

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 1: QA. CELL PHONE..... 7

Table 2: Q1a. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: San Francisco city government..... 9

Table 3: Q1b. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni.....14

Table 4: Q1c. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: Caltrain19

Table 5: Q1d. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA.....24

Table 6: Q1e. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: BART.....29

Table 7: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni’s service?34

Table 8: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve Muni in San Francisco?39

Table 9: Q5. Since the start of the pandemic, how often have you ridden Muni?44

Table 10: Q6. Would you say your perceptions of Muni are mainly shaped by: your experience riding it pre-pandemic, your experience riding it since the start of the pandemic, or what you have heard, read, or seen about Muni over the last several months?49

Table 11: Q7a. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Trustworthy.....52

Table 12: Q7b. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Well-managed57

Table 13: Q7c. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Uses taxpayer money efficiently62

Table 14: Q7d. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Responsive to community concerns67

Table 15: Q7e. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Convenient72

Table 16: Q7f. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Crowded.....77

Table 17: Q7g. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easily accessible82

Table 18: Q7h. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Reliable87

Table 19: Q7i. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Up-to-date technologically92

Table 20: Q7j. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Safe from crime97

Table 21: Q7k. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Inefficient.....102

Table 22: Q7l. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Innovative.....107

Table 23: Q7m. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: A vital part of the community.....112

Table 24: Q7n. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Good for the environment.....117

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 25: Q7o. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Outdated 122

Table 26: Q7p. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Affordable 127

Table 27: Q7q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Dirty..... 132

Table 28: Q7r. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Fast..... 137

Table 29: Q7s. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easy to use..... 142

Table 30: Q7t. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Does a good job of serving the whole city 147

Table 31: Q9. (1ST CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion and eliminating bottlenecks by improving public transit, OR improving pedestrian safety, OR improving bike safety, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure. 152

Table 32: Q9. (2ND CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority. 159

Table 33: Q10a. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Having a Muni stop very close to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are slightly further from where I live. 166

Table 34: Q10b. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Lowering fares for everyone rather than having more frequent, reliable Muni service, OR having more frequent, more reliable service rather than lowering fares for everyone. 170

Table 35: Q11. Have you heard, seen, or read anything recently about the Slow Streets Program? 175

Table 36: Q12. Some people have proposed making existing Slow Streets permanent and expanding the program to include more streets in San Francisco. Do you support or oppose that proposal? 178

Table 37: Q13a. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability 183

Table 38: Q13b. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Allowing restaurants and businesses to use curbside and public outdoor spaces permanently 188

Table 39: Q13c. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Maintaining and restoring cable car service 193

Table 40: Q13d. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for walking 198

Table 41: Q13e. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Restoring Muni service to pre-pandemic levels 203

Table 42: Q13f. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety 208

Table 43: Q13g. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable 213

Table 44: Q13h. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Expanding reduced fares for youth, seniors, and low-income residents 218

Table 45: Q13i. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit 223

Table 46: Q13j. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit 228

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 47: Q13k. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to Fisherman’s Wharf233

Table 48: Q13l. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled238

Table 49: Q13m. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Building a modern light rail system.....243

Table 50: Q13n. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on freeways and highways to provide express service for longer trips within San Francisco and the region.....248

Table 51: Q13o. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles253

Table 52: Q13p. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving Muni’s real-time update digital signs258

Table 53: Q13q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all263

Table 54: Q13r. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more transit only lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic268

Table 55: Q13s. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways273

Table 56: Q13t. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing Muni service above pre-pandemic levels278

Table 57: Q13u. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making the Slow Streets Program permanent283

Table 58: Q13v. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing crowding on Muni288

Table 59: Q13w. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Addressing the backlog of maintenance work293

Table 60: Q13x. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco298

Table 61: Q13y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Rebuilding San Francisco’s aging rail network303

Table 62: Q13z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding a new subway line on Geary and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods308

Table 63: Q13aa. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving the flow of traffic313

Table 64: Q13bb. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Updating bus yards for earthquake safety318

Table 65: Q13cc. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays and train congestion in the Muni Metro subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street323

Table 66: Q13dd. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Getting Muni on firmer financial footing328

Table 67: Q14. Some funding proposals may appear on a future ballot to finance the Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure that we have been discussing, including bringing service to pre-pandemic levels, improving reliability and frequency, improving pedestrian and bike safety, supporting the transition to electric vehicles and repairing and maintaining aging Muni infrastructure. In general, do you support or oppose this funding proposal?333

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 68: Q15a. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Less frequent and reliable service338

Table 69: Q15b. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs.....343

Table 70: Q15c. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs leading to a 15 percent cut in service.....348

Table 71: Q16a. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street improvements and transit-only lanes to protect buses and trains from traffic, allowing us to create a network of fast, frequent bus and rail routes that ensure riders never have to wait more than 5 minutes for transit when riding on the City's most popular routes. Buses will only need to stop to pick up and drop off riders instead of getting stuck in traffic, providing quick and convenient access throughout San Francisco.....353

Table 72: Q16b. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EFFICIENT APPROACH) During the pandemic, SFMTA has been busy making improvements to its service to benefit riders in the long term. The organization has been focusing on projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans and working with the community to decide what should become permanent. This approach ensures Muni listens to San Franciscans' input while delivering improvements quickly and using funds efficiently358

Table 73: Q16c. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. As San Franciscans recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, investing in Muni service and bike and pedestrian safety is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood363

Table 74: Q16d. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their families and things they would like to do. But Muni has made improvements that fight traffic congestion, make public transit quicker and more convenient, and expand bike lanes; continuing to invest in Muni will make those improvements permanent368

Table 75: Q16e. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (CABLE CARS) San Francisco's cable cars are a National Historic Landmark and are both a source of civic pride and of tourism dollars; but without additional funding SFMTA won't be able to restore service of the iconic cable cars.....373

Table 76: Q16f. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SAVE MUNI) Experience from other global cities shows the long-term economic damage of cutting service deeply in response to drop in demand and economic shocks, preventing transit systems from recovery. Without additional funding, Muni will only be able to restore 85 percent of its pre-pandemic service and service levels will decline over time. Additional funding will help save Muni and put San Francisco's public transit on firmer financial footing378

Table 77: Q16g. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. By investing in electric buses, improving the reliability and speed of Muni service, and making San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike, SFMTA is helping to get cars off the road and make San Francisco more sustainable383

Table 78: Q16h. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (COMMUNITY) The pandemic has affected all San Franciscans. In this time, Muni has proven to be essential to San Francisco's quality of life and social fabric by creating community through its Slow Streets Program which increases walkability and bikeability, and accommodating restaurants and businesses' need to use curbside spaces.....388

Table 79: Q16i. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni.....393

Table 80: Q16j. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable fast service that is reliable. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability of the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and S-F State/Parkmerced398

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 81: Q16k. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FACILITIES) Many Muni bus yards were built decades ago, are too small to accommodate our fleet and do not meet current seismic safety standards, slowing down bus repairs. Additional funds will help support reliable Muni service, modern maintenance and cleaning, technology for electric buses, and enable frontline staff to safely and efficiently do their jobs.....403

Table 82: Q16l. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future408

Table 83: Q17. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential funding proposal that could appear on a San Francisco ballot for Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure. Do you support or oppose this funding proposal?.....413

Table 84: Q18a. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride a bicycle.....418

Table 85: Q18b. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride Muni.....421

Table 86: Q18c. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride BART424

Table 87: Q18d. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride Caltrain427

Table 88: Q18e. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Carpool430

Table 89: Q18f. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Drive alone433

Table 90: Q18g. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Use a ride hail service like Uber or Lyft.....436

Table 91: Q19. ACCESS TO CAR439

Table 92: Q20. RESIDENCE443

Table 93: Q21. RACE/ETHNICITY447

Table 94: Q22. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER.....452

Table 95: Q23. EDUCATION.....456

Table 96: Q24. IDEOLOGY461

Table 97: Q25. INCOME.....464

Table 98: Q26. HOUSEHOLD SIZE.....469

Table 99: Q27. GENDER.....474

Table 100: LANGUAGE.....479

Table 101: PARTY REGISTRATION482

Table 102: FLAGS.....486

Table 103: PERMANENT ABSENTEE490

Table 104: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE492

Table 105: AGE.....497

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS.....502

Table 107: SUPERVISOR DISTRICT.....511

Table 108: MODE OF INTERVIEW516

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 109: A/B SPLIT.....518
Table 110: QUADRANT520
Table 111: TARGETS.....523
Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS.....528

Table 1: QA. CELL PHONE

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT			
Column n-Size	587	426	162	587	0	415	128	22	208	68	407	116	209	125	250	423	162	404	74	69		
Yes, cell and can talk safely	72	100	0	72	0	71	78	71	83	59	77	67	67	73	77	71	77	75	71	73		
No, not on cell	28	0	100	28	0	29	22	29	17	41	23	33	33	27	23	29	23	25	29	27		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	587	138	103	198	76	183	346	217	306	115	221	352	231	117	360	187	40	274	39	494	62	32
Yes, cell and can talk safely	72	80	74	80	60	68	76	71	76	80	80	70	87	57	82	60	44	82	64	75	61	51
No, not on cell	28	20	26	20	40	32	24	29	24	20	20	30	13	43	18	40	56	18	36	25	39	49
	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
Column n-Size	587	485	80	23	227	273	87	18	75	465	122	123	44	167	382	224	115	56	151	115	329	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	72	76	58	45	80	70	61	77	81	72	73	59	86	66	78	84	78	74	47	38	63	
No, not on cell	28	24	42	55	20	30	39	23	19	28	27	41	14	34	22	16	22	26	53	62	37	
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE			
Column n-Size	587	130	181	134	54	216	94	270	311	121	149	165	144	147	305	123	457	57	74			
Yes, cell and can talk safely	72	87	75	77	75	85	81	60	84	75	47	84	84	73	74	71	80	70	26			
No, not on cell	28	13	25	23	25	15	19	40	16	25	53	16	16	27	26	29	20	30	74			

Table 1: QA. CELL PHONE

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT				
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B			
	Column n-Size	587	83	73	72	66	109	84	227	259	145	114	67	110	50	59	150	49	290	297		
Yes, cell and can talk safely	72	53	77	71	81	84	91	66	86	84	87	68	65	67	88	87	81	72	73			
No, not on cell	28	47	23	29	19	16	9	34	14	16	13	32	35	33	12	13	19	28	27			
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE							
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+			
	Column n-Size	587	287	298	135	180	73	95	57	48	388	199	104	345	203	39	210	77	176	122		
Yes, cell and can talk safely	72	80	65	86	94	86	56	26	23	89	40	25	76	64	84	91	52	88	32			
No, not on cell	28	20	35	14	6	14	44	74	77	11	60	75	24	36	16	9	48	12	68			
	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY								
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP		
	Column n-Size	587	234	111	129	75	25	14	156	188	100	102	30	9	102	136	77	71	75	13	24	
Yes, cell and can talk safely	72	89	49	87	22	100	54	80	73	77	50	91	59	90	75	70	74	81	86	81		
No, not on cell	28	11	51	13	78	0	46	20	27	23	50	9	41	10	25	30	26	19	14	19		
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT							
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST				
	Column n-Size	587	265	48	33	172	69	539	377	311	263	201	110	464	124	146	204	112	125			
Yes, cell and can talk safely	72	84	59	83	65	52	72	68	65	64	65	57	73	72	79	64	79	72				
No, not on cell	28	16	41	17	35	48	28	32	35	36	35	43	27	28	21	36	21	28				
	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT												
	Total	CHTWN			MRNA/PH/SC			SNST/TWIN		ALL OTHER		DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
	Column n-Size	587	WSTRN ADDTN	/FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	RCHMD	PEAKS	OTHER													
Yes, cell and can talk safely	72	33	83	38	45	62	56	101	169	60	63	40	53	47	54	58	58	55	49	50		
No, not on cell	28	34	28	46	32	15	21	32	24	23	13	24	30	29	30	29	27	31	24	45		

Table 2: Q1a. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: San Francisco city government

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST	LESS	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG	RDNG	HEARD
													FW TM /MNTN	OFTEN				PRE-COVID	SINCE COVID	ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
TOTAL APPROVE	59	64	50	60	57	67	43	32	67	24	67	36	60	60	57	58	59	60	53	60
Strongly approve	13	19	10	16	9	17	7	5	18	2	16	5	19	13	9	13	13	13	14	18
Somewhat approve	46	45	40	44	47	50	36	27	48	22	51	31	41	48	47	45	46	47	39	42
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	27	28	28	40	25	52	66	29	67	28	56	32	34	35	34	32	34	39	28
Somewhat disapprove	17	11	14	12	21	14	22	20	16	21	16	21	15	21	15	17	15	17	18	13
Strongly disapprove	17	16	14	16	19	10	30	46	14	46	12	35	17	13	20	17	18	17	21	15
DK/NA	8	8	22	12	3	8	5	2	4	9	5	8	8	5	8	7	8	6	9	11
DK/NA	8	8	22	12	3	8	5	2	4	9	5	8	8	5	8	7	8	6	9	11

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
TOTAL APPROVE	59	63	64	59	57	60	59	58	59	60	61	56	67	39	67	43	67	71	22	67	21	43
Strongly approve	13	16	20	12	11	12	14	15	12	17	14	12	18	6	17	6	13	20	2	16	2	2
Somewhat approve	46	47	44	47	46	48	45	43	47	44	47	44	49	33	49	37	53	51	20	51	19	41
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	29	30	36	32	32	34	32	35	36	35	33	29	53	28	48	20	24	74	26	73	42
Somewhat disapprove	17	13	12	19	14	15	17	14	17	19	19	14	15	17	17	18	10	14	18	15	22	21
Strongly disapprove	17	17	18	17	18	17	18	18	17	17	17	18	13	36	11	29	10	10	56	11	51	21
DK/NA	8	8	6	5	11	9	7	10	6	3	3	12	4	7	5	9	13	5	4	7	6	15
DK/NA	8	8	6	5	11	9	7	10	6	3	3	12	4	7	5	9	13	5	4	7	6	15

Table 2: Q1a. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: San Francisco city government

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
TOTAL APPROVE	59	66	24	49	73	56	28	43	41	58	61	59	58	58	58	57	72	70	54	50	63
Strongly approve	13	16	3	3	21	9	3	3	5	12	17	15	12	14	12	9	27	18	10	4	17
Somewhat approve	46	50	21	46	52	47	24	40	36	46	44	44	47	44	47	49	45	52	45	46	46
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	26	69	38	22	33	66	41	54	35	28	38	37	37	33	37	20	28	31	30	27
Somewhat disapprove	17	15	20	26	13	19	20	21	28	17	15	19	15	18	17	21	5	10	15	15	11
Strongly disapprove	17	12	49	12	9	14	47	20	27	18	13	19	22	20	16	16	15	18	16	15	16
DK/NA	8	7	7	13	5	11	6	15	4	7	11	4	5	4	9	6	7	2	14	20	10
DK/NA	8	7	7	13	5	11	6	15	4	7	11	4	5	4	9	6	7	2	14	20	10
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
TOTAL APPROVE	59	66	67	57	46	58	59	60	58	55	65	52	66	56	61	57	59	84	40		
Strongly approve	13	11	16	15	13	9	14	17	11	18	16	11	11	12	13	16	12	53	1		
Somewhat approve	46	55	51	42	32	49	45	43	47	37	48	41	54	44	48	41	47	32	39		
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	34	30	27	39	49	35	38	29	36	37	21	42	30	34	34	33	36	7	22		
Somewhat disapprove	17	22	16	14	12	19	16	14	18	14	14	21	14	21	15	16	18	3	13		
Strongly disapprove	17	9	11	25	37	16	22	15	19	23	7	20	16	14	18	17	19	4	10		
DK/NA	8	4	6	4	5	7	3	11	6	8	14	6	5	10	6	10	5	9	38		
DK/NA	8	4	6	4	5	7	3	11	6	8	14	6	5	10	6	10	5	9	38		

Table 2: Q1a. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: San Francisco city government

