5	5	6	9	5	6	6	5	6
DK/NA	6	4	5	5	4	4	6	4	7	5	2	6	5	5	6	9	5	6	6	5	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	56	59	48	53	69	70	58	56	50	52	64	53	55	57	54	0	60	72	38	71	
Extremely important	28	21	21	31	46	40	29	20	35	23	35	23	27	29	27	0	27	38	24	35	
Very important	28	38	27	22	22	30	30	36	15	29	30	30	28	28	27	0	33	34	15	36	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	36	45	46	25	23	37	35	47	46	29	42	36	39	41	64	35	28	50	29	
Somewhat important	24	23	34	26	6	13	29	31	20	25	20	26	23	25	25	18	25	16	27	17	
Not too important	14	13	11	20	19	10	8	4	27	21	9	16	13	14	16	46	10	11	23	11	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	7	1	6	8	5	9	3	2	6	5	9	4	5	36	5	0	11	0	
DK/NA	6	5	7	1	6	8	5	9	3	2	6	5	9	4	5	36	5	0	11	0	

Table 55: Q9R. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding Muni's Ambassador program on vehicles to improve safety from crime without police

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	56	61	54	59	56	37	61	47	57	58	60	62	54	59	61	54	67	50	45	11	53
Extremely important	28	31	26	30	24	25	25	19	35	31	31	37	25	32	33	25	40	5	28	8	33
Very important	28	29	28	28	32	12	36	28	22	27	30	25	29	27	28	29	28	45	16	4	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	32	41	38	36	48	39	48	38	30	33	34	42	32	34	40	32	43	53	78	38
Somewhat important	24	24	23	27	18	21	17	31	25	23	18	27	25	22	22	29	25	29	19	44	9
Not too important	14	8	18	10	18	27	22	17	13	7	15	7	17	9	12	11	6	14	34	34	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	5	4	8	15	0	5	5	12	7	4	4	9	6	5	1	7	2	11	9
DK/NA	6	7	5	4	8	15	0	5	5	12	7	4	4	9	6	5	1	7	2	11	9

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	56	49	59	59	64	59	61	50	66	36	33	53	67	61	50	24	44	76	44	67	57
Extremely important	28	18	36	32	30	30	31	20	36	25	12	25	38	27	20	17	30	49	15	40	27
Very important	28	32	23	27	34	28	30	31	30	11	20	28	28	34	30	7	15	27	29	27	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	48	32	37	25	38	35	43	22	50	38	44	28	37	35	54	44	17	50	28	36
Somewhat important	24	22	23	27	19	27	26	19	17	13	38	29	25	18	19	23	20	16	25	21	27
Not too important	14	25	9	9	6	10	9	25	6	37	0	15	3	19	16	32	24	1	25	7	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	9	4	11	4	4	6	12	13	29	3	5	2	15	22	12	7	6	5	7
DK/NA	6	3	9	4	11	4	4	6	12	13	29	3	5	2	15	22	12	7	6	5	7

Table 55: Q9R. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding Muni's Ambassador program on vehicles to improve safety from crime without police

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	56	53	48	61	34	67	45	62	53	59	59	84	57	47	62	49	31	0	57	48
Extremely important	28	24	19	37	11	40	21	29	25	25	51	29	29	21	32	29	12	0	26	25
Very important	28	29	29	24	23	26	25	33	28	34	8	54	29	26	30	20	19	0	31	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	35	47	36	56	24	45	35	46	32	40	14	37	45	33	50	59	78	35	43
Somewhat important	24	18	33	21	42	14	19	26	30	26	21	10	24	28	25	30	32	24	18	24
Not too important	14	17	14	15	14	10	26	9	16	6	19	4	13	16	8	19	27	55	17	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	12	5	2	10	9	10	3	2	9	1	2	6	8	5	1	10	22	8	9
DK/NA	6	12	5	2	10	9	10	3	2	9	1	2	6	8	5	1	10	22	8	9

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	56	53	68	47	71	57	70	47	74	58	55	56	57	56	54	57	64	45	52	59
Extremely important	28	22	25	21	31	46	45	37	30	18	27	26	22	20	23	28	29	21	24	29
Very important	28	32	44	26	40	10	25	10	44	39	28	30	35	35	31	29	35	24	28	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	33	32	45	23	40	30	34	22	42	40	37	35	37	40	39	29	46	42	35
Somewhat important	24	21	0	29	15	35	19	19	7	37	26	24	25	26	32	35	16	36	28	22
Not too important	14	12	32	16	7	5	11	15	15	6	14	13	10	12	7	4	13	10	15	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	13	0	8	6	3	0	20	4	0	5	7	8	7	6	4	7	9	6	6
DK/NA	6	13	0	8	6	3	0	20	4	0	5	7	8	7	6	4	7	9	6	6

Table 55: Q9R. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Expanding Muni's Ambassador program on vehicles to improve safety from crime without police

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	56	55	70	54	56	0	56	57	59	54	62	62	49	45
Extremely important	28	28	25	27	28	0	24	32	27	30	35	30	24	20
Very important	28	27	45	27	28	0	32	25	32	24	28	32	26	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	39	14	42	38	0	39	37	34	42	33	32	42	49
Somewhat important	24	25	14	24	24	0	24	24	22	26	23	18	26	35
Not too important	14	14	0	17	14	0	15	12	12	16	10	15	16	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	15	4	6	0	5	7	8	4	5	5	8	6
DK/NA	6	5	15	4	6	0	5	7	8	4	5	5	8	6

Table 56: Q9S. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Ensuring Muni is affordable and easy to use for all San Franciscans

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	95	85	65	82	84	55	85	77	66	83	73	80	78	85	62	68	85	63	75
Extremely important	53	67	57	48	56	58	39	58	14	48	60	47	57	51	59	42	46	59	38	75
Very important	24	29	28	18	26	26	16	27	63	18	22	26	23	27	26	20	23	27	25	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	22	5	15	34	17	16	45	15	23	34	16	27	20	21	14	38	32	15	35	25
Somewhat important	16	2	11	24	14	12	35	9	21	27	12	21	11	16	10	27	28	11	19	25
Not too important	6	2	4	10	3	4	10	7	2	7	5	6	9	6	3	10	4	4	16	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	87	83	76	57	85	62	70	80	84	66	75	68	80	72	85	75	84	77	80
Extremely important	53	55	64	38	41	59	41	53	61	56	46	61	41	49	45	63	48	61	50	68
Very important	24	32	20	37	16	27	22	17	19	28	20	14	27	31	27	22	27	23	27	12
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	22	13	16	24	41	14	37	30	19	16	33	25	32	19	27	15	24	15	22	20
Somewhat important	16	9	12	21	25	11	24	27	16	12	22	21	21	12	19	11	17	7	15	17
Not too important	6	4	4	3	17	4	13	3	4	4	11	4	11	7	8	4	7	9	7	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0

Table 56: Q9S. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni is affordable and easy to use for all San Franciscans

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
	Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	76	80	80	79	75	78	74	78	74	89	90	81	71	78	95	89	72	74	89	72
Extremely important	53	53	57	51	53	53	51	55	54	51	64	67	59	50	52	68	65	47	51	66	48
Very important	24	23	24	29	25	22	28	19	24	23	25	23	22	22	26	27	23	25	23	24	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	22	23	19	20	21	24	21	25	21	26	11	9	19	29	22	5	11	28	25	11	27
Somewhat important	16	16	14	16	20	20	14	17	16	17	9	7	13	20	14	5	10	19	14	10	17
Not too important	6	7	6	4	2	4	7	8	6	9	2	2	6	9	8	0	1	9	11	1	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
	Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	88	79	62	71	88	91	81	80	63	90	71	78	77	79	82	85	73	63	58
Extremely important	53	65	46	39	57	72	67	54	58	29	70	40	58	51	56	82	58	54	43	25
Very important	24	24	33	23	14	16	24	27	22	35	20	31	20	27	23	0	27	19	21	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	22	11	20	38	27	12	9	18	15	36	10	28	22	22	21	18	15	27	36	42
Somewhat important	16	10	16	23	19	10	7	14	7	22	9	18	17	13	18	18	11	22	23	31
Not too important	6	2	4	15	9	2	2	4	9	14	2	10	5	8	2	0	4	5	13	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

Table 56: Q9S. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni is affordable and easy to use for all San Franciscans

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	87	69	83	75	43	86	72	77	79	75	77	78	78	76	84	78	82	52	18	56
Extremely important	53	61	46	55	54	36	68	44	54	56	45	54	54	52	48	57	45	49	40	18	49
Very important	24	26	23	28	21	7	18	28	23	24	30	23	24	25	27	27	33	34	11	0	6
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	22	13	30	17	25	56	13	28	22	20	24	23	22	22	24	16	22	18	48	82	41
Somewhat important	16	10	20	13	17	32	12	18	15	15	20	14	15	16	18	12	17	17	29	24	28
Not too important	6	3	10	4	7	24	1	10	7	6	4	9	7	6	6	3	5	1	18	57	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	3
DK/NA	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	65	75	88	86	72	90	70	83	49	58	81	86	78	71	32	49	84	63	93	83
Extremely important	53	45	48	62	61	43	62	52	61	40	50	56	54	54	52	26	41	56	42	71	56
Very important	24	20	27	26	25	29	28	18	22	9	8	25	32	24	18	6	8	27	21	22	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	22	35	24	12	14	27	10	29	17	49	42	18	14	22	29	68	49	16	36	7	17
Somewhat important	16	24	16	9	13	20	8	19	16	28	9	13	12	16	19	32	32	14	22	7	13
Not too important	6	11	9	4	1	7	2	11	1	22	33	5	2	6	10	36	18	2	14	0	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0

Table 56: Q9S. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni is affordable and easy to use for all San Franciscans

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	79	66	67	82	81	75	75	81	74	92	81	79	62	83	86	36	55	77	63
Extremely important	53	58	33	42	65	49	61	48	50	63	66	50	54	47	56	47	25	55	57	46
Very important	24	21	33	26	17	31	14	27	30	12	26	30	25	15	27	38	11	0	19	17
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	22	19	34	32	18	19	24	24	19	26	8	19	20	37	16	14	64	24	23	37
Somewhat important	16	15	19	19	12	12	10	22	16	24	5	16	14	32	12	11	31	0	17	27
Not too important	6	3	14	12	5	7	14	2	3	2	3	4	6	5	4	4	33	24	5	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	22	0	0
DK/NA	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	22	0	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	85	80	55	49	79	74	63	85	88	80	78	78	77	75	77	79	85	69	84
Extremely important	53	61	50	30	0	57	56	26	49	43	59	57	57	55	54	54	52	57	33	66
Very important	24	24	29	25	49	23	18	37	35	45	21	22	21	22	21	23	27	28	36	18
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	22	13	20	45	44	21	26	37	15	10	20	21	21	22	23	22	20	14	31	16
Somewhat important	16	9	17	28	32	2	17	25	11	10	15	16	17	17	18	13	15	10	21	11
Not too important	6	4	3	17	11	19	9	12	4	0	4	5	5	5	9	5	4	9	5	
<u>DK/NA</u>	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0
DK/NA	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0

Table 56: Q9S. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni is affordable and easy to use for all San Franciscans

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	77	85	80	78	0	76	79	81	74	77	80	72	80
Extremely important	53	53	58	49	53	0	52	54	53	53	43	59	48	60
Very important	24	24	27	31	24	0	24	25	27	21	34	21	24	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	22	22	15	20	22	0	23	20	18	25	23	19	27	20
Somewhat important	16	16	10	12	16	0	18	13	14	18	15	15	18	17
Not too important	6	6	5	8	6	0	6	7	5	8	8	5	10	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0

Table 57: Q9T. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Reducing parking and driving in transit lanes

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	43	65	50	32	54	49	29	49	45	83	47	30	31	48	56	15	56	51	14	49
Extremely important	19	30	22	15	27	21	17	22	28	28	18	10	17	19	26	6	11	24	5	7
Very important	24	35	28	18	27	28	12	27	16	54	29	20	15	29	30	9	45	27	8	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	54	32	48	64	42	48	71	46	51	14	50	65	64	49	41	82	40	46	85	43
Somewhat important	27	19	30	23	28	30	30	27	23	11	28	35	38	26	22	29	9	32	33	21
Not too important	27	13	18	41	13	18	41	19	28	3	22	30	27	24	18	53	32	14	52	22
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	3	4	2	0	5	4	4	3	6	5	3	3	3	3	3	2	8
DK/NA	3	3	2	3	4	2	0	5	4	4	3	6	5	3	3	3	3	3	2	8

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	43	65	39	23	11	53	15	52	42	51	20	42	46	51	41	46	37	52	39	60
Extremely important	19	36	11	9	5	25	6	9	11	24	6	12	26	23	21	15	15	17	15	35
Very important	24	29	28	14	6	28	9	43	31	28	14	30	21	28	20	30	23	34	24	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	54	32	58	75	86	44	83	42	55	46	77	53	50	45	55	52	59	45	57	37
Somewhat important	27	24	31	38	27	28	31	16	28	29	20	38	22	27	29	25	26	30	27	33
Not too important	27	7	27	37	59	16	52	26	27	17	58	15	28	18	26	27	33	16	31	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	6	4	3	3	5	4	3	4	2	3	3	3	3
DK/NA	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	6	4	3	3	5	4	3	4	2	3	3	3	3

Table 57: Q9T. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing parking and driving in transit lanes

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	43	62	47	44	62	40	38	33	49	47	27	46	42	45	47	57	36	48	45	39	46
Extremely important	19	30	23	24	44	20	14	14	22	21	0	20	18	16	30	32	16	21	17	18	20
Very important	24	31	23	20	19	20	24	19	27	26	27	26	24	29	17	25	21	26	27	21	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	54	37	52	54	36	58	59	66	46	52	73	53	57	54	51	37	62	48	52	59	50
Somewhat important	27	17	30	33	19	30	21	32	24	30	43	34	32	28	34	21	38	21	28	35	24
Not too important	27	20	22	21	17	28	38	34	22	22	30	19	25	25	17	17	24	27	25	23	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	1	1	1	2	3	2	5	1	0	1	1	1	2	6	1	5	3	2	4
DK/NA	3	2	1	1	1	2	3	2	5	1	0	1	1	1	2	6	1	5	3	2	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	43	55	48	29	35	50	36	38	45	47	43	43	39	47	39	78	55	34	17	23	
Extremely important	19	29	20	10	17	14	11	25	15	24	13	24	20	18	18	13	26	5	7	0	
Very important	24	26	29	19	18	36	25	13	30	23	31	20	20	29	20	65	28	29	10	23	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	54	42	47	68	65	47	62	59	51	48	54	53	57	50	59	17	42	63	80	76	
Somewhat important	27	26	24	35	26	33	38	30	15	21	35	24	31	26	26	11	27	33	22	42	
Not too important	27	17	23	33	39	14	24	29	36	27	19	28	26	23	33	6	15	30	57	34	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4	3	0	2	2	3	4	5	2	4	4	3	2	5	3	3	3	2	
DK/NA	3	3	4	3	0	2	2	3	4	5	2	4	4	3	2	5	3	3	3	2	

Table 57: Q9T. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing parking and driving in transit lanes

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	43	37	48	48	38	21	41	50	51	34	46	32	48	37	41	51	34	49	28	31	24
Extremely important	19	14	21	19	19	11	15	24	22	15	16	16	21	16	16	20	10	33	11	14	16
Very important	24	23	27	29	19	10	26	26	29	18	30	16	27	22	25	31	24	16	17	17	8
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	54	60	49	50	57	79	59	45	48	63	52	61	50	59	55	46	63	43	69	69	76
Somewhat important	27	33	23	27	28	29	42	26	17	26	19	39	28	26	26	25	39	24	31	19	37
Not too important	27	27	26	23	29	50	17	19	31	37	33	23	22	33	29	21	24	18	38	50	39
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3	2	5	0	0	5	1	4	2	7	3	4	4	2	3	8	3	0	0
DK/NA	3	3	3	2	5	0	0	5	1	4	2	7	3	4	4	2	3	8	3	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	43	58	36	37	36	56	41	39	32	28	15	53	41	41	34	16	24	44	51	32	42
Extremely important	19	28	14	12	18	26	12	16	20	10	12	20	17	25	12	0	16	13	25	18	13
Very important	24	30	23	25	18	30	29	23	11	18	3	32	24	17	21	16	7	31	26	14	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	54	39	60	60	60	41	56	56	62	72	85	45	56	55	59	84	76	54	45	66	54
Somewhat important	27	24	21	32	33	25	29	21	40	16	41	26	28	34	20	27	30	22	23	49	23
Not too important	27	15	38	28	26	16	27	35	22	56	43	20	28	21	39	57	46	32	22	17	31
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4	3	4	2	3	5	6	0	0	2	3	4	7	0	0	2	4	2	4
DK/NA	3	3	4	3	4	2	3	5	6	0	0	2	3	4	7	0	0	2	4	2	4

Table 57: Q9T. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing parking and driving in transit lanes

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	43	45	36	48	37	40	48	51	45	50	47	28	45	32	45	72	34	16	34	38
Extremely important	19	25	15	22	10	13	30	18	15	26	25	8	20	10	18	27	19	0	20	15
Very important	24	20	21	26	27	28	18	33	30	24	22	19	25	23	28	45	16	16	15	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	54	51	64	50	60	58	46	42	52	47	50	72	52	64	52	28	66	84	60	62
Somewhat important	27	22	34	32	31	44	16	16	26	32	30	16	27	27	28	19	22	61	31	20
Not too important	27	29	30	18	29	14	30	26	26	15	21	57	25	38	24	9	44	24	29	42
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	0	2	4	2	6	7	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	6	0
DK/NA	3	5	0	2	4	2	6	7	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	6	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	43	47	11	40	44	42	46	55	48	48	42	41	40	35	39	43	39	38	37	49
Extremely important	19	28	0	14	36	17	14	33	14	24	17	18	16	16	19	23	13	16	16	20
Very important	24	19	11	26	9	26	31	22	34	23	25	23	24	20	21	20	27	23	21	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	54	48	89	60	56	58	50	45	52	50	54	57	57	61	56	53	58	57	60	48
Somewhat important	27	22	59	29	48	18	36	31	42	15	25	29	25	24	22	17	33	33	29	26
Not too important	27	25	30	31	8	40	14	13	10	35	29	28	32	37	35	35	25	24	31	22
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	4	2	3	3	4	4	2	5	3	3
DK/NA	3	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	4	2	3	3	4	4	2	5	3	3

Table 57: Q9T. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing parking and driving in transit lanes

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	43	42	44	54	0	43	43	43	39	47	45	44	39	42
Extremely important	19	18	27	16	0	19	19	18	13	23	22	22	15	11
Very important	24	24	17	38	0	24	24	25	25	24	23	22	25	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	54	54	54	46	0	54	54	54	58	50	52	53	58	53
Somewhat important	27	27	40	25	0	27	24	31	33	22	33	24	29	25
Not too important	27	28	14	21	0	27	30	23	25	28	20	29	30	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	5
DK/NA	3	3	2	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	5

Table 58: Q9U. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Upgrading traffic signals to give priority to emergency vehicles to reduce response times

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	61	85	68	51	62	65	66	65	68	59	54	80	66	60	63	42	65	68	55	68
Extremely important	27	48	30	22	31	28	36	28	29	15	22	36	25	27	30	17	11	34	15	25
Very important	34	37	37	29	30	37	30	37	40	44	32	44	41	33	32	24	53	34	40	43
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	15	31	44	34	32	34	29	29	38	41	20	29	38	35	54	19	30	45	21
Somewhat important	23	12	21	25	24	23	19	19	18	23	28	13	18	24	26	34	9	19	20	16
Not too important	13	4	10	19	10	9	15	10	10	15	13	7	11	14	9	21	10	11	25	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	1	5	4	3	0	6	3	4	4	0	5	2	2	4	16	3	0	10
DK/NA	3	0	1	5	4	3	0	6	3	4	4	0	5	2	2	4	16	3	0	10
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	61	63	68	54	44	65	47	67	68	66	47	56	51	55	58	64	57	68	59	70
Extremely important	27	31	34	26	13	32	16	19	31	31	19	14	23	21	24	31	26	24	26	31
Very important	34	33	34	28	32	33	31	48	37	35	28	42	28	34	34	33	31	44	33	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	34	30	46	52	32	50	21	28	32	48	37	47	43	40	32	40	28	38	28
Somewhat important	23	23	21	31	27	22	28	13	19	23	26	20	31	35	27	18	25	16	23	24
Not too important	13	11	9	16	25	10	22	7	8	9	22	17	16	9	12	14	15	12	15	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	0	4	2	3	12	4	2	4	7	3	1	2	4	3	4	3	2
DK/NA	3	2	2	0	4	2	3	12	4	2	4	7	3	1	2	4	3	4	3	2

Table 58: Q9U. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading traffic signals to give priority to emergency vehicles to reduce response times

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	61	50	63	71	70	68	59	62	55	60	81	84	70	60	58	81	75	49	58	76	53
Extremely important	27	24	27	30	41	32	31	29	25	20	51	33	28	24	13	34	27	22	31	28	26
Very important	34	27	35	41	30	35	27	33	30	40	30	51	42	36	44	47	48	26	27	48	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	46	35	27	30	32	37	34	41	36	19	16	28	35	40	13	24	47	37	23	42
Somewhat important	23	26	23	16	7	19	25	23	29	21	11	11	16	19	25	6	20	26	25	18	26
Not too important	13	20	12	10	22	14	12	11	12	15	8	5	12	16	15	7	4	20	12	5	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	2	0	0	4	3	4	4	0	0	2	5	2	6	0	5	4	1	5
DK/NA	3	3	2	2	0	0	4	3	4	4	0	0	2	5	2	6	0	5	4	1	5
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	61	52	65	63	55	81	66	58	54	48	74	53	60	58	74	94	65	68	42	76	
Extremely important	27	24	35	20	23	37	15	31	14	22	26	25	28	26	26	37	32	25	16	29	
Very important	34	28	30	43	33	44	52	28	40	26	47	28	33	31	48	57	34	43	27	48	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	43	33	35	45	14	33	41	40	46	23	44	36	39	26	6	33	26	55	22	
Somewhat important	23	27	22	22	31	13	20	30	24	27	16	28	26	23	19	0	23	18	29	21	
Not too important	13	16	11	12	13	0	13	11	15	20	6	16	11	16	7	6	10	8	26	1	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	6	2	3	0	5	1	1	6	5	3	4	3	4	0	0	2	6	3	2	
DK/NA	3	6	2	3	0	5	1	1	6	5	3	4	3	4	0	0	2	6	3	2	

Table 58: Q9U. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading traffic signals to give priority to emergency vehicles to reduce response times

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	61	65	57	63	54	69	64	50	65	61	70	60	59	64	67	61	68	54	48	81	69
Extremely important	27	28	25	29	22	29	13	25	34	32	36	22	24	31	30	30	21	32	13	48	16
Very important	34	37	32	34	33	40	52	25	31	29	35	39	35	32	36	31	48	22	36	33	53
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	29	42	34	41	31	36	42	32	36	30	36	37	34	32	37	27	39	50	19	31
Somewhat important	23	24	22	22	25	21	21	24	24	23	16	34	23	23	23	24	19	25	34	0	26
Not too important	13	5	20	12	16	10	14	18	7	14	13	2	14	12	9	13	8	13	16	19	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	6	1	3	5	0	0	7	3	3	0	3	4	2	1	3	5	7	1	0	0
DK/NA	3	6	1	3	5	0	0	7	3	3	0	3	4	2	1	3	5	7	1	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	61	55	60	61	70	62	66	48	59	67	70	57	71	59	48	75	66	71	50	82	54
Extremely important	27	18	33	25	32	27	31	17	24	46	13	26	34	18	26	30	29	39	17	17	34
Very important	34	37	27	36	38	35	35	31	36	21	57	31	37	41	22	45	37	32	33	64	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	45	39	31	27	38	28	50	32	33	30	39	27	34	50	25	34	27	50	17	36
Somewhat important	23	19	25	27	21	19	24	28	25	16	25	24	19	25	26	0	31	18	24	16	29
Not too important	13	26	14	4	7	20	4	22	7	17	5	15	7	10	23	25	4	9	26	2	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	1	8	3	0	5	2	9	0	0	3	2	6	2	0	0	2	0	1	10
DK/NA	3	0	1	8	3	0	5	2	9	0	0	3	2	6	2	0	0	2	0	1	10

Table 58: Q9U. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading traffic signals to give priority to emergency vehicles to reduce response times

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	61	56	49	69	80	62	62	51	56	63	66	59	61	61	62	72	81	16	56	60
Extremely important	27	27	22	19	44	28	26	29	28	25	23	21	27	25	30	28	53	0	22	17
Very important	34	29	27	50	36	33	36	22	28	37	42	38	33	36	32	44	27	16	34	43
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	41	48	21	15	36	38	46	39	34	33	41	36	37	36	26	19	84	41	35
Somewhat important	23	25	33	16	11	25	20	33	24	26	21	16	22	28	23	20	0	84	26	23
Not too important	13	15	16	5	4	11	17	13	14	8	11	25	13	9	13	6	19	0	15	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	10	5	2	0	3	5	3	2	0	3	2	3	3	0	0	4	5
DK/NA	3	3	2	10	5	2	0	3	5	3	2	0	3	2	3	3	0	0	4	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	61	60	24	55	75	73	67	57	34	51	64	59	57	50	46	46	65	73	57	63
Extremely important	27	31	0	22	14	37	14	30	21	33	29	26	28	20	21	15	31	13	24	29
Very important	34	29	24	33	60	35	53	27	12	18	35	33	29	29	26	31	34	59	33	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	36	76	42	16	21	28	39	66	47	33	38	39	46	48	53	32	25	40	34
Somewhat important	23	24	65	24	16	21	20	26	39	26	21	24	26	29	30	37	24	19	25	22
Not too important	13	12	11	18	0	0	8	13	28	21	13	14	13	17	18	16	8	7	15	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	0	3	10	6	5	4	0	2	3	3	3	4	6	1	2	2	3	3
DK/NA	3	4	0	3	10	6	5	4	0	2	3	3	3	4	6	1	2	2	3	3

Table 58: Q9U. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading traffic signals to give priority to emergency vehicles to reduce response times

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	61	58	96	68	0	61	56	65	67	55	60	62	52	67
Extremely important	27	26	48	23	0	27	25	29	26	28	26	24	24	37
Very important	34	32	48	46	0	34	31	36	41	27	34	38	29	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	38	4	32	0	36	40	32	30	41	37	37	44	27
Somewhat important	23	24	4	21	0	23	27	19	22	24	24	22	28	19
Not too important	13	14	0	11	0	13	13	13	8	18	13	14	15	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	0	0	0	3	4	3	2	4	3	1	4	5
DK/NA	3	4	0	0	0	3	4	3	2	4	3	1	4	5

Table 59: Q9V. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Improving street infrastructure in every San Francisco neighborhood

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	72	61	51	63	61	65	68	38	65	51	75	64	55	63	62	75	53	41	53
Extremely important	27	33	26	26	32	27	40	27	28	23	23	39	22	27	33	24	13	30	15	11
Very important	31	39	34	25	30	33	25	41	10	42	28	36	43	28	31	38	62	23	26	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	28	37	41	33	36	32	27	61	35	43	25	33	40	35	36	13	42	54	33
Somewhat important	29	18	29	30	26	30	32	23	41	30	34	15	21	33	26	24	10	35	32	30
Not too important	9	10	8	11	8	6	0	4	19	5	9	10	12	8	9	12	3	6	22	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	2	8	4	3	2	6	1	0	6	0	3	4	2	2	12	5	5	14
DK/NA	4	0	2	8	4	3	2	6	1	0	6	0	3	4	2	2	12	5	5	14
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	61	55	60	51	58	54	62	57	60	49	61	57	61	58	56	57	50	56	59
Extremely important	27	36	26	24	19	31	20	12	23	31	20	10	31	35	30	23	28	19	27	26
Very important	31	25	29	35	32	27	33	50	34	29	29	51	25	26	28	33	30	31	30	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	37	40	29	49	38	43	25	37	36	47	31	40	38	38	39	38	50	40	34
Somewhat important	29	29	34	24	29	31	27	22	31	29	31	26	28	25	27	32	30	36	31	24
Not too important	9	8	6	6	20	7	16	3	6	7	16	5	12	12	11	7	8	14	9	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	5	11	0	3	3	13	7	4	4	8	3	1	4	4	4	0	4	7
DK/NA	4	2	5	11	0	3	3	13	7	4	4	8	3	1	4	4	4	0	4	7

Table 59: Q9V. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving street infrastructure in every San Francisco neighborhood

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	53	57	60	74	44	58	58	53	54	67	67	60	56	54	69	62	52	61	63	56
Extremely important	27	27	26	24	48	23	30	30	26	32	7	30	28	29	39	34	21	25	36	23	30
Very important	31	27	31	37	26	21	28	29	27	22	60	37	32	27	15	34	41	27	24	40	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	44	39	35	26	55	38	37	42	40	30	32	37	37	44	28	34	44	34	33	39
Somewhat important	29	34	28	24	19	49	30	33	33	30	22	26	27	26	36	21	23	33	29	23	31
Not too important	9	10	10	11	7	5	9	4	9	10	8	6	10	12	8	7	11	11	5	10	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	5	4	0	2	4	4	5	5	3	2	3	7	2	4	4	4	5	4	5
DK/NA	4	3	5	4	0	2	4	4	5	5	3	2	3	7	2	4	4	4	5	4	5
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	59	59	51	87	75	55	54	37	52	65	51	60	56	54	94	58	65	47	74	
Extremely important	27	33	27	26	32	24	24	27	23	28	24	27	24	31	19	26	32	19	19	28	
Very important	31	27	32	24	56	51	31	27	15	24	41	25	36	26	34	67	27	46	28	46	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	37	37	46	13	21	40	42	63	42	30	44	38	39	43	6	38	25	50	17	
Somewhat important	29	22	32	37	8	18	30	33	41	35	24	35	32	27	36	6	30	22	32	14	
Not too important	9	14	6	9	5	3	9	9	22	7	6	9	6	12	7	0	8	3	18	3	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	4	3	0	4	5	4	0	6	4	5	3	5	4	0	3	9	3	9	
DK/NA	4	4	4	3	0	4	5	4	0	6	4	5	3	5	4	0	3	9	3	9	

Table 59: Q9V. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving street infrastructure in every San Francisco neighborhood

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	61	53	56	59	58	55	52	56	62	55	71	54	62	61	59	54	60	57	41	77
Extremely important	27	28	26	26	29	25	31	22	25	25	36	23	26	28	32	28	23	35	29	34	32
Very important	31	33	27	30	30	33	24	30	31	37	19	48	28	33	29	31	31	24	28	7	45
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	34	44	40	35	42	44	44	35	34	44	21	42	34	35	38	39	33	43	59	23
Somewhat important	29	28	32	32	24	33	32	37	28	25	30	14	33	24	24	30	32	20	33	51	14
Not too important	9	6	12	8	11	9	12	8	7	9	14	6	9	10	11	8	8	12	10	8	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	3	4	6	0	1	3	9	4	1	8	4	4	4	3	6	8	0	0	0
DK/NA	4	5	3	4	6	0	1	3	9	4	1	8	4	4	4	3	6	8	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	48	58	59	64	47	64	65	49	38	77	53	61	56	63	53	61	62	48	62	63
Extremely important	27	24	28	28	28	24	28	29	29	23	28	24	29	28	29	31	22	26	26	21	36
Very important	31	24	30	30	36	23	36	36	20	15	49	29	32	28	34	22	39	37	23	41	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	48	40	36	30	49	32	32	40	62	23	44	34	36	33	47	39	34	48	33	30
Somewhat important	29	38	25	29	26	38	26	18	31	44	23	34	27	29	17	43	28	22	36	25	25
Not too important	9	10	15	7	4	11	6	14	9	18	0	10	7	7	16	5	11	12	11	8	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	2	5	6	4	4	3	11	0	0	3	5	8	4	0	0	3	4	5	6
DK/NA	4	4	2	5	6	4	4	3	11	0	0	3	5	8	4	0	0	3	4	5	6

Table 59: Q9V. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving street infrastructure in every San Francisco neighborhood

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	67	49	62	62	77	37	50	51	53	66	59	58	50	53	73	56	76	56	69
Extremely important	27	36	25	28	30	38	10	21	24	18	37	28	27	23	26	29	37	16	27	30
Very important	31	32	25	34	32	38	26	29	27	35	28	31	31	27	28	44	19	61	30	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	33	51	32	30	20	59	46	40	47	27	38	38	45	42	27	44	24	38	27
Somewhat important	29	23	44	25	30	14	34	38	31	41	22	17	28	38	33	23	39	24	26	19
Not too important	9	10	6	7	0	6	26	7	9	6	4	20	10	7	9	3	5	0	12	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	0	7	8	3	4	5	9	0	8	3	4	5	5	0	0	0	5	5
DK/NA	4	0	0	7	8	3	4	5	9	0	8	3	4	5	5	0	0	0	5	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	71	34	57	61	66	70	65	58	49	54	57	53	50	47	45	57	76	60	57
Extremely important	27	37	0	32	24	40	21	42	32	16	25	26	26	26	27	27	28	19	26	28
Very important	31	33	34	25	37	26	49	23	26	33	29	31	27	23	21	17	29	57	34	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	29	66	43	39	25	23	35	42	43	40	38	42	44	44	49	40	23	37	39
Somewhat important	29	20	66	39	39	12	11	26	42	32	30	28	32	30	32	37	32	17	27	31
Not too important	9	9	0	4	0	13	11	9	0	12	10	11	10	14	12	12	8	5	11	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	0	0	0	9	7	0	0	7	5	4	5	6	8	6	3	1	3	5
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0	9	7	0	0	7	5	4	5	6	8	6	3	1	3	5

Table 59: Q9V. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving street infrastructure in every San Francisco neighborhood

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	56	75	63	0	57	64	51	63	52	58	54	66	55
Extremely important	27	26	43	26	0	27	29	25	25	28	25	23	36	28
Very important	31	30	33	36	0	31	35	26	37	24	33	31	30	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	39	25	37	0	38	34	43	35	42	38	42	34	38
Somewhat important	29	29	25	31	0	29	24	34	28	31	29	29	26	32
Not too important	9	10	0	7	0	9	9	9	7	11	9	12	9	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	0	0	0	4	3	6	2	6	4	4	0	7
DK/NA	4	5	0	0	0	4	3	6	2	6	4	4	0	7

Table 60: Q9W. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Protecting Muni infrastructure from flooding caused by sea level rise and storms

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	68	69	50	74	71	55	71	42	71	63	89	73	61	80	32	80	67	34	57
Extremely important	19	26	21	14	28	21	23	23	18	14	16	37	20	19	25	12	22	23	3	12
Very important	43	43	47	37	46	50	32	48	23	57	47	52	54	42	55	20	58	44	32	45
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	32	30	45	25	27	45	25	57	29	34	11	23	36	20	65	8	30	66	31
Somewhat important	24	28	23	26	20	22	35	16	37	27	26	6	14	26	18	33	3	24	34	25
Not too important	11	4	7	18	5	5	10	9	20	2	8	6	9	10	2	31	5	6	32	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	1	5	1	2	0	3	1	0	3	0	4	2	0	3	12	3	0	13
DK/NA	3	0	1	5	1	2	0	3	1	0	3	0	4	2	0	3	12	3	0	13

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	80	65	39	30	73	33	66	66	72	33	63	57	74	62	62	59	71	60	70
Extremely important	19	30	17	7	8	24	8	16	17	23	10	14	20	27	19	19	15	18	16	35
Very important	43	50	49	32	22	49	25	50	49	49	23	50	38	47	43	43	43	53	45	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	19	33	60	67	25	65	21	30	26	64	30	40	26	35	35	38	29	37	28
Somewhat important	24	16	27	50	27	21	33	16	25	21	31	28	26	25	25	23	24	23	24	24
Not too important	11	3	6	10	40	4	32	5	5	5	33	2	14	1	10	13	14	5	13	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	12	4	2	4	6	3	0	3	3	3	0	3	2
DK/NA	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	12	4	2	4	6	3	0	3	3	3	0	3	2

Table 60: Q9W. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Protecting Muni infrastructure from flooding caused by sea level rise and storms

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	56	65	70	73	58	59	55	60	63	67	76	66	65	58	68	72	57	61	71	59
Extremely important	19	11	23	20	45	21	16	19	18	26	7	19	20	26	27	25	18	18	19	19	18
Very important	43	45	42	50	28	37	43	36	43	37	60	58	46	39	31	43	53	39	42	52	41
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	43	32	29	27	41	37	43	36	34	33	23	32	30	42	32	26	41	35	27	38
Somewhat important	24	28	24	20	22	27	22	28	25	23	21	19	21	23	25	25	18	27	23	19	25
Not too important	11	15	8	10	5	14	15	15	12	11	11	3	11	8	17	7	8	14	12	8	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	3	1	0	0	4	2	3	3	0	1	2	5	0	0	2	2	5	2	3
DK/NA	3	1	3	1	0	0	4	2	3	3	0	1	2	5	0	0	2	2	5	2	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	81	62	53	59	70	72	57	76	57	71	59	63	61	64	100	74	59	30	56	
Extremely important	19	28	21	12	23	28	17	15	3	13	23	13	24	17	14	21	24	14	9	12	
Very important	43	53	41	41	36	41	55	42	73	44	48	46	40	44	50	79	50	45	21	44	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	18	38	42	39	26	26	41	24	41	26	40	32	38	34	0	24	35	68	40	
Somewhat important	24	10	32	23	22	24	16	28	6	32	20	28	26	26	22	0	20	31	30	32	
Not too important	11	8	6	20	16	2	10	13	18	9	6	11	6	12	12	0	4	4	38	8	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	0	5	2	5	2	2	0	2	3	2	4	1	2	0	2	7	2	4	
DK/NA	3	1	0	5	2	5	2	2	0	2	3	2	4	1	2	0	2	7	2	4	

Table 60: Q9W. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Protecting Muni infrastructure from flooding caused by sea level rise and storms

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	67	57	67	56	42	74	60	61	55	58	72	64	59	63	72	62	63	50	42	44
Extremely important	19	22	17	20	16	20	19	14	17	14	29	35	17	22	31	23	15	28	11	14	16
Very important	43	46	40	47	40	22	54	45	44	41	29	37	48	37	32	49	47	35	39	29	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	30	40	31	39	56	26	37	36	40	41	27	34	38	36	27	32	37	46	58	51
Somewhat important	24	23	22	25	21	35	21	24	27	25	27	13	24	24	22	22	19	25	21	41	22
Not too important	11	7	18	6	19	22	5	13	8	14	14	13	9	14	14	5	13	12	25	17	30
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3	2	4	1	0	3	3	5	1	1	2	3	1	1	6	0	4	0	4
DK/NA	3	3	3	2	4	1	0	3	3	5	1	1	2	3	1	1	6	0	4	0	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	61	53	67	67	67	70	48	65	29	54	68	66	61	50	30	48	64	55	78	62
Extremely important	19	19	16	16	30	20	21	13	20	13	27	17	25	15	17	16	22	24	14	17	22
Very important	43	43	37	52	36	46	48	34	45	16	27	51	41	46	33	14	26	40	41	60	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	38	43	29	31	32	28	47	30	71	43	30	33	36	43	70	50	31	43	22	33
Somewhat important	24	22	23	25	22	22	24	18	22	49	22	23	27	24	16	49	28	19	24	17	26
Not too important	11	16	20	5	9	10	4	29	8	22	22	7	6	13	27	21	22	12	19	5	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	4	3	2	1	2	5	4	0	3	2	1	2	7	0	2	4	2	0	5
DK/NA	3	1	4	3	2	1	2	5	4	0	3	2	1	2	7	0	2	4	2	0	5

Table 60: Q9W. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Protecting Muni infrastructure from flooding caused by sea level rise and storms

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	55	53	79	53	62	49	60	79	73	72	47	62	61	66	79	41	61	58	49
Extremely important	19	24	18	25	16	19	12	17	21	19	21	16	19	19	20	20	22	61	16	18
Very important	43	31	35	54	37	42	37	43	57	54	51	30	43	42	46	59	19	0	42	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	40	45	15	42	36	51	40	20	23	24	53	35	35	32	21	57	39	39	46
Somewhat important	24	22	35	11	26	25	36	28	13	20	19	27	24	23	26	16	50	0	20	27
Not too important	11	18	10	4	17	11	15	12	6	3	5	26	11	12	6	5	7	39	19	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	2	6	5	2	0	0	2	3	4	0	2	5	2	0	3	0	3	5
DK/NA	3	5	2	6	5	2	0	0	2	3	4	0	2	5	2	0	3	0	3	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	60	69	40	76	81	79	52	68	76	61	61	53	49	48	48	65	71	57	66
Extremely important	19	24	0	21	24	43	29	14	22	26	16	17	15	14	16	14	22	24	12	22
Very important	43	36	69	18	52	38	50	38	46	50	45	44	38	35	32	34	43	47	45	44
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	35	31	57	24	13	17	44	32	22	37	35	44	48	48	46	30	28	40	32
Somewhat important	24	21	31	44	16	13	7	30	21	17	25	24	32	33	33	29	18	18	23	25
Not too important	11	14	0	13	8	0	11	14	10	5	12	11	12	14	15	17	13	9	17	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	0	3	0	6	3	4	0	2	2	4	3	3	4	6	4	1	3	2
DK/NA	3	5	0	3	0	6	3	4	0	2	2	4	3	3	4	6	4	1	3	2

Table 60: Q9W. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Protecting Muni infrastructure from flooding caused by sea level rise and storms

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	60	94	67	0	62	65	59	67	57	68	63	54	60
Extremely important	19	17	40	40	0	19	21	17	23	15	26	15	18	18
Very important	43	43	55	27	0	43	44	42	44	42	42	48	36	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	35	38	6	27	0	35	33	38	30	41	28	36	43	38
Somewhat important	24	25	6	18	0	24	22	26	18	30	20	27	25	24
Not too important	11	12	0	8	0	11	11	11	12	11	8	9	18	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	0	6	0	3	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	2
DK/NA	3	3	0	6	0	3	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	2

Table 61: Q9X. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Increasing safety from crime on Muni buses

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	75	76	85	70	78	89	81	76	51	80	77	78	80	74	87	81	75	93	86
Extremely important	52	59	47	59	42	48	60	47	64	32	47	55	55	49	42	65	40	48	75	54
Very important	28	16	30	25	28	30	29	34	11	20	33	22	23	31	32	21	41	27	18	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	25	23	15	29	22	11	18	24	49	20	23	21	20	26	12	19	24	7	14
Somewhat important	15	14	16	12	22	16	6	12	22	39	13	19	13	16	16	7	14	19	7	14
Not too important	5	10	7	4	7	5	4	6	3	10	7	4	8	4	10	5	5	4	0	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	68	83	96	87	75	89	84	83	76	88	80	73	81	76	84	79	74	78	84
Extremely important	52	38	53	60	73	45	69	49	52	47	70	34	51	52	52	51	51	43	50	56
Very important	28	30	29	36	14	30	20	35	31	29	18	46	22	29	24	33	27	31	28	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	32	16	4	12	25	10	16	16	23	11	20	25	19	23	16	20	26	21	16
Somewhat important	15	25	9	4	8	18	7	14	10	17	9	15	18	12	17	11	16	12	15	13
Not too important	5	7	7	0	4	7	3	2	6	7	2	4	7	7	6	5	5	14	6	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0

Table 61: Q9X. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing safety from crime on Muni buses

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	65	79	81	79	85	82	86	73	81	100	77	82	86	72	100	86	70	76	88	73
Extremely important	52	37	52	59	58	56	55	64	47	48	92	44	54	60	30	69	58	42	46	60	44
Very important	28	28	26	22	21	29	27	22	26	33	8	33	28	27	42	31	28	28	30	28	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	35	21	18	21	15	17	14	26	19	0	23	17	14	28	0	14	30	22	12	26
Somewhat important	15	23	15	10	15	12	13	8	18	15	0	19	13	8	26	0	10	23	15	8	19
Not too important	5	12	6	8	6	3	4	6	8	4	0	5	4	5	2	0	5	7	7	4	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	63	84	84	97	96	76	74	50	73	86	71	79	76	84	100	74	88	88	97	
Extremely important	52	38	52	58	82	60	41	53	30	48	51	48	51	47	59	43	44	57	70	77	
Very important	28	25	32	25	15	36	36	21	20	25	36	23	28	29	25	57	30	31	18	20	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	36	16	15	3	4	21	26	50	27	13	29	21	23	15	0	26	12	11	3	
Somewhat important	15	22	12	14	0	3	15	16	44	20	9	20	15	16	15	0	18	10	8	3	
Not too important	5	14	4	2	3	1	6	11	6	7	3	8	6	7	1	0	7	2	2	1	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	
DK/NA	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	

Table 61: Q9X. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing safety from crime on Muni buses

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	88	70	78	81	86	85	54	85	87	92	90	72	89	91	76	82	73	89	76	95
Extremely important	52	58	46	49	52	72	38	27	60	68	67	69	39	68	68	48	59	41	60	64	74
Very important	28	30	24	28	29	14	47	27	25	19	25	21	32	21	24	28	24	31	30	12	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	11	29	22	17	14	15	45	15	12	8	7	28	10	8	24	18	25	8	24	5
Somewhat important	15	7	22	16	12	12	7	34	12	9	6	7	20	8	6	17	16	15	6	22	0
Not too important	5	3	7	6	5	2	8	11	3	3	2	0	8	2	1	7	1	9	3	2	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	55	88	86	92	64	89	80	82	72	99	70	89	75	90	75	91	85	62	94	83
Extremely important	52	28	67	50	70	39	59	57	46	49	93	39	65	37	72	69	74	63	37	62	52
Very important	28	27	21	36	22	25	31	23	37	23	5	31	24	38	18	7	17	22	25	33	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	45	11	13	7	36	11	18	14	28	1	30	11	24	7	25	9	15	37	6	15
Somewhat important	15	34	8	8	6	26	7	15	10	25	0	21	9	17	5	20	8	12	27	3	11
Not too important	5	11	3	5	1	10	4	4	4	3	1	9	2	7	2	5	1	3	10	3	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	2
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	2

Table 61: Q9X. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing safety from crime on Muni buses

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
EXT/VERY IMPORTANT	79	78	76	68	90	82	70	83	68	81	82	95	78	85	77	75	84	100	80	91
Extremely important	52	49	44	43	66	46	57	52	42	54	59	58	51	58	48	53	71	100	51	57
Very important	28	29	31	25	24	36	13	31	27	27	23	37	28	27	28	21	13	0	29	34
SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT	20	22	23	30	10	18	30	15	29	19	18	5	21	12	23	25	16	0	18	9
Somewhat important	15	15	20	18	10	18	20	15	18	6	12	5	16	7	16	25	13	0	12	8
Not too important	5	7	2	12	0	0	10	0	10	13	6	0	6	5	7	0	3	0	5	1
DK/NA	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0
DK/NA	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
EXT/VERY IMPORTANT	79	79	89	79	76	83	70	62	80	62	83	76	76	73	75	77	82	93	86	73
Extremely important	52	48	15	57	76	41	35	37	46	44	57	50	52	52	57	54	57	54	61	44
Very important	28	31	74	22	0	42	35	25	34	18	26	27	24	21	19	23	25	39	25	28
SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT	20	21	11	21	24	13	30	35	20	38	16	24	24	27	25	23	18	7	12	27
Somewhat important	15	13	0	21	24	0	23	29	20	22	12	14	15	15	13	10	14	6	10	19
Not too important	5	8	11	0	0	13	7	6	0	16	5	10	10	12	12	13	4	1	2	8
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

Table 61: Q9X. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Increasing safety from crime on Muni buses

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	79	79	90	0	79	78	80	85	74	71	83	79	84
Extremely important	52	51	65	56	0	52	49	54	56	47	44	57	45	58
Very important	28	28	14	34	0	28	29	26	29	27	27	26	34	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	21	21	10	0	20	21	19	15	25	28	17	21	14
Somewhat important	15	14	21	10	0	15	13	16	12	17	21	10	16	12
Not too important	5	6	0	0	0	5	8	3	3	8	7	6	5	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	2
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	2

Table 62: Q9Y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Improving Muni's real-time update digital displays

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	70	66	63	69	68	60	65	55	48	72	65	65	67	63	56	82	72	56	54
Extremely important	29	28	25	36	36	30	44	23	10	17	32	34	32	28	25	22	37	36	26	27
Very important	36	42	41	27	33	38	16	41	45	31	40	31	33	39	39	34	44	36	30	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	34	28	33	35	31	32	40	33	42	52	28	35	34	32	37	38	18	26	44	46
Somewhat important	19	14	19	18	17	18	30	23	11	31	17	25	18	19	21	19	3	17	17	39
Not too important	15	14	14	17	14	13	10	10	31	21	11	10	16	13	16	20	15	9	27	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	5	0	2	0	0
DK/NA	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	5	0	2	0	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	60	77	77	48	68	56	65	74	69	51	63	58	68	61	69	60	74	62	76
Extremely important	29	30	32	33	20	31	24	31	31	32	23	25	27	29	29	28	26	27	27	41
Very important	36	30	46	44	27	37	32	34	43	38	29	38	31	39	32	40	33	47	35	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	34	38	22	23	48	31	41	35	25	29	45	37	38	32	36	31	39	24	37	22
Somewhat important	19	20	17	15	20	19	18	24	19	19	20	20	22	22	21	16	22	11	20	14
Not too important	15	18	5	8	28	12	22	11	6	11	25	17	16	10	15	14	17	13	16	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	0	5	1	3	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	2	1	2	2	2	2
DK/NA	2	2	1	0	5	1	3	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	2	1	2	2	2	2

Table 62: Q9Y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving Muni's real-time update digital displays

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	63	71	76	83	61	58	69	57	59	100	77	68	60	55	78	73	59	58	74	59
Extremely important	29	31	34	35	51	31	27	38	25	29	37	35	30	34	21	30	31	27	28	31	28
Very important	36	32	37	41	32	29	31	32	32	30	63	42	37	26	33	48	43	32	30	43	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	34	35	28	24	17	38	40	29	41	40	0	22	32	38	45	11	27	39	40	24	40
Somewhat important	19	15	17	15	0	23	24	16	23	23	0	10	16	24	22	4	16	17	26	14	21
Not too important	15	20	11	9	17	16	15	12	18	17	0	12	15	14	24	7	10	22	14	10	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	0	0	1	2	2	2	1	0	1	1	2	0	11	0	2	2	2	2
DK/NA	2	2	1	0	0	1	2	2	2	1	0	1	1	2	0	11	0	2	2	2	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	68	65	57	77	83	66	58	29	61	75	57	65	62	67	81	68	71	50	84	
Extremely important	29	34	24	24	49	33	28	24	4	30	30	26	29	26	33	19	31	30	23	42	
Very important	36	34	41	33	28	51	38	34	24	30	45	31	36	36	34	62	37	41	26	43	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	34	30	34	42	23	17	33	41	65	37	25	41	34	36	33	6	31	29	46	16	
Somewhat important	19	20	21	20	15	14	25	20	22	18	19	19	20	22	14	6	19	17	21	16	
Not too important	15	10	13	22	8	3	8	20	44	19	5	22	14	14	19	0	12	12	26	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	6	2	0	2	1	2	1	13	1	0	4	0	
DK/NA	2	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	6	2	0	2	1	2	1	13	1	0	4	0	

Table 62: Q9Y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving Muni's real-time update digital displays

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	73	54	68	60	59	73	59	66	68	48	80	65	64	60	67	63	64	57	37	77
Extremely important	29	34	24	29	28	37	26	23	38	28	25	42	28	30	31	28	28	30	26	24	32
Very important	36	39	30	39	32	22	46	35	28	39	23	39	37	34	29	39	35	34	32	14	45
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	34	26	43	31	38	41	27	39	34	30	49	18	34	34	38	31	36	33	41	63	23
Somewhat important	19	19	19	20	21	6	18	12	19	22	30	14	16	23	24	22	21	16	24	7	8
Not too important	15	7	24	11	17	36	10	27	14	8	19	4	18	10	13	10	15	17	17	56	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	3	0	2	3	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	0	0
DK/NA	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	3	0	2	3	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	51	58	76	69	55	77	55	64	46	71	66	70	63	56	63	57	63	50	82	67
Extremely important	29	21	29	36	30	22	34	28	29	23	50	27	31	26	30	63	25	37	19	27	38
Very important	36	30	29	39	39	33	43	26	35	23	21	39	39	36	25	0	32	26	32	55	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	34	48	38	23	30	43	22	42	35	54	29	32	29	37	40	37	43	33	48	18	32
Somewhat important	19	15	24	16	23	18	20	24	17	2	9	15	26	20	23	0	8	19	19	11	23
Not too important	15	33	14	7	8	24	2	18	17	52	21	17	3	17	17	37	35	15	28	7	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	4	1	1	2	1	3	1	0	0	2	2	0	5	0	0	4	2	0	2
DK/NA	2	1	4	1	1	2	1	3	1	0	0	2	2	0	5	0	0	4	2	0	2

Table 62: Q9Y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving Muni's real-time update digital displays

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	60	59	75	76	75	58	66	55	54	73	62	64	66	67	65	53	76	59	68
Extremely important	29	23	34	36	31	38	17	19	31	20	31	39	29	27	28	31	45	76	27	28
Very important	36	37	24	39	45	37	41	48	24	34	43	22	35	39	40	34	8	0	32	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	34	40	36	20	24	22	42	33	45	43	27	38	34	31	31	35	47	24	38	29
Somewhat important	19	25	18	12	7	14	14	19	36	30	21	7	19	23	21	11	11	0	21	14
Not too important	15	15	17	7	17	8	28	14	8	13	6	31	16	7	10	24	36	24	18	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	6	6	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	2	3
DK/NA	2	0	6	6	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	2	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	58	69	64	66	84	68	69	54	61	64	73	74	70	66	57	71	73	61	67
Extremely important	29	24	46	35	14	42	26	49	27	53	25	31	36	42	40	28	36	26	28	27
Very important	36	33	23	29	52	42	42	21	27	8	40	42	39	28	26	28	34	47	33	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	34	42	31	31	34	0	32	24	46	39	35	26	25	29	33	43	28	24	37	31
Somewhat important	19	28	20	23	10	0	18	12	40	30	18	12	10	12	14	17	18	13	20	18
Not too important	15	15	11	8	24	0	15	12	7	9	17	14	15	17	19	26	10	11	17	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	5	0	16	0	7	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	3	2	1
DK/NA	2	0	0	5	0	16	0	7	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	3	2	1

Table 62: Q9Y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving Muni's real-time update digital displays

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	63	90	64	0	65	63	67	71	58	65	63	59	71
Extremely important	29	28	58	21	0	29	25	33	33	25	33	25	32	27
Very important	36	35	32	42	0	36	38	33	38	33	32	38	27	44
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	34	36	10	31	0	34	36	32	27	41	32	36	38	28
Somewhat important	19	20	3	17	0	19	19	20	16	22	22	18	23	15
Not too important	15	15	7	14	0	15	17	12	10	19	11	18	15	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	5	0	2	2	2	2	1	3	1	2	1
DK/NA	2	2	0	5	0	2	2	2	2	1	3	1	2	1

Table 63: Q9Z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	88	76	57	82	76	61	71	63	73	69	91	82	66	77	53	73	74	42	85
Extremely important	38	67	42	31	51	42	47	31	27	36	37	47	49	35	47	25	23	41	24	46
Very important	31	21	34	26	31	34	14	41	36	37	32	44	33	31	30	28	50	33	17	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	12	23	39	18	23	37	26	32	27	29	9	16	32	23	40	0	25	56	15
Somewhat important	19	8	17	24	15	18	26	15	21	27	21	8	10	23	17	24	0	17	34	11
Not too important	10	4	7	15	4	5	11	11	11	0	8	1	6	9	5	17	0	8	22	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	1	4	0	0	2	2	4	0	2	0	2	2	0	7	27	1	2	0
DK/NA	3	0	1	4	0	0	2	2	4	0	2	0	2	2	0	7	27	1	2	0
		Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	73	78	66	41	76	48	80	79	78	41	76	61	86	68	69	65	73	66	83
Extremely important	38	45	43	34	21	44	24	37	42	46	21	25	33	56	39	37	35	44	36	49
Very important	31	28	35	32	20	32	24	43	37	32	19	51	28	30	29	32	30	29	30	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	26	22	30	54	24	47	9	19	21	51	21	36	12	28	29	33	21	31	17
Somewhat important	19	19	15	22	30	17	28	7	13	16	29	12	22	12	19	19	20	21	20	13
Not too important	10	7	6	8	23	7	19	2	5	5	21	9	14	1	10	10	12	0	11	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	0	5	5	1	5	11	3	1	9	3	3	1	3	2	3	6	3	1
DK/NA	3	1	0	5	5	1	5	11	3	1	9	3	3	1	3	2	3	6	3	1

Table 63: Q9Z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	64	74	77	73	69	65	73	68	67	89	78	74	67	64	81	75	63	68	76	65
Extremely important	38	33	42	40	43	40	37	39	40	30	47	44	39	37	19	42	39	36	41	39	38
Very important	31	30	33	37	30	28	28	34	28	37	42	33	35	30	46	39	36	28	27	37	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	35	25	23	27	31	30	25	30	29	11	19	23	26	36	13	23	35	28	21	32
Somewhat important	19	21	17	14	17	18	19	14	23	19	3	15	16	19	21	6	15	21	22	14	22
Not too important	10	13	8	9	10	13	11	11	7	10	8	3	7	7	15	7	7	14	5	7	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	1	0	0	0	5	2	2	4	0	4	3	7	0	6	2	2	4	3	3
DK/NA	3	1	1	0	0	0	5	2	2	4	0	4	3	7	0	6	2	2	4	3	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	81	77	52	52	91	68	70	45	60	80	63	72	68	69	94	76	79	39	94	
Extremely important	38	50	43	24	23	54	35	34	33	33	45	33	36	41	36	32	45	32	23	52	
Very important	31	31	34	28	29	37	33	37	12	26	35	29	36	28	34	62	31	46	16	42	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	17	21	46	45	7	29	28	49	39	17	35	27	29	26	6	23	15	55	6	
Somewhat important	19	10	16	30	42	6	18	20	45	25	12	25	19	19	17	6	17	9	32	6	
Not too important	10	7	5	16	3	1	11	8	4	14	5	11	8	9	9	0	6	6	23	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	1	2	2	3	4	1	6	2	3	2	1	3	4	0	1	6	6	0	
DK/NA	3	2	1	2	2	3	4	1	6	2	3	2	1	3	4	0	1	6	6	0	

Table 63: Q9Z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	84	54	75	62	46	71	64	75	66	61	85	69	68	70	79	64	79	44	42	32
Extremely important	38	50	25	42	31	39	33	41	49	30	39	40	41	35	40	45	24	48	21	27	32
Very important	31	33	29	33	31	7	37	23	26	36	22	45	28	33	30	33	40	32	23	15	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	14	42	23	34	53	29	31	20	30	39	13	28	30	29	20	33	18	54	58	63
Somewhat important	19	12	25	17	21	25	20	20	13	19	27	13	18	20	22	15	26	9	40	9	58
Not too important	10	2	17	6	13	28	9	12	7	11	12	0	10	9	8	5	7	9	14	49	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	4	2	4	1	0	4	4	4	0	1	3	2	0	1	3	2	2	0	4
DK/NA	3	2	4	2	4	1	0	4	4	4	0	1	3	2	0	1	3	2	2	0	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	51	57	85	82	57	92	55	67	21	68	72	78	64	59	59	39	68	48	83	83
Extremely important	38	24	26	54	45	28	54	21	41	21	54	42	41	38	22	43	36	39	18	39	58
Very important	31	27	31	31	37	30	39	34	26	0	14	30	37	26	37	16	3	29	30	45	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	45	39	13	17	39	6	40	30	79	30	24	21	33	35	41	59	26	49	17	13
Somewhat important	19	26	24	12	13	30	5	19	24	20	30	18	17	21	22	0	37	12	31	15	12
Not too important	10	19	15	1	3	9	1	21	6	58	0	6	5	13	14	41	22	13	18	2	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	4	3	1	3	1	5	3	0	3	3	0	2	6	0	2	6	3	0	4
DK/NA	3	4	4	3	1	3	1	5	3	0	3	3	0	2	6	0	2	6	3	0	4

Table 63: Q9Z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	68	60	82	58	68	68	65	69	73	83	65	68	76	75	77	39	76	63	57
Extremely important	38	38	33	33	48	39	24	45	37	43	39	41	38	39	45	22	36	76	33	27
Very important	31	30	27	49	10	30	43	20	33	30	44	25	30	37	30	55	3	0	30	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	32	35	16	37	29	32	35	30	20	17	26	29	23	23	18	58	24	34	38
Somewhat important	19	26	22	13	37	14	19	30	17	16	10	3	19	18	17	17	10	24	21	29
Not too important	10	6	13	3	0	15	13	5	13	5	7	23	10	5	6	1	48	0	14	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	5	2	5	2	0	0	1	7	0	8	3	1	2	5	3	0	3	5
DK/NA	3	0	5	2	5	2	0	0	1	7	0	8	3	1	2	5	3	0	3	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	71	78	55	51	81	81	60	76	75	68	67	68	68	66	63	75	75	59	77
Extremely important	38	40	46	30	10	50	23	40	45	41	40	36	39	38	39	33	43	28	27	45
Very important	31	31	32	25	41	32	58	20	31	34	28	31	29	30	27	30	32	47	33	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	29	22	41	39	19	19	33	24	22	29	30	29	29	30	36	22	22	37	22
Somewhat important	19	25	22	23	22	19	8	21	7	15	19	19	20	17	17	25	13	15	24	14
Not too important	10	3	0	18	17	0	12	11	17	7	9	11	9	12	13	11	9	6	13	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	0	4	10	0	0	7	0	2	3	3	3	3	4	2	2	3	3	2
DK/NA	3	0	0	4	10	0	0	7	0	2	3	3	3	3	4	2	2	3	3	2

Table 63: Q9Z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	68	83	72	0	69	69	68	75	62	71	74	62	62
Extremely important	38	38	52	27	0	38	38	38	39	38	34	38	35	47
Very important	31	30	31	45	0	31	31	30	37	25	37	36	28	15
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	30	10	22	0	29	26	31	22	35	26	22	36	36
Somewhat important	19	20	10	8	0	19	18	20	14	24	15	12	25	31
Not too important	10	10	0	14	0	10	8	11	8	11	11	10	11	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	7	6	0	3	4	1	2	3	3	4	1	2
DK/NA	3	2	7	6	0	3	4	1	2	3	3	4	1	2

Table 64: Q9AA. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Adding more transit lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	72	64	47	72	66	46	70	59	71	62	40	63	58	67	39	75	68	28	37
Extremely important	24	30	26	19	37	29	32	28	24	19	25	19	24	25	33	11	13	27	13	15
Very important	34	41	38	28	36	37	15	42	35	52	38	21	39	34	35	28	62	41	15	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	28	34	50	26	33	54	29	39	29	35	57	36	39	33	59	22	28	70	59
Somewhat important	20	18	20	19	17	20	20	14	20	14	19	42	18	19	21	18	17	19	21	17
Not too important	21	11	14	31	9	14	33	15	18	15	16	15	19	20	11	40	5	9	49	41
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	2	3	1	1	0	1	3	0	2	3	1	3	0	3	3	4	2	4
DK/NA	2	0	2	3	1	1	0	1	3	0	2	3	1	3	0	3	3	4	2	4

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	74	60	58	25	68	35	52	58	67	35	46	53	58	57	59	53	67	55	73
Extremely important	24	40	18	18	9	30	12	14	17	27	17	17	30	26	25	22	21	20	21	38
Very important	34	33	43	39	16	38	23	38	42	40	18	30	23	32	31	37	31	47	34	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	25	37	41	72	30	63	44	39	31	63	50	43	42	41	40	44	33	43	27
Somewhat important	20	17	23	21	19	20	19	17	22	19	20	22	22	15	20	20	20	14	19	23
Not too important	21	8	14	21	53	10	44	27	17	12	43	28	21	27	21	20	25	18	24	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	3	1	3	2	2	4	3	2	2	4	4	0	3	1	3	0	3	1
DK/NA	2	1	3	1	3	2	2	4	3	2	2	4	4	0	3	1	3	0	3	1

Table 64: Q9AA. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding more transit lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	67	64	65	60	54	49	55	54	57	83	62	61	58	54	73	60	53	59	62	56
Extremely important	24	31	29	30	38	22	20	21	27	25	21	19	23	23	29	34	22	19	28	24	23
Very important	34	37	35	35	23	32	29	34	27	32	62	42	38	35	26	39	39	34	31	39	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	30	34	33	40	46	48	43	44	40	17	37	37	38	44	27	39	43	39	37	41
Somewhat important	20	14	19	20	34	24	20	22	28	17	0	22	15	17	16	4	20	24	19	18	21
Not too important	21	17	15	13	6	22	28	22	16	23	17	15	22	21	28	23	18	19	20	19	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	2	2	0	0	3	2	2	3	0	1	2	4	1	0	1	4	2	1	3
DK/NA	2	3	2	2	0	0	3	2	2	3	0	1	2	4	1	0	1	4	2	1	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	65	62	43	58	70	60	52	50	60	65	56	57	57	59	89	68	58	27	59	
Extremely important	24	31	27	14	39	19	18	26	13	31	18	28	27	26	17	13	29	18	13	8	
Very important	34	35	34	29	19	52	41	26	37	28	47	28	30	31	43	76	39	40	14	50	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	32	36	56	40	29	40	46	50	36	34	41	42	40	38	11	30	40	71	39	
Somewhat important	20	15	20	27	28	15	17	20	16	24	16	22	23	18	23	5	20	15	22	12	
Not too important	21	17	16	30	12	14	23	26	35	11	18	19	19	22	14	6	10	24	49	28	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	3	1	2	1	1	1	0	5	1	3	1	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	
DK/NA	2	3	3	1	2	1	1	1	0	5	1	3	1	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	

Table 64: Q9AA. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding more transit lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	61	54	62	51	51	65	58	65	50	55	49	62	52	53	65	46	58	41	43	61
Extremely important	24	23	24	25	20	33	21	27	28	21	25	20	25	22	23	27	16	33	12	22	45
Very important	34	38	29	37	31	18	44	32	37	29	30	29	37	30	30	37	30	24	29	22	16
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	37	44	37	46	47	35	38	35	47	45	46	36	46	45	33	53	39	58	57	35
Somewhat important	20	19	20	19	23	13	20	22	18	22	11	27	20	19	17	17	28	20	30	19	13
Not too important	21	18	24	18	22	35	16	16	17	25	34	19	16	26	28	16	25	18	28	37	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3	2	3	1	0	4	1	3	0	5	2	3	2	2	1	4	1	0	4
DK/NA	2	2	3	2	3	1	0	4	1	3	0	5	2	3	2	2	1	4	1	0	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	64	42	59	65	60	63	48	53	26	74	65	57	58	41	47	53	50	56	72	54
Extremely important	24	31	17	20	29	26	25	22	16	26	39	24	25	27	10	24	37	21	27	24	20
Very important	34	33	24	39	36	35	38	26	37	0	35	41	31	31	31	23	16	29	29	48	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	33	55	40	33	36	36	49	43	74	23	33	41	39	55	53	45	48	41	28	42
Somewhat important	20	19	22	22	15	17	19	24	23	24	3	19	18	22	25	20	10	24	19	13	24
Not too important	21	15	33	18	18	19	17	25	20	50	20	15	23	17	29	33	35	23	22	15	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3	2	2	3	1	3	5	0	3	2	2	3	4	0	2	2	3	0	3
DK/NA	2	2	3	2	2	3	1	3	5	0	3	2	2	3	4	0	2	2	3	0	3

Table 64: Q9AA. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding more transit lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	55	51	68	84	55	48	54	47	59	75	40	59	46	60	71	34	100	48	64
Extremely important	24	28	25	32	23	27	31	10	25	25	28	7	25	12	25	23	20	76	22	18
Very important	34	27	26	36	61	28	17	44	22	33	48	33	34	34	35	48	14	24	26	46
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	44	48	30	16	42	46	45	48	38	25	60	39	52	38	29	64	0	48	36
Somewhat important	20	28	16	24	0	31	31	26	20	25	2	14	18	28	18	20	20	0	25	17
Not too important	21	16	32	6	16	11	14	19	28	13	23	46	20	25	20	8	44	0	23	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	2	0	4	6	1	5	3	0	0	2	2	2	0	3	0	4	0
DK/NA	2	1	1	2	0	4	6	1	5	3	0	0	2	2	2	0	3	0	4	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	52	35	55	76	39	64	64	78	47	58	65	62	55	52	54	54	72	50	64
Extremely important	24	26	11	25	49	21	37	31	26	34	20	22	21	20	24	25	16	19	20	27
Very important	34	26	25	30	27	18	27	33	52	12	38	43	41	35	28	29	38	54	30	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	46	65	44	24	61	33	32	22	51	39	33	34	42	43	43	43	26	48	34
Somewhat important	20	32	0	20	16	55	28	27	12	16	16	15	16	20	22	21	22	10	23	18
Not too important	21	14	65	24	8	6	5	6	11	35	23	18	18	22	21	21	21	17	26	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	2	2	3	4	3	4	3	2	1	2	2
DK/NA	2	1	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	2	2	3	4	3	4	3	2	1	2	2

Table 64: Q9AA. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding more transit lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	55	83	69	0	58	59	56	59	56	61	56	47	66
Extremely important	24	24	18	26	0	24	24	23	17	30	31	24	23	15
Very important	34	31	64	43	0	34	34	33	42	25	30	32	23	50
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	43	17	25	0	40	40	40	38	42	36	42	53	32
Somewhat important	20	21	14	8	0	20	20	19	19	21	24	18	24	12
Not too important	21	22	3	16	0	21	20	21	20	21	12	23	28	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	6	0	2	1	3	2	2	3	2	1	2
DK/NA	2	2	0	6	0	2	1	3	2	2	3	2	1	2

Table 65: Q9BB. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	72	62	39	66	60	37	66	52	100	52	47	55	53	63	27	53	62	27	54
Extremely important	27	46	30	23	40	32	20	30	21	77	24	30	23	28	40	6	22	31	11	21
Very important	25	26	31	17	25	28	17	36	31	23	27	17	32	26	23	20	31	31	16	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	45	28	37	57	32	39	63	31	43	0	47	53	42	45	37	70	36	37	73	27
Somewhat important	27	26	28	23	23	29	36	16	23	0	31	39	21	29	23	35	14	30	22	23
Not too important	19	2	10	34	9	10	27	15	20	0	17	13	21	17	14	35	22	7	51	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	3	2	1	0	3	5	0	1	0	3	2	0	3	11	1	0	19
DK/NA	2	0	1	3	2	1	0	3	5	0	1	0	3	2	0	3	11	1	0	19
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	68	56	46	19	62	27	54	56	64	20	49	48	74	52	52	47	71	50	63
Extremely important	27	43	26	18	4	35	8	21	25	36	6	16	30	51	30	24	25	32	26	34
Very important	25	25	30	28	15	27	19	32	30	29	14	33	17	23	23	29	22	40	25	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	45	32	43	54	78	37	71	31	41	35	76	39	50	26	45	46	51	20	47	36
Somewhat important	27	23	31	33	28	27	30	19	28	27	26	29	26	19	26	27	28	15	26	30
Not too important	19	9	13	21	50	10	42	11	12	9	49	10	23	7	19	18	23	5	21	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	0	0	3	1	2	16	4	1	4	12	3	0	2	2	2	9	3	1
DK/NA	2	1	0	0	3	1	2	16	4	1	4	12	3	0	2	2	2	9	3	1