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	59	61	72	61	56	62	51	65	57	60	53	57	71	63	55	58	57	59	59
Strongly approve	13	18	17	14	9	8	10	16	9	8	11	11	17	23	9	10	6	12	14
Somewhat approve	46	43	55	48	47	54	41	49	48	53	42	45	55	40	46	48	51	47	44
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	34	20	21	33	38	37	45	24	40	37	43	30	20	25	41	40	38	33	34
Somewhat disapprove	17	11	6	17	18	21	19	12	20	20	19	19	7	8	25	17	24	16	17
Strongly disapprove	17	9	14	16	20	16	25	13	20	17	24	11	13	17	17	23	14	17	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	19	7	6	5	1	5	11	3	2	4	13	9	12	3	3	6	8	7
DK/NA	8	19	7	6	5	1	5	11	3	2	4	13	9	12	3	3	6	8	7
	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	59	53	65	63	63	55	55	60	54	60	56	57	67	51	19	53	54	69	59
Strongly approve	13	13	13	11	14	10	14	14	14	12	14	14	16	8	4	14	12	11	16
Somewhat approve	46	40	52	52	48	44	41	46	40	48	42	43	51	43	14	39	42	59	43
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	34	40	27	29	33	38	37	32	30	33	34	31	27	38	75	41	38	24	30
Somewhat disapprove	17	19	14	12	15	18	21	17	13	15	19	16	15	20	13	18	20	11	17
Strongly disapprove	17	22	13	16	19	20	16	15	16	18	16	16	12	18	62	24	19	13	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	9	9	4	7	8	8	17	6	9	11	6	11	6	6	8	7	11
DK/NA	8	7	9	9	4	7	8	8	17	6	9	11	6	11	6	6	8	7	11

Table 2: Q1a. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: San Francisco city government

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	59	66	67	57	42	19	18	61	72	50	54	15	28	72	69	65	54	56	29	14
Strongly approve	13	14	19	11	4	2	7	16	17	12	6	2	10	13	18	22	8	11	10	0
Somewhat approve	46	53	48	46	38	17	11	45	55	39	48	13	18	59	51	43	46	46	19	14
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	34	28	26	36	42	75	75	32	22	42	32	82	59	24	28	28	36	42	71	82
Somewhat disapprove	17	13	17	19	21	8	18	18	12	20	19	15	6	18	16	10	24	19	17	13
Strongly disapprove	17	14	9	16	20	67	57	14	10	22	13	66	53	6	12	18	11	22	54	69
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	7	7	16	6	7	7	6	8	14	3	13	4	4	7	10	2	0	5
DK/NA	8	6	7	7	16	6	7	7	6	8	14	3	13	4	4	7	10	2	0	5
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT						
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST		
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250		
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	59	68	67	16	51	54	58	58	58	59	60	62	57	64	58	60	56	58		
Strongly approve	13	16	19	3	9	10	12	13	12	11	13	12	13	13	11	17	10	12		
Somewhat approve	46	51	48	14	42	44	46	45	47	47	48	50	44	51	47	44	46	46		
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	34	27	27	76	37	39	34	35	36	36	35	32	35	29	34	30	38	36		
Somewhat disapprove	17	15	15	12	18	23	17	19	19	19	19	20	18	10	19	14	17	17		
Strongly disapprove	17	12	12	64	19	16	18	17	17	17	15	13	17	19	15	15	21	19		
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	6	8	12	6	7	7	6	5	5	5	8	8	8	10	6	6		
DK/NA	8	6	6	8	12	6	7	7	6	5	5	5	8	8	8	10	6	6		

Table 2: Q1a. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: San Francisco city government

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	59	69	49	59	66	48	61	57	63	60	51	48	55	67	51	59	61	67	65	56
Strongly approve	13	9	11	12	29	14	14	12	11	12	14	8	13	8	12	10	13	23	13	15
Somewhat approve	46	59	38	47	37	34	47	45	52	48	37	41	42	59	39	48	48	44	52	41
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	34	26	38	30	28	47	34	35	30	34	45	38	36	24	39	38	36	27	26	28
Somewhat disapprove	17	20	19	11	13	14	23	16	16	22	12	21	15	18	19	17	21	13	12	11
Strongly disapprove	17	6	19	18	15	33	11	18	15	12	33	18	21	6	20	21	15	14	14	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	12	11	6	5	5	8	7	6	4	13	9	9	9	3	3	6	9	16
DK/NA	8	6	12	11	6	5	5	8	7	6	4	13	9	9	9	3	3	6	9	16

Table 3: Q1b. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL APPROVE	69	77	69	75	63	86	37	22	72	35	75	46	71	73	64	70	67	71	64	59
Strongly approve	21	28	23	26	16	30	7	6	26	9	25	13	31	24	14	23	15	23	23	11
Somewhat approve	48	49	46	48	47	56	30	16	46	26	50	33	40	49	51	46	51	48	41	48
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	26	15	16	15	36	9	59	77	22	61	20	48	24	27	27	26	23	25	33	28
Somewhat disapprove	16	11	9	10	21	8	32	23	15	19	14	20	13	19	16	16	15	15	16	24
Strongly disapprove	10	5	7	5	14	1	26	54	8	42	6	29	11	8	10	11	8	10	17	4
DK/NA	6	8	15	10	1	5	5	1	5	3	5	5	5	0	9	4	10	5	3	12
DK/NA	6	8	15	10	1	5	5	1	5	3	5	5	5	0	9	4	10	5	3	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL APPROVE	69	79	81	65	67	69	68	71	68	63	64	74	70	52	73	61	71	76	32	76	37	57
Strongly approve	21	31	35	16	22	23	19	28	19	21	19	22	31	8	28	11	21	31	1	26	1	15
Somewhat approve	48	48	46	50	45	46	49	43	49	42	45	52	39	45	45	50	51	45	31	50	36	42
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	26	17	12	32	23	24	27	22	28	31	31	20	21	43	21	36	18	19	62	19	58	34
Somewhat disapprove	16	11	8	21	11	14	17	11	18	17	19	12	15	19	14	19	14	14	23	14	22	24
Strongly disapprove	10	6	4	10	11	10	11	11	10	14	12	8	7	24	7	17	4	5	39	5	36	10
DK/NA	6	4	7	3	10	7	4	7	4	6	5	6	8	4	6	3	10	5	5	6	5	8
DK/NA	6	4	7	3	10	7	4	7	4	6	5	6	8	4	6	3	10	5	5	6	5	8

Table 3: Q1b. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	69	75	40	62	77	72	42	57	0	67	77	62	67	63	72	67	80	80	67	69	74
Strongly approve	21	25	8	11	31	18	7	21	0	19	32	16	22	18	23	17	35	23	21	16	27
Somewhat approve	48	50	33	51	46	54	36	37	0	48	45	46	45	46	49	50	45	57	46	53	47
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	26	19	56	30	18	20	54	29	100	27	18	30	26	29	23	28	17	19	22	19	19
Somewhat disapprove	16	13	24	21	13	14	25	25	73	16	15	16	15	15	16	20	9	13	11	9	10
Strongly disapprove	10	5	32	9	5	6	29	4	27	11	2	15	11	13	7	8	8	6	11	10	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	4	7	4	8	4	14	0	6	6	8	7	8	5	5	3	1	11	12	7
DK/NA	6	6	4	7	4	8	4	14	0	6	6	8	7	8	5	5	3	1	11	12	7
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	69	68	76	62	68	64	66	76	65	69	82	58	72	66	69	74	68	85	72		
Strongly approve	21	18	22	23	35	20	14	26	18	32	22	15	21	19	21	28	20	65	10		
Somewhat approve	48	51	54	39	33	43	52	50	47	38	61	43	51	47	48	46	48	20	62		
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	26	26	19	33	26	29	30	19	29	28	10	36	21	27	26	18	27	9	13		
Somewhat disapprove	16	16	13	16	18	17	18	13	17	17	9	20	14	19	17	8	17	3	10		
Strongly disapprove	10	10	6	16	9	11	13	6	12	12	2	16	7	9	9	11	11	6	3		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	5	6	6	8	3	5	6	3	7	5	7	7	5	7	5	5	15		
DK/NA	6	6	5	6	6	8	3	5	6	3	7	5	7	7	5	7	5	5	15		

Table 3: Q1b. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$74.5K-\$175K (2-3)	\$175K-\$349.5K (4+)	\$349.5K-\$524.5K (1)	\$524.5K-\$699.5K (2-3)	\$699.5K-\$874.5K (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL APPROVE	69	76	81	80	75	65	58	79	65	70	58	78	77	86	57	68	65	69	0
Strongly approve	21	36	28	28	19	13	12	31	14	17	10	25	32	42	12	15	15	21	0
Somewhat approve	48	40	53	52	56	52	45	48	51	53	48	53	45	45	45	53	51	48	0
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	26	11	19	15	21	29	33	15	29	26	32	18	18	0	35	26	27	26	0
Somewhat disapprove	16	9	14	12	8	17	19	11	16	14	19	12	15	0	22	17	4	16	0
Strongly disapprove	10	2	6	3	13	12	14	3	13	12	14	5	3	0	13	10	23	10	0
DK/NA	6	13	0	5	4	6	9	6	6	4	9	4	5	14	7	6	8	6	0
DK/NA	6	13	0	5	4	6	9	6	6	4	9	4	5	14	7	6	8	6	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL APPROVE	69	62	76		76	74	62	59	70	75	71	65	72	72	68	45	65	59	79	72
Strongly approve	21	21	21		27	24	11	20	24	20	21	21	22	24	16	18	23	19	21	22
Somewhat approve	48	41	54		48	50	51	39	46	55	50	44	50	48	52	27	42	40	58	50
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	26	33	17		21	18	34	36	26	15	23	29	22	23	25	52	32	36	14	21
Somewhat disapprove	16	19	12		14	11	19	21	18	11	14	18	15	14	14	36	18	19	9	17
Strongly disapprove	10	15	5		7	7	16	15	8	4	9	11	6	9	11	17	13	17	5	4
DK/NA	6	4	7		4	8	3	5	4	10	6	6	6	5	7	3	4	5	7	6
DK/NA	6	4	7		4	8	3	5	4	10	6	6	6	5	7	3	4	5	7	6

Table 3: Q1b. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
TOTAL APPROVE	69	71	73	73	60	60	30	68	76	57	78	45	47	71	75	66	71	64	51	55	
Strongly approve	21	24	25	17	13	17	19	25	23	18	14	5	47	20	22	34	15	18	20	19	
Somewhat approve	48	48	48	56	47	43	11	42	53	39	65	40	0	51	52	32	56	47	30	36	
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	26	22	24	23	28	40	65	27	18	40	10	51	53	23	20	28	23	29	42	45	
Somewhat disapprove	16	12	17	12	16	35	36	15	14	25	4	26	53	15	14	14	14	13	25	36	
Strongly disapprove	10	9	8	10	12	5	29	13	4	15	6	25	0	8	6	13	9	16	17	9	
DK/NA	6	7	3	4	12	0	5	5	5	3	11	4	0	5	5	6	6	7	7	0	
DK/NA	6	7	3	4	12	0	5	5	5	3	11	4	0	5	5	6	6	7	7	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
TOTAL APPROVE	69	73	73	46	67	64	68	66	65	64	63	66	67	78	74	70	71	58
Strongly approve	21	24	23	20	15	23	21	20	17	17	15	17	21	23	20	23	22	19
Somewhat approve	48	49	50	27	51	41	48	46	48	47	48	50	46	55	54	47	49	39
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	26	23	18	50	25	33	26	28	29	31	31	29	27	18	22	23	22	38
Somewhat disapprove	16	15	9	36	15	15	16	17	18	19	18	17	17	10	15	15	15	18
Strongly disapprove	10	7	9	14	10	19	10	11	11	12	13	11	10	8	7	7	8	20
DK/NA	6	4	9	3	8	3	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	4	5	7	6	4
DK/NA	6	4	9	3	8	3	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	4	5	7	6	4

Table 3: Q1b. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as Muni

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	69	58	71	75	67	72	72	58	73	75	71	64	56	68	75	64	70	68	72	73
Strongly approve	21	21	17	39	31	27	24	19	17	22	31	10	22	15	22	20	15	24	16	36
Somewhat approve	48	37	54	37	37	45	48	39	56	53	40	54	34	52	54	43	55	45	56	37
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	26	36	24	13	22	22	21	37	23	19	23	28	40	25	23	34	26	28	21	15
Somewhat disapprove	16	33	10	7	16	15	14	17	17	12	16	15	13	20	8	21	17	19	21	9
Strongly disapprove	10	4	14	5	6	7	7	20	7	6	8	13	27	5	14	13	9	9	0	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	6	12	10	6	7	5	4	6	6	9	4	7	2	2	4	4	8	12
DK/NA	6	5	6	12	10	6	7	5	4	6	6	9	4	7	2	2	4	4	8	12

Table 4: Q1c. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: Caltrain

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI			
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL APPROVE	49	55	43	51	46	56	37	43	59	31	56	29	55	53	43	49	48	50	51	53
Strongly approve	16	20	14	18	13	22	6	10	22	8	19	9	21	21	10	17	13	17	17	9
Somewhat approve	33	34	29	33	33	34	32	33	37	24	37	20	34	32	33	32	35	33	34	44
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	8	8	4	7	9	5	14	17	6	13	7	12	5	7	10	7	11	8	6	10
Somewhat disapprove	6	7	4	6	7	5	10	13	4	12	5	11	4	5	8	6	8	7	5	2
Strongly disapprove	2	1	0	1	3	0	4	5	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	3	1	1	7
DK/NA	43	37	53	42	45	39	49	39	35	56	37	59	39	40	47	44	42	42	42	37
DK/NA	43	37	53	42	45	39	49	39	35	56	37	59	39	40	47	44	42	42	42	37

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL APPROVE	49	58	55	43	50	46	49	58	45	51	45	53	55	43	52	45	41	54	39	53	37	29
Strongly approve	16	26	18	12	14	14	16	21	14	17	13	18	20	11	18	14	11	23	6	19	5	3
Somewhat approve	33	32	37	31	37	32	33	38	31	33	32	35	34	32	34	32	30	31	33	34	32	26
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	8	4	10	12	4	7	9	9	8	9	7	10	9	8	9	8	5	7	9	7	13	14
Somewhat disapprove	6	2	9	10	4	4	8	9	6	7	5	7	8	6	6	7	4	5	9	5	13	9
Strongly disapprove	2	3	1	2	0	2	1	0	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	0	2	0	5
DK/NA	43	38	36	45	46	47	42	33	47	41	48	37	37	49	39	46	54	39	52	40	50	57
DK/NA	43	38	36	45	46	47	42	33	47	41	48	37	37	49	39	46	54	39	52	40	50	57

Table 4: Q1c. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: Caltrain

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	49	53	38	35	53	49	39	29	40	49	50	42	45	43	53	47	65	52	42	35	52
Strongly approve	16	19	7	6	22	14	6	1	6	15	22	17	15	16	14	11	27	14	16	11	21
Somewhat approve	33	34	31	29	31	35	33	28	34	34	28	25	30	27	39	36	37	38	26	24	31
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	8	6	13	19	7	7	13	0	17	8	5	11	11	11	7	7	9	20	7	6	9
Somewhat disapprove	6	4	13	11	5	5	13	0	14	7	5	10	10	10	5	6	7	12	5	4	7
Strongly disapprove	2	2	0	8	3	2	0	0	3	2	0	1	1	1	2	1	2	8	2	2	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	43	42	49	47	40	44	48	71	44	43	44	47	44	46	40	46	26	28	51	59	39
DK/NA	43	42	49	47	40	44	48	71	44	43	44	47	44	46	40	46	26	28	51	59	39
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	49	51	55	46	53	45	45	55	45	57	53	46	45	46	49	53	49	87	21		
Strongly approve	16	12	16	22	21	13	15	19	14	20	18	16	12	14	17	17	15	51	0		
Somewhat approve	33	38	39	25	31	32	30	36	31	36	35	31	33	32	32	37	34	36	21		
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	8	8	4	12	10	8	8	9	8	9	8	11	5	10	7	8	9	3	1		
Somewhat disapprove	6	7	3	8	10	6	7	7	6	9	4	8	4	8	6	7	7	3	1		
Strongly disapprove	2	1	1	4	0	2	1	2	2	0	4	3	1	2	2	1	2	0	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	43	41	41	42	38	47	47	37	47	34	39	42	51	44	44	38	42	10	77		
DK/NA	43	41	41	42	38	47	47	37	47	34	39	42	51	44	44	38	42	10	77		

Table 4: Q1c. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: Caltrain

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	49	48	68	48	54	47	41	55	47	50	42	48	54	70	46	48	43	49	0	
Strongly approve	16	27	17	18	21	9	8	21	11	15	7	17	23	18	13	12	5	16	0	
Somewhat approve	33	21	50	30	33	38	33	34	35	36	35	31	30	52	33	36	37	33	0	
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	8	12	3	6	7	11	9	7	10	11	9	5	8	7	14	9	8	8	0	
Somewhat disapprove	6	7	3	4	6	10	7	5	8	9	7	5	3	7	11	8	6	6	0	
Strongly disapprove	2	5	0	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	0	5	0	4	1	1	2	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	43	40	29	46	39	41	49	38	44	39	49	47	38	23	39	44	49	43	0	
DK/NA	43	40	29	46	39	41	49	38	44	39	49	47	38	23	39	44	49	43	0	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	49	50	48		52	58	42	51	43	33	52	45	39	50	49	37	53	46	51	44
Strongly approve	16	17	14		24	14	14	14	17	11	17	14	14	15	17	18	20	14	15	14
Somewhat approve	33	33	34		28	44	28	36	26	22	35	31	25	35	32	19	33	32	37	30
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	8	10	6		13	8	8	5	10	6	9	6	8	6	8	24	11	9	8	4
Somewhat disapprove	6	9	4		10	6	6	4	8	6	7	5	7	5	5	24	8	9	6	2
Strongly disapprove	2	2	2		3	2	2	1	2	0	2	1	1	2	3	0	3	0	2	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	43	40	46		36	34	50	44	47	61	39	48	53	43	43	40	36	45	41	52
DK/NA	43	40	46		36	34	50	44	47	61	39	48	53	43	43	40	36	45	41	52

Table 4: Q1c. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: Caltrain

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	49	50	50	56	39	44	30	53	48	51	48	28	57	50	51	54	60	47	35	40
Strongly approve	16	14	16	24	9	15	21	19	11	18	16	4	50	12	15	22	18	24	18	11
Somewhat approve	33	36	34	32	31	29	9	34	37	33	32	24	7	38	36	31	42	23	17	28
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	8	7	5	11	4	23	24	8	5	7	8	30	8	8	5	8	5	9	23	25
Somewhat disapprove	6	6	4	6	4	23	24	7	4	5	5	30	8	8	4	5	4	5	23	25
Strongly disapprove	2	1	2	5	0	0	0	2	1	2	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	5	0	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	43	43	45	33	56	33	46	39	47	41	44	42	35	42	44	39	35	44	42	35
DK/NA	43	43	45	33	56	33	46	39	47	41	44	42	35	42	44	39	35	44	42	35
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT						
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST		
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123		
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	49	49	55	41	48	49	48	46	45	44	45	46	47	55	53	57	37	40		
Strongly approve	16	14	14	17	17	23	15	14	12	11	11	11	16	17	19	17	13	12		
Somewhat approve	33	35	41	24	31	26	33	32	33	34	34	36	32	38	34	40	24	28		
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	8	6	12	28	8	5	9	9	10	9	8	7	9	3	7	7	8	13		
Somewhat disapprove	6	5	7	28	5	4	7	8	8	8	7	6	7	3	6	5	6	10		
Strongly disapprove	2	1	5	0	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	2	3		
<u>DK/NA</u>	43	45	33	32	45	46	43	45	45	46	47	47	43	41	40	37	55	47		
DK/NA	43	45	33	32	45	46	43	45	45	46	47	47	43	41	40	37	55	47		

Table 4: Q1c. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: Caltrain

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	49	57	58	55	64	45	33	45	47	35	47	50	49	43	67	39	44	59	53	53
Strongly approve	16	3	15	24	19	21	14	16	15	16	21	14	20	9	15	10	14	17	19	21
Somewhat approve	33	53	43	31	45	24	19	28	32	19	26	37	29	34	52	29	30	42	34	32
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	8	0	9	1	5	8	6	10	10	6	9	12	13	5	5	11	11	6	12	1
Somewhat disapprove	6	0	8	1	5	7	4	9	7	3	7	10	11	3	5	10	8	6	6	1
Strongly disapprove	2	0	1	0	0	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	0	1	3	0	6	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	43	43	33	44	31	47	60	45	43	59	44	37	38	53	29	50	46	35	35	46
DK/NA	43	43	33	44	31	47	60	45	43	59	44	37	38	53	29	50	46	35	35	46

Table 5: Q1d. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
TOTAL APPROVE	64	70	66	69	58	80	34	9	63	45	68	53	70	62	61	64	64	66	58	61
Strongly approve	21	31	20	28	14	28	9	3	25	13	23	17	27	18	19	21	21	21	18	26
Somewhat approve	43	40	46	41	44	52	25	6	38	31	46	36	43	44	42	43	43	45	40	35
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	27	20	14	18	37	11	60	86	29	45	25	38	24	31	27	28	24	27	34	25
Somewhat disapprove	16	13	5	10	22	9	31	21	18	22	16	21	13	20	16	16	16	16	17	20
Strongly disapprove	11	7	9	8	15	3	29	66	11	23	9	18	11	11	11	12	8	11	17	6
DK/NA	9	10	20	13	5	8	7	5	8	10	7	9	6	7	12	8	12	6	8	14
DK/NA	9	10	20	13	5	8	7	5	8	10	7	9	6	7	12	8	12	6	8	14

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL APPROVE	64	61	73	63	70	71	61	65	63	58	64	64	69	51	69	53	71	72	35	68	47	51
Strongly approve	21	27	24	19	24	20	22	25	20	20	18	23	26	17	25	16	16	28	7	24	12	10
Somewhat approve	43	35	49	44	46	51	39	40	44	38	46	41	42	35	43	38	55	44	28	44	35	41
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	27	28	19	32	16	22	30	24	30	38	32	22	25	44	23	40	11	23	61	24	48	30
Somewhat disapprove	16	17	10	21	8	11	19	13	18	27	20	11	17	19	16	19	9	15	27	15	22	17
Strongly disapprove	11	11	8	12	8	12	12	12	12	11	12	11	8	25	7	21	2	7	34	8	26	13
DK/NA	9	11	9	4	14	6	9	11	7	4	4	13	6	5	8	7	18	5	4	9	4	18
DK/NA	9	11	9	4	14	6	9	11	7	4	4	13	6	5	8	7	18	5	4	9	4	18

Table 5: Q1d. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	64	68	46	54	76	57	49	62	0	64	63	68	54	64	65	61	68	65	67	61	68
Strongly approve	21	24	10	13	29	16	13	21	0	22	19	20	22	20	22	21	26	29	15	12	23
Somewhat approve	43	44	36	41	47	41	36	41	0	43	44	49	32	44	43	41	42	36	52	49	45
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	27	24	47	28	19	29	45	28	100	28	23	26	32	28	26	31	22	28	19	20	21
Somewhat disapprove	16	15	19	19	13	19	18	10	64	16	15	17	21	18	16	20	9	6	16	17	12
Strongly disapprove	11	8	28	9	6	10	26	18	36	12	7	10	12	10	11	12	13	22	2	4	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	8	7	19	5	14	7	10	0	8	14	5	14	8	9	7	10	7	14	19	11
DK/NA	9	8	7	19	5	14	7	10	0	8	14	5	14	8	9	7	10	7	14	19	11
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	64	71	65	60	57	63	60	68	62	64	71	60	65	61	64	68	63	85	62		
Strongly approve	21	22	21	20	18	17	18	27	18	32	23	20	16	18	23	23	22	25	9		
Somewhat approve	43	48	44	39	39	46	42	41	44	32	48	40	49	43	42	45	41	61	53		
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	27	22	28	29	34	28	34	21	30	31	13	34	25	25	28	25	30	7	7		
Somewhat disapprove	16	14	17	20	11	17	21	12	19	15	10	22	15	15	17	15	18	3	6		
Strongly disapprove	11	8	11	9	23	11	13	9	12	16	3	13	10	10	11	9	12	4	2		
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	7	7	11	9	9	7	11	8	5	16	6	10	14	7	7	8	8	30		
DK/NA	9	7	7	11	9	9	7	11	8	5	16	6	10	14	7	7	8	8	30		

Table 5: Q1d. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575	
TOTAL APPROVE	64	65	68	71	68	66	56	68	63	64	62	67	74	60	57	61	81	0	64	
Strongly approve	21	26	25	18	22	20	20	23	21	18	23	21	26	20	15	21	28	0	21	
Somewhat approve	43	39	43	53	45	46	37	45	43	46	39	46	48	40	41	40	54	0	43	
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	27	19	26	22	29	29	35	22	31	31	31	16	22	30	34	33	19	0	27	
Somewhat disapprove	16	10	11	12	19	14	24	11	19	18	19	9	10	14	22	18	15	0	16	
Strongly disapprove	11	8	15	10	10	15	11	11	12	12	12	8	12	16	12	15	4	0	11	
DK/NA	9	16	6	8	3	5	9	10	6	5	7	17	4	11	9	6	0	0	9	
DK/NA	9	16	6	8	3	5	9	10	6	5	7	17	4	11	9	6	0	0	9	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL APPROVE	64	61	67		68	66	57	63	58	72	64	63	64	65	66	47	61	62	68	66
Strongly approve	21	25	18		20	30	16	16	19	19	23	18	19	22	19	18	27	21	20	16
Somewhat approve	43	37	49		48	36	41	47	39	53	41	46	44	42	47	30	35	41	48	49
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	27	33	21		22	25	38	28	27	21	28	27	25	27	24	49	33	33	20	21
Somewhat disapprove	16	19	13		14	14	24	15	17	12	17	15	15	15	17	22	20	17	13	13
Strongly disapprove	11	14	7		7	10	14	13	11	9	10	12	10	11	7	27	13	16	7	8
DK/NA	9	6	12		10	9	5	8	14	8	8	10	12	9	11	3	6	5	12	13
DK/NA	9	6	12		10	9	5	8	14	8	8	10	12	9	11	3	6	5	12	13

Table 5: Q1d. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	64	65	65	66	66	50	44	64	66	65	69	38	70	70	65	58	70	65	65	38	
Strongly approve	21	25	20	21	15	22	12	26	20	25	14	18	18	24	23	17	16	23	20	17	
Somewhat approve	43	40	45	45	51	27	33	38	45	40	55	20	52	45	43	42	54	42	45	21	
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	27	28	25	23	24	50	48	31	23	28	15	57	30	23	29	27	21	26	35	56	
Somewhat disapprove	16	17	13	18	15	13	34	17	14	21	11	23	17	15	16	14	18	21	23	13	
Strongly disapprove	11	10	12	5	10	38	14	15	8	7	5	34	12	8	13	13	2	4	13	43	
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	8	10	11	10	0	8	5	12	7	16	5	0	8	6	15	10	9	0	6	
DK/NA	9	8	10	11	10	0	8	5	12	7	16	5	0	8	6	15	10	9	0	6	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	64	65	63	46	65	66	63	65	65	66	66	62	65	60	65	64	66	60
Strongly approve	21	23	27	13	20	17	19	19	18	18	19	18	21	22	22	23	21	17
Somewhat approve	43	42	35	33	45	49	44	46	47	48	47	45	44	38	43	41	45	43
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	27	26	34	50	25	21	28	28	28	27	27	32	27	29	26	23	27	35
Somewhat disapprove	16	15	18	19	17	18	17	17	18	18	17	20	17	15	19	12	16	19
Strongly disapprove	11	11	16	32	8	3	11	10	9	9	10	13	10	14	7	10	11	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	9	4	4	10	13	9	8	8	7	7	5	8	11	9	13	7	4
DK/NA	9	9	4	4	10	13	9	8	8	7	7	5	8	11	9	13	7	4

Table 5: Q1d. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, also known as SFMTA

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	64	65	67	68	62	65	63	54	67	64	70	66	62	65	68	51	71	60	65	58
Strongly approve	21	24	23	14	18	27	23	18	21	21	26	17	20	17	25	14	20	26	34	9
Somewhat approve	43	41	44	54	44	38	40	36	46	42	44	49	42	48	43	37	51	35	31	50
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	27	26	23	16	27	27	31	39	24	29	24	22	33	29	22	41	27	21	29	21
Somewhat disapprove	16	13	13	5	22	17	20	20	16	19	15	18	19	20	9	13	18	21	15	11
Strongly disapprove	11	13	9	12	5	11	10	19	8	10	9	4	14	9	13	29	9	0	14	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	9	10	15	11	8	6	7	9	8	6	12	5	6	10	7	3	19	6	21
DK/NA	9	9	10	15	11	8	6	7	9	8	6	12	5	6	10	7	3	19	6	21

Table 6: Q1e. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: BART

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI					
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
TOTAL APPROVE	60	67	51	63	56	71	41	32	62	46	62	53	64	58	57	59	62	61	54	58		
Strongly approve	21	25	23	24	17	30	6	9	23	18	22	23	26	24	16	20	24	20	24	22		
Somewhat approve	39	42	28	38	39	41	35	23	39	28	41	30	38	33	42	39	38	42	30	36		
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	21	18	15	17	25	14	37	47	21	22	22	22	20	24	20	21	21	21	31	14		
Somewhat disapprove	14	12	10	12	17	10	24	23	15	8	16	10	17	13	13	15	13	14	23	13		
Strongly disapprove	7	6	5	5	8	4	13	24	7	14	6	12	3	11	7	6	8	7	8	2		
DK/NA	19	15	34	20	19	15	22	21	16	32	16	24	16	18	23	20	17	18	15	27		
DK/NA	19	15	34	20	19	15	22	21	16	32	16	24	16	18	23	20	17	18	15	27		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL				Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT						
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL APPROVE	60	62	70	57	69	67	59	58	62	61	59	61	60	59	60	59	58	68	33	65	46	34
Strongly approve	21	23	42	14	31	21	21	22	20	20	19	23	24	22	23	18	20	28	7	24	8	12
Somewhat approve	39	39	27	43	38	46	39	35	42	41	41	38	36	37	38	41	38	40	26	41	38	23
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	21	21	17	24	11	20	21	19	22	24	23	20	24	20	22	19	18	20	36	19	28	24
Somewhat disapprove	14	16	11	16	8	13	15	12	16	17	16	13	18	10	17	10	14	14	18	14	15	18
Strongly disapprove	7	5	6	8	2	7	6	8	6	7	7	7	6	10	6	9	4	5	18	6	13	6
DK/NA	19	17	13	20	21	14	20	23	16	15	18	19	16	21	17	22	23	12	31	16	26	41
DK/NA	19	17	13	20	21	14	20	23	16	15	18	19	16	21	17	22	23	12	31	16	26	41