Table 65: Q9BB. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	80	61	69	66	56	43	57	52	43	76	73	56	40	45	75	55	52	52	58	52
Extremely important	27	57	31	29	38	35	25	24	31	19	26	28	24	17	22	19	24	35	26	23	31
Very important	25	23	30	40	28	21	18	33	20	24	50	45	32	23	23	56	31	16	26	34	21
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	45	19	38	31	32	44	54	41	47	55	24	23	41	56	55	17	44	46	47	40	46
Somewhat important	27	10	26	18	15	26	27	22	28	36	15	14	25	40	30	6	26	28	28	23	28
Not too important	19	10	12	12	16	18	27	19	19	9	9	9	17	15	25	11	18	18	19	17	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	0	2	0	3	2	1	3	0	4	3	5	0	8	2	2	2	2	2
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	2	0	3	2	1	3	0	4	3	5	0	8	2	2	2	2	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	64	61	36	23	66	56	54	52	47	61	50	53	54	57	85	63	57	17	74	
Extremely important	27	32	34	18	18	29	26	24	26	31	27	28	22	31	30	29	37	17	7	25	
Very important	25	32	27	18	5	37	30	29	26	16	34	22	31	23	27	57	26	41	10	49	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	45	34	39	62	77	29	42	45	48	53	35	49	44	45	39	15	36	35	81	26	
Somewhat important	27	24	27	31	43	22	25	26	20	34	24	29	33	23	26	15	27	23	30	25	
Not too important	19	10	13	31	34	7	16	19	28	19	12	20	11	23	13	0	9	12	51	1	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	2	0	5	2	1	0	0	3	1	3	1	4	0	1	8	2	0	
DK/NA	2	2	0	2	0	5	2	1	0	0	3	1	3	1	4	0	1	8	2	0	

Table 65: Q9BB. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	57	49	63	38	22	63	56	59	47	42	38	59	44	41	66	50	48	24	22	16
Extremely important	27	26	28	31	22	10	28	32	35	17	24	25	32	20	24	33	21	34	17	10	16
Very important	25	30	20	32	16	13	35	24	23	30	18	14	27	24	17	33	28	14	6	12	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	45	41	49	35	59	78	37	40	41	49	58	55	40	53	57	33	47	52	75	78	84
Somewhat important	27	31	21	19	37	44	34	23	22	28	25	30	26	27	27	21	19	41	44	29	59
Not too important	19	10	27	16	22	34	3	17	19	21	33	25	14	25	30	12	28	11	31	49	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	2	3	0	0	4	0	4	0	7	2	3	3	1	3	0	2	0	0
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	3	0	0	4	0	4	0	7	2	3	3	1	3	0	2	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	60	36	58	55	64	64	31	45	15	29	68	57	43	30	36	15	50	49	64	54
Extremely important	27	36	20	29	22	38	27	16	32	15	5	35	26	28	13	8	11	22	32	25	30
Very important	25	24	16	29	32	27	38	15	14	0	24	32	31	15	17	29	5	29	17	39	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	45	37	62	41	42	33	34	66	52	85	71	30	42	57	62	64	85	47	48	33	45
Somewhat important	27	18	26	33	29	12	26	38	36	20	66	18	22	42	30	36	48	12	26	30	31
Not too important	19	20	36	8	13	21	8	29	15	66	5	12	20	14	31	28	37	35	22	3	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	2	1	3	2	2	3	3	0	0	3	1	0	8	0	0	2	3	3	1
DK/NA	2	3	2	1	3	2	2	3	3	0	0	3	1	0	8	0	0	2	3	3	1

Table 65: Q9BB. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	51	37	52	46	52	63	50	50	64	70	44	53	46	63	70	31	16	38	35
Extremely important	27	38	17	24	33	28	12	29	34	30	32	15	28	21	30	39	9	16	23	18
Very important	25	13	19	28	14	24	50	20	16	33	38	29	26	25	32	31	22	0	15	17
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	45	45	62	41	54	46	37	50	48	33	28	51	44	53	36	25	69	84	58	65
Somewhat important	27	23	43	33	35	34	18	31	23	28	19	4	26	35	19	16	28	84	36	41
Not too important	19	22	19	8	19	12	19	19	26	5	9	47	19	19	16	9	41	0	22	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	2	7	0	2	0	0	2	3	2	5	2	1	2	5	0	0	4	0
DK/NA	2	3	2	7	0	2	0	0	2	3	2	5	2	1	2	5	0	0	4	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	51	41	30	49	49	52	61	66	52	54	59	53	48	52	59	51	56	46	61
Extremely important	27	38	15	11	26	26	24	28	38	35	27	32	31	23	25	27	25	20	24	30
Very important	25	13	27	18	23	23	29	33	28	17	28	28	22	25	27	32	26	35	22	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	45	45	59	70	24	51	46	32	34	44	44	39	45	50	47	41	46	38	52	37
Somewhat important	27	25	59	46	16	44	29	28	21	26	23	27	32	34	29	25	29	23	25	25
Not too important	19	19	0	24	8	6	17	4	13	18	21	12	13	16	18	16	18	15	27	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	4	0	0	27	0	2	7	0	4	1	2	3	2	1	0	3	7	2	3
DK/NA	2	4	0	0	27	0	2	7	0	4	1	2	3	2	1	0	3	7	2	3

Table 65: Q9BB. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	51	90	41	0	52	51	54	52	52	50	61	45	48
Extremely important	27	26	57	11	0	27	28	26	23	30	25	24	27	34
Very important	25	25	33	30	0	25	23	28	29	22	24	37	18	14
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	45	48	3	46	0	45	47	44	44	47	46	37	53	52
Somewhat important	27	28	0	30	0	27	26	27	27	27	32	19	32	30
Not too important	19	20	3	15	0	19	21	16	17	21	14	18	22	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	7	14	0	2	2	2	4	1	4	2	2	0
DK/NA	2	1	7	14	0	2	2	2	4	1	4	2	2	0

Table 66: Q9CC. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Creating a citywide network of Slow Streets

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS											
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA									
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23								
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	62	45	22	49	43	23	45	39	73	36	31	32	38	45	18	49	44	10	25									
Extremely important	16	26	20	10	26	19	20	9	21	64	13	19	11	18	21	6	8	22	1	20									
Very important	20	36	25	12	23	24	3	35	18	8	23	12	21	21	24	12	41	22	9	5									
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	61	38	53	74	49	55	77	51	55	20	62	67	65	59	54	76	51	54	90	64									
Somewhat important	24	29	27	20	26	26	44	16	18	15	22	28	32	23	25	13	8	27	20	42									
Not too important	38	9	26	55	23	28	33	35	37	5	40	39	34	36	28	63	44	27	70	22									
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	2	3	2	2	0	4	5	7	2	1	3	3	1	6	0	2	0	12									
DK/NA	3	0	2	3	2	2	0	4	5	7	2	1	3	3	1	6	0	2	0	12									
Q11 MEASURE VOTE																				Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED			Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES										
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72									
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	52	36	14	15	45	15	34	35	45	11	34	31	58	35	37	30	41	32	57									
Extremely important	16	33	8	5	3	21	4	15	9	21	4	13	18	27	17	15	12	21	13	30									
Very important	20	19	28	9	12	23	11	20	26	24	7	20	13	32	17	22	18	21	18	27									
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	61	47	62	82	82	54	82	59	61	53	87	56	65	42	62	61	68	55	66	38									
Somewhat important	24	26	27	20	14	26	16	28	27	27	15	23	26	26	26	21	23	34	24	22									
Not too important	38	21	35	62	68	27	66	31	34	26	72	33	39	15	36	40	45	21	42	16									
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	2	4	3	2	4	7	3	2	2	10	4	0	3	2	2	4	2	5									
DK/NA	3	1	2	4	3	2	4	7	3	2	2	10	4	0	3	2	2	4	2	5									

Table 66: Q9CC. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Creating a citywide network of Slow Streets

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	46	42	46	49	34	28	32	37	37	52	42	39	34	39	60	39	39	30	42	35
Extremely important	16	29	20	20	35	20	12	13	19	14	3	25	17	10	22	34	14	17	15	17	16
Very important	20	16	22	26	14	15	16	20	18	22	48	17	23	24	17	25	24	22	15	24	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	61	54	55	52	50	65	70	65	61	59	48	56	58	61	57	27	58	59	68	54	63
Somewhat important	24	29	25	24	27	31	22	28	23	24	11	34	26	25	23	16	32	22	21	30	21
Not too important	38	24	30	28	22	34	48	37	38	35	37	22	33	36	35	11	26	38	47	24	42
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	3	2	1	1	2	2	3	4	0	2	3	5	4	14	3	2	2	5	2
DK/NA	3	1	3	2	1	1	2	2	3	4	0	2	3	5	4	14	3	2	2	5	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	48	37	27	27	56	22	30	44	40	40	36	41	34	35	69	46	33	9	38	
Extremely important	16	22	16	13	18	17	6	17	29	20	12	20	17	14	20	13	23	8	4	5	
Very important	20	26	21	14	9	40	16	12	14	20	28	17	23	19	15	57	23	25	5	33	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	61	49	61	70	73	38	77	69	56	58	57	62	56	65	60	25	52	61	88	60	
Somewhat important	24	28	26	19	43	21	39	34	8	18	30	23	23	26	25	0	27	21	17	27	
Not too important	38	22	36	51	29	17	38	34	49	40	27	39	33	39	35	25	25	41	71	33	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	2	0	5	1	2	0	2	3	2	3	2	5	5	2	5	3	2	
DK/NA	3	3	2	2	0	5	1	2	0	2	3	2	3	2	5	5	2	5	3	2	

Table 66: Q9CC. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Creating a citywide network of Slow Streets

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB	MD/CN	PR/LB	MD/CN	P/L/M	CONS
																DEM	DEM	INDEP	INDEP	REP	REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	36	36	41	32	12	47	41	41	26	32	22	43	27	28	42	32	40	28	10	24
Extremely important	16	14	19	17	17	7	13	24	20	11	13	12	20	11	12	18	11	22	18	0	24
Very important	20	22	17	24	15	5	33	17	20	15	19	10	23	15	16	24	20	19	10	10	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	61	60	62	57	64	88	52	58	59	68	68	68	56	68	68	56	64	56	72	90	76
Somewhat important	24	27	19	21	25	37	33	22	21	20	21	25	25	22	23	26	12	28	26	45	29
Not too important	38	33	43	36	39	52	19	36	37	48	46	43	31	47	45	30	51	28	45	44	47
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	2	2	4	0	1	1	1	6	0	10	1	5	4	2	5	3	0	0	0
DK/NA	3	4	2	2	4	0	1	1	1	6	0	10	1	5	4	2	5	3	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	46	24	39	32	45	39	25	37	15	9	48	29	36	26	0	18	38	35	44	34
Extremely important	16	24	12	16	12	23	12	14	20	5	9	22	9	17	16	0	11	19	18	16	14
Very important	20	22	12	23	20	22	27	11	17	10	0	26	20	19	10	0	7	19	17	28	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	61	53	73	60	61	54	57	71	59	85	91	50	67	64	65	100	82	58	63	50	63
Somewhat important	24	21	18	29	25	16	25	23	29	30	43	22	21	28	22	58	27	23	18	34	24
Not too important	38	32	55	31	35	38	32	47	31	55	48	28	47	36	43	42	56	35	45	16	40
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	3	1	7	1	4	4	4	0	0	2	4	0	9	0	0	4	1	5	3
DK/NA	3	1	3	1	7	1	4	4	4	0	0	2	4	0	9	0	0	4	1	5	3

Table 66: Q9CC. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Creating a citywide network of Slow Streets

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	44	15	41	20	27	47	46	37	40	51	29	37	29	41	51	19	16	31	17
Extremely important	16	31	6	20	10	11	18	23	13	17	21	11	16	16	17	23	9	16	16	11
Very important	20	12	9	21	10	17	28	24	24	24	29	18	21	13	24	28	9	0	15	6
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	61	51	85	48	80	70	53	52	61	53	46	71	61	68	57	49	81	84	64	79
Somewhat important	24	15	46	25	25	35	15	10	17	27	22	20	25	15	24	6	45	0	25	29
Not too important	38	36	39	24	55	35	38	42	43	26	24	50	36	52	33	43	36	84	39	51
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	0	10	0	2	0	1	3	7	3	0	3	4	2	0	0	0	4	4
DK/NA	3	5	0	10	0	2	0	1	3	7	3	0	3	4	2	0	0	0	4	4

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	44	9	22	40	31	39	25	58	33	38	44	36	30	31	30	38	37	29	42
Extremely important	16	32	0	12	16	17	11	15	33	9	16	18	16	19	21	20	18	6	12	19
Very important	20	12	9	10	24	14	28	10	25	24	22	25	20	11	10	10	20	31	17	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	61	52	91	78	33	60	60	72	42	64	60	53	60	67	66	67	59	56	69	55
Somewhat important	24	16	65	35	16	29	25	45	19	15	20	23	26	26	27	26	33	11	20	27
Not too important	38	35	26	43	17	31	35	26	23	49	40	31	34	41	39	41	27	45	49	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	0	0	27	9	2	4	0	2	2	3	4	3	2	3	2	7	3	3
DK/NA	3	4	0	0	27	9	2	4	0	2	2	3	4	3	2	3	2	7	3	3

Table 66: Q9CC. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Creating a citywide network of Slow Streets

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	35	48	40	0	36	37	35	38	34	35	40	29	34
Extremely important	16	15	37	16	0	16	15	17	14	18	16	16	18	17
Very important	20	20	11	25	0	20	22	17	24	16	20	25	12	17
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	61	63	50	46	0	61	60	63	58	64	60	57	68	65
Somewhat important	24	23	36	19	0	24	20	27	26	21	29	23	27	15
Not too important	38	40	14	27	0	38	40	35	32	43	32	34	41	49
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	14	0	3	3	3	4	2	4	2	3	1
DK/NA	3	2	2	14	0	3	3	3	4	2	4	2	3	1

Table 67: Q9DD. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Reducing crowding on Muni

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	66	52	45	50	53	44	64	34	41	49	66	57	47	58	46	81	42	36	58
Extremely important	21	19	19	24	25	23	28	18	3	14	23	25	23	20	20	20	38	20	18	25
Very important	28	47	33	21	26	30	16	46	30	26	26	41	33	27	38	26	43	21	18	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	32	46	51	48	44	51	34	62	59	49	30	41	50	41	50	8	55	59	40
Somewhat important	31	17	31	30	34	32	37	21	28	42	34	22	24	34	33	20	3	38	26	32
Not too important	17	15	15	21	13	13	15	13	34	17	14	8	16	16	8	30	5	18	33	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	5	2	2	5	2	4	0	2	4	3	3	1	4	11	3	5	2
DK/NA	3	2	2	5	2	2	5	2	4	0	2	4	3	3	1	4	11	3	5	2
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	51	48	47	40	49	42	67	52	52	39	54	39	54	45	54	44	48	44	72
Extremely important	21	25	15	27	16	20	19	30	18	21	20	17	16	16	18	25	17	14	17	39
Very important	28	26	33	20	24	29	23	37	34	31	18	37	22	38	27	30	27	34	28	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	47	50	49	55	48	53	27	45	46	55	45	58	44	52	43	53	46	52	26
Somewhat important	31	36	35	24	21	35	22	20	31	34	19	32	34	35	33	28	34	32	34	16
Not too important	17	11	15	24	34	13	31	7	13	11	35	13	24	9	19	15	19	14	18	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	5	5	2	5	6	3	2	7	1	3	2	4	3	3	6	4	2
DK/NA	3	3	2	5	5	2	5	6	3	2	7	1	3	2	4	3	3	6	4	2

Table 67: Q9DD. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing crowding on Muni

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	35	52	56	47	45	43	50	46	50	75	57	54	57	36	69	51	42	52	54	47
Extremely important	21	14	24	24	30	18	19	23	15	28	14	31	26	34	18	33	23	17	22	25	19
Very important	28	21	28	31	16	27	24	27	31	22	61	26	28	23	18	35	28	25	31	29	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	63	46	43	53	54	52	47	52	44	25	39	42	37	57	26	46	55	45	43	50
Somewhat important	31	41	32	24	30	33	32	29	37	29	3	27	25	21	43	14	29	36	32	27	34
Not too important	17	22	14	19	23	21	20	18	14	15	21	12	17	16	14	13	16	18	13	16	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	1	0	1	5	3	2	6	0	4	4	6	7	5	3	3	3	3	3
DK/NA	3	2	2	1	0	1	5	3	2	6	0	4	4	6	7	5	3	3	3	3	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	44	52	50	61	73	50	37	36	43	62	40	49	46	51	76	50	63	36	78	
Extremely important	21	16	17	22	47	25	20	17	15	18	22	17	19	16	33	8	20	28	18	36	
Very important	28	28	34	29	14	48	30	20	20	24	40	22	30	31	18	67	30	35	18	41	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	52	46	48	36	26	45	62	59	55	35	58	48	52	44	11	48	33	59	21	
Somewhat important	31	34	31	30	25	23	29	38	42	36	26	37	29	34	30	11	36	22	22	21	
Not too important	17	18	16	18	12	3	16	24	16	19	9	21	19	17	14	0	12	11	36	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	2	2	1	5	1	6	2	3	2	2	2	5	13	2	4	5	2	
DK/NA	3	3	2	2	2	1	5	1	6	2	3	2	2	2	5	13	2	4	5	2	

Table 67: Q9DD. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing crowding on Muni

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	58	38	52	44	48	46	42	54	52	48	61	46	52	53	50	59	45	47	30	61
Extremely important	21	28	14	19	21	40	25	13	26	17	20	36	20	22	26	15	25	24	22	12	61
Very important	28	30	23	33	24	8	21	29	27	35	28	26	26	31	27	34	35	21	26	18	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	40	59	45	53	50	54	54	39	46	46	37	50	45	43	48	37	52	53	70	35
Somewhat important	31	25	38	31	31	22	40	37	22	25	25	33	34	26	28	33	26	28	35	36	13
Not too important	17	14	21	14	22	28	14	18	17	22	21	4	16	18	15	15	11	23	18	35	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	4	4	3	1	0	3	7	2	5	2	3	3	4	2	4	3	0	0	4
DK/NA	3	2	4	4	3	1	0	3	7	2	5	2	3	3	4	2	4	3	0	0	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	32	43	54	64	40	60	37	50	22	73	45	62	49	37	53	46	39	37	62	56
Extremely important	21	12	17	28	28	14	23	13	31	16	62	15	24	28	11	46	37	19	12	30	27
Very important	28	20	27	26	36	26	36	23	19	6	11	30	37	22	26	7	9	20	25	32	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	63	53	43	35	55	38	61	46	78	25	51	36	48	60	47	52	56	60	36	40
Somewhat important	31	44	31	28	22	39	26	36	28	38	8	35	25	32	31	26	20	33	41	25	27
Not too important	17	20	22	15	13	16	12	25	18	40	17	16	10	16	29	21	32	23	19	11	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	3	3	1	5	2	3	4	0	3	4	3	3	3	0	2	6	3	2	3
DK/NA	3	4	3	3	1	5	2	3	4	0	3	4	3	3	3	0	2	6	3	2	3

Table 67: Q9DD. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing crowding on Muni

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	56	43	34	73	47	30	50	43	58	60	45	48	54	51	57	47	76	41	55
Extremely important	21	29	21	12	38	21	15	13	13	26	18	20	22	13	17	25	34	76	19	30
Very important	28	27	22	22	35	25	14	36	30	32	41	24	26	40	33	32	13	0	22	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	44	50	62	27	50	70	45	53	38	40	47	49	38	46	38	50	24	55	43
Somewhat important	31	30	33	53	23	43	32	32	36	20	25	7	31	24	30	36	26	0	32	29
Not too important	17	14	17	9	4	7	38	13	17	18	15	40	18	14	16	2	24	24	23	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	8	4	0	3	0	5	4	3	0	8	2	8	3	5	3	0	3	3
DK/NA	3	0	8	4	0	3	0	5	4	3	0	8	2	8	3	5	3	0	3	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	53	39	52	40	43	35	35	38	41	53	48	49	44	42	38	52	63	49	48
Extremely important	21	31	15	23	34	6	12	25	8	27	20	19	22	21	22	18	24	23	18	20
Very important	28	22	24	29	7	37	23	10	30	14	33	29	27	23	20	20	28	40	31	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	47	61	37	60	47	65	60	62	55	44	49	48	53	55	61	46	32	48	49
Somewhat important	31	33	51	23	44	38	58	54	51	41	22	33	33	34	33	37	29	24	28	33
Not too important	17	13	11	14	16	9	7	6	11	14	22	16	16	19	22	24	16	9	20	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	0	11	0	10	0	5	0	4	3	3	3	2	3	1	3	5	3	3
DK/NA	3	0	0	11	0	10	0	5	0	4	3	3	3	2	3	1	3	5	3	3

Table 67: Q9DD. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Reducing crowding on Muni

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	47	63	70	0	49	56	42	55	43	44	51	41	59
Extremely important	21	18	53	31	0	21	21	20	24	18	18	20	23	23
Very important	28	29	10	39	0	28	34	22	31	26	26	31	18	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	50	30	19	0	48	41	54	42	54	52	46	55	39
Somewhat important	31	32	30	11	0	31	27	34	28	33	43	19	38	28
Not too important	17	19	0	8	0	17	15	20	14	20	9	27	17	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	7	11	0	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	2
DK/NA	3	3	7	11	0	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	2

Table 68: Q9EE. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Addressing the backlog of maintenance work before increasing inflation makes it even more expensive

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	66	84	71	56	86	70	61	66	34	60	73	86	66	68	75	44	79	74	48	48
Extremely important	27	33	28	26	41	29	39	21	14	12	24	65	34	25	30	22	20	32	24	2
Very important	38	51	44	30	45	41	22	45	21	47	48	21	32	43	45	22	59	41	24	45
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	14	26	39	12	27	35	33	54	35	25	10	33	29	21	53	21	24	44	38
Somewhat important	24	10	22	26	11	24	33	23	39	31	21	10	27	23	19	39	21	21	17	36
Not too important	7	4	3	13	1	3	2	9	15	3	4	0	6	6	3	14	0	3	27	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	3	5	2	2	4	1	11	6	2	4	2	3	4	3	0	2	7	14
DK/NA	4	2	3	5	2	2	4	1	11	6	2	4	2	3	4	3	0	2	7	14

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	66	73	75	65	38	74	46	60	72	73	45	62	70	77	69	62	61	79	63	75
Extremely important	27	35	27	28	20	31	23	10	23	31	21	12	32	34	35	18	24	37	26	33
Very important	38	39	48	37	18	43	23	51	49	42	24	50	37	43	34	44	37	41	38	43
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	23	22	31	57	23	50	31	24	23	50	30	28	19	29	32	36	13	33	20
Somewhat important	24	22	18	26	32	20	30	30	21	21	30	26	21	19	23	24	27	11	25	20
Not too important	7	1	4	5	25	3	19	1	3	2	20	4	8	0	6	9	9	2	8	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	3	5	5	3	5	9	4	3	5	7	2	4	2	6	3	9	4	5
DK/NA	4	3	3	5	5	3	5	9	4	3	5	7	2	4	2	6	3	9	4	5

Table 68: Q9EE. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Addressing the backlog of maintenance work before increasing inflation makes it even more expensive

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	66	63	70	68	77	57	61	59	66	64	47	83	67	65	59	70	69	64	68	69	66
Extremely important	27	28	29	35	38	21	25	27	29	24	26	27	25	27	20	14	33	17	38	30	26
Very important	38	36	40	33	39	37	37	32	37	39	21	55	41	38	40	56	35	47	30	38	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	32	26	29	22	39	34	36	30	30	53	13	29	25	39	13	29	32	27	27	30
Somewhat important	24	23	21	22	17	29	25	28	25	21	45	11	21	18	25	6	19	26	24	17	25
Not too important	7	10	5	7	4	9	9	8	5	9	8	2	8	7	14	7	10	6	4	10	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	4	3	1	4	4	5	4	6	0	4	4	9	2	17	2	4	5	4	4
DK/NA	4	4	4	3	1	4	4	5	4	6	0	4	4	9	2	17	2	4	5	4	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	66	75	69	52	87	80	72	67	36	62	76	62	65	67	63	76	76	53	45	45	
Extremely important	27	29	28	22	56	28	27	34	14	22	27	26	30	28	19	19	33	13	24	17	
Very important	38	45	41	30	31	52	45	33	22	40	49	36	36	39	44	57	44	40	21	28	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	20	30	45	10	16	24	30	52	35	20	35	31	28	36	6	20	42	50	51	
Somewhat important	24	15	27	33	7	16	16	25	41	31	16	30	28	20	28	6	18	36	28	46	
Not too important	7	5	3	12	3	0	8	5	10	4	4	5	3	8	8	0	2	6	21	5	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	1	4	2	4	4	4	12	2	4	4	3	5	1	18	3	5	5	4	
DK/NA	4	5	1	4	2	4	4	4	12	2	4	4	3	5	1	18	3	5	5	4	

Table 68: Q9EE. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Addressing the backlog of maintenance work before increasing inflation makes it even more expensive

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	66	72	60	68	63	55	81	53	67	57	69	84	65	66	74	72	53	69	62	35	77
Extremely important	27	28	26	28	25	32	28	19	26	25	30	55	23	32	39	30	23	24	28	10	61
Very important	38	43	33	40	38	23	54	34	41	32	38	29	42	34	35	42	31	45	35	25	16
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	24	36	28	33	41	17	43	29	37	29	6	32	29	21	25	42	30	35	65	19
Somewhat important	24	21	25	26	18	31	15	35	21	27	20	6	25	21	15	23	35	18	20	54	13
Not too important	7	4	11	2	15	11	2	8	8	10	8	0	6	8	5	1	7	11	15	12	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	5	4	4	3	2	3	4	5	3	9	3	5	5	3	5	1	3	0	4
DK/NA	4	4	5	4	4	3	2	3	4	5	3	9	3	5	5	3	5	1	3	0	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	66	56	64	76	66	65	72	57	69	33	76	66	71	68	57	43	61	62	59	75	73
Extremely important	27	24	30	24	35	26	29	31	19	7	55	24	34	25	25	8	44	31	25	31	27
Very important	38	32	34	52	31	38	43	26	51	26	21	42	37	43	32	35	18	31	34	44	46
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	40	31	22	28	31	24	38	27	62	22	31	24	29	37	57	33	32	37	22	22
Somewhat important	24	30	19	18	24	26	23	17	18	48	15	28	23	19	16	36	28	13	31	19	19
Not too important	7	10	12	4	4	5	1	21	9	15	7	3	1	11	21	21	5	19	6	3	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	5	2	6	4	4	5	4	5	3	3	5	3	6	0	5	6	4	3	5
DK/NA	4	4	5	2	6	4	4	5	4	5	3	3	5	3	6	0	5	6	4	3	5

Table 68: Q9EE. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Addressing the backlog of maintenance work before increasing inflation makes it even more expensive

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	66	67	77	67	77	65	67	56	74	58	56	52	67	58	68	71	44	76	61	65
Extremely important	27	18	35	20	46	40	23	19	32	15	24	21	27	28	28	30	15	76	25	25
Very important	38	49	43	47	31	26	44	37	42	43	31	31	40	30	40	40	29	0	36	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	31	17	16	23	30	29	39	22	40	44	45	30	34	28	29	53	24	34	28
Somewhat important	24	28	12	13	17	16	23	32	18	34	44	21	23	30	25	29	40	0	18	24
Not too important	7	3	5	2	6	15	6	7	3	6	0	24	7	4	3	0	14	24	16	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	5	17	0	4	5	5	4	2	0	3	3	8	4	0	3	0	5	7
DK/NA	4	2	5	17	0	4	5	5	4	2	0	3	3	8	4	0	3	0	5	7

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	66	69	91	69	58	75	59	78	64	71	63	63	66	59	59	59	74	64	62	68
Extremely important	27	17	30	32	10	11	34	43	19	39	26	27	29	29	27	23	32	21	28	25
Very important	38	52	61	36	48	64	25	35	44	32	37	35	36	30	32	36	41	43	34	43
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	29	9	24	15	15	31	19	36	24	35	32	29	34	34	37	22	30	35	27
Somewhat important	24	26	0	20	15	15	15	14	21	20	27	25	21	25	25	27	13	26	25	23
Not too important	7	3	9	4	0	0	16	4	16	4	7	7	8	9	9	10	9	4	10	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	0	7	27	10	10	4	0	5	2	5	5	7	7	4	5	6	3	5
DK/NA	4	2	0	7	27	10	10	4	0	5	2	5	5	7	7	4	5	6	3	5

Table 68: Q9EE. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Addressing the backlog of maintenance work before increasing inflation makes it even more expensive

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	66	65	87	53	0	66	66	66	71	61	69	60	69	68
Extremely important	27	27	46	17	0	27	27	27	29	26	32	21	25	33
Very important	38	38	41	36	0	38	39	38	42	35	36	39	45	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	30	31	11	34	0	30	31	30	24	37	24	38	27	29
Somewhat important	24	25	7	15	0	24	25	22	16	30	17	31	20	22
Not too important	7	6	4	20	0	7	6	8	8	6	7	7	7	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	2	13	0	4	3	5	5	3	8	2	3	3
DK/NA	4	4	2	13	0	4	3	5	5	3	8	2	3	3

Table 69: Q9FF. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS																		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA																
																					102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23								
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23																
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	81	83	86	73	97	89	65	90	69	92	86	93	86	82	89	62	97	88	60	73																
Extremely important	42	53	41	43	57	47	46	43	24	34	47	32	36	44	50	28	22	44	40	31																
Very important	39	30	45	30	40	42	19	46	46	58	39	61	50	38	39	33	75	44	20	42																
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	14	13	26	3	11	35	10	31	8	13	7	14	17	11	36	3	10	38	25																
Somewhat important	12	14	11	14	2	9	29	9	20	8	10	7	9	13	9	19	3	10	19	21																
Not too important	6	0	2	12	1	2	6	1	11	0	3	0	5	5	2	17	0	0	20	4																
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	1	2																
DK/NA	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	1	2																
																					Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES																
																					156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72																
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	81	89	88	80	53	89	61	83	87	89	59	80	76	89	81	81	77	91	79	89																
Extremely important	42	49	45	44	29	47	33	27	41	47	33	25	46	53	44	38	42	29	40	50																
Very important	39	41	42	36	25	41	28	56	45	42	26	55	30	36	36	43	35	63	39	40																
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	9	12	20	44	11	37	16	13	10	39	20	22	11	18	18	22	9	20	9																
Somewhat important	12	7	12	17	20	10	19	14	13	10	18	17	17	10	14	10	15	9	14	6																
Not too important	6	2	0	3	25	1	18	2	0	0	21	2	5	1	4	7	7	0	6	4																
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	0	0	2	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2																
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	2	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2																

Table 69: Q9FF. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	81	82	87	84	85	73	74	79	83	80	89	89	82	78	83	78	81	84	83	81	83
Extremely important	42	40	45	43	53	36	42	43	48	39	48	28	37	42	37	27	30	47	49	29	48
Very important	39	42	41	41	32	38	32	36	35	41	40	62	46	37	46	51	51	36	33	51	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	18	12	15	14	25	24	20	16	17	11	9	16	18	15	17	18	16	16	18	16
Somewhat important	12	13	9	10	10	20	17	13	12	13	0	6	10	15	9	17	9	12	11	10	12
Not too important	6	4	3	5	4	5	7	7	4	4	11	3	6	2	6	0	9	4	4	8	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	1	2	2	1	0	3	0	1	2	4	2	5	1	0	2	2	1
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	1	2	2	1	0	3	0	1	2	4	2	5	1	0	2	2	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	81	90	88	67	84	92	82	80	85	83	87	82	86	82	74	87	89	83	55	84	
Extremely important	42	57	45	27	56	43	33	42	40	47	38	45	49	46	24	15	47	34	32	41	
Very important	39	33	43	40	28	49	49	37	45	36	49	37	37	37	50	73	42	49	23	43	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	9	12	32	16	8	18	19	15	17	12	17	13	17	24	0	10	16	43	14	
Somewhat important	12	5	11	20	13	7	14	11	11	13	10	12	9	11	21	0	10	13	20	14	
Not too important	6	3	1	13	3	1	3	8	4	4	2	5	4	5	3	0	0	3	23	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	13	1	1	2	2	
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	13	1	1	2	2	

Table 69: Q9FF. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	81	89	73	86	74	64	90	85	76	78	68	88	84	77	75	89	81	88	59	57	71
Extremely important	42	51	30	44	38	38	45	37	44	43	40	44	41	42	41	50	30	49	29	26	55
Very important	39	38	43	42	36	26	45	48	31	35	28	44	43	35	34	39	51	39	30	31	16
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	10	25	13	25	34	10	14	24	20	30	12	15	21	23	10	19	12	41	43	29
Somewhat important	12	10	14	11	16	14	9	7	20	13	18	10	11	14	15	9	12	6	27	15	24
Not too important	6	1	11	2	9	20	1	7	4	7	12	2	4	7	8	1	7	6	14	28	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	1	1	2	0	1	1	2	3	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	2	1	1	2	0	1	1	2	3	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	81	79	67	90	89	81	94	65	82	49	77	87	85	80	66	63	64	71	76	89	92
Extremely important	42	31	30	48	56	31	55	31	43	23	51	44	44	40	36	14	49	27	33	29	64
Very important	39	48	37	42	33	50	39	35	40	26	26	43	41	40	30	48	15	44	43	60	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	20	29	10	10	17	6	33	18	46	23	12	14	20	32	37	32	26	23	11	7
Somewhat important	12	12	15	10	9	14	6	15	18	12	16	8	14	16	15	16	13	10	16	10	7
Not too important	6	8	14	0	1	3	0	18	0	34	7	3	0	4	17	21	19	15	7	1	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	3	0	1	2	1	2	0	5	0	1	1	0	2	0	3	4	1	0	1
DK/NA	1	1	3	0	1	2	1	2	0	5	0	1	1	0	2	0	3	4	1	0	1

Table 69: Q9FF. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	81	83	81	80	88	83	84	83	81	69	91	65	81	79	85	93	63	76	72	78
Extremely important	42	53	43	36	49	33	34	50	43	42	40	32	42	39	45	37	19	76	39	38
Very important	39	30	37	44	39	50	50	33	37	26	51	33	39	41	40	56	44	0	33	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	15	19	16	12	15	16	17	17	28	9	35	18	18	14	7	37	24	27	17
Somewhat important	12	15	14	14	6	9	16	12	13	23	8	7	12	13	11	7	8	0	16	15
Not too important	6	0	5	2	6	6	0	5	5	4	1	28	6	5	3	0	29	24	10	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	0	4	0	2	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
DK/NA	1	2	0	4	0	2	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	1	4

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	81	84	89	80	77	63	85	77	75	85	81	84	81	79	77	73	79	85	73	88
Extremely important	42	52	46	38	10	41	29	38	33	41	44	41	40	38	35	34	39	38	35	47
Very important	39	32	43	42	67	22	55	38	42	44	37	43	42	40	42	40	41	47	38	41
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	14	11	20	23	27	15	19	25	15	18	15	18	21	23	27	19	13	26	11
Somewhat important	12	14	11	20	15	27	10	12	25	13	10	9	11	13	13	15	14	8	17	8
Not too important	6	0	0	0	8	0	5	7	0	2	8	5	7	9	10	11	5	5	9	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	0	0	0	10	0	4	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	3	1	1
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	0	10	0	4	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	3	1	1

Table 69: Q9FF. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	81	81	94	65	0	81	81	81	81	81	80	80	81	84
Extremely important	42	42	40	36	0	42	41	42	38	45	34	39	48	50
Very important	39	39	55	29	0	39	40	39	43	36	46	41	33	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	18	6	24	0	18	19	18	17	19	18	19	18	16
Somewhat important	12	13	6	16	0	12	12	13	12	13	14	11	16	9
Not too important	6	6	0	7	0	6	7	5	5	6	4	8	2	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	11	0	1	1	2	2	0	2	1	1	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	11	0	1	1	2	2	0	2	1	1	1

Table 70: Q9GG. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Upgrading San Francisco's aging rail network

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	73	70	77	66	92	80	66	84	59	83	79	76	73	75	77	55	100	84	44	63
Extremely important	34	40	33	35	52	40	36	39	38	24	38	37	37	33	43	16	35	39	31	12
Very important	38	30	44	31	40	41	30	45	21	60	41	39	36	42	34	39	65	45	14	52
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	27	22	29	6	18	26	16	39	15	19	24	26	22	21	45	0	13	44	32
Somewhat important	18	23	20	16	5	17	11	15	38	12	15	21	20	17	19	23	0	13	28	22
Not too important	6	3	2	13	1	1	15	1	2	3	3	3	6	5	2	22	0	0	16	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	1	4	2	1	8	0	2	2	2	0	1	3	1	0	0	2	11	4
DK/NA	3	3	1	4	2	1	8	0	2	2	2	0	1	3	1	0	0	2	11	4

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	73	81	80	66	44	81	51	78	80	80	49	79	76	88	78	66	71	85	73	73
Extremely important	34	45	37	33	17	41	22	21	33	40	23	20	42	32	37	31	35	24	33	40
Very important	38	37	43	33	27	40	29	57	47	40	27	58	34	56	40	36	36	61	39	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	17	17	27	52	17	45	19	18	17	46	21	23	12	21	29	26	15	25	23
Somewhat important	18	16	17	21	27	16	25	13	16	16	25	17	16	6	15	22	18	15	18	20
Not too important	6	1	1	6	25	1	19	6	2	1	21	5	7	5	6	7	8	0	7	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	2	7	4	2	5	3	2	2	5	0	1	0	1	4	3	0	2	4
DK/NA	3	1	2	7	4	2	5	3	2	2	5	0	1	0	1	4	3	0	2	4

Table 70: Q9GG. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading San Francisco's aging rail network

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	73	79	78	79	78	62	70	73	73	71	69	80	73	71	69	74	73	74	72	73	73
Extremely important	34	40	38	41	60	35	35	33	36	31	33	34	32	29	34	33	34	32	37	34	34
Very important	38	39	40	38	17	27	35	40	37	40	36	46	41	42	35	41	39	42	35	39	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	20	20	19	17	33	28	25	24	25	31	18	25	23	29	21	25	24	24	24	24
Somewhat important	18	10	16	13	10	29	19	19	21	17	23	15	17	12	28	14	16	20	20	16	20
Not too important	6	11	4	6	7	5	9	6	3	8	8	3	8	12	2	7	9	4	4	9	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	2	2	5	5	3	3	3	4	0	2	3	6	1	5	2	2	4	2	3
DK/NA	3	1	2	2	5	5	3	3	3	4	0	2	3	6	1	5	2	2	4	2	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	73	84	73	63	87	77	70	76	65	76	73	75	74	74	66	81	81	80	44	78	
Extremely important	34	39	37	27	59	33	29	39	29	36	31	37	36	37	26	13	42	26	20	26	
Very important	38	45	37	36	28	44	41	36	36	40	42	38	39	37	40	67	39	54	23	52	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	15	23	34	13	21	28	24	35	20	24	23	23	23	32	6	17	19	51	19	
Somewhat important	18	12	19	23	4	18	22	17	22	16	20	16	16	16	29	6	17	11	28	11	
Not too important	6	3	4	11	8	3	6	7	12	4	4	6	7	7	3	0	1	7	22	8	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	4	3	0	2	2	0	0	4	2	2	2	2	2	13	2	1	6	4	
DK/NA	3	1	4	3	0	2	2	0	0	4	2	2	2	2	2	13	2	1	6	4	

Table 70: Q9GG. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading San Francisco's aging rail network

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	73	74	71	77	65	67	83	70	76	69	68	73	75	70	70	78	78	78	53	59	77
Extremely important	34	33	35	36	28	44	38	33	32	36	30	40	34	35	34	37	29	39	26	35	61
Very important	38	42	36	41	37	23	44	37	44	33	38	33	41	35	37	40	49	38	27	24	16
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	23	26	19	35	33	17	27	21	29	27	22	23	27	25	19	17	22	46	41	23
Somewhat important	18	20	16	15	25	16	15	21	19	20	11	22	19	18	15	15	13	17	34	13	8
Not too important	6	3	10	3	10	18	2	6	2	9	16	0	4	10	10	4	4	6	13	28	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	4	0	0	0	3	3	2	5	5	2	3	5	4	5	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	3	3	2	4	0	0	0	3	3	2	5	5	2	3	5	4	5	0	1	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	73	76	66	74	75	77	79	68	61	49	82	77	78	71	57	79	61	66	75	79	71
Extremely important	34	39	31	28	40	39	34	33	20	19	66	37	36	29	27	31	50	39	34	30	32
Very important	38	37	36	46	35	38	45	35	41	30	16	40	42	42	29	48	11	27	41	49	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	22	32	24	22	19	17	32	38	51	18	20	17	28	43	21	39	31	23	20	25
Somewhat important	18	14	18	23	15	16	14	16	35	14	18	17	13	25	25	0	23	14	17	18	23
Not too important	6	7	14	1	7	3	3	16	3	37	0	3	4	3	18	21	16	17	6	2	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	2	3	4	4	0	1	0	0	3	5	1	0	0	0	3	2	2	4
DK/NA	3	3	2	2	3	4	4	0	1	0	0	3	5	1	0	0	0	3	2	2	4

Table 70: Q9GG. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading San Francisco's aging rail network

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	73	85	66	69	87	75	69	62	83	59	83	56	74	64	76	89	56	76	63	74
Extremely important	34	38	27	18	53	48	35	35	42	21	33	20	35	27	36	36	20	76	30	34
Very important	38	47	39	50	34	27	34	27	41	38	50	37	39	38	40	53	36	0	33	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	15	29	26	13	22	25	38	15	40	16	37	24	31	19	11	44	24	37	21
Somewhat important	18	10	24	23	13	18	20	36	11	37	9	2	17	29	15	11	15	24	26	18
Not too important	6	5	5	3	0	4	5	2	4	3	7	35	7	2	4	0	29	0	10	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	5	6	0	3	6	0	2	1	1	7	2	5	4	0	0	0	0	5
DK/NA	3	0	5	6	0	3	6	0	2	1	1	7	2	5	4	0	0	0	0	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	73	86	46	75	49	71	53	89	85	87	72	78	73	77	77	77	70	84	73	73
Extremely important	34	39	30	19	26	19	26	61	45	52	33	34	35	31	32	24	32	31	33	35
Very important	38	47	16	56	23	52	27	27	41	35	38	44	39	46	45	53	38	53	40	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	14	54	18	51	13	42	11	15	13	26	21	25	22	21	21	28	12	25	24
Somewhat important	18	12	54	18	51	13	34	8	15	8	17	15	18	12	11	11	22	8	15	19
Not too important	6	3	0	0	0	0	8	3	0	5	9	5	7	9	10	10	6	4	10	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	0	7	0	17	4	0	0	0	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	4	3	3
DK/NA	3	0	0	7	0	17	4	0	0	0	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	4	3	3

Table 70: Q9GG. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Upgrading San Francisco's aging rail network

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	73	74	83	48	0	73	72	73	74	72	73	70	75	75
Extremely important	34	35	37	19	0	34	34	34	31	37	37	28	34	43
Very important	38	39	46	28	0	38	38	39	42	35	36	42	42	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	24	17	43	0	25	27	22	23	26	24	27	22	25
Somewhat important	18	19	17	15	0	18	19	18	18	19	20	14	17	24
Not too important	6	5	0	28	0	6	8	4	5	7	4	12	4	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	0	9	0	3	1	5	3	2	3	4	3	0
DK/NA	3	2	0	9	0	3	1	5	3	2	3	4	3	0

Table 71: Q9HH. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Adding a new subway line on Geary Boulevard and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	63	65	46	69	64	48	73	63	73	60	52	59	58	64	41	88	66	35	37
Extremely important	30	41	34	24	44	34	24	36	29	56	32	22	22	33	39	20	18	34	11	31
Very important	27	23	31	22	25	30	23	37	34	17	29	29	37	26	24	21	71	32	24	6
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	37	33	31	46	26	32	50	22	26	25	34	46	36	36	33	52	7	29	59	49
Somewhat important	20	27	20	20	17	21	37	7	14	22	18	24	17	20	20	17	4	20	19	35
Not too important	18	6	12	27	9	11	13	15	12	3	15	22	19	16	13	35	3	9	40	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	4	7	5	4	2	5	11	2	6	2	4	5	4	7	4	6	6	15
DK/NA	6	3	4	7	5	4	2	5	11	2	6	2	4	5	4	7	4	6	6	15

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	68	61	40	38	65	38	58	60	64	41	52	61	54	56	59	53	58	54	73
Extremely important	30	41	31	14	17	36	16	26	30	35	17	28	33	25	28	33	28	25	28	42
Very important	27	27	30	26	21	28	22	32	30	28	25	24	27	29	28	25	25	33	26	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	37	26	36	53	56	31	55	32	35	32	52	36	34	44	39	35	42	31	40	21
Somewhat important	20	16	25	36	10	20	18	22	24	21	13	24	16	33	21	18	22	12	20	16
Not too important	18	10	11	17	45	11	37	9	11	10	39	12	18	11	18	17	20	19	20	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	3	7	6	5	6	10	5	5	7	11	6	2	5	7	5	11	5	7
DK/NA	6	6	3	7	6	5	6	10	5	5	7	11	6	2	5	7	5	11	5	7

Table 71: Q9HH. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding a new subway line on Geary Boulevard and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	60	64	65	71	52	52	55	57	57	55	73	60	53	62	74	56	55	56	59	56
Extremely important	30	38	33	29	43	32	28	30	32	29	9	39	30	20	46	34	25	33	32	27	32
Very important	27	22	30	36	28	21	23	25	25	27	46	34	30	32	16	40	31	23	25	32	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	37	37	32	32	29	44	42	40	36	36	43	26	36	36	37	7	38	40	38	34	39
Somewhat important	20	17	18	18	14	29	21	20	22	26	31	7	20	23	31	0	17	24	22	14	23
Not too important	18	20	14	14	15	16	21	20	14	10	13	18	16	13	5	7	22	15	16	19	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	5	3	0	4	6	5	7	7	1	1	4	11	1	19	5	5	6	7	5
DK/NA	6	4	5	3	0	4	6	5	7	7	1	1	4	11	1	19	5	5	6	7	5
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	66	55	49	65	65	51	56	62	60	58	59	60	55	61	65	65	58	35	53	
Extremely important	30	40	27	25	33	32	23	24	41	35	28	31	30	31	29	8	38	21	17	15	
Very important	27	26	28	25	32	33	27	33	21	25	30	28	30	24	31	57	27	37	18	37	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	37	25	40	47	32	29	47	40	27	33	37	35	35	39	34	22	31	35	57	42	
Somewhat important	20	12	27	26	17	22	23	17	16	22	22	20	21	20	18	22	20	24	14	33	
Not too important	18	14	14	21	15	6	24	22	11	10	15	15	13	19	15	0	10	11	43	9	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	8	5	3	2	6	2	4	11	7	4	6	6	6	6	13	4	7	8	6	
DK/NA	6	8	5	3	2	6	2	4	11	7	4	6	6	6	6	13	4	7	8	6	

Table 71: Q9HH. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding a new subway line on Geary Boulevard and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	54	60	60	52	56	71	55	60	56	52	39	61	52	47	61	53	54	50	38	74
Extremely important	30	25	35	30	28	38	30	40	40	18	24	26	37	21	25	32	23	34	28	26	32
Very important	27	30	24	30	24	18	41	15	20	38	28	13	24	30	22	29	30	21	22	12	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	37	38	37	34	42	42	28	40	35	36	45	43	35	40	45	32	42	40	49	62	21
Somewhat important	20	27	13	21	19	10	21	24	25	11	16	20	23	14	18	20	27	22	27	22	0
Not too important	18	11	24	13	23	32	6	16	10	25	29	24	11	26	27	12	15	18	22	39	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	4	6	6	1	1	5	5	8	3	18	4	8	8	6	5	5	1	0	4
DK/NA	6	7	4	6	6	1	1	5	5	8	3	18	4	8	8	6	5	5	1	0	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	69	48	53	57	68	55	52	46	31	79	64	55	58	44	52	58	54	63	62	48
Extremely important	30	47	22	26	23	42	21	30	24	15	60	36	22	36	17	52	32	32	37	21	26
Very important	27	22	26	27	34	26	34	23	22	16	19	27	33	22	27	0	27	22	25	42	21
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	37	29	46	41	34	28	38	44	45	69	18	32	37	40	46	48	40	38	35	32	44
Somewhat important	20	12	13	35	17	14	27	9	34	18	3	23	17	23	14	26	3	12	13	17	34
Not too important	18	16	33	7	17	14	11	35	11	51	15	8	20	17	32	21	37	26	22	14	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	2	6	6	10	4	7	4	9	0	3	5	8	3	10	0	2	8	2	6	9
DK/NA	6	2	6	6	10	4	7	4	9	0	3	5	8	3	10	0	2	8	2	6	9

Table 71: Q9HH. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding a new subway line on Geary Boulevard and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	70	47	44	59	57	71	56	53	54	63	53	58	50	60	69	42	76	47	66
Extremely important	30	48	32	21	45	36	13	34	25	28	25	21	31	26	31	35	37	76	27	24
Very important	27	21	15	22	14	21	58	22	28	26	38	32	27	24	29	34	5	0	20	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	37	30	42	40	41	34	27	44	40	35	34	43	37	39	34	27	55	24	47	29
Somewhat important	20	18	20	12	21	17	12	30	24	29	23	3	20	19	21	14	21	0	22	13
Not too important	18	12	22	28	20	16	15	14	16	6	11	40	17	20	13	14	35	24	25	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	0	11	16	0	9	2	0	7	11	3	3	5	11	6	4	3	0	6	5
DK/NA	6	0	11	16	0	9	2	0	7	11	3	3	5	11	6	4	3	0	6	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	72	20	59	65	45	44	49	86	61	58	57	46	42	48	49	53	62	52	61
Extremely important	30	49	0	43	29	15	30	46	30	33	26	32	30	26	30	27	29	17	23	35
Very important	27	23	20	16	36	30	14	3	56	29	31	24	16	16	18	21	24	46	29	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	37	28	80	29	8	30	50	42	11	36	38	36	45	48	42	42	43	29	42	33
Somewhat important	20	18	39	16	0	30	8	22	4	20	21	22	27	25	22	18	28	11	18	21
Not too important	18	10	41	12	8	0	42	20	8	16	17	15	19	23	20	24	15	17	24	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	0	0	12	27	25	6	9	3	2	4	7	9	10	10	9	4	9	6	6
DK/NA	6	0	0	12	27	25	6	9	3	2	4	7	9	10	10	9	4	9	6	6

Table 71: Q9HH. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Adding a new subway line on Geary Boulevard and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	57	77	39	0	57	58	56	56	59	54	62	57	53
Extremely important	30	31	48	6	0	30	32	29	26	35	32	20	40	38
Very important	27	27	29	33	0	27	27	27	30	24	22	43	17	15
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	37	38	23	41	0	37	37	37	39	36	36	33	39	45
Somewhat important	20	20	9	21	0	20	18	21	23	16	16	17	21	26
Not too important	18	18	14	19	0	18	19	16	16	19	20	15	18	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	0	20	0	6	5	7	6	6	10	5	5	2
DK/NA	6	5	0	20	0	6	5	7	6	6	10	5	5	2

Table 72: Q9II. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Improving the flow of traffic

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS				
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	75	68	61	63	66	84	74	61	44	63	62	70	64	60	67	67	69	63	73
Extremely important	26	27	26	26	26	24	51	20	30	19	22	24	27	24	25	29	8	29	32	11
Very important	39	48	42	35	37	42	34	54	31	25	41	38	44	40	35	38	59	40	31	62
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	22	31	33	34	32	16	26	39	54	32	37	29	32	39	31	22	26	34	25
Somewhat important	23	13	21	26	25	23	16	19	26	39	24	19	24	23	26	25	22	19	24	22
Not too important	8	9	10	7	9	8	0	6	13	16	8	18	4	9	13	6	0	7	10	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	1	6	3	2	0	0	0	2	5	1	1	4	1	2	11	5	3	2
DK/NA	3	3	1	6	3	2	0	0	0	2	5	1	1	4	1	2	11	5	3	2
		Q11 MEASURE VOTE							Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	59	72	68	65	65	66	71	72	66	65	64	65	63	65	66	67	68	67	53
Extremely important	26	33	20	33	29	27	30	10	18	24	33	23	30	22	28	24	28	16	26	25
Very important	39	26	52	35	36	38	35	61	54	41	32	41	35	41	36	43	40	52	41	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	39	24	32	32	32	32	24	24	31	31	32	32	36	32	31	30	26	30	42
Somewhat important	23	30	14	23	26	22	25	22	16	22	24	27	25	19	24	22	23	16	22	31
Not too important	8	9	11	9	6	10	7	2	9	9	7	5	8	17	8	9	7	11	8	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4	0	3	3	2	6	4	3	4	4	3	1	3	3	3	6	3	4
DK/NA	3	3	4	0	3	3	2	6	4	3	4	4	3	1	3	3	3	6	3	4

Table 72: Q9II. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving the flow of traffic

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	59	65	68	67	59	69	64	60	66	88	64	69	69	61	83	69	59	65	71	62
Extremely important	26	27	27	29	45	28	30	30	23	25	40	25	29	30	17	24	24	24	30	24	26
Very important	39	33	38	39	22	31	40	34	36	42	48	39	39	39	43	59	46	36	35	48	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	38	33	31	33	40	28	35	37	30	9	33	28	25	39	12	29	35	33	27	34
Somewhat important	23	28	24	23	9	33	21	25	28	24	5	18	19	20	32	6	23	22	25	20	24
Not too important	8	10	9	9	24	8	7	10	9	6	4	16	9	5	8	6	6	12	8	6	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	1	0	1	3	2	3	4	3	2	3	6	0	5	2	6	2	2	4
DK/NA	3	2	2	1	0	1	3	2	3	4	3	2	3	6	0	5	2	6	2	2	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	62	66	63	90	79	63	68	34	60	71	61	64	65	70	81	64	76	62	85	
Extremely important	26	23	30	28	17	19	29	23	18	26	24	24	28	27	24	8	25	25	31	17	
Very important	39	38	35	35	73	60	34	44	16	34	47	36	36	38	46	73	38	52	31	68	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	34	32	36	10	20	34	32	59	35	27	36	33	33	24	6	33	19	36	13	
Somewhat important	23	22	21	30	7	16	27	25	45	20	22	24	25	21	22	6	23	15	28	9	
Not too important	8	12	11	6	3	4	7	7	14	15	5	12	7	12	2	0	10	4	8	4	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	2	2	0	1	3	0	7	5	2	3	3	2	6	13	3	5	3	2	
DK/NA	3	4	2	2	0	1	3	0	7	5	2	3	3	2	6	13	3	5	3	2	

Table 72: Q9II. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving the flow of traffic

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	72	59	66	63	66	65	51	70	74	73	67	60	72	71	65	68	61	61	64	83
Extremely important	26	28	24	27	24	31	22	20	28	32	35	20	23	31	29	28	24	25	23	54	16
Very important	39	44	36	39	40	35	43	31	42	41	38	47	38	41	41	37	44	35	39	10	67
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	25	36	31	32	34	34	43	30	23	25	29	36	25	26	32	32	35	35	36	17
Somewhat important	23	19	26	21	27	27	20	30	22	17	22	29	25	21	24	20	24	25	33	30	12
Not too important	8	7	10	10	5	7	14	13	7	6	3	0	12	4	2	12	7	10	3	6	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4	3	4	0	1	7	1	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	1	5	3	0	0
DK/NA	3	3	4	3	4	0	1	7	1	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	1	5	3	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	55	64	65	83	55	77	65	60	62	71	59	77	59	69	88	56	69	54	76	71
Extremely important	26	20	28	24	34	22	31	24	22	36	27	22	34	19	29	59	18	29	21	20	32
Very important	39	35	36	41	49	33	46	42	38	26	44	37	43	40	40	28	38	40	33	56	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	41	32	32	15	40	21	31	34	38	29	37	21	37	25	12	44	27	41	23	25
Somewhat important	23	28	24	21	15	26	14	26	27	24	29	22	18	32	20	8	36	23	28	16	18
Not too important	8	13	7	11	1	14	7	5	7	14	0	15	3	6	5	5	8	5	13	7	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	5	3	2	5	2	4	6	0	0	4	2	4	6	0	0	4	5	1	4
DK/NA	3	4	5	3	2	5	2	4	6	0	0	4	2	4	6	0	0	4	5	1	4

Table 72: Q9II. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving the flow of traffic

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	70	73	53	76	50	71	66	51	51	79	81	67	51	65	66	61	39	61	84
Extremely important	26	37	43	14	23	26	32	22	17	16	16	41	28	17	26	31	37	0	24	27
Very important	39	33	30	39	53	24	39	44	34	35	64	41	40	35	39	35	24	39	36	57
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	30	27	37	24	49	25	29	41	45	19	14	30	42	32	28	39	61	34	13
Somewhat important	23	22	23	24	24	34	9	24	28	41	10	10	22	31	21	23	30	61	28	12
Not too important	8	8	3	13	0	15	17	5	13	5	10	4	8	11	11	5	9	0	6	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	0	10	0	1	4	4	8	3	2	5	3	6	2	6	0	0	5	3
DK/NA	3	0	0	10	0	1	4	4	8	3	2	5	3	6	2	6	0	0	5	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	70	77	73	68	53	39	49	65	52	70	67	66	64	66	60	68	76	70	62
Extremely important	26	34	46	44	18	20	12	30	35	11	25	26	27	33	33	21	26	18	27	25
Very important	39	36	31	29	50	33	27	19	29	41	45	41	39	31	33	39	41	58	44	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	30	23	27	16	27	61	51	33	43	26	31	32	33	31	38	30	17	27	34
Somewhat important	23	21	23	21	16	27	39	23	33	26	21	19	20	21	15	21	21	16	20	24
Not too important	8	9	0	6	0	0	22	28	0	17	6	12	12	12	15	17	9	1	7	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	0	0	16	19	0	0	3	5	4	3	3	2	3	2	2	7	2	4
DK/NA	3	0	0	0	16	19	0	0	3	5	4	3	3	2	3	2	2	7	2	4

Table 72: Q9II. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Improving the flow of traffic

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	64	73	73	0	65	63	68	70	61	52	72	69	68
Extremely important	26	26	28	30	0	26	25	28	24	28	21	28	39	19
Very important	39	39	45	44	0	39	38	41	46	32	31	44	30	49
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	31	33	20	16	0	31	35	28	26	36	44	24	31	28
Somewhat important	23	24	14	12	0	23	25	21	20	26	31	18	21	24
Not too important	8	9	6	4	0	8	10	7	7	10	13	7	10	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	7	11	0	3	2	4	3	3	4	3	0	5
DK/NA	3	3	7	11	0	3	2	4	3	3	4	3	0	5

Table 73: Q9JJ. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Keeping Muni trains running on time in the subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	75	74	64	74	73	71	72	62	75	73	66	61	74	74	53	79	76	60	64
Extremely important	31	34	31	32	37	31	42	24	44	19	33	31	22	34	33	24	35	38	17	18
Very important	39	41	43	32	37	42	28	48	18	57	39	35	39	40	41	29	45	37	43	46
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	21	22	31	21	22	20	18	28	23	24	26	28	23	22	40	21	20	33	18
Somewhat important	19	16	18	19	20	20	15	12	21	23	20	21	18	19	20	18	9	19	21	13
Not too important	7	5	3	13	1	2	6	6	7	0	4	4	10	4	3	22	11	1	12	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	5	4	4	5	9	10	10	2	3	8	11	3	4	7	0	4	6	18
DK/NA	5	4	5	4	4	5	9	10	10	2	3	8	11	3	4	7	0	4	6	18

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	70	81	77	48	75	56	70	78	75	54	70	73	64	72	67	68	67	68	77
Extremely important	31	38	33	28	19	36	21	25	31	33	26	26	36	25	34	28	28	25	27	47
Very important	39	32	48	49	29	39	35	46	47	42	28	44	37	39	38	39	40	41	40	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	27	14	17	46	21	37	19	15	22	40	11	22	36	24	26	27	25	26	21
Somewhat important	19	25	12	13	22	19	19	11	12	19	20	9	14	36	18	19	18	20	19	20
Not too important	7	2	2	4	24	2	18	8	3	2	20	2	8	0	6	7	8	5	8	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	6	6	7	4	7	10	7	3	6	18	5	0	4	7	6	9	6	2
DK/NA	5	3	6	6	7	4	7	10	7	3	6	18	5	0	4	7	6	9	6	2

Table 73: Q9JJ. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni trains running on time in the subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	77	74	78	78	68	67	70	63	75	88	77	77	70	81	82	68	66	73	70	69
Extremely important	31	35	36	34	39	33	30	30	30	38	37	23	33	35	44	34	30	29	33	31	31
Very important	39	42	38	44	38	36	36	40	33	37	51	54	44	36	37	48	38	37	40	39	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	19	22	20	22	29	27	25	30	20	12	21	20	21	19	4	26	28	24	23	26
Somewhat important	19	11	19	16	22	20	18	19	27	17	4	13	14	20	14	4	19	19	21	17	20
Not too important	7	8	3	4	1	9	9	6	4	2	8	8	7	1	5	0	7	10	3	6	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	3	2	0	3	6	5	6	6	0	2	3	9	0	14	5	5	3	7	4
DK/NA	5	4	3	2	0	3	6	5	6	6	0	2	3	9	0	14	5	5	3	7	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	72	75	60	79	80	69	75	47	67	74	69	72	68	69	100	74	79	49	86	
Extremely important	31	37	33	22	42	33	21	38	14	31	27	32	32	32	24	26	34	33	20	25	
Very important	39	36	42	38	36	47	48	38	33	36	47	37	41	36	44	74	40	46	29	61	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	22	22	33	19	14	28	21	47	28	20	26	23	25	29	0	23	12	44	12	
Somewhat important	19	15	19	23	16	13	21	17	33	21	17	20	18	19	21	0	20	7	23	8	
Not too important	7	7	3	10	3	1	7	4	14	6	4	6	6	7	8	0	2	5	21	4	
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	6	3	7	2	6	4	4	6	5	5	5	4	6	3	0	3	9	7	2	
DK/NA	5	6	3	7	2	6	4	4	6	5	5	5	4	6	3	0	3	9	7	2	

Table 73: Q9JJ. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni trains running on time in the subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	74	65	76	61	55	79	61	76	75	63	58	71	68	61	76	73	68	51	49	77
Extremely important	31	27	35	35	24	27	30	27	36	35	29	27	30	32	28	35	23	31	20	27	48
Very important	39	47	30	41	36	28	49	34	40	39	35	31	40	36	33	40	49	37	31	22	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	21	31	20	33	36	19	32	20	20	34	28	25	26	32	21	21	26	44	34	19
Somewhat important	19	18	20	18	19	25	16	26	11	12	22	28	19	18	25	18	20	14	28	17	13
Not too important	7	3	12	3	14	11	4	6	9	8	11	0	6	8	7	2	1	12	16	17	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	4	4	7	10	2	7	4	5	3	13	5	6	7	4	6	6	5	18	4
DK/NA	5	5	4	4	7	10	2	7	4	5	3	13	5	6	7	4	6	6	5	18	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	66	63	73	74	72	79	58	63	44	65	73	79	66	54	68	48	63	66	77	72
Extremely important	31	34	36	25	29	42	29	24	23	28	25	32	39	26	22	40	20	36	34	26	27
Very important	39	32	27	48	45	30	50	34	40	15	39	41	40	39	32	28	28	27	31	51	46
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	29	34	24	17	26	16	36	31	43	29	23	16	30	36	12	48	31	31	17	22
Somewhat important	19	20	20	20	16	22	15	16	24	20	29	21	14	17	21	7	34	17	21	16	19
Not too important	7	9	14	4	1	4	1	21	8	23	0	3	3	13	15	5	14	14	10	1	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	3	3	9	2	5	6	6	13	6	4	4	4	10	20	5	6	3	6	6
DK/NA	5	5	3	3	9	2	5	6	6	13	6	4	4	4	10	20	5	6	3	6	6

Table 73: Q9JJ. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni trains running on time in the subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	56	55	66	86	68	81	78	75	52	83	63	69	72	76	68	53	16	58	82
Extremely important	31	27	28	14	49	37	45	23	39	20	34	18	31	28	37	27	22	16	22	36
Very important	39	29	27	52	37	32	35	56	36	32	50	45	38	44	39	41	31	0	36	47
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	36	35	19	14	24	19	21	24	36	17	33	26	21	20	29	29	84	35	18
Somewhat important	19	29	29	15	14	20	19	17	17	32	9	0	19	18	18	21	10	84	19	15
Not too important	7	7	5	4	0	4	0	4	7	5	8	33	7	3	2	8	19	0	15	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	8	11	15	0	8	0	1	1	12	0	3	5	6	4	3	19	0	8	0
DK/NA	5	8	11	15	0	8	0	1	1	12	0	3	5	6	4	3	19	0	8	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	55	64	51	51	77	59	79	88	70	74	75	74	68	69	71	71	79	68	72
Extremely important	31	25	15	27	16	14	27	51	21	57	31	32	31	32	34	30	26	27	27	34
Very important	39	30	49	23	35	63	32	28	67	12	43	44	42	36	35	41	45	51	41	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	35	36	33	22	19	28	16	12	30	24	19	19	24	23	27	21	18	28	22
Somewhat important	19	29	36	29	14	19	24	10	12	26	15	12	12	15	13	17	16	9	16	18
Not too important	7	6	0	4	8	0	4	6	0	4	9	7	7	9	10	11	5	9	11	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	9	0	16	27	4	12	5	0	0	3	6	7	8	8	2	8	3	5	6
DK/NA	5	9	0	16	27	4	12	5	0	0	3	6	7	8	8	2	8	3	5	6

Table 73: Q9JJ. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Keeping Muni trains running on time in the subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	68	89	77	0	70	68	71	73	66	69	70	54	84
Extremely important	31	31	43	17	0	31	30	32	27	35	38	28	21	37
Very important	39	37	46	60	0	39	37	40	47	31	31	42	32	47
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	27	11	8	0	25	28	23	20	30	24	26	36	16
Somewhat important	19	20	0	8	0	19	18	19	14	23	21	15	29	13
Not too important	7	7	11	0	0	7	9	4	6	7	4	11	7	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	0	15	0	5	4	6	6	4	7	4	10	1
DK/NA	5	5	0	15	0	5	4	6	6	4	7	4	10	1

Table 74: Q9KK. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. :
 Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	88	77	56	79	75	59	80	65	78	70	85	70	71	75	45	63	76	54	76
Extremely important	29	30	31	28	46	31	36	32	22	38	28	51	25	31	37	13	16	35	25	15
Very important	39	58	46	28	33	44	23	47	43	41	42	34	45	39	38	32	47	41	29	61
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	24	12	19	32	16	21	28	17	26	13	24	13	23	22	20	40	10	19	33	17
Somewhat important	17	7	14	21	14	17	14	14	19	13	20	5	16	17	14	21	10	17	20	17
Not too important	7	5	5	11	2	4	14	3	7	0	3	7	7	5	6	19	0	2	13	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	0	4	12	5	5	13	3	9	9	7	3	7	7	5	15	27	4	13	7
DK/NA	8	0	4	12	5	5	13	3	9	9	7	3	7	7	5	15	27	4	13	7
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	74	78	48	49	76	49	71	77	75	49	69	72	73	72	63	66	78	67	72
Extremely important	29	40	32	9	21	36	18	16	28	34	20	16	40	28	34	24	29	40	30	26
Very important	39	34	47	40	27	40	31	55	49	41	29	53	32	45	38	40	37	37	37	46
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	24	20	19	28	41	20	37	14	18	19	36	25	25	23	23	25	27	16	26	15
Somewhat important	17	16	15	27	18	16	20	14	15	16	19	17	19	13	16	18	20	7	18	13
Not too important	7	4	4	1	23	4	17	0	3	3	17	8	6	10	7	7	8	9	8	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	3	24	10	4	14	15	6	6	15	6	3	4	5	12	7	7	7	13
DK/NA	8	6	3	24	10	4	14	15	6	6	15	6	3	4	5	12	7	7	7	13

Table 74: Q9KK. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	71	76	77	85	62	62	65	67	60	95	78	70	64	53	87	83	59	62	83	61
Extremely important	29	38	33	31	47	26	28	24	33	31	14	27	27	33	30	28	34	29	30	33	29
Very important	39	33	43	46	37	36	34	41	35	29	81	51	43	31	23	59	49	30	33	50	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	24	22	17	18	8	34	29	27	24	28	4	11	21	21	41	7	14	30	29	13	29
Somewhat important	17	15	12	15	5	28	22	18	19	22	4	8	15	14	36	0	8	22	22	7	22
Not too important	7	8	4	4	2	6	7	9	5	6	0	3	6	7	5	7	6	8	6	6	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	7	5	8	3	9	8	8	12	1	11	10	15	6	6	4	11	9	4	10
DK/NA	8	6	7	5	8	3	9	8	8	12	1	11	10	15	6	6	4	11	9	4	10
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	72	70	62	90	86	67	74	65	58	77	65	68	66	78	94	75	70	46	72	
Extremely important	29	38	26	24	49	33	18	38	40	26	26	32	28	31	28	21	37	16	20	15	
Very important	39	34	44	38	41	53	49	36	24	32	51	33	40	35	50	73	39	54	26	58	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	24	19	22	33	7	9	28	21	18	31	18	26	23	27	16	6	20	17	41	13	
Somewhat important	17	14	17	22	5	7	19	15	13	23	13	19	18	18	15	6	16	14	21	13	
Not too important	7	5	5	11	3	1	9	6	4	8	5	7	5	9	1	0	4	3	20	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	9	8	4	2	6	5	5	18	11	6	9	9	7	6	0	5	13	13	14	
DK/NA	8	9	8	4	2	6	5	5	18	11	6	9	9	7	6	0	5	13	13	14	

Table 74: Q9KK. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	69	67	72	62	64	63	62	70	73	72	76	64	73	73	72	70	65	58	65	82
Extremely important	29	25	34	31	27	30	28	30	19	30	34	43	26	34	37	30	24	35	25	34	48
Very important	39	44	33	41	35	34	35	32	50	43	38	32	38	40	36	43	46	30	33	32	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	24	21	26	20	31	24	28	27	25	17	28	14	27	20	22	19	25	28	38	31	14
Somewhat important	17	17	17	16	20	10	22	18	18	13	17	12	19	14	15	13	21	23	22	17	8
Not too important	7	5	10	5	10	13	6	9	7	5	11	2	8	6	8	5	4	5	17	14	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	10	7	8	7	12	8	12	5	9	1	11	9	7	4	9	5	7	4	4	4
DK/NA	8	10	7	8	7	12	8	12	5	9	1	11	9	7	4	9	5	7	4	4	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	63	71	64	77	68	75	66	57	63	64	69	76	56	70	61	65	80	60	84	60
Extremely important	29	32	36	20	33	36	26	31	22	30	30	28	34	23	33	16	36	43	29	25	28
Very important	39	31	35	44	43	32	48	35	35	34	34	40	43	33	38	45	29	37	31	59	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	24	29	23	26	15	24	17	29	33	33	15	22	19	36	23	39	16	12	33	14	26
Somewhat important	17	17	15	20	11	18	14	15	25	13	8	16	16	26	12	14	8	5	22	9	23
Not too important	7	12	8	5	4	6	3	14	7	20	7	6	3	10	11	25	8	7	11	5	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	8	6	10	9	8	8	5	10	4	21	10	5	8	6	0	18	7	7	2	13
DK/NA	8	8	6	10	9	8	8	5	10	4	21	10	5	8	6	0	18	7	7	2	13