Table 6: Q1e. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: BART

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
TOTAL APPROVE	60	64	47	44	71	53	47	43	32	60	56	65	51	60	60	63	68	65	53	49	61
Strongly approve	21	24	12	8	31	14	11	11	8	23	14	25	25	25	19	19	35	20	13	10	24
Somewhat approve	39	40	35	35	40	39	36	31	24	38	41	39	26	35	41	44	33	45	40	39	37
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	21	19	28	24	18	22	27	13	54	20	24	15	27	19	23	20	18	28	19	21	20
Somewhat disapprove	14	13	16	20	13	15	16	7	38	14	17	10	23	14	14	14	10	11	15	18	13
Strongly disapprove	7	6	11	4	5	7	10	6	16	7	7	5	4	5	8	5	8	17	4	3	8
DK/NA	19	17	25	32	11	25	26	44	15	19	20	20	22	21	17	18	14	7	28	30	19
DK/NA	19	17	25	32	11	25	26	44	15	19	20	20	22	21	17	18	14	7	28	30	19
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
TOTAL APPROVE	60	65	61	58	74	59	61	61	60	67	57	62	57	60	58	65	60	80	36		
Strongly approve	21	20	23	18	39	19	17	26	18	34	19	21	16	22	20	25	21	40	7		
Somewhat approve	39	45	37	40	35	40	44	35	41	32	37	41	42	39	39	40	40	40	29		
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	21	23	23	22	10	20	27	18	23	19	17	26	19	18	23	19	22	12	9		
Somewhat disapprove	14	13	20	13	5	16	16	12	16	15	9	19	13	9	17	15	15	7	9		
Strongly disapprove	7	10	3	10	5	4	11	6	7	5	8	7	6	9	6	4	7	6	0		
DK/NA	19	12	16	20	15	21	12	21	17	14	26	11	23	22	19	16	18	8	55		
DK/NA	19	12	16	20	15	21	12	21	17	14	26	11	23	22	19	16	18	8	55		

Table 6: Q1e. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: BART

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	60	69	63	75	64	55	53	69	57	60	53	68	75	62	57	52	74	0	60
Strongly approve	21	24	31	28	29	12	16	27	17	18	16	27	26	33	15	18	20	0	21
Somewhat approve	39	46	32	47	35	44	37	42	39	41	37	41	49	29	42	34	54	0	39
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	21	8	23	9	23	31	31	13	29	26	33	10	9	24	30	33	14	0	21
Somewhat disapprove	14	3	15	6	16	22	21	8	20	19	22	5	5	16	15	24	14	0	14
Strongly disapprove	7	5	8	3	8	9	11	5	9	7	12	5	4	8	15	9	0	0	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	19	22	14	16	12	14	16	17	14	14	14	22	16	14	13	16	11	0	19
DK/NA	19	22	14	16	12	14	16	17	14	14	14	22	16	14	13	16	11	0	19
	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	575	270	293	98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	60	64	56	61	67	51	58	55	63	61	58	58	59	59	66	66	61	56	57
Strongly approve	21	25	17	19	25	19	18	19	27	21	20	22	21	20	21	26	24	16	18
Somewhat approve	39	38	39	43	42	32	40	36	36	39	38	36	38	40	45	39	37	40	39
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	21	23	18	23	24	26	20	16	6	24	16	12	22	21	15	26	19	22	14
Somewhat disapprove	14	17	11	15	15	19	13	11	6	16	11	9	15	14	7	20	13	13	10
Strongly disapprove	7	6	7	8	9	7	7	6	0	8	5	3	6	7	8	6	6	9	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	19	13	26	16	8	23	22	29	31	15	26	30	19	20	20	8	21	22	29
DK/NA	19	13	26	16	8	23	22	29	31	15	26	30	19	20	20	8	21	22	29

Table 6: Q1e. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: BART

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
TOTAL APPROVE	60	55	64	66	48	85	42	64	56	65	55	64	71	61	63	52	69	65	57	80	
Strongly approve	21	18	25	25	11	30	10	26	18	26	14	23	18	19	27	15	16	29	17	28	
Somewhat approve	39	37	39	41	37	55	32	38	37	38	41	41	54	41	36	36	53	36	39	52	
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	21	28	15	22	18	7	23	24	20	25	15	15	11	24	22	21	18	22	33	5	
Somewhat disapprove	14	20	10	14	13	0	15	19	12	16	11	9	0	13	20	11	18	12	10	5	
Strongly disapprove	7	8	5	8	5	7	8	5	8	8	4	6	11	11	3	10	0	10	23	1	
DK/NA	19	17	22	12	34	8	35	12	24	11	30	21	17	15	15	27	14	13	10	14	
DK/NA	19	17	22	12	34	8	35	12	24	11	30	21	17	15	15	27	14	13	10	14	
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT							
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST			
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128			
TOTAL APPROVE	60	60	57	65	58	62	59	58	59	61	61	59	61	56	55	65	57	60			
Strongly approve	21	21	21	16	17	30	19	18	19	18	19	22	20	22	21	24	19	17			
Somewhat approve	39	39	36	49	41	32	39	40	40	42	42	37	40	33	34	40	38	43			
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	21	22	28	14	21	17	21	20	19	19	20	22	20	24	20	18	23	23			
Somewhat disapprove	14	14	24	5	13	14	15	15	14	13	15	16	14	16	14	14	18	12			
Strongly disapprove	7	8	4	9	7	3	7	5	6	6	5	6	6	8	7	4	6	11			
DK/NA	19	18	15	21	22	21	20	21	21	20	19	18	19	20	24	17	20	17			
DK/NA	19	18	15	21	22	21	20	21	21	20	19	18	19	20	24	17	20	17			

Table 6: Q1e. I am going to mention a few organizations within City government. For each one, please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing: BART

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL APPROVE</u>	60	48	66	43	75	58	64	56	61	60	61	49	59	48	70	45	73	73	62	50
Strongly approve	21	19	24	14	32	16	25	14	23	23	16	18	19	18	26	10	23	30	31	14
Somewhat approve	39	30	42	29	44	43	39	42	38	38	45	32	40	30	43	35	51	43	31	36
<u>TOTAL DISAPPROVE</u>	21	15	18	30	14	27	19	24	20	20	23	24	18	21	15	35	16	13	23	24
Somewhat disapprove	14	15	11	24	6	20	15	17	11	14	20	14	9	16	9	21	8	8	17	22
Strongly disapprove	7	0	7	5	8	7	4	7	9	6	3	10	9	5	6	14	8	5	6	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	19	37	17	27	10	15	17	21	19	20	16	27	23	31	15	20	10	13	15	26
DK/NA	19	37	17	27	10	15	17	21	19	20	16	27	23	31	15	20	10	13	15	26

Table 7: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service?

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													LESS OFTEN	NEVER								
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	62	69	75	71	53	100	0	0	61	43	66	52	75	64	52	64	56	63	62	59		
Excellent	9	13	11	12	6	15	0	0	10	7	9	9	17	8	5	10	7	9	11	10		
Good	53	56	64	58	47	85	0	0	51	36	57	43	59	57	47	54	50	54	50	49		
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	33	23	18	22	44	0	100	100	35	52	30	43	24	33	38	34	29	35	36	19		
Not so good	23	19	14	18	28	0	71	0	26	25	23	23	16	24	27	24	22	25	22	15		
Poor	9	4	4	4	15	0	29	100	9	28	7	19	7	9	11	10	7	10	14	3		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	8	7	8	4	0	0	0	4	4	4	6	1	2	10	3	15	2	2	22		
DK/NA	6	8	7	8	4	0	0	0	4	4	4	6	1	2	10	3	15	2	2	22		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	62	65	74	55	69	69	59	66	60	55	56	68	64	51	65	55	66	70	32	67	36	56
Excellent	9	10	16	6	14	11	8	12	8	9	8	10	13	8	10	7	8	13	3	10	2	8
Good	53	55	58	50	55	58	51	54	53	45	48	58	51	42	55	48	57	56	29	57	34	48
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	33	28	22	41	24	25	37	27	36	41	39	25	31	43	30	38	28	27	61	29	56	31
Not so good	23	20	16	29	20	18	27	18	26	28	28	18	24	24	24	22	23	20	34	22	28	22
Poor	9	7	5	11	4	7	10	9	10	13	12	7	7	18	6	16	5	6	28	6	27	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	4	4	6	7	4	7	3	5	5	6	5	7	5	7	7	4	7	4	9	13
DK/NA	6	7	4	4	6	7	4	7	3	5	5	6	5	7	5	7	7	4	7	4	9	13

Table 7: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service?

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	62	67	41	51	72	60	41	45	27	61	67	60	52	58	65	59	68	58	69	70	68
Excellent	9	11	3	6	14	6	3	7	1	9	11	9	6	8	9	7	17	5	7	6	11
Good	53	56	38	45	58	54	38	38	26	52	56	51	47	50	55	51	51	53	62	65	57
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	33	28	52	41	24	34	51	35	69	33	29	36	40	37	30	35	26	35	26	25	27
Not so good	23	22	26	27	18	27	26	25	48	23	24	26	30	27	21	27	17	25	17	17	18
Poor	9	6	26	13	6	7	25	10	22	10	5	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	8	8	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	7	8	4	6	8	20	3	6	3	4	7	5	6	6	6	7	5	5	5
DK/NA	6	5	7	8	4	6	8	20	3	6	3	4	7	5	6	6	6	7	5	5	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	62	64	64	57	59	57	58	70	58	67	73	58	58	61	62	66	60	81	79		
Excellent	9	7	8	10	18	6	7	13	7	17	10	6	7	9	9	10	8	26	7		
Good	53	58	56	47	41	51	51	57	51	50	63	52	51	52	53	56	51	55	72		
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	33	30	31	36	36	37	38	23	37	28	20	39	35	35	32	28	35	14	14		
Not so good	23	20	25	26	24	26	28	17	27	20	15	26	27	26	22	20	25	11	10		
Poor	9	10	5	11	12	12	10	6	11	7	5	13	8	9	10	8	10	4	4		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	5	6	5	6	4	7	5	5	7	3	7	4	6	6	6	5	7		
DK/NA	6	6	5	6	5	6	4	7	5	5	7	3	7	4	6	6	6	5	7		

Table 7: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service?

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575	
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	62	68	71	64	69	57	55	67	59	61	56	72	63	69	51	62	61	62	62	
Excellent	9	17	12	5	12	7	7	11	8	9	7	14	12	5	5	8	14	8	10	
Good	53	51	59	59	57	50	48	56	51	52	50	57	51	64	46	54	47	54	52	
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	33	26	22	30	29	38	40	26	37	34	40	26	27	23	45	33	36	34	31	
Not so good	23	20	14	20	21	28	29	18	27	25	29	16	20	16	35	23	25	23	23	
Poor	9	6	7	10	8	10	11	8	10	10	11	10	7	7	10	10	11	11	8	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	8	6	3	5	5	7	4	5	4	2	10	8	4	5	3	4	7	
DK/NA	6	6	8	6	3	5	5	7	4	5	4	2	10	8	4	5	3	4	7	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270	
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	62	61	63	67	61	57	58	63	71	62	62	66	62	63	54	63	59	61	66	
Excellent	9	10	8	11	12	7	7	9	8	10	8	8	9	10	8	12	7	9	8	
Good	53	51	55	56	49	51	51	54	64	52	54	58	53	53	46	51	51	52	58	
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	33	35	29	26	32	40	36	33	23	32	33	29	31	33	42	33	37	30	28	
Not so good	23	23	23	20	24	26	26	20	17	24	22	19	24	22	23	22	25	24	20	
Poor	9	11	7	6	8	14	11	13	5	9	10	10	8	10	19	11	12	6	8	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	7	7	7	3	6	4	6	6	5	5	6	5	4	4	4	9	6	
DK/NA	6	4	7	7	7	3	6	4	6	6	5	5	6	5	4	4	4	9	6	

Table 7: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service?

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	62	60	65	64	60	61	47	63	62	61	66	50	65	63	63	62	70	54	58	54
Excellent	9	10	7	10	8	11	6	10	8	11	9	5	17	6	9	11	5	14	0	16
Good	53	50	57	54	52	50	41	53	54	50	57	45	49	56	54	51	64	40	58	39
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	33	33	30	31	36	39	45	32	30	35	28	46	31	31	32	32	27	38	37	46
Not so good	23	25	22	21	24	25	21	23	24	24	20	25	17	22	26	23	20	26	28	24
Poor	9	8	8	10	12	14	24	10	6	12	8	21	13	9	5	9	7	12	9	22
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	5	5	4	0	9	4	8	3	6	4	4	7	5	6	3	8	5	0
DK/NA	6	7	5	5	4	0	9	4	8	3	6	4	4	7	5	6	3	8	5	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	62	60	69	55	62	68	61	60	61	59	58	58	61	63	66	64	62	54
Excellent	9	8	11	7	11	8	8	7	6	6	5	4	9	9	7	11	13	6
Good	53	51	58	49	51	60	53	53	55	53	53	54	52	54	60	53	49	48
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	33	33	29	40	34	26	33	34	35	37	37	39	33	30	29	30	29	43
Not so good	23	26	18	24	24	13	24	25	25	27	27	28	24	19	22	21	23	28
Poor	9	7	11	17	9	13	10	10	10	10	11	11	9	10	7	9	6	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	2	4	5	6	6	5	4	4	4	3	5	7	5	6	10	3
DK/NA	6	7	2	4	5	6	6	5	4	4	4	3	5	7	5	6	10	3

Table 7: Q3. Overall, how would you rate the quality of Muni's service?

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>EXCELLENT/GOOD</u>	62	61	69	72	65	61	64	51	62	65	59	66	54	61	68	51	63	65	63	66
Excellent	9	9	8	12	17	10	14	4	9	13	12	4	6	6	11	6	4	19	10	9
Good	53	52	61	61	48	51	50	47	53	52	47	62	48	56	56	45	60	46	53	57
<u>NOT SO GOOD/POOR</u>	33	33	27	24	34	31	28	44	32	27	31	27	44	31	30	46	34	31	26	31
Not so good	23	26	20	19	27	28	18	30	20	17	29	20	26	25	20	31	22	25	16	20
Poor	9	7	7	6	7	2	10	14	12	10	2	7	18	6	9	14	11	6	10	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	4	3	1	9	8	5	6	8	10	7	2	8	3	4	3	4	11	2
DK/NA	6	6	4	3	1	9	8	5	6	8	10	7	2	8	3	4	3	4	11	2

Table 8: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve Muni in San Francisco?

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI					
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	73	59	69	78	79	68	52	100	0	100	0	77	79	68	76	66	75	79	69		
Great need	41	41	22	35	46	40	44	38	100	0	56	0	44	41	39	42	36	42	50	38		
Some need	33	33	37	34	31	38	24	14	0	0	44	0	33	38	30	33	30	33	29	31		
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	18	18	24	20	17	15	24	38	0	100	0	100	17	16	20	17	22	18	16	16		
A little need	7	9	7	8	5	7	5	3	0	0	0	36	5	4	9	6	9	7	5	7		
No real need	12	9	17	12	12	8	19	35	0	100	0	64	12	11	11	11	13	12	11	9		
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	9	17	11	5	6	8	10	0	0	0	0	5	5	12	7	12	7	5	15		
DK/NA	8	9	17	11	5	6	8	10	0	0	0	0	5	5	12	7	12	7	5	15		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	74	77	77	78	70	76	66	78	81	80	67	83	54	81	60	75	89	23	84	23	55
Great need	41	42	37	47	43	36	43	33	45	55	49	32	56	27	49	28	39	57	9	48	7	25
Some need	33	32	39	31	35	34	32	34	33	25	31	34	28	26	32	32	37	33	14	36	16	31
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	18	16	20	15	16	21	18	25	16	15	16	21	13	33	13	29	11	7	60	11	58	27
A little need	7	8	10	6	4	9	6	8	7	5	5	8	6	9	6	8	5	4	3	6	6	13
No real need	12	9	10	10	11	12	12	16	10	11	11	13	7	25	7	21	5	3	57	5	52	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	10	3	7	7	9	7	9	6	4	4	12	4	13	5	11	14	3	17	6	19	17
DK/NA	8	10	3	7	7	9	7	9	6	4	4	12	4	13	5	11	14	3	17	6	19	17

Table 8: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve Muni in San Francisco?

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	83	31	62	90	73	31	51	81	73	76	70	73	71	76	78	82	67	61	56	70
Great need	41	48	13	25	59	34	13	26	55	40	46	39	44	40	43	46	47	39	27	20	36
Some need	33	35	18	37	32	39	18	24	26	33	30	31	30	31	33	31	35	28	34	36	34
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	18	11	55	21	6	17	53	29	14	19	16	24	21	23	14	16	9	23	26	26	19
A little need	7	6	7	10	4	9	7	15	7	7	7	7	11	8	6	8	3	14	7	7	6
No real need	12	5	48	11	2	8	46	14	7	12	9	17	11	15	9	8	6	9	19	20	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	14	17	4	10	16	20	6	8	8	7	5	6	9	6	9	10	13	18	11
DK/NA	8	6	14	17	4	10	16	20	6	8	8	7	5	6	9	6	9	10	13	18	11
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	85	80	65	61	75	75	71	75	67	74	73	79	77	73	72	75	91	40		
Great need	41	56	44	34	24	43	45	36	44	38	35	41	48	43	44	34	43	41	4		
Some need	33	28	36	31	37	32	30	35	31	29	39	31	31	33	29	38	31	50	36		
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	18	10	14	28	29	19	19	16	19	23	11	22	16	16	18	22	19	2	28		
A little need	7	6	6	9	12	6	8	7	7	10	4	7	7	6	7	7	7	0	1		
No real need	12	5	8	19	17	13	12	10	12	13	7	15	9	9	11	15	11	2	27		
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	5	6	7	10	6	5	13	6	10	15	6	5	8	9	6	7	7	32		
DK/NA	8	5	6	7	10	6	5	13	6	10	15	6	5	8	9	6	7	7	32		

Table 8: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve Muni in San Francisco?

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	66	74	81	78	76	76	74	77	77	76	72	73	78	82	75	75	74	73
Great need	41	40	38	43	44	46	42	40	44	44	45	34	50	29	50	45	34	42	40
Some need	33	26	36	39	34	30	34	34	32	33	31	37	23	49	32	30	41	32	33
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	18	16	19	12	20	17	18	15	18	18	19	16	15	17	14	18	23	18	19
A little need	7	7	8	5	7	7	8	7	7	7	8	7	7	6	7	8	4	6	8
No real need	12	9	11	7	13	10	10	9	11	11	10	9	8	11	7	10	19	12	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	18	8	7	2	6	6	11	5	5	5	13	12	5	4	6	2	8	8
DK/NA	8	18	8	7	2	6	6	11	5	5	5	13	12	5	4	6	2	8	8
		Q27 GENDER						AGE					PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	70	77	80	74	72	75	69	65	75	71	68	79	65	55	72	67	78	75
Great need	41	40	42	44	48	42	37	36	26	45	35	32	46	34	21	45	32	47	37
Some need	33	31	34	36	26	29	37	33	40	30	37	36	33	31	34	28	36	31	38
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	18	22	14	17	17	20	19	16	22	18	19	18	13	24	35	20	25	15	13
A little need	7	8	6	7	9	7	6	2	7	8	5	4	7	6	9	8	7	7	4
No real need	12	14	9	10	8	13	13	14	15	10	14	14	7	19	25	12	18	8	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	8	9	3	9	8	6	15	13	7	10	14	7	10	10	8	7	6	12
DK/NA	8	8	9	3	9	8	6	15	13	7	10	14	7	10	10	8	7	6	12

Table 8: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve Muni in San Francisco?

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	77	82	74	51	55	55	78	80	63	69	47	74	85	82	72	77	60	56	49
Great need	41	49	43	43	22	26	16	47	45	32	37	17	32	56	46	39	47	29	19	17
Some need	33	28	39	32	30	29	39	31	35	31	31	30	43	29	36	33	30	32	37	32
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	18	15	11	20	31	32	38	15	12	31	17	38	26	9	12	21	19	33	42	31
A little need	7	8	5	5	6	16	2	7	7	8	4	11	5	7	6	9	4	10	12	10
No real need	12	7	6	15	25	16	36	7	6	23	14	27	21	3	6	12	15	23	30	22
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	7	5	18	13	7	7	7	7	14	15	0	5	7	7	5	7	2	19
DK/NA	8	7	7	5	18	13	7	7	7	7	14	15	0	5	7	7	5	7	2	19

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	79	85	59	66	64	73	74	75	75	76	77	73	76	75	74	68	75
Great need	41	47	47	23	37	27	41	43	44	43	45	43	41	40	45	41	34	40
Some need	33	32	38	37	29	36	33	32	31	31	31	34	32	36	30	33	33	35
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	18	13	11	32	22	28	19	19	18	18	17	18	19	17	18	17	22	18
A little need	7	7	7	6	4	13	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	8	7	6	9	6
No real need	12	7	4	26	19	16	12	12	12	13	11	11	12	9	11	11	13	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	5	9	11	8	8	7	7	7	7	6	8	8	6	9	10	7
DK/NA	8	7	5	9	11	8	8	7	7	7	7	6	8	8	6	9	10	7

Table 8: Q4. Do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to improve Muni in San Francisco?

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>GREAT/SOME NEED</u>	73	79	69	81	82	73	64	73	73	63	75	63	62	82	72	82	81	79	69	72
Great need	41	38	38	41	50	42	33	40	42	34	42	31	33	49	44	37	49	49	36	39
Some need	33	41	31	40	31	31	31	33	31	29	33	32	29	33	29	45	33	29	33	33
<u>LITTLE/NO REAL NEED</u>	18	10	21	14	17	20	26	18	17	27	18	27	27	10	18	13	16	15	17	17
A little need	7	10	7	3	7	5	13	6	6	11	5	8	8	8	7	2	7	5	6	6
No real need	12	0	14	11	10	16	14	12	11	15	13	20	19	2	11	11	9	9	12	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	10	9	5	2	7	10	9	9	10	7	9	11	8	10	5	3	7	13	11
DK/NA	8	10	9	5	2	7	10	9	9	10	7	9	11	8	10	5	3	7	13	11

Table 9: Q5. Since the start of the pandemic, how often have you ridden Muni?

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
<u>AT LST FW TMS/MONTH</u>	30	33	42	36	24	37	22	24	33	31	32	29	100	0	0	38	8	24	69	28
Daily	7	7	10	8	5	9	4	7	9	3	8	4	23	0	0	8	3	4	20	6
A few times a week	10	11	13	12	9	12	8	9	10	11	11	10	34	0	0	13	3	9	26	5
A few times a month	13	14	19	16	10	16	10	9	14	17	13	15	43	0	0	16	2	11	23	17
<u>LESS OFTEN</u>	24	21	21	21	26	25	24	22	24	23	26	20	0	100	0	27	15	26	22	14
Less often	24	21	21	21	26	25	24	22	24	23	26	20	0	100	0	27	15	26	22	14
<u>NEVER</u>	45	45	35	42	48	38	53	54	43	44	42	49	0	0	100	36	75	50	9	59
Never	45	45	35	42	48	38	53	54	43	44	42	49	0	0	100	36	75	50	9	59
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
DK/Refused	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL				Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
<u>AT LST FW TMS/MONTH</u>	30	31	35	29	30	33	30	35	28	28	27	34	30	28	30	28	35	35	21	33	22	21
Daily	7	4	12	7	8	6	7	8	6	5	5	8	7	6	7	5	11	10	5	8	4	3
A few times a week	10	13	10	9	10	11	10	13	9	11	10	10	10	8	11	9	12	10	5	11	6	8
A few times a month	13	14	12	13	12	15	12	14	12	12	12	15	12	14	12	14	12	15	11	14	11	10
<u>LESS OFTEN</u>	24	27	18	24	28	19	25	19	26	21	23	26	24	21	24	26	19	25	12	25	14	25
Less often	24	27	18	24	28	19	25	19	26	21	23	26	24	21	24	26	19	25	12	25	14	25
<u>NEVER</u>	45	43	45	47	42	47	45	44	46	51	50	40	46	51	45	46	45	41	65	42	63	50
Never	45	43	45	47	42	47	45	44	46	51	50	40	46	51	45	46	45	41	65	42	63	50
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	3
DK/Refused	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	3

Table 9: Q5. Since the start of the pandemic, how often have you ridden Muni?

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>AT LST FW TMS/MONTH</u>	30	32	25	18	36	27	25	20	30	25	54	23	18	21	35	25	44	32	27	33	35
Daily	7	8	5	1	9	5	5	2	5	5	16	5	4	5	6	3	19	8	6	7	11
A few times a week	10	10	10	10	11	10	9	0	13	8	22	5	8	6	13	8	14	14	8	11	12
A few times a month	13	14	10	7	16	11	11	18	12	12	17	13	7	11	15	14	11	10	13	15	12
<u>LESS OFTEN</u>	24	25	19	24	25	25	17	17	28	24	23	21	28	23	25	23	24	22	27	23	24
Less often	24	25	19	24	25	25	17	17	28	24	23	21	28	23	25	23	24	22	27	23	24
<u>NEVER</u>	45	42	56	54	40	47	56	58	42	50	23	56	54	55	39	51	33	44	45	43	40
Never	45	42	56	54	40	47	56	58	42	50	23	56	54	55	39	51	33	44	45	43	40
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	5	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	1	1
DK/Refused	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	5	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	1	1

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
<u>AT LST FW TMS/MONTH</u>	30	24	29	32	24	23	22	42	23	47	39	25	21	35	27	35	27	69	47
Daily	7	5	6	11	3	3	2	13	3	14	12	3	3	7	6	8	5	34	7
A few times a week	10	9	10	10	9	9	7	13	8	14	13	9	7	11	9	12	9	18	16
A few times a month	13	10	13	12	11	10	13	16	11	19	14	13	10	16	11	15	12	17	24
<u>LESS OFTEN</u>	24	29	23	24	26	26	21	23	24	23	23	23	25	24	25	19	25	20	16
Less often	24	29	23	24	26	26	21	23	24	23	23	23	25	24	25	19	25	20	16
<u>NEVER</u>	45	46	48	44	47	51	57	33	53	30	36	52	54	41	48	43	48	11	34
Never	45	46	48	44	47	51	57	33	53	30	36	52	54	41	48	43	48	11	34
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	3
DK/Refused	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	3

Table 9: Q5. Since the start of the pandemic, how often have you ridden Muni?

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
<u>AT LST FW TMS/MONTH</u>	30	49	44	35	31	17	18	43	21	24	16	41	41	51	25	18	23	30	30
Daily	7	16	13	12	4	3	1	14	3	4	1	12	15	16	2	3	3	6	8
A few times a week	10	18	18	11	10	5	5	16	6	7	5	15	14	19	6	7	4	10	10
A few times a month	13	14	12	13	18	9	12	13	12	14	9	13	12	16	16	9	16	14	12
<u>LESS OFTEN</u>	24	18	30	26	25	26	21	25	24	25	23	25	24	25	25	27	13	23	25
Less often	24	18	30	26	25	26	21	25	24	25	23	25	24	25	25	27	13	23	25
<u>NEVER</u>	45	31	27	39	44	56	61	32	55	50	61	35	35	22	50	55	61	46	45
Never	45	31	27	39	44	56	61	32	55	50	61	35	35	22	50	55	61	46	45
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	3	1	0
DK/Refused	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	3	1	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
<u>AT LST FW TMS/MONTH</u>	30	33	28		37	24	22	31	35	38	28	34	36	28	35	27	31	35	24	33
Daily	7	7	7		6	5	3	11	11	5	5	9	8	6	8	4	6	9	4	10
A few times a week	10	11	10		13	9	7	11	12	12	10	11	12	11	9	11	10	13	9	10
A few times a month	13	15	11		18	10	12	10	12	21	13	13	16	11	17	11	16	13	10	13
<u>LESS OFTEN</u>	24	23	24		27	22	21	22	27	27	23	25	27	24	24	23	23	22	23	26
Less often	24	23	24		27	22	21	22	27	27	23	25	27	24	24	23	23	22	23	26
<u>NEVER</u>	45	44	46		36	52	54	46	38	35	48	42	37	48	40	51	45	43	52	40
Never	45	44	46		36	52	54	46	38	35	48	42	37	48	40	51	45	43	52	40
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	1	0	1		0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
DK/Refused	1	0	1		0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0

Table 9: Q5. Since the start of the pandemic, how often have you ridden Muni?

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>AT LST FW TMS/MONTH</u>	30	25	32	32	39	26	27	32	26	37	33	27	27	21	28	34	33	27	24	29
Daily	7	4	9	7	10	0	9	7	6	9	8	3	7	4	6	11	5	10	6	0
A few times a week	10	9	13	9	9	16	6	12	10	8	10	14	6	7	11	12	10	5	13	14
A few times a month	13	12	10	16	20	10	13	13	9	20	15	10	14	9	11	11	18	12	5	15
<u>LESS OFTEN</u>	24	22	26	25	23	26	18	22	25	24	24	25	18	29	23	21	25	29	29	19
Less often	24	22	26	25	23	26	18	22	25	24	24	25	18	29	23	21	25	29	29	19
<u>NEVER</u>	45	52	42	41	38	47	55	46	49	39	40	48	55	49	48	45	43	41	47	51
Never	45	52	42	41	38	47	55	46	49	39	40	48	55	49	48	45	43	41	47	51
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0
DK/Refused	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
<u>AT LST FW TMS/MONTH</u>	30	28	29	30	38	21	29	27	27	27	24	22	30	31	34	31	26	27
Daily	7	6	8	3	10	6	6	5	6	5	5	3	6	9	8	8	3	8
A few times a week	10	11	14	14	11	3	10	10	9	9	8	6	11	8	14	11	7	9
A few times a month	13	12	7	14	17	12	12	12	13	13	11	12	13	13	13	13	16	11
<u>LESS OFTEN</u>	24	24	24	22	24	24	24	24	24	23	23	26	23	25	24	24	22	25
Less often	24	24	24	22	24	24	24	24	24	23	23	26	23	25	24	24	22	25
<u>NEVER</u>	45	47	46	49	36	54	47	48	49	50	52	52	46	43	42	43	51	47
Never	45	47	46	49	36	54	47	48	49	50	52	52	46	43	42	43	51	47
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	0
DK/Refused	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	0

Table 9: Q5. Since the start of the pandemic, how often have you ridden Muni?

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>AT LST FW TMS/MONTH</u>	30	31	42	31	42	25	35	27	24	33	24	31	27	28	47	26	30	33	23	32
Daily	7	3	12	2	15	7	5	6	5	4	6	7	8	3	15	5	8	7	7	4
A few times a week	10	11	18	18	8	7	10	9	8	9	7	15	7	9	20	11	7	9	6	17
A few times a month	13	17	12	11	19	11	20	12	11	19	11	9	12	16	12	10	15	16	10	11
<u>LESS OFTEN</u>	24	27	21	32	29	26	19	24	22	19	27	27	22	25	19	30	19	30	22	24
Less often	24	27	21	32	29	26	19	24	22	19	27	27	22	25	19	30	19	30	22	24
<u>NEVER</u>	45	42	37	37	29	48	47	49	52	48	48	42	52	47	34	44	51	35	52	44
Never	45	42	37	37	29	48	47	49	52	48	48	42	52	47	34	44	51	35	52	44
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0
DK/Refused	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0

Table 10: Q6. Would you say your perceptions of Muni are mainly shaped by: your experience riding it pre-pandemic, your experience riding it since the start of the pandemic, or what you have heard, read, or seen about Muni over the last several months?

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
Riding pre-pandemic	71	71	63	69	74	73	76	73	73	71	73	71	56	78	78	73	66	100	0	0		
Riding since the start of the pandemic	14	12	13	13	15	13	15	21	17	12	15	12	31	12	3	16	6	0	100	0		
Heard about recently	10	12	11	12	8	9	6	3	9	8	9	9	9	6	13	7	18	0	0	100		
DK/Refused	5	5	12	7	4	5	3	3	1	9	3	8	4	4	6	4	9	0	0	0		
		Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL				Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	IMPRV /BIKE SFTY	SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Riding pre-pandemic	71	78	65	72	66	67	75	70	73	76	74	69	73	71	71	72	68	72	71	73	68	63
Riding since the start of the pandemic	14	10	14	16	16	14	14	12	15	13	14	14	15	14	15	12	9	16	12	14	13	6
Heard about recently	10	8	13	9	9	14	7	13	8	8	9	11	9	12	9	11	11	9	10	9	13	11
DK/Refused	5	5	8	2	9	5	4	5	4	3	4	6	3	3	4	4	13	3	7	4	6	19
		Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562	
Riding pre-pandemic	71	71	70	74	73	71	68	45	69	74	60	77	78	77	70	74	65	79	70	68	70	
Riding since the start of the pandemic	14	14	14	5	16	11	14	9	18	11	25	8	8	8	17	14	19	10	9	9	13	
Heard about recently	10	10	9	11	7	12	11	22	11	10	7	11	9	10	9	8	13	4	11	11	10	
DK/Refused	5	5	7	11	3	6	7	25	2	5	7	4	5	5	4	4	3	7	10	12	7	

Table 10: Q6. Would you say your perceptions of Muni are mainly shaped by: your experience riding it pre-pandemic, your experience riding it since the start of the pandemic, or what you have heard, read, or seen about Muni over the last several months?