Table 74: Q9KK. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	79	46	65	74	77	78	69	59	60	88	59	68	73	70	81	63	16	61	80
Extremely important	29	35	24	21	50	36	35	15	24	26	26	32	31	21	29	43	41	0	24	34
Very important	39	44	22	44	24	42	43	54	34	34	62	27	37	51	41	38	22	16	37	45
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	24	19	48	29	6	18	7	26	33	32	11	29	24	22	22	13	31	24	32	13
Somewhat important	17	18	33	12	6	13	4	22	27	29	8	7	17	14	17	13	16	0	21	11
Not too important	7	1	15	17	0	5	4	5	6	3	3	23	7	9	6	0	16	24	11	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	1	6	6	20	5	15	5	8	8	1	12	8	5	8	6	6	61	7	7
DK/NA	8	1	6	6	20	5	15	5	8	8	1	12	8	5	8	6	6	61	7	7

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	80	30	39	82	88	57	74	89	56	71	67	65	59	60	64	70	77	71	70
Extremely important	29	39	0	18	26	39	25	33	27	21	31	30	24	19	23	16	28	31	31	29
Very important	39	41	30	21	56	49	32	41	62	35	40	37	41	39	37	48	43	46	40	41
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	24	18	70	52	8	12	30	14	11	37	20	24	25	29	28	28	23	14	24	22
Somewhat important	17	17	43	38	0	12	12	8	11	33	14	16	15	17	16	13	16	13	16	15
Not too important	7	1	27	14	8	0	18	6	0	4	6	9	10	12	12	14	7	2	8	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	2	0	9	10	0	13	12	0	7	9	9	10	12	12	8	7	9	5	9
DK/NA	8	2	0	9	10	0	13	12	0	7	9	9	10	12	12	8	7	9	5	9

Table 74: Q9KK. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be. : Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	67	90	72	0	68	65	72	72	64	64	74	64	67
Extremely important	29	29	40	28	0	29	28	31	29	30	25	30	30	35
Very important	39	38	50	44	0	39	37	41	44	34	39	45	34	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	24	25	3	22	0	24	25	22	20	27	26	19	34	20
Somewhat important	17	18	3	12	0	17	16	18	15	18	19	12	24	17
Not too important	7	7	0	11	0	7	10	5	5	9	7	8	10	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	8	7	6	0	8	10	6	7	8	10	6	2	13
DK/NA	8	8	7	6	0	8	10	6	7	8	10	6	2	13

Table 75: Q10A. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Less frequent and reliable Muni service

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	77	87	82	71	93	87	65	73	79	76	90	73	74	82	83	56	89	88	52	85
Extremely concerning	46	55	50	42	66	52	38	43	36	46	57	47	42	50	54	25	36	56	30	37
Very concerning	31	32	32	29	28	34	26	30	43	30	33	27	32	33	29	30	53	32	22	48
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	21	13	17	28	5	12	35	23	21	18	9	26	25	17	16	44	11	10	45	11
Somewhat concerning	14	6	12	17	5	9	23	21	15	13	6	19	15	12	11	25	4	9	25	11
Not too concerning	8	7	5	11	1	3	13	2	6	6	3	6	10	5	6	19	7	1	20	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	4	0	5	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	2	3	4
DK/NA	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	4	0	5	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	2	3	4

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	77	90	80	75	46	86	54	87	82	87	52	78	77	82	79	75	75	82	76	88
Extremely concerning	46	64	45	41	22	55	27	36	43	55	28	32	49	52	48	45	41	55	44	60
Very concerning	31	26	36	34	24	30	27	51	39	32	24	46	28	31	32	31	33	27	32	27
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	21	10	16	24	52	13	45	11	15	11	47	16	22	17	20	22	23	15	22	12
Somewhat concerning	14	8	12	16	29	10	25	7	11	8	26	13	15	12	13	14	17	6	15	7
Not too concerning	8	2	4	8	24	3	19	4	4	3	20	3	7	5	7	8	7	9	7	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	4	1	1	2	1	2	3	1	1	6	1	0	1	3	2	3	2	0
DK/NA	2	0	4	1	1	2	1	2	3	1	1	6	1	0	1	3	2	3	2	0

Table 75: Q10A. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Less frequent and reliable Muni service

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	77	74	82	80	68	72	71	76	81	76	86	80	78	74	79	67	76	79	82	75	81
Extremely concerning	46	47	54	52	45	43	41	44	51	45	39	48	45	44	48	40	47	45	51	46	47
Very concerning	31	27	28	28	23	29	30	32	30	31	46	32	33	30	31	27	29	34	32	28	33
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	21	24	16	18	28	25	27	23	18	24	8	18	20	26	21	31	22	20	17	23	19
Somewhat concerning	14	18	11	12	16	21	18	17	13	14	0	15	12	15	12	14	14	12	13	14	13
Not too concerning	8	6	5	6	12	4	9	7	5	10	8	3	8	10	8	16	8	7	4	9	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	2	4	3	3	1	1	0	7	2	2	1	0	2	2	1	1	2	1
DK/NA	2	2	2	2	4	3	3	1	1	0	7	2	2	1	0	2	2	1	1	2	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	77	91	82	66	64	78	76	81	86	80	77	81	81	76	81	94	87	78	51	90	
Extremely concerning	46	58	49	38	27	47	44	51	62	46	45	50	47	46	53	26	56	37	26	42	
Very concerning	31	33	33	28	38	32	32	30	24	34	32	31	34	30	27	68	31	41	25	48	
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	21	6	16	33	35	19	24	19	14	19	21	18	18	24	17	6	11	19	48	10	
Somewhat concerning	14	3	14	22	26	9	18	14	8	11	14	12	12	16	9	0	8	15	26	8	
Not too concerning	8	3	3	12	8	9	6	5	6	8	8	6	6	8	8	6	3	4	22	2	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	3	1	0	
DK/NA	2	3	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	3	1	0	

Table 75: Q10A. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Less frequent and reliable Muni service

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	77	83	72	84	67	63	81	81	80	75	71	70	81	73	71	89	70	75	64	52	67
Extremely concerning	46	50	43	52	39	31	55	48	51	43	41	33	51	40	38	56	36	43	40	36	27
Very concerning	31	33	30	33	28	32	27	33	29	32	31	38	30	33	33	33	33	32	25	17	40
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	21	16	25	14	33	35	17	18	20	22	27	29	18	25	28	8	29	25	36	48	32
Somewhat concerning	14	12	15	10	21	17	13	13	14	12	15	18	13	14	16	6	21	20	24	27	18
Not too concerning	8	4	10	4	12	18	4	6	6	10	12	10	5	11	12	2	8	5	12	21	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	2	0	2	2	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	1	2	2	0	2	2	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	2

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	77	79	65	81	85	81	87	65	70	45	85	87	80	69	64	65	61	67	77	80	84
Extremely concerning	46	50	33	49	51	49	54	37	41	27	43	54	48	46	29	36	29	37	48	53	47
Very concerning	31	28	32	31	35	33	33	28	29	18	42	33	32	23	35	29	33	30	29	27	37
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	21	20	32	18	13	16	12	34	30	54	10	11	17	31	36	35	35	29	23	20	14
Somewhat concerning	14	14	17	13	10	11	9	20	22	25	10	7	13	23	18	25	12	15	15	14	11
Not too concerning	8	6	15	5	3	5	3	14	9	29	0	4	4	7	18	10	23	14	8	6	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3	1	2	3	2	1	0	1	5	1	3	1	0	0	4	4	0	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	3	1	2	3	2	1	0	1	5	1	3	1	0	0	4	4	0	1	1

Table 75: Q10A. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Less frequent and reliable Muni service

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	77	82	76	75	81	76	73	82	84	64	84	70	77	80	85	84	54	59	65	73
Extremely concerning	46	49	46	41	54	48	54	43	49	37	44	41	46	44	52	46	22	59	40	41
Very concerning	31	34	30	35	27	27	19	38	35	28	40	29	30	37	33	38	32	0	25	32
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	21	16	24	23	19	18	26	15	16	35	13	30	21	18	12	13	46	18	34	27
Somewhat concerning	14	10	14	12	15	13	21	11	13	27	7	5	14	11	9	6	21	0	22	19
Not too concerning	8	6	9	11	4	4	5	4	4	8	5	25	7	8	3	7	25	18	13	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	1	0	7	1	3	0	1	3	0	2	1	2	3	0	23	0	0
DK/NA	2	2	0	1	0	7	1	3	0	1	3	0	2	1	2	3	0	23	0	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/NOE V	CHNT/SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	77	86	85	70	73	80	72	80	84	87	76	82	80	81	79	83	75	78	74	82
Extremely concerning	46	50	49	37	28	47	43	58	58	53	45	51	49	52	56	64	44	41	38	53
Very concerning	31	36	37	33	44	32	29	22	26	34	31	31	31	29	23	19	31	37	36	29
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	21	12	15	30	27	17	21	19	12	13	23	17	19	18	19	14	23	21	25	17
Somewhat concerning	14	8	15	21	21	11	9	16	12	10	14	9	11	10	11	7	14	14	15	11
Not too concerning	8	4	0	10	7	6	13	4	0	3	9	7	8	8	8	7	9	7	10	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	0	0	3	7	1	5	0	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	3	7	1	5	0	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	1

Table 75: Q10A. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Less frequent and reliable Muni service

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	77	77	85	68	77	77	75	79	76	79	81	73	76	82
Extremely concerning	46	46	55	38	45	47	44	49	44	49	50	43	46	47
Very concerning	31	31	30	30	32	30	32	31	33	30	31	30	30	35
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	21	21	10	32	21	22	24	18	22	20	16	26	24	17
Somewhat concerning	14	14	7	9	13	15	14	13	14	13	10	15	16	12
Not too concerning	8	7	3	23	8	7	10	5	8	7	6	11	7	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	5	0	2	1	1	3	2	2	3	1	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	5	0	2	1	1	3	2	2	3	1	1	1

Table 76: Q10B. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Unreliable transit equipment and infrastructure

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	76	82	82	67	92	86	71	89	80	73	82	79	78	80	86	56	90	85	47	81
Extremely concerning	43	51	43	44	60	48	41	46	33	44	46	53	40	46	49	24	47	51	30	43
Very concerning	33	31	39	24	32	37	29	44	47	30	36	27	38	34	37	32	43	34	17	38
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	23	18	18	32	8	14	29	10	20	23	17	20	21	20	14	44	10	15	51	17
Somewhat concerning	17	14	14	22	6	12	26	10	16	15	14	13	15	15	11	29	5	14	31	17
Not too concerning	6	4	4	10	2	2	4	0	3	7	3	8	6	4	3	15	5	1	21	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	76	87	83	63	47	85	52	86	84	87	50	82	77	75	77	76	74	80	75	84
Extremely concerning	43	55	44	25	27	50	27	45	45	50	26	46	46	49	45	41	40	56	43	48
Very concerning	33	32	39	39	20	35	25	41	39	37	23	36	31	26	32	35	34	24	33	36
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	23	13	16	35	52	14	47	13	15	13	49	16	23	24	23	23	25	19	24	15
Somewhat concerning	17	11	14	30	30	12	30	10	13	11	31	15	18	12	16	18	19	14	18	13
Not too concerning	6	2	2	5	22	2	17	3	2	2	18	2	5	12	7	5	7	5	6	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0

Table 76: Q10B. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Unreliable transit equipment and infrastructure

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	76	74	79	79	82	74	72	75	82	72	84	88	78	71	73	67	77	80	77	76	78
Extremely concerning	43	47	46	46	47	38	41	42	46	43	50	49	45	44	40	40	45	44	42	44	43
Very concerning	33	27	33	33	36	36	31	33	35	30	34	39	32	27	33	27	32	36	34	31	35
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	23	25	21	21	17	26	27	24	18	28	16	12	22	29	27	33	23	20	22	24	21
Somewhat concerning	17	19	16	14	10	19	19	18	15	19	10	8	15	17	23	17	16	15	19	16	16
Not too concerning	6	6	5	6	7	7	8	6	3	9	6	3	7	12	4	16	7	5	3	8	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	76	87	81	68	81	74	81	83	80	77	77	80	81	76	76	75	87	79	48	87	
Extremely concerning	43	54	41	39	37	47	38	56	43	37	43	44	44	45	41	37	51	40	26	37	
Very concerning	33	33	40	30	44	27	42	27	37	41	34	35	37	32	35	39	36	39	22	50	
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	23	12	18	31	19	26	19	16	19	22	23	19	19	23	24	25	13	20	51	13	
Somewhat concerning	17	9	16	22	17	19	11	13	16	18	15	16	14	17	18	25	11	17	31	13	
Not too concerning	6	3	2	8	2	7	8	2	3	4	7	3	5	6	7	0	2	3	19	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
DK/NA	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	

Table 76: Q10B. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Unreliable transit equipment and infrastructure

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	76	82	73	83	67	61	85	77	79	70	72	76	80	72	74	86	76	77	66	48	77
Extremely concerning	43	46	41	48	36	36	48	44	49	37	43	39	47	39	41	48	44	43	35	25	37
Very concerning	33	36	32	35	31	25	38	33	30	33	29	37	33	32	32	38	32	34	31	24	39
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	23	17	27	16	33	38	15	23	20	29	28	20	20	27	25	13	22	23	34	52	23
Somewhat concerning	17	14	18	14	21	28	14	17	14	21	19	12	15	19	16	12	17	16	22	46	19
Not too concerning	6	4	8	3	12	10	0	6	7	8	9	8	5	8	9	2	5	7	12	6	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	76	80	64	81	83	81	86	65	72	49	77	87	77	70	64	55	65	75	74	77	85
Extremely concerning	43	50	30	44	49	46	50	38	34	22	48	51	44	41	29	28	40	43	42	47	44
Very concerning	33	30	34	37	34	35	35	28	38	27	29	36	33	29	35	27	25	32	32	30	41
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	23	20	35	19	16	18	13	34	28	51	21	13	21	30	36	45	34	25	25	23	14
Somewhat concerning	17	15	23	15	12	15	11	18	21	41	16	10	19	23	18	40	22	15	19	17	12
Not too concerning	6	5	12	4	3	3	3	16	7	10	5	3	3	7	18	5	12	11	6	6	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1

Table 76: Q10B. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Unreliable transit equipment and infrastructure

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	76	77	70	71	80	73	86	75	83	69	86	67	76	79	84	85	49	71	70	60
Extremely concerning	43	35	39	27	57	36	55	52	47	39	47	40	44	39	49	46	23	59	38	36
Very concerning	33	42	31	44	23	37	31	23	36	31	39	28	32	39	35	39	26	12	32	24
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	23	22	30	28	19	25	13	25	17	31	14	32	23	21	15	15	49	29	30	40
Somewhat concerning	17	20	23	17	8	21	8	20	14	25	14	13	17	15	14	12	39	0	17	29
Not too concerning	6	2	7	11	11	4	5	5	3	5	0	18	6	6	2	3	10	29	12	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	76	79	63	69	75	80	72	72	85	78	78	80	78	77	74	80	78	73	73	82
Extremely concerning	43	36	38	32	6	25	36	39	42	51	48	47	46	43	44	51	43	37	34	50
Very concerning	33	43	25	37	69	55	36	32	43	27	29	33	32	34	29	29	35	36	39	32
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	23	21	37	31	22	20	27	28	9	22	22	19	21	22	25	18	21	26	26	18
Somewhat concerning	17	19	35	26	22	12	15	24	9	21	15	12	13	13	15	12	14	17	19	13
Not too concerning	6	2	3	6	0	8	13	4	0	1	7	7	8	9	10	6	6	10	8	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	3	0	1	1	6	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	3	0	1	1	6	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	0

Table 76: Q10B. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Unreliable transit equipment and infrastructure

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	76	76	92	69	74	79	77	76	77	76	77	77	71	79
Extremely concerning	43	43	57	38	44	43	41	46	41	45	38	45	37	54
Very concerning	33	33	35	31	31	35	36	30	35	31	39	32	35	26
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	23	23	8	31	25	21	23	23	22	24	21	23	28	20
Somewhat concerning	17	18	1	16	18	16	16	18	15	19	16	16	24	12
Not too concerning	6	5	8	15	7	5	7	5	7	5	5	7	4	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1

Table 77: Q10C. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Reduced maintenance of San Francisco streets and sidewalks

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	64	80	65	59	72	69	65	73	69	73	62	67	67	64	64	53	72	71	50	71
Extremely concerning	32	44	31	34	39	34	44	32	42	27	29	47	35	32	34	26	34	36	26	23
Very concerning	31	36	34	25	33	35	21	41	26	46	33	20	32	32	29	27	38	35	23	48
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	35	18	34	39	28	31	31	26	31	27	38	32	33	35	36	45	28	29	47	28
Somewhat concerning	25	10	26	26	23	25	23	18	24	17	28	23	21	26	31	25	22	21	29	22
Not too concerning	10	8	8	13	5	5	8	9	8	10	9	9	12	9	5	19	7	8	18	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	2	1	1	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	1	3	2
DK/NA	1	2	1	2	1	1	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	1	3	2

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	64	71	63	50	52	68	52	71	65	69	49	66	68	69	67	60	63	61	63	70
Extremely concerning	32	40	30	21	28	35	26	29	30	36	25	30	36	41	35	29	30	35	31	38
Very concerning	31	32	33	29	24	32	25	42	35	33	24	36	32	29	32	31	33	26	31	32
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	35	28	36	43	46	32	46	28	35	30	49	33	31	30	32	39	36	39	36	29
Somewhat concerning	25	24	27	31	25	25	27	22	26	24	30	19	23	24	24	28	25	30	26	23
Not too concerning	10	4	9	12	21	6	19	7	9	6	19	13	8	7	8	12	10	9	10	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	7	1	1	3	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
DK/NA	1	1	0	7	1	1	3	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1

Table 77: Q10C. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Reduced maintenance of San Francisco streets and sidewalks

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	64	58	66	65	60	60	61	69	67	55	74	73	63	50	62	80	66	63	64	67	63
Extremely concerning	32	30	32	36	32	36	32	37	32	29	25	48	35	29	28	46	43	27	29	43	28
Very concerning	31	28	33	30	28	23	29	32	35	26	49	25	29	21	34	34	23	36	35	24	35
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	35	42	34	34	40	40	37	31	32	43	26	26	35	47	38	17	34	36	34	32	35
Somewhat concerning	25	33	24	24	25	26	25	21	26	29	13	22	24	31	25	5	25	25	29	22	27
Not too concerning	10	9	9	10	14	14	12	10	6	15	12	4	11	16	13	12	10	10	5	10	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	2	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	2	2	0	2
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	2	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	2	2	0	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	64	67	66	64	59	68	67	64	69	63	68	64	65	63	64	81	69	65	50	75	
Extremely concerning	32	36	30	33	33	33	39	32	30	25	36	28	28	34	35	28	36	27	27	31	
Very concerning	31	30	36	32	26	36	28	32	39	37	32	35	37	29	29	53	33	38	23	44	
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	35	33	32	35	40	31	33	34	31	36	32	35	35	35	36	10	31	33	49	18	
Somewhat concerning	25	24	24	24	27	20	27	26	25	27	23	26	28	26	23	10	25	21	29	12	
Not too concerning	10	9	8	11	13	11	6	9	6	9	9	8	7	9	13	0	5	11	20	6	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	1	9	1	3	2	7	
DK/NA	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	1	9	1	3	2	7	

Table 77: Q10C. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Reduced maintenance of San Francisco streets and sidewalks

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	64	70	56	65	62	58	62	60	66	60	71	71	63	65	71	66	69	69	63	55	48
Extremely concerning	32	37	27	32	33	29	26	33	36	30	35	38	32	33	36	32	34	38	31	35	34
Very concerning	31	33	29	33	29	28	37	27	30	30	36	33	31	32	35	34	35	32	32	20	15
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	35	29	42	33	37	41	35	40	34	40	28	23	37	33	26	33	29	31	37	43	50
Somewhat concerning	25	24	28	27	23	28	30	29	24	28	18	12	28	22	16	26	23	17	22	28	41
Not too concerning	10	5	14	7	15	13	5	11	10	12	10	11	9	11	10	7	6	14	16	16	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	6	1	2	3	1	2	0	0	1	2
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	6	1	2	3	1	2	0	0	1	2

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	64	56	57	67	74	57	72	59	66	46	71	62	69	63	61	58	57	64	56	69	70
Extremely concerning	32	29	25	34	42	28	35	27	41	23	43	30	35	33	33	48	19	36	24	47	31
Very concerning	31	27	32	33	33	29	36	32	24	23	28	32	33	30	28	10	38	28	32	22	39
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	35	44	40	31	24	42	27	41	34	53	28	37	29	37	38	42	41	35	42	31	28
Somewhat concerning	25	30	25	26	21	32	23	21	26	35	25	30	22	25	19	21	32	15	33	28	22
Not too concerning	10	13	15	5	3	10	3	20	8	18	3	7	7	11	19	21	10	21	10	3	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	3	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	2
DK/NA	1	0	3	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	2

Table 77: Q10C. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Reduced maintenance of San Francisco streets and sidewalks

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	64	66	61	59	59	66	62	70	68	59	68	61	63	65	63	74	56	77	63	59
Extremely concerning	32	41	26	28	35	32	32	33	29	37	30	34	33	27	33	29	26	18	35	30
Very concerning	31	25	35	30	25	34	29	36	38	22	38	27	30	37	31	45	30	58	27	29
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	35	34	39	37	35	34	37	29	32	41	31	38	35	34	35	26	43	18	37	38
Somewhat concerning	25	30	30	25	22	24	29	19	25	32	23	21	26	23	28	19	26	18	22	26
Not too concerning	10	4	9	13	13	10	9	9	8	8	9	17	10	11	6	7	17	0	15	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	4	5	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	5	0	3
DK/NA	1	0	0	4	5	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	5	0	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	64	68	71	60	69	58	56	56	81	70	64	66	64	67	65	66	64	67	62	67
Extremely concerning	32	41	20	35	14	27	32	30	24	32	33	31	31	34	35	33	35	29	27	36
Very concerning	31	26	51	25	55	30	23	26	57	38	31	35	33	33	30	33	29	38	35	31
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	35	32	29	40	31	35	44	44	19	30	35	32	33	31	33	32	35	30	37	32
Somewhat concerning	25	29	29	27	26	26	19	36	19	29	25	22	23	21	22	22	25	22	28	22
Not too concerning	10	4	0	13	5	9	24	9	0	2	10	10	11	10	11	10	7	9	9	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	3	1	1
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	3	1	1

Table 77: Q10C. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Reduced maintenance of San Francisco streets and sidewalks

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	64	63	83	55	63	65	61	66	65	62	66	63	61	64
Extremely concerning	32	30	64	34	32	32	32	33	33	32	32	33	31	33
Very concerning	31	33	19	21	30	32	29	33	32	31	33	30	30	31
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	35	36	17	43	37	34	38	33	33	37	33	36	39	32
Somewhat concerning	25	26	13	25	25	26	28	23	24	27	23	27	32	20
Not too concerning	10	9	5	19	12	7	10	10	9	10	10	10	7	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	2	1	2	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	3
DK/NA	1	1	0	2	1	2	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	3

Table 78: Q10D. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Fewer projects for transportation safety on city streets

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS				
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	55	71	63	41	69	64	50	58	78	72	53	49	51	56	64	33	63	67	20	46
Extremely concerning	26	46	27	24	35	29	22	23	33	52	22	18	23	25	32	16	11	31	10	28
Very concerning	29	25	36	17	34	34	28	35	45	20	31	32	28	31	32	17	52	36	10	17
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	43	29	35	56	29	35	48	39	22	28	44	49	47	41	34	66	30	30	77	50
Somewhat concerning	28	21	26	31	23	28	35	30	19	26	31	26	34	27	25	34	24	26	31	41
Not too concerning	15	8	9	26	6	7	13	9	3	2	13	23	13	14	9	32	6	4	46	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	2	3	1	1	1	2	0	0	3	1	2	3	1	1	7	3	3	4
DK/NA	2	0	2	3	1	1	1	2	0	0	3	1	2	3	1	1	7	3	3	4
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	55	73	58	36	24	66	28	56	57	65	30	51	55	54	55	54	50	71	54	62
Extremely concerning	26	41	20	25	10	32	14	19	20	31	16	14	27	31	26	25	22	33	24	35
Very concerning	29	32	37	11	15	34	14	37	37	34	14	37	28	23	29	29	28	37	30	27
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	43	26	39	60	74	32	70	39	39	32	68	46	43	43	43	43	47	29	44	37
Somewhat concerning	28	20	32	42	29	26	33	31	32	27	28	38	25	28	25	31	29	20	28	30
Not too concerning	15	6	7	18	46	6	38	8	7	6	40	8	18	15	17	12	17	9	16	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	4	3	1	2	2	5	4	2	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	1	3	2
DK/NA	2	1	4	3	1	2	2	5	4	2	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	1	3	2

Table 78: Q10D. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Fewer projects for transportation safety on city streets

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	55	61	60	62	57	48	49	54	58	49	72	65	57	48	49	64	64	52	50	64	52
Extremely concerning	26	37	29	32	35	25	23	27	26	22	36	36	28	20	26	51	30	26	21	33	24
Very concerning	29	24	30	30	23	24	26	28	32	27	36	29	29	28	23	13	34	27	29	32	28
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	43	36	38	35	42	51	48	44	39	50	22	33	41	50	50	32	34	46	45	34	45
Somewhat concerning	28	26	26	23	30	32	29	28	28	32	10	26	27	30	37	14	23	30	30	22	30
Not too concerning	15	10	12	13	12	18	19	15	11	17	12	7	14	20	13	19	11	16	15	12	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3	3	1	1	3	2	3	1	7	2	2	2	0	3	2	2	5	2	3
DK/NA	2	2	3	3	1	1	3	2	3	1	7	2	2	2	0	3	2	2	5	2	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME								Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	55	65	59	40	53	64	53	56	65	54	59	56	57	56	53	75	66	57	25	62	
Extremely concerning	26	27	28	17	20	34	23	23	37	24	29	25	22	27	33	26	31	22	13	27	
Very concerning	29	38	30	23	33	29	30	34	27	30	30	31	35	29	20	50	34	34	12	35	
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	43	31	39	58	46	32	46	39	35	43	39	41	42	42	46	25	32	40	73	37	
Somewhat concerning	28	23	30	33	25	24	28	31	28	26	26	28	31	26	27	19	26	30	31	33	
Not too concerning	15	7	9	25	21	9	19	8	7	17	13	13	10	15	19	6	6	10	42	4	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	4	2	2	1	4	1	4	0	2	3	3	1	3	1	0	2	3	2	1	
DK/NA	2	4	2	2	1	4	1	4	0	2	3	3	1	3	1	0	2	3	2	1	

Table 78: Q10D. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Fewer projects for transportation safety on city streets

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	55	63	47	59	46	50	61	58	53	53	49	52	57	51	50	63	50	55	28	48	51
Extremely concerning	26	30	22	28	21	25	26	32	34	18	22	21	30	19	21	28	23	26	8	28	13
Very concerning	29	32	25	31	25	25	35	26	19	35	27	30	27	32	29	35	27	29	20	20	37
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	43	35	50	38	52	49	38	40	45	43	49	45	41	45	48	34	47	42	71	52	48
Somewhat concerning	28	27	30	27	31	24	30	27	32	24	29	24	29	26	27	26	26	31	42	29	24
Not too concerning	15	8	20	11	21	25	8	13	13	19	20	21	11	20	21	8	21	11	30	23	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3	3	2	1	1	2	2	4	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	2	3	3	2	1	1	2	2	4	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	0	0	2

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	55	51	42	61	65	52	66	41	51	39	72	61	57	50	41	55	48	49	48	76	55
Extremely concerning	26	29	14	33	27	24	32	20	23	15	40	31	23	28	11	32	22	26	21	39	25
Very concerning	29	23	28	29	38	28	34	21	28	24	32	29	34	22	30	23	26	23	26	37	30
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	43	47	53	36	33	44	31	56	47	60	28	37	38	48	57	45	51	46	50	24	41
Somewhat concerning	28	32	27	29	25	30	24	30	37	26	18	28	25	34	27	19	27	26	30	18	32
Not too concerning	15	15	26	8	8	14	7	27	10	34	11	10	13	13	30	26	24	20	20	6	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	5	2	2	4	2	2	2	1	0	2	4	2	2	0	1	4	3	0	4
DK/NA	2	1	5	2	2	4	2	2	2	1	0	2	4	2	2	0	1	4	3	0	4

Table 78: Q10D. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Fewer projects for transportation safety on city streets

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	55	55	55	51	57	52	56	52	46	61	65	53	54	59	59	67	43	71	47	46
Extremely concerning	26	22	21	24	34	25	17	28	21	31	36	24	26	22	28	32	21	59	22	17
Very concerning	29	33	33	28	23	27	38	23	26	30	29	29	28	36	31	36	22	12	24	29
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	43	44	45	46	39	41	43	46	50	35	35	47	43	41	38	30	57	24	52	49
Somewhat concerning	28	33	30	31	21	30	27	33	32	23	28	16	28	24	29	21	31	0	32	19
Not too concerning	15	11	15	15	18	11	16	13	18	12	7	31	15	16	10	10	25	24	20	30
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	0	3	4	7	1	2	4	4	0	0	3	1	3	2	0	5	1	5
DK/NA	2	1	0	3	4	7	1	2	4	4	0	0	3	1	3	2	0	5	1	5

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	55	58	37	55	68	51	48	68	50	51	55	57	56	56	53	54	57	57	54	58
Extremely concerning	26	23	3	21	30	17	28	33	14	26	28	27	25	25	23	27	29	21	21	29
Very concerning	29	34	33	34	39	33	20	35	36	25	28	31	31	31	30	26	28	37	33	28
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	43	42	63	45	32	46	44	28	49	43	43	40	42	42	43	43	42	41	44	41
Somewhat concerning	28	33	42	27	20	38	23	19	46	29	27	25	25	25	26	26	31	21	26	29
Not too concerning	15	10	21	18	12	8	21	10	3	14	16	15	16	17	17	17	11	20	18	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	4	1	6	2	3	2	3	4	4	1	2	2	2
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	4	1	6	2	3	2	3	4	4	1	2	2	2

Table 78: Q10D. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Fewer projects for transportation safety on city streets

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	55	54	64	53	56	54	54	55	57	52	54	58	53	52
Extremely concerning	26	25	34	22	26	25	25	26	27	24	25	28	20	27
Very concerning	29	29	30	31	30	28	29	29	30	28	29	30	33	24
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	43	43	36	47	42	44	44	42	41	45	42	41	46	45
Somewhat concerning	28	28	30	26	26	30	28	28	28	28	30	25	31	28
Not too concerning	15	15	5	22	16	14	16	14	13	17	12	16	15	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	0	0	3	2	2	3	1	3	4	1	1	3
DK/NA	2	3	0	0	3	2	2	3	1	3	4	1	1	3

Table 79: Q10E. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased traffic congestion

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	68	60	53	62	61	80	70	58	41	55	45	58	56	60	52	63	62	43	56
Extremely concerning	30	43	30	29	34	31	57	34	16	14	28	18	31	28	32	31	22	33	21	23
Very concerning	28	25	30	24	28	31	23	36	41	26	27	27	28	28	28	21	40	30	22	33
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	41	32	39	45	38	38	18	30	42	59	43	53	40	43	40	46	33	36	56	44
Somewhat concerning	26	21	25	28	25	27	18	22	30	27	29	36	25	28	24	30	25	26	25	36
Not too concerning	15	12	13	18	12	11	0	8	12	32	14	17	15	15	15	16	8	10	31	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	0	2	4	2	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	0	2	4	2	1	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	63	59	57	44	61	48	60	60	61	49	59	54	50	53	64	60	55	59	53
Extremely concerning	30	38	25	31	24	32	26	23	25	31	30	20	28	17	27	33	31	23	29	33
Very concerning	28	25	34	26	20	29	22	37	35	30	19	39	25	33	26	30	29	32	30	19
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	41	37	39	40	54	38	50	38	38	38	49	41	44	49	46	35	39	45	40	46
Somewhat concerning	26	23	28	29	27	25	28	30	28	26	25	30	28	27	28	24	26	30	27	26
Not too concerning	15	14	11	11	27	12	23	8	10	11	24	11	16	23	18	11	13	15	13	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	3	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	0	2	0	1	2	2	0	1	2
DK/NA	1	0	2	3	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	0	2	0	1	2	2	0	1	2

Table 79: Q10E. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased traffic congestion

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	55	56	56	59	60	59	57	57	51	72	71	61	52	50	62	64	52	60	64	56
Extremely concerning	30	34	29	30	37	40	33	31	28	25	26	41	32	25	25	38	38	22	31	38	26
Very concerning	28	21	27	26	22	19	26	27	28	26	46	30	29	27	25	24	25	31	29	25	30
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	41	45	42	41	40	40	39	42	43	47	21	29	38	45	50	38	34	47	38	35	44
Somewhat concerning	26	26	27	23	17	25	27	28	29	31	11	18	23	29	33	21	21	30	27	21	29
Not too concerning	15	18	15	18	23	16	12	15	14	16	10	11	14	16	17	16	13	17	11	13	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	0	1	2	7	0	2	3	0	0	2	0	2	2	1
DK/NA	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	0	1	2	7	0	2	3	0	0	2	0	2	2	1
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	55	63	55	64	68	60	59	44	53	64	54	62	55	61	86	60	65	45	70	
Extremely concerning	30	28	27	26	36	33	34	28	29	23	34	25	25	30	37	40	32	26	27	25	
Very concerning	28	26	36	29	29	35	26	31	15	30	30	29	37	25	24	46	28	39	18	46	
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	41	43	36	44	35	27	40	41	56	47	33	45	37	44	39	14	39	33	53	26	
Somewhat concerning	26	29	23	31	25	18	24	31	36	29	21	30	25	28	23	14	26	23	28	26	
Not too concerning	15	14	13	13	10	10	16	10	21	18	13	15	13	16	16	0	12	9	25	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	4	
DK/NA	1	2	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	4	

Table 79: Q10E. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased traffic congestion

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	62	56	62	52	50	57	55	55	58	61	68	55	61	64	62	59	47	56	45	59
Extremely concerning	30	32	30	29	31	33	32	28	31	28	30	35	30	30	32	28	24	26	32	32	33
Very concerning	28	31	26	32	21	17	25	27	24	30	31	33	26	31	32	34	35	21	24	13	26
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	41	37	42	37	47	49	43	45	43	40	36	29	44	37	33	36	40	53	44	53	39
Somewhat concerning	26	26	26	24	29	34	34	23	32	25	24	15	29	23	21	24	25	31	33	48	25
Not too concerning	15	11	16	13	19	15	9	22	12	16	12	14	15	14	12	11	16	22	11	6	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	3	3	0	3	3	2	1	1	0	1	2
DK/NA	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	3	3	0	3	3	2	1	1	0	1	2

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	56	55	56	71	59	65	51	57	54	55	56	69	55	47	50	50	61	55	67	58
Extremely concerning	30	30	29	31	33	27	31	31	34	38	33	28	31	34	26	34	33	39	26	39	26
Very concerning	28	26	26	26	37	32	34	20	23	16	22	28	38	21	21	16	18	21	29	28	32
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	41	44	40	43	29	37	35	49	42	45	44	44	27	44	52	50	48	35	44	33	41
Somewhat concerning	26	29	23	29	21	24	24	29	28	30	36	28	18	29	29	39	31	20	29	22	29
Not too concerning	15	15	17	14	8	14	11	20	14	15	8	15	9	15	23	12	17	14	15	12	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	5	1	0	4	0	0	2	1	1	0	4	1	1	0	2	5	1	0	1
DK/NA	1	0	5	1	0	4	0	0	2	1	1	0	4	1	1	0	2	5	1	0	1

Table 79: Q10E. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased traffic congestion

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	64	61	48	69	50	46	59	47	59	71	63	58	52	63	59	42	71	53	47
Extremely concerning	30	42	27	19	42	28	20	28	20	39	36	28	31	18	30	25	23	59	33	24
Very concerning	28	22	34	29	27	21	26	31	27	20	35	35	27	34	33	34	18	12	20	23
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	41	33	38	52	29	45	53	39	53	41	29	37	40	48	35	39	58	24	47	51
Somewhat concerning	26	26	30	32	15	31	30	21	37	24	18	23	26	27	24	24	39	0	29	30
Not too concerning	15	7	8	20	14	14	23	18	16	17	12	14	14	21	11	15	19	24	18	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	1	0	2	6	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	1	5	0	2
DK/NA	1	2	1	0	2	6	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	1	5	0	2

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	64	62	64	57	53	39	55	60	35	60	58	59	56	54	53	62	58	58	59
Extremely concerning	30	43	22	31	6	21	22	33	23	13	32	28	29	28	25	24	33	27	24	32
Very concerning	28	21	39	33	51	31	17	22	36	22	28	30	30	28	29	30	29	31	34	26
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	41	33	38	35	43	46	53	44	40	65	39	41	41	44	46	46	38	38	41	41
Somewhat concerning	26	26	36	26	26	33	29	27	33	45	23	25	23	26	26	27	26	24	25	27
Not too concerning	15	7	3	9	17	13	24	17	8	20	16	16	17	18	20	19	12	14	16	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	2	0	1	0	1	8	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	3	1	0
DK/NA	1	2	0	1	0	1	8	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	3	1	0

Table 79: Q10E. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased traffic congestion

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	56	74	59	56	59	58	58	61	54	50	59	61	61
Extremely concerning	30	29	44	30	31	29	31	29	31	29	23	32	35	31
Very concerning	28	27	30	29	25	30	27	28	30	26	27	27	26	31
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	41	42	26	38	42	40	41	41	38	44	47	40	37	37
Somewhat concerning	26	28	15	14	26	26	26	26	25	28	30	25	29	21
Not too concerning	15	14	11	24	16	13	15	15	13	16	17	15	8	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	0	1	2
DK/NA	1	1	0	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	0	1	2

Table 80: Q10F. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased crowding, wait times and delays on Muni

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	77	75	66	87	80	60	75	64	66	81	68	71	75	80	54	81	78	46	68
Extremely concerning	40	46	40	40	54	44	36	35	32	45	48	33	37	42	46	27	32	43	36	31
Very concerning	32	32	35	26	33	36	24	40	32	21	33	35	33	33	34	26	50	36	10	37
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	28	23	24	34	13	20	40	24	36	30	17	32	29	25	19	46	19	20	53	32
Somewhat concerning	18	15	17	20	12	16	27	17	31	23	14	19	17	17	13	27	13	17	25	27
Not too concerning	10	8	7	13	1	4	13	7	5	7	4	13	12	7	6	19	5	4	28	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	84	74	66	44	79	50	76	74	81	47	74	71	72	72	71	67	80	70	81
Extremely concerning	40	55	31	43	26	44	31	32	31	44	29	34	42	40	42	37	34	45	36	57
Very concerning	32	29	42	22	18	35	19	44	43	36	18	40	29	31	30	34	33	36	33	24
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	28	16	24	34	55	20	49	24	24	18	52	26	29	28	28	28	31	20	29	19
Somewhat concerning	18	13	17	28	26	15	26	19	17	14	28	20	21	16	19	17	22	7	20	12
Not too concerning	10	3	7	6	29	5	22	5	7	4	24	6	8	11	9	11	9	12	10	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0

Table 80: Q10F. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased crowding, wait times and delays on Muni

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	66	76	75	66	69	63	69	75	68	75	77	72	65	72	63	74	73	75	72	74
Extremely concerning	40	38	46	42	36	38	35	39	44	36	37	42	39	33	41	44	43	38	41	43	39
Very concerning	32	28	30	32	30	30	28	30	31	32	38	35	33	32	31	19	30	35	34	29	35
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	28	33	23	24	34	31	35	31	24	32	18	23	28	35	28	37	25	27	25	26	26
Somewhat concerning	18	23	15	13	17	21	24	20	18	19	11	16	17	20	18	21	15	18	18	16	18
Not too concerning	10	10	8	11	17	11	11	10	6	13	7	7	11	16	10	16	10	9	6	11	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	80	76	60	75	74	75	73	84	71	75	73	76	70	71	86	82	66	48	70	
Extremely concerning	40	48	39	33	38	42	42	36	58	39	42	40	39	39	44	48	46	29	31	27	
Very concerning	32	32	36	27	38	32	33	37	26	32	33	33	37	31	27	39	36	37	17	44	
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	28	17	24	40	22	23	25	27	16	29	24	27	24	30	29	14	17	34	51	30	
Somewhat concerning	18	12	18	27	18	14	14	21	10	21	14	20	15	21	18	8	13	28	26	30	
Not too concerning	10	5	6	13	4	9	11	5	6	8	10	7	8	9	11	6	4	7	26	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	3	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	
DK/NA	1	3	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	

Table 80: Q10F. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased crowding, wait times and delays on Muni

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	76	68	77	62	55	79	74	72	66	65	71	75	66	67	81	67	67	61	37	67
Extremely concerning	40	44	36	42	35	34	43	42	43	36	34	35	43	35	34	44	35	40	34	28	42
Very concerning	32	32	31	35	27	21	37	31	29	29	31	35	32	31	33	37	32	27	27	9	25
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	28	24	31	21	37	44	21	26	28	32	34	27	25	32	32	18	33	33	39	63	29
Somewhat concerning	18	17	19	15	23	32	14	17	20	20	23	15	17	20	20	12	23	26	22	55	25
Not too concerning	10	6	12	7	15	11	6	9	8	12	11	12	8	12	11	5	10	7	17	8	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	4
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	72	62	75	76	76	80	62	64	44	78	81	72	64	60	58	54	66	71	76	78
Extremely concerning	40	41	31	44	43	41	44	32	40	28	49	45	39	40	28	29	36	42	36	45	42
Very concerning	32	31	32	32	33	35	35	30	24	16	29	36	33	24	32	29	17	24	35	30	35
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	28	28	34	25	23	22	20	38	35	54	22	19	25	36	39	42	44	30	28	24	22
Somewhat concerning	18	19	19	16	19	14	15	21	24	40	19	11	20	27	16	36	30	16	19	16	17
Not too concerning	10	9	16	8	4	8	5	17	11	14	2	8	5	9	23	6	14	15	9	8	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	0

Table 80: Q10F. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased crowding, wait times and delays on Muni

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	72	69	75	79	73	68	70	73	62	67	75	71	71	78	79	47	89	62	63
Extremely concerning	40	41	45	32	50	46	41	35	41	32	36	33	40	39	42	44	22	71	35	38
Very concerning	32	31	24	43	30	27	28	35	32	30	31	42	32	32	36	35	25	18	27	25
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	28	28	31	24	21	20	31	30	27	38	33	25	28	29	21	21	52	6	37	37
Somewhat concerning	18	23	21	12	9	12	18	24	22	28	25	5	18	20	15	11	39	0	22	25
Not too concerning	10	5	10	12	12	8	13	5	5	10	8	20	10	9	5	9	13	6	16	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	1	1	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	5	0	0
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	5	0	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	75	78	62	66	76	73	74	72	79	70	75	74	71	70	74	72	72	68	76
Extremely concerning	40	43	46	38	19	30	41	49	45	42	38	39	37	36	37	35	39	36	31	46
Very concerning	32	32	33	24	47	47	32	26	27	36	32	36	37	35	33	39	33	35	37	30
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	28	25	22	37	34	22	20	26	23	21	29	24	26	29	29	25	28	28	31	24
Somewhat concerning	18	21	22	26	20	16	4	11	23	18	19	15	15	17	15	16	15	18	21	16
Not too concerning	10	4	0	11	14	6	17	15	0	3	10	10	11	12	14	9	13	10	11	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	0	0	1	0	2	7	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	0	2	7	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0

Table 80: Q10F. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if SFMTA and Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning. : Increased crowding, wait times and delays on Muni

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	72	79	54	69	73	72	70	72	71	74	68	70	75
Extremely concerning	40	39	54	28	40	39	39	40	38	41	42	34	43	42
Very concerning	32	33	25	26	29	34	33	30	33	30	32	33	27	33
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	28	27	21	46	29	27	27	29	28	28	23	32	30	24
Somewhat concerning	18	19	11	23	19	18	17	19	16	21	13	21	23	17
Not too concerning	10	9	10	23	10	9	10	9	12	7	10	12	7	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	0	0	0
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	0	0	0

Table 81: Q11C. The proposed measure would read as follows: To increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS				
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	41	273	155	150	314	31	54	30	21	206	40	111	297	262	136	44	0	0	0
TOTAL YES	59	88	75	34	88	75	46	61	46	86	65	67	55	62	100	0	0	0	0	0
Definitely yes	32	43	42	17	57	41	22	32	28	63	37	36	28	36	54	0	0	0	0	0
Probably yes	21	32	26	12	26	26	22	26	14	9	23	21	20	22	35	0	0	0	0	0
Undecided, lean yes	7	13	7	6	5	8	3	4	3	15	5	10	7	4	11	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL NO	31	8	17	52	8	14	44	34	37	14	22	25	35	28	0	100	0	0	0	0
Undecided, lean no	3	4	3	4	2	3	3	0	1	11	4	0	3	4	0	10	0	0	0	0
Probably no	6	1	3	11	1	3	10	5	21	0	4	4	3	6	0	19	0	0	0	0
Definitely no	22	3	11	37	5	8	31	29	14	2	14	20	29	18	0	71	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	10	4	8	14	3	11	10	4	17	0	13	8	11	10	0	0	100	0	0	0
DK/NA	10	4	8	14	3	11	10	4	17	0	13	8	11	10	0	0	100	0	0	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	141	121	39	97	262	136	44	165	273	127	42	146	38	243	199	299	55	353	84
TOTAL YES	59	100	100	0	0	100	0	0	73	90	8	11	55	88	59	59	52	85	57	69
Definitely yes	32	100	0	0	0	54	0	0	0	50	3	3	33	65	37	26	28	48	31	33
Probably yes	21	0	76	0	0	35	0	0	56	31	5	2	18	17	18	25	18	27	20	27
Undecided, lean yes	7	0	24	0	0	11	0	0	18	9	0	7	4	6	5	9	5	10	6	9
TOTAL NO	31	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	0	6	89	15	36	9	33	28	36	7	31	26
Undecided, lean no	3	0	0	35	0	0	10	0	0	1	5	12	4	0	3	3	3	0	3	5
Probably no	6	0	0	65	0	0	19	0	0	2	15	0	6	0	5	6	7	0	6	5
Definitely no	22	0	0	0	100	0	71	0	0	3	68	3	25	9	25	19	26	7	23	16
DK/NA	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	27	3	3	74	9	3	8	13	12	7	11	5
DK/NA	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	27	3	3	74	9	3	8	13	12	7	11	5

Table 81: Q11C. The proposed measure would read as follows: To increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	108	276	143	48	85	236	182	199	108	30	68	218	67	41	18	129	157	108	147	265
TOTAL YES	59	75	67	64	80	66	53	60	74	63	40	64	58	58	71	62	59	63	67	59	65
Definitely yes	32	49	38	37	31	38	29	31	42	39	4	33	31	26	60	34	30	35	38	31	36
Probably yes	21	17	22	21	36	21	19	24	24	18	34	26	21	24	6	19	21	22	23	21	23
Undecided, lean yes	7	8	7	6	14	6	5	5	8	6	3	5	6	7	5	8	7	6	6	8	6
TOTAL NO	31	21	25	28	17	26	38	33	21	27	44	20	30	31	20	24	34	27	27	33	27
Undecided, lean no	3	2	3	3	0	3	2	2	3	4	0	3	3	2	7	0	3	3	5	3	4
Probably no	6	3	3	5	1	6	9	5	3	9	3	5	7	11	6	17	3	7	7	4	7
Definitely no	22	15	19	20	16	17	27	25	15	14	41	13	20	18	7	7	28	17	16	25	17
DK/NA	10	5	8	8	3	8	10	7	5	10	16	16	12	11	8	14	7	10	6	8	8
DK/NA	10	5	8	8	3	8	10	7	5	10	16	16	12	11	8	14	7	10	6	8	8
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	118	129	111	19	83	78	76	21	104	161	201	115	236	60	9	247	83	112	27	
TOTAL YES	59	85	67	38	45	67	63	70	67	63	65	66	66	61	61	45	100	18	0	0	
Definitely yes	32	51	36	18	25	29	29	41	44	43	29	42	32	32	42	21	55	6	0	0	
Probably yes	21	30	25	12	19	29	28	25	19	16	28	20	21	24	14	24	35	8	0	0	
Undecided, lean yes	7	4	6	7	0	10	6	5	3	4	8	4	12	4	5	0	10	4	0	0	
TOTAL NO	31	7	24	54	49	27	24	23	30	29	26	27	31	30	29	0	0	29	100	66	
Undecided, lean no	3	0	5	5	2	2	3	1	1	5	2	3	5	1	7	0	0	8	6	8	
Probably no	6	3	8	10	0	5	4	2	14	10	4	7	5	6	6	0	0	7	17	23	
Definitely no	22	4	12	39	47	21	17	20	15	15	19	17	20	22	16	0	0	13	77	35	
DK/NA	10	8	9	8	6	6	13	6	3	8	9	7	4	9	10	55	0	54	0	34	
DK/NA	10	8	9	8	6	6	13	6	3	8	9	7	4	9	10	55	0	54	0	34	

Table 81: Q11C. The proposed measure would read as follows: To increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	195	62	52	49	11	10
TOTAL YES	59	62	58	67	52	21	79	70	60	38	49	57	70	45	52	75	43	78	38	47	16
Definitely yes	32	27	39	39	22	15	49	40	24	18	28	29	38	23	29	43	27	41	10	37	8
Probably yes	21	25	14	23	20	3	23	23	28	12	17	26	24	16	20	28	8	27	23	3	8
Undecided, lean yes	7	10	4	5	11	2	7	8	8	7	4	2	7	5	3	4	8	10	5	6	0
TOTAL NO	31	27	35	22	38	75	8	22	33	49	43	32	21	44	38	15	48	20	56	53	72
Undecided, lean no	3	5	1	2	5	1	0	4	5	5	2	0	3	3	1	2	3	5	8	0	4
Probably no	6	4	9	6	6	2	8	6	4	8	1	7	6	6	4	5	9	5	9	5	0
Definitely no	22	18	25	14	27	72	0	13	24	36	39	24	12	35	33	7	35	10	39	48	68
DK/NA	10	11	7	11	9	4	13	7	7	13	9	11	9	12	10	10	9	2	6	0	12
DK/NA	10	11	7	11	9	4	13	7	7	13	9	11	9	12	10	10	9	2	6	0	12

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	102	97	137	80	105	157	77	51	18	9	169	105	74	63	8	23	52	134	91	119
TOTAL YES	59	70	45	69	50	70	64	47	62	28	17	72	58	68	33	37	15	54	64	61	64
Definitely yes	32	52	26	30	22	55	28	22	25	20	14	42	34	31	11	29	10	33	45	29	27
Probably yes	21	13	15	29	20	12	29	19	18	4	3	26	19	24	15	0	5	18	14	22	30
Undecided, lean yes	7	5	3	10	9	3	7	6	19	4	0	5	5	13	8	8	0	2	5	11	8
TOTAL NO	31	24	47	20	38	21	23	46	30	68	78	16	31	28	51	63	80	44	30	27	24
Undecided, lean no	3	2	1	5	6	0	4	4	9	0	5	2	3	7	3	0	2	0	2	5	6
Probably no	6	9	8	4	4	9	4	10	2	0	6	5	7	7	5	0	2	11	8	1	6
Definitely no	22	13	38	12	29	12	15	33	18	68	68	9	21	13	43	63	76	33	20	21	12
DK/NA	10	7	8	12	11	9	13	6	8	4	4	11	10	4	16	0	5	2	6	12	12
DK/NA	10	7	8	12	11	9	13	6	8	4	4	11	10	4	16	0	5	2	6	12	12

Table 81: Q11C. The proposed measure would read as follows: To increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	400	42	214	48	14	5	114
TOTAL YES	59	53	61	74	45	69	65	50	73	68	50	41	59	59	70	56	27	0	58	29
Definitely yes	32	33	42	25	24	39	34	26	42	36	22	22	32	27	39	34	15	0	24	22
Probably yes	21	19	17	29	16	26	28	22	25	20	17	9	21	21	25	20	8	0	21	6
Undecided, lean yes	7	2	1	20	5	4	2	2	6	12	12	10	6	11	6	2	5	0	13	1
TOTAL NO	31	34	34	20	43	24	31	33	11	32	47	34	31	26	21	24	70	84	34	58
Undecided, lean no	3	5	6	0	0	9	1	2	2	4	2	0	3	3	2	0	3	0	7	4
Probably no	6	14	14	2	9	2	0	9	0	8	2	3	6	4	5	9	0	0	6	7
Definitely no	22	15	13	18	34	13	30	21	9	20	43	30	22	19	14	15	67	84	21	47
DK/NA	10	12	6	6	12	7	4	18	17	0	3	26	10	15	9	20	3	16	8	13
DK/NA	10	12	6	6	12	7	4	18	17	0	3	26	10	15	9	20	3	16	8	13

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	4	13	37	15	17	20	261	204	142	112	76	52	158	74	164
TOTAL YES	59	56	89	40	62	74	76	69	69	81	54	60	59	55	58	61	70	42	44	73
Definitely yes	32	36	59	22	15	14	47	33	37	47	29	35	31	33	37	41	32	22	21	42
Probably yes	21	19	30	16	47	20	26	35	21	32	18	19	22	16	16	16	27	19	18	25
Undecided, lean yes	7	2	0	3	0	40	3	0	11	2	7	6	6	6	5	4	11	2	5	7
TOTAL NO	31	32	11	52	21	21	19	25	27	7	34	30	30	32	30	28	26	42	42	21
Undecided, lean no	3	6	0	11	0	0	4	0	12	1	2	3	4	5	7	3	1	2	3	3
Probably no	6	14	6	20	0	4	2	0	0	0	5	5	5	6	1	2	7	3	8	5
Definitely no	22	12	5	21	21	17	13	25	14	6	27	22	21	21	22	23	18	37	31	13
DK/NA	10	12	0	8	17	5	5	7	5	11	12	10	11	13	12	11	4	15	14	6
DK/NA	10	12	0	8	17	5	5	7	5	11	12	10	11	13	12	11	4	15	14	6

Table 81: Q11C. The proposed measure would read as follows: To increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	384	25	33	221	221	442	0	232	210	120	153	77	92
TOTAL YES	59	59	66	58	57	62	59	0	61	57	71	56	57	50
Definitely yes	32	32	38	21	30	34	32	0	29	35	40	29	35	24
Probably yes	21	21	17	24	18	23	21	0	24	17	24	18	21	21
Undecided, lean yes	7	6	11	13	9	4	7	0	8	5	8	9	1	5
TOTAL NO	31	31	23	32	30	31	31	0	31	30	23	36	32	31
Undecided, lean no	3	4	0	0	3	3	3	0	1	5	4	2	6	1
Probably no	6	5	12	9	6	6	6	0	6	6	5	4	10	7
Definitely no	22	22	12	23	21	22	22	0	24	19	13	30	17	23
DK/NA	10	10	11	10	13	7	10	0	8	13	6	8	10	19
DK/NA	10	10	11	10	13	7	10	0	8	13	6	8	10	19

Table 82: Q11D. The proposed measure would read as follows: To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	39	257	169	195	335	39	52	33	20	201	47	99	311	0	0	0	309	101
TOTAL YES	70	88	83	50	85	81	63	80	75	66	76	67	75	70	0	0	0	100	0	0
Definitely yes	38	49	48	24	55	46	27	35	44	33	41	39	45	36	0	0	0	55	0	0
Probably yes	25	35	28	21	26	29	30	43	28	24	26	18	27	26	0	0	0	36	0	0
Undecided, lean yes	7	5	7	5	4	6	6	2	2	9	9	10	3	8	0	0	0	9	0	0
TOTAL NO	23	2	11	40	11	12	32	11	18	17	18	31	21	22	0	0	0	0	100	0
Undecided, lean no	2	0	2	3	3	2	0	0	0	8	4	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	10	0
Probably no	4	2	3	4	3	3	3	4	0	3	5	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	16	0
Definitely no	17	0	6	33	6	7	28	7	18	6	10	28	15	16	0	0	0	0	73	0
DK/NA	7	9	5	10	4	7	6	9	7	17	6	2	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	100
DK/NA	7	9	5	10	4	7	6	9	7	17	6	2	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	100

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	168	140	27	74	309	101	33	173	303	109	29	146	49	247	195	308	65	374
TOTAL YES	70	100	100	0	0	100	0	0	81	94	14	26	69	66	67	73	65	81	68	83
Definitely yes	38	100	0	0	0	55	0	0	0	52	8	5	39	45	41	35	33	55	37	47
Probably yes	25	0	79	0	0	36	0	0	64	33	5	18	24	21	21	30	25	23	24	32
Undecided, lean yes	7	0	21	0	0	9	0	0	17	9	0	4	7	1	5	8	8	2	7	4
TOTAL NO	23	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	0	1	85	12	28	24	27	17	29	6	25	10
Undecided, lean no	2	0	0	40	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	3	2	3	1	2	0	2	5
Probably no	4	0	0	60	0	0	16	0	0	1	11	7	4	1	3	5	4	2	4	1
Definitely no	17	0	0	0	100	0	73	0	0	1	65	4	21	20	21	11	22	4	19	4
DK/NA	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	19	4	1	62	4	10	5	10	6	14	8	7
DK/NA	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	19	4	1	62	4	10	5	10	6	14	8	7

Table 82: Q11D. The proposed measure would read as follows: To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	109	312	155	36	100	252	175	197	111	32	83	227	67	42	21	128	149	116	149	265
TOTAL YES	70	69	73	69	84	67	63	66	71	74	78	86	78	70	78	55	81	74	65	77	70
Definitely yes	38	46	41	41	49	30	30	35	40	40	24	55	41	34	47	38	44	43	34	43	39
Probably yes	25	19	27	22	32	33	26	29	23	29	42	30	32	29	28	17	26	27	23	25	25
Undecided, lean yes	7	5	6	7	2	4	7	3	8	5	13	1	5	6	3	0	10	4	8	9	6
TOTAL NO	23	23	19	23	14	26	29	26	22	20	22	7	17	22	20	20	14	20	29	15	24
Undecided, lean no	2	5	2	4	4	2	2	3	3	0	7	2	2	0	0	0	1	7	0	3	3
Probably no	4	4	2	3	4	2	4	5	5	3	0	1	2	2	6	5	3	4	3	3	3
Definitely no	17	14	15	15	6	22	23	18	14	17	14	4	13	20	13	14	11	16	19	11	17
DK/NA	7	7	7	8	2	7	8	8	8	6	0	7	5	9	2	25	6	6	7	8	6
DK/NA	7	7	7	8	2	7	8	8	8	6	0	7	5	9	2	25	6	6	7	8	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	106	138	109	14	94	82	66	18	108	175	192	114	217	80	4	286	63	93	17	
TOTAL YES	70	91	71	60	71	86	66	75	74	74	76	74	73	70	75	29	100	36	0	0	
Definitely yes	38	52	39	25	51	52	41	32	50	38	47	37	38	40	39	29	55	17	0	0	
Probably yes	25	30	25	30	15	26	19	41	20	28	23	32	26	24	32	0	35	18	0	0	
Undecided, lean yes	7	9	6	5	5	8	6	1	4	8	7	5	9	5	4	0	10	2	0	0	
TOTAL NO	23	8	20	34	29	4	27	16	26	24	15	21	14	25	17	71	0	12	100	25	
Undecided, lean no	2	1	4	1	0	0	4	0	9	4	2	3	1	2	7	0	0	0	11	0	
Probably no	4	2	4	5	0	0	4	5	0	4	2	4	1	5	3	0	0	7	13	13	
Definitely no	17	5	12	29	29	4	19	12	17	16	11	15	12	18	6	71	0	5	76	12	
DK/NA	7	2	9	6	0	10	8	9	0	2	9	4	13	5	8	0	0	52	0	75	
DK/NA	7	2	9	6	0	10	8	9	0	2	9	4	13	5	8	0	0	52	0	75	

Table 82: Q11D. The proposed measure would read as follows: To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	33	252	190	93	189	61	53	42	12	10
TOTAL YES	70	73	71	74	66	48	94	70	65	55	67	70	76	62	68	81	58	74	68	65	61
Definitely yes	38	37	42	37	43	23	53	42	23	25	49	45	40	36	47	45	18	43	44	18	40
Probably yes	25	30	23	27	21	23	42	22	32	19	17	16	31	18	16	26	33	30	20	47	14
Undecided, lean yes	7	6	7	9	2	2	0	7	11	10	2	9	6	7	4	9	7	1	4	0	7
TOTAL NO	23	18	24	18	27	44	6	23	20	39	22	20	17	30	21	13	35	23	27	35	39
Undecided, lean no	2	3	1	3	2	3	0	5	3	3	0	0	3	2	0	4	1	0	0	0	0
Probably no	4	2	5	4	3	5	1	3	7	5	3	4	3	4	3	3	6	4	1	8	0
Definitely no	17	12	18	12	23	36	5	14	11	31	19	16	11	25	18	6	28	20	26	28	39
DK/NA	7	10	4	8	6	8	0	7	14	6	11	10	7	8	10	7	7	3	5	0	0
DK/NA	7	10	4	8	6	8	0	7	14	6	11	10	7	8	10	7	7	3	5	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	116	83	124	93	114	144	70	57	14	16	155	119	84	53	13	18	69	122	75	133
TOTAL YES	70	76	66	79	64	79	73	63	77	48	51	76	72	80	44	55	43	79	69	75	73
Definitely yes	38	48	33	32	42	41	37	47	41	29	18	37	39	50	31	5	35	44	43	42	36
Probably yes	25	24	21	40	17	29	28	13	34	14	32	30	24	30	7	44	8	22	22	29	30
Undecided, lean yes	7	3	12	7	5	9	8	3	2	5	0	9	9	0	6	6	0	13	4	4	7
TOTAL NO	23	21	29	12	25	16	16	33	16	49	35	16	20	16	45	28	55	15	27	14	19
Undecided, lean no	2	2	1	4	2	1	4	2	2	0	0	4	1	2	2	0	5	0	1	0	5
Probably no	4	5	4	2	2	5	3	4	0	6	4	3	4	3	3	5	5	6	4	1	3
Definitely no	17	14	24	6	20	10	9	27	14	43	31	9	15	11	40	23	45	9	22	13	11
DK/NA	7	3	5	9	11	4	10	4	7	3	14	8	8	4	10	17	2	6	3	11	8
DK/NA	7	3	5	9	11	4	10	4	7	3	14	8	8	4	10	17	2	6	3	11	8

Table 82: Q11D. The proposed measure would read as follows: To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	383	59	221	36	20	1	126	37
TOTAL YES	70	70	70	73	57	70	83	65	64	75	80	64	71	62	74	85	55	0	65	59
Definitely yes	38	44	37	30	23	45	35	32	45	38	39	47	40	27	41	20	25	0	41	35
Probably yes	25	25	23	34	25	14	47	19	18	31	36	10	25	25	24	58	27	0	21	16
Undecided, lean yes	7	1	10	9	10	11	1	14	2	5	5	6	6	10	9	7	4	0	3	8
TOTAL NO	23	21	26	13	42	22	15	31	25	18	5	30	21	32	18	8	32	100	28	41
Undecided, lean no	2	0	2	0	2	5	4	3	0	6	0	4	2	4	3	2	4	0	1	3
Probably no	4	0	0	2	3	5	5	3	6	3	3	10	3	7	3	3	8	0	3	5
Definitely no	17	21	23	12	37	12	6	24	20	10	2	17	16	21	11	3	20	100	23	34
DK/NA	7	10	4	14	1	7	2	4	10	8	15	6	8	6	9	7	13	0	7	0
DK/NA	7	10	4	14	1	7	2	4	10	8	15	6	8	6	9	7	13	0	7	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	34	10	33	7	12	24	30	14	18	260	198	154	124	90	44	158	57	156	253
TOTAL YES	70	73	66	68	69	90	76	74	62	66	69	71	67	65	64	68	71	66	65	75
Definitely yes	38	48	40	35	26	29	49	37	45	50	36	37	33	35	30	34	31	42	32	43
Probably yes	25	25	26	24	37	49	6	30	11	16	27	27	26	24	27	27	36	16	23	29
Undecided, lean yes	7	0	0	9	6	13	21	7	6	0	6	7	8	6	7	7	4	8	10	4
TOTAL NO	23	17	34	22	7	10	16	20	24	30	25	24	26	27	30	23	21	28	29	15
Undecided, lean no	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	0	0	3	3	2	3	4	3	4	1	4	2
Probably no	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	7	15	4	4	4	4	6	5	2	3	4	3
Definitely no	17	17	34	22	7	10	8	8	17	14	18	17	20	20	20	14	15	24	22	10
DK/NA	7	10	0	10	24	0	8	7	15	4	7	6	7	8	6	10	8	5	5	10
DK/NA	7	10	0	10	24	0	8	7	15	4	7	6	7	8	6	10	8	5	5	10

Table 82: Q11D. The proposed measure would read as follows: To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	391	28	24	221	221	0	442	215	227	108	163	83	88
TOTAL YES	70	69	96	57	71	68	0	70	70	70	71	74	68	61
Definitely yes	38	36	54	46	40	37	0	38	34	42	43	41	36	28
Probably yes	25	25	39	5	25	26	0	25	31	20	22	29	26	23
Undecided, lean yes	7	7	3	6	7	6	0	7	5	8	7	4	7	10
TOTAL NO	23	24	4	26	24	21	0	23	23	23	19	18	24	35
Undecided, lean no	2	3	0	0	2	3	0	2	3	2	3	3	1	3
Probably no	4	4	0	0	3	4	0	4	2	5	4	5	0	3
Definitely no	17	17	4	26	19	15	0	17	18	16	12	10	23	30
DK/NA	7	7	0	17	4	10	0	7	7	8	9	8	7	4
DK/NA	7	7	0	17	4	10	0	7	7	8	9	8	7	4

Table 83: Q11. The proposed measure would read as follows: (SPLIT SAMPLE C ONLY – DETAILED VERSION) To increase Muni’s safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? (SPLIT SAMPLE D ONLY – GENERAL TRANSPORTATION VERSION) To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
TOTAL YES	64	88	79	42	86	78	55	71	61	76	70	67	64	66	100	0	0	100	0	0
Definitely yes	35	46	45	20	56	44	25	34	37	48	39	38	36	36	54	0	0	55	0	0
Probably yes	23	33	27	17	26	27	26	34	22	16	24	19	23	24	35	0	0	36	0	0
Undecided, lean yes	7	9	7	5	5	7	4	3	3	12	7	10	5	6	11	0	0	9	0	0
TOTAL NO	27	5	14	46	10	13	37	23	27	15	20	28	28	25	0	100	0	0	100	0
Undecided, lean no	3	2	2	4	3	3	1	0	1	10	4	1	3	3	0	10	0	0	10	0
Probably no	5	2	3	7	2	3	6	4	10	2	4	3	2	5	0	19	0	0	16	0
Definitely no	19	2	9	35	5	7	30	19	16	4	12	24	23	17	0	71	0	0	73	0
DK/NA	9	6	7	12	4	9	7	7	12	8	10	5	8	9	0	0	100	0	0	100
DK/NA	9	6	7	12	4	9	7	7	12	8	10	5	8	9	0	0	100	0	0	100

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
TOTAL YES	64	100	100	0	0	100	0	0	77	92	11	17	62	76	63	66	59	83	63	75
Definitely yes	35	100	0	0	0	54	0	0	0	51	5	4	36	54	39	30	31	52	34	39
Probably yes	23	0	78	0	0	36	0	0	60	32	5	8	21	19	19	27	21	25	22	29
Undecided, lean yes	7	0	22	0	0	10	0	0	17	9	0	5	5	3	5	9	7	6	7	7
TOTAL NO	27	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	0	4	87	13	32	17	30	22	32	6	28	19
Undecided, lean no	3	0	0	37	0	0	10	0	0	0	7	7	3	1	3	2	3	0	2	5
Probably no	5	0	0	63	0	0	18	0	0	1	13	3	5	1	4	5	6	1	5	3
Definitely no	19	0	0	0	100	0	72	0	0	2	66	4	23	15	23	15	24	5	21	11
DK/NA	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	23	4	2	69	6	7	7	11	9	11	9	6
DK/NA	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	23	4	2	69	6	7	7	11	9	11	9	6

Table 83: Q11. The proposed measure would read as follows: (SPLIT SAMPLE C ONLY – DETAILED VERSION) To increase Muni’s safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? (SPLIT SAMPLE D ONLY – GENERAL TRANSPORTATION VERSION) To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
TOTAL YES	64	72	70	67	81	66	58	63	73	68	60	76	68	64	75	58	70	68	66	68	67
Definitely yes	35	47	39	39	39	34	29	32	41	40	14	45	36	30	53	36	37	39	36	37	37
Probably yes	23	18	24	22	34	28	22	27	23	23	38	28	27	27	17	18	24	25	23	23	24
Undecided, lean yes	7	6	7	6	9	5	6	4	8	6	8	3	5	7	4	4	9	5	7	8	6
TOTAL NO	27	22	22	25	16	26	33	29	21	24	32	13	23	26	20	22	24	24	28	24	26
Undecided, lean no	3	4	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	2	4	2	2	1	3	0	2	2	6	1	4
Probably no	5	4	3	4	2	4	6	5	4	6	2	3	4	6	11	3	5	5	4	4	5
Definitely no	19	14	17	17	12	20	25	22	14	15	27	8	16	19	10	11	20	17	17	18	17
DK/NA	9	6	8	8	3	8	9	7	6	8	8	11	9	10	5	20	7	8	6	8	7
DK/NA	9	6	8	8	3	8	9	7	6	8	8	11	9	10	5	20	7	8	6	8	7
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
TOTAL YES	64	88	69	49	56	77	64	72	70	69	71	70	70	65	69	40	100	26	0	0	
Definitely yes	35	52	38	22	36	41	35	37	47	40	38	40	35	36	40	23	55	10	0	0	
Probably yes	23	30	25	21	18	27	23	33	19	22	25	26	24	24	24	17	35	12	0	0	
Undecided, lean yes	7	6	6	6	2	9	6	3	3	6	7	5	10	5	4	0	10	3	0	0	
TOTAL NO	27	7	22	44	40	15	25	20	28	26	20	24	22	28	22	21	0	22	100	50	
Undecided, lean no	3	0	5	3	1	1	3	0	5	4	2	3	3	2	7	0	0	5	8	5	
Probably no	5	2	6	7	0	2	4	3	7	7	3	6	3	6	5	0	0	7	15	19	
Definitely no	19	4	12	34	39	12	18	16	16	16	15	16	16	20	10	21	0	10	76	26	
DK/NA	9	5	9	7	3	8	10	8	2	5	9	6	8	7	9	39	0	53	0	50	
DK/NA	9	5	9	7	3	8	10	8	2	5	9	6	8	7	9	39	0	53	0	50	