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE	
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74	
Riding pre-pandemic	71	75	76	69	66	75	77	65	76	68	64	73	78	71	74	67	72	67	60	
Riding since the start of the pandemic	14	13	12	14	13	12	12	16	12	19	13	14	11	17	12	12	14	18	4	
Heard about recently	10	10	8	11	11	9	8	11	9	9	13	9	8	8	9	13	9	15	12	
DK/Refused	5	3	4	6	10	4	2	8	4	4	10	4	3	4	5	8	4	0	24	
	Q25 INCOME										INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT			
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575	
Riding pre-pandemic	71	57	62	79	73	80	80	66	78	76	81	66	68	60	82	78	76	74	69	
Riding since the start of the pandemic	14	22	17	14	15	8	9	18	10	11	9	22	15	15	11	10	8	12	15	
Heard about recently	10	12	19	2	6	7	8	11	7	7	7	7	13	16	6	7	9	8	11	
DK/Refused	5	9	2	5	5	5	3	5	4	6	3	5	4	10	1	5	7	5	5	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Riding pre-pandemic	71	71	72		67	73	77	72	68	67	73	70	68	73	70	65	71	70	74	70
Riding since the start of the pandemic	14	15	12		18	11	11	14	16	11	13	14	14	14	12	16	16	14	10	14
Heard about recently	10	10	10		11	9	7	10	9	13	9	10	11	10	10	12	9	10	9	11
DK/Refused	5	4	6		4	6	5	4	7	9	5	6	8	4	8	7	3	6	7	6
	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Riding pre-pandemic	71	73	73	72	67	72	57	71	75	72	68	65	63	76	76	65	76	71	69	68
Riding since the start of the pandemic	14	14	14	11	14	16	17	16	12	11	13	21	6	11	14	17	10	10	14	19
Heard about recently	10	10	10	10	9	5	20	9	10	11	9	9	19	11	8	11	6	12	9	9
DK/Refused	5	4	4	7	10	7	7	4	4	6	10	5	12	2	2	8	8	7	9	4

Table 10: Q6. Would you say your perceptions of Muni are mainly shaped by: your experience riding it pre-pandemic, your experience riding it since the start of the pandemic, or what you have heard, read, or seen about Muni over the last several months?

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
Riding pre-pandemic	71	72	80	70	67	74	72	73	74	75	76	77	73	66	73	65	73	77
Riding since the start of the pandemic	14	14	11	12	15	11	13	13	12	12	11	9	12	19	16	15	11	11
Heard about recently	10	10	7	12	11	7	10	9	9	8	9	9	11	6	6	13	10	9
DK/Refused	5	4	2	6	7	8	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	9	4	8	6	3

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Riding pre-pandemic	71	82	64	70	74	72	71	77	69	74	71	65	76	78	65	83	74	68	57	72
Riding since the start of the pandemic	14	11	20	16	12	14	12	10	13	11	13	20	10	11	19	11	15	13	15	13
Heard about recently	10	4	9	11	8	11	9	9	11	8	12	10	12	7	9	3	8	13	16	9
DK/Refused	5	4	6	3	6	3	7	3	7	6	4	5	3	4	7	3	3	6	12	6

Table 11: Q7a. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Trustworthy

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL WELL	63	76	76	76	49	83	28	15	61	51	64	55	80	60	52	64	59	62	61	73
Very well	16	24	17	22	9	24	2	3	15	16	16	19	25	11	12	17	12	16	21	13
Somewhat well	47	52	60	54	40	59	27	13	46	35	48	37	55	49	40	47	47	46	41	59
TOTAL NOT WELL	33	20	19	20	47	15	68	81	37	47	32	41	19	37	42	33	34	35	39	15
Not too well	21	13	14	14	28	14	36	20	25	19	22	18	13	25	25	22	19	23	16	10
Not at all well	12	7	5	6	19	1	32	61	12	28	9	23	6	12	17	11	15	12	23	5
DK/NA	4	3	5	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	1	3	6	3	7	3	0	12
DK/NA	4	3	5	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	1	3	6	3	7	3	0	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL WELL	63	70	77	56	65	71	60	65	61	56	55	71	67	50	67	56	61	70	38	67	41	57
Very well	16	20	22	12	14	18	14	14	17	20	15	16	22	8	19	11	12	22	6	17	5	19
Somewhat well	47	50	54	44	51	53	46	51	45	35	40	55	45	42	48	45	50	48	32	50	36	38
TOTAL NOT WELL	33	26	19	41	29	24	36	32	35	39	40	26	29	47	30	42	23	26	61	28	58	36
Not too well	21	19	12	27	15	17	23	20	22	23	27	15	19	26	20	23	16	19	27	20	25	24
Not at all well	12	6	7	15	14	7	14	12	13	16	14	12	10	21	10	18	7	7	34	9	33	12
DK/NA	4	4	4	3	6	5	3	3	4	5	5	3	4	3	3	2	16	3	1	4	1	7
DK/NA	4	4	4	3	6	5	3	3	4	5	5	3	4	3	3	2	16	3	1	4	1	7

Table 11: Q7a. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Trustworthy

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	63	68	43	55	70	63	46	68	38	61	73	60	50	57	67	61	66	79	65	71	68
Very well	16	17	5	23	22	13	7	28	4	14	24	13	15	14	19	16	18	21	14	13	17
Somewhat well	47	51	38	31	48	50	39	40	34	47	49	47	35	43	48	45	48	58	51	58	50
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	33	28	56	38	26	31	53	27	59	35	22	37	43	39	30	35	34	17	30	25	29
Not too well	21	19	25	28	19	22	23	14	36	23	12	24	33	27	18	24	22	3	19	20	17
Not at all well	12	9	31	9	7	10	30	13	22	13	10	13	10	12	12	12	14	12	5	12	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	1	8	4	5	1	5	3	4	5	2	7	4	4	4	1	3	5	4	3
DK/NA	4	4	1	8	4	5	1	5	3	4	5	2	7	4	4	4	1	3	5	4	3
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	63	58	67	59	62	59	56	72	58	68	74	56	61	61	63	67	60	85	87		
Very well	16	16	15	15	22	17	14	17	16	20	15	13	18	16	14	21	14	51	9		
Somewhat well	47	43	52	45	39	42	43	55	43	49	60	43	43	45	48	46	45	34	78		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	33	36	28	38	36	36	39	26	37	30	24	39	34	33	33	31	36	15	8		
Not too well	21	25	22	20	14	24	23	17	23	18	16	23	22	21	22	15	22	11	8		
Not at all well	12	11	6	17	22	12	17	10	14	12	8	15	12	12	11	16	14	4	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	6	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	2	2	5	4	6	4	2	4	0	5		
DK/NA	4	6	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	2	2	5	4	6	4	2	4	0	5		

Table 11: Q7a. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Trustworthy

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	63	73	71	62	59	60	55	69	58	62	53	68	73	61	56	59	62	63	0
Very well	16	14	32	19	9	11	16	22	12	11	14	24	19	25	10	13	14	16	0
Somewhat well	47	58	39	43	50	49	39	47	46	51	39	44	53	36	46	46	48	47	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	33	24	26	37	34	35	42	29	37	32	44	26	27	35	41	35	38	33	0
Not too well	21	13	19	23	23	21	27	18	24	19	29	15	17	24	28	24	14	21	0
Not at all well	12	11	7	14	10	14	15	11	14	12	15	11	10	11	13	11	24	12	0
DK/NA	4	4	3	1	8	5	3	3	5	6	3	5	0	4	3	6	0	4	0
DK/NA	4	4	3	1	8	5	3	3	5	6	3	5	0	4	3	6	0	4	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	63	60	66		68	67	59	55	64	65	65	60	64	61	66	63	65	54	66	66
Very well	16	15	16		23	21	11	10	14	14	19	12	14	15	17	19	19	10	19	14
Somewhat well	47	45	50		45	46	48	45	50	51	46	48	50	47	48	45	45	44	48	53
TOTAL NOT WELL	33	35	30		29	31	36	41	31	27	32	35	29	34	31	34	32	40	31	29
Not too well	21	22	19		21	18	19	26	23	16	19	23	20	23	18	13	18	27	20	19
Not at all well	12	14	11		8	14	16	15	9	11	13	12	10	11	13	21	14	13	11	10
DK/NA	4	4	4		3	2	5	4	5	8	3	5	6	4	3	3	3	6	3	5
DK/NA	4	4	4		3	2	5	4	5	8	3	5	6	4	3	3	3	6	3	5

Table 11: Q7a. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Trustworthy

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
TOTAL WELL	63	63	59	67	64	78	49	59	64	63	70	60	74	59	65	60	66	57	61	75	
Very well	16	17	11	22	12	22	16	15	15	20	16	6	47	14	13	19	23	11	27	16	
Somewhat well	47	46	48	46	52	56	33	44	49	44	54	54	27	45	53	42	43	45	34	59	
TOTAL NOT WELL	33	33	36	31	31	22	46	37	31	33	28	36	26	35	31	36	28	42	32	25	
Not too well	21	22	25	17	19	2	23	24	21	19	18	14	7	24	25	18	20	25	17	0	
Not at all well	12	11	11	13	12	20	22	13	10	14	10	22	19	10	6	18	8	17	15	25	
DK/NA	4	4	5	2	5	0	5	5	5	4	2	4	0	6	4	3	6	2	7	0	
DK/NA	4	4	5	2	5	0	5	5	5	4	2	4	0	6	4	3	6	2	7	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
TOTAL WELL	63	60	68	68	69	52	61	58	56	54	53	52	62	66	70	64	70	45
Very well	16	13	20	16	19	17	16	16	14	12	12	6	15	21	18	17	17	10
Somewhat well	47	48	48	52	50	34	45	42	42	41	41	46	47	46	52	48	53	35
TOTAL NOT WELL	33	35	29	29	27	44	35	38	39	41	41	41	34	31	24	32	27	50
Not too well	21	25	18	13	16	23	22	23	25	26	26	28	22	18	14	21	16	33
Not at all well	12	11	11	16	11	21	13	14	14	16	15	13	12	13	10	11	12	18
DK/NA	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	4	3	5	3	3	4
DK/NA	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	4	3	5	3	3	4

Table 11: Q7a. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Trustworthy

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	63	69	74	73	54	65	75	46	63	76	65	66	44	70	80	44	55	61	62	66
Very well	16	21	15	20	20	16	18	11	15	19	15	9	13	27	19	12	7	22	14	15
Somewhat well	47	48	59	53	34	49	57	34	47	58	50	58	31	43	61	32	48	39	48	51
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	33	25	21	27	39	31	24	50	34	22	31	24	48	27	18	54	39	32	36	32
Not too well	21	6	14	11	32	19	13	32	22	12	19	18	29	13	10	33	28	23	28	13
Not at all well	12	19	7	16	7	12	11	18	12	10	12	6	19	13	8	21	11	9	9	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	6	5	0	7	4	2	4	3	1	4	10	7	3	2	2	6	6	1	2
DK/NA	4	6	5	0	7	4	2	4	3	1	4	10	7	3	2	2	6	6	1	2

Table 12: Q7b. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Well-managed

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL WELL	44	60	41	54	34	62	14	7	41	22	49	27	56	44	36	44	46	43	50	54
Very well	8	13	12	13	2	11	1	0	8	5	7	10	15	6	3	9	3	7	11	10
Somewhat well	37	46	29	42	32	51	13	7	33	17	41	17	41	38	33	35	43	36	38	44
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	31	36	32	53	24	78	90	45	66	39	59	33	41	49	43	40	44	42	24
Not too well	25	21	27	23	28	20	35	14	32	21	27	23	18	28	28	26	25	26	17	17
Not at all well	17	9	8	9	26	4	43	76	13	45	12	36	15	13	21	18	16	18	24	7
DK/NA	13	10	23	13	13	13	9	3	14	12	12	14	11	15	14	13	14	12	9	22
DK/NA	13	10	23	13	13	13	9	3	14	12	12	14	11	15	14	13	14	12	9	22

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL WELL	44	44	67	39	39	52	41	47	44	40	35	54	51	31	52	35	32	52	17	50	19	33
Very well	8	7	22	5	1	8	7	8	7	5	5	10	11	2	9	5	6	11	0	9	0	8
Somewhat well	37	37	45	35	37	44	34	39	37	34	30	44	40	28	43	30	26	41	17	41	19	25
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	38	25	46	52	34	46	43	44	50	51	35	34	60	35	58	33	34	79	36	78	41
Not too well	25	27	20	25	34	22	26	25	27	29	29	22	24	25	25	28	20	25	26	26	24	25
Not at all well	17	10	5	21	18	12	20	17	17	22	22	13	10	36	10	30	13	9	53	11	54	16
DK/NA	13	18	9	14	9	14	12	10	12	10	14	11	15	9	13	8	36	13	4	14	3	26
DK/NA	13	18	9	14	9	14	12	10	12	10	14	11	15	9	13	8	36	13	4	14	3	26

Table 12: Q7b. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Well-managed

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	44	50	21	32	53	45	24	43	21	42	55	34	34	34	51	44	46	50	46	48	48
Very well	8	9	1	5	10	8	1	16	0	6	16	6	6	6	8	4	17	10	7	9	11
Somewhat well	37	41	20	27	42	37	24	26	21	36	39	28	29	28	44	39	30	40	39	39	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	36	74	44	34	39	71	45	73	45	29	54	47	52	37	42	45	36	40	34	40
Not too well	25	25	24	29	25	26	24	32	37	27	16	32	30	32	21	25	29	24	25	26	26
Not at all well	17	11	50	16	9	13	47	13	36	18	13	22	17	20	16	16	16	12	15	8	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	13	14	5	24	14	16	5	12	6	13	16	12	19	14	12	14	8	14	15	19	12
DK/NA	13	14	5	24	14	16	5	12	6	13	16	12	19	14	12	14	8	14	15	19	12
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	44	40	48	39	55	39	41	52	40	53	51	36	44	46	42	48	43	73	40		
Very well	8	3	7	10	16	6	2	13	4	17	10	3	5	7	7	10	6	38	6		
Somewhat well	37	36	41	29	39	33	39	39	35	37	41	33	39	39	35	38	37	35	34		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	40	39	53	39	47	48	36	47	43	29	52	41	37	44	45	45	27	27		
Not too well	25	23	29	29	14	29	22	24	27	29	21	28	26	22	26	26	26	19	26		
Not at all well	17	17	10	23	25	17	25	11	20	14	8	25	15	15	18	18	19	7	1		
<u>DK/NA</u>	13	21	13	8	5	14	11	13	13	4	20	11	15	17	14	7	12	0	32		
DK/NA	13	21	13	8	5	14	11	13	13	4	20	11	15	17	14	7	12	0	32		

Table 12: Q7b. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Well-managed

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	44	46	69	49	54	42	37	55	43	48	36	56	54	55	47	40	47	44	0
Very well	8	18	17	17	4	1	3	17	2	2	2	15	21	12	2	2	4	8	0
Somewhat well	37	28	52	32	50	41	33	37	40	45	33	42	33	43	45	38	43	37	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	33	25	38	34	48	51	32	46	43	49	32	29	37	40	48	45	43	0
Not too well	25	25	8	23	21	30	29	19	28	27	29	16	19	19	25	30	22	25	0
Not at all well	17	8	17	15	13	17	22	13	18	17	20	16	10	18	16	18	23	17	0
DK/NA	13	22	5	13	13	10	13	13	12	9	15	11	16	8	13	12	8	13	0
DK/NA	13	22	5	13	13	10	13	13	12	9	15	11	16	8	13	12	8	13	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	44	42	47		59	49	37	32	48	40	49	38	44	44	44	46	49	33	49	44
Very well	8	8	7		11	10	2	7	9	4	8	7	7	7	7	9	10	6	6	9
Somewhat well	37	34	40		48	39	35	25	38	36	41	31	37	37	37	36	39	28	43	35
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	49	36		31	36	58	56	35	34	41	45	35	43	40	50	44	56	37	34
Not too well	25	28	23		24	21	33	33	21	16	25	26	19	28	22	18	26	31	25	21
Not at all well	17	21	12		7	16	24	23	14	19	15	20	16	15	18	31	19	25	11	13
DK/NA	13	8	18		10	15	6	12	17	26	11	16	21	13	16	5	7	10	14	22
DK/NA	13	8	18		10	15	6	12	17	26	11	16	21	13	16	5	7	10	14	22

Table 12: Q7b. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Well-managed

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
TOTAL WELL	44	46	40	51	35	58	34	40	48	47	44	45	50	40	45	44	50	39	25	70	
Very well	8	7	7	8	6	10	9	8	6	10	5	0	30	3	8	10	5	13	12	11	
Somewhat well	37	39	33	43	29	48	25	31	41	36	39	45	19	37	37	34	45	26	13	59	
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	40	48	41	38	42	57	50	37	47	32	48	50	37	44	49	34	51	68	25	
Not too well	25	27	29	24	19	11	25	31	26	28	17	13	31	22	33	28	21	27	29	0	
Not at all well	17	13	18	17	19	31	32	20	11	19	14	36	19	15	12	21	12	24	39	25	
DK/NA	13	14	12	8	27	0	9	10	16	6	24	7	0	23	10	6	17	10	7	4	
DK/NA	13	14	12	8	27	0	9	10	16	6	24	7	0	23	10	6	17	10	7	4	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
TOTAL WELL	44	46	34	48	45	45	43	40	39	37	37	38	44	44	52	42	46	37
Very well	8	7	4	12	9	8	6	5	4	3	2	2	8	7	7	8	8	8
Somewhat well	37	39	30	36	36	37	37	35	35	34	35	36	36	37	45	34	39	29
TOTAL NOT WELL	43	41	54	49	37	47	43	45	46	49	49	47	43	39	37	42	37	54
Not too well	25	28	38	21	22	14	25	26	27	28	27	26	26	23	21	28	23	29
Not at all well	17	13	16	28	15	33	17	18	19	21	22	21	17	16	17	15	14	25
DK/NA	13	14	12	3	18	8	14	15	15	14	14	15	13	16	11	16	16	9
DK/NA	13	14	12	3	18	8	14	15	15	14	14	15	13	16	11	16	16	9

Table 12: Q7b. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Well-managed

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	44	54	51	52	24	52	37	37	46	43	51	47	46	49	55	31	37	40	38	49
Very well	8	6	5	9	13	12	6	10	5	5	16	3	13	7	5	7	2	10	6	9
Somewhat well	37	48	46	42	11	39	31	27	41	38	35	44	33	42	50	24	35	30	32	40
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	43	37	38	31	47	38	40	55	42	37	38	40	51	39	37	61	37	49	44	37
Not too well	25	23	22	22	35	22	27	30	24	25	21	20	22	27	25	35	21	30	33	21
Not at all well	17	14	16	9	12	16	13	24	18	12	17	20	29	12	12	25	17	19	11	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	13	8	11	18	28	11	23	9	12	20	11	13	3	12	8	9	25	11	18	13
DK/NA	13	8	11	18	28	11	23	9	12	20	11	13	3	12	8	9	25	11	18	13

Table 13: Q7c. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL WELL	38	54	39	50	26	52	14	4	43	15	45	19	52	38	29	39	35	40	34	34
Very well	8	13	12	12	3	12	0	1	9	10	8	10	16	7	4	7	9	8	7	12
Somewhat well	30	41	27	38	22	41	13	3	35	5	37	10	37	31	25	32	26	32	28	23
TOTAL NOT WELL	40	30	26	29	51	23	71	91	39	69	35	65	31	47	42	39	45	39	49	36
Not too well	20	17	16	17	22	17	24	12	23	13	21	19	18	24	18	20	20	18	20	25
Not at all well	21	13	11	12	29	7	47	79	16	56	13	46	14	22	25	19	25	21	30	10
DK/NA	22	16	34	21	23	24	15	5	18	16	20	16	17	16	29	22	20	21	16	30
DK/NA	22	16	34	21	23	24	15	5	18	16	20	16	17	16	29	22	20	21	16	30

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL WELL	38	48	43	34	36	40	37	42	37	37	34	43	45	23	47	28	20	45	7	44	9	29
Very well	8	12	16	5	5	9	8	8	8	9	7	8	12	2	11	4	5	13	0	10	0	5
Somewhat well	30	36	28	29	32	31	29	35	29	28	26	35	33	21	36	24	15	33	7	35	9	24
TOTAL NOT WELL	40	34	29	43	49	39	41	41	41	48	46	35	32	62	31	55	40	32	85	33	82	37
Not too well	20	21	17	20	21	23	19	20	21	19	22	18	18	20	18	23	19	22	16	21	17	16
Not at all well	21	13	12	23	28	17	22	21	20	29	24	17	14	42	13	32	20	10	69	12	66	21
DK/NA	22	18	28	23	15	20	22	17	22	16	20	22	23	15	22	17	40	23	8	23	9	35
DK/NA	22	18	28	23	15	20	22	17	22	16	20	22	23	15	22	17	40	23	8	23	9	35

Table 13: Q7c. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	38	45	13	19	46	41	14	34	19	36	48	36	31	34	40	39	44	45	34	37	40
Very well	8	10	3	0	12	7	2	10	4	7	13	7	8	7	8	7	14	5	5	4	9
Somewhat well	30	35	10	19	34	34	12	24	15	29	36	29	23	27	32	32	29	40	29	33	31
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	31	79	46	30	34	77	39	68	43	30	46	35	43	40	40	43	39	35	24	38
Not too well	20	20	15	21	21	19	17	25	34	21	15	19	15	17	22	20	23	23	17	14	20
Not at all well	21	11	64	24	9	15	60	15	33	22	14	28	20	25	18	20	20	16	17	10	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	23	9	35	24	26	9	27	13	22	22	18	34	23	20	21	13	16	31	39	23
DK/NA	22	23	9	35	24	26	9	27	13	22	22	18	34	23	20	21	13	16	31	39	23
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	38	36	45	40	33	35	39	41	37	40	42	35	39	42	35	42	36	75	41		
Very well	8	7	8	11	12	8	6	9	8	12	6	8	8	6	8	11	7	34	2		
Somewhat well	30	29	37	29	21	27	32	32	29	28	36	28	31	36	27	31	29	40	39		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	38	34	47	50	40	44	38	41	49	29	48	34	32	45	38	43	22	11		
Not too well	20	22	21	17	13	21	17	20	20	20	20	22	17	16	22	19	21	12	8		
Not at all well	21	16	13	30	37	18	27	18	22	29	9	26	17	16	23	19	22	10	3		
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	26	21	13	17	25	17	20	22	10	29	17	27	26	20	19	21	3	48		
DK/NA	22	26	21	13	17	25	17	20	22	10	29	17	27	26	20	19	21	3	48		

Table 13: Q7c. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	38	41	55	44	50	31	35	47	36	38	34	46	44	59	41	33	41	38	0
Very well	8	16	13	17	3	3	9	15	5	3	9	14	17	13	2	6	10	8	0
Somewhat well	30	26	42	27	47	27	26	32	31	35	25	32	27	46	40	27	32	30	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	40	29	36	42	33	44	44	36	42	40	44	36	38	27	30	46	44	40	0
Not too well	20	15	13	29	14	21	22	19	20	17	23	20	22	9	14	23	20	20	0
Not at all well	21	14	23	13	19	23	22	17	22	23	21	16	15	18	16	23	24	21	0
DK/NA	22	29	9	14	17	25	21	17	22	22	22	18	18	14	29	21	15	22	0
DK/NA	22	29	9	14	17	25	21	17	22	22	22	18	18	14	29	21	15	22	0

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294	98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	38	37	40	48	39	31	35	35	39	39	36	36	39	40	20	39	34	40	39
Very well	8	9	7	8	10	7	7	10	5	8	7	8	7	9	8	10	8	7	7
Somewhat well	30	27	33	40	29	25	29	25	34	31	29	28	32	31	12	29	26	33	32
TOTAL NOT WELL	40	48	32	34	37	50	45	40	29	40	41	36	40	34	65	47	50	33	31
Not too well	20	21	18	23	18	26	19	23	4	22	17	15	23	15	14	21	21	22	13
Not at all well	21	27	14	11	20	24	27	17	25	18	24	20	18	19	51	25	29	11	18
DK/NA	22	15	28	18	24	19	19	25	32	21	23	28	21	26	15	14	16	27	30
DK/NA	22	15	28	18	24	19	19	25	32	21	23	28	21	26	15	14	16	27	30

Table 13: Q7c. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	38	36	43	49	28	24	16	39	40	39	42	20	20	36	41	46	48	36	25	20	
Very well	8	7	9	14	2	0	16	8	7	14	5	3	20	5	8	11	12	11	12	4	
Somewhat well	30	30	34	35	26	24	0	30	32	25	38	18	0	31	33	35	36	24	13	16	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	43	37	29	41	59	71	49	33	39	28	70	53	40	38	44	24	47	67	53	
Not too well	20	27	17	13	16	9	20	26	19	14	16	12	21	24	26	16	9	20	15	6	
Not at all well	21	16	20	15	25	50	51	23	13	25	12	58	32	16	12	28	15	27	51	47	
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	21	20	22	32	17	13	12	28	22	30	10	27	24	21	10	28	18	9	27	
DK/NA	22	21	20	22	32	17	13	12	28	22	30	10	27	24	21	10	28	18	9	27	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	38	40	35	17	39	39	36	35	33	32	34	33	38	37	46	37	36	31
Very well	8	8	4	6	9	10	7	7	7	5	5	5	9	5	12	7	6	5
Somewhat well	30	32	31	12	30	28	29	28	27	27	30	28	29	32	33	30	30	25
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	40	43	68	31	45	42	43	44	46	44	45	41	35	33	38	39	53
Not too well	20	24	26	18	16	8	20	20	20	20	17	17	22	8	15	19	21	25
Not at all well	21	16	17	50	16	37	21	23	24	26	27	28	19	26	19	19	17	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	20	22	14	30	16	23	22	22	22	21	22	20	29	21	25	25	16
DK/NA	22	20	22	14	30	16	23	22	22	22	21	22	20	29	21	25	25	16

Table 13: Q7c. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Uses taxpayer money efficiently

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	38	38	46	31	33	56	25	31	38	32	56	34	27	35	53	34	36	39	33	36
Very well	8	12	6	10	6	19	3	8	6	7	19	6	7	8	7	8	2	8	5	8
Somewhat well	30	25	39	20	27	36	22	23	33	25	36	28	20	27	45	26	34	31	28	27
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	40	35	34	37	36	29	47	54	40	42	28	42	59	32	30	51	38	43	41	39
Not too well	20	16	19	24	24	8	28	24	18	24	9	21	19	17	18	25	19	21	23	20
Not at all well	21	19	14	13	12	21	19	30	22	18	20	20	40	15	12	26	18	22	17	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	27	21	32	31	16	28	15	22	26	16	24	15	33	17	15	26	18	26	25
DK/NA	22	27	21	32	31	16	28	15	22	26	16	24	15	33	17	15	26	18	26	25

Table 14: Q7d. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Responsive to community concerns

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL WELL	42	57	39	52	32	60	12	5	47	25	49	29	53	41	35	43	38	42	43	46
Very well	9	14	9	13	6	15	1	0	13	5	11	7	17	8	5	10	6	10	11	12
Somewhat well	33	42	30	39	26	46	11	5	33	19	38	23	36	33	29	33	32	33	32	34
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	24	30	26	46	17	71	91	35	63	32	54	34	38	35	37	32	36	45	23
Not too well	21	16	19	17	26	14	35	20	22	22	22	21	25	22	18	23	17	22	20	12
Not at all well	15	8	11	9	20	3	36	70	13	41	10	33	10	17	17	15	15	14	25	11
DK/NA	22	19	31	22	22	23	17	4	18	12	20	17	12	21	30	19	30	22	13	31
DK/NA	22	19	31	22	22	23	17	4	18	12	20	17	12	21	30	19	30	22	13	31

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL WELL	42	47	55	39	37	47	39	46	41	46	42	43	50	27	50	34	22	51	18	48	15	27
Very well	9	12	24	6	4	10	10	11	9	9	8	11	14	3	13	4	8	15	3	11	3	6
Somewhat well	33	36	32	33	33	38	30	35	32	36	34	32	37	24	37	30	14	36	15	37	13	22
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	30	28	38	45	31	38	36	36	43	42	30	27	54	30	47	33	26	68	30	70	32
Not too well	21	20	16	22	30	19	23	24	21	20	25	17	18	27	18	26	24	19	22	21	27	10
Not at all well	15	10	11	17	15	11	15	12	15	23	17	13	10	27	12	20	10	7	45	8	44	22
DK/NA	22	23	17	22	18	22	22	18	22	12	16	28	22	18	20	19	44	23	14	22	14	41
DK/NA	22	23	17	22	18	22	22	18	22	12	16	28	22	18	20	19	44	23	14	22	14	41

Table 14: Q7d. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Responsive to community concerns

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	48	16	37	51	43	20	25	25	40	51	35	32	34	46	38	51	49	43	47	48
Very well	9	12	1	5	14	8	2	8	4	8	16	6	9	7	10	6	20	5	10	9	12
Somewhat well	33	36	15	31	37	34	17	17	21	32	35	29	23	27	36	32	31	44	33	38	35
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	28	70	36	24	33	68	36	59	37	28	40	39	40	34	37	37	28	29	19	31
Not too well	21	20	28	14	18	21	29	23	37	22	17	20	26	22	21	24	22	13	16	13	18
Not at all well	15	8	42	22	6	12	39	13	23	15	10	20	13	18	13	15	14	13	5	14	
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	24	14	27	25	24	12	39	15	23	21	25	29	26	20	25	12	24	28	35	21
DK/NA	22	24	14	27	25	24	12	39	15	23	21	25	29	26	20	25	12	24	28	35	21
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	40	50	41	28	41	43	44	42	44	43	39	45	47	40	46	40	77	38		
Very well	9	9	9	13	11	8	9	11	8	14	9	10	7	10	9	10	8	48	3		
Somewhat well	33	31	41	28	17	33	34	32	33	31	34	28	39	36	31	36	32	29	36		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	34	29	42	48	36	35	34	36	40	29	43	28	29	37	39	38	23	10		
Not too well	21	18	22	23	29	20	19	23	20	26	20	22	17	16	22	26	22	16	10		
Not at all well	15	16	7	19	20	16	16	11	16	14	8	21	11	13	15	13	16	7	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	26	21	16	23	23	22	22	22	16	28	18	27	24	23	14	21	0	52		
DK/NA	22	26	21	16	23	23	22	22	22	16	28	18	27	24	23	14	21	0	52		

Table 14: Q7d. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Responsive to community concerns