Table 83: Q11. The proposed measure would read as follows: (SPLIT SAMPLE C ONLY – DETAILED VERSION) To increase Muni’s safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? (SPLIT SAMPLE D ONLY – GENERAL TRANSPORTATION VERSION) To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
TOTAL YES	64	67	65	71	59	34	87	70	63	46	58	63	73	53	60	78	50	76	52	56	39
Definitely yes	35	32	41	38	32	19	51	41	24	22	39	37	39	30	38	44	23	42	26	27	24
Probably yes	23	28	18	25	20	13	33	22	30	16	17	21	27	17	18	27	20	28	22	26	11
Undecided, lean yes	7	8	6	7	7	2	4	7	9	9	3	6	7	6	4	6	7	6	4	3	4
TOTAL NO	27	22	30	20	33	60	7	23	27	44	32	26	19	37	30	14	42	22	43	44	55
Undecided, lean no	3	4	1	2	4	2	0	5	4	4	1	0	3	2	1	3	2	2	4	0	2
Probably no	5	3	7	5	5	3	4	4	5	6	2	6	5	5	4	4	8	4	6	6	0
Definitely no	19	15	22	13	25	54	3	13	18	34	29	21	11	30	26	7	32	15	33	37	53
DK/NA	9	11	6	9	8	6	6	7	11	10	10	10	8	10	10	8	8	2	5	0	6
DK/NA	9	11	6	9	8	6	6	7	11	10	10	10	8	10	10	8	8	2	5	0	6

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
TOTAL YES	64	73	54	73	58	75	68	55	70	37	39	74	65	74	38	48	27	68	67	68	69
Definitely yes	35	50	29	31	33	47	32	34	34	24	17	39	36	41	20	15	21	39	44	35	31
Probably yes	23	19	18	34	18	21	29	16	27	9	22	28	22	27	11	27	6	20	18	25	30
Undecided, lean yes	7	4	7	9	7	6	7	5	10	4	0	7	7	6	7	7	0	8	5	8	8
TOTAL NO	27	22	39	16	31	18	20	40	22	60	51	16	25	22	48	42	69	27	29	21	22
Undecided, lean no	3	2	1	5	4	1	4	3	5	0	2	3	2	4	3	0	3	0	2	3	5
Probably no	5	7	6	3	3	7	3	7	1	3	5	4	5	5	4	3	4	8	6	1	5
Definitely no	19	14	31	9	24	11	12	30	16	57	44	9	18	12	42	39	62	19	21	18	11
DK/NA	9	5	7	10	11	6	12	5	7	4	10	10	9	4	13	10	4	5	5	11	10
DK/NA	9	5	7	10	11	6	12	5	7	4	10	10	9	4	13	10	4	5	5	11	10

Table 83: Q11. The proposed measure would read as follows: (SPLIT SAMPLE C ONLY – DETAILED VERSION) To increase Muni’s safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? (SPLIT SAMPLE D ONLY – GENERAL TRANSPORTATION VERSION) To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
TOTAL YES	64	61	65	73	51	70	74	57	69	71	65	52	65	61	72	68	44	0	62	42
Definitely yes	35	38	40	27	23	42	35	29	43	37	30	35	36	27	40	28	21	0	33	28
Probably yes	23	22	20	31	20	20	37	20	21	26	26	10	23	23	24	36	19	0	21	10
Undecided, lean yes	7	1	5	15	7	7	1	8	4	9	8	8	6	11	7	4	4	0	7	4
TOTAL NO	27	27	30	17	43	23	23	32	18	25	26	32	26	29	19	17	48	88	31	51
Undecided, lean no	3	2	4	0	1	7	3	3	1	5	1	2	3	3	3	1	4	0	4	3
Probably no	5	7	7	2	6	3	3	6	3	5	2	7	5	6	4	6	5	0	5	6
Definitely no	19	18	18	15	35	13	18	23	14	15	22	24	19	20	13	10	39	88	22	42
DK/NA	9	11	5	10	7	7	3	11	13	4	9	16	9	10	9	15	8	12	7	7
DK/NA	9	11	5	10	7	7	3	11	13	4	9	16	9	10	9	15	8	12	7	7

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
TOTAL YES	64	65	78	55	67	82	76	72	65	74	62	65	63	60	61	64	71	53	55	74
Definitely yes	35	42	50	29	22	21	48	36	40	48	32	36	32	34	33	38	32	31	26	42
Probably yes	23	22	28	20	40	34	18	31	16	25	22	23	24	20	22	21	32	18	21	27
Undecided, lean yes	7	1	0	6	4	27	10	5	9	1	7	6	7	6	6	5	7	4	7	5
TOTAL NO	27	24	22	36	11	15	18	21	25	18	29	27	28	29	30	26	23	36	36	18
Undecided, lean no	3	3	0	5	0	0	4	5	7	1	2	3	3	4	6	3	2	2	4	3
Probably no	5	7	3	9	0	2	3	2	3	7	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	3	6	4
Definitely no	19	15	19	22	11	13	11	14	16	10	22	19	20	20	21	19	17	32	27	11
DK/NA	9	11	0	9	21	3	6	7	9	8	9	8	9	10	9	10	6	11	10	8
DK/NA	9	11	0	9	21	3	6	7	9	8	9	8	9	10	9	10	6	11	10	8

Table 83: Q11. The proposed measure would read as follows: (SPLIT SAMPLE C ONLY – DETAILED VERSION) To increase Muni’s safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving aging Muni equipment, facilities and bus yards, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? (SPLIT SAMPLE D ONLY – GENERAL TRANSPORTATION VERSION) To reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards, and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue 400 million dollars in general obligation bonds for 30 years, with an estimated average tax rate of \$0.010/\$100 of assessed property value, providing 30 million dollars annually, subject to citizen oversight and independent audits? Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
TOTAL YES	64	64	81	58	64	65	59	70	65	64	71	65	63	56
Definitely yes	35	34	46	32	35	35	32	38	31	39	41	35	35	26
Probably yes	23	23	29	16	22	24	21	25	27	18	23	24	24	22
Undecided, lean yes	7	6	6	10	8	5	7	7	7	7	8	7	4	7
TOTAL NO	27	28	13	29	27	26	31	23	27	26	21	27	28	33
Undecided, lean no	3	3	0	0	3	3	3	2	2	3	4	2	3	2
Probably no	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	4	4	5	5	5	5	5
Definitely no	19	20	8	24	20	19	22	17	21	18	13	20	20	27
DK/NA	9	9	5	13	9	9	10	7	8	10	8	8	9	11
DK/NA	9	9	5	13	9	9	10	7	8	10	8	8	9	11

Table 84: Q12A. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. :
 (SAFETY) Without improvements to our public transportation system, lives will be put in danger; ambulances and fire trucks get stuck in traffic, there are safety risks for people walking and bicycling, and air pollution will get worse. Improving our transportation system will improve San Franciscans' quality of life and their safety

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	55	87	66	38	70	66	54	63	60	65	58	54	59	56	71	19	43	74	19	29
Very convincing	28	66	34	18	38	33	27	31	32	45	27	26	28	28	39	5	13	40	3	16
Somewhat convincing	28	21	33	19	32	33	27	33	28	19	32	28	31	29	32	14	31	35	16	14
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	26	4	23	32	24	24	23	27	23	25	26	23	20	27	23	38	24	19	35	42
Not convincing	26	4	23	32	24	24	23	27	23	25	26	23	20	27	23	38	24	19	35	42
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	19	9	11	31	6	11	23	9	17	11	16	23	22	17	6	43	33	7	47	29
Don't believe	15	3	8	25	4	7	13	5	14	4	12	21	17	13	3	42	14	5	43	8
DK/NA	4	6	3	5	2	4	10	4	4	7	4	2	5	3	3	1	20	2	3	21
		Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	55	77	68	24	17	73	19	37	61	76	14	25	50	60	53	58	49	71	53	69
Very convincing	28	48	29	13	1	39	4	14	25	40	2	7	22	33	25	31	25	33	26	34
Somewhat convincing	28	29	39	11	16	34	15	23	35	36	12	17	28	26	28	27	24	38	26	36
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	26	19	23	52	30	21	36	31	25	19	40	35	28	21	28	24	28	23	27	18
Not convincing	26	19	23	52	30	21	36	31	25	19	40	35	28	21	28	24	28	23	27	18
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	19	4	10	24	52	6	45	31	15	5	46	40	22	19	20	18	23	6	20	12
Don't believe	15	2	6	21	50	4	42	11	7	3	44	9	20	14	17	12	18	3	16	10
DK/NA	4	2	4	2	2	3	2	20	7	2	2	31	2	5	2	6	5	3	5	2

Table 84: Q12A. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SAFETY) Without improvements to our public transportation system, lives will be put in danger; ambulances and fire trucks get stuck in traffic, there are safety risks for people walking and bicycling, and air pollution will get worse. Improving our transportation system will improve San Franciscans' quality of life and their safety

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	55	57	57	61	61	55	47	55	60	60	54	66	60	52	70	64	63	58	52	63	56
Very convincing	28	31	29	29	42	27	24	25	28	29	25	43	32	23	39	38	29	31	26	30	29
Somewhat convincing	28	26	28	32	18	28	22	30	31	30	29	23	28	30	31	26	34	28	26	33	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	26	27	26	23	27	22	30	26	26	24	22	27	24	26	21	17	24	25	30	23	27
Not convincing	26	27	26	23	27	22	30	26	26	24	22	27	24	26	21	17	24	25	30	23	27
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	19	16	17	16	12	22	23	19	14	17	23	7	16	22	9	20	13	17	18	14	17
Don't believe	15	13	14	13	11	15	18	16	10	14	23	6	14	18	8	8	13	12	15	12	13
DK/NA	4	3	3	2	1	7	5	4	4	3	0	1	2	4	0	12	0	5	2	2	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	55	70	61	44	53	73	56	58	63	53	65	56	61	54	60	53	77	35	14	67	
Very convincing	28	34	33	20	34	43	22	28	35	28	33	28	32	27	29	15	42	14	1	27	
Somewhat convincing	28	36	28	24	19	29	34	31	28	26	32	28	29	27	31	39	35	22	12	40	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	26	21	26	28	25	16	26	28	21	27	21	27	22	27	28	9	18	38	37	27	
Not convincing	26	21	26	28	25	16	26	28	21	27	21	27	22	27	28	9	18	38	37	27	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	19	9	14	27	21	11	18	13	16	19	14	17	17	19	12	38	5	26	50	6	
Don't believe	15	6	10	24	21	9	16	9	16	16	12	14	12	16	8	29	3	11	48	5	
DK/NA	4	3	4	4	0	2	2	4	0	3	2	3	5	3	4	9	2	15	2	1	

Table 84: Q12A. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SAFETY) Without improvements to our public transportation system, lives will be put in danger; ambulances and fire trucks get stuck in traffic, there are safety risks for people walking and bicycling, and air pollution will get worse. Improving our transportation system will improve San Franciscans' quality of life and their safety

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	55	65	49	60	52	30	70	59	49	47	48	58	60	49	52	64	49	69	49	25	39
Very convincing	28	32	25	30	25	16	35	34	28	17	28	21	32	21	26	32	23	38	22	11	28
Somewhat convincing	28	33	24	30	27	13	35	26	22	31	20	36	27	28	26	32	25	31	27	14	11
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	26	20	31	25	25	31	25	22	26	29	29	25	24	28	27	25	29	19	23	36	37
Not convincing	26	20	31	25	25	31	25	22	26	29	29	25	24	28	27	25	29	19	23	36	37
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	19	15	20	15	22	39	5	19	25	23	23	18	16	22	21	11	23	12	28	39	24
Don't believe	15	11	17	11	18	35	4	13	21	20	19	11	13	18	16	8	20	9	25	30	24
DK/NA	4	4	3	4	4	4	1	6	4	4	5	7	4	5	6	4	3	2	3	9	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	55	55	42	66	63	53	67	50	60	19	51	60	59	64	36	26	32	57	49	67	65
Very convincing	28	31	17	34	28	27	34	25	28	13	25	32	27	36	11	16	16	32	23	30	35
Somewhat convincing	28	23	25	31	35	26	34	25	32	6	26	28	32	27	26	10	15	25	25	37	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	26	29	34	18	22	33	18	27	23	40	27	26	24	19	34	22	36	28	32	19	22
Not convincing	26	29	34	18	22	33	18	27	23	40	27	26	24	19	34	22	36	28	32	19	22
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	19	16	24	16	14	14	14	23	16	42	22	13	17	17	30	52	32	14	20	14	13
Don't believe	15	13	21	12	10	10	10	22	11	34	22	9	13	15	22	42	31	13	16	11	9
DK/NA	4	3	3	4	5	4	4	1	6	7	0	4	3	2	8	9	1	1	4	3	4

Table 84: Q12A. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SAFETY) Without improvements to our public transportation system, lives will be put in danger; ambulances and fire trucks get stuck in traffic, there are safety risks for people walking and bicycling, and air pollution will get worse. Improving our transportation system will improve San Franciscans' quality of life and their safety

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	55	46	49	64	55	57	53	52	60	54	64	57	56	48	61	61	33	46	54	35
Very convincing	28	28	28	29	32	33	26	35	21	16	32	26	28	21	31	30	19	0	27	16
Somewhat convincing	28	18	22	35	23	24	27	17	39	38	32	30	28	27	30	31	14	46	27	19
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	26	34	31	10	25	30	27	23	23	32	24	21	25	32	24	30	28	30	24	32
Not convincing	26	34	31	10	25	30	27	23	23	32	24	21	25	32	24	30	28	30	24	32
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	19	19	20	26	20	14	20	25	17	14	13	22	19	20	15	9	39	24	21	33
Don't believe	15	13	13	17	17	9	18	19	14	10	13	21	15	16	11	7	32	24	16	31
DK/NA	4	7	7	9	3	4	2	6	3	4	0	1	4	5	4	2	7	0	5	1

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	55	49	52	44	33	71	67	59	58	65	55	58	57	53	50	53	64	59	49	62
Very convincing	28	31	29	27	21	18	33	40	35	14	27	29	29	26	21	24	36	17	21	34
Somewhat convincing	28	18	23	17	12	54	33	19	24	51	28	29	28	28	29	30	29	42	28	28
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	26	32	43	30	18	9	21	25	25	24	26	22	23	25	27	24	22	22	32	22
Not convincing	26	32	43	30	18	9	21	25	25	24	26	22	23	25	27	24	22	22	32	22
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	19	20	4	26	49	20	12	16	17	11	19	20	20	22	24	23	14	18	19	16
Don't believe	15	12	4	18	22	16	7	15	5	10	17	15	16	17	18	18	10	15	17	12
DK/NA	4	8	0	8	27	4	5	2	12	1	3	5	4	5	5	5	4	3	2	4

Table 84: Q12A. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SAFETY) Without improvements to our public transportation system, lives will be put in danger; ambulances and fire trucks get stuck in traffic, there are safety risks for people walking and bicycling, and air pollution will get worse. Improving our transportation system will improve San Franciscans' quality of life and their safety

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	55	54	71	55	54	57	52	58	63	48	60	57	48	52
Very convincing	28	26	54	19	26	29	26	29	30	25	30	25	27	31
Somewhat convincing	28	28	17	37	28	28	27	29	33	23	30	33	22	21
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	26	26	21	23	26	26	27	24	22	30	24	25	30	25
Not convincing	26	26	21	23	26	26	27	24	22	30	24	25	30	25
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	19	19	8	22	20	17	20	17	15	23	16	17	22	23
Don't believe	15	16	7	12	16	13	16	14	11	18	10	15	16	18
DK/NA	4	4	1	10	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	2	6	4

Table 85: Q12B. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. :
 (FISCAL CLIFF) Federal relief funds are much of what's keeping Muni running now. We've stretched out these funds for as long as possible, but they will run out by next year, leaving a large and growing deficit.
 Without additional funding, we face tough choices ahead about cuts to Muni service or other transportation improvement projects

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	84	78	46	83	77	52	75	57	77	71	70	70	67	80	30	51	84	33	48
Very convincing	34	66	41	21	51	42	30	34	27	29	38	31	35	34	48	4	19	48	4	15
Somewhat convincing	32	18	37	25	33	35	22	41	30	48	33	39	35	33	32	27	32	36	30	32
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	9	12	26	10	14	20	18	29	4	15	21	9	20	12	37	17	10	26	23
Not convincing	17	9	12	26	10	14	20	18	29	4	15	21	9	20	12	37	17	10	26	23
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	7	9	28	6	10	28	7	14	20	14	9	21	13	8	33	31	6	40	29
Don't believe	12	2	5	21	3	4	19	3	10	10	9	5	16	8	4	31	8	2	37	4
DK/NA	5	5	4	6	3	5	9	4	5	9	4	4	5	5	4	2	23	4	3	25

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	85	79	48	25	82	32	50	72	83	27	51	68	61	66	66	62	73	64	77
Very convincing	34	55	40	5	3	48	4	17	35	47	5	18	35	35	33	34	28	50	31	45
Somewhat convincing	32	31	38	43	22	34	28	32	37	36	22	34	33	26	32	32	34	24	33	32
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	9	13	33	32	11	32	20	15	10	38	12	17	25	18	17	19	17	19	10
Not convincing	17	9	13	33	32	11	32	20	15	10	38	12	17	25	18	17	19	17	19	10
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	6	8	20	43	7	36	30	13	7	35	37	15	14	16	18	19	10	18	13
Don't believe	12	2	4	18	40	3	34	7	5	4	32	7	13	10	13	10	13	4	12	10
DK/NA	5	4	4	2	3	4	2	24	8	3	3	29	2	4	3	8	6	6	6	3

Table 85: Q12B. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FISCAL CLIFF) Federal relief funds are much of what's keeping Muni running now. We've stretched out these funds for as long as possible, but they will run out by next year, leaving a large and growing deficit. Without additional funding, we face tough choices ahead about cuts to Muni service or other transportation improvement projects

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	68	70	69	59	55	62	62	74	60	74	76	66	52	71	69	69	70	67	69	69
Very convincing	34	40	39	36	31	29	28	35	39	28	35	47	35	22	36	41	39	37	29	39	34
Somewhat convincing	32	29	31	33	28	26	34	27	36	32	39	29	32	30	35	28	31	33	38	30	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	17	16	19	31	22	19	18	14	23	10	14	18	27	17	0	18	15	19	16	17
Not convincing	17	17	16	19	31	22	19	18	14	23	10	14	18	27	17	0	18	15	19	16	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	14	14	12	10	24	19	20	12	17	16	10	16	20	11	31	13	14	15	15	14
Don't believe	12	10	9	9	9	12	14	13	8	11	11	7	12	13	9	11	11	9	12	11	10
DK/NA	5	4	5	4	1	11	5	7	4	5	4	3	4	7	2	20	2	5	3	5	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	80	72	55	64	77	68	68	68	69	73	68	73	65	66	75	85	51	26	61	
Very convincing	34	51	35	20	40	50	29	38	33	30	40	33	34	36	32	19	50	17	3	11	
Somewhat convincing	32	29	37	35	23	28	39	30	36	39	33	35	39	28	34	57	35	34	23	50	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	11	15	24	19	11	13	24	8	17	12	18	17	17	18	11	9	23	35	18	
Not convincing	17	11	15	24	19	11	13	24	8	17	12	18	17	17	18	11	9	23	35	18	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	9	12	21	18	12	19	8	24	14	15	13	10	18	16	13	6	26	38	20	
Don't believe	12	5	8	17	18	8	14	6	20	10	10	9	7	13	12	0	3	9	36	13	
DK/NA	5	4	4	3	0	4	6	3	4	4	5	4	3	5	4	13	3	17	3	7	

Table 85: Q12B. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FISCAL CLIFF) Federal relief funds are much of what's keeping Muni running now. We've stretched out these funds for as long as possible, but they will run out by next year, leaving a large and growing deficit. Without additional funding, we face tough choices ahead about cuts to Muni service or other transportation improvement projects

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	70	63	73	56	41	79	67	62	59	63	65	69	61	64	77	64	72	53	34	54
Very convincing	34	37	30	37	31	15	47	33	31	21	36	41	37	29	38	42	20	41	29	17	28
Somewhat convincing	32	33	33	36	26	26	32	34	31	38	28	24	32	32	26	35	44	30	25	17	26
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	15	19	14	21	28	14	18	18	22	14	17	17	19	15	12	21	18	24	37	19
Not convincing	17	15	19	14	21	28	14	18	18	22	14	17	17	19	15	12	21	18	24	37	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	15	18	12	23	30	8	16	20	19	22	18	15	20	21	11	15	10	23	29	27
Don't believe	12	10	12	8	16	26	7	8	15	14	17	8	10	14	14	6	13	9	21	18	27
DK/NA	5	4	5	4	7	5	1	7	5	4	5	11	5	6	7	4	2	1	2	11	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	68	57	70	71	73	74	57	59	25	67	74	72	64	46	28	49	68	64	70	73
Very convincing	34	32	28	39	33	33	40	29	33	14	20	38	36	38	20	11	17	38	28	38	38
Somewhat convincing	32	36	30	30	38	40	34	28	27	11	48	37	36	26	25	17	31	30	36	32	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	18	19	15	16	16	13	20	21	32	19	14	15	19	24	36	24	15	20	16	13
Not convincing	17	18	19	15	16	16	13	20	21	32	19	14	15	19	24	36	24	15	20	16	13
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	13	23	16	13	11	13	23	20	42	14	12	13	17	30	36	27	17	16	15	14
Don't believe	12	7	18	12	7	6	9	17	15	35	11	7	9	13	19	27	25	11	11	11	10
DK/NA	5	6	5	4	6	5	4	6	5	7	2	5	4	4	11	9	2	6	5	3	4

Table 85: Q12B. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FISCAL CLIFF) Federal relief funds are much of what's keeping Muni running now. We've stretched out these funds for as long as possible, but they will run out by next year, leaving a large and growing deficit. Without additional funding, we face tough choices ahead about cuts to Muni service or other transportation improvement projects

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	66	74	59	61	70	64	63	70	69	69	53	66	63	75	73	38	58	58	46
Very convincing	34	39	36	29	30	37	29	33	39	37	29	25	35	26	38	34	13	12	32	23
Somewhat convincing	32	27	38	30	31	33	35	30	31	32	40	27	32	38	37	39	25	46	26	23
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	15	7	12	21	16	24	19	17	15	18	32	18	16	12	17	33	24	20	31
Not convincing	17	15	7	12	21	16	24	19	17	15	18	32	18	16	12	17	33	24	20	31
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	19	19	30	18	14	12	18	13	16	13	16	16	20	13	10	29	18	22	23
Don't believe	12	13	12	12	15	7	7	13	10	13	13	14	11	15	9	4	21	18	14	22
DK/NA	5	7	7	17	3	7	5	5	3	3	0	2	5	6	4	6	8	0	8	1

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	67	92	66	55	68	64	72	69	66	64	65	62	60	62	59	67	65	62	71
Very convincing	34	41	30	35	21	42	26	41	38	45	31	35	33	31	30	29	39	24	25	42
Somewhat convincing	32	26	61	30	34	26	38	31	31	21	33	30	29	29	33	30	29	41	38	29
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	11	8	8	7	13	12	22	17	23	20	15	16	17	20	24	15	19	23	14
Not convincing	17	11	8	8	7	13	12	22	17	23	20	15	16	17	20	24	15	19	23	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	22	0	27	37	19	24	6	15	10	16	20	22	23	18	18	17	16	15	15
Don't believe	12	14	0	17	10	12	8	5	6	9	13	13	15	14	11	9	10	13	12	10
DK/NA	5	8	0	10	27	7	16	2	9	1	3	7	7	9	7	8	7	3	3	5

Table 85: Q12B. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FISCAL CLIFF) Federal relief funds are much of what's keeping Muni running now. We've stretched out these funds for as long as possible, but they will run out by next year, leaving a large and growing deficit. Without additional funding, we face tough choices ahead about cuts to Muni service or other transportation improvement projects

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	67	67	43	64	67	62	70	67	65	68	64	68	64
Very convincing	34	34	47	18	35	32	31	36	35	32	37	30	36	33
Somewhat convincing	32	34	21	26	29	35	30	34	32	32	31	34	33	31
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	17	10	27	19	16	20	15	16	19	14	22	11	19
Not convincing	17	17	10	27	19	16	20	15	16	19	14	22	11	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	15	22	29	17	17	18	15	17	17	18	14	20	17
Don't believe	12	12	13	12	12	12	13	10	11	12	8	12	14	13
DK/NA	5	4	10	17	5	5	5	5	6	5	9	2	6	4

Table 86: Q12C. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. :
 (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street and transit improvements that create a network of buses and trains that come every 5 minutes to provide quick, convenient access to all parts of San Francisco, including commercial districts, jobs, and housing

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS				
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	83	70	51	80	73	33	73	75	70	76	40	58	66	82	22	46	81	25	43	
Very convincing	35	56	39	31	50	42	8	40	40	25	46	17	39	34	49	11	4	46	16	19	
Somewhat convincing	28	27	32	20	30	32	25	33	35	45	30	23	19	32	33	11	43	34	9	24	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	4	16	16	10	13	21	19	6	10	10	29	13	14	10	23	10	14	30	11	
Not convincing	16	4	16	16	10	13	21	19	6	10	10	29	13	14	10	23	10	14	30	11	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	22	14	14	33	10	13	46	8	20	20	14	30	30	19	8	55	43	5	44	46	
Don't believe	18	6	12	27	7	10	25	5	15	13	12	27	29	15	7	55	19	4	40	25	
DK/NA	3	8	2	6	3	3	21	4	5	7	2	4	1	4	2	0	24	1	4	22	
		Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	81	81	52	13	81	23	46	73	83	23	37	62	66	61	64	57	77	61	68	
Very convincing	35	53	41	39	4	47	13	7	33	48	13	7	31	48	34	35	31	46	34	38	
Somewhat convincing	28	28	40	13	9	34	10	38	40	35	10	30	31	18	27	29	27	31	28	30	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	14	11	20	28	13	26	10	11	9	30	20	10	17	15	18	17	17	17	13	
Not convincing	16	14	11	20	28	13	26	10	11	9	30	20	10	17	15	18	17	17	17	13	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	22	4	9	27	59	6	50	44	17	7	47	43	28	17	25	17	26	6	22	20	
Don't believe	18	4	6	27	56	5	49	21	9	5	46	19	25	17	22	13	22	4	18	18	
DK/NA	3	0	3	0	2	1	2	24	7	2	2	24	4	0	2	5	4	2	4	2	

Table 86: Q12C. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street and transit improvements that create a network of buses and trains that come every 5 minutes to provide quick, convenient access to all parts of San Francisco, including commercial districts, jobs, and housing

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	71	66	70	71	56	58	66	73	59	85	66	65	44	83	84	67	65	66	69	65
Very convincing	35	43	36	42	44	30	28	33	44	30	28	42	34	13	57	57	32	36	40	35	38
Somewhat convincing	28	28	30	28	28	26	30	33	29	29	57	25	31	31	26	27	35	28	25	34	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	15	15	15	18	22	17	15	10	21	0	25	20	30	8	0	19	16	13	17	15
Not convincing	16	15	15	15	18	22	17	15	10	21	0	25	20	30	8	0	19	16	13	17	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	22	14	19	14	11	22	25	19	17	20	15	8	16	27	9	16	14	19	22	14	20
Don't believe	18	12	16	12	11	15	20	17	14	18	15	8	15	24	8	16	12	18	20	13	19
DK/NA	3	2	3	3	0	7	5	2	2	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	2	2	1	2	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	81	70	43	67	65	62	79	80	69	64	74	63	64	69	82	84	44	21	68	
Very convincing	35	43	49	17	49	43	34	36	56	38	39	39	39	32	45	82	50	9	13	10	
Somewhat convincing	28	39	21	26	18	22	28	43	24	32	25	34	24	32	24	0	34	35	8	58	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	11	12	23	11	24	16	10	11	10	20	10	16	16	19	0	9	28	26	16	
Not convincing	16	11	12	23	11	24	16	10	11	10	20	10	16	16	19	0	9	28	26	16	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	22	8	17	34	21	11	22	11	9	21	16	16	21	20	12	18	7	28	53	16	
Don't believe	18	5	14	33	21	9	19	8	9	20	14	15	17	18	12	18	5	14	51	9	
DK/NA	3	3	4	1	0	2	3	3	0	0	2	1	4	2	0	0	1	14	2	6	

Table 86: Q12C. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street and transit improvements that create a network of buses and trains that come every 5 minutes to provide quick, convenient access to all parts of San Francisco, including commercial districts, jobs, and housing

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	64	65	68	58	35	67	76	63	55	56	39	70	52	49	75	47	77	45	46	55
Very convincing	35	35	35	38	31	22	40	54	32	22	25	22	44	23	24	46	17	46	28	22	38
Somewhat convincing	28	29	29	30	27	13	27	22	31	33	31	17	26	30	26	30	30	31	17	24	18
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	16	16	16	16	20	25	9	8	17	13	35	14	19	22	12	31	12	10	0	17
Not convincing	16	16	16	16	16	20	25	9	8	17	13	35	14	19	22	12	31	12	10	0	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	22	20	20	17	26	45	7	14	30	28	31	26	16	28	29	13	22	11	46	54	27
Don't believe	18	16	18	13	22	44	7	11	26	24	25	21	14	24	23	9	20	11	46	54	27
DK/NA	3	4	1	3	4	1	0	4	3	4	6	5	2	5	6	4	2	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	73	54	67	59	72	66	62	58	29	58	72	62	72	38	25	40	77	65	63	66
Very convincing	35	47	20	39	29	40	36	31	32	20	29	44	29	49	6	0	35	30	40	37	34
Somewhat convincing	28	26	34	28	30	32	30	30	27	9	29	28	33	23	32	25	6	47	25	26	32
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	12	21	16	18	15	16	15	20	18	8	13	20	16	16	13	24	12	16	20	14
Not convincing	16	12	21	16	18	15	16	15	20	18	8	13	20	16	16	13	24	12	16	20	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	22	15	26	18	23	12	19	23	21	53	34	15	18	12	46	63	35	11	19	17	20
Don't believe	18	13	25	15	17	10	14	23	17	51	34	12	15	12	36	63	33	11	18	14	17
DK/NA	3	2	0	3	6	2	4	0	4	2	0	4	3	0	9	0	2	0	1	3	2

Table 86: Q12C. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street and transit improvements that create a network of buses and trains that come every 5 minutes to provide quick, convenient access to all parts of San Francisco, including commercial districts, jobs, and housing

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120	44
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	54	65	70	45	72	68	52	70	45	73	71	63	52	70	72	43	0	61	30
Very convincing	35	29	28	33	29	42	35	30	35	29	54	34	35	35	43	22	22	0	31	26
Somewhat convincing	28	25	37	36	16	30	32	21	35	17	19	37	29	17	27	49	21	0	29	4
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	18	12	7	39	9	7	17	11	27	9	22	16	16	11	20	20	0	16	38
Not convincing	16	18	12	7	39	9	7	17	11	27	9	22	16	16	11	20	20	0	16	38
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	22	27	23	23	15	19	25	32	19	28	19	7	20	32	19	8	38	100	24	32
Don't believe	18	17	19	23	11	15	25	21	16	28	19	7	17	29	15	8	36	100	19	32
DK/NA	3	10	5	0	5	3	0	11	3	0	0	0	3	3	4	0	2	0	4	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152	250
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	52	69	60	73	70	85	58	63	72	60	64	59	59	56	49	69	49	56	71
Very convincing	35	25	34	30	31	22	44	48	37	35	35	33	28	28	22	22	42	21	33	39
Somewhat convincing	28	27	34	29	42	47	41	10	26	37	25	31	31	31	34	27	27	28	23	32
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	22	8	13	22	8	0	15	7	10	19	16	18	18	22	24	11	27	18	15
Not convincing	16	22	8	13	22	8	0	15	7	10	19	16	18	18	22	24	11	27	18	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	22	26	23	27	5	22	15	27	30	18	21	21	23	23	22	27	21	24	26	14
Don't believe	18	15	23	25	5	22	15	19	15	18	18	17	20	19	18	19	18	23	23	12
DK/NA	3	11	0	2	0	0	0	8	15	0	2	4	3	4	4	8	3	1	3	2

Table 86: Q12C. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street and transit improvements that create a network of buses and trains that come every 5 minutes to provide quick, convenient access to all parts of San Francisco, including commercial districts, jobs, and housing

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	64	67	42	62	0	59	66	63	62	73	62	58	53
Very convincing	35	35	50	15	35	0	31	38	36	33	39	37	28	31
Somewhat convincing	28	29	17	26	28	0	28	28	27	28	34	26	30	22
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	15	19	27	16	0	14	18	15	17	9	17	15	25
Not convincing	16	15	19	27	16	0	14	18	15	17	9	17	15	25
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	22	21	15	32	22	0	27	16	22	21	18	21	27	22
Don't believe	18	17	15	32	18	0	23	13	20	17	15	21	20	15
DK/NA	3	4	0	0	3	0	4	3	2	5	3	0	7	7

Table 87: Q12D. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. :
 (EFFICIENT APPROACH) In recent years SFMTA has worked with local neighborhoods to prioritize projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans. Additional funding would allow us to increase the number of these quick-build projects, ensuring we can respond to San Franciscans' input and deliver improvements quickly and use funds more efficiently

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	49	67	62	32	60	57	18	60	62	59	55	47	60	48	62	21	38	68	8	17
Very convincing	20	36	25	13	27	24	8	19	21	15	28	13	23	20	30	1	8	29	0	11
Somewhat convincing	29	31	37	19	33	34	10	41	41	44	28	35	37	28	32	20	30	39	8	6
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	28	21	26	31	29	28	28	20	20	25	27	21	12	31	28	31	19	23	39	50
Not convincing	28	21	26	31	29	28	28	20	20	25	27	21	12	31	28	31	19	23	39	50
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	23	12	13	38	11	15	55	19	18	16	17	31	28	21	11	48	43	9	53	34
Don't believe	16	3	7	29	6	7	26	13	13	8	10	27	23	13	5	44	6	6	46	3
DK/NA	7	9	5	9	4	8	29	6	6	7	7	5	5	8	5	4	37	3	7	31

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	49	72	58	38	7	65	15	33	52	66	16	34	39	52	44	54	41	62	45	66
Very convincing	20	38	20	2	0	29	1	9	17	29	3	8	13	24	17	23	15	30	18	25
Somewhat convincing	29	34	38	37	7	36	15	24	35	37	13	26	25	28	27	31	26	32	27	41
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	28	24	26	46	30	25	34	26	26	23	38	29	30	25	28	27	32	28	31	11
Not convincing	28	24	26	46	30	25	34	26	26	23	38	29	30	25	28	27	32	28	31	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	23	4	16	16	63	10	50	41	22	11	46	37	31	24	27	18	27	10	23	22
Don't believe	16	2	10	16	56	6	45	5	9	6	41	5	25	21	23	8	19	3	16	17
DK/NA	7	2	6	0	7	4	5	36	13	5	5	32	6	3	4	10	7	7	7	6

Table 87: Q12D. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EFFICIENT APPROACH) In recent years SFMTA has worked with local neighborhoods to prioritize projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans. Additional funding would allow us to increase the number of these quick-build projects, ensuring we can respond to San Franciscans' input and deliver improvements quickly and use funds more efficiently

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	49	48	54	52	46	43	39	45	55	46	63	66	53	40	54	64	55	53	45	56	50
Very convincing	20	17	22	25	26	23	16	18	20	19	37	33	26	19	21	39	22	20	20	24	20
Somewhat convincing	29	31	32	26	20	21	23	27	35	27	26	33	28	21	34	25	32	33	25	31	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	28	36	25	27	46	25	33	30	27	29	17	21	26	29	29	0	29	26	31	26	28
Not convincing	28	36	25	27	46	25	33	30	27	29	17	21	26	29	29	0	29	26	31	26	28
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	23	16	21	21	8	32	28	25	18	25	20	12	20	31	16	36	16	21	24	18	22
Don't believe	16	9	15	15	8	22	21	20	13	17	15	11	16	20	12	9	15	16	17	14	17
DK/NA	7	7	7	6	0	10	7	4	5	8	6	1	5	11	4	27	1	5	6	4	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	49	66	56	36	45	66	47	52	73	42	57	49	61	45	53	46	67	36	14	49	
Very convincing	20	32	22	10	27	38	20	23	43	11	30	18	21	17	30	0	30	11	0	12	
Somewhat convincing	29	34	34	26	18	27	27	30	30	31	27	31	40	28	23	46	37	25	13	38	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	28	23	23	30	34	24	23	28	13	33	23	29	20	31	33	0	23	37	33	19	
Not convincing	28	23	23	30	34	24	23	28	13	33	23	29	20	31	33	0	23	37	33	19	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	23	11	21	35	21	11	30	20	14	25	20	22	19	24	14	54	10	26	53	31	
Don't believe	16	4	14	35	19	9	24	15	14	19	16	17	15	19	8	18	6	5	48	13	
DK/NA	7	7	7	0	2	2	6	6	0	6	4	5	5	5	6	36	4	21	5	18	

Table 87: Q12D. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EFFICIENT APPROACH) In recent years SFMTA has worked with local neighborhoods to prioritize projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans. Additional funding would allow us to increase the number of these quick-build projects, ensuring we can respond to San Franciscans' input and deliver improvements quickly and use funds more efficiently

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	49	51	51	56	38	31	64	45	41	46	44	60	49	48	51	62	43	57	28	22	49
Very convincing	20	21	21	25	12	12	37	14	15	17	16	24	21	18	19	28	14	21	7	8	28
Somewhat convincing	29	29	30	32	27	19	27	31	26	28	28	36	28	30	31	33	29	36	22	14	20
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	28	27	27	23	37	26	28	27	36	23	30	23	30	25	27	22	24	27	43	24	25
Not convincing	28	27	27	23	37	26	28	27	36	23	30	23	30	25	27	22	24	27	43	24	25
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	23	23	22	20	25	44	8	28	24	31	26	17	21	27	22	16	33	17	29	54	27
Don't believe	16	14	18	14	16	42	8	18	16	23	15	14	15	19	14	8	33	14	29	54	24
DK/NA	7	9	4	7	9	2	0	10	7	8	11	2	6	8	8	0	3	0	0	0	3

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	49	52	49	48	55	62	55	40	38	29	41	55	58	45	29	6	45	66	48	48	54
Very convincing	20	21	20	22	20	27	25	14	11	15	13	25	24	15	7	0	19	33	18	19	23
Somewhat convincing	29	31	29	26	35	35	30	26	27	14	28	29	35	30	22	6	26	33	30	29	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	28	27	28	31	20	22	22	36	42	20	24	24	23	42	30	32	22	18	30	32	24
Not convincing	28	27	28	31	20	22	22	36	42	20	24	24	23	42	30	32	22	18	30	32	24
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	23	21	24	21	25	16	23	24	20	51	34	22	19	13	41	62	33	16	22	20	22
Don't believe	16	16	20	14	14	12	14	19	10	47	34	14	14	9	25	62	30	14	19	15	14
DK/NA	7	5	3	7	11	4	9	5	10	4	0	8	5	3	16	0	4	3	3	5	8

Table 87: Q12D. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EFFICIENT APPROACH) In recent years SFMTA has worked with local neighborhoods to prioritize projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans. Additional funding would allow us to increase the number of these quick-build projects, ensuring we can respond to San Franciscans' input and deliver improvements quickly and use funds more efficiently

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120	44
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	49	34	42	51	40	74	54	44	60	28	50	57	50	39	60	40	36	0	39	38
Very convincing	20	11	16	20	20	27	7	13	28	15	25	34	21	6	27	15	6	0	13	18
Somewhat convincing	29	22	27	31	20	47	47	30	32	13	25	23	29	33	34	25	30	0	26	20
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	28	30	37	18	19	13	20	34	24	42	34	35	28	22	20	41	19	24	35	32
Not convincing	28	30	37	18	19	13	20	34	24	42	34	35	28	22	20	41	19	24	35	32
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	23	36	21	30	40	13	26	23	16	30	16	8	22	39	20	18	45	76	25	30
Don't believe	16	15	16	25	28	7	18	14	13	28	11	8	15	28	13	11	43	55	17	27
DK/NA	7	21	5	5	12	6	8	9	3	2	5	0	7	11	7	7	2	22	9	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152	250
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	49	38	49	51	28	50	74	55	69	56	45	50	50	53	56	57	51	42	48	53
Very convincing	20	12	39	12	0	6	46	24	13	26	19	19	17	19	18	27	24	11	16	24
Somewhat convincing	29	26	10	39	28	44	27	31	56	30	26	31	32	34	38	30	27	31	32	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	28	21	40	21	22	24	8	37	8	30	32	25	24	22	20	19	25	35	32	26
Not convincing	28	21	40	21	22	24	8	37	8	30	32	25	24	22	20	19	25	35	32	26
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	23	41	11	28	50	26	19	9	22	14	23	25	26	25	24	24	24	23	20	21
Don't believe	16	16	11	20	50	15	12	9	8	14	17	18	20	19	20	16	15	22	13	16
DK/NA	7	24	0	8	0	11	6	0	15	0	5	7	6	6	5	8	9	0	7	5

Table 87: Q12D. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EFFICIENT APPROACH) In recent years SFMTA has worked with local neighborhoods to prioritize projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans. Additional funding would allow us to increase the number of these quick-build projects, ensuring we can respond to San Franciscans' input and deliver improvements quickly and use funds more efficiently

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	49	48	72	38	49	0	46	51	48	50	66	45	35	47
Very convincing	20	19	27	21	20	0	18	21	20	19	24	20	15	18
Somewhat convincing	29	29	46	17	29	0	28	30	28	30	43	25	20	29
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	28	29	15	24	28	0	28	28	28	28	16	34	34	24
Not convincing	28	29	15	24	28	0	28	28	28	28	16	34	34	24
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	23	23	13	38	23	0	26	21	24	23	18	21	31	29
Don't believe	16	16	13	19	16	0	17	15	17	15	12	17	18	19
DK/NA	7	6	0	19	7	0	9	5	7	7	6	3	13	10

Table 88: Q12E. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. :
 (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. Investing in Muni service and expanding safe bike and pedestrian pathways is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	88	78	53	88	81	29	83	82	68	75	69	66	72	86	29	67	84	29	60
Very convincing	37	67	47	21	55	48	19	54	41	41	43	21	37	38	51	6	22	53	10	12
Somewhat convincing	31	21	31	32	33	34	11	28	40	26	31	47	29	34	35	23	45	32	19	48
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	6	15	21	8	12	27	7	11	23	15	16	18	14	9	32	4	14	32	19
Not convincing	17	6	15	21	8	12	27	7	11	23	15	16	18	14	9	32	4	14	32	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	5	7	26	3	7	44	10	7	10	10	15	16	14	5	39	29	1	39	22
Don't believe	10	0	4	20	2	2	18	6	2	2	5	15	13	9	0	39	0	1	33	0
DK/NA	5	5	4	6	1	5	25	4	6	7	5	0	3	5	4	0	29	1	7	22

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	84	86	57	19	85	29	65	81	89	26	55	69	59	68	67	61	85	65	84
Very convincing	37	59	44	20	3	52	8	19	38	54	5	16	33	39	34	41	30	52	35	50
Somewhat convincing	31	26	42	38	15	33	21	46	43	35	21	39	36	20	34	27	30	33	31	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	13	11	32	32	12	32	8	11	8	38	14	13	23	15	19	21	6	18	7
Not convincing	17	13	11	32	32	12	32	8	11	8	38	14	13	23	15	19	21	6	18	7
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	3	2	11	50	3	39	27	8	4	35	31	18	18	16	13	18	9	16	9
Don't believe	10	0	1	11	46	0	36	0	1	1	32	2	15	18	14	5	13	2	11	9
DK/NA	5	3	2	0	4	2	3	27	8	3	3	29	3	0	2	8	6	7	6	0

Table 88: Q12E. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. Investing in Muni service and expanding safe bike and pedestrian pathways is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	74	72	76	72	57	57	67	76	64	83	78	70	58	74	54	70	73	73	69	73
Very convincing	37	46	40	44	38	39	29	36	43	33	51	43	38	27	42	27	39	42	37	38	40
Somewhat convincing	31	28	33	31	33	18	27	31	33	31	32	35	32	31	32	27	31	31	35	31	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	20	14	11	22	17	21	17	16	17	0	17	16	19	15	7	17	19	15	16	17
Not convincing	17	20	14	11	22	17	21	17	16	17	0	17	16	19	15	7	17	19	15	16	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	6	14	13	6	26	22	16	8	18	17	5	14	23	11	39	12	9	13	15	10
Don't believe	10	3	9	10	6	19	15	13	6	11	17	5	11	12	10	0	12	7	11	11	8
DK/NA	5	3	5	4	0	7	7	3	2	7	0	0	3	11	1	39	0	2	2	4	2
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	89	73	60	56	73	64	81	80	78	69	79	72	70	71	46	89	55	26	86	
Very convincing	37	62	45	17	30	49	30	49	64	38	40	45	39	36	44	46	55	19	5	46	
Somewhat convincing	31	28	28	42	26	24	34	32	15	40	29	35	33	34	27	0	34	36	21	39	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	7	17	17	32	17	12	16	9	12	15	13	17	15	21	18	8	25	33	0	
Not convincing	17	7	17	17	32	17	12	16	9	12	15	13	17	15	21	18	8	25	33	0	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	4	10	23	12	10	24	3	11	10	17	8	11	15	9	36	3	20	41	14	
Don't believe	10	1	7	23	12	7	21	2	9	8	14	6	8	12	6	0	0	3	37	11	
DK/NA	5	3	3	0	0	4	2	2	2	1	3	1	2	3	3	36	2	16	3	3	

Table 88: Q12E. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. Investing in Muni service and expanding safe bike and pedestrian pathways is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	73	67	76	60	34	78	74	65	58	67	64	73	62	66	82	61	79	61	52	55
Very convincing	37	43	34	42	33	10	53	45	28	32	25	27	43	29	26	52	13	60	31	8	22
Somewhat convincing	31	30	33	34	27	25	25	29	37	26	41	36	30	33	39	30	47	19	30	44	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	13	20	14	22	28	21	12	18	22	13	17	16	18	14	11	21	17	15	0	33
Not convincing	17	13	20	14	22	28	21	12	18	22	13	17	16	18	14	11	21	17	15	0	33
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	13	14	10	19	38	1	14	16	20	21	19	11	20	20	7	18	4	24	48	13
Don't believe	10	9	9	7	10	37	1	11	11	15	12	9	8	13	11	4	18	4	23	48	13
DK/NA	5	4	5	3	8	1	0	4	6	5	9	10	3	7	9	4	0	0	1	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	70	62	73	74	76	77	61	65	34	58	75	77	74	40	29	37	72	67	66	80
Very convincing	37	36	30	49	33	37	47	33	35	15	4	44	39	46	15	0	15	39	33	38	48
Somewhat convincing	31	34	32	24	40	39	29	28	31	19	53	31	38	28	25	29	22	33	34	28	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	21	18	14	13	17	10	21	25	27	5	14	13	21	23	19	33	14	22	17	12
Not convincing	17	21	18	14	13	17	10	21	25	27	5	14	13	21	23	19	33	14	22	17	12
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	9	20	14	13	7	13	18	10	39	37	11	10	6	36	52	30	13	11	17	8
Don't believe	10	5	14	11	7	4	9	10	6	37	37	7	8	4	19	52	28	5	10	15	5
DK/NA	5	4	6	3	6	2	4	8	4	2	0	4	3	2	17	0	2	8	1	2	3

Table 88: Q12E. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. Investing in Muni service and expanding safe bike and pedestrian pathways is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	53	67	64	49	75	71	60	79	63	86	77	69	63	78	72	42	0	62	44
Very convincing	37	29	37	23	30	48	30	31	42	33	55	43	37	32	42	50	2	0	35	19
Somewhat convincing	31	24	30	40	19	27	41	29	37	30	31	33	31	30	36	22	40	0	27	25
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	21	17	23	23	10	10	22	12	29	5	19	17	19	12	22	23	24	20	22
Not convincing	17	21	17	23	23	10	10	22	12	29	5	19	17	19	12	22	23	24	20	22
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	26	16	13	28	15	18	18	9	7	9	4	15	18	10	6	35	76	17	34
Don't believe	10	13	14	12	19	4	14	10	6	7	9	4	10	12	5	6	33	76	9	31
DK/NA	5	14	1	1	8	11	4	8	3	0	0	0	5	6	4	0	2	0	9	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	56	60	61	66	64	69	82	67	88	69	68	69	70	69	78	70	58	71	71
Very convincing	37	28	39	29	28	6	48	36	50	43	38	39	39	38	30	38	43	26	33	41
Somewhat convincing	31	28	21	32	38	58	21	46	17	45	30	29	31	32	39	40	28	33	38	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	15	32	18	20	25	13	8	19	7	18	14	13	13	14	6	14	24	18	15
Not convincing	17	15	32	18	20	25	13	8	19	7	18	14	13	13	14	6	14	24	18	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	29	8	22	14	11	18	10	15	4	14	18	18	18	17	16	16	17	10	14
Don't believe	10	13	8	20	7	11	7	10	0	4	10	13	15	14	12	7	9	17	7	10
DK/NA	5	16	0	2	7	0	12	0	15	0	3	6	3	4	5	9	7	0	3	3

Table 88: Q12E. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. Investing in Muni service and expanding safe bike and pedestrian pathways is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	69	85	42	68	0	66	70	67	69	74	74	59	58
Very convincing	37	37	52	24	37	0	33	40	38	36	37	42	35	31
Somewhat convincing	31	32	33	19	31	0	33	29	29	33	38	33	24	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	17	7	27	17	0	15	19	17	17	14	16	19	22
Not convincing	17	17	7	27	17	0	15	19	17	17	14	16	19	22
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	14	9	30	15	0	18	11	16	14	12	10	22	20
Don't believe	10	10	9	11	10	0	12	8	11	9	6	9	15	13
DK/NA	5	4	0	19	5	0	6	3	5	5	6	1	7	7

Table 89: Q12F. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. :
 (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their loved ones and wasting precious free time. If we don't repair, upgrade, and improve public transportation, commute times will continue to worsen, trapping all of us in endless gridlock

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	36	262	164	172	315	19	53	30	20	204	57	108	298	125	67	29	158	54	9
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	48	68	55	37	63	55	25	50	63	42	56	30	48	47	60	16	44	63	21	22
Very convincing	20	32	20	20	26	24	5	22	27	19	24	6	22	17	23	4	8	28	11	16
Somewhat convincing	28	36	35	17	37	31	20	29	36	23	32	24	27	30	36	12	36	34	10	6
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	31	13	30	32	26	31	22	34	28	49	29	44	22	34	27	37	23	30	41	44
Not convincing	31	13	30	32	26	31	22	34	28	49	29	44	22	34	27	37	23	30	41	44
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	21	19	15	31	11	14	54	16	9	10	16	26	30	19	14	47	34	8	39	34
Don't believe	16	9	12	24	8	9	33	10	7	2	12	20	27	13	11	47	8	5	30	12
DK/NA	5	10	3	7	3	5	21	6	2	7	4	6	3	5	3	0	25	3	9	22
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	153	130	32	88	283	121	38	168	278	128	36	149	45	245	197	290	71	361	74
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	48	69	52	34	12	61	18	38	49	61	24	29	39	54	42	55	41	66	46	55
Very convincing	20	36	15	18	3	26	7	10	13	25	12	3	15	27	16	24	17	25	19	25
Somewhat convincing	28	33	37	16	9	35	11	29	35	35	12	26	23	27	26	31	24	41	27	31
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	31	22	36	46	36	28	39	28	34	30	35	29	31	36	35	27	33	25	31	31
Not convincing	31	22	36	46	36	28	39	28	34	30	35	29	31	36	35	27	33	25	31	31
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	21	9	12	20	52	10	43	34	17	10	41	42	30	11	24	18	26	9	23	14
Don't believe	16	7	9	20	46	8	39	9	9	7	37	16	25	11	21	11	20	5	17	12
DK/NA	5	2	4	0	5	3	4	24	8	3	4	26	5	0	3	7	6	4	6	2

Table 89: Q12F. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their loved ones and wasting precious free time. If we don't repair, upgrade, and improve public transportation, commute times will continue to worsen, trapping all of us in endless gridlock

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	111	306	147	45	86	241	189	192	111	32	76	221	67	43	16	128	161	104	144	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	48	56	50	51	44	40	43	48	54	39	59	67	51	29	53	64	64	46	37	64	43
Very convincing	20	29	23	26	16	12	15	25	20	15	22	36	24	5	30	39	27	19	13	28	17
Somewhat convincing	28	27	27	25	28	28	28	23	34	24	38	31	28	24	24	25	37	27	24	36	26
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	31	29	30	30	39	30	30	32	30	45	17	17	31	52	35	16	25	34	38	24	35
Not convincing	31	29	30	30	39	30	30	32	30	45	17	17	31	52	35	16	25	34	38	24	35
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	21	14	20	19	18	30	27	20	17	16	24	16	18	19	12	19	12	20	24	12	22
Don't believe	16	11	15	14	18	23	21	17	14	10	24	16	15	9	11	0	10	17	21	9	19
DK/NA	5	3	5	5	0	7	6	2	3	6	0	0	3	10	1	19	1	3	3	3	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	124	123	102	16	88	77	60	22	107	165	189	105	235	66	4	264	67	111	15	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	48	60	52	33	33	68	50	59	52	40	60	48	52	46	57	46	61	44	18	56	
Very convincing	20	26	21	9	15	37	16	9	21	22	27	18	22	21	15	46	25	16	8	18	
Somewhat convincing	28	34	31	24	18	31	35	50	31	18	33	29	30	25	41	0	35	28	11	38	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	31	27	31	39	48	21	30	23	40	38	25	33	29	35	24	0	30	26	37	29	
Not convincing	31	27	31	39	48	21	30	23	40	38	25	33	29	35	24	0	30	26	37	29	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	21	13	17	28	20	11	20	17	8	22	15	19	19	19	20	54	10	30	44	14	
Don't believe	16	10	11	27	20	9	16	12	8	21	12	17	14	17	15	18	7	15	40	11	
DK/NA	5	3	6	1	0	3	4	5	0	1	3	3	5	2	5	36	3	15	4	3	

Table 89: Q12F. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their loved ones and wasting precious free time. If we don't repair, upgrade, and improve public transportation, commute times will continue to worsen, trapping all of us in endless gridlock

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	196	62	50	38	8	11
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	48	51	47	50	50	16	62	53	43	42	37	42	53	41	39	55	38	62	32	18	30
Very convincing	20	19	21	19	25	6	29	28	18	10	18	9	25	12	14	20	10	39	11	8	10
Somewhat convincing	28	32	26	32	25	10	33	25	25	32	20	34	27	29	25	35	27	23	21	11	20
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	31	30	33	32	28	39	27	32	25	33	34	39	29	34	36	29	41	28	34	28	57
Not convincing	31	30	33	32	28	39	27	32	25	33	34	39	29	34	36	29	41	28	34	28	57
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	21	19	20	18	22	46	11	15	32	25	29	18	19	25	25	16	21	10	33	54	13
Don't believe	16	13	17	13	17	42	11	10	23	21	21	13	14	19	18	11	19	10	33	54	13
DK/NA	5	6	3	5	5	4	0	5	9	5	7	5	4	6	7	6	2	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	112	87	131	86	105	158	76	50	17	8	161	113	79	58	11	20	56	125	85	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	48	52	41	53	48	52	50	45	62	26	4	52	47	61	35	7	21	60	45	66	43
Very convincing	20	29	12	22	15	23	16	23	29	8	4	21	15	38	7	7	5	29	21	28	13
Somewhat convincing	28	23	30	32	33	30	34	23	33	18	0	31	32	23	27	0	15	31	24	38	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	31	29	38	28	33	32	30	35	24	25	61	29	36	27	30	43	36	29	34	20	38
Not convincing	31	29	38	28	33	32	30	35	24	25	61	29	36	27	30	43	36	29	34	20	38
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	21	19	21	18	19	16	19	20	14	49	34	19	17	13	36	51	43	11	21	14	20
Don't believe	16	16	20	13	12	13	13	18	10	42	34	13	13	11	26	51	37	8	19	10	15
DK/NA	5	4	1	5	7	2	6	2	4	7	0	6	4	2	9	0	6	3	2	4	4

Table 89: Q12F. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their loved ones and wasting precious free time. If we don't repair, upgrade, and improve public transportation, commute times will continue to worsen, trapping all of us in endless gridlock

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT												PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	398	44	208	50	19	1	120
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	48	27	52	46	48	54	39	38	54	44	60	60	49	38	52	48	12	0	53	29
Very convincing	20	5	25	10	24	19	13	9	22	24	26	38	19	26	22	8	6	0	27	9
Somewhat convincing	28	22	27	35	25	36	26	29	32	20	33	22	30	12	30	40	6	0	26	20
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	31	48	28	40	31	23	32	38	21	40	23	21	30	38	29	35	43	0	26	44
Not convincing	31	48	28	40	31	23	32	38	21	40	23	21	30	38	29	35	43	0	26	44
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	21	25	20	14	21	22	29	24	25	15	17	19	21	24	19	17	46	100	21	27
Don't believe	16	16	16	14	11	19	23	13	18	15	17	17	16	19	12	17	39	100	15	27
DK/NA	5	9	5	0	10	3	5	11	8	0	0	2	5	5	6	1	6	0	6	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	34	10	30	5	14	28	19	20	19	263	205	153	129	83	44	161	69	152
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	48	31	41	49	33	44	75	37	41	52	48	47	44	46	47	48	55	39	42	54
Very convincing	20	6	16	31	0	2	21	21	34	18	20	17	15	14	11	14	22	8	14	25
Somewhat convincing	28	25	25	18	33	43	54	15	7	34	28	29	29	32	36	33	33	32	28	29
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	31	44	41	27	46	48	10	37	21	31	31	30	30	30	33	30	26	38	40	26
Not convincing	31	44	41	27	46	48	10	37	21	31	31	30	30	30	33	30	26	38	40	26
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	21	25	18	24	20	7	15	26	38	16	21	24	26	24	20	22	19	22	18	20
Don't believe	16	15	18	22	20	7	13	18	23	12	16	18	22	19	14	10	14	20	15	16
DK/NA	5	10	0	2	0	0	2	8	15	5	5	5	4	5	7	12	5	3	3	4

Table 89: Q12F. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their loved ones and wasting precious free time. If we don't repair, upgrade, and improve public transportation, commute times will continue to worsen, trapping all of us in endless gridlock

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	380	28	34	442	0	221	221	230	212	109	160	82	91
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	48	47	64	37	48	0	44	51	50	44	53	50	39	44
Very convincing	20	19	42	6	20	0	16	24	18	22	22	25	12	15
Somewhat convincing	28	28	23	31	28	0	29	27	33	23	31	26	27	29
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	31	31	14	41	31	0	29	33	30	32	28	29	37	33
Not convincing	31	31	14	41	31	0	29	33	30	32	28	29	37	33
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	21	21	21	22	21	0	26	16	20	23	19	21	24	23
Don't believe	16	17	21	11	16	0	22	11	16	17	15	18	18	13
DK/NA	5	5	0	12	5	0	5	5	4	6	4	2	7	10

Table 90: Q12G. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. :
 (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. We need to improve the reliability and speed of Muni service, invest in electric buses, and make San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike. This will help get cars off the road, reduce air pollution, and make San Francisco a more sustainable city

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	89	78	55	83	78	62	70	67	93	71	84	72	69	82	34	68	83	36	62
Very convincing	40	69	49	27	59	48	26	37	44	48	45	45	37	43	58	5	13	54	12	20
Somewhat convincing	28	20	29	29	24	30	36	34	22	45	27	40	35	27	23	29	55	29	24	42
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	15	7	9	23	13	13	19	20	15	0	16	10	14	17	12	35	28	6	21	18
Not convincing	15	7	9	23	13	13	19	20	15	0	16	10	14	17	12	35	28	6	21	18
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	16	5	13	22	4	9	19	10	18	7	13	6	14	14	6	31	4	10	43	20
Don't believe	12	0	8	20	2	5	13	3	18	0	10	3	9	11	4	31	0	5	42	7
DK/NA	4	5	5	2	2	4	6	7	0	7	3	3	5	2	3	0	4	6	2	13
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	87	77	56	26	83	35	64	75	87	22	56	68	78	72	64	65	84	68	74
Very convincing	40	59	53	12	7	56	8	17	45	56	7	8	39	50	39	41	36	53	38	52
Somewhat convincing	28	28	24	44	20	26	27	47	30	31	15	49	29	28	32	23	29	31	29	22
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	15	8	11	26	31	9	29	22	13	9	33	18	19	13	15	16	16	10	15	15
Not convincing	15	8	11	26	31	9	29	22	13	9	33	18	19	13	15	16	16	10	15	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	16	6	12	18	43	8	36	13	12	4	45	26	13	8	13	20	19	6	17	11
Don't believe	12	2	7	18	42	4	35	4	6	3	43	1	13	1	11	14	15	6	14	3
DK/NA	4	4	5	0	1	4	1	9	6	2	3	25	0	7	2	6	3	0	3	8

Table 90: Q12G. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. We need to improve the reliability and speed of Muni service, invest in electric buses, and make San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike. This will help get cars off the road, reduce air pollution, and make San Francisco a more sustainable city

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	77	75	78	75	69	61	64	73	68	76	81	72	67	70	69	75	70	71	74	70
Very convincing	40	49	46	47	37	40	34	34	47	38	35	53	40	31	48	45	44	43	39	44	41
Somewhat convincing	28	29	29	30	37	28	27	30	27	30	41	29	32	36	22	23	31	26	33	30	29
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	15	10	12	13	14	16	19	15	15	17	2	16	14	14	23	6	12	16	16	11	16
Not convincing	15	10	12	13	14	16	19	15	15	17	2	16	14	14	23	6	12	16	16	11	16
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	16	12	13	10	11	16	20	21	12	15	21	3	14	20	8	25	13	14	13	15	14
Don't believe	12	10	10	8	9	8	17	17	8	11	21	2	12	14	8	7	12	10	9	12	10
DK/NA	4	2	4	1	2	8	3	4	5	4	0	1	2	6	0	19	1	4	3	4	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	84	74	62	49	85	70	69	73	69	78	70	77	69	64	73	87	56	25	82	
Very convincing	40	67	40	28	20	47	37	45	46	44	42	44	39	44	44	11	60	12	8	24	
Somewhat convincing	28	17	34	34	29	38	33	25	26	25	36	25	38	25	20	62	28	44	17	58	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	15	10	15	16	22	10	15	16	16	15	12	15	13	13	21	15	8	20	31	12	
Not convincing	15	10	15	16	22	10	15	16	16	15	12	15	13	13	21	15	8	20	31	12	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	16	6	11	23	30	5	15	15	11	16	10	15	10	18	15	13	4	24	44	5	
Don't believe	12	3	8	19	30	3	13	13	11	9	8	11	9	14	8	0	2	10	43	5	
DK/NA	4	2	3	4	0	3	2	2	0	7	2	4	1	5	6	13	2	14	1	0	

Table 90: Q12G. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. We need to improve the reliability and speed of Muni service, invest in electric buses, and make San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike. This will help get cars off the road, reduce air pollution, and make San Francisco a more sustainable city

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	75	63	77	58	35	84	76	68	57	51	74	76	58	59	80	75	71	55	39	32
Very convincing	40	44	37	46	33	21	39	57	36	28	36	40	46	33	38	52	28	46	30	19	16
Somewhat convincing	28	31	26	31	26	14	45	19	32	29	15	34	30	26	22	28	46	25	25	20	16
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	15	14	16	11	20	35	12	12	16	19	22	11	13	18	18	11	10	20	18	25	33
Not convincing	15	14	16	11	20	35	12	12	16	19	22	11	13	18	18	11	10	20	18	25	33
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	16	11	21	12	22	31	4	11	16	24	27	15	11	23	23	9	15	8	27	36	35
Don't believe	12	9	15	8	18	24	3	7	10	23	22	9	7	20	17	6	13	8	26	22	35
DK/NA	4	2	5	3	4	6	1	4	6	1	6	6	4	3	6	3	2	0	2	14	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	72	53	81	66	75	82	52	68	23	45	81	71	68	44	52	26	62	64	84	76
Very convincing	40	42	32	49	36	45	49	29	39	19	23	51	40	38	25	29	17	45	34	43	49
Somewhat convincing	28	30	21	32	30	30	33	24	29	5	22	31	32	30	19	23	10	17	31	40	28
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	15	14	19	12	16	15	7	17	21	16	52	11	10	18	23	7	48	13	18	10	13
Not convincing	15	14	19	12	16	15	7	17	21	16	52	11	10	18	23	7	48	13	18	10	13
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	16	14	29	7	18	9	12	31	12	61	3	7	18	14	33	41	26	24	18	6	10
Don't believe	12	9	23	4	16	5	9	26	11	47	3	4	15	11	27	21	26	18	13	5	8
DK/NA	4	5	6	3	2	5	3	5	1	13	0	4	3	3	5	20	0	6	5	2	3

Table 90: Q12G. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. We need to improve the reliability and speed of Muni service, invest in electric buses, and make San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike. This will help get cars off the road, reduce air pollution, and make San Francisco a more sustainable city

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT												PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	81	56	72	67	72	73	65	68	79	69	52	70	60	78	79	36	16	62	43
Very convincing	40	50	27	51	42	42	40	36	47	50	27	31	41	35	48	43	22	16	35	22
Somewhat convincing	28	30	29	21	26	30	32	29	21	28	43	20	29	25	30	36	14	0	27	21
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	15	11	23	4	19	13	17	20	23	12	10	13	16	15	10	14	26	84	18	27
Not convincing	15	11	23	4	19	13	17	20	23	12	10	13	16	15	10	14	26	84	18	27
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	16	8	21	24	14	15	10	15	9	9	21	35	15	26	12	7	38	0	19	30
Don't believe	12	7	15	6	12	15	10	10	9	3	15	35	12	18	9	1	25	0	17	22
DK/NA	4	1	6	18	2	0	0	5	1	6	5	0	3	8	3	6	13	0	2	8

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	83	89	42	64	85	77	71	69	76	67	72	71	69	63	61	74	70	61	76
Very convincing	40	52	16	22	30	55	48	61	47	41	38	44	45	41	41	40	50	28	31	49
Somewhat convincing	28	31	73	20	34	30	29	11	23	36	29	28	26	27	22	21	24	42	29	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	15	8	11	30	9	0	9	17	23	20	16	14	14	11	13	16	11	13	17	13
Not convincing	15	8	11	30	9	0	9	17	23	20	16	14	14	11	13	16	11	13	17	13
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	16	9	0	29	28	15	14	11	8	4	18	14	15	20	23	23	14	17	22	11
Don't believe	12	8	0	17	8	0	9	11	8	4	15	9	11	15	17	18	10	10	18	7
DK/NA	4	1	0	12	20	15	4	0	0	0	3	5	4	5	7	5	4	7	4	4

Table 90: Q12G. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. We need to improve the reliability and speed of Muni service, invest in electric buses, and make San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike. This will help get cars off the road, reduce air pollution, and make San Francisco a more sustainable city

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	68	90	47	0	68	66	71	73	64	73	69	66	65
Very convincing	40	39	74	19	0	40	39	42	44	37	47	37	37	40
Somewhat convincing	28	29	16	28	0	28	27	29	29	27	26	32	29	25
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	15	16	7	19	0	15	20	11	12	19	15	12	16	21
Not convincing	15	16	7	19	0	15	20	11	12	19	15	12	16	21
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	16	16	3	34	0	16	14	18	15	17	12	19	18	14
Don't believe	12	13	0	15	0	12	12	13	10	14	7	16	15	11
DK/NA	4	3	3	18	0	4	2	6	5	3	5	3	3	3

Table 91: Q12H. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color, and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	82	74	51	80	75	57	74	48	82	70	86	72	66	79	37	63	76	35	70
Very convincing	35	68	42	23	50	41	34	34	19	26	38	50	43	34	46	17	19	44	13	32
Somewhat convincing	30	14	32	28	30	34	23	40	29	56	32	36	29	33	33	20	45	32	22	38
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	19	11	16	27	16	16	28	19	31	8	16	12	14	21	15	27	14	17	34	10
Not convincing	19	11	16	27	16	16	28	19	31	8	16	12	14	21	15	27	14	17	34	10
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	8	10	23	4	9	14	7	20	10	14	2	14	13	6	35	23	7	31	20
Don't believe	11	5	6	19	2	5	9	5	13	0	10	2	10	10	5	32	19	3	28	0
DK/NA	4	2	4	4	2	4	6	2	7	10	4	0	4	3	2	3	4	4	3	20
		Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	81	72	56	28	77	36	67	71	82	26	49	60	70	65	67	64	64	64	76
Very convincing	35	50	38	29	10	45	15	27	35	47	10	16	34	34	35	35	32	33	32	52
Somewhat convincing	30	31	35	27	18	33	21	41	36	35	16	33	26	37	30	31	32	32	32	24
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	19	12	21	26	32	16	30	11	19	15	35	11	25	21	23	15	20	28	21	10
Not convincing	19	12	21	26	32	16	30	11	19	15	35	11	25	21	23	15	20	28	21	10
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	7	7	18	40	7	34	21	10	4	39	40	15	8	12	19	16	7	15	14
Don't believe	11	4	3	14	37	4	31	8	4	2	37	6	13	1	9	13	12	4	11	9
DK/NA	4	2	4	5	3	3	3	14	6	1	2	34	1	7	3	6	4	4	4	5

Table 91: Q12H. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color, and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	70	69	72	62	67	62	63	68	73	75	78	73	71	74	74	72	62	69	72	66
Very convincing	35	42	39	38	38	42	31	32	37	32	40	42	36	28	37	45	43	30	36	43	33
Somewhat convincing	30	29	30	34	24	26	32	31	31	40	35	37	37	44	37	29	29	32	34	29	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	19	15	18	19	30	16	22	21	19	11	17	19	14	8	16	7	16	21	15	15	19
Not convincing	19	15	18	19	30	16	22	21	19	11	17	19	14	8	16	7	16	21	15	15	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	15	12	9	7	17	16	16	13	17	8	3	13	21	10	20	12	16	15	13	16
Don't believe	11	12	9	8	7	8	13	11	8	13	8	2	11	15	10	7	10	11	13	9	12
DK/NA	4	3	3	1	0	8	3	5	5	4	0	1	2	6	0	13	2	6	2	4	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE					TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	78	74	56	32	84	75	60	57	64	80	62	65	67	70	87	80	62	26	95	
Very convincing	35	48	41	17	28	48	42	31	24	31	45	30	33	36	44	0	47	23	12	43	
Somewhat convincing	30	30	33	39	3	36	33	29	32	33	34	32	32	31	26	87	33	39	14	52	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	19	16	17	20	47	7	16	31	28	20	11	25	23	17	19	0	16	14	35	5	
Not convincing	19	16	17	20	47	7	16	31	28	20	11	25	23	17	19	0	16	14	35	5	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	6	9	24	21	9	9	9	16	16	9	13	12	16	10	13	4	24	39	0	
Don't believe	11	3	6	20	19	7	8	6	16	10	8	9	10	12	5	0	3	9	37	0	
DK/NA	4	2	3	4	2	3	1	3	0	7	2	5	2	5	5	13	1	15	2	0	

Table 91: Q12H. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color, and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	77	56	74	54	46	77	74	67	53	58	61	73	56	59	76	70	74	42	38	32
Very convincing	35	42	29	38	30	37	32	43	42	21	34	47	39	30	39	43	15	45	18	23	32
Somewhat convincing	30	35	27	36	24	9	45	32	24	31	24	14	33	26	20	33	54	29	23	15	0
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	19	13	23	16	22	35	17	13	16	27	25	21	15	25	23	17	11	16	30	37	48
Not convincing	19	13	23	16	22	35	17	13	16	27	25	21	15	25	23	17	11	16	30	37	48
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	10	21	10	24	19	6	12	18	20	17	18	12	19	18	7	19	10	28	26	19
Don't believe	11	6	16	6	20	11	5	8	13	16	14	9	8	14	12	4	17	10	26	12	15
DK/NA	4	4	5	4	4	8	1	4	5	4	3	10	4	5	5	4	2	0	2	14	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	61	50	85	66	68	83	44	67	23	66	77	69	68	34	52	43	63	54	81	78
Very convincing	35	30	27	47	35	31	45	28	33	17	55	39	36	39	17	45	33	45	22	42	44
Somewhat convincing	30	31	23	38	31	37	38	16	33	6	11	37	34	30	17	7	10	18	32	40	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	19	22	25	7	22	20	10	26	15	39	31	16	16	13	34	7	49	17	26	13	10
Not convincing	19	22	25	7	22	20	10	26	15	39	31	16	16	13	34	7	49	17	26	13	10
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	17	25	8	13	13	7	30	18	38	3	7	14	19	31	41	9	19	20	6	13
Don't believe	11	12	20	5	8	8	4	27	15	24	0	3	11	17	24	21	7	16	15	2	9
DK/NA	4	4	5	3	5	5	4	3	4	13	3	4	4	1	7	20	2	4	5	4	4

Table 91: Q12H. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color, and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT												PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	78	60	56	71	69	47	66	65	77	85	45	66	65	74	77	40	76	56	48
Very convincing	35	48	29	32	49	37	22	39	36	48	26	21	36	33	40	34	27	76	31	24
Somewhat convincing	30	30	31	24	22	32	25	27	29	29	59	23	30	32	34	44	13	0	25	24
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	19	14	19	21	14	15	47	16	25	2	13	26	19	21	17	12	31	24	21	29
Not convincing	19	14	19	21	14	15	47	16	25	2	13	26	19	21	17	12	31	24	21	29
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	8	21	23	15	16	6	18	11	21	2	29	15	14	9	10	29	0	23	23
Don't believe	11	5	14	2	13	16	6	15	10	9	2	29	11	8	6	4	14	0	18	21
DK/NA	4	2	7	22	2	0	0	3	1	11	0	0	4	7	3	6	15	0	4	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	79	89	48	29	42	71	70	65	75	65	67	66	62	59	56	75	67	54	73
Very convincing	35	49	46	16	14	27	40	43	36	34	35	35	39	34	29	26	46	25	24	43
Somewhat convincing	30	30	43	31	15	14	31	27	29	40	30	32	27	28	30	30	29	42	30	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	19	12	11	23	16	39	22	15	28	21	19	16	15	16	17	21	15	17	25	16
Not convincing	19	12	11	23	16	39	22	15	28	21	19	16	15	16	17	21	15	17	25	16
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	9	0	29	55	19	7	15	8	4	16	17	19	22	24	23	10	15	21	10
Don't believe	11	6	0	16	8	0	5	15	8	4	13	11	12	14	15	14	6	9	17	6
DK/NA	4	3	0	13	47	19	2	0	0	0	3	6	7	9	9	9	3	6	3	5

Table 91: Q12H. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color, and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	66	65	75	59	0	66	65	66	73	58	61	65	72	68
Very convincing	35	35	56	18	0	35	35	36	40	31	35	31	37	42
Somewhat convincing	30	30	19	41	0	30	30	31	33	28	25	34	36	26
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	19	20	23	7	0	19	19	20	16	23	24	21	15	15
Not convincing	19	20	23	7	0	19	19	20	16	23	24	21	15	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	15	3	34	0	15	17	14	11	19	16	15	13	17
Don't believe	11	11	0	15	0	11	14	8	7	15	9	12	9	14
DK/NA	4	3	3	19	0	4	2	6	4	4	7	3	4	2

Table 92: Q12I. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (INFLATION/URGENCY) Continuing to delay maintenance and repairs to Muni buses and our public transportation system will only increase costs in the long run, hurting service and making us a less equitable City. These funds will help the SFMTA repair our deteriorating public transportation system before rising inflation and interest rates make it completely unaffordable

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	83	78	51	84	76	57	75	56	88	74	76	72	69	81	40	74	79	32	58
Very convincing	31	47	35	25	49	35	30	28	9	36	33	49	30	31	49	7	13	38	5	8
Somewhat convincing	37	36	44	26	35	41	28	47	47	52	41	26	42	38	32	33	61	42	27	50
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	6	13	29	12	16	29	12	29	6	17	19	15	21	10	35	18	16	36	21
Not convincing	20	6	13	29	12	16	29	12	29	6	17	19	15	21	10	35	18	16	36	21
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	11	8	20	4	8	13	13	15	7	9	6	13	11	8	25	7	5	32	20
Don't believe	9	7	4	17	2	4	8	11	9	0	6	1	9	8	6	23	0	2	31	5
DK/NA	4	5	4	3	2	4	5	2	5	7	3	5	4	3	3	2	7	4	1	15

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	86	73	50	32	80	37	65	71	83	30	50	64	77	70	64	67	73	68	65
Very convincing	31	53	32	12	4	43	6	10	27	43	6	3	31	43	32	28	30	37	31	24
Somewhat convincing	37	33	41	37	28	37	31	55	44	40	24	47	33	34	38	36	37	36	37	40
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	9	18	32	37	13	36	20	18	13	42	13	24	13	20	19	19	21	19	21
Not convincing	20	9	18	32	37	13	36	20	18	13	42	13	24	13	20	19	19	21	19	21
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	5	9	18	32	7	28	15	10	5	28	37	12	9	10	16	14	6	13	14
Don't believe	9	2	5	14	31	3	26	3	5	3	27	6	11	2	8	11	11	2	10	9
DK/NA	4	3	4	4	1	3	2	12	6	1	0	31	1	7	2	5	3	4	3	5

Table 92: Q12I. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (INFLATION/URGENCY) Continuing to delay maintenance and repairs to Muni buses and our public transportation system will only increase costs in the long run, hurting service and making us a less equitable City. These funds will help the SFMTA repair our deteriorating public transportation system before rising inflation and interest rates make it completely unaffordable

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	69	69	75	58	69	64	61	74	73	66	76	72	67	83	64	74	66	75	72	70
Very convincing	31	41	33	30	38	31	31	26	33	35	29	33	33	33	38	39	33	28	36	33	32
Somewhat convincing	37	29	37	45	20	38	33	34	41	38	36	44	39	34	45	25	41	38	39	39	38
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	17	20	18	31	14	24	25	16	15	27	17	16	18	10	10	14	22	16	14	19
Not convincing	20	17	20	18	31	14	24	25	16	15	27	17	16	18	10	10	14	22	16	14	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	14	10	7	11	18	12	14	10	12	8	7	12	15	7	26	12	12	10	14	11
Don't believe	9	12	7	5	9	9	10	10	5	8	8	6	10	9	7	14	10	8	7	10	7
DK/NA	4	2	3	2	2	8	2	5	5	3	0	1	2	6	0	13	2	5	3	4	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	77	72	67	50	78	78	63	68	73	78	69	68	68	72	73	84	57	29	75	
Very convincing	31	41	35	19	23	39	28	26	28	36	34	31	29	36	24	0	45	12	5	20	
Somewhat convincing	37	35	37	47	27	39	49	37	40	37	44	38	39	32	49	73	39	45	25	55	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	17	18	17	38	13	8	29	23	12	11	20	24	17	17	15	11	26	39	25	
Not convincing	20	17	18	17	38	13	8	29	23	12	11	20	24	17	17	15	11	26	39	25	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	7	9	16	12	9	15	8	9	15	12	11	8	16	11	13	5	17	32	0	
Don't believe	9	4	5	14	12	6	11	5	9	9	8	8	5	12	8	0	4	3	31	0	
DK/NA	4	3	4	3	0	3	3	2	0	5	3	4	2	4	3	13	1	14	1	0	

Table 92: Q12I. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (INFLATION/URGENCY) Continuing to delay maintenance and repairs to Muni buses and our public transportation system will only increase costs in the long run, hurting service and making us a less equitable City. These funds will help the SFMTA repair our deteriorating public transportation system before rising inflation and interest rates make it completely unaffordable

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	74	63	73	59	55	81	73	69	58	59	60	74	58	59	75	70	72	59	62	65
Very convincing	31	30	32	34	24	26	29	36	35	20	35	28	34	26	33	39	16	35	16	36	32
Somewhat convincing	37	44	31	39	35	29	52	37	33	38	24	32	40	32	26	36	54	36	43	26	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	17	19	19	19	30	8	19	15	29	25	22	15	27	24	19	17	13	22	24	20
Not convincing	20	17	19	19	19	30	8	19	15	29	25	22	15	27	24	19	17	13	22	24	20
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	8	17	8	22	15	11	8	16	13	16	18	11	15	17	6	13	16	19	14	15
Don't believe	9	5	13	5	19	8	10	4	11	12	14	7	8	12	12	2	12	13	18	0	15
DK/NA	4	3	4	4	3	6	1	4	5	1	2	11	4	3	5	4	1	3	1	14	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	73	51	77	69	72	77	53	68	41	68	75	70	72	41	75	45	66	63	79	77
Very convincing	31	36	27	32	28	34	36	29	19	29	24	34	35	32	13	47	16	41	28	28	34
Somewhat convincing	37	37	24	45	41	38	41	24	49	12	44	42	35	39	28	29	29	25	35	51	43
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	14	26	13	24	16	18	22	13	28	32	18	19	9	34	0	45	19	19	8	18
Not convincing	20	14	26	13	24	16	18	22	13	28	32	18	19	9	34	0	45	19	19	8	18
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	13	22	9	7	11	5	24	19	31	0	6	11	20	24	25	10	15	17	13	5
Don't believe	9	9	18	6	4	6	2	23	14	17	0	3	8	18	20	5	10	13	12	8	3
DK/NA	4	4	4	4	3	5	3	1	5	13	0	4	3	2	5	20	0	2	6	5	2

Table 92: Q12I. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (INFLATION/URGENCY) Continuing to delay maintenance and repairs to Muni buses and our public transportation system will only increase costs in the long run, hurting service and making us a less equitable City. These funds will help the SFMTA repair our deteriorating public transportation system before rising inflation and interest rates make it completely unaffordable

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120	40
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	81	64	55	77	65	67	68	70	74	70	47	68	64	72	83	60	16	60	56
Very convincing	31	48	28	34	39	26	22	38	31	28	25	17	31	28	36	31	24	16	25	19
Somewhat convincing	37	33	36	22	38	39	44	30	39	46	45	31	37	35	36	52	35	0	35	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	14	18	13	18	18	30	19	21	13	26	24	19	24	20	12	24	84	15	30
Not convincing	20	14	18	13	18	18	30	19	21	13	26	24	19	24	20	12	24	84	15	30
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	4	18	31	5	17	3	13	10	13	4	29	13	12	8	5	16	0	24	13
Don't believe	9	3	12	13	3	14	3	10	7	6	4	29	10	8	5	0	3	0	21	11
DK/NA	4	1	6	18	2	3	0	2	3	6	0	0	3	4	3	5	13	0	3	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167	245
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	86	91	49	29	76	76	57	67	58	68	70	66	62	56	56	73	67	60	73
Very convincing	31	51	30	22	15	41	32	26	39	34	28	33	39	36	30	29	39	20	23	35
Somewhat convincing	37	35	61	26	14	35	44	31	28	24	39	37	27	26	26	28	34	47	37	38
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	9	9	23	16	0	13	28	25	36	20	15	16	18	22	22	16	20	24	17
Not convincing	20	9	9	23	16	0	13	28	25	36	20	15	16	18	22	22	16	20	24	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	5	0	28	55	24	11	16	8	6	12	15	19	20	22	21	11	12	16	10
Don't believe	9	3	0	20	8	14	3	16	8	2	10	11	14	14	16	17	8	6	14	5
DK/NA	4	1	0	8	47	10	8	0	0	5	2	4	5	6	6	4	3	6	2	5

Table 92: Q12I. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (INFLATION/URGENCY) Continuing to delay maintenance and repairs to Muni buses and our public transportation system will only increase costs in the long run, hurting service and making us a less equitable City. These funds will help the SFMTA repair our deteriorating public transportation system before rising inflation and interest rates make it completely unaffordable

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	68	82	40	0	67	68	67	71	64	64	64	72	74
Very convincing	31	29	52	32	0	31	34	28	34	28	32	24	34	37
Somewhat convincing	37	39	30	9	0	37	34	39	38	36	32	40	38	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	19	15	28	0	20	19	21	17	22	21	23	14	17
Not convincing	20	19	15	28	0	20	19	21	17	22	21	23	14	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	12	3	31	0	13	13	12	11	14	15	13	14	9
Don't believe	9	10	0	15	0	9	11	8	7	11	7	11	11	8
DK/NA	4	3	3	17	0	4	3	4	4	3	7	2	3	2

Table 93: Q12J. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. :
 (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable, fast service that is reliable systemwide. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability and reduce delays on the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah and K Ingleside, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and SF State/Parkmerced

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	91	82	56	88	82	59	82	68	88	75	76	76	74	83	42	73	88	41	53
Very convincing	33	50	38	24	51	39	16	28	16	39	39	42	29	35	45	10	9	44	11	12
Somewhat convincing	39	41	44	32	36	42	44	55	52	49	36	34	47	39	38	33	64	43	30	41
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	4	9	20	8	12	19	7	13	2	14	16	8	15	11	24	4	7	23	19
Not convincing	13	4	9	20	8	12	19	7	13	2	14	16	8	15	11	24	4	7	23	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	5	8	25	4	7	21	10	19	10	10	8	16	11	6	34	23	5	36	28
Don't believe	10	0	4	21	1	2	16	4	12	0	6	3	9	8	3	31	15	1	32	5
DK/NA	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	7	7	10	4	5	8	3	3	3	8	4	4	23
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF	PRB/LN	PRB/LN	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	SOFT	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	ON	ON SOC	TOTAL	NO/	YES	NO/HAS	TOTAL	NO/NO
		YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO		POS	YES	NO		NEWS	MED	YES	DK		ACCES	ACCES	ACCES
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	87	84	49	39	86	42	61	79	85	41	52	70	74	72	72	67	82	69	85
Very convincing	33	50	39	17	7	45	10	11	32	44	10	9	30	30	28	38	30	30	30	47
Somewhat convincing	39	37	45	32	32	41	32	50	46	42	31	44	40	43	44	34	38	52	39	38
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	9	9	26	23	9	24	13	10	10	23	8	17	16	16	10	14	10	14	8
Not convincing	13	9	9	26	23	9	24	13	10	10	23	8	17	16	16	10	14	10	14	8
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	4	7	25	39	6	35	26	11	4	36	39	13	11	12	18	18	8	17	7
Don't believe	10	2	1	20	36	2	31	9	3	2	34	4	12	2	10	10	13	4	12	2
DK/NA	5	3	5	4	3	4	3	17	8	2	2	35	1	8	2	9	5	4	5	5

Table 93: Q12J. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable, fast service that is reliable systemwide. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability and reduce delays on the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah and K Ingleside, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and SF State/Parkmerced

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	75	77	82	77	74	65	70	74	69	81	89	76	59	87	74	80	70	71	79	70
Very convincing	33	41	39	37	47	39	28	31	31	33	36	45	35	20	52	30	35	33	34	34	33
Somewhat convincing	39	33	38	46	30	35	37	38	43	36	45	44	41	38	34	43	45	37	37	45	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	11	12	11	14	11	17	15	13	12	11	10	11	13	10	0	11	11	17	10	14
Not convincing	13	11	12	11	14	11	17	15	13	12	11	10	11	13	10	0	11	11	17	10	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	14	10	7	9	15	19	15	13	19	8	2	13	28	3	26	9	19	12	12	16
Don't believe	10	11	6	5	7	8	15	9	7	14	8	0	10	22	0	14	8	12	6	9	9
DK/NA	5	3	4	2	2	8	4	6	6	5	0	2	3	6	3	13	1	7	6	3	7
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	78	76	68	65	77	83	73	73	73	80	73	72	71	78	79	88	60	37	66	
Very convincing	33	41	38	24	18	39	36	26	36	32	38	30	32	33	35	0	48	7	12	8	
Somewhat convincing	39	37	38	43	46	37	46	47	38	41	42	43	40	38	43	79	40	53	25	58	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	14	12	14	12	13	7	18	12	8	10	13	19	11	11	8	9	12	26	20	
Not convincing	13	14	12	14	12	13	7	18	12	8	10	13	19	11	11	8	9	12	26	20	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	8	12	18	23	10	10	9	15	19	10	15	10	18	11	13	3	28	38	15	
Don't believe	10	5	8	12	21	6	8	5	15	14	7	10	5	12	7	0	1	11	35	11	
DK/NA	5	3	4	6	2	5	3	3	0	6	4	4	5	5	4	13	2	17	2	4	

Table 93: Q12J. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable, fast service that is reliable systemwide. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability and reduce delays on the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah and K Ingleside, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and SF State/Parkmerced

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	78	67	76	68	52	82	79	71	66	56	73	78	64	62	75	76	84	66	37	61
Very convincing	33	34	32	36	30	17	40	43	26	21	30	37	37	27	32	41	23	35	30	2	24
Somewhat convincing	39	44	35	41	38	34	42	36	46	45	26	36	41	37	30	34	54	48	36	35	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	10	14	13	11	19	9	7	12	18	25	8	9	18	19	15	10	6	15	24	16
Not convincing	13	10	14	13	11	19	9	7	12	18	25	8	9	18	19	15	10	6	15	24	16
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	12	19	11	21	29	9	13	16	16	19	19	13	18	19	10	13	11	19	39	23
Don't believe	10	7	14	5	18	19	7	6	12	13	16	6	8	13	12	5	7	10	17	26	19
DK/NA	5	5	5	5	3	10	2	7	4	3	3	13	5	5	7	5	6	1	2	14	4

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	77	56	79	77	75	79	65	74	18	83	80	71	77	55	52	51	72	66	85	74
Very convincing	33	41	22	34	34	35	36	34	30	5	28	38	32	40	16	0	26	39	30	32	36
Somewhat convincing	39	36	34	45	42	40	42	32	44	13	54	42	39	37	39	52	26	33	37	53	38
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	9	20	8	13	15	10	10	10	28	11	10	18	8	17	7	25	10	16	8	11
Not convincing	13	9	20	8	13	15	10	10	10	28	11	10	18	8	17	7	25	10	16	8	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	14	24	13	11	10	11	25	16	54	6	10	11	15	28	41	23	18	18	7	15
Don't believe	10	9	20	8	5	5	5	23	12	36	3	4	7	15	22	21	18	16	11	4	8
DK/NA	5	5	5	5	6	5	6	2	4	18	3	6	5	1	5	20	5	2	6	4	7

Table 93: Q12J. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable, fast service that is reliable systemwide. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability and reduce delays on the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah and K Ingleside, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and SF State/Parkmerced

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120	40
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	79	51	62	77	75	87	74	76	73	76	59	74	60	76	82	34	76	71	59
Very convincing	33	29	25	26	43	44	17	47	43	36	22	22	34	26	37	28	9	76	31	22
Somewhat convincing	39	50	26	35	34	31	70	27	33	37	54	36	40	34	39	54	25	0	40	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	11	30	6	4	12	11	11	14	13	18	12	11	25	14	8	22	24	10	18
Not convincing	13	11	30	6	4	12	11	11	14	13	18	12	11	25	14	8	22	24	10	18
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	10	19	33	19	14	2	16	9	14	6	29	15	16	10	10	44	0	19	23
Don't believe	10	4	11	10	17	12	2	11	7	6	5	29	10	8	6	2	29	0	17	17
DK/NA	5	6	8	22	2	1	0	5	3	8	1	0	4	8	5	8	15	0	3	6

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167	245
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	77	59	44	38	49	85	79	80	78	74	72	67	61	63	62	77	74	66	76
Very convincing	33	31	16	20	9	15	36	59	34	38	33	39	37	31	29	20	43	28	23	38
Somewhat convincing	39	47	43	24	30	35	50	20	46	40	41	33	30	30	35	42	34	46	43	38
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	13	41	25	7	13	5	15	12	16	11	10	12	14	13	17	8	12	15	12
Not convincing	13	13	41	25	7	13	5	15	12	16	11	10	12	14	13	17	8	12	15	12
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	10	0	31	55	38	9	6	8	6	15	18	21	25	23	21	15	14	19	12
Don't believe	10	3	0	19	8	14	5	4	8	4	12	12	14	16	15	14	10	8	15	6
DK/NA	5	7	0	12	47	24	4	2	0	2	3	6	7	9	9	8	4	7	4	6

Table 93: Q12J. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable, fast service that is reliable systemwide. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability and reduce delays on the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah and K Ingleside, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and SF State/Parkmerced

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	72	97	44	0	72	70	74	76	68	73	73	64	76
Very convincing	33	31	77	14	0	33	32	34	39	27	35	25	28	46
Somewhat convincing	39	41	21	30	0	39	38	40	38	41	38	47	36	29
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	14	0	17	0	13	14	12	9	17	12	14	18	8
Not convincing	13	14	0	17	0	13	14	12	9	17	12	14	18	8
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	15	14	3	39	0	15	16	14	15	15	15	13	18	16
Don't believe	10	10	0	22	0	10	12	8	9	11	7	11	10	13
DK/NA	5	4	3	17	0	5	4	6	5	5	8	2	7	3

Table 94: Q12K. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. :
 (FACILITIES) Some Muni bus yards were built over a hundred years ago and will not withstand a major earthquake, which could interrupt service indefinitely. Bus yards are too small and outdated to accommodate our modern fleet, which slows down maintenance and service on a daily basis. Additional funds will help upgrade these sites to support reliable Muni service and a modern fleet of cleaner, healthier, battery electric buses

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47	23
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	90	77	51	78	77	53	73	73	78	72	79	75	68	83	46	61	79	29	56
Very convincing	30	49	34	22	48	35	29	17	15	56	33	37	29	31	41	10	12	39	7	26
Somewhat convincing	38	41	43	28	30	42	24	56	58	22	39	42	46	37	41	35	48	40	21	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	8	15	31	17	17	38	18	15	11	18	17	11	24	13	28	32	16	37	29
Not convincing	21	8	15	31	17	17	38	18	15	11	18	17	11	24	13	28	32	16	37	29
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	12	2	8	19	5	6	10	9	12	12	10	5	14	8	4	27	7	5	34	15
Don't believe	8	0	3	17	3	2	4	7	12	0	8	3	11	6	1	25	3	1	33	0
DK/NA	3	2	4	2	2	3	5	2	0	12	2	2	2	3	3	2	4	3	1	15
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366	72
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	87	73	49	35	81	39	58	70	81	34	56	69	60	68	67	65	68	65	81
Very convincing	30	50	27	14	7	40	9	21	26	40	7	17	28	32	28	32	27	38	29	37
Somewhat convincing	38	36	46	34	28	41	30	37	44	41	27	39	41	28	40	35	37	30	37	43
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	9	22	41	28	15	32	30	24	16	35	15	21	27	21	20	22	26	23	10
Not convincing	21	9	22	41	28	15	32	30	24	16	35	15	21	27	21	20	22	26	23	10
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	12	4	5	11	37	4	30	12	7	3	31	29	10	13	11	12	13	6	12	10
Don't believe	8	1	2	11	35	1	28	1	2	1	29	5	9	4	9	8	10	6	9	4
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	2	3	2	10	5	1	2	25	1	9	2	5	3	0	3	5

Table 94: Q12K. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FACILITIES) Some Muni bus yards were built over a hundred years ago and will not withstand a major earthquake, which could interrupt service indefinitely. Bus yards are too small and outdated to accommodate our modern fleet, which slows down maintenance and service on a daily basis. Additional funds will help upgrade these sites to support reliable Muni service and a modern fleet of cleaner, healthier, battery electric buses

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	64	73	72	66	71	62	62	68	71	74	78	72	70	70	77	74	65	65	75	65
Very convincing	30	37	32	30	34	37	26	24	32	32	27	37	31	31	32	39	32	30	31	33	30
Somewhat convincing	38	27	41	42	32	34	36	38	36	38	47	41	41	39	38	38	42	35	34	42	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	21	18	21	30	12	26	23	20	22	10	18	19	19	28	7	16	23	25	14	24
Not convincing	21	21	18	21	30	12	26	23	20	22	10	18	19	19	28	7	16	23	25	14	24
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	12	14	10	7	4	17	12	15	12	7	16	4	9	10	2	16	10	12	10	11	11
Don't believe	8	11	7	6	4	9	10	10	7	5	16	2	7	8	2	11	9	7	7	9	7
DK/NA	3	4	3	1	0	8	2	5	4	2	0	2	2	3	0	5	1	5	3	2	4
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	69	76	66	23	86	73	58	62	65	80	62	77	64	66	79	82	65	29	76	
Very convincing	30	34	33	25	14	44	29	23	36	28	37	27	30	31	30	6	42	15	8	20	
Somewhat convincing	38	35	43	42	9	42	45	35	27	37	43	35	47	33	36	73	40	49	21	55	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	21	17	19	59	11	12	35	16	22	11	27	18	22	18	8	15	22	35	23	
Not convincing	21	21	17	19	59	11	12	35	16	22	11	27	18	22	18	8	15	22	35	23	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	12	11	7	15	19	3	15	7	21	13	9	11	4	14	16	13	3	14	36	2	
Don't believe	8	7	5	11	19	2	13	4	21	7	7	7	2	10	12	0	1	2	34	0	
DK/NA	3	4	2	4	0	1	2	3	0	6	2	4	2	4	4	13	1	12	2	2	

Table 94: Q12K. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FACILITIES) Some Muni bus yards were built over a hundred years ago and will not withstand a major earthquake, which could interrupt service indefinitely. Bus yards are too small and outdated to accommodate our modern fleet, which slows down maintenance and service on a daily basis. Additional funds will help upgrade these sites to support reliable Muni service and a modern fleet of cleaner, healthier, battery electric buses

	Q24 GENDER		PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY									
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	72	65	74	59	46	79	71	62	62	65	64	71	63	64	75	73	67	56	54	16
Very convincing	30	31	33	33	24	27	35	39	25	16	32	41	33	26	36	34	25	31	25	12	16
Somewhat convincing	38	41	32	41	35	19	44	33	37	46	32	23	38	38	29	40	48	37	31	42	0
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	21	19	18	22	37	17	19	26	20	21	26	20	21	23	18	20	20	23	20	69
Not convincing	21	21	19	18	22	37	17	19	26	20	21	26	20	21	23	18	20	20	23	20	69
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	12	7	16	8	18	17	4	10	12	18	14	10	9	16	13	7	7	12	21	26	15
Don't believe	8	5	12	5	15	10	3	5	7	16	13	5	5	13	10	4	5	10	19	12	15
DK/NA	3	2	5	3	3	6	1	5	5	2	2	5	4	3	3	3	2	3	2	14	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	73	55	70	74	74	76	58	64	27	63	73	76	67	48	68	35	71	62	77	68
Very convincing	30	39	25	33	28	36	35	33	17	8	44	36	29	29	18	22	29	46	27	25	35
Somewhat convincing	38	34	30	37	46	38	41	25	46	19	19	37	47	38	30	46	6	26	35	51	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	18	20	22	19	18	17	16	27	42	34	20	15	23	22	8	52	11	23	18	24
Not convincing	21	18	20	22	19	18	17	16	27	42	34	20	15	23	22	8	52	11	23	18	24
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	12	9	25	8	6	8	7	27	9	31	3	7	9	10	30	25	13	18	15	5	8
Don't believe	8	4	20	5	6	4	5	23	7	18	3	4	6	7	27	5	13	16	9	4	6
DK/NA	3	4	5	3	1	4	2	3	2	13	0	3	3	4	3	20	0	2	6	2	3

Table 94: Q12K. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FACILITIES) Some Muni bus yards were built over a hundred years ago and will not withstand a major earthquake, which could interrupt service indefinitely. Bus yards are too small and outdated to accommodate our modern fleet, which slows down maintenance and service on a daily basis. Additional funds will help upgrade these sites to support reliable Muni service and a modern fleet of cleaner, healthier, battery electric buses

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120	40
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	76	64	76	72	70	56	66	59	79	76	53	68	66	75	76	50	61	61	50
Very convincing	30	43	27	35	52	31	17	37	23	26	21	21	31	26	33	37	27	61	26	18
Somewhat convincing	38	33	37	42	20	39	38	29	35	54	55	31	37	41	41	39	23	0	35	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	18	17	8	21	16	39	26	32	11	11	23	21	19	18	16	23	39	21	35
Not convincing	21	18	17	8	21	16	39	26	32	11	11	23	21	19	18	16	23	39	21	35
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	12	7	19	15	7	13	6	8	9	9	13	25	11	14	7	9	27	0	19	15
Don't believe	8	3	11	2	4	13	6	6	7	3	11	25	8	10	5	5	14	0	15	11
DK/NA	3	4	8	13	2	0	0	2	2	6	1	0	3	4	3	4	13	0	3	4

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167	245
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	79	89	52	72	76	81	59	81	66	66	66	64	60	54	58	70	71	60	72
Very convincing	30	48	15	26	9	25	48	25	31	29	28	32	36	29	24	18	38	27	20	36
Somewhat convincing	38	31	74	26	64	50	34	34	50	37	38	34	28	30	31	39	32	44	40	36
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	15	11	21	8	14	7	27	11	28	23	22	23	24	27	32	17	19	26	18
Not convincing	21	15	11	21	8	14	7	27	11	28	23	22	23	24	27	32	17	19	26	18
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	12	6	0	28	20	10	12	14	8	6	11	12	13	17	18	11	13	10	14	10
Don't believe	8	1	0	16	0	0	10	11	8	4	9	7	9	11	11	5	10	6	12	6
DK/NA	3	5	0	12	20	10	2	3	0	2	2	4	4	6	7	5	3	4	2	4

Table 94: Q12K. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (FACILITIES) Some Muni bus yards were built over a hundred years ago and will not withstand a major earthquake, which could interrupt service indefinitely. Bus yards are too small and outdated to accommodate our modern fleet, which slows down maintenance and service on a daily basis. Additional funds will help upgrade these sites to support reliable Muni service and a modern fleet of cleaner, healthier, battery electric buses

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	68	66	83	77	0	68	70	66	71	65	68	67	68	67
Very convincing	30	30	44	18	0	30	30	31	35	26	32	22	30	42
Somewhat convincing	38	36	39	59	0	38	40	35	36	39	36	46	37	25
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	22	9	10	0	21	19	22	17	24	19	20	18	25
Not convincing	21	22	9	10	0	21	19	22	17	24	19	20	18	25
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	12	12	7	13	0	12	11	12	12	11	12	12	14	8
Don't believe	8	9	0	8	0	8	9	8	8	8	7	10	10	6
DK/NA	3	3	7	5	0	3	3	4	4	3	5	2	5	2

Table 95: Q12L. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	442	45	268	161	172	334	51	53	32	20	203	30	102	310	136	69	16	151	47
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	89	74	56	84	78	70	72	54	85	70	77	72	67	90	31	43	76	28	72
Very convincing	27	45	33	18	50	33	27	15	18	43	29	47	26	28	40	0	5	37	8	21
Somewhat convincing	40	44	41	38	34	44	43	57	36	42	41	29	46	39	50	31	38	39	20	52
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	6	16	17	11	12	14	17	23	9	17	14	9	19	3	35	31	17	25	15
Not convincing	17	6	16	17	11	12	14	17	23	9	17	14	9	19	3	35	31	17	25	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	5	10	27	6	10	16	12	23	7	12	9	19	14	7	34	26	8	47	13
Don't believe	13	0	4	25	4	6	10	6	23	0	8	6	15	10	4	34	17	2	44	5
DK/NA	4	5	6	2	2	4	6	5	0	7	4	3	4	4	3	0	9	6	3	8

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	442	156	131	34	82	287	116	39	169	298	108	35	142	42	245	197	317	49	366
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	89	74	48	23	82	30	61	71	85	23	49	72	73	71	62	64	70	65	77
Very convincing	27	49	26	7	2	38	3	14	23	39	3	2	35	20	30	23	23	35	25	37
Somewhat convincing	40	41	48	41	21	44	27	46	47	46	20	47	37	53	41	38	41	34	40	39
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	7	14	32	30	10	30	21	16	10	35	15	14	19	17	17	17	25	18	9
Not convincing	17	7	14	32	30	10	30	21	16	10	35	15	14	19	17	17	17	25	18	9
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	4	12	20	47	7	39	18	13	5	42	36	14	8	13	22	19	5	17	14
Don't believe	13	1	5	20	46	3	38	10	6	2	41	13	13	1	11	14	14	5	13	11
DK/NA	4	3	7	0	2	5	1	8	7	3	1	24	1	7	2	7	5	0	4	4

Table 95: Q12L. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	106	282	152	39	98	247	168	203	108	30	75	225	67	40	22	129	145	120	152	265
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	72	73	74	80	63	62	62	69	72	71	83	73	70	75	76	77	67	65	77	66
Very convincing	27	40	33	34	39	32	23	23	33	22	21	35	25	14	33	49	33	21	30	35	25
Somewhat convincing	40	32	41	41	41	31	38	40	36	50	51	48	48	56	41	26	44	46	35	42	41
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	15	14	17	16	12	18	19	14	13	13	10	14	14	13	6	13	16	18	12	17
Not convincing	17	15	14	17	16	12	18	19	14	13	13	10	14	14	13	6	13	16	18	12	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	13	13	8	3	25	20	18	17	15	15	7	14	16	13	19	10	17	18	11	17
Don't believe	13	9	9	7	1	15	17	14	12	12	15	4	12	13	11	14	9	11	12	9	12
DK/NA	4	3	4	1	2	10	3	4	6	2	0	2	2	3	2	5	1	5	6	2	6
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	100	144	118	17	89	82	81	17	106	171	204	124	218	73	9	269	79	94	29	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	71	74	60	68	91	75	57	61	65	83	61	78	64	67	87	85	61	20	83	
Very convincing	27	30	32	16	36	35	30	32	35	20	32	26	26	29	29	11	41	7	4	17	
Somewhat convincing	40	41	43	44	32	56	46	25	26	45	51	35	51	35	38	76	44	54	16	66	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	18	14	17	24	3	8	34	17	17	5	24	16	16	18	0	9	20	34	17	
Not convincing	17	18	14	17	24	3	8	34	17	17	5	24	16	16	18	0	9	20	34	17	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	11	11	23	8	6	17	9	23	18	12	15	6	20	15	13	6	19	46	0	
Don't believe	13	7	7	20	8	5	15	7	17	11	10	10	5	16	7	0	3	8	45	0	
DK/NA	4	4	5	3	0	1	2	2	6	7	1	5	2	4	7	13	3	11	2	0	

Table 95: Q12L. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	34	252	190	93	188	60	55	53	14	9
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	70	64	71	63	49	84	69	68	53	61	69	73	58	64	73	66	72	59	52	61
Very convincing	27	24	30	29	24	20	24	34	20	18	29	49	27	27	37	31	17	29	22	12	24
Somewhat convincing	40	46	34	41	39	30	60	35	48	35	31	20	46	31	27	42	49	43	37	40	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	15	16	16	16	24	11	15	11	29	21	4	13	22	14	17	11	10	21	28	24
Not convincing	17	15	16	16	16	24	11	15	11	29	21	4	13	22	14	17	11	10	21	28	24
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	14	20	13	22	27	5	16	21	18	19	27	14	20	22	10	22	18	20	20	15
Don't believe	13	11	15	9	19	19	4	10	16	15	17	21	10	16	19	5	21	14	19	6	15
DK/NA	4	4	4	4	3	9	1	7	5	3	2	6	5	3	3	5	1	4	2	14	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	106	93	130	87	113	142	71	58	15	16	163	112	79	58	10	21	65	130	81	125
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	73	54	72	67	72	71	59	71	33	65	72	69	76	45	68	40	73	62	79	69
Very convincing	27	32	28	22	27	33	25	28	22	17	23	27	33	27	19	22	19	42	25	31	22
Somewhat convincing	40	41	26	50	40	38	45	31	49	16	42	45	36	49	25	47	21	30	37	49	47
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	13	19	12	21	13	17	18	10	30	17	16	17	7	27	7	32	10	19	14	15
Not convincing	17	13	19	12	21	13	17	18	10	30	17	16	17	7	27	7	32	10	19	14	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	14	27	16	12	15	12	23	19	37	18	12	14	17	28	25	28	17	19	7	16
Don't believe	13	9	22	10	11	11	7	22	17	19	18	7	11	16	24	5	25	15	13	5	11
DK/NA	4	4	5	5	1	5	5	1	3	18	0	5	3	1	4	20	3	2	6	2	5

Table 95: Q12L. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT												PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	386	56	227	34	16	5	120
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	78	67	60	58	70	58	60	66	79	81	53	69	53	71	78	48	16	65	49
Very convincing	27	41	31	21	35	25	22	18	26	30	23	25	28	22	30	34	27	16	25	10
Somewhat convincing	40	37	36	39	23	45	36	42	40	49	59	29	41	31	41	44	21	0	40	39
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	10	16	9	8	20	40	22	19	10	9	16	16	23	17	12	30	24	12	24
Not convincing	17	10	16	9	8	20	40	22	19	10	9	16	16	23	17	12	30	24	12	24
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	12	17	31	34	11	2	18	14	11	10	31	16	24	12	10	22	61	22	27
Don't believe	13	7	11	17	25	9	2	15	13	4	10	31	13	12	9	5	9	61	19	16
DK/NA	4	6	6	14	9	2	0	3	2	6	0	0	3	11	3	5	13	0	3	11

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	442	35	9	32	6	11	32	26	12	20	258	198	144	106	82	52	155	62	167
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	79	100	53	72	65	65	71	72	70	65	67	65	59	52	54	71	70	59	74
Very convincing	27	44	15	31	9	24	24	32	30	23	25	25	26	23	18	17	35	15	20	31
Somewhat convincing	40	34	85	23	64	41	41	39	42	47	39	42	39	36	33	38	36	54	38	43
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	9	0	21	0	6	19	29	13	18	17	13	12	15	18	20	13	10	22	14
Not convincing	17	9	0	21	0	6	19	29	13	18	17	13	12	15	18	20	13	10	22	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	12	0	26	28	29	16	0	14	12	18	20	23	26	30	25	15	20	20	12
Don't believe	13	6	0	18	8	14	11	0	8	10	15	15	17	20	23	19	12	17	16	7
DK/NA	4	6	0	8	20	15	4	0	7	2	3	5	6	6	7	6	4	3	4	4

Table 95: Q12L. After hearing each statement in support of the proposed bond measure, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal. : (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	395	24	23	0	442	221	221	217	225	118	156	78	89
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	67	65	86	73	0	67	68	65	71	63	66	69	69	62
Very convincing	27	26	59	11	0	27	25	29	30	25	28	25	30	27
Somewhat convincing	40	39	26	62	0	40	43	36	41	38	38	44	40	34
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	17	18	7	0	0	17	15	18	12	21	18	18	14	15
Not convincing	17	18	7	0	0	17	15	18	12	21	18	18	14	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	17	7	27	0	17	17	17	17	17	16	14	16	24
Don't believe	13	13	0	18	0	13	14	11	13	12	10	12	11	18
DK/NA	4	4	7	9	0	4	3	6	4	5	6	2	5	5

Table 96: Q13C. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks. Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	442	41	273	155	150	314	31	54	30	21	206	40	111	297	262	136	44	0	0	0
TOTAL YES	62	87	77	38	90	79	51	78	44	91	64	72	63	62	94	13	20	0	0	0
Definitely yes	36	56	48	18	59	49	24	54	26	63	37	34	35	39	58	6	5	0	0	0
Probably yes	19	20	22	14	26	23	17	19	4	15	22	39	24	18	29	4	8	0	0	0
Undecided, lean yes	7	12	6	6	5	7	10	5	14	13	5	0	4	5	8	4	7	0	0	0
TOTAL NO	29	8	15	51	8	11	34	19	44	2	23	22	28	28	4	83	10	0	0	0
Undecided, lean no	3	0	2	6	1	2	3	0	10	0	4	0	2	4	1	8	4	0	0	0
Probably no	5	5	3	9	2	4	0	4	19	0	4	3	5	5	2	10	4	0	0	0
Definitely no	20	3	10	36	5	5	31	15	14	2	15	19	21	19	1	65	2	0	0	0
DK/NA	9	5	8	11	3	10	15	3	12	7	13	6	10	10	2	5	70	0	0	0
DK/NA	9	5	8	11	3	10	15	3	12	7	13	6	10	10	2	5	70	0	0	0
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	442	141	121	39	97	262	136	44	165	273	127	42	146	38	243	199	299	55	353	84
TOTAL YES	62	97	92	21	10	94	13	20	73	100	0	0	57	83	64	59	57	85	62	67
Definitely yes	36	91	19	0	8	58	6	5	15	59	0	0	37	60	41	30	34	45	36	40
Probably yes	19	4	58	10	1	29	4	8	45	31	0	0	17	21	19	19	16	23	17	27
Undecided, lean yes	7	2	14	11	1	8	4	7	12	11	0	0	3	3	4	10	6	18	8	0
TOTAL NO	29	3	5	67	89	4	83	10	7	0	100	0	33	14	28	29	32	9	29	25
Undecided, lean no	3	0	2	27	0	1	8	4	2	0	11	0	2	0	2	5	3	3	3	4
Probably no	5	1	4	31	2	2	10	4	4	0	17	0	6	5	5	5	5	0	4	7
Definitely no	20	1	0	8	87	1	65	2	0	0	71	0	26	9	22	19	24	6	21	14
DK/NA	9	1	3	12	1	2	5	70	21	0	0	100	10	3	8	11	11	6	10	9
DK/NA	9	1	3	12	1	2	5	70	21	0	0	100	10	3	8	11	11	6	10	9

Table 96: Q13C. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks. Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	108	276	143	48	85	236	182	199	108	30	68	218	67	41	18	129	157	108	147	265
TOTAL YES	62	77	70	69	67	65	54	63	72	66	66	61	63	63	71	66	63	67	66	63	67
Definitely yes	36	51	43	44	43	45	31	38	43	34	50	45	39	23	53	29	36	41	41	35	41
Probably yes	19	17	22	21	17	18	16	23	22	23	16	13	18	27	16	11	19	21	21	18	21
Undecided, lean yes	7	8	6	4	7	1	7	3	7	9	0	4	6	13	2	27	7	5	4	10	5
TOTAL NO	29	19	22	21	31	26	37	29	22	26	24	25	27	29	20	24	29	24	29	28	26
Undecided, lean no	3	1	3	2	0	1	3	2	3	3	0	7	4	3	3	17	2	3	3	4	3
Probably no	5	3	3	3	9	6	6	6	5	9	6	6	7	7	11	0	3	4	10	3	6
Definitely no	20	15	16	17	22	19	28	21	15	14	18	12	17	19	6	7	23	16	17	21	17
DK/NA	9	4	8	9	2	9	9	8	6	8	11	14	10	8	9	10	9	9	4	9	7
DK/NA	9	4	8	9	2	9	9	8	6	8	11	14	10	8	9	10	9	9	4	9	7
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	442	118	129	111	19	83	78	76	21	104	161	201	115	236	60	9	247	83	112	27	
TOTAL YES	62	84	71	44	51	75	64	69	69	68	70	69	70	66	55	37	100	32	0	100	
Definitely yes	36	50	44	28	29	45	32	41	50	44	39	44	43	36	41	21	61	12	0	37	
Probably yes	19	27	22	13	21	16	29	21	14	20	22	19	18	24	11	16	30	10	0	33	
Undecided, lean yes	7	7	5	3	0	14	3	8	5	4	9	6	10	6	3	0	8	10	0	31	
TOTAL NO	29	9	19	46	47	21	23	24	31	24	22	25	26	27	32	8	0	17	100	0	
Undecided, lean no	3	1	5	3	0	2	4	0	6	4	3	3	4	2	7	0	0	5	9	0	
Probably no	5	4	3	9	0	6	4	6	4	4	5	5	5	2	10	8	0	10	12	0	
Definitely no	20	4	12	34	47	13	16	18	22	16	14	17	16	22	15	0	0	3	78	0	
DK/NA	9	7	9	10	2	3	13	7	0	8	8	6	5	8	12	55	0	51	0	0	
DK/NA	9	7	9	10	2	3	13	7	0	8	8	6	5	8	12	55	0	51	0	0	

Table 96: Q13C. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks. Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	56	37	252	190	93	195	62	52	49	11	10
TOTAL YES	62	68	57	72	50	20	80	69	58	50	49	63	69	52	55	78	57	75	38	33	28
Definitely yes	36	38	37	46	23	13	56	39	34	29	29	24	43	28	27	47	40	44	18	24	16
Probably yes	19	22	15	22	16	7	18	24	19	14	15	28	21	17	20	25	14	26	16	9	12
Undecided, lean yes	7	8	5	5	11	0	6	6	6	7	6	11	6	7	8	6	3	5	4	0	0
TOTAL NO	29	20	37	18	39	79	7	25	33	39	40	31	22	38	36	13	32	20	54	67	68
Undecided, lean no	3	3	4	4	3	0	0	7	3	4	1	4	4	3	2	4	2	2	4	0	0
Probably no	5	3	7	4	8	0	5	5	6	7	1	3	5	4	1	2	9	6	8	0	0
Definitely no	20	14	27	10	27	79	2	13	24	29	38	24	13	31	33	7	20	11	42	67	68
DK/NA	9	12	6	10	11	1	13	7	9	11	11	6	9	10	9	9	12	6	9	0	4
DK/NA	9	12	6	10	11	1	13	7	9	11	11	6	9	10	9	9	12	6	9	0	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	102	97	137	80	105	157	77	51	18	9	169	105	74	63	8	23	52	134	91	119
TOTAL YES	62	68	46	69	66	70	73	48	59	23	24	73	71	66	31	17	21	54	63	68	70
Definitely yes	36	48	25	40	35	53	41	20	32	15	16	48	42	35	10	9	15	26	44	41	38
Probably yes	19	14	16	22	21	15	25	17	12	8	8	23	21	17	14	8	6	19	15	17	26
Undecided, lean yes	7	5	5	7	10	2	7	11	14	0	0	3	8	14	8	0	0	9	4	11	5
TOTAL NO	29	29	46	16	26	24	14	46	30	77	71	16	21	28	53	83	77	44	33	19	17
Undecided, lean no	3	6	2	2	5	5	3	4	3	0	0	4	3	3	4	0	0	6	4	3	3
Probably no	5	7	6	3	3	6	2	9	8	0	0	3	5	11	5	0	0	2	8	2	4
Definitely no	20	15	38	12	18	12	9	34	19	77	71	9	13	14	44	83	77	36	21	14	11
DK/NA	9	3	8	15	9	6	13	6	11	0	5	11	8	6	16	0	2	2	3	13	13
DK/NA	9	3	8	15	9	6	13	6	11	0	5	11	8	6	16	0	2	2	3	13	13

Table 96: Q13C. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks. Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	400	42	214	48	14	5	114	47
TOTAL YES	62	58	67	68	50	70	60	51	77	64	69	41	62	59	76	59	18	16	56	31
Definitely yes	36	36	47	30	26	34	34	39	45	33	40	32	38	24	48	34	3	16	26	23
Probably yes	19	23	17	23	14	19	25	10	29	26	18	2	19	19	23	23	15	0	17	6
Undecided, lean yes	7	0	2	15	10	17	0	3	4	5	11	7	6	15	6	2	0	0	13	2
TOTAL NO	29	27	28	26	40	21	32	31	10	33	28	46	29	27	16	28	79	84	33	57
Undecided, lean no	3	2	9	0	2	3	1	2	2	6	2	5	3	5	4	4	0	0	4	1
Probably no	5	6	4	8	5	4	3	8	0	6	3	10	5	4	3	9	0	0	6	11
Definitely no	20	19	15	18	34	13	28	21	8	21	22	30	21	17	9	16	79	84	23	45
DK/NA	9	15	5	7	10	9	8	18	13	3	3	14	9	15	8	13	3	0	11	12
DK/NA	9	15	5	7	10	9	8	18	13	3	3	14	9	15	8	13	3	0	11	12

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	34	10	30	4	13	37	15	17	20	261	204	142	112	76	52	158	74	164	242
TOTAL YES	62	60	89	51	44	79	67	73	72	82	58	67	64	63	63	63	70	52	55	71
Definitely yes	36	37	65	26	24	32	29	50	44	48	36	42	36	33	36	41	38	33	30	44
Probably yes	19	23	24	20	20	12	24	23	16	32	17	15	17	18	16	14	21	18	19	20
Undecided, lean yes	7	0	0	5	0	35	13	0	12	2	6	10	10	12	11	8	10	1	6	6
TOTAL NO	29	23	11	42	21	21	25	20	23	6	32	24	27	26	25	22	26	32	34	22
Undecided, lean no	3	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	9	1	3	1	2	2	3	0	3	2	3	3
Probably no	5	7	6	5	0	4	8	7	0	0	5	6	6	4	3	4	3	5	5	5
Definitely no	20	16	5	23	21	17	18	14	14	5	24	17	20	19	19	17	20	25	25	14
DK/NA	9	17	0	8	35	0	8	7	5	11	10	9	9	11	12	15	4	15	11	7
DK/NA	9	17	0	8	35	0	8	7	5	11	10	9	9	11	12	15	4	15	11	7

Table 96: Q13C. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks. Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split C: Detailed Version

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	384	25	33	221	221	442	0	232	210	120	153	77	92
<u>TOTAL YES</u>	62	62	63	58	58	66	62	0	64	59	70	59	64	55
Definitely yes	36	38	51	8	30	43	36	0	36	36	36	35	42	34
Probably yes	19	18	12	36	19	19	19	0	20	17	23	18	21	15
Undecided, lean yes	7	6	0	14	9	4	7	0	7	5	11	6	1	7
<u>TOTAL NO</u>	29	28	30	32	32	26	29	0	28	29	23	34	25	29
Undecided, lean no	3	3	19	0	5	2	3	0	3	4	4	4	3	2
Probably no	5	5	0	4	4	6	5	0	4	6	5	6	4	5
Definitely no	20	20	12	28	22	18	20	0	22	19	14	25	19	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	10	7	10	10	9	9	0	8	11	7	7	11	15
DK/NA	9	10	7	10	10	9	9	0	8	11	7	7	11	15

Table 97: Q13D. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	442	39	257	169	195	335	39	52	33	20	201	47	99	311	0	0	0	309	101	33	
TOTAL YES	69	95	78	54	85	78	62	74	64	61	79	67	68	72	0	0	0	93	4	40	
Definitely yes	43	76	52	29	61	52	37	42	44	36	49	47	50	44	0	0	0	59	0	22	
Probably yes	22	17	22	21	21	23	22	30	16	17	26	16	15	25	0	0	0	29	4	8	
Undecided, lean yes	4	3	4	4	2	3	3	2	4	7	4	4	3	4	0	0	0	5	0	9	
TOTAL NO	25	0	15	40	11	15	32	13	30	19	16	27	22	22	0	0	0	5	92	4	
Undecided, lean no	3	0	4	2	2	3	0	2	12	13	2	2	3	3	0	0	0	2	7	0	
Probably no	4	0	5	4	3	5	3	0	0	0	5	1	3	3	0	0	0	2	12	0	
Definitely no	17	0	7	33	5	8	30	11	18	6	10	24	16	16	0	0	0	1	72	4	
DK/NA	7	5	6	6	5	7	5	13	5	20	5	7	10	5	0	0	0	2	3	55	
DK/NA	7	5	6	6	5	7	5	13	5	20	5	7	10	5	0	0	0	2	3	55	
		Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
	Total	DEF	PRB/LN	PRB/LN	DEF	TOTAL	TOTAL	SOFT	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	ON	ON SOC	TOTAL	NO/	YES	NO/	YES	HAS	TOTAL	NO/NO
		YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO														
Base	442	168	140	27	74	309	101	33	173	303	109	29	146	49	247	195	308	65	374	62	
TOTAL YES	69	94	91	8	3	93	4	40	82	100	0	0	71	73	71	66	64	84	67	79	
Definitely yes	43	92	19	0	0	59	0	22	20	62	0	0	41	55	45	40	36	66	41	53	
Probably yes	22	1	63	8	3	29	4	8	52	32	0	0	23	15	21	23	23	17	22	23	
Undecided, lean yes	4	1	10	0	0	5	0	9	10	6	0	0	6	3	5	3	5	0	4	3	
TOTAL NO	25	5	4	83	95	5	92	4	4	0	100	0	25	24	26	23	31	4	26	14	
Undecided, lean no	3	0	4	26	1	2	7	0	3	0	12	0	3	4	3	4	4	1	3	1	
Probably no	4	4	0	42	2	2	12	0	0	0	18	0	2	0	2	7	5	0	4	5	
Definitely no	17	1	0	16	93	1	72	4	1	0	70	0	21	20	21	13	22	4	19	7	
DK/NA	7	1	4	8	2	2	3	55	14	0	0	100	4	3	4	11	5	12	7	8	
DK/NA	7	1	4	8	2	2	3	55	14	0	0	100	4	3	4	11	5	12	7	8	

Table 97: Q13D. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	442	109	312	155	36	100	252	175	197	111	32	83	227	67	42	21	128	149	116	149	265
TOTAL YES	69	66	71	71	77	66	62	64	71	74	60	79	73	70	80	62	76	73	67	74	71
Definitely yes	43	46	45	44	49	40	34	35	46	42	30	63	46	36	48	50	50	46	36	50	42
Probably yes	22	14	24	23	28	23	23	26	20	28	30	15	25	29	27	11	23	24	23	22	23
Undecided, lean yes	4	6	3	4	0	3	5	3	5	5	0	1	3	4	5	0	3	4	7	3	5
TOTAL NO	25	28	23	25	14	25	31	31	22	20	37	15	22	23	17	30	20	17	29	22	22
Undecided, lean no	3	4	3	4	0	1	3	4	4	2	16	0	3	0	6	0	0	2	5	0	3
Probably no	4	10	5	5	4	2	7	8	3	3	7	11	7	3	4	0	8	1	5	7	3
Definitely no	17	14	15	16	11	22	22	19	15	15	14	4	12	20	8	30	12	14	18	15	16
DK/NA	7	5	6	4	9	9	6	5	7	5	3	6	5	7	3	8	3	10	4	4	7
DK/NA	7	5	6	4	9	9	6	5	7	5	3	6	5	7	3	8	3	10	4	4	7

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	442	106	138	109	14	94	82	66	18	108	175	192	114	217	80	4	286	63	93	17
TOTAL YES	69	90	71	60	71	79	65	76	74	73	73	74	70	70	74	29	100	28	0	100
Definitely yes	43	59	48	26	47	62	44	42	58	39	53	42	41	43	53	29	64	12	0	42
Probably yes	22	27	20	29	20	16	18	29	11	30	17	28	23	21	21	0	31	11	0	40
Undecided, lean yes	4	5	3	5	4	1	4	5	4	4	2	5	7	5	0	0	5	5	0	18
TOTAL NO	25	7	21	34	29	15	25	22	26	21	20	22	18	26	20	71	0	26	100	0
Undecided, lean no	3	1	5	2	0	1	1	6	9	4	1	5	5	3	3	0	0	9	8	0
Probably no	4	0	5	3	0	7	7	3	0	3	7	3	1	7	6	0	0	11	13	0
Definitely no	17	6	11	29	29	6	17	13	17	15	11	14	13	17	11	71	0	6	78	0
DK/NA	7	3	7	6	0	6	9	3	0	5	7	4	12	4	6	0	0	46	0	0
DK/NA	7	3	7	6	0	6	9	3	0	5	7	4	12	4	6	0	0	46	0	0

Table 97: Q13D. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	442	217	199	274	137	31	75	106	71	97	59	33	252	190	93	189	61	53	42	12	10
TOTAL YES	69	73	68	74	62	49	83	72	71	52	70	68	75	60	69	81	57	75	73	48	61
Definitely yes	43	44	44	47	38	30	53	45	35	30	54	44	45	40	51	55	20	43	44	18	40
Probably yes	22	25	20	22	21	19	29	23	26	18	14	17	26	17	15	22	31	28	24	31	21
Undecided, lean yes	4	4	4	5	3	0	0	4	10	4	2	7	4	4	4	4	6	3	5	0	0
TOTAL NO	25	22	25	19	32	42	14	21	19	42	23	24	18	33	23	13	37	21	25	35	39
Undecided, lean no	3	4	2	4	1	5	0	4	2	8	0	0	2	4	0	4	3	1	0	0	0
Probably no	4	6	4	4	6	0	9	5	4	1	1	4	6	2	2	4	5	0	0	0	0
Definitely no	17	12	19	12	25	36	5	12	12	33	22	21	10	27	21	6	28	19	25	35	39
DK/NA	7	5	8	7	6	10	3	7	10	6	7	8	7	6	7	6	6	4	1	17	0
DK/NA	7	5	8	7	6	10	3	7	10	6	7	8	7	6	7	6	6	4	1	17	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	442	116	83	124	93	114	144	70	57	14	16	155	119	84	53	13	18	69	122	75	133
TOTAL YES	69	73	61	78	66	75	76	63	68	34	65	76	72	76	40	57	43	75	67	73	76
Definitely yes	43	52	32	39	51	47	49	42	35	29	32	46	48	46	24	22	35	47	44	53	41
Probably yes	22	17	24	35	12	23	23	18	29	5	32	24	21	28	10	34	8	25	18	18	30
Undecided, lean yes	4	4	5	4	3	6	4	3	4	0	0	6	4	2	5	0	0	4	5	2	5
TOTAL NO	25	19	33	18	28	16	18	33	29	49	31	16	23	21	50	23	55	20	25	23	18
Undecided, lean no	3	1	2	3	6	2	5	0	3	5	0	3	5	1	2	0	9	0	3	0	4
Probably no	4	5	2	8	2	5	4	3	12	0	0	5	3	11	0	0	0	4	3	10	3
Definitely no	17	13	29	6	20	9	10	31	14	45	31	9	15	10	48	23	46	16	20	13	11
DK/NA	7	9	6	4	5	9	5	4	3	17	4	8	5	2	11	21	2	4	8	4	6
DK/NA	7	9	6	4	5	9	5	4	3	17	4	8	5	2	11	21	2	4	8	4	6

Table 97: Q13D. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	442	40	44	31	40	44	40	40	49	40	40	35	383	59	221	36	20	1	126	37
TOTAL YES	69	70	65	76	55	73	74	66	65	61	81	72	70	60	74	80	56	0	62	53
Definitely yes	43	48	39	42	37	48	40	38	45	22	57	55	45	29	50	36	36	0	37	33
Probably yes	22	19	22	29	18	20	34	16	16	34	22	10	21	29	20	36	20	0	22	19
Undecided, lean yes	4	3	4	5	1	5	0	11	4	5	3	6	5	2	5	9	0	0	4	1
TOTAL NO	25	16	26	12	42	24	23	28	27	34	9	28	23	35	19	8	29	100	31	47
Undecided, lean no	3	2	2	0	2	3	16	0	2	6	0	0	3	3	4	4	4	0	1	4
Probably no	4	0	0	0	3	5	2	3	3	17	2	13	4	7	4	0	0	0	7	5
Definitely no	17	15	24	12	37	15	6	24	22	11	8	15	16	25	11	3	25	100	24	38
DK/NA	7	14	9	12	2	3	2	7	9	5	10	0	7	5	6	12	15	0	6	0
DK/NA	7	14	9	12	2	3	2	7	9	5	10	0	7	5	6	12	15	0	6	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	442	34	10	33	7	12	24	30	14	18	260	198	154	124	90	44	158	57	156	253
TOTAL YES	69	72	66	62	69	87	84	73	68	57	67	72	69	67	65	72	73	62	63	74
Definitely yes	43	51	43	38	26	47	53	41	52	45	41	45	43	42	36	44	43	44	34	50
Probably yes	22	19	23	18	37	40	24	26	10	12	22	22	22	20	26	24	27	14	23	21
Undecided, lean yes	4	1	0	5	6	0	7	6	6	0	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	5	6	3
TOTAL NO	25	17	21	22	7	10	14	25	17	34	28	23	24	26	29	23	20	31	31	18
Undecided, lean no	3	2	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	4	4	2	2	2	3	3	2	1	4	2
Probably no	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	9	6	4	4	5	8	5	3	3	4	5
Definitely no	17	15	21	22	7	10	8	14	17	21	18	17	18	18	19	15	15	27	23	11
DK/NA	7	11	13	16	24	3	2	2	15	9	5	6	6	8	6	5	6	7	6	8
DK/NA	7	11	13	16	24	3	2	2	15	9	5	6	6	8	6	5	6	7	6	8

Table 97: Q13D. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Split D: General Transportation Version

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	442	391	28	24	221	221	0	442	215	227	108	163	83	88
TOTAL YES	69	68	87	57	68	69	0	69	70	67	72	72	66	61
Definitely yes	43	41	58	47	43	43	0	43	43	42	47	45	38	37
Probably yes	22	22	29	5	22	21	0	22	24	20	21	23	23	19
Undecided, lean yes	4	4	0	6	3	5	0	4	3	5	4	3	4	6
TOTAL NO	25	26	4	35	26	23	0	25	23	26	20	24	23	34
Undecided, lean no	3	3	0	0	3	3	0	3	2	4	3	5	2	1
Probably no	4	5	0	3	5	3	0	4	3	6	3	8	0	3
Definitely no	17	17	4	32	18	16	0	17	19	16	14	11	21	30
DK/NA	7	6	9	7	6	7	0	7	7	7	8	4	12	5
DK/NA	7	6	9	7	6	7	0	7	7	7	8	4	12	5

Table 98: Q13. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: (SPLIT SAMPLE C: to increase Muni’s safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks.) (SPLIT SAMPLE D: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
TOTAL YES	65	91	78	46	87	78	58	76	55	76	72	69	65	67	94	13	20	93	4	40
Definitely yes	40	66	50	24	60	50	31	49	36	50	43	41	42	41	58	6	5	59	0	22
Probably yes	20	18	22	18	23	23	20	24	10	16	24	26	20	21	29	4	8	29	4	8
Undecided, lean yes	5	7	5	5	3	5	6	4	9	10	5	2	3	5	8	4	7	5	0	9
TOTAL NO	27	4	15	45	9	13	33	16	37	10	20	25	25	25	4	83	10	5	92	4
Undecided, lean no	3	0	3	4	2	3	1	1	11	6	3	1	2	4	1	8	4	2	7	0
Probably no	5	2	4	6	2	4	2	2	9	0	4	2	4	4	2	10	4	2	12	0
Definitely no	19	2	8	34	5	7	30	13	16	4	13	21	19	18	1	65	2	1	72	4
DK/NA	8	5	7	9	4	8	9	8	9	13	9	6	10	8	2	5	70	2	3	55
DK/NA	8	5	7	9	4	8	9	8	9	13	9	6	10	8	2	5	70	2	3	55

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
TOTAL YES	65	95	92	16	7	93	9	29	77	100	0	0	64	78	67	63	61	84	64	72
Definitely yes	40	92	19	0	5	58	3	12	17	61	0	0	39	57	43	35	35	56	39	45
Probably yes	20	2	61	9	2	29	4	8	49	31	0	0	20	18	20	21	20	20	20	25
Undecided, lean yes	5	2	12	7	0	6	2	8	11	8	0	0	5	3	4	7	6	8	6	1
TOTAL NO	27	4	5	73	92	4	87	8	5	0	100	0	29	20	27	26	32	6	27	20
Undecided, lean no	3	0	3	27	0	1	8	2	3	0	12	0	2	2	2	4	3	2	3	3
Probably no	5	3	2	36	2	2	11	2	2	0	18	0	4	2	3	6	5	0	4	6
Definitely no	19	1	0	11	90	1	68	3	1	0	71	0	23	15	21	16	23	5	20	11
DK/NA	8	1	4	11	1	2	4	64	17	0	0	100	7	3	6	11	8	9	8	8
DK/NA	8	1	4	11	1	2	4	64	17	0	0	100	7	3	6	11	8	9	8	8

Table 98: Q13. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: (SPLIT SAMPLE C: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks.) (SPLIT SAMPLE D: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
TOTAL YES	65	72	71	70	71	65	58	63	72	70	63	71	68	66	76	64	70	70	67	69	69
Definitely yes	40	48	44	44	45	43	33	36	45	38	40	55	42	30	50	40	43	43	39	43	41
Probably yes	20	16	23	22	22	21	20	24	21	25	23	14	21	28	22	11	21	22	22	20	22
Undecided, lean yes	5	7	4	4	4	2	6	3	6	7	0	2	4	9	4	12	5	4	6	6	5
TOTAL NO	27	24	22	23	24	26	34	30	22	23	31	20	24	26	19	27	24	21	29	25	24
Undecided, lean no	3	2	3	3	0	1	3	3	3	3	8	3	4	2	4	8	1	3	4	2	3
Probably no	5	7	4	4	7	4	6	7	4	6	7	9	7	5	7	0	6	2	7	5	5
Definitely no	19	14	15	16	17	21	25	20	15	14	16	8	14	19	7	20	18	15	18	18	16
DK/NA	8	5	7	7	5	9	7	7	6	7	7	9	7	8	6	9	6	9	4	6	7
DK/NA	8	5	7	7	5	9	7	7	6	7	7	9	7	8	6	9	6	9	4	6	7
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
TOTAL YES	65	87	71	52	60	78	65	72	71	71	71	71	70	67	66	34	100	30	0	100	
Definitely yes	40	54	46	27	37	54	38	41	54	41	47	43	42	40	48	23	62	12	0	39	
Probably yes	20	27	21	21	21	16	23	24	13	25	20	24	20	23	17	11	31	11	0	36	
Undecided, lean yes	5	6	4	4	2	7	3	6	5	4	5	5	8	5	2	0	7	8	0	25	
TOTAL NO	27	8	20	40	39	18	24	23	29	23	21	23	22	26	25	27	0	21	100	0	
Undecided, lean no	3	1	5	3	0	1	2	3	7	4	2	4	5	2	5	0	0	7	9	0	
Probably no	5	2	4	6	0	7	5	5	2	4	6	4	3	4	8	6	0	10	13	0	
Definitely no	19	5	11	32	39	10	16	15	20	15	13	16	14	20	13	21	0	4	78	0	
DK/NA	8	5	8	8	1	5	11	5	0	6	8	5	8	6	9	39	0	49	0	0	
DK/NA	8	5	8	8	1	5	11	5	0	6	8	5	8	6	9	39	0	49	0	0	

Table 98: Q13. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: (SPLIT SAMPLE C: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks.) (SPLIT SAMPLE D: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
TOTAL YES	65	70	62	73	56	34	81	70	65	51	60	65	72	56	62	79	57	75	54	41	45
Definitely yes	40	41	40	46	30	21	55	42	34	30	42	33	44	34	39	51	30	43	30	21	28
Probably yes	20	23	17	22	19	13	24	23	22	16	14	23	23	17	18	23	22	27	20	20	16
Undecided, lean yes	5	6	5	5	7	0	3	5	8	5	4	9	5	6	6	5	4	4	4	0	0
TOTAL NO	27	21	31	18	36	60	11	23	26	41	31	28	20	36	30	13	34	20	41	50	53
Undecided, lean no	3	4	3	4	2	3	0	5	2	6	0	2	3	4	1	4	3	2	2	0	0
Probably no	5	4	5	4	7	0	7	5	5	4	1	3	6	3	2	3	7	3	4	0	0
Definitely no	19	13	23	11	26	58	3	13	18	31	30	23	11	29	27	7	24	15	34	50	53
DK/NA	8	9	7	8	8	6	8	7	10	8	9	7	8	8	8	8	9	5	5	9	2
DK/NA	8	9	7	8	8	6	8	7	10	8	9	7	8	8	8	8	9	5	5	9	2

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
TOTAL YES	65	71	53	73	66	73	75	55	64	28	50	74	72	72	35	41	31	66	65	70	73
Definitely yes	40	50	28	40	44	50	45	30	34	21	27	47	45	41	16	17	24	38	44	46	39
Probably yes	20	16	19	28	16	19	24	17	21	7	23	23	21	23	12	24	7	22	16	17	28
Undecided, lean yes	5	4	5	6	6	4	5	7	9	0	0	4	6	8	7	0	0	6	5	7	5
TOTAL NO	27	24	40	17	27	20	16	40	30	65	46	16	22	24	51	47	67	30	30	21	18
Undecided, lean no	3	4	2	2	5	4	4	2	3	2	0	3	4	2	3	0	4	2	3	2	3
Probably no	5	6	4	6	2	5	3	6	10	0	0	4	4	11	3	0	0	3	5	6	4
Definitely no	19	14	34	9	19	11	9	32	16	63	46	9	14	12	46	47	63	25	21	13	11
DK/NA	8	6	7	10	7	7	10	5	7	7	4	10	7	4	14	12	2	4	5	9	9
DK/NA	8	6	7	10	7	7	10	5	7	7	4	10	7	4	14	12	2	4	5	9	9

Table 98: Q13. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: (SPLIT SAMPLE C: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks.) (SPLIT SAMPLE D: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
TOTAL YES	65	64	66	72	53	72	67	59	71	62	75	56	66	59	75	68	41	12	59	41
Definitely yes	40	42	43	36	31	41	37	39	45	28	48	44	41	27	49	35	22	12	32	27
Probably yes	20	21	20	26	16	20	30	13	22	30	20	6	20	25	21	28	18	0	20	12
Undecided, lean yes	5	1	3	10	6	11	0	7	4	5	7	7	5	7	5	5	0	0	8	2
TOTAL NO	27	22	27	19	41	22	28	29	18	33	19	37	26	31	17	19	50	88	32	53
Undecided, lean no	3	2	6	0	2	3	9	1	2	6	1	2	3	4	4	4	3	0	2	2
Probably no	5	3	2	4	4	5	2	6	2	12	2	12	5	6	3	5	0	0	7	8
Definitely no	19	17	19	15	35	14	17	23	15	16	15	23	18	22	10	11	47	88	23	42
DK/NA	8	15	7	9	6	6	5	12	11	4	6	7	8	9	7	12	10	0	8	7
DK/NA	8	15	7	9	6	6	5	12	11	4	6	7	8	9	7	12	10	0	8	7

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
TOTAL YES	65	66	78	57	61	83	73	73	71	70	63	69	67	65	64	67	71	57	59	72
Definitely yes	40	44	54	33	25	39	38	44	47	47	38	43	40	38	36	43	41	37	32	47
Probably yes	20	21	23	19	31	25	24	25	14	23	19	19	20	19	21	18	24	17	21	21
Undecided, lean yes	5	1	0	5	4	18	11	4	9	1	5	7	7	8	7	7	6	3	6	5
TOTAL NO	27	20	16	32	11	15	21	24	20	19	30	23	26	26	27	22	23	32	32	20
Undecided, lean no	3	1	0	7	0	0	2	2	5	3	3	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	4	3
Probably no	5	3	3	2	0	2	5	7	0	4	6	5	5	5	5	4	3	4	5	5
Definitely no	19	16	13	23	11	13	14	14	16	12	21	17	19	19	19	16	18	26	24	12
DK/NA	8	14	6	12	27	2	6	4	9	10	7	7	8	9	8	10	5	12	9	8
DK/NA	8	14	6	12	27	2	6	4	9	10	7	7	8	9	8	10	5	12	9	8

Table 98: Q13. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential 400 million dollar bond measure: (SPLIT SAMPLE C: to increase Muni's safety, reliability and frequency, reduce delays, improve disabled access, improve service for communities most dependent on transit, reduce traffic congestion, and improve transportation safety and 911 response times by repairing, constructing and improving deteriorating Muni bus yards, facilities, transportation infrastructure and equipment, and constructing and redesigning streets and sidewalks.) (SPLIT SAMPLE D: to reduce traffic congestion, fix potholes, repair sidewalks, improve pedestrian safety, improve 911 response times, protect transit infrastructure from sea level rise, and repair, construct and improve aging transportation infrastructure, facilities and bus yards.) Do you think you would vote yes in favor of this measure or no to oppose it? : Total

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>TOTAL YES</u>	65	65	76	58	63	68	62	69	67	63	71	65	65	58
Definitely yes	40	40	55	24	36	43	36	43	40	39	42	40	40	35
Probably yes	20	20	21	23	21	20	19	22	22	19	22	20	22	17
Undecided, lean yes	5	5	0	11	6	5	7	4	5	5	8	5	3	6
<u>TOTAL NO</u>	27	27	17	33	29	24	29	25	26	28	22	29	24	32
Undecided, lean no	3	3	9	0	4	3	3	3	2	4	4	4	2	1
Probably no	5	5	0	3	5	5	5	4	3	6	4	7	2	4
Definitely no	19	19	8	30	20	17	20	17	20	18	14	18	20	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	8	8	9	8	8	9	7	7	9	7	5	11	10
DK/NA	8	8	8	9	8	8	9	7	7	9	7	5	11	10

Table 99: Q14. Have you heard anything about SFMTA and Muni's funding needs?

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
TOTAL YES	55	50	52	63	65	53	57	53	57	56	52	72	56	56	55	59	43	54	67	41
Yes, on the news	33	29	30	38	38	31	34	31	42	23	30	44	34	33	31	38	30	33	40	16
Yes, on the SFMTA website	3	1	4	2	1	3	5	8	0	4	3	3	7	2	1	10	0	3	0	0
Yes, on social media	10	10	9	12	14	10	9	7	6	22	11	12	6	11	13	2	3	10	11	15
Yes, another way	9	10	9	11	12	9	9	6	9	7	8	13	9	9	10	8	11	7	16	10
NO/DK	45	50	48	37	35	47	43	47	43	44	48	28	44	44	45	41	57	46	33	59
No have not heard anything	41	48	46	32	32	43	36	46	41	43	44	26	39	41	42	40	47	42	29	54
DK	3	2	2	5	3	4	7	2	3	1	3	2	5	3	3	0	10	4	4	5

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
TOTAL YES	55	62	46	54	66	54	63	42	45	57	56	39	100	100	100	0	58	55	57	45
Yes, on the news	33	34	29	37	40	32	39	24	28	32	36	28	100	0	60	0	37	23	35	25
Yes, on the SFMTA website	3	3	2	2	7	3	6	0	2	4	2	2	0	0	6	0	3	2	3	2
Yes, on social media	10	15	7	2	8	11	6	8	7	12	7	3	0	100	18	0	8	16	10	10
Yes, another way	9	10	7	13	11	9	11	11	7	10	10	5	0	0	17	0	9	14	10	8
NO/DK	45	38	54	46	34	46	37	58	55	43	44	61	0	0	0	100	42	45	43	55
No have not heard anything	41	35	51	45	32	42	36	50	51	39	42	52	0	0	0	93	39	42	39	51
DK	3	4	3	1	2	3	2	8	4	3	2	9	0	0	0	7	3	4	3	3

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION						
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
TOTAL YES	55	64	59	62	57	50	56	57	61	51	50	41	48	50	52	32	52	56	64	49	59
Yes, on the news	33	35	36	36	37	22	36	31	38	31	28	16	26	31	31	23	28	32	42	27	36
Yes, on the SFMTA website	3	0	3	5	1	4	3	4	2	3	14	3	5	3	2	0	8	1	1	7	1
Yes, on social media	10	14	11	12	10	12	8	11	11	11	1	10	9	9	12	8	8	11	11	8	11
Yes, another way	9	15	9	8	9	13	9	11	9	7	7	13	9	6	8	0	7	12	10	6	11
NO/DK	45	36	41	38	43	50	44	43	39	49	50	59	52	50	48	68	48	44	36	51	41
No have not heard anything	41	33	38	36	40	43	41	41	37	43	46	57	47	41	47	44	44	42	36	44	40
DK	3	3	4	2	2	7	3	2	2	6	4	2	4	9	1	25	4	2	0	7	1

Table 99: Q14. Have you heard anything about SFMTA and Muni's funding needs?

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
TOTAL YES	55	56	57	62	56	38	59	61	59	65	48	63	52	60	52	14	57	43	61	62
Yes, on the news	33	32	34	37	35	19	33	36	31	41	25	38	31	37	27	9	33	22	40	19
Yes, on the SFMTA website	3	1	2	9	4	7	5	0	0	2	6	1	5	1	5	0	3	6	3	17
Yes, on social media	10	13	12	8	10	10	9	14	8	11	9	12	11	10	11	4	12	7	7	11
Yes, another way	9	10	9	7	7	2	11	11	20	11	7	12	4	12	9	0	9	8	11	15
NO/DK	45	44	43	38	44	62	41	39	41	35	52	37	48	40	48	86	43	57	39	38
No have not heard anything	41	42	41	37	44	54	38	39	41	35	46	37	46	37	46	66	39	53	37	38
DK	3	2	3	1	0	8	3	0	0	0	6	0	2	4	2	20	4	4	2	0

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE							PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
TOTAL YES	55	52	57	57	54	52	45	57	57	57	62	54	58	59	55	64	62	57	54	66	
Yes, on the news	33	27	38	32	36	31	17	30	41	35	40	43	29	38	41	31	37	42	35	42	41
Yes, on the SFMTA website	3	5	2	4	3	3	5	0	2	7	0	4	2	4	2	2	10	0	8	1	7
Yes, on social media	10	11	8	11	7	7	11	19	6	5	8	4	13	6	7	12	11	13	6	5	6
Yes, another way	9	10	9	9	9	11	11	9	8	10	8	11	9	10	9	10	7	7	8	5	11
NO/DK	45	48	43	43	46	48	55	43	43	43	43	38	46	42	41	45	36	38	43	46	34
No have not heard anything	41	44	39	40	42	46	55	39	40	40	34	36	44	38	35	43	36	38	41	41	34
DK	3	3	4	3	4	2	0	4	3	2	9	2	3	4	7	2	0	1	2	5	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
TOTAL YES	55	59	55	48	59	59	54	58	47	46	50	54	59	53	56	46	55	49	61	50	56
Yes, on the news	33	35	41	22	34	36	28	42	23	31	34	28	37	29	44	40	26	33	40	23	30
Yes, on the SFMTA website	3	2	2	3	7	2	5	2	3	1	6	2	6	4	1	0	4	3	1	11	2
Yes, on social media	10	11	5	14	7	11	11	4	12	4	5	15	6	9	4	7	7	5	10	10	11
Yes, another way	9	11	8	8	11	10	10	9	9	11	5	9	10	11	6	0	17	8	10	6	12
NO/DK	45	41	45	52	41	41	46	42	53	54	50	46	41	47	44	54	45	51	39	50	44
No have not heard anything	41	36	43	51	34	36	43	41	45	51	50	43	37	45	38	54	42	42	38	45	43
DK	3	4	2	1	7	5	2	2	7	4	0	3	4	2	6	0	3	9	1	5	2

Table 99: Q14. Have you heard anything about SFMTA and Muni's funding needs?

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
TOTAL YES	55	58	53	56	51	55	53	54	59	54	71	46	55	56	56	56	45	23	54	62
Yes, on the news	33	35	33	24	21	34	37	40	38	34	36	27	33	31	32	36	30	0	35	35
Yes, on the SFMTA website	3	5	0	2	3	5	0	0	1	5	13	1	3	5	3	3	5	0	3	3
Yes, on social media	10	7	9	18	14	6	7	3	12	10	12	11	10	8	12	8	10	0	8	7
Yes, another way	9	11	11	12	13	9	8	11	7	5	10	7	9	12	9	8	0	23	8	17
NO/DK	45	42	47	44	49	45	47	46	41	46	29	54	45	44	44	44	55	77	46	38
No have not heard anything	41	34	46	37	40	42	43	45	41	46	26	54	41	40	40	43	53	77	42	36
DK	3	8	1	7	9	3	5	1	0	0	3	0	3	3	4	1	2	0	4	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS				Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
TOTAL YES	55	59	68	45	59	38	49	68	56	55	56	54	54	57	59	65	48	64	62	51
Yes, on the news	33	35	25	30	41	28	19	51	33	30	34	26	27	28	34	41	25	36	43	27
Yes, on the SFMTA website	3	6	0	0	0	5	6	2	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	2	2	8	4	2
Yes, on social media	10	6	30	4	14	5	15	7	5	13	10	12	11	12	11	10	10	10	7	12
Yes, another way	9	13	13	10	5	0	10	8	17	9	9	13	14	16	11	12	11	10	7	10
NO/DK	45	41	32	55	41	62	51	32	44	45	44	46	46	43	41	35	52	36	38	49
No have not heard anything	41	32	28	55	25	51	47	30	44	45	41	43	43	41	39	35	49	32	38	44
DK	3	9	3	0	16	10	3	2	0	0	3	3	3	1	1	1	3	5	1	5

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
TOTAL YES	55	58	47	35	56	55	55	56	52	59	55	57	55	53
Yes, on the news	33	35	15	22	34	32	33	33	28	38	32	34	34	32
Yes, on the SFMTA website	3	4	2	0	1	5	4	2	4	3	2	5	3	1
Yes, on social media	10	10	8	7	10	9	9	11	10	10	11	11	8	8
Yes, another way	9	9	21	6	10	9	10	9	11	8	10	8	10	11
NO/DK	45	42	53	65	44	45	45	44	48	41	45	43	45	47
No have not heard anything	41	40	53	44	41	41	42	40	44	38	42	40	40	42
DK	3	2	0	21	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	2	4	5

Table 100: Q15A. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride a bicycle

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33	
Yes	25	18	26	24	31	26	21	21	10	81	24	18	17	26	31	16	11	25	25	24	
No	74	81	73	75	69	73	73	79	90	19	75	80	83	72	69	84	89	74	73	69	
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	7	
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES		
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146	
Yes	25	33	21	25	18	27	20	17	20	27	22	14	26	36	28	20	26	35	27	13	
No	74	66	78	75	80	71	79	80	78	71	77	86	74	61	71	78	73	65	72	86	
DK/NA	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	3	2	2	1	0	0	3	1	2	2	0	1	1	
	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION						
Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+	
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
Yes	25	100	27	30	39	27	24	26	19	15	28	22	16	23	22	19	28	27	20	27	
No	74	0	72	69	61	70	74	74	80	78	72	76	81	77	75	79	72	73	78	72	
DK/NA	1	0	1	2	0	3	2	0	2	7	1	2	3	0	3	2	1	0	2	0	
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME								Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K -\$100K	\$100K -\$175K	\$175K -\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOV/R		
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
Yes	25	27	22	17	14	14	22	27	45	30	18	30	20	26	28	21	28	18	21	19	
No	74	71	76	83	86	82	77	73	55	70	80	70	80	73	72	71	71	80	78	76	
DK/NA	1	2	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	9	1	2	1	5	

Table 100: Q15A. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride a bicycle

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Yes	25	19	29	24	28	15	24	39	25	23	12	6	31	17	10	24	17	28	18	13	18
No	74	79	69	74	71	85	76	61	75	73	85	94	69	81	89	74	83	72	82	87	82
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Yes	25	37	20	24	12	31	18	31	26	13	10	29	17	36	17	15	15	21	33	19	20
No	74	63	77	75	85	67	81	69	72	87	90	71	80	64	82	85	85	75	67	81	79
DK/NA	1	0	3	0	3	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0
	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE							
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84	
Yes	25	31	24	25	24	19	22	23	23	34	28	17	26	12	24	31	7	0	26	26	
No	74	66	76	72	76	76	78	77	77	66	68	83	73	86	74	69	93	100	73	73	
DK/NA	1	3	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	
	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT	
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495	
Yes	25	33	17	17	26	14	18	32	27	20	26	29	27	29	30	31	23	18	25	24	
No	74	64	83	83	74	77	76	68	73	80	74	71	73	71	70	69	75	81	74	76	
DK/NA	1	3	0	0	0	9	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	

Table 100: Q15A. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride a bicycle

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Yes	25	25	30	17	25	24	24	25	21	28	20	25	30	25
No	74	74	68	77	73	75	75	73	77	71	77	74	69	75
DK/NA	1	1	2	6	2	1	0	2	1	1	3	1	1	0

Table 101: Q15B. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride Muni

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
Yes	67	81	73	61	76	71	43	66	56	65	73	77	69	67	71	51	49	74	60	69
No	32	19	26	38	24	28	51	31	44	35	27	23	31	31	28	49	51	25	36	24
DK/NA	1	0	1	2	0	1	6	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	3	7

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
Yes	67	75	70	48	57	73	55	58	67	72	55	58	72	73	70	62	58	83	62	90
No	32	25	29	51	41	27	43	39	31	27	43	42	28	25	29	37	41	17	37	10
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	2	1	2	3	2	1	2	0	0	3	1	2	2	0	1	0

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION								
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295
Yes	67	73	100	87	83	64	58	75	67	66	75	66	66	70	57	73	72	61	64	73	62
No	32	26	0	13	13	33	40	24	33	33	25	31	32	28	43	27	26	38	36	26	37
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	5	3	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	1

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K - \$100K	\$100K - \$175K	\$175K - \$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205
Yes	67	74	62	59	63	84	66	60	74	60	76	62	73	64	66	56	73	64	52	63
No	32	26	36	41	37	14	32	39	26	40	22	38	26	35	34	44	26	35	46	32
DK/NA	1	0	3	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	5

Table 101: Q15B. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride Muni

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Yes	67	66	65	68	66	60	78	63	60	71	60	64	67	66	62	68	64	66	54	57	56
No	32	32	35	32	32	40	20	37	39	27	37	36	33	32	37	31	36	31	46	43	44
DK/NA	1	2	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	2	3	0	1	2	2	1	0	3	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Yes	67	67	62	65	67	67	67	65	62	45	72	69	66	64	68	51	65	75	59	70	64
No	32	33	37	33	30	32	32	35	32	55	28	31	33	34	29	49	35	25	41	29	35
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	2	1

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
Yes	67	62	63	74	59	81	76	50	66	63	84	53	66	68	68	61	60	71	66	69
No	32	34	37	26	40	16	24	50	34	36	12	47	32	32	31	39	40	29	31	31
DK/NA	1	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
Yes	67	65	84	53	63	85	78	79	86	68	63	73	69	70	73	77	62	66	55	75
No	32	31	16	47	37	15	22	16	14	32	36	27	30	30	27	23	35	34	45	25
DK/NA	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	1

Table 101: Q15B. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride Muni

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Yes	67	65	80	71	69	64	62	71	63	70	79	67	59	56
No	32	34	18	25	30	35	37	28	35	30	21	32	37	44
DK/NA	1	1	2	4	1	1	1	2	2	0	0	1	3	0

Table 102: Q15C. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride BART

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
Yes	34	48	38	29	43	35	24	34	44	19	34	45	33	36	35	29	25	35	35	38
No	66	51	62	70	57	65	73	66	56	81	66	55	67	64	65	71	75	65	62	58
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	4

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
Yes	34	38	32	35	31	35	32	30	32	36	29	28	37	43	38	29	28	42	31	48
No	66	62	68	65	68	65	67	68	68	63	70	70	63	57	62	70	71	58	69	51
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION							
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295
Yes	34	41	44	100	67	39	29	47	33	29	60	40	37	28	28	38	44	26	32	43	29
No	66	59	55	0	33	59	71	53	67	71	40	60	63	71	71	59	56	74	68	57	71
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K -\$100K	\$100K -\$175K	\$175K -\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205
Yes	34	36	31	32	32	41	43	34	14	29	42	29	36	31	40	25	36	34	29	45
No	66	64	69	68	68	59	57	66	84	71	58	70	64	68	60	66	64	66	70	55
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	1	1	0

Table 102: Q15C. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride BART

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Yes	34	34	31	35	34	26	31	39	32	39	29	22	35	33	26	32	37	39	29	15	25
No	66	65	69	65	65	73	69	60	67	60	70	78	65	66	73	68	63	61	71	85	75
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Yes	34	31	31	37	31	36	34	27	38	12	28	35	34	34	33	28	25	46	24	40	31
No	66	69	68	63	68	63	66	73	60	86	72	65	66	65	65	72	73	53	75	60	69
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	1	0	0	0

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
Yes	34	22	16	33	22	35	48	33	34	44	45	43	35	26	36	26	25	12	36	33
No	66	75	84	63	78	65	52	66	66	56	55	57	65	72	64	74	75	88	63	65
DK/NA	1	4	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	2

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
Yes	34	19	18	10	23	18	58	34	16	37	38	37	35	35	33	32	37	31	31	35
No	66	77	82	88	77	78	42	66	84	63	62	63	65	64	66	66	62	68	69	64
DK/NA	1	4	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0

Table 102: Q15C. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride BART

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Yes	34	33	52	25	33	34	32	35	36	32	34	45	17	29
No	66	66	48	73	66	65	67	64	64	67	65	55	82	71
DK/NA	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	0

Table 103: Q15D. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride Caltrain

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
Yes	9	17	12	6	12	10	7	15	15	6	6	16	12	9	15	6	3	10	5	2
No	90	81	87	93	88	89	90	85	85	94	93	83	88	91	85	94	97	90	94	91
DK/NA	1	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	7

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
Yes	9	10	14	5	6	12	6	3	11	10	9	6	11	9	10	9	7	15	9	12
No	90	89	86	95	94	87	94	94	88	89	91	94	89	88	90	90	92	85	91	87
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	0	1	1

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION								
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295
Yes	9	15	12	19	100	16	8	13	7	7	9	22	13	8	6	4	10	9	7	9	8
No	90	85	88	81	0	81	91	86	92	91	91	78	87	90	94	94	89	91	93	90	92
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	0	3	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	0

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K - \$100K	\$100K - \$175K	\$175K - \$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205
Yes	9	8	11	6	17	12	8	11	13	5	10	8	11	8	10	4	11	7	6	0
No	90	91	88	93	83	87	92	88	87	95	89	92	88	91	90	88	88	91	93	95
DK/NA	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	9	1	2	0	5

Table 103: Q15D. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride Caltrain

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Yes	9	8	11	7	13	13	16	11	5	8	10	7	11	8	8	8	4	14	13	10	4
No	90	92	88	92	86	87	84	89	95	91	87	93	89	90	89	91	96	85	86	90	96
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Yes	9	12	9	10	4	11	5	10	17	9	2	9	5	14	12	14	12	12	9	8	8
No	90	88	89	90	95	88	94	89	83	91	98	91	92	86	87	86	88	86	91	92	92
DK/NA	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
Yes	9	2	0	15	0	12	19	7	6	10	23	14	10	8	7	13	12	0	13	9
No	90	97	100	84	100	88	80	93	93	90	73	86	90	90	92	87	88	100	86	90
DK/NA	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	1

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
Yes	9	2	0	0	0	7	23	14	0	6	11	7	7	5	6	8	15	7	7	11
No	90	96	100	100	100	89	77	86	100	91	88	93	92	94	93	92	85	92	92	89
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1

Table 103: Q15D. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Ride Caltrain

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Yes	9	8	29	9	10	9	11	8	12	6	9	16	3	4
No	90	91	71	85	89	90	89	91	87	93	90	83	96	96
DK/NA	1	0	0	6	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0

Table 104: Q15E. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Carpool

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
Yes	21	22	18	26	19	21	39	26	18	13	18	20	25	20	21	16	16	22	26	22
No	79	78	81	74	81	79	61	74	82	87	82	80	75	80	78	84	84	78	71	78
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
Yes	21	20	23	17	21	22	20	18	22	21	20	24	14	25	19	23	23	15	21	20
No	79	80	76	83	77	78	78	82	78	79	79	76	85	75	81	76	77	85	78	80
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION								
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295
Yes	21	23	20	24	36	100	24	29	17	22	8	29	23	18	29	18	22	19	19	21	19
No	79	75	79	75	64	0	75	71	83	77	92	71	77	80	71	75	78	81	81	78	81
DK/NA	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	8	0	0	0	1	0

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K -\$100K	\$100K -\$175K	\$175K -\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205
Yes	21	15	25	21	12	16	26	18	12	22	21	20	12	19	37	30	21	19	22	19
No	79	85	75	79	88	84	73	82	87	78	79	80	88	80	63	59	79	81	77	81
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0

Table 104: Q15E. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Carpool

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Yes	21	22	19	22	19	21	32	24	16	22	12	9	24	16	11	20	18	21	21	26	15
No	79	78	80	78	80	79	68	75	83	77	88	91	75	83	89	80	82	79	79	74	85
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Yes	21	24	14	26	16	22	21	15	25	22	16	26	16	22	16	26	18	18	18	24	19
No	79	75	86	74	84	78	79	84	74	78	84	74	84	77	83	74	82	81	81	75	81
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
Yes	21	24	21	19	33	13	11	16	25	26	21	20	21	24	18	26	17	24	21	31
No	79	76	79	81	65	87	89	84	75	74	78	78	79	76	82	74	83	76	78	69
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
Yes	21	24	24	23	21	13	21	7	22	21	22	25	29	28	26	28	26	16	19	21
No	79	76	76	77	79	87	79	93	78	79	78	74	70	71	74	72	73	84	81	79
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0

Table 104: Q15E. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Carpool

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Yes	21	20	41	14	20	22	19	23	23	19	17	20	24	25
No	79	80	59	81	80	77	81	77	76	81	83	79	76	74
DK/NA	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1

Table 105: Q15F. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Drive alone

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
Yes	55	41	49	63	46	49	80	53	47	42	50	58	47	56	47	65	51	51	73	60
No	44	58	51	36	54	51	20	47	53	58	50	42	53	44	52	35	49	48	25	40
DK/NA	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
Yes	55	46	53	64	71	50	69	55	54	49	71	51	61	43	55	55	74	24	66	2
No	44	53	46	36	28	50	30	45	46	50	28	49	39	57	44	45	25	76	34	97
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION								
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295
Yes	55	54	48	47	45	64	100	56	54	51	53	48	52	53	50	51	50	53	59	50	56
No	44	45	51	53	55	35	0	44	46	48	47	52	47	45	50	43	50	47	41	49	44
DK/NA	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	7	0	0	0	1	0

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K - \$100K	\$100K - \$175K	\$175K - \$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205
Yes	55	43	60	60	69	40	52	66	56	56	45	60	48	57	58	29	49	56	72	56
No	44	57	40	40	31	60	47	34	44	44	54	40	52	43	42	62	51	44	28	44
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	9	0	0	1	0

Table 105: Q15F. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Drive alone

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Yes	55	54	56	55	54	67	43	50	66	58	64	53	52	59	60	54	60	45	56	79	71
No	44	46	43	45	45	33	57	50	34	41	35	47	47	41	40	46	40	55	44	21	29
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Yes	55	52	62	55	53	56	54	53	52	71	65	52	58	51	58	67	66	62	52	42	60
No	44	48	38	45	46	43	46	47	46	29	35	48	41	49	41	33	34	37	48	57	40
DK/NA	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
Yes	55	68	65	33	70	44	43	72	53	44	63	48	56	51	52	72	60	23	52	65
No	44	32	35	66	30	56	57	28	47	56	36	50	44	47	48	28	40	77	47	33
DK/NA	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
Yes	55	67	77	60	34	43	33	28	55	45	59	52	53	52	51	50	55	56	62	49
No	44	33	23	40	66	52	67	71	45	55	41	47	47	47	49	50	44	43	38	51
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0

Table 105: Q15F. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Drive alone

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Yes	55	57	46	43	54	56	53	57	55	55	42	50	68	69
No	44	43	54	52	46	43	46	42	44	45	58	49	32	31
DK/NA	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0

Table 106: Q15G. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Use a ride hail service like Uber or Lyft

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
Yes	40	35	36	48	42	40	42	45	52	26	37	43	44	38	42	44	28	38	45	42
No	59	63	63	51	58	59	54	52	48	74	63	57	56	61	57	56	72	62	55	52
DK/NA	1	2	1	1	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	7

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
Yes	40	38	42	41	46	40	44	34	40	39	45	34	38	45	41	39	37	45	38	52
No	59	62	57	58	54	60	55	63	58	60	55	66	62	51	58	60	62	55	61	48
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	1

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION								
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295
Yes	40	42	46	56	55	56	41	100	37	36	55	53	45	33	40	34	42	44	36	41	40
No	59	56	54	44	42	42	58	0	63	63	45	45	54	64	60	64	57	56	64	58	60
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	2	0	2	1	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	0

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K -\$100K	\$100K -\$175K	\$175K -\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205
Yes	40	36	37	50	35	39	41	39	26	44	40	40	35	45	37	13	38	47	42	54
No	59	64	61	50	65	60	57	60	74	56	58	59	65	54	63	78	61	52	58	41
DK/NA	1	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	9	1	2	0	5

Table 106: Q15G. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Use a ride hail service like Uber or Lyft

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Yes	40	44	36	40	40	45	44	48	42	41	28	25	45	34	27	37	50	35	48	53	23
No	59	55	63	59	59	54	54	52	57	59	69	75	54	65	71	62	50	62	52	44	77
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	2	1	0	2	0	3	0

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Yes	40	41	31	49	36	35	43	38	45	35	54	44	34	44	36	79	27	36	36	44	44
No	59	59	68	50	63	64	56	62	53	63	46	56	64	55	64	18	73	63	63	55	56
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	1	0	2	0

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
Yes	40	26	53	47	28	51	55	24	37	47	39	37	41	32	41	35	49	18	42	36
No	59	74	46	50	72	46	45	76	63	52	58	63	58	67	58	65	49	82	57	63
DK/NA	1	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	1

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
Yes	40	26	26	60	35	36	52	52	43	45	38	47	46	48	46	46	45	34	34	47
No	59	74	74	40	65	57	48	42	57	55	62	52	53	52	54	54	53	65	65	53
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	6	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	1

Table 106: Q15G. Do you regularly, at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? : Use a ride hail service like Uber or Lyft

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
Yes	40	40	62	28	43	38	41	40	42	39	46	44	40	27
No	59	60	37	66	57	60	58	59	57	61	53	55	59	73
DK/NA	1	0	1	6	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	0

Table 107: Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	848	79	508	310	334	621	66	105	59	39	386	84	200	582	252	131	34	298	100	33
BIKE	26	18	27	25	32	27	22	22	11	83	25	19	18	27	32	17	15	25	25	24
MUNI	69	83	77	63	78	74	46	66	59	66	77	80	72	70	73	52	65	77	60	69
BART	35	49	39	31	44	36	25	34	47	19	36	46	34	37	37	31	33	36	35	38
CALTRAIN	10	18	13	6	12	11	8	15	16	6	6	17	12	9	15	6	4	10	5	2
CARPOOL	22	22	19	27	19	22	41	26	19	13	19	20	26	20	22	17	21	22	26	22
DRIVE ALONE	58	41	51	66	47	51	85	53	50	43	53	60	49	59	49	68	68	53	74	60
RIDESHARE	42	36	38	50	43	42	45	46	56	26	39	45	46	40	43	46	37	39	45	42

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE					Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	848	303	247	64	168	550	231	66	313	556	230	61	285	84	479	369	579	117	696	140
BIKE	26	34	22	26	19	28	21	19	21	28	22	17	26	37	29	21	27	36	28	14
MUNI	69	76	74	50	58	75	56	67	73	75	57	68	74	75	72	66	61	85	65	94
BART	35	39	34	36	31	36	32	35	34	38	30	33	38	44	39	31	30	43	32	49
CALTRAIN	10	11	15	5	6	12	6	3	12	11	9	7	11	10	10	10	8	16	9	13
CARPOOL	22	21	25	18	22	22	21	21	24	22	21	27	15	25	19	25	24	15	22	21
DRIVE ALONE	58	47	56	66	72	51	70	64	58	51	73	59	62	45	57	59	78	24	69	2
RIDESHARE	42	38	44	43	46	41	45	39	43	41	46	39	39	47	42	42	39	46	40	54

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	848	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	381	209	60	141	424	126	81	37	246	292	215	283	507
BIKE	26	100	27	30	39	27	24	26	27	20	16	30	23	18	24	23	20	29	28	21	29
MUNI	69	73	100	87	83	64	58	75	69	69	77	71	70	74	59	76	76	64	66	76	65
BART	35	41	44	100	67	39	29	47	34	30	61	42	39	30	29	40	45	27	34	45	30
CALTRAIN	10	15	12	19	100	16	8	13	8	8	10	24	13	8	7	4	11	9	8	10	9
CARPOOL	22	23	20	24	36	100	24	29	18	23	8	31	24	19	30	18	23	19	20	22	20
DRIVE ALONE	58	54	48	47	45	64	100	56	56	54	54	52	55	56	51	53	52	56	62	52	58
RIDESHARE	42	42	46	56	55	56	41	100	39	37	56	57	47	35	42	35	44	46	37	43	42

Table 107: Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	848	215	252	212	31	175	151	134	38	203	326	375	221	434	134	8	515	133	200	42
BIKE	26	28	24	18	15	14	24	29	46	31	19	32	21	28	29	34	29	20	22	20
MUNI	69	76	65	61	67	85	70	64	77	63	78	64	75	67	68	91	75	70	54	67
BART	35	38	33	33	34	41	46	36	15	30	43	31	38	32	41	41	37	37	30	47
CALTRAIN	10	9	11	7	18	12	8	11	14	5	10	8	12	9	11	6	12	8	7	0
CARPOOL	22	15	27	22	12	16	28	19	12	23	22	21	13	20	39	49	22	21	22	20
DRIVE ALONE	58	45	63	62	73	40	55	70	58	58	47	62	49	59	60	47	50	61	73	60
RIDESHARE	42	37	40	52	37	40	43	42	27	46	41	42	36	47	38	22	39	51	43	57

	Q24 GENDER		PARTY REGISTRATION				AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	848	414	383	522	265	61	145	203	137	186	110	66	485	362	176	363	119	102	85	23	19
BIKE	26	20	31	25	29	15	24	41	26	24	12	7	32	17	10	25	18	29	19	13	20
MUNI	69	69	67	71	68	62	81	66	62	74	64	68	69	70	65	72	66	68	58	57	60
BART	35	36	32	36	35	27	32	41	33	40	31	23	36	34	28	34	38	40	31	15	26
CALTRAIN	10	8	11	8	13	13	16	11	5	8	10	7	11	8	9	9	4	15	14	10	4
CARPOOL	22	23	20	23	20	21	33	25	17	23	12	9	25	17	11	21	18	22	22	26	16
DRIVE ALONE	58	56	59	57	55	68	45	52	68	60	67	56	54	62	63	57	61	46	60	79	76
RIDESHARE	42	46	38	42	42	46	46	50	44	43	29	27	47	36	28	39	52	36	51	53	24

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	848	211	171	251	163	210	284	142	105	31	25	309	212	156	109	20	41	120	243	155	244
BIKE	26	39	21	25	13	32	19	32	27	14	10	30	18	37	18	16	15	21	35	20	21
MUNI	69	69	66	68	71	70	70	67	64	47	72	72	69	65	72	55	65	76	62	75	67
BART	35	32	32	38	33	38	36	28	39	13	28	37	36	35	35	29	25	47	26	43	32
CALTRAIN	10	13	10	11	4	12	5	11	17	10	2	9	6	14	12	15	12	12	9	9	8
CARPOOL	22	25	15	27	16	23	22	15	26	23	16	27	17	22	17	27	18	19	19	26	20
DRIVE ALONE	58	53	65	57	56	59	57	55	54	74	65	55	61	52	61	72	66	62	55	45	62
RIDESHARE	42	42	33	51	38	37	45	40	46	36	54	46	36	44	38	84	27	36	38	47	46

Table 107: Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	848	80	85	61	74	85	75	75	95	76	80	63	750	97	414	79	35	6	232
BIKE	26	31	25	25	25	20	24	25	23	36	28	19	27	12	25	33	7	0	27	27
MUNI	69	62	66	76	64	84	80	54	67	66	84	59	69	70	71	65	60	71	68	71
BART	35	22	16	34	24	37	51	35	35	46	45	48	36	27	37	28	25	12	37	34
CALTRAIN	10	2	0	15	0	12	20	8	6	11	23	15	10	8	7	14	12	0	14	9
CARPPOOL	22	24	22	20	35	14	11	17	26	27	21	23	21	25	19	28	17	24	21	32
DRIVE ALONE	58	68	68	33	76	46	46	76	54	46	63	54	58	52	54	77	60	23	54	67
RIDESHARE	42	26	56	48	30	53	58	25	38	49	39	41	43	33	43	37	49	18	44	37

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	848	69	18	60	11	25	58	44	31	38	494	394	288	229	159	93	310	120	297
BIKE	26	33	19	17	26	14	18	32	27	20	27	29	28	30	31	32	23	20	27	24
MUNI	69	65	90	55	65	86	82	81	87	69	67	74	71	72	76	79	63	73	59	76
BART	35	19	19	11	24	18	61	35	16	38	40	37	36	36	34	33	38	34	33	36
CALTRAIN	10	2	0	0	0	7	24	15	0	6	12	7	8	6	6	8	15	8	8	11
CARPPOOL	22	24	25	24	21	13	22	8	23	21	23	26	30	29	27	29	26	18	20	21
DRIVE ALONE	58	67	83	63	35	44	35	29	56	45	62	54	54	54	54	51	56	61	66	50
RIDESHARE	42	26	28	63	36	36	55	53	43	46	40	48	47	49	48	47	46	37	36	47

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	848	744	52	52	422	425	417	431	430	417	222	300	156
BIKE	26	26	30	19	26	25	26	25	22	29	21	26	30	26
MUNI	69	68	81	78	73	66	66	73	66	73	81	71	61	59
BART	35	35	53	28	35	36	34	36	37	33	35	48	17	31
CALTRAIN	10	8	30	10	11	9	11	8	13	7	10	17	3	5
CARPPOOL	22	21	42	15	20	23	20	23	24	20	17	21	25	27
DRIVE ALONE	58	59	47	47	57	58	57	58	58	57	43	53	70	74
RIDESHARE	42	42	63	30	45	40	44	41	44	41	48	47	41	29

Table 108: Q16. OWNS CARS

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS				
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33	
TOTAL ACCESS	82	67	79	87	81	80	95	84	76	82	82	70	75	84	77	82	91	82	91	86	
Yes, have car	69	44	63	76	61	64	90	69	68	74	65	60	64	69	59	79	82	65	87	59	
No, but have access to car	14	23	16	11	20	16	5	15	8	7	17	11	11	14	18	3	9	17	4	27	
NO/NO ACCESS	16	29	19	13	19	19	5	16	19	18	18	29	23	15	22	16	9	17	6	14	
No, no access to car	16	29	19	13	19	19	5	16	19	18	18	29	23	15	22	16	9	17	6	14	
DK/NA/REF	1	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	5	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	1	3	0	
DK/NA/Refused	1	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	5	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	1	3	0	
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146	
TOTAL ACCESS	82	80	80	79	88	80	86	89	82	81	84	83	86	81	85	79	100	100	100	0	
Yes, have car	69	60	65	77	85	62	83	72	67	64	81	68	77	59	72	65	100	0	83	0	
No, but have access to car	14	20	14	2	4	17	3	17	15	18	3	15	9	22	13	14	0	100	17	0	
NO/NO ACCESS	16	18	20	19	9	19	12	11	18	18	12	17	13	17	13	20	0	0	0	100	
No, no access to car	16	18	20	19	9	19	12	11	18	18	12	17	13	17	13	20	0	0	0	100	
DK/NA/REF	1	2	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1	3	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	
DK/NA/Refused	1	2	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1	3	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	
	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION								
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
TOTAL ACCESS	82	91	77	75	75	84	98	78	80	83	80	79	81	85	80	74	76	83	90	76	86
Yes, have car	69	71	60	58	53	74	93	63	67	67	78	56	66	65	73	51	60	70	80	58	74
No, but have access to car	14	19	17	17	22	10	6	15	13	16	2	23	15	20	7	24	17	13	10	18	12
NO/NO ACCESS	16	9	22	23	21	16	1	21	19	15	20	20	17	15	15	26	22	16	10	23	13
No, no access to car	16	9	22	23	21	16	1	21	19	15	20	20	17	15	15	26	22	16	10	23	13
DK/NA/REF	1	0	1	2	4	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	4	0	1	1	1	1	1
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	1	2	4	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	4	0	1	1	1	1	1

Table 108: Q16. OWNS CARS

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
TOTAL ACCESS	82	78	83	85	86	66	79	93	88	89	72	90	74	87	82	71	80	84	86	92
Yes, have car	69	58	72	78	79	43	65	77	75	82	53	80	59	73	67	71	62	72	83	82
No, but have access to car	14	20	11	7	8	23	14	16	13	7	19	11	15	14	15	0	18	12	3	10
NO/NO ACCESS	16	21	16	14	9	32	21	7	12	11	27	10	25	13	17	29	19	15	11	8
No, no access to car	16	21	16	14	9	32	21	7	12	11	27	10	25	13	17	29	19	15	11	8
DK/NA/REF	1	1	1	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	3	0
DK/NA/Refused	1	1	1	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	3	0

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
TOTAL ACCESS	82	80	85	83	81	85	75	89	82	85	81	76	83	82	79	83	82	72	86	93	89
Yes, have car	69	65	73	67	70	77	50	73	73	76	74	58	66	72	68	64	78	70	73	90	85
No, but have access to car	14	15	13	16	10	8	26	16	9	8	7	18	17	9	11	19	4	3	13	3	4
NO/NO ACCESS	16	18	14	16	18	13	25	10	18	14	18	19	17	16	18	17	15	26	14	7	4
No, no access to car	16	18	14	16	18	13	25	10	18	14	18	19	17	16	18	17	15	26	14	7	4
DK/NA/REF	1	2	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	2	1	5	1	2	3	0	2	2	1	0	7
DK/NA/Refused	1	2	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	2	1	5	1	2	3	0	2	2	1	0	7

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER				PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION								
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
TOTAL ACCESS	82	86	84	80	80	86	81	83	78	94	81	84	82	79	83	100	77	76	90	77	82
Yes, have car	69	72	74	61	71	73	63	70	69	85	72	63	73	70	71	83	74	67	76	53	72
No, but have access to car	14	15	10	18	10	13	18	12	8	8	9	21	9	9	12	17	3	8	14	24	10
NO/NO ACCESS	16	13	15	19	17	14	18	16	21	6	13	16	17	20	14	0	20	24	10	21	16
No, no access to car	16	13	15	19	17	14	18	16	21	6	13	16	17	20	14	0	20	24	10	21	16
DK/NA/REF	1	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	6	0	2	1	3	0	4	0	0	2	1
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	6	0	2	1	3	0	4	0	0	2	1

Table 108: Q16. OWNS CARS

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
TOTAL ACCESS	82	87	89	65	87	72	73	91	81	72	93	93	83	77	81	92	83	41	80	89
Yes, have car	69	78	73	45	79	54	57	88	72	64	75	67	69	66	65	76	69	41	69	81
No, but have access to car	14	9	16	20	8	18	16	3	9	8	19	26	14	11	16	16	14	0	10	8
NO/NO ACCESS	16	13	11	31	9	28	24	7	18	28	7	7	16	20	18	8	12	59	18	11
No, no access to car	16	13	11	31	9	28	24	7	18	28	7	7	16	20	18	8	12	59	18	11
DK/NA/REF	1	0	0	4	4	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	4	0	2	0
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	0	4	4	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	4	0	2	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
TOTAL ACCESS	82	86	87	88	83	76	56	69	86	69	86	83	83	83	83	86	82	87	95	74
Yes, have car	69	76	85	71	39	63	33	55	72	59	73	65	68	66	66	61	62	71	87	56
No, but have access to car	14	10	2	16	44	13	23	13	14	10	13	18	15	17	18	25	20	15	8	18
NO/NO ACCESS	16	14	13	12	17	24	40	28	14	31	13	16	16	16	15	14	17	13	5	25
No, no access to car	16	14	13	12	17	24	40	28	14	31	13	16	16	16	15	14	17	13	5	25
DK/NA/REF	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	1
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	1

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
TOTAL ACCESS	82	83	74	77	82	83	80	85	83	81	71	83	88	89
Yes, have car	69	72	42	51	66	72	68	70	65	73	53	68	77	83
No, but have access to car	14	11	31	26	16	11	12	15	18	9	18	16	11	6
NO/NO ACCESS	16	15	26	23	17	16	19	14	16	17	27	16	12	8
No, no access to car	16	15	26	23	17	16	19	14	16	17	27	16	12	8
DK/NA/REF	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	2
DK/NA/Refused	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	2

Table 109: Q17. OWN/RENT HOME

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
TOTAL OWN	36	17	34	38	30	33	41	40	40	39	34	35	34	37	28	51	50	33	46	25
Own a single-family home	25	12	24	27	20	23	34	30	24	31	21	21	24	24	17	34	40	22	39	16
Own a condominium	11	6	11	12	10	11	7	10	16	9	12	14	10	12	11	16	11	11	6	9
RENT	56	76	60	51	64	61	46	58	57	54	60	53	55	57	68	37	33	62	38	75
Rent an apartment or home	56	76	60	51	64	61	46	58	57	54	60	53	55	57	68	37	33	62	38	75
DK/NA/REFUSED	8	6	6	10	6	5	13	2	4	6	7	12	11	6	5	12	17	5	16	0
DK/NA/Refused	8	6	6	10	6	5	13	2	4	6	7	12	11	6	5	12	17	5	16	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
TOTAL OWN	36	27	34	45	50	31	48	40	36	33	44	40	47	26	40	31	46	22	42	11
Own a single-family home	25	18	22	24	41	20	36	30	24	21	33	30	32	15	28	21	34	8	30	3
Own a condominium	11	10	13	21	9	11	12	10	12	12	11	9	15	11	12	10	12	14	12	8
RENT	56	67	61	50	33	64	38	50	58	62	42	53	46	67	52	61	45	74	50	85
Rent an apartment or home	56	67	61	50	33	64	38	50	58	62	42	53	46	67	52	61	45	74	50	85
DK/NA/REFUSED	8	5	5	5	18	5	14	10	6	5	14	8	7	7	8	8	9	4	8	4
DK/NA/Refused	8	5	5	5	18	5	14	10	6	5	14	8	7	7	8	8	9	4	8	4

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION						
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
TOTAL OWN	36	37	30	33	28	32	40	30	37	35	34	29	33	38	29	32	31	34	49	32	41
Own a single-family home	25	26	19	22	16	24	30	18	25	24	30	19	24	27	19	20	25	21	34	24	27
Own a condominium	11	11	11	12	12	8	10	12	12	11	4	10	9	11	10	11	7	13	15	7	14
RENT	56	54	63	58	62	56	49	64	60	58	57	69	61	53	66	64	61	61	46	61	55
Rent an apartment or home	56	54	63	58	62	56	49	64	60	58	57	69	61	53	66	64	61	61	46	61	55
DK/NA/REFUSED	8	9	8	9	10	12	10	5	3	7	9	2	6	9	4	4	8	4	5	7	5
DK/NA/Refused	8	9	8	9	10	12	10	5	3	7	9	2	6	9	4	4	8	4	5	7	5

Table 109: Q17. OWN/RENT HOME

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
TOTAL OWN	36	26	39	48	60	11	28	38	32	60	19	50	25	41	42	52	32	37	48	46
Own a single-family home	25	16	26	36	45	8	21	27	27	39	14	33	13	28	37	52	20	27	36	32
Own a condominium	11	10	12	13	15	4	6	12	5	21	5	16	12	13	6	0	11	9	12	13
RENT	56	69	58	45	36	82	68	59	68	38	75	49	70	56	49	48	63	57	37	51
Rent an apartment or home	56	69	58	45	36	82	68	59	68	38	75	49	70	56	49	48	63	57	37	51
DK/NA/REFUSED	8	5	3	7	4	7	5	3	0	2	6	2	5	3	8	0	5	6	15	3
DK/NA/Refused	8	5	3	7	4	7	5	3	0	2	6	2	5	3	8	0	5	6	15	3

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
TOTAL OWN	36	33	39	37	31	49	14	27	37	50	46	53	26	49	49	34	53	28	40	57	72
Own a single-family home	25	24	26	25	21	41	8	17	24	35	38	38	16	36	38	21	42	22	22	51	61
Own a condominium	11	9	13	12	10	8	6	10	13	15	9	15	10	13	11	13	11	6	18	6	11
RENT	56	59	55	57	58	34	79	70	57	39	40	34	69	38	37	62	42	68	51	38	21
Rent an apartment or home	56	59	55	57	58	34	79	70	57	39	40	34	69	38	37	62	42	68	51	38	21
DK/NA/REFUSED	8	8	6	5	11	17	6	3	5	11	14	13	5	12	14	4	4	4	9	5	7
DK/NA/Refused	8	8	6	5	11	17	6	3	5	11	14	13	5	12	14	4	4	4	9	5	7

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
TOTAL OWN	36	26	55	24	47	39	35	35	26	60	42	29	49	20	46	32	58	37	41	27	39
Own a single-family home	25	14	41	17	35	25	25	22	19	54	33	17	37	14	30	28	48	27	26	23	26
Own a condominium	11	12	14	7	12	14	10	13	7	6	8	12	12	6	16	3	10	10	15	4	13
RENT	56	71	36	70	42	55	60	59	60	33	34	68	42	72	39	66	17	58	55	64	56
Rent an apartment or home	56	71	36	70	42	55	60	59	60	33	34	68	42	72	39	66	17	58	55	64	56
DK/NA/REFUSED	8	3	9	6	11	6	4	6	14	7	24	3	9	8	15	3	25	5	4	9	5
DK/NA/Refused	8	3	9	6	11	6	4	6	14	7	24	3	9	8	15	3	25	5	4	9	5

Table 109: Q17. OWN/RENT HOME

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
TOTAL OWN	36	37	27	18	45	29	26	53	45	28	33	55	34	49	32	55	42	24	27	62
Own a single-family home	25	30	10	3	45	11	3	51	28	20	26	50	24	32	20	45	28	24	17	55
Own a condominium	11	7	17	14	0	18	24	2	17	8	7	6	10	17	13	10	14	0	10	7
RENT	56	54	62	82	45	61	70	31	52	61	60	41	57	46	63	38	49	12	62	27
Rent an apartment or home	56	54	62	82	45	61	70	31	52	61	60	41	57	46	63	38	49	12	62	27
DK/NA/REFUSED	8	9	11	0	10	9	4	16	3	11	7	3	8	5	5	7	9	64	11	11
DK/NA/Refused	8	9	11	0	10	9	4	16	3	11	7	3	8	5	5	7	9	64	11	11

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS				Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
TOTAL OWN	36	36	29	31	42	20	11	42	31	47	40	30	32	33	37	45	28	50	100	0
Own a single-family home	25	30	19	12	5	5	2	13	11	34	31	20	22	21	24	26	16	44	69	0
Own a condominium	11	6	10	19	37	15	9	29	19	13	8	10	10	11	13	19	12	6	31	0
RENT	56	54	49	61	58	80	82	55	60	53	52	63	61	59	54	46	65	40	0	100
Rent an apartment or home	56	54	49	61	58	80	82	55	60	53	52	63	61	59	54	46	65	40	0	100
DK/NA/REFUSED	8	10	21	8	0	0	7	3	10	0	9	7	7	8	9	9	7	10	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	8	10	21	8	0	0	7	3	10	0	9	7	7	8	9	9	7	10	0	0

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
TOTAL OWN	36	37	20	45	34	38	37	35	35	38	29	36	34	48
Own a single-family home	25	26	9	34	22	28	25	26	24	26	11	25	23	44
Own a condominium	11	11	11	11	12	10	12	10	10	12	18	10	11	4
RENT	56	55	80	47	57	55	55	57	57	55	67	58	55	41
Rent an apartment or home	56	55	80	47	57	55	55	57	57	55	67	58	55	41
DK/NA/REFUSED	8	8	0	8	9	7	8	8	8	8	4	7	11	12
DK/NA/Refused	8	8	0	8	9	7	8	8	8	8	4	7	11	12

Table 110: Q18. RACE/ETHNICITY

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
WHITE	45	43	45	44	57	49	35	40	53	57	49	42	38	47	56	31	21	45	42	46
White and/or Caucasian	45	43	45	44	57	49	35	40	53	57	49	42	38	47	56	31	21	45	42	46
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	65	53	46	45	51	55	62	60	40	45	55	57	49	48	48	59	57	38	37
Asian	24	22	23	24	22	23	34	24	21	24	23	33	26	24	25	20	23	26	19	20
Black and/or African American	7	9	9	4	6	7	1	17	8	2	5	4	12	6	5	10	11	8	7	0
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	29	20	12	16	19	18	22	27	12	14	17	16	17	17	10	24	23	6	17
Middle Eastern and/or North African	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	2	1	3	2	1	0	2	0	1	1	0
Native American	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	4	0	1	1	3	5	1	2	4	0	2	1	0
Pacific Islander	2	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	4	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	5	0
Another race or ethnicity	1	2	1	3	1	1	4	0	0	1	1	4	1	1	1	4	0	1	2	0
DK/NA/REFUSED	11	1	8	16	6	7	14	8	8	11	11	9	11	11	4	25	20	5	28	17
DK/NA/Refused	11	1	8	16	6	7	14	8	8	11	11	9	11	11	4	25	20	5	28	17
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
WHITE	45	53	47	43	33	50	36	31	44	49	37	35	52	51	49	39	44	42	43	52
White and/or Caucasian	45	53	47	43	33	50	36	31	44	49	37	35	52	51	49	39	44	42	43	52
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	52	55	47	43	53	44	50	54	53	46	46	39	45	44	58	49	56	50	53
Asian	24	27	23	25	18	26	20	22	23	26	19	20	23	27	22	26	23	28	24	22
Black and/or African American	7	3	11	5	10	6	8	6	10	7	8	6	6	1	6	8	8	1	7	9
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	22	18	12	7	20	8	21	19	19	13	20	8	18	13	23	14	29	16	21
Middle Eastern and/or North African	1	0	1	5	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
Native American	2	2	2	1	4	2	3	0	2	2	3	0	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	5
Pacific Islander	2	1	2	4	2	1	3	1	2	1	3	1	2	0	1	2	2	1	2	1
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	1	5	3	1	3	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	2
DK/NA/REFUSED	11	4	5	20	29	4	26	19	8	5	25	20	15	9	13	10	13	4	12	7
DK/NA/Refused	11	4	5	20	29	4	26	19	8	5	25	20	15	9	13	10	13	4	12	7

Table 110: Q18. RACE/ETHNICITY

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
WHITE	45	47	45	43	35	37	44	41	100	14	13	14	13	4	30	14	46	48	56	42	51
White and/or Caucasian	45	47	45	43	35	37	44	41	100	14	13	14	13	4	30	14	46	48	56	42	51
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	45	50	55	68	55	48	56	15	100	100	100	100	100	100	86	55	52	43	59	48
Asian	24	19	24	20	17	23	22	20	6	96	3	4	47	100	90	52	17	29	24	22	27
Black and/or African American	7	4	8	12	7	3	7	9	2	1	100	2	14	1	0	11	6	5	9	6	
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	19	17	20	40	23	15	22	5	3	6	100	34	2	2	34	24	16	11	25	14
Middle Eastern and/or North African	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	3	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	2	1	1
Native American	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	2	0	8	4	4	1	0	0	5	0	3	4	1
Pacific Islander	2	1	1	1	2	3	2	2	3	7	3	1	3	2	14	0	2	2	2	1	2
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	1	2	4	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	2	2	0	1	1
DK/NA/REFUSED	11	12	11	8	10	15	14	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	9	4	8
DK/NA/Refused	11	12	11	8	10	15	14	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	9	4	8

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
WHITE	45	48	58	40	42	38	45	52	62	55	41	54	51	49	34	17	51	31	38	23
White and/or Caucasian	45	48	58	40	42	38	45	52	62	55	41	54	51	49	34	17	51	31	38	23
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	52	43	58	52	66	61	53	38	44	63	47	48	48	63	90	52	61	40	66
Asian	24	21	19	28	35	24	27	23	21	29	25	26	24	23	29	40	26	25	17	28
Black and/or African American	7	9	6	10	0	13	10	5	2	6	12	5	8	6	6	13	6	14	6	21
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	22	17	13	6	29	21	22	13	8	26	13	13	16	27	48	19	24	8	17
Middle Eastern and/or North African	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	6	1	2	1	4
Native American	2	2	2	4	2	5	1	0	2	1	3	1	2	2	1	6	2	0	3	0
Pacific Islander	2	0	2	3	4	1	3	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	6	1	2	3	0
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	1	1	6	2	1	1	0	1	2	1	2	1	0	0	1	2	2	7
DK/NA/REFUSED	11	5	9	9	7	4	1	5	6	5	3	5	7	10	7	6	4	13	28	10
DK/NA/Refused	11	5	9	9	7	4	1	5	6	5	3	5	7	10	7	6	4	13	28	10

Table 110: Q18. RACE/ETHNICITY

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
WHITE	45	46	47	49	39	31	24	61	36	44	40	65	43	47	50	55	40	49	41	41	44
White and/or Caucasian	45	46	47	49	39	31	24	61	36	44	40	65	43	47	50	55	40	49	41	41	44
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	55	51	49	53	51	78	46	55	44	44	25	58	41	37	46	60	52	55	59	45
Asian	24	24	26	21	30	24	35	26	27	17	17	16	29	17	17	18	28	28	31	31	31
Black and/or African American	7	8	7	9	4	0	4	3	11	13	8	0	5	9	5	8	17	8	3	0	0
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	20	16	17	17	15	42	16	12	11	12	5	22	10	9	20	10	17	16	18	4
Middle Eastern and/or North African	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	0
Native American	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	1	2	1	5	2	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	7	0
Pacific Islander	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	4	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	1	4	0	3	0	7
Another race or ethnicity	1	2	1	1	0	6	2	0	2	2	2	0	1	2	2	1	2	0	0	2	2
DK/NA/REFUSED	11	5	10	8	15	19	4	7	15	16	17	12	8	16	15	6	8	11	9	5	11
DK/NA/Refused	11	5	10	8	15	19	4	7	15	16	17	12	8	16	15	6	8	11	9	5	11

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
WHITE	45	47	47	41	54	53	49	40	42	34	31	47	52	39	39	17	39	44	52	41	52
White and/or Caucasian	45	47	47	41	54	53	49	40	42	34	31	47	52	39	39	17	39	44	52	41	52
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	57	44	64	41	51	53	51	62	53	50	56	40	59	44	78	37	63	48	60	53
Asian	24	32	20	30	15	21	22	33	30	35	16	26	12	33	25	37	18	22	30	22	25
Black and/or African American	7	4	10	7	9	7	12	7	0	0	0	7	13	3	5	0	0	13	4	7	7
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	21	10	25	12	20	17	10	29	13	21	22	11	23	10	31	7	27	11	26	18
Middle Eastern and/or North African	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Native American	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	5	0	0	7	1	2	2	4	8	0	7	1	2	2
Pacific Islander	2	2	1	2	1	3	1	1	2	0	6	3	1	1	1	0	4	2	2	1	2
Another race or ethnicity	1	0	1	2	2	0	2	0	1	4	0	1	2	1	0	2	8	0	1	2	2
DK/NA/REFUSED	11	8	12	3	10	6	4	14	7	15	21	7	11	11	22	6	26	1	8	3	4
DK/NA/Refused	11	8	12	3	10	6	4	14	7	15	21	7	11	11	22	6	26	1	8	3	4

Table 110: Q18. RACE/ETHNICITY

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
WHITE	45	46	56	40	48	47	44	40	53	54	33	25	43	60	51	46	36	5	41	28
White and/or Caucasian	45	46	56	40	48	47	44	40	53	54	33	25	43	60	51	46	36	5	41	28
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	44	40	57	45	50	62	40	41	46	58	77	53	32	48	48	51	25	53	60
Asian	24	32	23	36	32	18	31	20	17	14	20	24	25	17	19	15	24	25	27	45
Black and/or African American	7	1	5	1	1	12	7	11	8	1	24	3	7	4	10	9	0	0	4	1
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	8	12	17	8	21	24	3	12	27	11	48	18	7	16	23	19	0	19	11
Middle Eastern and/or North African	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	0	0	1	1
Native American	2	3	1	0	3	7	0	0	1	1	0	7	2	3	2	1	0	0	3	3
Pacific Islander	2	2	1	2	3	0	2	4	1	0	0	2	1	3	2	0	4	0	1	1
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	0	2	4	2	0	4	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	0	4	0	0	3
DK/NA/REFUSED	11	18	12	10	14	10	7	22	11	5	12	3	11	17	9	8	16	70	14	15
DK/NA/Refused	11	18	12	10	14	10	7	22	11	5	12	3	11	17	9	8	16	70	14	15

	NEIGHBORHOODS										FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
WHITE	45	49	45	54	52	34	49	42	56	63	41	40	42	43	46	43	35	38	45	48
White and/or Caucasian	45	49	45	54	52	34	49	42	56	63	41	40	42	43	46	43	35	38	45	48
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	44	45	39	44	67	57	57	38	33	53	55	55	54	49	45	63	52	46	55
Asian	24	32	22	21	38	46	25	27	12	16	23	27	26	28	26	27	27	28	23	25
Black and/or African American	7	1	10	4	0	0	10	6	8	13	8	8	9	6	6	3	8	8	7	7
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	8	13	14	6	17	19	22	24	4	19	18	18	13	15	27	14	14	21	
Middle Eastern and/or North African	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Native American	2	3	3	1	0	0	4	1	10	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	2	
Pacific Islander	2	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	0	1	
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	0	2	2	3	3	2	1	2	1	0	
DK/NA/REFUSED	11	15	10	16	4	6	6	10	16	6	12	9	9	9	11	15	7	12	12	6
DK/NA/Refused	11	15	10	16	4	6	6	10	16	6	12	9	9	9	11	15	7	12	12	6

Table 110: Q18. RACE/ETHNICITY

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>WHITE</u>	45	50	7	4	43	46	45	45	36	54	50	39	51	43
White and/or Caucasian	45	50	7	4	43	46	45	45	36	54	50	39	51	43
<u>VOTERS OF COLOR</u>	50	43	100	100	50	51	49	51	60	41	49	60	41	44
Asian	24	20	0	100	24	23	24	24	28	20	23	23	27	24
Black and/or African American	7	8	5	0	7	7	7	7	8	6	7	9	3	7
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	12	100	3	17	17	15	19	23	11	17	26	10	7
Middle Eastern and/or North African	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	1
Native American	2	2	5	0	2	2	3	2	1	3	3	2	2	2
Pacific Islander	2	2	0	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	3
Another race or ethnicity	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	0	3
<u>DK/NA/REFUSED</u>	11	13	0	0	13	10	12	11	9	14	10	7	14	18
DK/NA/Refused	11	13	0	0	13	10	12	11	9	14	10	7	14	18

Table 111: Q19. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	217	16	125	82	77	154	24	25	16	10	94	29	56	148	68	29	11	79	23	7
Chinese	62	38	61	64	59	61	78	56	16	59	57	83	61	59	57	72	68	59	64	86
Filipino	6	16	7	7	7	7	9	1	3	0	9	0	10	6	13	4	10	2	8	0
Indian	7	22	11	1	8	9	3	9	9	6	10	0	8	7	12	5	0	6	0	0
Japanese	6	24	7	4	8	6	0	12	19	0	6	7	9	6	6	8	12	7	0	0
Korean	2	0	0	7	0	0	5	6	0	0	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	7	0	0
Vietnamese	2	0	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	15	2	0	2	2	0	5	0	3	0	0
Mixed race	9	0	9	10	10	10	0	0	32	20	9	8	4	11	8	4	0	10	18	6
Other	4	0	3	3	4	5	0	10	17	0	3	0	2	5	4	0	0	5	10	0
DK/NA/Refused	2	0	1	4	2	1	5	6	3	0	1	0	4	1	0	3	11	3	0	8

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	217	85	63	18	34	148	52	17	80	151	50	15	67	22	110	107	147	33	180	34
Chinese	62	48	71	55	75	58	68	75	72	59	69	68	62	56	61	63	59	82	63	62
Filipino	6	9	3	3	7	7	6	6	4	7	4	11	11	9	8	4	8	4	7	4
Indian	7	14	2	8	0	9	3	0	1	9	3	0	6	12	6	7	7	0	6	11
Japanese	6	9	3	6	4	7	5	7	4	6	9	0	7	9	6	6	6	1	5	5
Korean	2	0	9	0	0	4	0	0	7	4	0	0	5	0	3	2	4	0	3	0
Vietnamese	2	1	2	8	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	10	0	5	2	1	0	3	1	8
Mixed race	9	9	10	16	8	9	10	2	8	8	14	4	6	4	8	10	8	7	8	11
Other	4	8	0	3	5	4	4	0	0	6	0	0	2	5	3	5	5	4	5	0
DK/NA/Refused	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	10	3	2	1	8	2	0	2	2	3	0	2	0

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION						
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	217	41	141	61	16	48	112	78	30	217	2	5	217	134	83	20	45	90	58	65	148
Chinese	62	53	66	61	66	50	63	57	17	62	43	56	62	100	0	93	74	52	56	80	54
Filipino	6	10	7	9	10	10	7	11	7	6	0	0	6	0	17	0	4	10	5	2	8
Indian	7	12	8	2	0	2	3	4	8	7	0	0	7	0	17	0	0	5	16	0	10
Japanese	6	1	4	9	13	3	6	3	13	6	0	0	6	0	16	0	7	5	9	5	7
Korean	2	0	2	0	0	9	5	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	6	7	3	2	1	4	2
Vietnamese	2	3	3	4	0	2	0	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	4	0	3	2	0	2	1
Mixed race	9	10	6	6	7	10	10	10	51	9	57	44	9	0	23	0	9	12	7	7	10
Other	4	5	4	6	4	10	4	7	5	4	0	0	4	0	11	0	0	8	3	0	6
DK/NA/Refused	2	6	0	2	0	4	3	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	5	0	0	2	2	0	2

Table 111: Q19. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	217	46	53	67	13	40	45	36	9	62	85	106	55	111	41	5	139	37	40	12
Chinese	62	52	49	63	69	79	70	44	71	54	74	52	54	64	68	64	58	65	71	63
Filipino	6	6	11	3	4	0	9	8	29	2	5	7	7	5	11	0	7	6	4	5
Indian	7	16	4	6	0	0	0	20	0	10	0	12	8	6	5	36	9	0	3	0
Japanese	6	9	10	2	16	9	3	7	0	7	6	6	13	4	4	0	6	9	6	10
Korean	2	0	4	5	0	5	1	0	0	4	3	2	4	2	1	0	4	0	0	0
Vietnamese	2	2	0	4	0	4	2	0	0	2	3	1	2	1	4	0	2	4	0	0
Mixed race	9	5	15	10	11	2	9	21	0	7	6	11	11	9	5	0	8	6	13	0
Other	4	10	5	2	0	0	5	0	0	10	3	6	0	8	0	0	5	6	0	18
DK/NA/Refused	2	0	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	2	1	1	3	0	1	4	2	4

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	217	107	107	117	83	17	51	59	39	35	20	13	149	67	33	69	36	29	30	7	8
Chinese	62	67	55	60	61	73	68	43	76	56	78	69	60	65	75	57	61	39	58	84	71
Filipino	6	6	7	6	7	8	8	4	5	12	6	0	6	8	4	6	2	12	7	10	0
Indian	7	3	10	7	7	0	7	13	2	6	0	0	8	3	0	9	6	11	6	0	0
Japanese	6	8	5	5	8	7	2	5	5	7	12	20	4	11	15	6	4	18	5	7	10
Korean	2	4	1	3	3	0	4	0	3	6	0	0	2	3	0	0	9	8	0	0	0
Vietnamese	2	2	1	2	1	0	2	2	0	4	0	0	1	2	0	2	4	0	4	0	0
Mixed race	9	6	12	12	4	11	4	22	2	3	2	12	11	5	6	14	13	3	7	0	19
Other	4	3	5	4	5	0	4	8	2	2	0	0	5	1	0	7	0	9	6	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	2	1	3	1	4	0	0	3	4	3	2	0	2	2	1	1	2	0	7	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	217	70	38	79	28	48	69	49	33	11	5	88	28	53	30	8	9	26	80	38	67
Chinese	62	49	67	69	61	46	70	59	62	78	64	62	55	51	80	100	49	85	47	76	61
Filipino	6	7	8	5	8	5	6	9	5	12	0	5	9	8	5	0	15	0	10	4	7
Indian	7	13	5	4	0	11	5	12	0	0	0	9	2	9	4	0	0	0	14	0	5
Japanese	6	1	11	6	11	5	4	4	14	7	9	1	15	9	7	0	14	0	7	8	7
Korean	2	2	0	3	7	2	3	0	7	0	0	1	7	4	0	0	0	5	0	4	4
Vietnamese	2	2	0	1	5	0	4	2	0	0	0	1	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	2
Mixed race	9	16	4	6	5	22	6	4	2	3	27	15	4	6	0	0	21	11	13	4	7
Other	4	8	0	3	2	8	1	3	8	0	0	4	2	8	0	0	0	0	7	0	5
DK/NA/Refused	2	2	4	2	0	1	1	5	2	0	0	1	1	4	4	0	0	0	2	0	2

Table 111: Q19. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	217	27	20	23	28	16	26	18	17	11	16	15	198	18	89	13	10	2	67	37
Chinese	62	61	47	74	72	69	60	46	19	86	73	75	62	55	56	68	76	52	61	71
Filipino	6	5	5	4	13	9	0	18	6	0	10	0	6	7	5	17	0	0	8	5
Indian	7	0	27	0	0	15	7	9	9	0	11	0	7	0	9	0	0	0	5	7
Japanese	6	6	0	9	0	0	0	13	30	12	6	0	5	14	3	12	5	48	10	2
Korean	2	2	11	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	1
Vietnamese	2	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	1	0	0	0	2	4
Mixed race	9	15	5	5	13	0	20	8	4	2	0	11	8	18	16	0	19	0	1	6
Other	4	0	0	2	2	4	6	0	32	0	0	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	7	0
DK/NA/Refused	2	6	0	0	0	3	6	5	0	0	0	0	2	6	1	3	0	0	3	4

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS				Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	217	23	4	13	4	12	16	12	4	6	122	111	81	69	46	26	90	37	76	126
Chinese	62	61	35	57	66	100	54	45	42	26	65	63	67	67	73	71	61	90	68	56
Filipino	6	5	15	2	0	0	5	5	19	0	8	7	4	3	5	8	7	0	3	7
Indian	7	0	0	24	0	0	0	38	25	10	4	8	4	4	3	3	6	0	6	8
Japanese	6	0	0	0	0	0	12	6	13	8	8	2	2	3	2	4	6	5	10	5
Korean	2	2	50	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	3	4	5	4	2	3	2
Vietnamese	2	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	4	1	4	0	3
Mixed race	9	18	0	8	0	0	22	0	0	12	8	7	9	11	7	3	8	0	6	10
Other	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	44	4	6	4	5	1	0	5	0	1	7
DK/NA/Refused	2	7	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	3	3	3	2	1	1	0	3	2

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	217	160	0	56	110	107	108	109	126	90	55	71	44	47
Chinese	62	48	0	100	61	63	62	62	70	51	54	70	59	61
Filipino	6	9	0	0	7	6	10	3	5	9	4	2	6	15
Indian	7	9	0	0	4	9	9	4	5	10	15	7	3	1
Japanese	6	8	0	0	6	6	7	5	6	6	10	3	4	9
Korean	2	3	0	0	2	3	0	5	3	1	3	2	6	0
Vietnamese	2	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	6	0
Mixed race	9	12	0	0	9	9	6	11	6	13	10	5	12	11
Other	4	6	0	0	6	2	2	6	4	5	4	7	1	1
DK/NA/Refused	2	3	0	0	4	1	2	2	1	4	1	2	3	2

Table 112: Q20. EDUCATION

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	33	45	35	31	33	34	38	38	28	24	31	37	41	30	33	35	27	37	22	38
High school or less	4	7	4	5	1	4	6	8	10	0	3	0	5	4	4	3	6	4	4	16
Some College	24	29	26	20	27	25	31	26	9	24	21	33	32	20	23	25	11	29	18	19
Business/Vocational School	5	8	5	6	5	5	1	4	9	0	7	3	4	6	6	7	11	5	0	3
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	55	61	59	65	61	47	58	61	73	64	57	52	64	66	53	50	60	63	49
College Graduate (4)	35	39	35	33	36	35	23	34	41	47	36	33	27	37	38	31	36	36	30	26
Post-Graduate Work/Professional	25	16	25	26	28	27	24	24	19	25	28	24	25	26	28	22	14	24	33	24
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	7	0	4	10	2	4	15	4	12	4	4	6	7	6	1	12	23	3	15	13
DK/Refused	7	0	4	10	2	4	15	4	12	4	4	6	7	6	1	12	23	3	15	13

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE							Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	33	35	35	23	32	35	29	32	35	35	31	26	28	28	30	38	28	44	31	46
High school or less	4	4	3	6	2	4	4	10	5	4	4	5	3	4	2	7	3	8	4	7
Some College	24	25	27	15	25	26	22	14	24	26	22	13	23	19	23	25	21	28	22	33
Business/Vocational School	5	6	5	2	5	5	4	8	6	5	5	8	2	5	4	7	4	7	5	6
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	64	61	70	52	63	57	50	58	63	54	54	66	67	64	55	65	53	63	48
College Graduate (4)	35	38	35	33	30	37	31	32	34	37	27	41	33	39	35	34	35	34	35	33
Post-Graduate Work/Professional	25	26	26	37	23	26	27	18	24	26	28	14	33	28	29	21	29	19	28	15
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	7	1	4	7	16	2	13	19	7	2	15	20	7	6	6	7	7	3	6	6
DK/Refused	7	1	4	7	16	2	13	19	7	2	15	20	7	6	6	7	7	3	6	6

Table 112: Q20. EDUCATION

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
NON-COLLEGE	33	27	36	42	33	34	30	34	31	30	44	50	39	39	16	100	100	0	0	100	0
High school or less	4	4	5	5	2	4	4	4	1	9	0	9	7	14	2	100	0	0	0	13	0
Some College	24	22	27	34	29	26	23	26	23	17	43	35	28	21	10	0	82	0	0	71	0
Business/Vocational School	5	1	5	3	2	4	3	4	7	4	2	6	4	4	4	0	18	0	0	16	0
COLLEGE+	60	67	56	51	52	54	61	60	68	68	48	49	58	60	83	0	0	100	100	0	100
College Graduate (4)	35	39	32	27	32	31	33	37	37	42	31	33	36	35	52	0	0	100	0	0	58
Post-Graduate Work/Professional	25	28	24	24	20	23	27	22	32	27	16	16	22	24	31	0	0	0	100	0	42
DK/REFUSED	7	6	7	7	15	12	9	7	0	2	8	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/Refused	7	6	7	7	15	12	9	7	0	2	8	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
NON-COLLEGE	33	34	24	34	50	66	44	25	14	15	55	18	33	32	41	66	35	36	28	40
High school or less	4	1	3	2	3	12	1	2	0	3	7	3	2	4	10	20	4	7	4	10
Some College	24	30	15	26	31	50	32	20	7	11	42	14	26	23	27	0	26	23	19	30
Business/Vocational School	5	4	6	6	16	4	11	3	7	1	7	2	6	4	5	46	5	5	5	0
COLLEGE+	60	64	70	64	47	32	56	73	86	85	43	80	63	66	53	34	64	50	57	52
College Graduate (4)	35	36	42	36	31	22	33	39	45	51	27	46	35	40	26	8	38	33	28	31
Post-Graduate Work/Professional	25	28	29	28	16	10	23	33	41	34	16	34	28	25	27	26	26	17	29	20
DK/REFUSED	7	2	6	2	3	2	0	3	0	1	1	1	3	3	5	0	1	15	15	8
DK/Refused	7	2	6	2	3	2	0	3	0	1	1	1	3	3	5	0	1	15	15	8

Table 112: Q20. EDUCATION

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
NON-COLLEGE	33	38	30	31	37	37	33	23	38	37	37	41	30	38	38	27	37	34	31	33	49
High school or less	4	4	6	3	7	4	0	2	8	5	6	7	3	6	7	1	3	4	2	0	0
Some College	24	28	20	23	24	26	30	17	28	26	24	19	24	24	22	22	28	24	23	27	31
Business/Vocational School	5	6	4	5	6	8	3	4	3	6	7	14	3	8	9	4	7	6	6	5	19
COLLEGE+	60	58	64	64	54	48	65	76	54	51	51	54	67	51	52	68	61	62	65	62	51
College Graduate (4)	35	34	38	35	35	33	52	46	26	28	24	20	42	25	22	37	30	44	41	41	36
Post-Graduate Work/Professional	25	25	27	30	19	15	14	30	28	23	27	34	25	26	30	31	31	18	24	21	14
DK/REFUSED	7	3	5	4	9	15	2	1	8	12	12	6	3	11	10	4	1	4	4	5	0
DK/Refused	7	3	5	4	9	15	2	1	8	12	12	6	3	11	10	4	1	4	4	5	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
NON-COLLEGE	33	24	38	36	41	27	35	35	44	30	55	28	37	35	40	40	35	100	0	100	0
High school or less	4	5	7	2	6	4	3	9	4	0	9	2	5	5	10	10	0	19	0	9	0
Some College	24	17	24	30	26	19	27	21	31	23	34	21	26	28	19	30	23	67	0	74	0
Business/Vocational School	5	1	8	5	9	4	5	4	8	6	12	4	6	2	11	0	12	14	0	17	0
COLLEGE+	60	71	55	63	51	69	62	57	53	65	33	70	56	60	45	57	44	0	100	0	100
College Graduate (4)	35	44	30	41	23	37	34	35	37	52	15	41	25	43	23	41	29	0	59	0	58
Post-Graduate Work/Professional	25	27	26	22	29	32	28	22	16	13	18	29	31	17	22	16	15	0	41	0	42
DK/REFUSED	7	5	6	1	7	3	3	8	3	5	12	2	7	5	15	3	21	0	0	0	0
DK/Refused	7	5	6	1	7	3	3	8	3	5	12	2	7	5	15	3	21	0	0	0	0

Table 112: Q20. EDUCATION

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	33	30	27	34	22	45	31	14	17	41	51	62	34	32	30	36	31	18	40	30
High school or less	4	2	3	9	2	4	7	1	3	3	7	8	4	3	4	1	6	0	7	4
Some College	24	20	21	10	17	35	20	10	11	35	38	47	24	21	23	27	16	18	27	21
Business/Vocational School	5	9	2	15	3	6	4	3	2	3	7	7	5	8	4	8	8	0	6	5
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	62	67	60	71	50	61	71	81	57	38	36	61	55	65	61	61	36	52	59
College Graduate (4)	35	35	41	39	38	24	41	39	47	28	21	23	36	27	35	33	36	18	34	34
Post-Graduate Work/Professional	25	27	26	21	32	26	20	32	33	28	17	13	25	28	30	28	24	17	18	25
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	7	8	6	6	7	5	8	15	2	3	11	2	6	13	5	3	9	46	8	11
DK/Refused	7	8	6	6	7	5	8	15	2	3	11	2	6	13	5	3	9	46	8	11

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/NOE V	CHNT/SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	33	33	20	23	37	31	55	32	19	27	34	36	36	34	35	32	39	43	29	36
High school or less	4	2	0	5	29	10	5	0	0	3	5	4	4	3	2	2	7	5	4	5
Some College	24	23	20	15	0	5	34	28	14	22	26	26	25	25	24	20	27	30	20	26
Business/Vocational School	5	9	0	3	8	15	16	5	5	3	4	5	7	7	9	10	5	8	5	6
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	60	80	67	63	69	39	66	70	68	58	59	60	61	60	61	56	49	67	59
College Graduate (4)	35	33	45	41	38	45	26	34	39	36	34	36	36	37	32	31	34	31	33	38
Post-Graduate Work/Professional	25	27	35	27	25	25	13	32	31	33	25	23	24	24	28	31	22	18	34	21
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	7	7	0	10	0	0	5	1	11	4	7	5	4	5	5	7	5	8	4	5
DK/Refused	7	7	0	10	0	0	5	1	11	4	7	5	4	5	5	7	5	8	4	5

Table 112: Q20. EDUCATION

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	33	31	52	49	33	34	33	34	40	26	33	45	30	17
High school or less	4	2	10	29	4	5	4	5	7	2	6	7	1	2
Some College	24	23	42	12	24	23	22	25	28	20	19	34	24	13
Business/Vocational School	5	5	0	8	5	6	7	4	6	4	9	5	5	2
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	62	44	47	60	60	60	60	54	67	63	49	63	73
College Graduate (4)	35	35	35	34	36	33	36	34	33	36	35	30	36	41
Post-Graduate Work/Professional	25	27	9	13	24	27	24	26	21	30	27	20	27	32
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	7	7	5	4	7	6	7	6	6	7	4	6	7	10
DK/Refused	7	7	5	4	7	6	7	6	6	7	4	6	7	10

Table 113: Q21. IDEOLOGY

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
Progressive	25	27	32	14	40	31	10	28	25	28	32	22	25	27	38	6	22	31	8	5
Liberal	30	39	30	32	30	33	38	20	39	35	33	32	25	33	33	23	25	32	28	37
Moderate	25	17	21	31	15	20	26	36	31	34	18	28	27	24	16	44	19	21	37	19
Conservative	4	4	4	3	4	3	10	3	1	0	4	3	4	4	3	7	3	3	4	0
Other	4	4	3	4	3	3	6	0	0	3	3	6	2	4	3	5	4	3	4	5
DK/NA/Refused	12	9	11	15	7	10	10	12	5	0	10	9	18	9	6	15	27	10	20	34

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
Progressive	25	37	31	9	6	34	7	15	27	34	8	16	25	32	25	25	21	38	24	33
Liberal	30	33	32	42	18	32	25	30	32	33	23	31	31	36	31	29	32	25	31	30
Moderate	25	15	23	34	44	19	41	19	22	20	37	25	28	22	28	21	28	13	26	21
Conservative	4	4	3	1	8	3	6	1	2	3	5	1	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	2
Other	4	1	6	3	5	3	4	4	5	3	6	1	1	0	2	5	3	5	4	4
DK/NA/Refused	12	10	5	11	19	8	17	30	11	7	21	25	11	6	10	16	12	17	12	11

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION							
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
Progressive	25	28	28	27	23	18	20	22	27	22	34	32	26	18	26	3	29	26	28	26	27
Liberal	30	28	28	28	34	36	33	28	40	24	27	30	26	20	32	24	22	36	34	22	35
Moderate	25	17	22	23	17	25	27	31	22	30	37	19	29	31	30	9	28	26	27	25	26
Conservative	4	2	4	4	7	2	5	3	3	6	0	1	4	7	5	2	6	3	2	6	3
Other	4	7	4	4	9	4	3	3	5	1	1	4	3	1	1	2	5	2	4	5	3
DK/NA/Refused	12	17	15	14	11	15	13	13	3	16	0	13	13	23	5	59	10	6	5	17	6

Table 113: Q21. IDEOLOGY

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
Progressive	25	100	0	0	0	28	25	36	39	24	27	30	29	27	19	15	36	14	7	10
Liberal	30	0	100	0	0	26	33	31	13	39	30	33	30	32	31	42	32	33	23	43
Moderate	25	0	0	100	0	15	28	20	32	32	21	27	28	23	28	12	19	25	40	30
Conservative	4	0	0	0	100	3	2	6	10	3	2	5	2	5	3	0	3	1	6	3
Other	4	0	0	0	0	9	2	2	4	1	6	2	5	3	2	6	3	3	5	1
DK/NA/Refused	12	0	0	0	0	18	9	5	2	2	14	3	5	10	17	26	7	24	19	13

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Progressive	25	28	23	32	17	1	43	24	23	19	21	22	29	20	21	46	0	45	0	2	0
Liberal	30	33	27	38	21	3	31	37	31	27	29	15	34	26	24	54	0	55	0	9	0
Moderate	25	23	29	21	30	33	19	24	22	30	26	30	22	29	28	0	95	0	92	89	0
Conservative	4	2	6	1	3	32	1	1	3	5	6	13	1	7	9	0	5	0	8	0	100
Other	4	3	4	2	5	11	0	8	2	3	3	2	4	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	12	11	11	6	24	20	7	6	18	17	14	18	9	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
Progressive	25	29	16	30	25	29	35	19	15	2	0	35	28	22	10	0	1	24	24	26	30
Liberal	30	30	23	37	28	38	38	15	27	5	2	40	34	24	17	0	5	19	31	22	40
Moderate	25	25	34	21	26	23	21	35	27	42	27	17	28	29	32	53	22	28	31	24	23
Conservative	4	3	9	0	5	2	1	5	0	38	32	0	2	1	4	20	39	8	5	4	1
Other	4	5	2	3	2	3	1	5	6	3	10	3	1	6	5	11	11	6	3	4	2
DK/NA/Refused	12	8	15	10	13	5	5	20	25	10	30	5	7	17	32	16	23	15	6	19	4

Table 113: Q21. IDEOLOGY

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
Progressive	25	28	26	16	12	36	19	19	39	29	17	32	26	22	35	23	2	0	19	6
Liberal	30	29	32	26	34	35	28	27	32	30	29	27	30	34	39	42	4	0	22	10
Moderate	25	22	33	26	25	19	23	26	22	20	35	23	26	17	17	26	45	0	31	37
Conservative	4	7	3	4	9	0	5	6	2	4	1	1	4	5	1	3	25	30	2	15
Other	4	3	1	6	6	2	5	6	0	3	4	5	4	3	2	2	4	0	4	11
DK/NA/Refused	12	12	6	21	13	8	19	16	5	14	14	12	11	19	6	4	20	70	21	20

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
Progressive	25	31	23	20	26	10	26	32	49	47	22	27	23	23	25	26	30	21	18	31
Liberal	30	25	50	29	20	26	30	38	37	31	30	27	31	29	26	22	29	26	32	31
Moderate	25	23	23	41	24	31	24	21	8	17	25	25	24	27	28	29	25	22	33	20
Conservative	4	7	0	4	10	3	1	0	0	0	4	4	5	4	5	6	3	6	6	2
Other	4	3	0	0	5	10	3	4	0	0	4	4	3	1	1	1	4	4	2	4
DK/NA/Refused	12	12	4	7	16	20	17	4	6	4	14	14	14	16	15	16	10	21	8	11

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
Progressive	25	26	36	5	28	23	27	24	27	24	31	24	27	19
Liberal	30	32	25	14	28	33	29	31	28	32	31	30	31	30
Moderate	25	25	17	27	23	27	25	25	24	26	23	25	28	24
Conservative	4	3	4	9	4	4	4	3	4	3	2	3	4	7
Other	4	3	10	1	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	4	3	5
DK/NA/Refused	12	10	9	44	14	11	11	14	13	12	11	14	8	14

Table 114: Q22. HOUSEHOLD INCOME

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
\$0-\$100K	38	55	42	32	38	40	33	42	20	19	38	52	49	34	40	31	33	43	25	49
Less than \$34,499	13	28	16	9	12	14	12	16	13	3	12	14	16	11	13	11	10	17	3	16
\$34,500 - \$52,499	7	15	8	5	7	7	5	10	1	6	6	14	12	6	9	6	1	9	1	14
\$52,500 - \$74,499	9	4	7	11	8	8	8	8	3	6	10	12	10	8	8	4	6	11	13	10
\$75,000 - \$99,999	9	7	10	8	10	10	7	8	2	4	10	12	11	9	11	10	17	6	8	10
\$100K+	44	37	45	45	52	47	46	47	64	65	46	33	33	49	51	40	31	46	41	26
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	12	18	12	21	17	21	16	37	13	15	14	12	18	20	13	11	16	11	18
\$175,000 - \$199,999	4	1	5	4	5	5	0	2	3	13	6	2	2	5	5	5	2	4	5	0
\$200,000 or more	24	24	22	28	26	25	25	30	24	39	24	16	19	26	25	22	18	26	25	8
REFUSED	18	8	13	23	10	13	21	11	16	16	16	15	18	17	9	29	36	11	34	26
Refused	18	8	13	23	10	13	21	11	16	16	16	15	18	17	9	29	36	11	34	26

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
\$0-\$100K	38	42	42	26	29	42	28	40	42	42	30	37	29	37	33	44	30	52	33	62
Less than \$34,499	13	16	14	2	9	15	7	12	14	15	9	6	6	10	8	18	7	23	10	25
\$34,500 - \$52,499	7	8	10	6	3	9	4	6	9	9	4	6	6	10	6	9	5	11	6	14
\$52,500 - \$74,499	9	9	10	8	8	9	8	7	10	10	7	8	8	14	11	7	8	11	8	13
\$75,000 - \$99,999	9	9	8	10	9	8	9	14	9	8	9	18	10	4	9	10	9	7	9	9
\$100K+	44	51	46	50	37	48	40	29	42	49	39	29	51	54	50	37	52	35	49	26
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	17	19	8	14	18	12	14	18	18	14	9	17	22	18	14	18	19	18	7
\$175,000 - \$199,999	4	6	3	7	4	5	5	1	3	5	5	0	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	3
\$200,000 or more	24	28	23	35	19	25	24	14	21	26	20	19	30	28	28	19	29	12	26	16
REFUSED	18	8	12	24	34	10	31	32	17	10	31	35	19	9	17	18	19	13	18	12
Refused	18	8	12	24	34	10	31	32	17	10	31	35	19	9	17	18	19	13	18	12

Table 114: Q22. HOUSEHOLD INCOME

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
\$0-\$100K	38	28	43	47	39	38	31	38	35	40	65	57	48	47	27	57	64	30	24	63	28
Less than \$34,499	13	8	17	17	17	13	9	12	11	12	24	22	17	16	4	32	28	5	5	29	5
\$34,500 - \$52,499	7	3	8	7	7	3	5	7	6	7	15	12	9	7	6	21	9	8	3	11	6
\$52,500 - \$74,499	9	10	9	13	7	17	7	9	8	13	8	11	12	16	9	4	16	7	6	14	7
\$75,000 - \$99,999	9	6	8	10	8	6	10	9	10	7	18	12	10	7	7	0	11	10	10	9	10
\$100K+	44	55	41	38	37	42	48	44	54	49	32	35	41	41	62	26	24	59	60	24	60
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	18	15	16	18	14	19	16	19	16	12	20	17	12	24	9	12	18	21	12	19
\$175,000 - \$199,999	4	8	5	2	6	2	4	3	6	4	1	3	3	5	3	0	2	6	7	2	6
\$200,000 or more	24	29	22	20	12	25	24	26	29	28	19	11	21	25	34	17	10	35	32	11	34
REFUSED	18	17	16	14	24	20	21	18	11	12	4	8	11	12	12	17	12	11	15	13	13
Refused	18	17	16	14	24	20	21	18	11	12	4	8	11	12	12	17	12	11	15	13	13
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
\$0-\$100K	38	40	37	33	25	100	100	0	0	0	100	0	57	31	37	52	42	42	25	41	
Less than \$34,499	13	15	9	9	9	64	0	0	0	0	34	0	25	7	10	13	14	20	5	26	
\$34,500 - \$52,499	7	8	8	3	8	36	0	0	0	0	19	0	12	6	7	0	9	8	3	10	
\$52,500 - \$74,499	9	10	7	12	3	0	49	0	0	0	23	0	10	9	11	0	10	5	9	4	
\$75,000 - \$99,999	9	8	13	8	5	0	51	0	0	0	24	0	11	9	9	39	9	10	9	1	
\$100K+	44	53	49	49	58	0	0	100	100	100	0	100	34	55	45	19	49	33	40	43	
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	23	17	13	26	0	0	100	0	0	0	36	19	17	16	0	18	15	13	19	
\$175,000 - \$199,999	4	7	2	6	12	0	0	0	100	0	0	10	5	5	3	4	5	1	5	1	
\$200,000 or more	24	23	31	31	19	0	0	0	0	100	0	54	10	33	27	15	26	18	23	23	
REFUSED	18	7	14	18	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	14	17	29	9	24	34	16	
Refused	18	7	14	18	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	14	17	29	9	24	34	16	

Table 114: Q22. HOUSEHOLD INCOME

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
\$0-\$100K	38	45	33	38	41	21	53	27	38	34	39	49	38	38	42	38	39	41	31	9	13
Less than \$34,499	13	15	12	12	16	3	19	8	10	11	16	19	12	14	17	11	14	14	5	0	4
\$34,500 - \$52,499	7	8	6	8	5	13	9	5	9	4	11	9	7	7	10	8	5	8	2	1	6
\$52,500 - \$74,499	9	10	8	8	12	2	13	7	9	9	5	12	9	8	7	8	9	11	18	5	0
\$75,000 - \$99,999	9	12	7	10	8	3	13	7	9	10	7	9	9	9	8	11	10	9	6	2	4
\$100K+	44	41	51	48	36	52	43	63	44	39	35	23	52	35	31	52	47	44	45	76	67
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	16	17	18	14	13	17	14	14	20	17	11	15	17	15	19	14	19	15	18	17
\$175,000 - \$199,999	4	3	6	4	4	10	4	8	2	4	3	2	5	3	3	4	5	4	5	10	21
\$200,000 or more	24	22	27	27	18	28	22	40	28	15	15	10	31	14	13	29	28	21	26	48	29
REFUSED	18	14	16	14	23	28	4	10	18	27	26	28	10	27	27	10	14	14	24	15	20
Refused	18	14	16	14	23	28	4	10	18	27	26	28	10	27	27	10	14	14	24	15	20

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
\$0-\$100K	38	28	39	48	41	33	44	37	53	16	24	35	43	45	37	28	17	61	22	66	33
Less than \$34,499	13	9	16	15	15	12	13	14	22	5	0	9	17	19	12	0	4	30	4	29	5
\$34,500 - \$52,499	7	6	7	8	6	7	8	6	4	4	22	7	9	6	3	25	7	12	4	9	7
\$52,500 - \$74,499	9	8	9	11	9	7	9	11	16	4	0	8	8	13	12	3	1	16	5	14	8
\$75,000 - \$99,999	9	6	7	13	11	8	14	6	11	4	2	11	9	7	9	0	5	3	9	14	12
\$100K+	44	61	38	44	36	57	44	38	33	66	40	54	39	45	22	57	49	28	65	21	55
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	18	16	13	21	17	18	15	14	24	1	16	20	15	12	6	16	11	22	13	17
\$175,000 - \$199,999	4	7	5	4	2	6	3	4	4	16	5	6	2	4	3	3	14	4	8	0	5
\$200,000 or more	24	36	17	27	13	33	23	18	15	26	34	33	17	26	7	49	18	13	36	7	32
REFUSED	18	11	23	8	23	10	12	25	14	17	37	11	18	10	41	14	34	10	12	13	12
Refused	18	11	23	8	23	10	12	25	14	17	37	11	18	10	41	14	34	10	12	13	12

Table 114: Q22. HOUSEHOLD INCOME

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
<u>\$0-\$100K</u>	38	31	30	55	32	50	29	22	31	42	50	52	38	39	42	24	23	25	44	24
Less than \$34,499	13	6	8	11	13	23	8	2	5	23	30	13	13	8	14	6	2	12	16	6
\$34,500 - \$52,499	7	10	6	14	3	13	9	9	4	0	5	9	6	13	8	3	17	0	5	10
\$52,500 - \$74,499	9	11	9	11	9	4	4	4	12	15	6	14	9	10	9	0	3	0	14	6
\$75,000 - \$99,999	9	5	6	19	8	9	9	7	10	4	10	16	9	8	10	14	0	13	9	2
<u>\$100K+</u>	44	44	55	31	48	28	61	51	52	44	34	35	45	37	47	56	52	5	35	50
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	12	22	6	19	10	28	18	18	17	8	17	16	14	17	20	15	5	12	17
\$175,000 - \$199,999	4	12	4	2	7	2	6	4	4	2	5	1	4	4	4	7	9	0	4	6
\$200,000 or more	24	20	29	23	22	17	28	29	30	26	21	17	25	18	25	29	27	0	18	27
<u>REFUSED</u>	18	25	16	14	20	22	9	27	16	13	16	13	17	24	12	20	25	70	22	25
Refused	18	25	16	14	20	22	9	27	16	13	16	13	17	24	12	20	25	70	22	25

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
<u>\$0-\$100K</u>	38	33	54	26	44	56	67	43	25	32	36	41	42	38	34	30	46	46	20	51
Less than \$34,499	13	5	13	5	18	9	32	12	4	5	14	14	10	9	7	10	15	12	3	18
\$34,500 - \$52,499	7	11	11	5	8	14	13	13	8	5	6	9	10	7	6	5	8	12	3	11
\$52,500 - \$74,499	9	12	13	9	0	10	14	4	2	12	8	9	11	12	12	11	12	10	5	11
\$75,000 - \$99,999	9	4	17	7	17	22	8	14	11	10	9	9	10	9	9	4	11	13	8	10
<u>\$100K+</u>	44	45	40	54	52	18	24	39	49	53	46	46	45	49	51	55	40	32	61	39
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	12	15	16	0	3	10	19	8	13	19	15	13	14	16	17	14	16	17	17
\$175,000 - \$199,999	4	12	0	5	3	0	1	1	5	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	5
\$200,000 or more	24	21	25	33	49	15	12	19	35	37	23	27	28	30	30	32	22	12	40	16
<u>REFUSED</u>	18	23	7	20	4	26	10	18	26	14	17	14	13	13	15	15	14	22	19	10
Refused	18	23	7	20	4	26	10	18	26	14	17	14	13	13	15	15	14	22	19	10

Table 114: Q22. HOUSEHOLD INCOME

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>\$0-\$100K</u>	38	35	64	55	37	39	36	40	46	30	43	43	32	28
Less than \$34,499	13	12	21	22	14	12	12	14	14	11	13	18	8	8
\$34,500 - \$52,499	7	6	14	11	6	9	7	7	9	5	10	6	7	6
\$52,500 - \$74,499	9	8	18	12	8	10	6	11	11	6	10	10	9	7
\$75,000 - \$99,999	9	9	11	9	10	9	11	7	11	7	10	10	8	7
<u>\$100K+</u>	44	47	21	26	43	46	45	43	38	51	40	44	46	48
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	18	4	4	14	18	17	15	15	17	14	17	14	18
\$175,000 - \$199,999	4	5	2	3	5	4	5	4	4	5	2	3	8	6
\$200,000 or more	24	25	15	18	24	24	24	24	19	29	23	24	25	24
<u>REFUSED</u>	18	18	14	19	20	15	18	17	16	19	16	13	21	23
Refused	18	18	14	19	20	15	18	17	16	19	16	13	21	23

Table 115: Q23. HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
1	26	33	27	25	24	27	17	27	30	23	28	29	30	25	29	26	10	27	16	44
2-3	51	40	50	52	56	52	55	52	53	49	49	56	46	52	55	52	49	49	54	32
4-5	16	21	18	14	17	16	20	19	7	25	17	5	16	17	14	13	13	20	13	19
6 or more	1	4	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	0	0	11	0	3	0
Refused	6	2	3	9	4	3	7	2	10	4	4	8	7	5	1	9	17	4	14	6

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
1	26	26	30	22	21	28	22	24	29	28	21	27	24	30	24	28	22	28	23	39
2-3	51	53	50	50	54	52	53	42	48	53	51	39	58	52	55	46	55	53	54	39
4-5	16	18	15	25	8	17	13	16	16	16	15	17	13	17	15	17	15	17	16	16
6 or more	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	6	2	1	1	7	0	1	0	3	1	0	1	3
Refused	6	2	3	3	15	2	11	12	5	2	12	10	5	1	5	6	6	2	5	3

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION								
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
1	26	21	28	28	31	15	22	22	30	26	29	20	25	22	31	10	28	26	29	26	27
2-3	51	55	49	47	45	48	53	57	56	51	47	49	49	53	48	49	48	60	51	48	56
4-5	16	18	16	19	18	28	17	14	12	19	13	25	20	21	16	35	17	12	17	20	14
6 or more	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	3	2	2	7	2	0	1	3	1
Refused	6	4	6	5	5	7	8	5	1	2	8	2	4	2	3	0	4	2	2	3	2

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K -\$100K	\$100K -\$175K	\$175K -\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOV/R
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
1	26	30	26	29	13	48	29	30	28	11	39	20	100	0	0	0	27	30	19	32
2-3	51	54	54	48	66	33	51	54	61	71	41	64	0	100	0	0	53	43	53	56
4-5	16	12	16	18	12	14	17	16	10	18	16	16	0	0	100	0	17	16	14	10
6 or more	1	1	2	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	100	1	4	1	0
Refused	6	3	2	4	9	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	13	2

Table 115: Q23. HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
1	26	27	26	25	29	20	25	23	23	30	28	29	24	29	28	25	29	37	29	38	6
2-3	51	48	55	54	45	50	49	61	46	44	56	51	53	49	54	57	50	44	47	48	68
4-5	16	18	14	16	16	11	22	15	24	16	4	8	20	11	6	14	17	14	19	9	12
6 or more	1	1	1	1	2	1	4	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	0
Refused	6	5	4	3	8	18	0	1	6	10	11	13	2	11	12	3	3	3	5	2	14

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
1	26	27	25	22	35	24	27	28	34	30	4	20	33	33	23	18	21	26	28	26	29
2-3	51	53	58	51	43	58	52	49	39	63	41	57	50	44	47	51	50	56	56	43	53
4-5	16	18	10	23	12	15	18	15	19	5	21	20	10	18	15	29	1	13	14	26	15
6 or more	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	3	0	0	2	2	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	3	0
Refused	6	1	7	2	9	2	2	6	7	3	32	1	6	3	14	3	26	3	0	2	3

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
1	26	16	38	31	8	42	31	18	30	23	24	19	25	33	31	2	34	0	33	2
2-3	51	56	52	57	60	41	51	49	55	50	54	38	53	41	55	53	46	30	44	52
4-5	16	17	7	7	21	4	14	19	9	23	21	34	16	13	9	39	8	0	15	34
6 or more	1	1	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	7	1	2	0	6	2	0	2	2
Refused	6	10	3	3	9	12	1	14	4	3	0	2	5	11	4	0	11	70	7	10

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
1	26	16	47	39	32	24	47	39	35	30	20	24	23	24	24	24	27	21	18	32
2-3	51	54	44	50	54	58	40	50	46	55	52	52	55	55	54	52	50	50	58	51
4-5	16	17	9	6	14	9	4	6	10	10	20	18	18	16	15	19	20	20	18	14
6 or more	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	5	2	2	1
Refused	6	12	0	5	0	5	9	5	10	4	5	5	4	5	7	8	4	5	3	1

Table 115: Q23. HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
1	26	27	19	19	24	28	26	26	25	27	36	23	27	16
2-3	51	51	49	55	53	49	53	49	50	53	50	50	54	53
4-5	16	14	32	21	15	17	13	18	19	13	7	23	11	19
6 or more	1	1	1	4	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	0	1
Refused	6	6	0	0	7	4	5	6	5	7	6	1	8	11

Table 116: Q24. GENDER

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
WOMEN	49	70	53	40	50	54	52	54	57	42	46	53	54	46	51	42	56	51	38	64
Female	49	70	53	40	50	54	52	54	57	42	46	53	54	46	51	42	56	51	38	64
MEN	45	26	42	52	45	42	42	43	43	52	48	35	37	49	44	51	33	46	48	25
Male	45	26	41	52	45	41	42	43	42	52	48	33	36	49	42	51	33	46	48	25
Trans Male	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
NON-BINARY	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	0
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	0
REFUSED	5	2	4	7	3	3	4	3	0	6	5	10	7	5	3	6	11	2	14	11
Refused	5	2	4	7	3	3	4	3	0	6	5	10	7	5	3	6	11	2	14	11

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
WOMEN	49	44	59	47	38	51	41	60	59	53	38	52	40	56	46	52	46	54	48	55
Female	49	44	59	47	38	51	41	60	59	53	38	52	40	56	46	52	46	54	48	55
MEN	45	52	36	49	50	45	50	30	35	43	52	37	52	38	46	43	48	42	47	39
Male	45	51	36	49	50	44	50	30	35	42	52	37	52	38	46	42	47	42	46	37
Trans Male	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NON-BINARY	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	2	0	3
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	2	0	3
REFUSED	5	2	4	4	11	3	9	11	5	3	9	11	7	3	6	4	6	3	5	4
Refused	5	2	4	4	11	3	9	11	5	3	9	11	7	3	6	4	6	3	5	4

Table 116: Q24. GENDER

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
WOMEN	49	39	49	50	40	51	48	53	50	49	56	58	53	54	42	40	59	48	47	56	48
Female	49	39	49	50	40	51	48	53	50	49	56	58	53	54	42	40	59	48	47	56	48
MEN	45	54	44	41	52	42	46	40	47	50	43	42	45	45	58	60	38	49	47	41	48
Male	45	53	43	40	48	41	46	40	46	50	43	40	45	45	58	60	37	49	47	40	48
Trans Male	0	0	1	1	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
NON-BINARY	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1
REFUSED	5	6	6	7	8	6	6	5	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	3	4	2	3
Refused	5	6	6	7	8	6	6	5	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	3	4	2	3
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
WOMEN	49	54	54	45	31	55	61	49	35	44	58	45	52	46	57	50	51	60	36	74	
Female	49	54	54	45	31	55	61	49	35	44	58	45	52	46	57	50	51	60	36	74	
MEN	45	41	40	53	69	41	37	48	63	51	39	51	45	48	41	38	45	33	54	22	
Male	45	41	40	53	69	40	36	48	63	51	38	51	45	48	41	38	44	33	54	22	
Trans Male	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
NON-BINARY	1	3	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	1	3	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	
REFUSED	5	2	6	2	0	2	1	2	2	4	2	3	2	5	2	11	3	7	10	3	
Refused	5	2	6	2	0	2	1	2	2	4	2	3	2	5	2	11	3	7	10	3	

Table 116: Q24. GENDER

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
WOMEN	49	100	0	55	40	40	57	45	56	48	39	48	52	45	42	57	53	44	33	31	39
Female	49	100	0	55	40	40	57	45	56	48	39	48	52	45	42	57	53	44	33	31	39
MEN	45	0	100	40	54	52	43	47	38	47	47	49	43	47	48	38	44	48	65	69	61
Male	45	0	99	39	53	52	43	46	38	46	47	49	43	47	48	38	44	48	65	69	61
Trans Male	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
NON-BINARY	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
REFUSED	5	0	0	4	7	9	0	6	4	5	14	1	4	7	9	3	2	8	2	0	0
Refused	5	0	0	4	7	9	0	6	4	5	14	1	4	7	9	3	2	8	2	0	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
WOMEN	49	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	100	0	100	54	56	47	29	49	35	0	0	100	100
Female	49	0	0	100	100	0	100	0	100	0	100	54	56	47	29	49	35	0	0	100	100
MEN	45	100	100	0	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	40	39	48	61	51	52	100	100	0	0
Male	45	99	100	0	0	99	0	100	0	100	0	40	39	48	61	51	52	98	100	0	0
Trans Male	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
NON-BINARY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REFUSED	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	5	10	0	13	0	0	0	0
Refused	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	5	10	0	13	0	0	0	0

Table 116: Q24. GENDER

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
WOMEN	49	44	42	53	48	49	40	46	50	62	55	51	50	45	55	45	35	64	43	42
Female	49	44	42	53	48	49	40	46	50	62	55	51	50	45	55	45	35	64	43	42
MEN	45	47	53	39	48	47	55	40	41	32	44	47	45	48	39	52	57	36	51	50
Male	45	45	53	39	48	44	55	40	41	32	44	47	44	46	38	52	57	36	51	50
Trans Male	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
NON-BINARY	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
REFUSED	5	6	5	7	4	2	5	13	7	5	1	1	5	7	4	2	8	0	6	8
Refused	5	6	5	7	4	2	5	13	7	5	1	1	5	7	4	2	8	0	6	8

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
WOMEN	49	45	45	39	47	56	42	50	50	46	51	50	51	51	51	43	60	57	45	51
Female	49	45	45	39	47	56	42	50	50	46	51	50	51	51	51	43	60	57	45	51
MEN	45	49	44	53	53	38	53	44	40	49	43	44	42	43	43	48	39	43	49	44
Male	45	46	44	53	53	38	49	44	40	49	43	44	42	43	43	47	39	43	49	44
Trans Male	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
NON-BINARY	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1
REFUSED	5	3	10	8	0	6	5	3	9	4	5	5	5	5	5	7	0	0	6	3
Refused	5	3	10	8	0	6	5	3	9	4	5	5	5	5	5	7	0	0	6	3

Table 116: Q24. GENDER

	Total	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
		ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>WOMEN</u>	49	49	45	57	49	49	49	49	59	38	45	54	46	48
Female	49	49	45	57	49	49	49	49	59	38	45	54	46	48
<u>MEN</u>	45	44	55	43	45	45	45	45	40	50	48	43	48	42
Male	45	44	55	43	45	45	44	45	40	49	47	43	47	42
Trans Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
<u>NON-BINARY</u>	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
<u>REFUSED</u>	5	6	0	0	5	5	5	6	0	10	6	3	4	9
Refused	5	6	0	0	5	5	5	6	0	10	6	3	4	9

Table 117: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

	Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED																				Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY				Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Q3 MUNI RATE			GREAT/ SOME		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					ACCES		RELIAB		TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA										
	Total	EXC	GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	YES	NO	DK/NA	YES	NO	DK/NA										
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33										
English	88	88	88	87	89	87	90	81	90	86	91	85	84	89	86	88	86	87	93	88										
Spanish	6	9	6	6	7	7	6	13	7	5	4	6	7	6	6	4	6	9	1	0										
Chinese	6	3	6	7	3	6	4	6	3	9	5	10	9	5	7	8	7	4	6	12										
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS														
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES										
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146									
English	88	86	87	91	90	87	90	87	87	87	88	87	93	90	91	84	92	74	89	82										
Spanish	6	8	7	4	2	8	3	4	6	7	4	6	3	5	5	7	4	14	5	10										
Chinese	6	6	6	4	8	6	7	9	7	6	8	7	4	5	4	9	5	12	6	9										
	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION																	
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+									
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530								
English	88	88	86	86	75	84	90	87	98	74	96	64	75	58	100	44	87	88	95	81	91									
Spanish	6	7	7	9	18	12	5	9	1	0	4	35	12	0	0	14	9	6	2	9	4									
Chinese	6	5	7	5	6	4	5	4	1	26	0	1	13	42	0	43	4	6	3	9	5									
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS														
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K - \$100K	\$100K - \$175K	\$175K - \$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR										
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44									
English	88	90	92	89	80	79	83	97	92	91	81	93	91	87	80	76	87	87	89	91										
Spanish	6	9	5	4	6	10	10	1	3	4	10	3	4	6	12	4	7	4	3	0										
Chinese	6	1	3	7	15	11	7	2	5	5	9	4	5	7	8	20	5	9	7	9										

Table 117: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
English	88	87	87	91	83	79	77	90	91	90	90	88	86	89	89	92	92	90	87	81	72
Spanish	6	5	7	5	8	7	17	6	3	4	2	0	8	3	1	6	1	9	8	5	4
Chinese	6	7	6	4	9	14	6	4	6	6	8	12	5	8	10	2	7	1	5	14	24

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
English	88	86	87	85	90	91	90	84	79	69	87	88	95	86	79	55	91	76	92	85	89
Spanish	6	10	4	8	2	7	3	7	11	6	10	7	2	10	6	20	0	12	5	7	5
Chinese	6	3	9	7	8	2	7	8	11	25	3	5	4	4	15	24	9	12	4	8	7

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
English	88	91	95	75	89	85	87	95	99	78	88	76	87	93	91	90	83	87	83	82
Spanish	6	3	3	11	1	7	9	1	1	15	4	14	7	1	5	5	6	0	9	4
Chinese	6	7	2	14	10	8	5	4	0	7	8	10	7	5	4	5	11	13	8	14

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
English	88	89	100	93	78	69	82	93	84	99	87	86	87	87	92	92	74	79	89	86
Spanish	6	3	0	5	6	8	9	2	16	1	6	6	6	7	2	2	15	4	3	9
Chinese	6	8	0	2	16	24	10	5	0	0	6	7	7	7	6	6	11	17	8	5

Table 117: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
English	88	100	0	0	86	89	87	88	76	100	86	83	93	92
Spanish	6	0	100	0	6	6	6	6	12	0	8	9	1	2
Chinese	6	0	0	100	8	5	7	5	13	0	6	8	5	5

Table 118: PARTY REGISTRATION

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
DEMOCRAT	62	63	65	57	70	66	59	67	58	69	66	68	56	66	70	44	68	66	49	66
Democrat	62	63	65	57	70	66	59	67	58	69	66	68	56	66	70	44	68	66	49	66
INDEPENDENT	31	30	29	35	26	29	22	29	40	31	29	25	36	28	27	39	29	29	37	26
No Party Preference	25	20	22	31	21	23	16	22	26	25	24	18	31	21	19	32	28	24	32	24
Other	6	10	7	4	5	6	5	7	15	6	5	7	5	7	8	7	2	6	5	2
REPUBLICAN	7	7	6	8	4	5	19	4	1	0	5	8	8	7	2	17	3	5	13	8
Republican	7	7	6	8	4	5	19	4	1	0	5	8	8	7	2	17	3	5	13	8

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
DEMOCRAT	62	68	68	61	41	68	46	67	68	70	43	64	60	73	63	61	60	73	62	62
Democrat	62	68	68	61	41	68	46	67	68	70	43	64	60	73	63	61	60	73	62	62
INDEPENDENT	31	29	28	34	40	28	38	28	28	27	41	31	33	22	30	32	32	23	30	33
No Party Preference	25	20	24	27	34	22	32	26	24	20	35	28	27	15	24	26	26	22	25	22
Other	6	8	5	7	6	7	6	2	4	6	6	3	7	8	7	6	6	1	5	11
REPUBLICAN	7	4	4	5	20	4	16	5	4	4	16	5	7	5	7	8	8	4	7	5
Republican	7	4	4	5	20	4	16	5	4	4	16	5	7	5	7	8	8	4	7	5

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION							
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
DEMOCRAT	62	60	63	63	48	65	61	61	68	54	82	62	61	53	56	46	60	62	72	58	66
Democrat	62	60	63	63	48	65	61	61	68	54	82	62	61	53	56	46	60	62	72	58	66
INDEPENDENT	31	35	31	31	43	29	30	31	27	38	18	32	32	38	39	48	32	31	24	34	28
No Party Preference	25	29	26	25	29	22	25	24	20	32	11	24	26	35	29	42	24	25	20	26	23
Other	6	6	5	6	13	6	5	7	7	6	7	8	6	3	10	6	8	6	4	8	5
REPUBLICAN	7	4	6	5	9	7	8	8	5	8	0	6	7	9	5	6	8	7	4	8	6
Republican	7	4	6	5	9	7	8	8	5	8	0	6	7	9	5	6	8	7	4	8	6

Table 118: PARTY REGISTRATION

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
DEMOCRAT	62	79	78	53	18	62	63	68	57	69	62	67	60	66	63	61	69	63	43	78
Democrat	62	79	78	53	18	62	63	68	57	69	62	67	60	66	63	61	69	63	43	78
INDEPENDENT	31	21	22	38	21	33	35	27	27	23	34	25	34	27	32	35	28	31	39	14
No Party Preference	25	13	16	31	19	25	23	25	22	18	24	21	28	22	26	15	21	26	34	9
Other	6	8	5	7	3	7	12	2	4	5	10	4	7	6	6	20	7	5	6	6
REPUBLICAN	7	0	1	9	60	6	2	6	16	8	4	8	5	7	5	4	3	6	17	8
Republican	7	0	1	9	60	6	2	6	16	8	4	8	5	7	5	4	3	6	17	8

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE							PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
DEMOCRAT	62	69	55	100	0	0	66	67	59	56	65	59	64	59	62	100	100	0	0	0	0
Democrat	62	69	55	100	0	0	66	67	59	56	65	59	64	59	62	100	100	0	0	0	0
INDEPENDENT	31	25	37	0	100	0	34	27	35	38	21	26	31	30	23	0	0	100	100	0	0
No Party Preference	25	19	30	0	80	0	28	20	27	30	19	24	24	26	21	0	0	69	83	0	0
Other	6	6	6	0	20	0	6	8	8	7	2	2	7	5	2	0	0	31	17	0	0
REPUBLICAN	7	6	8	0	0	100	1	6	6	7	15	15	4	11	15	0	0	0	0	100	100
Republican	7	6	8	0	0	100	1	6	6	7	15	15	4	11	15	0	0	0	0	100	100

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
DEMOCRAT	62	60	49	67	72	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	50	59	63	74
Democrat	62	60	49	67	72	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	50	59	63	74
INDEPENDENT	31	35	39	29	20	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	42	33	29	23
No Party Preference	25	27	34	22	14	0	0	83	75	0	0	0	0	77	84	0	0	33	27	21	18
Other	6	8	5	7	5	0	0	17	25	0	0	0	0	23	16	0	0	9	5	8	5
REPUBLICAN	7	5	12	4	8	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	8	8	8	3
Republican	7	5	12	4	8	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	8	8	8	3

Table 118: PARTY REGISTRATION

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
DEMOCRAT	62	57	60	52	64	62	56	57	71	50	75	73	63	54	100	100	0	0	0	34
Democrat	62	57	60	52	64	62	56	57	71	50	75	73	63	54	100	100	0	0	0	34
INDEPENDENT	31	30	32	37	25	32	39	31	26	48	24	19	31	34	0	0	0	0	100	41
No Party Preference	25	19	25	33	22	26	24	29	20	41	19	18	24	31	0	0	0	0	79	36
Other	6	11	7	4	2	6	15	2	6	6	5	1	7	3	0	0	0	0	21	5
REPUBLICAN	7	13	8	10	11	6	5	12	3	2	1	8	6	12	0	0	100	100	0	25
Republican	7	13	8	10	11	6	5	12	3	2	1	8	6	12	0	0	100	100	0	25

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS				Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
DEMOCRAT	62	58	52	57	72	53	50	74	74	67	63	60	61	61	66	65	60	61	64	64
Democrat	62	58	52	57	72	53	50	74	74	67	63	60	61	61	66	65	60	61	64	64
INDEPENDENT	31	28	44	32	22	35	41	21	22	31	31	32	31	30	26	25	34	27	27	32
No Party Preference	25	16	23	25	22	35	32	15	22	17	26	24	23	23	19	19	27	20	23	24
Other	6	12	21	7	0	0	10	7	0	14	4	8	8	7	7	6	7	7	3	8
REPUBLICAN	7	14	3	11	7	12	9	5	4	2	6	8	8	9	9	10	6	12	9	4
Republican	7	14	3	11	7	12	9	5	4	2	6	8	8	9	9	10	6	12	9	4

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
DEMOCRAT	62	64	49	43	62	62	62	62	60	64	64	63	57	62
Democrat	62	64	49	43	62	62	62	62	60	64	64	63	57	62
INDEPENDENT	31	29	43	42	31	31	31	31	32	30	30	33	32	28
No Party Preference	25	23	36	40	24	26	24	26	25	25	26	26	21	26
Other	6	6	6	2	7	5	7	5	7	5	4	7	12	2
REPUBLICAN	7	6	8	16	7	7	7	7	8	6	6	4	11	10
Republican	7	6	8	16	7	7	7	7	8	6	6	4	11	10

Table 119: FLAGS

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
P16	44	35	40	52	42	40	36	42	49	29	44	51	40	47	36	49	53	44	58	37
G16	58	44	54	67	59	55	58	60	71	53	54	69	58	60	50	68	56	58	73	51
P18	48	37	45	52	46	44	34	43	61	40	47	50	42	50	42	55	45	47	55	47
G18	59	47	55	67	60	56	53	50	68	51	57	73	56	61	52	65	58	60	69	50
P20	58	56	57	60	58	57	48	56	72	38	60	60	48	63	56	62	52	63	56	43
G20	76	65	72	83	76	75	73	79	80	57	75	83	75	77	70	88	78	76	83	53
P22	69	60	66	75	76	67	61	70	77	73	70	76	61	75	63	75	55	74	77	46
G22	85	80	82	89	90	82	81	76	90	94	87	81	80	88	85	84	76	86	84	83
BLANK	5	8	6	3	3	6	10	3	9	0	5	3	5	5	5	1	4	5	6	8

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
P16	44	40	40	60	50	40	53	46	42	41	51	45	59	38	51	36	47	36	45	39
G16	58	53	55	72	70	54	70	54	55	56	64	59	74	53	68	47	62	52	61	47
P18	48	45	45	62	52	45	55	46	45	44	57	48	63	37	54	40	51	37	49	42
G18	59	54	59	77	62	57	66	54	58	58	64	52	73	55	66	50	63	49	61	51
P20	58	58	61	66	57	60	59	48	58	59	62	47	69	52	62	54	62	51	60	51
G20	76	73	74	84	86	73	86	68	73	75	81	69	83	71	79	72	79	70	77	71
P22	69	73	64	75	77	69	76	51	61	68	76	57	83	75	78	58	71	71	71	64
G22	85	85	86	90	82	85	84	79	84	85	84	80	94	87	91	77	86	86	86	77
BLANK	5	5	5	3	3	5	3	6	5	5	4	4	2	4	3	7	3	3	3	11

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION				Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION									
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
P16	44	39	41	36	22	33	48	36	50	37	36	27	34	37	36	36	38	43	53	38	47
G16	58	53	56	55	36	47	60	56	65	52	66	41	51	56	46	34	54	59	66	52	62
P18	48	41	45	40	30	37	52	40	56	39	41	31	38	41	36	44	36	48	59	37	53
G18	59	54	56	51	39	53	61	55	65	54	61	40	52	58	47	30	51	63	68	48	65
P20	58	54	54	50	40	52	62	52	67	47	56	53	51	43	54	32	50	62	69	48	65
G20	76	72	74	72	63	78	77	73	79	75	78	69	73	79	71	76	76	75	79	76	77
P22	69	75	68	61	47	65	70	64	77	63	52	52	59	62	66	53	59	74	77	58	75
G22	85	86	84	80	66	80	86	81	92	78	85	69	76	73	88	79	75	88	92	75	90
BLANK	5	2	5	8	14	10	4	7	4	7	1	9	7	8	5	2	6	4	3	5	4

Table 119: FLAGS

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205
P16	44	45	47	44	51	30	47	46	51	45	38	46	43	47	34	23	41	41	55	42
G16	58	55	62	65	62	44	62	61	58	63	53	62	60	61	47	38	55	58	69	69
P18	48	47	51	50	60	36	43	53	54	49	39	51	49	48	41	43	45	43	58	32
G18	59	58	66	61	65	44	59	62	57	66	51	64	57	62	49	38	57	53	67	59
P20	58	62	66	55	58	53	51	58	60	67	52	63	59	62	48	34	60	49	62	45
G20	76	70	80	76	86	71	78	71	69	83	74	77	75	77	72	85	74	72	84	84
P22	69	77	69	67	71	54	65	71	76	80	60	76	66	76	57	45	70	50	81	41
G22	85	93	89	78	88	73	79	88	94	93	76	91	80	89	78	49	87	72	87	69
BLANK	5	3	4	9	3	6	8	6	3	1	7	3	7	3	9	0	5	5	3	8

	Q24 GENDER		PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY									
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23
P16	44	41	45	48	34	51	6	35	49	52	74	70	30	62	72	49	51	36	32	56	61
G16	58	59	56	62	50	65	21	48	65	74	80	80	45	77	80	60	73	53	48	78	78
P18	48	46	48	51	40	50	10	36	51	59	75	79	33	68	77	51	56	39	43	57	63
G18	59	59	57	63	51	59	23	52	68	69	80	78	48	74	79	64	67	55	48	65	75
P20	58	60	56	64	49	54	38	50	64	59	80	79	51	69	79	66	64	59	44	53	60
G20	76	77	75	77	73	82	46	71	79	87	93	92	66	90	92	75	84	78	65	75	96
P22	69	64	74	72	64	67	48	70	66	74	84	81	63	78	83	74	70	68	62	65	72
G22	85	81	87	89	77	82	73	86	84	85	91	94	81	89	92	92	83	86	72	77	90
BLANK	5	5	4	3	8	4	13	5	4	1	2	0	7	1	1	3	4	6	17	7	0

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
P16	44	29	64	29	59	48	47	37	23	58	53	33	70	22	50	44	55	44	45	32	48
G16	58	41	75	45	80	58	64	50	45	74	66	48	82	36	70	62	66	50	60	53	63
P18	48	32	68	31	68	53	49	41	35	52	57	36	74	25	61	40	54	43	51	33	53
G18	59	45	72	48	76	60	64	51	45	67	61	52	80	39	67	55	61	51	62	45	69
P20	58	47	67	52	71	65	62	45	51	46	70	54	78	44	56	52	56	51	61	46	69
G20	76	64	88	66	93	76	77	73	72	77	100	67	91	62	89	77	85	79	75	74	79
P22	69	69	79	54	77	73	70	73	50	77	48	66	81	57	74	52	75	66	77	51	72
G22	85	83	91	78	85	89	87	84	63	79	82	89	89	68	88	67	90	82	89	70	90
BLANK	5	8	0	7	2	4	3	4	14	7	0	4	2	14	1	10	0	5	4	6	4

Table 119: FLAGS

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
P16	44	57	44	30	45	49	28	64	56	35	26	44	42	60	49	45	51	49	31	54
G16	58	68	59	50	61	62	52	68	69	47	45	56	56	74	63	50	65	54	49	68
P18	48	61	46	37	45	50	41	62	61	45	24	46	45	66	51	53	54	30	39	50
G18	59	68	59	55	59	63	48	79	72	53	31	57	57	73	64	55	64	54	49	64
P20	58	66	59	43	54	67	46	76	67	58	43	57	57	73	64	61	56	49	49	59
G20	76	87	73	75	82	79	66	81	79	63	72	80	75	89	75	81	77	100	72	88
P22	69	79	78	69	62	75	70	75	78	58	58	57	68	81	72	76	68	54	62	74
G22	85	92	96	78	84	91	81	92	88	78	72	74	84	85	89	87	82	54	78	82
BLANK	5	1	3	6	3	2	9	4	5	11	3	5	5	2	4	1	5	0	9	2

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS				Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
P16	44	55	46	44	40	43	33	59	45	63	41	25	32	38	47	54	32	54	62	33
G16	58	67	54	64	78	52	47	82	59	72	55	46	59	68	81	88	47	74	76	48
P18	48	63	34	49	68	38	38	64	45	65	45	23	31	37	46	59	36	57	65	37
G18	59	66	56	64	62	50	57	76	65	74	55	48	64	75	92	98	48	65	75	49
P20	58	65	65	58	59	40	52	70	87	71	56	45	59	68	72	82	48	55	71	51
G20	76	86	79	75	69	78	73	83	89	85	73	77	94	97	97	98	68	92	91	66
P22	69	78	58	81	76	64	68	77	94	77	65	70	69	74	76	80	58	73	78	66
G22	85	90	81	100	86	67	88	89	96	90	81	88	91	91	94	96	82	76	86	85
BLANK	5	2	13	0	6	2	7	2	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	1	7

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
P16	44	47	19	32	45	43	42	46	38	50	48	33	50	52
G16	58	62	24	40	59	58	56	61	55	62	62	48	66	65
P18	48	50	24	39	46	49	47	49	42	54	53	38	52	54
G18	59	62	34	36	59	59	57	61	53	65	67	47	62	68
P20	58	62	44	24	61	56	57	60	50	67	62	50	63	66
G20	76	77	63	72	76	77	76	76	75	77	78	70	81	80
P22	69	72	60	48	72	66	66	73	63	76	77	61	76	68
G22	85	87	72	63	88	81	84	85	80	89	90	77	91	86
BLANK	5	4	11	9	3	7	4	6	5	4	3	7	2	5

Table 120: PERMANENT ABSENTEE

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS				
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
Yes	89	90	90	87	91	89	93	93	86	88	87	92	90	89	90	92	86	88	82	89	
No	11	10	10	13	9	11	7	7	14	12	13	8	10	11	10	8	14	12	18	11	
Q11 MEASURE VOTE										Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS				
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES		
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146	
Yes	89	91	87	86	88	89	88	87	87	90	87	87	89	91	88	89	89	91	89	86	
No	11	9	13	14	12	11	12	13	13	10	13	13	11	9	12	11	11	9	11	14	
Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION								
Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+	
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
Yes	89	94	88	91	91	87	90	91	85	91	94	95	93	93	90	92	89	91	88	89	90
No	11	6	12	9	9	13	10	9	15	9	6	5	7	7	10	8	11	9	12	11	10
Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS							
Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K -\$100K	\$100K -\$175K	\$175K -\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR		
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
Yes	89	90	87	92	85	88	89	90	89	91	88	91	85	91	91	85	90	88	86	90	
No	11	10	13	8	15	12	11	10	11	9	12	9	15	9	9	15	10	12	14	10	
Q24 GENDER		PARTY REGISTRATION				AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY									
Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Yes	89	90	88	90	88	80	97	90	89	85	85	82	92	84	84	88	95	91	90	89	76
No	11	10	12	10	12	20	3	10	11	15	15	18	8	16	16	12	5	9	10	11	24

Table 120: PERMANENT ABSENTEE

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
Yes	89	92	83	92	87	89	91	87	89	85	78	92	87	92	82	90	75	87	89	91	89
No	11	8	17	8	13	11	9	13	11	15	22	8	13	8	18	10	25	13	11	9	11

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
Yes	89	86	89	88	91	85	97	83	85	89	90	93	100	0	90	93	73	64	89	83
No	11	14	11	12	9	15	3	17	15	11	10	7	0	100	10	7	27	36	11	17

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
Yes	89	86	93	85	98	80	89	91	85	84	90	91	90	89	88	85	92	89	85	91
No	11	14	7	15	2	20	11	9	15	16	10	9	10	11	12	15	8	11	15	9

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
Yes	89	88	98	90	90	87	91	87	91	86	88	92	86	86
No	11	12	2	10	10	13	9	13	9	14	12	8	14	14

Table 121: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS				
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33	
D1	49	51	51	47	56	53	51	51	51	49	53	54	48	51	58	33	43	53	39	58	
D2+	10	11	11	6	11	10	6	11	7	16	11	7	7	10	10	8	22	10	3	8	
R1	4	4	4	4	1	3	8	3	1	0	3	5	5	3	1	7	1	4	7	8	
R2+	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	
I1+	27	29	25	31	24	27	18	27	35	22	27	19	32	24	25	29	20	27	35	26	
Mixed	9	5	9	11	6	6	17	8	7	13	7	15	7	11	5	20	13	7	15	0	
		Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES		
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146	
D1	49	57	53	44	32	55	35	49	52	57	32	45	47	60	50	48	47	58	48	54	
D2+	10	8	13	9	5	10	6	16	14	10	7	15	10	8	10	9	10	11	11	5	
R1	4	2	3	4	8	3	7	4	3	2	7	5	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	3	
R2+	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	
I1+	27	26	26	31	31	26	31	22	26	25	33	28	29	21	26	28	27	21	26	30	
Mixed	9	8	5	12	20	6	18	8	5	6	19	8	10	7	11	8	11	6	10	6	
		Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION						
Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+	
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
D1	49	48	50	52	35	43	46	56	41	70	46	47	37	47	40	45	50	57	45	53	
D2+	10	12	9	7	13	12	8	10	6	12	13	9	7	5	3	11	9	10	10	10	
R1	4	1	4	3	5	3	4	3	4	0	4	4	5	3	6	3	4	4	4	4	
R2+	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
I1+	27	29	27	29	38	27	28	25	31	17	30	28	30	31	43	31	27	19	33	23	
Mixed	9	10	10	9	9	14	11	6	17	1	6	11	20	13	8	9	9	9	9	9	

Table 121: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
D1	49	69	63	34	9	55	52	53	42	52	54	52	59	53	29	13	56	47	33	65
D2+	10	9	13	10	7	4	8	12	15	12	6	12	1	10	23	39	10	13	6	11
R1	4	0	1	7	27	4	1	4	8	4	2	5	5	3	2	4	2	5	8	6
R2+	1	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2
I1+	27	20	20	34	13	29	34	21	22	21	31	21	34	23	26	29	26	26	32	13
Mixed	9	2	3	14	38	7	4	10	12	11	6	11	1	10	20	14	6	8	19	4

	Q24 GENDER		PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY									
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
D1	49	56	42	79	0	0	47	55	51	46	49	41	52	46	46	84	64	0	0	0	0
D2+	10	9	11	15	0	0	14	8	6	6	14	13	9	10	13	14	20	0	0	0	0
R1	4	3	5	0	0	56	1	4	4	3	8	9	3	5	8	0	0	0	0	78	44
R2+	1	1	1	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	9
I1+	27	24	31	0	88	0	33	25	31	30	17	21	29	25	19	0	0	94	88	0	0
Mixed	9	8	11	5	12	34	5	8	9	14	10	11	7	12	11	2	16	6	12	22	46

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
D1	49	47	36	54	58	77	80	0	0	0	0	80	78	0	0	0	0	40	45	46	62
D2+	10	11	11	8	9	20	13	0	0	0	0	15	16	0	0	0	0	9	12	12	7
R1	4	4	6	2	4	0	0	0	0	61	50	0	0	0	0	68	50	5	5	3	3
R2+	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	17	0	0	0	0	16	0	1	1	1	0
I1+	27	32	30	28	18	0	0	83	95	0	0	0	0	92	82	0	0	40	27	28	21
Mixed	9	6	16	8	9	3	7	17	5	32	33	5	6	8	18	32	34	7	11	10	7

Table 121: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
D1	49	41	47	47	38	59	54	44	60	44	59	45	50	42	100	0	0	0	0	0
D2+	10	13	10	4	15	3	3	9	7	7	16	20	10	6	0	100	0	0	0	0
R1	4	5	7	9	1	5	2	4	3	1	1	6	3	9	0	0	100	0	0	0
R2+	1	1	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	100	0	0
I1+	27	23	28	37	17	31	36	21	25	45	18	19	27	26	0	0	0	0	100	0
Mixed	9	17	7	3	24	2	6	20	6	4	6	10	9	15	0	0	0	0	0	100

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
D1	49	42	45	49	65	46	48	67	67	51	48	47	47	48	53	54	53	29	44	55
D2+	10	13	7	5	7	3	2	5	5	9	12	9	8	8	5	4	7	21	14	6
R1	4	6	0	11	2	12	9	4	4	1	2	4	4	5	5	4	5	3	5	3
R2+	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	0
I1+	27	20	39	30	22	33	41	19	22	31	26	29	30	28	25	25	32	19	20	30
Mixed	9	19	9	5	5	6	0	5	3	7	11	11	11	11	11	13	3	26	16	5

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
D1	49	51	41	30	47	51	48	50	46	53	57	50	42	44
D2+	10	10	9	7	11	8	11	8	11	8	5	11	13	10
R1	4	4	4	7	4	4	3	5	5	3	5	2	7	3
R2+	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
I1+	27	26	41	34	27	27	26	28	28	26	28	30	27	21
Mixed	9	9	6	20	10	9	11	8	9	9	4	7	12	19

Table 122: NEIGHBORHOODS

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
Western Addition	8	8	9	6	9	8	10	4	5	14	8	11	7	8	7	8	9	8	6	11
Castro/ Noe Valley	2	0	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	0	3	3	1	3	3	1	0	2	3	0
Chinatown/ Financial District/ SOMA	7	5	7	7	6	6	11	6	13	14	7	2	6	7	5	11	6	7	7	10
Hunters Point	1	0	1	2	1	1	3	1	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	5
Excelsior	3	7	3	4	2	3	2	5	0	6	2	3	1	3	4	2	1	3	1	0
Mission	7	9	7	6	7	6	1	7	10	3	6	14	9	7	11	5	4	6	4	6
Marina/ Pacific Heights/ Sea Cliff	5	4	5	4	6	5	1	6	7	0	5	7	5	5	4	3	2	7	6	6
Richmond	4	10	4	3	4	4	1	9	4	7	4	1	5	3	5	3	2	3	3	6
Sunset/ Twin Peaks	4	1	3	6	5	5	3	2	7	3	5	5	3	5	6	1	5	4	5	2
Other	59	57	59	60	60	59	65	60	49	53	58	53	60	58	54	65	69	58	64	54
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE					Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES	
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
Western Addition	8	9	6	10	6	8	7	10	7	8	6	14	8	5	8	7	9	6	8	7
Castro/ Noe Valley	2	3	2	1	2	3	2	0	2	3	1	2	2	7	3	2	3	0	2	2
Chinatown/ Financial District/ SOMA	7	6	6	14	8	6	10	7	6	6	8	10	6	3	6	9	7	9	8	5
Hunters Point	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	3	2	1	1	4	2	2	1	1	1	4	1	1
Excelsior	3	2	6	1	2	4	2	1	5	4	2	1	2	2	2	4	3	3	3	4
Mission	7	9	7	6	4	8	5	5	6	8	5	5	4	11	6	8	3	11	5	16
Marina/ Pacific Heights/ Sea Cliff	5	5	6	5	4	6	4	4	6	6	5	2	8	4	6	4	4	5	4	9
Richmond	4	4	3	5	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	3
Sunset/ Twin Peaks	4	6	4	5	2	5	3	4	4	5	3	6	4	6	4	4	4	3	4	8
Other	59	54	58	54	69	56	64	62	59	57	67	52	60	60	60	58	63	55	62	45

Table 122: NEIGHBORHOODS

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
Western Addition	8	10	8	4	2	9	9	5	9	10	2	4	7	11	11	3	8	7	8	8	8
Castro/ Noe Valley	2	2	3	1	0	3	3	1	2	2	3	2	2	1	3	0	2	3	3	1	3
Chinatown/ Financial District/ SOMA	7	5	6	2	0	8	8	10	8	6	4	6	5	5	7	8	4	8	7	5	8
Hunters Point	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	8	0	1	1	1	1
Excelsior	3	2	4	1	2	2	2	2	2	5	0	3	4	9	0	7	2	4	3	3	3
Mission	7	5	8	12	16	7	4	9	7	7	9	8	8	6	9	8	12	5	3	11	4
Marina/ Pacific Heights/ Sea Cliff	5	7	6	5	8	2	3	7	5	6	4	7	6	4	8	0	6	5	6	5	6
Richmond	4	4	5	2	0	4	4	4	4	2	4	5	3	1	3	0	2	4	4	2	4
Sunset/ Twin Peaks	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	5	6	3	8	1	3	1	6	3	4	5	6	4	5
Other	59	61	56	66	69	61	63	55	54	57	65	65	62	59	52	63	60	58	57	60	57
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
Western Addition	8	9	6	7	14	6	7	6	22	7	7	8	5	8	8	6	8	9	6	6	
Castro/ Noe Valley	2	2	4	2	0	3	4	2	0	2	3	2	4	2	1	0	3	1	2	0	
Chinatown/ Financial District/ SOMA	7	5	7	12	7	4	6	7	8	10	5	9	11	7	3	0	6	7	10	7	
Hunters Point	1	1	1	1	3	2	1	0	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	2	1	0	
Excelsior	3	1	2	4	2	3	5	0	0	2	4	1	3	3	2	9	4	1	2	1	
Mission	7	7	7	6	2	15	8	4	2	3	12	4	12	5	2	0	8	7	4	4	
Marina/ Pacific Heights/ Sea Cliff	5	6	6	4	1	6	5	6	1	4	6	4	8	5	2	0	6	3	4	1	
Richmond	4	7	4	1	0	2	3	2	4	5	2	4	5	3	2	0	4	3	3	4	
Sunset/ Twin Peaks	4	8	5	3	0	2	5	4	3	7	4	5	5	5	3	4	5	3	3	0	
Other	59	52	58	59	71	57	56	69	60	57	56	62	47	60	76	81	55	64	65	75	
	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
Western Addition	8	7	8	7	7	16	4	7	5	11	12	8	5	11	11	8	6	8	6	17	20
Castro/ Noe Valley	2	2	2	2	3	1	5	0	2	3	2	1	2	3	2	2	2	6	2	3	0
Chinatown/ Financial District/ SOMA	7	6	8	7	7	11	6	7	9	6	7	10	7	7	8	7	7	5	14	16	12
Hunters Point	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	4	1	2	2	1	2	0	1	0	1
Excelsior	3	3	2	2	3	5	4	4	2	3	1	2	3	2	2	2	2	1	4	13	0
Mission	7	6	8	6	9	8	5	9	5	7	6	9	6	7	7	7	3	7	10	7	4
Marina/ Pacific Heights/ Sea Cliff	5	5	5	6	3	3	4	7	7	4	5	2	6	4	4	7	4	5	3	6	1
Richmond	4	4	3	4	3	2	5	3	4	3	4	2	4	3	3	6	0	5	2	5	0
Sunset/ Twin Peaks	4	4	5	5	4	1	2	2	6	7	4	8	3	6	6	5	5	11	0	3	0
Other	59	62	56	60	59	52	65	61	60	55	56	53	62	55	55	56	68	53	58	28	62

Table 122: NEIGHBORHOODS

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
Western Addition	8	6	11	4	12	8	6	7	8	20	14	6	10	4	11	10	19	6	9	8	6
Castro/ Noe Valley	2	3	2	1	3	2	2	3	3	2	0	1	3	4	2	0	2	2	2	1	3
Chinatown/ Financial District/ SOMA	7	8	8	6	5	6	6	10	4	15	7	6	7	8	7	13	9	8	9	2	8
Hunters Point	1	1	2	0	2	2	1	0	2	2	0	1	2	0	2	0	2	1	2	2	1
Excelsior	3	2	3	4	2	2	3	3	4	2	10	3	2	3	4	13	1	3	3	2	4
Mission	7	7	9	6	5	6	6	12	7	7	0	5	7	9	9	11	7	17	4	8	5
Marina/ Pacific Heights/ Sea Cliff	5	5	4	6	4	6	6	4	4	7	0	7	5	3	4	8	1	4	6	5	5
Richmond	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	1	0	5	5	4	3	3	3	1	4	3	1	5
Sunset/ Twin Peaks	4	3	7	4	5	6	4	5	4	0	3	2	8	5	3	0	2	6	4	2	6
Other	59	61	51	64	59	60	61	54	63	45	62	64	54	60	57	42	57	49	58	69	57
		SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84	
Western Addition	8	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	9	7	10	12	6	6	16	
Castro/ Noe Valley	2	3	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	3	2	
Chinatown/ Financial District/ SOMA	7	0	64	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	9	7	4	19	0	8	4	
Hunters Point	1	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	
Excelsior	3	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	3	1	9	0	3	2	
Mission	7	0	0	39	0	34	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	7	2	15	0	10	0	
Marina/ Pacific Heights/ Sea Cliff	5	0	7	0	0	37	3	0	4	0	0	0	5	4	7	3	5	0	4	3	
Richmond	4	1	0	0	0	24	0	0	9	0	0	0	3	5	5	2	3	0	3	1	
Sunset/ Twin Peaks	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	4	6	5	4	2	0	5	3	
Other	59	9	10	0	100	0	89	100	47	100	100	100	60	53	57	72	35	94	57	69	

Table 122: NEIGHBORHOODS

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
Western Addition	8	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	7	8	9	8	9	8	8
Castro/ Noe Valley	2	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	2	2	1	2	2	2
Chinatown/ Financial District/ SOMA	7	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	8	9	10	6	8	2	6	8
Hunters Point	1	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1
Excelsior	3	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	2	2	4	3	2	4
Mission	7	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	7	7	8	7	6	9	1	2	10
Marina/ Pacific Heights/ Sea Cliff	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	4	5	5	7	5	5	2	6	5
Richmond	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	4	4	6	4	3	5	2	3	4
Sunset/ Twin Peaks	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	4	5	6	6	8	4	5	6	4
Other	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	57	55	52	53	58	55	73	65	54

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
Western Addition	8	8	4	10	8	8	8	8	8	7	0	0	43	0
Castro/ Noe Valley	2	3	0	0	2	2	2	2	1	3	0	0	12	0
Chinatown/ Financial District/ SOMA	7	7	6	3	7	7	7	7	6	8	10	0	25	0
Hunters Point	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	1	5	0	0	0
Excelsior	3	2	4	11	3	2	3	3	3	2	11	0	0	0
Mission	7	6	10	10	6	7	8	5	6	7	26	0	0	0
Marina/ Pacific Heights/ Sea Cliff	5	5	2	4	4	6	3	7	4	6	13	0	10	0
Richmond	4	3	10	0	4	3	4	3	4	3	14	0	0	0
Sunset/ Twin Peaks	4	5	1	0	4	5	5	4	4	4	15	2	0	0
Other	59	59	63	60	60	58	59	59	60	58	7	98	10	100

Table 123: AGE

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
18-49	57	69	60	53	64	61	57	53	45	81	63	48	49	60	67	39	51	62	43	53
18-24	5	8	8	2	7	6	2	7	0	0	8	3	6	6	6	0	2	9	2	0
25-29	12	15	15	7	13	14	19	13	0	8	14	12	13	11	17	4	20	14	3	0
30-34	11	17	11	11	14	11	5	14	16	18	10	6	10	11	14	5	4	10	11	18
35-39	13	5	11	17	17	15	14	7	17	27	16	8	7	15	14	13	14	14	12	4
40-44	9	11	8	9	8	8	8	7	6	20	8	11	5	9	7	7	11	9	9	17
45-49	7	12	8	7	6	7	9	5	6	8	6	8	7	8	9	10	0	6	6	14
50+	43	31	40	47	36	39	43	47	55	19	37	52	51	40	33	61	49	38	57	47
50-54	8	3	7	9	6	7	10	2	15	7	7	6	5	8	6	10	5	7	14	3
55-59	7	2	6	9	6	6	7	10	10	5	11	7	7	6	13	13	4	11	4	
60-64	7	5	7	8	5	7	5	12	6	0	8	8	8	7	3	12	11	7	13	10
65-74	13	13	11	16	12	12	13	17	15	1	11	16	18	11	10	17	11	13	13	20
75+	8	8	9	5	7	8	7	6	9	1	7	11	12	7	8	9	9	8	7	10

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE						Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS					
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
18-49	57	63	66	59	34	65	40	52	63	63	43	56	50	75	55	59	55	70	57	58
18-24	5	6	9	0	1	8	1	1	7	7	2	4	2	8	3	8	1	15	4	13
25-29	12	18	12	10	1	15	4	12	12	15	5	13	7	11	11	13	11	17	12	12
30-34	11	13	10	13	6	12	8	10	10	12	8	10	8	23	11	11	10	14	11	8
35-39	13	15	14	16	11	14	13	10	13	14	13	10	14	23	14	12	15	14	15	7
40-44	9	6	11	12	6	8	8	13	11	9	7	11	10	4	8	9	8	8	8	10
45-49	7	5	10	8	8	7	8	6	9	7	8	8	10	6	8	6	9	2	8	7
50+	43	37	34	41	66	35	60	48	37	37	57	44	50	25	45	41	45	30	43	42
50-54	8	5	8	14	11	6	12	4	7	5	13	7	8	4	7	8	8	4	7	8
55-59	7	5	5	11	12	5	12	9	6	5	12	8	8	5	6	8	8	4	7	6
60-64	7	4	5	6	15	5	12	11	7	7	9	8	8	3	9	5	8	5	8	4
65-74	13	14	9	6	20	12	16	15	10	12	15	14	16	11	13	13	14	7	13	14
75+	8	8	7	6	8	8	8	10	8	8	8	7	10	3	9	7	7	10	7	9

Table 123: AGE

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
18-49	57	71	57	58	64	67	54	64	55	69	44	75	65	67	72	43	53	69	55	52	63
18-24	5	3	7	6	10	10	4	6	4	5	4	16	8	5	5	0	10	4	2	9	3
25-29	12	14	13	10	19	17	9	13	5	20	5	25	19	22	15	0	9	22	7	8	15
30-34	11	15	10	13	14	12	9	12	15	14	2	12	11	10	21	8	8	16	10	8	13
35-39	13	23	12	15	13	16	13	16	18	13	9	10	11	9	20	6	9	16	18	9	17
40-44	9	9	8	9	4	6	9	9	8	11	2	8	9	13	6	15	10	5	10	10	7
45-49	7	7	7	6	4	7	10	8	5	7	23	3	8	8	5	13	7	6	8	8	7
50+	43	29	43	42	36	33	46	36	45	31	56	25	35	33	28	57	47	31	45	48	37
50-54	8	6	9	11	5	6	8	8	8	5	22	3	7	2	9	0	9	7	6	8	6
55-59	7	7	6	4	5	8	8	6	7	6	3	7	6	6	11	6	4	9	7	6	6
60-64	7	8	9	10	7	9	8	8	7	5	14	4	7	7	4	14	8	6	5	9	6
65-74	13	6	12	11	13	7	15	9	12	9	16	9	11	12	5	19	14	9	14	14	11
75+	8	2	8	5	6	3	8	5	12	6	0	2	4	6	5	13	9	5	11	10	7
	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
18-49	57	66	63	51	21	54	60	53	67	74	57	66	52	59	71	78	64	56	39	46	
18-24	5	12	4	4	0	9	6	7	0	2	8	4	3	3	15	15	7	4	1	0	
25-29	12	17	13	9	2	14	18	11	15	14	16	13	13	13	8	39	15	13	2	8	
30-34	11	12	12	10	0	7	9	11	17	18	8	15	11	13	6	0	12	12	7	13	
35-39	13	11	18	14	4	8	10	11	28	22	9	18	10	16	17	13	15	9	13	8	
40-44	9	8	9	7	7	8	6	8	5	12	7	10	10	7	12	0	9	10	7	10	
45-49	7	7	8	7	8	7	10	6	3	7	9	6	5	8	12	11	7	7	8	7	
50+	43	34	37	49	79	46	40	47	33	26	43	34	48	41	29	22	36	44	61	54	
50-54	8	7	7	7	15	6	9	8	7	5	7	7	9	5	9	4	6	7	12	1	
55-59	7	7	7	7	3	3	6	9	3	6	5	7	6	7	8	4	5	6	13	8	
60-64	7	2	6	12	11	7	8	10	10	2	8	6	11	7	5	6	5	11	11	24	
65-74	13	11	13	14	22	18	8	14	9	8	13	10	14	14	4	9	12	12	16	12	
75+	8	7	4	10	28	11	9	5	3	3	10	4	9	8	4	0	8	8	8	8	

Table 123: AGE

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
18-49	57	60	55	59	58	34	100	100	100	0	0	0	100	0	0	63	44	71	53	50	21
18-24	5	7	4	6	5	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	8	2	9	6	0	0
25-29	12	13	12	12	13	1	70	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	15	6	13	15	0	4
30-34	11	10	11	12	10	3	0	44	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	12	10	12	7	9	0
35-39	13	12	14	14	11	16	0	56	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	15	9	14	14	28	7
40-44	9	10	7	9	8	9	0	0	53	0	0	0	15	0	0	8	8	10	5	5	6
45-49	7	8	6	7	10	4	0	0	47	0	0	0	13	0	0	6	10	13	5	7	5
50+	43	40	45	41	42	66	0	0	0	100	100	100	0	100	100	37	56	29	47	50	79
50-54	8	9	7	6	10	7	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	18	0	7	9	10	6	2	15
55-59	7	6	8	6	10	5	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	16	0	6	5	11	10	4	5
60-64	7	7	8	7	7	9	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	17	0	4	15	1	8	15	10
65-74	13	10	14	14	9	27	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	30	62	13	14	6	12	23	23
75+	8	8	9	8	7	17	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	19	38	6	12	1	10	6	26

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
18-49	57	100	0	100	0	60	58	52	69	34	42	100	0	100	0	100	0	43	61	57	65
18-24	5	7	0	11	0	4	8	6	6	0	0	10	0	9	0	0	0	4	3	13	3
25-29	12	22	0	22	0	13	13	12	17	2	0	21	0	23	0	4	0	4	17	11	15
30-34	11	20	0	16	0	13	10	9	13	6	0	20	0	18	0	9	0	6	14	8	12
35-39	13	26	0	20	0	17	11	8	13	18	18	24	0	19	0	48	0	11	16	7	16
40-44	9	14	0	16	0	7	9	8	10	7	14	15	0	14	0	27	0	9	6	11	9
45-49	7	11	0	14	0	5	8	10	10	1	10	11	0	17	0	12	0	8	5	7	10
50+	43	0	100	0	100	40	42	48	31	66	58	0	100	0	100	0	100	57	39	43	35
50-54	8	0	16	0	22	6	8	11	10	2	15	0	16	0	23	0	10	8	7	9	7
55-59	7	0	17	0	14	6	6	11	6	5	1	0	15	0	23	0	7	9	7	5	6
60-64	7	0	17	0	18	6	9	9	5	17	2	0	18	0	17	0	14	7	6	11	5
65-74	13	0	30	0	26	15	12	7	7	33	8	0	33	0	20	0	42	22	11	9	11
75+	8	0	19	0	20	8	8	10	3	9	32	0	18	0	16	0	26	12	8	9	7

Table 123: AGE

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
18-49	57	39	57	67	57	56	59	51	49	66	62	70	59	41	60	56	42	0	61	43
18-24	5	1	11	3	0	3	9	1	2	8	10	10	6	0	3	18	0	0	6	1
25-29	12	7	13	16	10	11	7	4	13	22	8	21	13	4	13	7	2	0	15	8
30-34	11	14	11	18	9	8	17	7	8	10	11	4	11	7	12	8	6	0	11	6
35-39	13	5	8	19	24	15	10	13	9	17	15	15	13	15	15	13	18	0	10	13
40-44	9	7	8	6	6	10	9	13	10	7	4	14	9	8	9	7	15	0	8	8
45-49	7	5	6	5	8	9	7	13	8	2	13	5	7	7	7	4	0	0	11	7
50+	43	61	43	33	43	44	41	49	51	34	38	30	41	59	40	44	58	100	39	57
50-54	8	11	6	4	7	10	13	5	8	6	1	10	7	13	7	6	3	0	10	11
55-59	7	11	9	8	4	7	9	3	9	12	1	4	7	5	7	3	3	13	9	8
60-64	7	8	10	5	7	4	5	7	7	5	17	5	7	12	7	6	8	0	6	13
65-74	13	22	10	9	9	14	9	22	18	3	18	8	12	18	13	19	26	29	8	14
75+	8	8	9	8	16	9	5	11	9	7	2	2	7	12	7	11	18	58	6	9

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
18-49	57	40	51	57	35	68	54	67	62	41	60	67	65	63	60	59	68	32	41	71
18-24	5	0	20	2	0	4	2	0	8	0	7	7	5	5	2	0	9	7	0	8
25-29	12	8	19	12	9	19	10	13	17	9	12	15	14	14	12	14	17	8	7	16
30-34	11	15	0	11	15	5	16	16	14	0	10	12	12	13	11	5	13	5	6	14
35-39	13	5	0	12	6	29	16	15	5	9	15	15	14	13	11	10	10	4	12	16
40-44	9	6	7	9	5	2	10	8	11	13	9	10	10	12	15	15	9	2	10	8
45-49	7	5	6	10	0	9	1	15	6	10	8	8	10	7	9	14	9	6	7	9
50+	43	60	49	43	65	32	46	33	38	59	40	33	35	37	40	41	32	68	59	29
50-54	8	13	6	4	0	3	13	5	7	14	7	7	9	9	11	6	6	10	9	6
55-59	7	9	11	9	6	15	8	2	11	15	5	5	5	5	3	8	7	9	5	5
60-64	7	9	17	7	18	3	2	9	1	4	8	8	7	8	8	10	5	15	12	4
65-74	13	21	9	13	19	6	12	14	15	12	12	8	9	9	10	15	8	18	17	9
75+	8	9	5	12	23	6	11	3	4	15	7	5	5	6	6	8	5	18	12	5

Table 123: AGE

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
18-49	57	56	80	47	57	57	57	57	58	56	53	64	51	55
18-24	5	4	28	3	7	3	3	7	9	2	3	9	6	0
25-29	12	11	19	14	10	14	14	10	15	9	13	13	9	10
30-34	11	11	17	2	10	11	10	11	11	11	13	10	11	8
35-39	13	14	8	12	14	13	14	13	9	18	11	15	8	17
40-44	9	9	8	6	9	8	7	10	7	10	7	9	9	9
45-49	7	8	0	10	7	8	9	6	8	7	7	7	7	10
50+	43	44	20	53	43	43	43	43	42	44	47	36	49	45
50-54	8	8	7	2	8	7	7	8	7	8	8	8	8	6
55-59	7	7	2	9	7	7	9	5	7	7	10	6	9	4
60-64	7	7	7	9	7	8	6	9	8	7	5	8	9	7
65-74	13	13	4	17	13	13	13	13	11	15	14	10	16	15
75+	8	8	0	15	8	8	8	8	9	7	10	4	7	13

Table 124: SUPERVISOR DISTRICTS

	Q3 MUNI RATE				Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
District 1	9	8	10	8	9	8	11	5	6	14	10	12	8	9	8	10	11	9	8	12
District 2	10	4	10	9	9	9	14	8	12	14	11	5	7	11	10	11	6	10	11	6
District 3	7	11	7	8	6	7	6	9	8	9	5	8	8	7	9	4	4	7	4	13
District 4	9	2	7	12	9	8	14	4	6	10	9	8	10	9	7	12	11	7	17	1
District 5	10	13	11	8	10	11	0	13	11	5	11	15	12	10	12	8	6	10	10	10
District 6	9	11	10	7	10	9	13	8	17	10	8	10	12	8	10	9	4	11	6	3
District 7	9	11	9	9	8	8	5	6	7	8	9	11	6	10	8	10	16	8	12	5
District 8	11	11	10	12	12	12	5	12	15	9	12	13	10	12	14	4	18	10	12	15
District 9	9	9	11	6	9	10	12	9	10	15	8	9	11	7	10	9	0	10	7	9
District 10	9	13	9	9	10	10	12	19	3	6	9	1	11	8	8	14	3	10	2	18
District 11	8	5	6	11	8	7	8	6	6	0	8	8	6	8	6	9	20	7	11	7

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS						
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
District 1	9	10	7	11	8	9	9	12	8	9	7	16	10	7	9	8	10	6	9	7
District 2	10	11	9	16	9	10	11	6	8	10	10	9	10	9	9	11	11	12	11	7
District 3	7	5	11	2	5	8	4	8	10	8	5	8	5	13	7	7	5	10	6	13
District 4	9	6	8	9	16	7	14	7	8	7	14	7	6	13	8	10	10	6	10	5
District 5	10	12	9	14	7	11	9	8	9	11	8	8	10	6	10	10	8	13	9	17
District 6	9	9	12	6	8	10	8	3	10	9	9	6	10	7	9	10	7	11	8	13
District 7	9	7	9	11	11	8	11	11	9	8	10	13	11	3	9	9	12	2	10	4
District 8	11	14	9	6	8	12	7	17	11	12	8	15	13	13	12	10	11	7	11	12
District 9	9	9	10	12	7	10	8	4	9	9	11	5	9	10	9	9	8	5	8	15
District 10	9	8	11	4	10	9	9	10	10	10	6	7	10	11	11	6	10	12	10	4
District 11	8	8	5	9	10	6	10	15	7	7	11	7	6	9	7	10	8	15	9	3

Table 124: SUPERVISOR DISTRICTS

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
District 1	9	12	8	6	2	10	11	6	9	12	2	4	8	12	13	3	9	9	10	8	9
District 2	10	10	9	5	0	10	12	13	12	9	7	7	8	7	13	8	8	12	10	8	11
District 3	7	7	8	7	11	7	4	8	6	11	1	7	8	13	7	15	6	8	6	7	7
District 4	9	9	8	6	0	14	11	6	10	13	2	4	8	15	9	4	6	10	11	6	11
District 5	10	8	12	10	12	6	8	13	11	7	17	13	10	8	6	8	14	7	10	13	8
District 6	9	8	10	13	18	5	7	12	9	12	9	13	11	12	12	15	7	11	7	8	9
District 7	9	9	7	9	7	7	12	5	8	8	15	1	7	6	11	2	4	10	11	4	11
District 8	11	10	11	11	6	13	10	10	13	8	12	8	9	2	17	9	5	15	14	6	15
District 9	9	13	8	12	10	11	7	11	11	5	1	14	8	7	2	7	12	7	10	11	8
District 10	9	10	11	12	22	9	10	9	7	7	31	6	10	9	5	14	14	5	6	14	6
District 11	8	5	6	10	12	8	7	7	4	8	4	22	12	8	5	16	15	5	4	15	5

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
District 1	9	10	9	8	16	7	8	7	24	7	7	9	5	10	10	6	9	11	8	9
District 2	10	10	11	13	7	7	9	14	9	12	8	12	15	10	4	0	10	6	12	6
District 3	7	5	6	7	8	9	12	2	4	7	10	5	8	8	3	9	8	7	4	5
District 4	9	4	10	9	22	7	8	10	15	8	8	10	3	10	12	11	7	8	15	9
District 5	10	14	12	7	1	18	8	6	4	7	13	6	16	8	3	0	11	8	8	8
District 6	9	7	8	8	12	7	7	15	12	10	7	12	11	9	8	15	10	8	8	4
District 7	9	7	8	9	14	5	5	10	8	11	5	10	6	9	11	0	8	8	11	3
District 8	11	17	12	10	6	5	13	12	10	14	9	13	13	12	7	14	12	11	8	10
District 9	9	10	9	7	10	11	9	9	4	10	10	9	8	9	13	0	9	10	9	7
District 10	9	6	9	13	3	15	8	5	9	8	12	7	8	9	12	7	9	14	6	28
District 11	8	10	7	8	2	9	13	9	2	6	11	6	6	6	17	39	6	10	11	12

Table 124: SUPERVISOR DISTRICTS

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
District 1	9	8	9	8	9	17	4	7	7	12	15	9	6	13	13	9	7	11	7	17	24
District 2	10	8	12	10	10	11	14	8	9	11	8	11	10	10	9	10	10	10	15	17	12
District 3	7	8	6	6	8	10	8	11	5	5	5	7	8	5	5	6	6	3	9	13	5
District 4	9	9	10	9	7	14	5	12	8	7	7	18	9	9	11	7	15	9	4	0	25
District 5	10	10	10	10	10	8	8	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	11	13	3	13	9	19	1
District 6	9	7	11	8	11	6	8	10	9	11	6	5	9	9	6	8	6	6	16	2	0
District 7	9	9	8	8	9	15	3	8	14	6	15	13	8	10	14	8	10	4	10	10	15
District 8	11	11	10	13	9	4	10	8	12	12	15	13	9	13	14	13	13	18	6	7	6
District 9	9	11	6	7	14	3	16	10	5	10	2	8	10	7	4	8	5	14	12	0	9
District 10	9	10	9	11	7	1	10	10	10	7	13	2	10	8	8	8	18	4	6	4	0
District 11	8	8	8	9	5	10	15	7	9	7	5	2	10	6	4	10	7	5	7	11	4

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
District 1	9	7	12	4	14	9	7	8	9	22	14	7	11	5	14	10	21	6	11	9	7
District 2	10	12	11	9	8	9	10	14	6	17	5	10	10	10	10	13	10	10	13	6	10
District 3	7	7	5	9	5	5	7	8	9	7	10	7	4	9	7	16	7	7	6	7	9
District 4	9	10	9	8	10	10	9	9	6	10	23	10	8	7	7	0	21	6	11	6	10
District 5	10	9	12	11	9	9	11	12	9	12	5	9	12	10	11	19	2	18	7	10	9
District 6	9	13	9	7	8	12	6	12	10	2	10	9	8	10	13	14	2	7	14	10	4
District 7	9	7	9	8	9	8	9	8	6	10	15	8	8	8	10	3	22	5	8	3	13
District 8	11	8	12	10	14	12	11	8	12	3	7	9	18	12	6	0	7	9	11	4	17
District 9	9	7	6	13	8	7	8	6	23	6	0	8	7	16	11	9	0	4	8	15	10
District 10	9	9	8	11	9	10	12	8	7	3	0	11	11	10	3	0	2	12	6	16	6
District 11	8	11	6	10	6	10	10	7	3	9	12	13	4	2	9	16	6	16	5	14	5

Table 124: SUPERVISOR DISTRICTS

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
District 1	9	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	11	7	12	12	18	8	16
District 2	10	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	10	11	17	0	10	8
District 3	7	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	8	7	3	17	0	9	2
District 4	9	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	7	7	14	2	59	6	23
District 5	10	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	13	12	3	14	0	11	2
District 6	9	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	10	2	4	0	12	6
District 7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	8	14	8	9	10	23	7	19
District 8	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	11	15	13	8	7	0	10	6
District 9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	9	9	8	6	1	0	15	4
District 10	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	9	8	11	15	3	0	6	6
District 11	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	8	5	7	17	13	0	6	8

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
District 1	9	100	11	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	8	9	9	8	10	9	10	9	9
District 2	10	0	89	90	0	0	0	13	0	0	2	12	11	12	12	8	9	6	7	11
District 3	7	0	0	3	100	100	40	0	0	0	0	9	11	11	9	9	10	6	3	10
District 4	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	10	10	8	7	7	5	19	11	7
District 5	10	0	0	7	0	0	50	72	68	0	0	10	9	10	11	7	12	3	8	11
District 6	9	0	0	0	0	0	10	6	0	0	14	8	6	7	8	8	8	10	7	11
District 7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	8	9	8	10	11	8	9	13	5
District 8	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	28	100	9	9	10	11	12	13	10	8	14	10
District 9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	6	7	6	6	9	9	4	7	10
District 10	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	11	9	9	5	6	12	12	8	10
District 11	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	8	9	10	12	13	9	13	12	6

Table 124: SUPERVISOR DISTRICTS

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
District 1	9	9	4	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	1	0	49	0
District 2	10	11	6	3	10	10	10	10	8	12	10	0	41	0
District 3	7	6	13	15	7	7	7	7	9	5	27	0	0	0
District 4	9	9	2	14	9	9	9	9	9	9	0	0	0	44
District 5	10	10	12	13	10	10	10	10	9	11	32	0	10	0
District 6	9	9	13	7	9	9	9	9	8	10	4	22	0	0
District 7	9	10	2	6	9	9	9	9	8	10	0	2	0	41
District 8	11	12	3	0	11	11	11	11	10	12	26	4	0	13
District 9	9	8	22	10	9	9	9	9	8	10	0	25	0	0
District 10	9	9	6	11	9	9	9	9	12	6	0	25	0	0
District 11	8	7	18	13	8	8	8	8	10	6	0	22	0	1

Table 125: A/B SPLIT

	Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED																				Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY				Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY				Q11C DETAILED MEASURE		Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE		Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE		Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS													
	Total	EXC	EXC/GOOD	NOT/POOR	GREAT	GREAT/SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA											
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33											
A	50	44	49	50	50	49	28	50	48	50	50	65	51	49	48	49	65	51	53	29											
B	50	56	51	50	50	51	72	50	52	50	50	35	49	51	52	51	35	49	47	71											
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS														
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES											
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146										
A	50	49	50	49	52	50	51	50	50	48	54	50	51	52	50	50	48	59	50	51											
B	50	51	50	51	48	50	49	50	50	52	46	50	49	48	50	50	52	41	50	49											
	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION																
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+										
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530									
A	50	51	52	49	54	47	49	53	49	51	52	50	50	50	52	42	50	53	47	49	50										
B	50	49	48	51	46	53	51	47	51	49	48	50	50	50	48	58	50	47	53	51	50										
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS																
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOV											
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44										
A	50	55	46	46	49	50	48	43	56	50	49	48	46	52	48	32	49	46	54	34											
B	50	45	54	54	51	50	52	57	44	50	51	52	54	48	52	68	51	54	46	66											
	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY																		
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP										
	Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20									
A	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	49	52	50	50	50	51	51	47	42	37	54										
B	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	51	48	50	50	50	49	49	53	58	63	46										

Table 125: A/B SPLIT

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
A	50	51	48	50	50	48	53	52	46	54	34	50	50	50	50	53	49	46	49	51	51
B	50	49	52	50	50	52	47	48	54	46	66	50	50	50	50	47	51	54	51	49	49

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
A	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	51	44	48	59	55	24	50	52
B	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	49	56	52	41	45	76	50	48

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
A	50	50	52	48	42	58	46	42	62	49	50	51	51	55	50	46	51	52	48	50
B	50	50	48	52	58	42	54	58	38	51	50	49	49	45	50	54	49	48	52	50

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
A	50	49	54	60	100	0	50	50	51	48	48	51	51	50
B	50	51	46	40	0	100	50	50	49	52	52	49	49	50

Table 126: C/D SPLIT

	Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED																				Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY				Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS		
	Q3 MUNI RATE			GREAT/ SOME		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY					ACCES		RELIAB		TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA										
	Total	EXC	GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA										
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33										
C	50	51	51	48	43	48	44	51	48	51	51	46	53	49	100	100	100	0	0	0										
D	50	49	49	52	57	52	56	49	52	49	49	54	47	51	0	0	0	100	100	100										
	Q11 MEASURE VOTE								Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS														
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES										
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146									
C	50	46	46	60	57	46	57	58	49	47	54	59	50	44	50	50	49	46	49	57										
D	50	54	54	40	43	54	43	42	51	53	46	41	50	56	50	50	51	54	51	43										
	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION																
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+									
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530								
C	50	50	47	48	57	46	48	51	50	49	49	45	49	50	50	46	50	51	48	50	50									
D	50	50	53	52	43	54	52	49	50	51	51	55	51	50	50	54	50	49	52	50	50									
	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS														
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	CONS SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOV										
	Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44									
C	50	53	48	50	57	47	49	54	53	49	48	51	50	52	43	70	46	57	55	60										
D	50	47	52	50	43	53	51	46	47	51	52	49	50	48	57	30	54	43	45	40										
	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY																	
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP									
	Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20								
C	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	48	52	50	50	50	51	50	49	54	47	48									
D	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	52	48	50	50	50	49	50	51	46	53	52									

Table 126: C/D SPLIT

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
	Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166
C	50	47	54	52	46	48	52	52	47	55	36	52	47	47	54	39	56	43	52	55	47
D	50	53	46	48	54	52	48	48	53	45	64	48	53	53	46	61	44	57	48	45	53

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
C	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	51	42	49	57	41	76	48	56
D	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	49	58	51	43	59	24	52	44

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
C	50	50	51	48	33	52	61	34	55	53	50	51	48	48	46	54	50	56	51	49
D	50	50	49	52	67	48	39	66	45	47	50	49	52	52	54	46	50	44	49	51

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
C	50	50	48	58	50	50	100	0	52	48	53	48	48	51
D	50	50	52	42	50	50	0	100	48	52	47	52	52	49

Table 127: MODE OF INTERVIEW

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
	Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101
PHONE	51	64	54	44	52	51	49	62	49	47	46	55	59	48	54	53	41	49	49	48
Phone	51	64	54	44	52	51	49	62	49	47	46	55	59	48	54	53	41	49	49	48
ONLINE	49	36	46	56	48	49	51	38	51	53	54	45	41	52	46	47	59	51	51	52
Email	40	28	35	47	40	40	45	29	30	52	44	37	36	41	35	35	53	40	45	52
Text	10	7	11	9	9	10	7	9	21	1	9	8	5	11	11	12	7	11	6	0

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
	Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727
PHONE	51	45	58	41	55	51	51	44	55	52	49	45	43	51	48	54	48	68	51	48
Phone	51	45	58	41	55	51	51	44	55	52	49	45	43	51	48	54	48	68	51	48
ONLINE	49	55	42	59	45	49	49	56	45	48	51	55	57	49	52	46	52	32	49	52
Email	40	41	34	51	35	38	39	53	39	39	37	51	45	39	41	37	42	27	39	40
Text	10	14	7	8	10	11	9	4	6	9	14	5	12	10	11	9	10	5	9	12

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY						Q20 EDUCATION							
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
	Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295
PHONE	51	44	48	53	67	56	51	53	41	59	60	68	60	66	46	77	59	48	42	61	45
Phone	51	44	48	53	67	56	51	53	41	59	60	68	60	66	46	77	59	48	42	61	45
ONLINE	49	56	52	47	33	44	49	47	59	41	40	32	40	34	54	23	41	52	58	39	55
Email	40	45	40	35	21	38	39	34	47	35	24	20	30	32	40	23	31	43	46	30	44
Text	10	11	11	12	12	7	10	13	12	7	16	12	10	3	13	0	10	9	13	9	11

Table 127: MODE OF INTERVIEW

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR	
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44	
PHONE	51	54	47	49	56	58	64	47	40	41	61	43	49	49	61	62	52	46	51	54	
Phone	51	54	47	49	56	58	64	47	40	41	61	43	49	49	61	62	52	46	51	54	
ONLINE	49	46	53	51	44	42	36	53	60	59	39	57	51	51	39	38	48	54	49	46	
Email	40	39	40	39	42	30	32	39	55	49	31	46	43	41	28	38	39	44	39	45	
Text	10	7	12	12	3	12	5	14	5	10	8	11	8	10	12	0	9	11	10	2	
	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE							PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
PHONE	51	61	45	49	52	59	69	40	49	53	41	56	51	50	47	50	51	49	45	59	56
Phone	51	61	45	49	52	59	69	40	49	53	41	56	51	50	47	50	51	49	45	59	56
ONLINE	49	39	55	51	48	41	31	60	51	47	59	44	49	50	53	50	49	51	55	41	44
Email	40	30	43	40	38	40	21	48	43	36	51	38	38	41	46	39	38	45	41	41	40
Text	10	9	11	11	10	1	10	12	9	11	8	6	10	9	7	11	11	6	14	0	4
	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION				
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
PHONE	51	42	49	63	59	39	60	51	63	64	65	51	46	46	60	87	44	50	41	72	53
Phone	51	42	49	63	59	39	60	51	63	64	65	51	46	46	60	87	44	50	41	72	53
ONLINE	49	58	51	37	41	61	40	49	37	36	35	49	54	54	40	13	56	50	59	28	47
Email	40	45	42	29	32	46	32	41	25	34	34	39	43	42	32	11	55	40	47	21	38
Text	10	13	10	9	9	15	8	8	13	2	2	10	11	12	8	2	1	11	12	7	9

Table 127: MODE OF INTERVIEW

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
PHONE	51	50	42	62	50	47	47	46	44	44	66	64	52	41	47	58	59	70	53	51
Phone	51	50	42	62	50	47	47	46	44	44	66	64	52	41	47	58	59	70	53	51
ONLINE	49	50	58	38	50	53	53	54	56	56	34	36	48	59	53	42	41	30	47	49
Email	40	46	48	30	39	42	35	45	50	42	25	24	38	48	42	37	38	30	37	38
Text	10	3	10	8	11	10	18	9	6	14	9	12	10	11	11	5	3	0	10	11

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS				Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME		
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
PHONE	51	52	33	42	61	61	47	43	62	49	52	54	53	53	49	43	100	100	48	52
Phone	51	52	33	42	61	61	47	43	62	49	52	54	53	53	49	43	100	100	48	52
ONLINE	49	48	67	58	39	39	53	57	38	51	48	46	47	47	51	57	0	0	52	48
Email	40	44	54	49	25	29	34	54	38	42	37	39	40	40	43	45	0	0	42	38
Text	10	4	14	9	15	10	19	3	0	8	11	7	7	8	8	12	0	0	9	10

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
PHONE	51	44	100	100	52	49	53	49	100	0	48	56	49	46
Phone	51	44	100	100	52	49	53	49	100	0	48	56	49	46
ONLINE	49	56	0	0	48	51	47	51	0	100	52	44	51	54
Email	40	45	0	0	37	42	37	42	0	80	42	32	45	45
Text	10	11	0	0	11	9	11	9	0	20	10	12	6	10

Table 128: QUADRANT

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
NORTHEAST	26	36	27	25	26	26	14	34	35	22	24	32	27	26	33	20	16	25	21	31
SOUTHEAST	36	40	37	34	37	38	46	42	37	29	34	29	40	33	33	41	27	39	29	41
NORTHWEST	18	9	18	17	17	18	21	11	15	28	21	17	15	19	17	18	18	18	20	18
SOUTHWEST	20	15	18	23	19	18	18	13	13	21	21	22	18	21	18	21	39	17	31	10

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE					Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
NORTHEAST	26	30	26	29	17	29	20	22	25	28	21	24	25	28	25	26	20	35	22	42
SOUTHEAST	36	36	37	33	36	36	35	33	36	36	39	24	37	41	37	34	35	42	36	34
NORTHWEST	18	18	17	20	19	18	19	18	17	18	16	25	19	15	18	18	20	14	19	14
SOUTHWEST	20	15	20	18	28	17	25	26	22	18	24	26	20	16	19	22	25	9	22	10

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY					Q20 EDUCATION								
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
NORTHEAST	26	21	31	26	25	21	19	29	29	25	27	26	25	22	30	34	24	26	28	25	27
SOUTHEAST	36	36	36	48	60	34	33	39	31	33	45	55	42	37	26	55	47	31	28	48	29
NORTHWEST	18	22	16	9	5	21	22	18	21	20	8	11	15	19	22	3	18	19	19	16	19
SOUTHWEST	20	21	17	17	9	25	26	14	20	22	20	9	18	21	22	8	11	24	25	10	25

	Q21 IDEOLOGY				Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K -\$100K	\$100K -\$175K	\$175K -\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
NORTHEAST	26	32	26	23	14	30	28	23	14	25	29	23	36	25	12	13	29	20	21	13
SOUTHEAST	36	33	35	36	29	41	39	38	27	35	40	36	32	35	51	61	34	42	35	54
NORTHWEST	18	19	19	20	18	14	17	15	32	19	15	19	19	19	12	6	18	18	18	16
SOUTHWEST	20	15	20	20	39	14	16	23	27	21	15	22	13	21	24	21	18	20	26	18

Table 128: QUADRANT

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
NORTHEAST	26	24	27	27	25	22	24	25	23	27	27	33	24	28	29	30	19	26	26	30	14
SOUTHEAST	36	39	34	36	38	21	47	38	35	36	28	19	40	30	24	35	37	32	40	19	17
NORTHWEST	18	17	19	17	19	29	16	15	18	21	22	16	16	21	20	17	17	24	18	43	28
SOUTHWEST	20	20	19	20	19	29	13	21	24	16	23	32	20	21	27	18	27	18	16	7	41

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION					
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
NORTHEAST	26	24	31	23	25	27	26	31	19	16	22	24	30	23	27	28	18	36	25	17	29
SOUTHEAST	36	39	28	43	34	38	38	32	46	22	24	40	32	41	33	39	12	38	32	56	28
NORTHWEST	18	18	21	15	21	16	16	19	19	42	16	15	19	17	21	30	28	15	21	17	17
SOUTHWEST	20	19	20	19	21	20	20	18	16	19	37	21	19	19	18	3	42	12	21	10	27

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
NORTHEAST	26	2	25	100	0	82	12	0	62	0	0	0	25	28	30	13	36	0	27	11
SOUTHEAST	36	0	0	0	0	0	88	7	14	100	100	97	37	25	36	41	19	0	40	26
NORTHWEST	18	98	75	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	22	15	24	30	18	18	23
SOUTHWEST	20	0	0	0	100	0	0	93	24	0	0	3	20	25	18	22	15	82	16	40

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
NORTHEAST	26	0	1	35	100	100	100	65	100	86	3	26	28	30	31	29	29	13	21	31
SOUTHEAST	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	60	35	33	33	32	36	39	42	35	37
NORTHWEST	18	100	99	65	0	0	0	35	0	0	3	20	20	20	19	17	18	17	17	18
SOUTHWEST	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	19	20	17	18	18	14	28	27	15

Table 128: QUADRANT

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
NORTHEAST	26	25	34	25	25	27	27	24	24	27	100	0	0	0
SOUTHEAST	36	34	54	43	36	35	35	37	40	32	0	100	0	0
NORTHWEST	18	19	4	15	19	18	17	19	18	19	0	0	100	0
SOUTHWEST	20	21	8	17	20	20	21	20	18	22	0	0	0	100

Table 129: TARGETS

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
CONSISTENCY TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS SUPP	60	85	73	40	85	73	50	65	50	74	67	66	59	63	94	0	0	93	0	0
SWING	17	13	16	17	7	16	17	21	25	18	15	10	20	14	6	17	100	7	8	100
CONS OPP	23	2	11	42	8	10	33	13	26	8	17	24	22	22	0	83	0	0	92	0
POS MOVER	5	6	5	6	2	5	8	11	5	2	4	3	6	4	0	13	20	0	4	40
POS MOVER	5	6	5	6	2	5	8	11	5	2	4	3	6	4	0	13	20	0	4	40

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE				Q13 FINAL VOTE				Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS							
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/ DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
CONSISTENCY TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS SUPP	60	95	92	0	0	93	0	0	71	92	0	0	61	72	62	58	55	81	59	70
SWING	17	5	8	27	8	7	13	100	29	8	13	100	11	12	13	21	17	14	17	15
CONS OPP	23	0	0	73	92	0	87	0	0	0	87	0	28	16	25	20	28	5	24	16
POS MOVER	5	0	0	16	7	0	9	29	7	8	0	0	3	6	6	4	6	4	6	2
POS MOVER	5	0	0	16	7	0	9	29	7	8	0	0	3	6	6	4	6	4	6	2

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION							Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION						
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
CONSISTENCY TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS SUPP	60	68	66	64	71	61	53	57	69	65	48	66	62	61	70	52	64	65	63	63	64
SWING	17	12	16	16	13	15	17	19	11	17	32	23	20	18	16	26	16	16	11	18	14
CONS OPP	23	20	18	20	16	24	30	24	20	18	20	11	18	21	14	22	19	19	26	20	22
POS MOVER	5	4	5	7	0	4	5	7	3	6	15	5	7	6	6	11	5	5	4	6	4
POS MOVER	5	4	5	7	0	4	5	7	3	6	15	5	7	6	6	11	5	5	4	6	4

Table 129: TARGETS

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME						Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
CONSISTENCY TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS SUPP	60	85	64	46	56	69	63	66	70	66	66	66	64	62	63	34	100	0	0	0
SWING	17	9	18	17	5	23	14	15	3	12	18	12	19	14	17	44	0	100	0	100
CONS OPP	23	6	17	37	39	9	23	18	27	22	15	21	17	24	20	21	0	0	100	0
POS MOVER	5	2	7	6	3	9	1	6	1	5	5	5	6	5	3	0	0	30	0	100
POS MOVER	5	2	7	6	3	9	1	6	1	5	5	5	6	5	3	0	0	30	0	100

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY									
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20	
CONSISTENCY TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS SUPP	60	63	60	67	54	29	79	66	59	43	56	60	68	50	57	74	48	72	52	40	39	
SWING	17	20	12	17	17	14	17	14	18	19	15	16	16	17	16	15	19	11	10	17	8	
CONS OPP	23	17	28	16	29	57	4	20	23	38	29	24	16	33	27	11	33	17	38	43	53	
POS MOVER	5	8	2	6	2	6	2	4	5	8	4	5	4	6	5	5	9	3	2	1	6	
POS MOVER	5	8	2	6	2	6	2	4	5	8	4	5	4	6	5	5	9	3	2	1	6	

	GENDER BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE				GENDER BY EDUCATION						
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
CONSISTENCY TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS SUPP	60	68	51	68	55	70	65	52	61	26	39	70	62	68	35	31	27	65	62	61	67
SWING	17	13	12	19	21	13	21	11	20	15	16	15	19	15	18	30	5	9	11	25	16
CONS OPP	23	20	37	12	24	17	14	37	19	60	46	14	19	17	47	39	67	26	27	15	17
POS MOVER	5	3	2	5	11	2	9	3	2	2	11	4	10	4	1	10	3	1	3	10	6
POS MOVER	5	3	2	5	11	2	9	3	2	2	11	4	10	4	1	10	3	1	3	10	6

Table 129: TARGETS

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240
CONSISTENCY TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS SUPP	60	59	63	69	48	68	65	57	66	59	59	49	61	55	69	63	33	0	57	39
SWING	17	20	10	17	14	14	15	14	16	18	25	21	16	17	16	23	22	12	16	14
CONS OPP	23	21	27	14	38	19	20	29	18	23	16	31	23	28	16	14	45	88	27	47
POS MOVER	5	5	3	3	5	4	2	2	4	4	16	8	5	4	7	6	7	12	2	2
POS MOVER	5	5	3	3	5	4	2	2	4	4	16	8	5	4	7	6	7	12	2	2

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
	Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320
CONSISTENCY TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS SUPP	60	62	78	51	61	80	70	71	65	70	56	63	60	58	58	62	68	48	53	68
SWING	17	19	6	17	27	4	16	9	14	13	18	16	15	17	15	16	11	25	17	17
CONS OPP	23	19	16	32	11	15	13	20	20	17	26	21	24	25	27	22	22	27	31	15
POS MOVER	5	4	0	5	0	3	3	1	5	1	6	7	6	7	6	6	4	9	6	5
POS MOVER	5	4	0	5	0	3	3	1	5	1	6	7	6	7	6	6	4	9	6	5

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT				
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160
CONSISTENCY TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS SUPP	60	60	76	51	60	61	56	65	62	59	69	58	60	54
SWING	17	16	11	22	15	18	19	14	15	18	13	20	16	16
CONS OPP	23	24	13	26	25	21	25	21	23	23	19	23	23	30
POS MOVER	5	5	0	7	3	7	6	4	5	5	2	8	4	4
POS MOVER	5	5	0	7	3	7	6	4	5	5	2	8	4	4

Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q3 MUNI RATE			Q4 SFMTA FUNDING NEED		Q7 HIGHEST TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY						Q8 ACCESS VS RELIABILITY		Q11C DETAILED MEASURE			Q11D GENERAL TRANSPORTATION MEAS			
	Total	EXC	EXC/ GOOD	NOT/ POOR	GREAT	GREAT/ SOME	CONG	IMPRV FLOW	WALK SAFE	BIKE SAFE	SPEED /FREQ	REPAR /MAIN	ACCES	RELIAB	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA
Base	884	80	531	324	344	649	70	106	63	40	407	87	210	608	262	136	44	309	101	33
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	94	96	95	91	95	96	94	97	100	94	94	88	92	94	95	94	89	97	86	89
MEN 18-49	25	16	25	26	30	25	20	22	22	46	28	17	21	27	27	18	15	28	24	12
MEN 50+	20	10	17	26	15	17	22	20	21	7	20	18	17	22	17	34	18	18	24	13
WOMEN 18-49	29	49	33	23	30	33	33	28	23	29	31	29	26	30	36	20	36	32	15	33
WOMEN 50+	20	21	21	17	20	21	18	26	34	13	14	24	29	16	15	23	20	19	23	31
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	94	96	95	91	95	96	94	97	100	94	94	88	92	94	95	94	89	97	86	89
DEMOCRATIC MEN	25	16	24	28	30	25	20	26	30	37	26	23	16	29	28	16	20	29	18	16
DEMOCRATIC WOMEN	34	45	39	25	36	38	33	39	28	32	35	41	37	33	38	26	46	34	24	45
INDEPENDENT MEN	17	8	15	20	13	15	12	15	13	16	18	10	17	17	14	26	11	14	23	8
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	12	19	12	12	12	13	9	12	27	9	10	10	15	10	12	11	9	14	9	12
REPUBLICAN MEN	4	2	3	5	2	2	10	1	0	0	4	1	4	3	2	9	2	2	7	1
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	3	5	2	2	2	3	10	3	1	0	1	2	3	3	1	5	1	3	5	7
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATS 18-49	37	38	39	34	44	40	37	30	25	55	44	36	26	42	47	20	44	38	25	37
DEMOCRATS 50+	25	25	26	24	25	26	22	36	33	14	22	32	31	24	23	24	25	28	24	29
INDEPENDENTS 18-49	18	24	19	17	19	19	9	19	20	25	18	10	20	16	19	15	7	22	14	10
INDEPENDENTS 50+	13	6	10	18	8	10	12	10	21	6	11	15	16	12	8	24	22	8	24	17
REPUBLICANS 18-49	2	7	2	2	1	2	10	4	0	0	1	2	3	2	1	4	0	2	4	7
REPUBLICANS 50+	5	1	3	6	3	3	9	0	1	0	4	5	5	4	1	13	3	3	10	1
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	86	87	81	90	87	84	88	95	97	87	85	80	87	91	80	69	87	77	62
PROG/LIB DEMS	43	50	49	35	56	50	44	34	39	43	52	45	37	47	56	21	45	50	24	38
MOD/CONS DEMS	14	8	12	16	10	11	9	27	19	26	8	20	14	14	10	22	13	11	21	13
PROG/LIB INDEPS	12	16	12	10	15	13	3	13	25	20	13	9	13	12	16	8	2	13	12	4
MOD/CONS INDEPS	10	7	9	13	7	9	13	10	11	8	9	8	13	9	7	20	6	9	11	6
PROG/LIB/MOD REPS	3	4	2	3	1	2	10	3	1	0	2	1	3	2	2	4	0	3	4	0
CONS REPS	2	1	2	3	2	2	5	1	1	0	3	2	1	3	1	5	3	2	4	0
<u>GENDER BY EDUCATION</u>	90	96	93	86	94	92	81	93	88	90	92	88	88	91	94	85	77	95	79	82
NON-COLLEGE MEN	14	9	13	16	14	12	18	14	11	13	13	13	13	14	11	17	3	18	10	14
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	17	27	33	30	28	17	25	28	39	33	22	21	32	33	29	18	27	33	11
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	19	32	22	14	18	21	17	22	16	10	17	24	26	15	21	18	24	18	10	24
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	38	31	23	32	31	28	32	32	28	29	29	27	29	21	32	31	25	33	

Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q11 MEASURE VOTE									Q13 FINAL VOTE			Q14 HEARD OF FUNDING NEED				Q16 OWNS CARS			
	Total	DEF YES	PRB/LN YES	PRB/LN NO	DEF NO	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	SOFT POS	TOTAL YES	TOTAL NO	DK/NA	ON NEWS	ON SOC MED	TOTAL YES	NO/DK	YES	NO/HAS ACCES	TOTAL ACCES	NO/NO ACCES
Base	884	309	261	66	171	570	237	77	338	577	236	71	292	86	490	394	607	120	727	146
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	94	97	95	96	89	96	90	89	94	96	91	89	91	93	93	96	94	95	94	94
MEN 18-49	25	35	19	29	17	28	21	14	18	27	22	18	26	28	26	23	26	27	26	20
MEN 50+	20	17	17	20	33	17	29	16	17	16	30	18	25	9	20	21	22	15	21	19
WOMEN 18-49	29	26	42	29	14	34	18	35	41	33	19	35	20	42	25	35	26	40	29	34
WOMEN 50+	20	18	17	18	24	18	23	25	18	20	20	17	20	14	21	18	20	14	19	21
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	94	97	95	96	89	96	90	89	94	96	91	89	91	93	93	96	94	95	94	94
DEMOCRATIC MEN	25	34	23	26	14	29	17	18	22	28	18	23	27	29	26	23	26	24	26	21
DEMOCRATIC WOMEN	34	31	42	34	21	36	25	46	43	39	20	40	28	39	33	35	31	44	33	37
INDEPENDENT MEN	17	16	12	22	26	14	25	10	11	14	25	10	21	7	17	16	17	15	17	16
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	12	12	15	10	10	13	10	10	14	12	14	10	8	15	10	15	12	7	12	15
REPUBLICAN MEN	4	2	2	1	11	2	8	1	2	2	9	3	3	1	3	4	5	2	4	1
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	3	1	2	2	6	2	5	3	2	2	5	1	3	1	2	3	3	2	3	2
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATS 18-49	37	41	43	35	17	42	22	41	43	42	22	44	31	56	36	38	34	56	37	36
DEMOCRATS 50+	25	26	25	25	24	26	24	26	25	28	21	20	29	16	27	23	27	17	25	25
INDEPENDENTS 18-49	18	21	20	22	11	21	14	8	17	20	16	9	16	17	17	19	18	11	17	22
INDEPENDENTS 50+	13	7	8	12	28	8	24	20	11	7	25	22	18	6	13	13	13	12	13	11
REPUBLICANS 18-49	2	1	3	1	5	2	4	3	3	2	4	4	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	0
REPUBLICANS 50+	5	3	1	4	15	2	12	2	1	2	12	1	4	3	5	5	5	1	4	5
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	89	89	86	75	89	78	66	84	90	73	73	88	94	88	79	85	78	84	85
PROG/LIB DEMS	43	55	49	41	15	52	22	42	48	53	22	41	41	53	43	44	40	60	44	44
MOD/CONS DEMS	14	9	13	18	23	11	21	13	13	12	18	15	15	16	16	11	16	4	14	13
PROG/LIB INDEPS	12	14	14	11	9	14	10	3	11	14	9	7	15	16	13	10	12	2	10	18
MOD/CONS INDEPS	10	8	9	13	17	8	16	6	8	8	16	7	11	7	10	10	11	10	11	8
PROG/LIB/MOD REPS	3	2	3	2	5	2	4	0	2	2	5	3	3	1	2	3	3	1	3	1
CONS REPS	2	2	1	1	6	1	5	1	1	2	4	1	3	1	3	2	3	1	2	1
<u>GENDER BY EDUCATION</u>	90	96	92	91	79	94	82	79	89	95	81	78	88	90	90	90	90	93	90	90
NON-COLLEGE MEN	14	15	13	15	14	14	14	7	12	14	16	6	14	8	12	16	13	8	13	20
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	36	22	29	32	30	31	15	21	29	32	19	35	29	32	25	32	31	32	17
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	19	19	21	8	17	20	15	24	22	20	15	20	13	20	17	21	14	33	17	24
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	26	36	38	17	30	23	32	35	32	19	32	26	33	29	28	30	21	29	28

Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q15 MODES OF TRANSPORTATION								Q18/Q19 RACE/ETHNICITY							Q20 EDUCATION					
	Total	BIKE	MUNI	BART	CAL TRAIN	CAR POOL	DRIVE ALNE	RIDE SHARE	WHITE	API	AF AM	LATINO	POC	CHNSE	NON CHNSE	HS OR LESS	SOME COLL	COLL GRAD	POST GRAD	NCOLL	COLL+
Base	884	217	588	299	84	185	488	357	395	219	62	151	446	134	83	38	257	306	224	295	530
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	94	92	92	91	92	93	94	94	97	99	99	100	99	99	100	100	97	97	95	97	96
MEN 18-49	25	38	25	23	32	28	23	25	26	33	13	31	28	26	43	28	16	32	26	18	29
MEN 50+	20	16	19	18	20	14	23	16	21	17	30	11	18	19	15	31	22	17	21	23	19
WOMEN 18-49	29	29	29	32	32	37	29	36	27	36	30	44	37	41	29	14	35	35	25	32	31
WOMEN 50+	20	10	20	18	9	15	19	17	23	13	26	14	16	13	13	26	24	13	22	24	17
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	94	92	92	91	92	93	94	94	97	99	99	100	99	99	100	100	97	97	95	97	96
DEMOCRATIC MEN	25	31	25	27	30	26	25	22	29	23	26	29	25	17	31	24	20	27	31	20	29
DEMOCRATIC WOMEN	34	25	34	34	18	34	33	36	37	32	56	33	36	36	25	22	38	33	38	36	35
INDEPENDENT MEN	17	21	16	13	18	12	16	16	15	22	17	10	17	22	24	36	15	17	14	17	16
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	12	13	11	14	22	15	12	14	11	15	0	21	15	15	15	12	17	13	8	16	11
REPUBLICAN MEN	4	2	2	1	4	4	5	3	3	5	0	3	4	6	3	0	4	5	2	3	4
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	3	1	3	2	1	2	3	4	2	2	0	3	3	3	2	6	4	1	2	5	2
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATS 18-49	37	43	38	38	34	45	35	40	38	41	36	46	41	41	40	18	32	44	42	30	43
DEMOCRATS 50+	25	17	25	25	14	19	27	22	30	13	47	16	20	12	16	28	28	18	31	28	24
INDEPENDENTS 18-49	18	27	17	18	27	19	17	19	16	24	9	24	21	20	32	19	19	22	12	19	18
INDEPENDENTS 50+	13	9	13	13	16	10	14	12	11	14	9	7	11	18	7	29	13	9	11	15	10
REPUBLICANS 18-49	2	1	2	2	4	3	3	5	1	4	0	4	4	6	0	6	3	3	2	3	2
REPUBLICANS 50+	5	3	4	3	6	4	5	3	4	4	0	2	3	3	5	0	6	4	3	5	3
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	75	82	82	80	81	84	84	93	82	98	83	84	76	93	38	85	92	91	79	92
PROG/LIB DEMS	43	42	44	41	39	42	42	40	53	32	48	50	39	29	36	14	39	47	53	36	49
MOD/CONS DEMS	14	10	13	15	5	12	15	17	12	17	33	8	17	17	17	8	17	12	17	16	14
PROG/LIB INDEPS	12	13	12	14	18	12	10	10	13	13	13	12	12	8	21	12	12	15	9	12	12
MOD/CONS INDEPS	10	7	8	9	14	10	10	12	9	14	4	9	11	13	15	4	10	12	10	9	11
PROG/LIB/MOD REPS	3	1	2	1	3	3	4	3	2	3	0	3	3	4	1	0	3	3	2	3	3
CONS REPS	2	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	4	0	0	2	4	3	0	4	2	1	3	2
<u>GENDER BY EDUCATION</u>	90	89	88	88	85	85	88	90	97	98	91	98	96	97	99	100	97	97	95	97	96
NON-COLLEGE MEN	14	12	15	19	17	12	15	12	13	13	25	21	17	17	5	60	38	0	0	41	0
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	39	26	21	27	25	27	26	33	37	16	19	27	28	52	0	0	49	47	0	48
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	19	14	20	22	16	22	14	20	17	18	20	28	22	22	11	40	59	0	0	56	0
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	23	28	26	25	26	31	31	33	30	30	29	30	30	31	0	0	48	47	0	48

Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q21 IDEOLOGY					Q22 HOUSEHOLD INCOME							Q23 HOUSEHOLD SIZE				TARGETS			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	<\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$175K	\$175K-\$200K	\$200K+	<\$100K	\$100K+	1	2-3	4-5	6+	CONS SUPP	SWING	CONS OPP	POS MOVR
Base	884	224	267	220	33	177	159	142	39	212	336	393	229	453	140	13	533	146	205	44
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	94	95	94	98	100	96	98	97	98	95	97	96	97	94	98	89	96	93	90	97
MEN 18-49	25	28	25	25	19	18	19	27	40	37	18	34	26	25	28	26	28	19	21	15
MEN 50+	20	13	16	28	50	23	18	21	23	14	21	18	20	23	13	12	17	15	33	8
WOMEN 18-49	29	34	36	24	2	34	40	24	25	33	37	29	25	29	42	46	33	34	16	30
WOMEN 50+	20	19	18	21	29	21	22	25	9	11	21	16	27	16	15	4	18	25	20	44
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	94	95	94	98	100	96	98	97	98	95	97	96	97	94	98	89	96	93	90	97
DEMOCRATIC MEN	25	29	31	23	11	23	20	27	34	34	21	32	23	28	24	9	29	19	18	11
DEMOCRATIC WOMEN	34	47	43	28	8	36	42	38	21	32	39	33	35	34	39	46	37	42	20	63
INDEPENDENT MEN	17	13	8	24	21	17	15	16	17	13	16	14	18	16	16	29	14	11	26	9
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	12	7	11	13	0	16	19	11	10	8	17	9	16	9	15	0	13	15	10	5
REPUBLICAN MEN	4	0	1	6	37	2	2	5	13	4	2	5	4	4	1	0	2	3	9	2
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	3	0	0	3	23	3	0	0	3	4	2	2	0	2	4	4	2	3	5	6
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATS 18-49	37	50	49	24	2	29	40	36	48	50	34	45	28	41	46	46	43	34	22	28
DEMOCRATS 50+	25	29	29	29	16	33	24	32	9	18	29	22	33	25	17	15	26	29	21	49
INDEPENDENTS 18-49	18	16	14	21	6	22	20	17	18	19	21	18	23	15	20	32	20	17	13	13
INDEPENDENTS 50+	13	5	7	17	16	10	15	10	9	4	13	6	12	12	12	4	8	15	26	1
REPUBLICANS 18-49	2	0	0	5	13	3	0	1	1	5	2	3	2	2	4	0	1	4	4	5
REPUBLICANS 50+	5	0	1	4	47	3	1	5	15	3	2	5	4	4	0	4	2	1	13	3
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	100	100	100	100	73	89	93	94	98	80	96	90	87	81	68	90	73	76	85
PROG/LIB DEMS	43	79	78	0	0	41	46	52	40	52	43	51	41	48	40	39	53	39	21	46
MOD/CONS DEMS	14	0	0	53	18	13	15	12	15	16	14	15	15	13	15	7	11	16	20	24
PROG/LIB INDEPS	12	21	22	0	0	13	13	14	11	10	13	12	17	10	10	18	14	8	9	7
MOD/CONS INDEPS	10	0	0	38	21	4	14	9	12	11	8	10	11	9	13	0	9	6	17	5
PROG/LIB/MOD REPS	3	0	1	9	0	0	1	3	6	5	1	4	4	2	2	4	2	3	5	0
CONS REPS	2	0	0	0	60	1	1	2	10	3	1	3	1	3	2	0	1	1	5	3
<u>GENDER BY EDUCATION</u>	90	94	90	95	97	94	98	95	98	95	96	95	95	92	94	89	95	83	81	90
NON-COLLEGE MEN	14	13	9	15	29	29	14	9	14	8	22	9	14	15	11	20	15	8	15	4
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	28	30	36	37	12	22	39	49	44	17	43	31	32	26	18	30	20	33	17
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	19	20	14	18	21	36	29	16	0	6	33	9	18	16	31	46	19	28	12	36
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	33	38	26	10	18	32	31	35	38	25	35	32	30	27	4	32	28	21	33

Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q24 GENDER			PARTY REGISTRATION			AGE									PARTY BY IDEOLOGY					
	Total	WOMEN	MEN	DEM	INDEP	REP	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	PR/LB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Base	884	433	398	548	274	62	150	212	141	194	115	70	504	380	186	384	122	105	91	23	20
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	94	100	100	95	93	91	100	92	94	95	86	98	95	93	91	95	97	92	98	100	100
MEN 18-49	25	0	55	24	28	18	43	47	38	0	0	0	43	0	0	25	20	32	29	30	18
MEN 50+	20	0	45	16	26	34	0	0	47	47	49	0	47	48	14	24	16	37	39	43	
WOMEN 18-49	29	60	0	32	27	17	57	45	56	0	0	0	52	0	0	36	22	34	24	19	4
WOMEN 50+	20	40	0	23	12	23	0	0	0	48	39	48	0	45	42	21	31	9	8	11	35
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	94	100	100	95	93	91	100	92	94	95	86	98	95	93	91	95	97	92	98	100	100
DEMOCRATIC MEN	25	0	55	40	0	0	25	32	18	20	29	24	26	23	27	38	44	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRATIC WOMEN	34	69	0	55	0	0	41	30	36	34	31	32	35	33	31	57	53	0	0	0	0
INDEPENDENT MEN	17	0	37	0	54	0	17	12	18	23	9	21	15	18	14	0	0	48	65	0	0
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	12	25	0	0	40	0	16	13	15	12	6	5	15	9	6	0	0	44	33	0	0
REPUBLICAN MEN	4	0	8	0	0	52	1	4	2	4	9	4	2	6	7	0	0	0	0	69	61
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	3	6	0	0	0	40	0	2	4	2	2	11	2	4	5	0	0	0	0	31	39
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATS 18-49	37	40	33	59	0	0	66	67	59	0	0	0	64	0	0	63	44	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRATS 50+	25	29	22	41	0	0	0	0	0	56	65	59	0	59	62	37	56	0	0	0	0
INDEPENDENTS 18-49	18	17	19	0	58	0	34	27	35	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	71	53	0	0
INDEPENDENTS 50+	13	8	18	0	42	0	0	0	0	38	21	26	0	30	23	0	0	29	47	0	0
REPUBLICANS 18-49	2	2	3	0	0	34	1	6	6	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	21
REPUBLICANS 50+	5	3	5	0	0	66	0	0	0	7	15	15	0	11	15	0	0	0	0	50	79
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	86	85	92	71	69	93	86	79	81	83	80	87	81	82	100	100	100	100	100	100
PROG/LIB DEMS	43	50	37	70	0	0	58	49	37	33	45	35	48	37	41	100	0	0	0	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMS	14	15	14	22	0	0	6	11	15	18	15	21	11	18	17	0	100	0	0	0	0
PROG/LIB INDEPS	12	11	13	0	38	0	15	13	17	12	5	1	15	8	4	0	0	100	0	0	0
MOD/CONS INDEPS	10	7	15	0	33	0	13	9	7	12	9	13	10	11	11	0	0	0	100	0	0
PROG/LIB/MOD REPS	3	2	4	0	0	37	0	4	2	2	5	2	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	100	0
CONS REPS	2	2	3	0	0	32	1	1	2	3	4	7	1	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	100
<u>GENDER BY EDUCATION</u>	90	97	95	92	87	84	98	91	89	86	83	93	93	86	87	92	96	91	94	95	100
NON-COLLEGE MEN	14	0	30	11	19	15	7	10	15	15	23	20	10	18	22	9	13	19	19	23	22
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	0	64	28	30	34	34	36	19	27	24	29	31	26	26	29	30	29	43	41	39
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	19	38	0	19	17	22	26	12	21	21	13	21	19	19	16	17	23	13	12	10	28
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	58	0	34	21	13	31	33	33	23	24	23	33	23	24	38	30	30	19	21	11

Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	GENDER BY AGE					PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY AGE						GENDER BY EDUCATION			
	Total	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	NCOLL MEN	COLL+ MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ WOMEN
Base	884	218	180	260	173	219	300	147	109	32	25	324	224	159	115	21	41	121	256	166	252
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	94	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	94	95	95	90	100	87	100	100	100	100
MEN 18-49	25	100	0	0	0	60	0	52	0	34	0	40	0	48	0	51	0	43	61	0	0
MEN 50+	20	0	100	0	0	40	0	48	0	66	0	0	39	0	61	0	52	57	39	0	0
WOMEN 18-49	29	0	0	100	0	0	58	0	69	0	42	54	0	47	0	49	0	0	0	57	65
WOMEN 50+	20	0	0	0	100	0	42	0	31	0	58	0	56	0	29	0	35	0	0	43	35
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	94	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	94	95	95	90	100	87	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATIC MEN	25	60	49	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	40	39	0	0	0	0	50	59	0	0
DEMOCRATIC WOMEN	34	0	0	67	72	0	100	0	0	0	0	54	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	63	74
INDEPENDENT MEN	17	35	39	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	48	61	0	0	42	33	0	0
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	12	0	0	29	20	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	47	29	0	0	0	0	29	23
REPUBLICAN MEN	4	5	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	51	52	8	8	0	0	0
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	3	0	0	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	49	35	0	0	8	3	
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATS 18-49	37	60	0	67	0	60	58	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	23	39	34	47
DEMOCRATS 50+	25	0	49	0	72	40	42	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	27	21	29	27
INDEPENDENTS 18-49	18	35	0	29	0	0	0	52	69	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	18	19	19	17
INDEPENDENTS 50+	13	0	39	0	20	0	0	48	31	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	24	13	10	6
REPUBLICANS 18-49	2	5	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	34	42	0	0	0	0	100	0	2	3	4	2
REPUBLICANS 50+	5	0	12	0	8	0	0	0	0	66	58	0	0	0	0	100	6	5	4	2	
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	87	83	87	85	92	95	75	69	87	60	92	93	77	63	73	67	79	91	77	94
PROG/LIB DEMS	43	44	29	53	47	67	73	0	0	0	0	75	63	0	0	0	0	27	43	40	57
MOD/CONS DEMS	14	11	16	10	22	25	22	0	0	0	0	17	30	0	0	0	0	14	14	17	15
PROG/LIB INDEPS	12	16	9	14	6	0	0	35	42	0	0	0	0	47	26	0	0	17	12	8	13
MOD/CONS INDEPS	10	12	18	8	4	0	0	40	27	0	0	0	0	30	37	0	0	14	15	6	7
PROG/LIB/MOD REPS	3	3	5	2	2	0	0	0	0	49	28	0	0	0	53	28	4	4	4	1	2
CONS REPS	2	2	5	0	4	0	0	0	0	38	32	0	0	0	20	39	4	3	3	1	
<u>GENDER BY EDUCATION</u>	90	95	94	99	93	97	97	92	97	95	88	93	90	91	82	97	77	100	100	100	100
NON-COLLEGE MEN	14	24	38	0	0	27	0	35	0	30	0	9	14	14	25	11	18	100	0	0	0
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	71	55	0	0	69	0	57	0	65	0	30	24	31	29	37	32	0	100	0	0
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	19	0	0	36	41	0	35	0	44	0	55	18	21	20	14	29	18	0	0	100	0
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	0	0	63	51	0	62	0	53	0	33	36	31	27	13	20	10	0	0	0	100

Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	SUPERVISOR DISTRICT											PERMANENT ABSENTEE		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	YES	NO	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1 REP	2+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Base	884	80	88	62	80	88	80	80	97	80	80	71	783	101	435	84	35	6	240	84
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	94	91	95	93	96	96	95	87	91	94	99	99	94	93	94	98	92	100	94	92
MEN 18-49	25	20	30	24	27	23	36	19	18	19	25	33	25	18	24	28	25	0	29	16
MEN 50+	20	27	23	16	21	24	19	21	23	13	19	14	19	30	15	24	31	36	22	34
WOMEN 18-49	29	14	26	39	27	31	23	28	26	44	36	35	30	22	32	26	16	0	30	24
WOMEN 50+	20	31	16	15	21	18	17	19	24	18	19	16	19	23	23	19	19	64	13	18
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	94	91	95	93	96	96	95	87	91	94	99	99	94	93	94	98	92	100	94	92
DEMOCRATIC MEN	25	24	23	16	28	23	33	21	28	18	28	30	25	24	39	52	0	0	0	8
DEMOCRATIC WOMEN	34	27	33	34	33	37	24	33	34	31	46	42	35	28	55	45	0	0	0	26
INDEPENDENT MEN	17	14	24	20	16	20	22	15	12	11	15	14	16	20	0	0	0	0	51	29
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	12	13	7	16	8	11	13	8	14	31	9	5	12	12	0	0	0	0	43	6
REPUBLICAN MEN	4	9	6	3	4	4	1	4	1	2	1	4	3	5	0	0	57	36	0	12
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	3	4	1	4	7	1	3	5	2	0	0	4	2	5	0	0	35	64	0	10
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATS 18-49	37	27	35	37	42	33	35	34	30	32	43	60	38	26	60	56	0	0	0	19
DEMOCRATS 50+	25	30	25	16	22	29	21	23	41	18	32	13	25	29	40	44	0	0	0	15
INDEPENDENTS 18-49	18	10	19	24	15	18	20	16	19	32	19	5	19	13	0	0	0	0	61	16
INDEPENDENTS 50+	13	20	13	13	10	14	18	14	7	15	5	14	12	21	0	0	0	0	39	25
REPUBLICANS 18-49	2	3	3	6	0	5	4	1	0	2	0	5	2	2	0	0	42	0	0	8
REPUBLICANS 50+	5	10	4	5	11	1	1	11	3	0	1	3	4	10	0	0	58	100	0	17
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	86	93	73	81	90	75	78	95	83	82	84	85	78	92	94	76	30	74	68
PROG/LIB DEMS	43	42	45	37	34	55	39	39	50	40	41	52	43	46	74	65	0	0	0	9
MOD/CONS DEMS	14	10	14	11	24	5	9	15	17	8	28	12	15	6	18	29	0	0	0	23
PROG/LIB INDEPS	12	15	12	6	12	16	9	5	20	18	6	7	12	10	0	0	0	0	41	7
MOD/CONS INDEPS	10	8	15	13	4	9	18	12	6	14	6	8	10	9	0	0	0	0	33	13
PROG/LIB/MOD REPS	3	5	4	5	0	5	1	3	2	0	1	3	3	2	0	0	51	0	0	6
CONS REPS	2	6	3	2	6	0	0	4	1	2	0	1	2	5	0	0	25	30	0	11
<u>GENDER BY EDUCATION</u>	90	86	92	92	90	92	89	80	91	94	88	97	91	85	91	95	88	54	90	84
NON-COLLEGE MEN	14	10	14	13	9	25	10	8	11	6	19	28	13	16	11	13	16	0	20	10
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	34	36	25	37	22	44	26	30	26	18	18	29	27	26	37	37	36	28	32
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	19	19	12	18	13	19	21	6	6	31	32	33	19	15	18	23	15	18	19	20
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	22	30	35	31	27	14	40	43	31	19	18	29	27	36	22	21	0	22	21

Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	NEIGHBORHOODS											FLAGS					Q/A CELLPHONE		Q17 OWN/RENT HOME	
	Total	WEST ADD	CSTR/ NOE V	CHNT/ SOMA	HUNT POINT	EXCL	MISS ION	MARN/ PAC H	RICH MOND	SNST/ TWIN	OTHR	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	OWN	RENT
Base	884	69	20	62	11	25	60	45	32	39	522	403	297	236	166	96	316	131	320	495
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	94	94	90	92	100	94	95	95	90	95	94	94	94	94	94	91	99	100	94	96
MEN 18-49	25	20	28	29	23	17	27	27	23	15	25	29	27	26	24	28	24	12	18	31
MEN 50+	20	29	16	23	29	21	26	18	16	34	18	15	16	17	19	20	15	30	31	13
WOMEN 18-49	29	15	19	26	12	44	27	36	31	24	32	35	36	34	32	26	44	19	20	37
WOMEN 50+	20	30	26	14	36	11	15	14	20	23	19	15	16	16	19	16	16	38	25	15
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	94	94	90	92	100	94	95	95	90	95	94	94	94	94	94	91	99	100	94	96
DEMOCRATIC MEN	25	25	21	22	40	17	20	28	25	31	25	22	23	23	25	30	18	21	27	25
DEMOCRATIC WOMEN	34	28	31	29	32	31	29	41	42	32	35	35	35	36	38	30	41	40	33	37
INDEPENDENT MEN	17	15	20	23	6	19	29	12	15	17	15	18	17	17	14	14	17	15	16	18
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	12	12	14	8	16	16	13	10	5	12	13	12	12	11	9	7	17	11	9	13
REPUBLICAN MEN	4	9	3	8	7	3	4	5	0	0	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	6	6	2
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	3	5	0	3	0	9	0	0	4	2	3	3	4	4	4	6	2	6	3	2
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATS 18-49	37	26	22	32	32	39	25	51	47	19	40	44	44	44	45	42	44	20	29	45
DEMOCRATS 50+	25	32	31	25	40	14	25	23	27	47	23	16	17	18	21	22	16	41	35	19
INDEPENDENTS 18-49	18	10	30	20	3	18	25	12	13	22	18	21	18	16	12	12	20	8	10	23
INDEPENDENTS 50+	13	18	14	12	19	17	17	9	9	10	13	12	13	14	14	13	14	19	17	9
REPUBLICANS 18-49	2	3	0	4	0	11	4	4	2	0	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	2	3
REPUBLICANS 50+	5	11	3	6	7	1	5	1	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	6	2	9	7	1
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	85	96	93	80	71	80	92	94	96	81	82	83	83	84	84	87	75	90	85
PROG/LIB DEMS	43	43	39	41	45	33	43	58	69	49	41	41	39	40	42	42	46	36	41	48
MOD/CONS DEMS	14	11	13	14	26	8	6	12	1	16	16	15	17	18	20	19	12	18	20	10
PROG/LIB INDEPS	12	12	31	8	0	4	12	13	15	29	11	13	14	11	9	6	12	9	9	14
MOD/CONS INDEPS	10	8	10	21	6	14	15	6	5	0	10	9	8	9	7	10	11	4	11	9
PROG/LIB/MOD REPS	3	6	3	6	0	12	3	3	4	2	1	2	2	2	3	2	4	1	4	2
CONS REPS	2	6	0	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	3	3	3	3	1	5	4	1
<u>GENDER BY EDUCATION</u>	90	87	90	88	100	94	93	95	85	95	89	91	92	92	92	88	94	92	92	93
NON-COLLEGE MEN	14	11	13	15	8	12	34	10	14	20	11	14	14	13	13	13	15	10	14	14
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	34	31	35	44	26	16	34	26	29	28	28	27	28	28	33	22	27	33	28
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	19	20	7	5	29	12	21	20	5	7	22	20	20	20	20	16	24	33	14	21
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	21	38	32	19	43	21	30	41	39	27	29	31	31	30	26	33	22	31	29

Table 130: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW				A/B SPLIT		C/D SPLIT		MODE OF INTERVIEW		QUADRANT			
	Total	ENG	SPAN	CHNSE	A	B	C	D	PHONE	ONLINE	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Base	884	775	53	56	442	442	442	442	447	437	228	316	160	180
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	94	93	100	100	94	94	94	94	100	88	93	97	94	90
MEN 18-49	25	24	42	13	25	24	23	26	21	29	23	27	25	22
MEN 50+	20	20	13	29	20	21	22	19	20	21	25	16	23	20
WOMEN 18-49	29	29	38	34	30	29	31	28	37	22	26	35	24	28
WOMEN 50+	20	20	7	24	19	20	18	21	23	16	19	18	23	20
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	94	93	100	100	94	94	94	94	100	88	93	97	94	90
DEMOCRATIC MEN	25	26	31	7	24	26	24	26	19	31	26	26	22	24
DEMOCRATIC WOMEN	34	35	19	36	36	32	35	32	41	27	34	36	31	33
INDEPENDENT MEN	17	16	21	22	17	16	17	16	17	17	20	15	17	15
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	12	11	22	20	11	13	12	13	15	9	9	16	13	10
REPUBLICAN MEN	4	3	4	14	4	3	4	3	5	3	2	2	9	3
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	3	3	5	1	2	4	2	4	4	2	2	2	3	5
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRATS 18-49	37	37	43	27	37	37	38	35	37	36	34	41	30	38
DEMOCRATS 50+	25	27	7	16	25	25	24	27	23	28	30	23	27	24
INDEPENDENTS 18-49	18	18	29	11	18	18	17	19	16	19	16	21	17	16
INDEPENDENTS 50+	13	12	13	31	13	13	14	12	15	11	14	12	15	12
REPUBLICANS 18-49	2	2	8	9	3	2	2	3	4	1	3	3	4	0
REPUBLICANS 50+	5	5	0	6	4	5	5	4	4	5	3	2	7	10
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	86	81	55	83	86	85	83	83	85	87	82	89	81
PROG/LIB DEMS	43	45	44	17	44	42	44	43	43	44	50	43	42	38
MOD/CONS DEMS	14	15	2	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	10	14	13	18
PROG/LIB INDEPS	12	12	17	2	11	12	12	12	11	12	12	11	15	10
MOD/CONS INDEPS	10	10	15	8	9	12	11	9	9	11	10	11	10	8
PROG/LIB/MOD REPS	3	2	2	6	2	3	2	3	3	2	3	1	6	1
CONS REPS	2	2	1	9	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	3	5
<u>GENDER BY EDUCATION</u>	90	89	95	96	89	91	89	90	94	86	92	92	89	85
NON-COLLEGE MEN	14	12	28	26	13	15	12	16	13	14	19	14	11	8
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	30	22	17	28	29	30	28	23	35	28	26	34	30
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	19	18	23	23	19	18	21	17	27	10	12	29	18	9
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	29	22	30	29	28	27	30	30	27	32	22	26	38