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$74.5K- \$175K+	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	42	45	55	49	44	37	38	50	39	40	37	56	45	54	41	39	40	42	0
Very well	9	18	12	19	8	4	9	17	6	6	7	15	21	8	8	6	6	9	0
Somewhat well	33	27	43	30	36	33	29	33	33	35	30	41	24	46	33	33	34	33	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	33	33	32	34	36	37	33	36	36	37	30	30	43	28	37	42	36	0
Not too well	21	22	22	24	23	18	21	23	20	21	19	20	19	35	14	21	23	21	0
Not at all well	15	11	11	8	11	18	16	10	16	15	18	10	11	8	15	16	19	15	0
DK/NA	22	22	12	19	22	26	25	18	25	24	26	14	25	4	31	24	18	22	0
DK/NA	22	22	12	19	22	26	25	18	25	24	26	14	25	4	31	24	18	22	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	42	40	44		52	45	38	39	40	33	45	38	37	44	40	31	43	37	48	40
Very well	9	11	8		12	9	8	10	10	4	10	9	8	9	11	9	14	7	6	10
Somewhat well	33	29	37		40	36	30	29	30	29	35	29	29	35	30	22	29	29	42	29
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	42	29		29	26	49	46	37	25	33	39	32	36	31	52	40	46	26	32
Not too well	21	24	18		19	11	32	30	20	12	19	24	17	22	18	29	21	27	17	20
Not at all well	15	18	10		10	15	16	16	17	12	14	16	15	15	13	22	18	18	9	12
DK/NA	22	18	27		18	30	14	15	24	43	22	23	31	20	28	17	17	18	27	28
DK/NA	22	18	27		18	30	14	15	24	43	22	23	31	20	28	17	17	18	27	28

Table 14: Q7d. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Responsive to community concerns

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	45	43	51	27	17	45	42	46	41	40	26	44	39	49	43	48	36	43	20	
Very well	9	9	9	13	8	5	12	11	7	14	8	4	20	8	9	12	12	15	18	0	
Somewhat well	33	36	33	38	19	12	33	31	39	28	32	22	24	31	40	31	36	21	25	20	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	36	37	24	41	54	49	42	30	38	24	56	40	35	34	43	23	42	50	47	
Not too well	21	21	23	13	25	32	27	23	20	21	15	34	20	17	26	22	16	25	27	28	
Not at all well	15	15	15	11	15	22	23	19	11	17	9	22	19	18	8	21	8	17	22	19	
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	20	20	25	32	29	5	16	24	21	36	18	16	26	17	14	29	21	7	34	
DK/NA	22	20	20	25	32	29	5	16	24	21	36	18	16	26	17	14	29	21	7	34	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	43	41	27	39	50	40	40	39	35	34	35	42	41	50	40	41	36
Very well	9	9	6	6	11	13	8	9	8	6	6	6	9	9	11	12	4	7
Somewhat well	33	34	36	21	28	37	31	31	31	29	27	30	33	32	39	28	37	29
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	35	42	51	30	39	37	38	39	43	44	42	36	34	27	35	37	47
Not too well	21	23	24	35	20	9	22	22	22	24	25	26	23	13	15	22	23	26
Not at all well	15	12	18	17	10	30	15	16	16	19	19	16	13	21	12	13	15	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	22	17	21	31	11	23	22	23	22	22	23	22	25	23	25	22	18
DK/NA	22	22	17	21	31	11	23	22	23	22	22	23	22	25	23	25	22	18

Table 14: Q7d. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Responsive to community concerns

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	38	47	45	39	53	40	33	42	41	52	39	25	38	51	43	40	48	44	39
Very well	9	3	10	19	14	17	6	7	7	5	16	7	4	5	11	10	7	14	11	14
Somewhat well	33	36	37	26	25	36	35	26	35	36	36	32	21	33	39	33	34	34	33	25
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	26	31	33	30	32	43	46	35	40	33	40	55	22	27	44	37	27	34	38
Not too well	21	8	20	24	21	18	26	26	20	26	18	27	28	12	17	29	20	16	22	21
Not at all well	15	17	11	10	9	14	16	19	15	14	15	12	27	10	10	16	17	11	12	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	22	36	22	22	31	15	17	21	22	19	15	21	20	40	22	13	23	24	22	23
DK/NA	22	36	22	22	31	15	17	21	22	19	15	21	20	40	22	13	23	24	22	23

Table 15: Q7e. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Convenient

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL WELL	74	80	79	79	68	89	47	26	73	56	78	59	82	76	67	75	71	74	74	82
Very well	26	39	17	33	19	36	7	1	28	19	28	24	32	24	24	28	21	28	23	31
Somewhat well	48	41	62	47	49	53	39	25	45	38	51	35	50	53	43	47	50	46	51	52
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	19	15	18	31	10	51	73	26	41	21	38	17	22	31	24	26	26	25	14
Not too well	17	15	13	14	20	10	31	30	18	23	15	24	12	16	21	17	19	19	14	9
Not at all well	7	4	2	4	11	1	20	43	8	18	6	14	6	6	9	7	7	7	12	5
DK/NA	2	1	6	3	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	3	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	3
DK/NA	2	1	6	3	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	3	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	3

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL WELL	74	78	83	67	80	79	71	78	73	64	69	80	72	66	74	72	74	78	48	78	53	72
Very well	26	29	36	21	30	29	25	29	25	22	22	30	30	22	28	24	22	33	7	29	6	35
Somewhat well	48	49	47	46	50	50	46	49	47	41	47	50	42	44	46	48	52	45	41	49	47	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	21	16	33	17	20	28	21	27	35	30	18	27	33	25	27	17	22	51	21	46	21
Not too well	17	14	12	22	11	14	20	14	19	25	21	13	20	19	18	17	11	18	29	16	26	14
Not at all well	7	7	5	10	5	6	8	6	8	10	9	5	6	14	6	10	6	4	22	5	20	6
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	10	0	1	1	1	7
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	10	0	1	1	1	7

Table 15: Q7e. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Convenient

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	74	77	57	78	77	79	56	52	56	71	84	72	74	72	74	71	76	79	76	79	77
Very well	26	29	13	28	32	26	13	36	14	23	40	21	32	25	27	23	28	30	23	19	28
Somewhat well	48	48	44	50	44	53	43	16	42	48	45	50	42	48	47	48	48	48	53	60	49
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	21	42	20	23	19	43	39	44	27	13	26	26	26	25	28	24	21	21	15	21
Not too well	17	17	23	12	18	14	24	30	34	19	9	19	20	19	16	20	16	13	13	11	14
Not at all well	7	5	20	8	5	5	19	9	10	8	4	6	6	6	8	8	8	8	7	3	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	3	1	3	1	10	0	1	3	2	0	2	2	1	0	0	4	6	2
DK/NA	2	2	1	3	1	3	1	10	0	1	3	2	0	2	2	1	0	0	4	6	2
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	74	66	83	68	77	71	69	79	70	72	86	65	76	73	74	74	72	79	86		
Very well	26	24	30	24	40	23	25	31	24	30	32	20	28	29	25	27	26	39	13		
Somewhat well	48	42	53	44	37	48	43	49	46	42	54	44	48	44	49	47	46	40	73		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	34	16	28	23	27	30	19	28	27	12	32	24	25	24	26	26	21	9		
Not too well	17	22	12	23	17	18	21	14	19	19	9	20	19	19	16	19	18	18	9		
Not at all well	7	11	4	6	6	9	8	5	9	7	3	12	6	7	9	6	8	3	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	4	0	1	2	2	2	1	3	3	0	1	2	1	1	0	5		
DK/NA	2	0	1	4	0	1	2	2	2	1	3	3	0	1	2	1	1	0	5		

Table 15: Q7e. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Convenient

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$74.5K- \$175K+	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	74	87	83	77	77	69	67	82	70	73	66	77	84	89	69	72	65	74	0
Very well	26	43	39	37	31	18	21	40	22	25	17	40	44	23	22	20	30	26	0
Somewhat well	48	44	44	40	46	52	45	43	48	48	49	37	40	66	47	53	35	48	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	8	17	20	20	30	33	15	29	25	33	20	12	11	30	27	33	25	0
Not too well	17	3	7	15	15	21	25	8	21	19	24	15	5	3	22	19	26	17	0
Not at all well	7	5	10	5	5	8	9	7	8	7	9	6	7	8	8	8	8	7	0
DK/NA	2	5	0	3	3	1	0	3	1	2	1	2	4	0	1	1	1	2	0
DK/NA	2	5	0	3	3	1	0	3	1	2	1	2	4	0	1	1	1	2	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	74	68	80		78	70	69	74	77	80	72	76	78	76	70	66	68	68	76	83
Very well	26	24	29		32	31	15	27	24	21	27	25	23	28	23	24	25	21	29	29
Somewhat well	48	44	51		46	38	55	47	52	58	45	51	55	48	47	42	42	47	48	55
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	30	19		20	30	30	25	21	12	27	21	17	23	27	31	31	28	24	14
Not too well	17	20	15		18	21	20	17	12	11	20	14	12	16	21	13	22	17	18	11
Not at all well	7	10	5		2	10	10	8	9	0	8	7	5	7	6	18	9	11	6	3
DK/NA	2	2	1		1	0	1	1	3	8	1	3	5	1	3	3	1	4	0	2
DK/NA	2	2	1		1	0	1	1	3	8	1	3	5	1	3	3	1	4	0	2

Table 15: Q7e. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Convenient

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	74	71	83	72	68	82	50	73	80	61	79	60	80	66	81	78	80	60	64	81	
Very well	26	27	29	29	16	22	27	28	29	20	26	11	55	26	29	29	29	27	27	23	
Somewhat well	48	44	54	44	52	61	23	45	51	41	53	49	25	40	52	49	51	32	37	57	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	28	16	27	26	18	44	26	20	36	18	36	20	33	19	21	20	36	29	19	
Not too well	17	21	9	20	22	4	22	17	15	28	14	13	14	21	15	16	14	31	23	3	
Not at all well	7	7	6	7	4	13	22	9	5	8	4	23	6	13	4	5	6	5	6	16	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	1	6	0	5	2	0	3	3	4	0	0	1	2	0	4	7	0	
DK/NA	2	1	1	1	6	0	5	2	0	3	3	4	0	0	1	2	0	4	7	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	74	76	76	70	71	71	73	71	70	68	68	69	73	76	74	73	81	69
Very well	26	28	26	25	24	24	25	22	20	19	17	17	27	23	33	23	29	21
Somewhat well	48	48	50	45	47	47	49	48	50	50	51	52	46	53	42	50	53	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	24	23	27	25	29	25	28	28	30	30	29	25	23	25	25	17	30
Not too well	17	18	13	15	21	13	18	20	21	22	21	20	18	15	17	18	11	21
Not at all well	7	6	10	12	4	16	8	8	8	9	9	9	7	9	8	7	6	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	3	4	0	2	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	2	1
DK/NA	2	1	1	3	4	0	2	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	2	1

Table 15: Q7e. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Convenient

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	74	74	78	79	64	85	75	68	72	78	85	67	60	78	85	71	70	66	76	72
Very well	26	29	33	31	25	31	25	20	24	25	33	21	16	33	39	24	23	25	20	27
Somewhat well	48	45	46	48	39	54	50	49	48	53	52	46	45	45	46	47	47	41	56	45
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	26	20	16	34	13	21	30	28	18	13	32	37	22	13	29	30	33	24	23
Not too well	17	17	13	12	32	10	12	21	19	11	10	23	23	16	6	20	21	28	18	16
Not at all well	7	9	7	3	2	3	9	9	9	8	3	8	14	7	7	9	9	4	6	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	2	6	2	2	4	2	0	3	2	1	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	5
DK/NA	2	0	2	6	2	2	4	2	0	3	2	1	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	5

Table 16: Q7f. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Crowded

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	66	75	54	69	62	71	62	58	67	56	68	61	65	66	66	67	63	72	60	32
Very well	26	33	18	29	23	25	31	25	32	20	28	20	23	27	27	29	17	30	26	8
Somewhat well	40	42	35	40	39	46	31	33	35	36	39	41	42	39	38	38	45	43	34	24
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	27	17	39	23	31	25	32	35	25	32	26	29	32	27	24	28	23	24	33	37
Not too well	20	14	37	20	21	20	21	26	17	28	18	27	26	21	16	21	18	17	25	33
Not at all well	7	4	2	3	10	5	11	9	8	4	8	3	6	6	8	7	6	7	8	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	8	7	7	5	6	6	8	12	6	9	3	7	10	5	14	4	8	31
DK/NA	7	7	8	7	7	5	6	6	8	12	6	9	3	7	10	5	14	4	8	31

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	66	63	75	65	65	60	67	71	65	62	67	64	69	58	70	60	58	67	56	69	54	56
Very well	26	27	40	26	24	21	27	28	26	26	26	26	33	13	31	18	22	32	15	29	13	17
Somewhat well	40	36	35	40	41	40	40	43	39	36	41	38	36	45	39	42	37	35	41	40	41	39
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	27	30	17	30	23	30	27	23	29	28	25	30	24	36	25	31	28	26	35	25	35	32
Not too well	20	23	13	21	17	26	18	18	21	18	17	24	19	24	19	22	21	19	25	19	28	21
Not at all well	7	7	4	8	5	4	8	5	8	11	8	6	6	12	6	8	7	7	10	6	7	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	8	5	13	10	6	6	6	10	8	7	7	6	5	9	14	7	9	6	10	12
DK/NA	7	7	8	5	13	10	6	6	6	10	8	7	7	6	5	9	14	7	9	6	10	12

Table 16: Q7f. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Crowded

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	66	68	58	55	70	64	59	59	64	66	65	66	66	66	67	67	74	68	60	48	66
Very well	26	29	14	18	32	25	13	9	24	25	29	23	28	25	27	28	32	26	20	8	25
Somewhat well	40	39	44	36	38	39	46	51	40	40	37	42	38	41	39	39	42	42	40	40	42
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	27	25	33	38	22	30	32	23	34	26	31	30	27	29	25	23	23	19	36	49	29
Not too well	20	19	25	25	17	22	25	18	25	19	25	21	21	21	20	17	14	15	32	44	23
Not at all well	7	6	8	13	5	8	7	5	9	7	6	9	6	8	6	6	9	4	4	5	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	9	7	8	6	9	17	2	8	4	5	8	6	8	10	3	13	4	2	5
DK/NA	7	7	9	7	8	6	9	17	2	8	4	5	8	6	8	10	3	13	4	2	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	66	67	70	63	72	68	60	68	65	71	66	68	62	65	68	67	66	74	51		
Very well	26	23	36	24	23	29	29	22	29	26	18	24	32	27	26	26	27	35	4		
Somewhat well	40	44	33	38	49	40	32	46	37	45	47	44	30	39	42	42	39	39	47		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	27	24	24	28	23	25	33	24	28	22	26	27	30	28	25	24	25	26	49		
Not too well	20	20	17	20	19	19	26	18	21	18	18	21	21	21	19	18	18	18	49		
Not at all well	7	5	7	8	4	6	8	6	7	5	7	5	8	7	6	6	7	7	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	8	6	10	5	7	7	8	7	7	9	5	9	7	7	9	8	0	0		
DK/NA	7	8	6	10	5	7	7	8	7	7	9	5	9	7	7	9	8	0	0		

Table 16: Q7f. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Crowded

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	66	66	77	79	54	66	71	74	65	62	68	84	63	89	57	71	59	66	0
Very well	26	24	23	43	19	26	29	30	25	23	28	34	29	27	26	27	21	26	0
Somewhat well	40	41	54	36	35	40	42	44	40	39	40	50	34	62	31	44	37	40	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	27	19	19	17	34	27	27	18	29	30	27	13	26	3	36	24	33	27	0
Not too well	20	15	16	15	23	20	20	15	21	21	20	12	22	3	26	18	22	20	0
Not at all well	7	4	3	2	11	7	7	3	8	9	7	1	4	0	10	6	11	7	0
DK/NA	7	15	4	4	12	7	2	8	7	8	5	4	11	8	7	5	8	7	0
DK/NA	7	15	4	4	12	7	2	8	7	8	5	4	11	8	7	5	8	7	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	66	69	63		76	74	66	59	57	53	72	57	56	67	65	58	77	57	67	57
Very well	26	25	26		28	37	30	23	14	8	32	17	12	29	21	23	33	14	31	21
Somewhat well	40	44	37		47	37	36	36	43	45	40	40	44	38	44	34	45	44	36	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	27	25	28		18	19	28	32	38	37	21	35	38	26	29	30	18	35	24	35
Not too well	20	20	21		15	16	17	24	27	28	16	26	28	18	23	26	16	25	17	26
Not at all well	7	5	8		3	3	11	8	11	9	5	9	10	7	6	4	2	10	7	8
DK/NA	7	6	9		7	7	7	10	4	10	7	8	6	7	6	13	4	8	9	8
DK/NA	7	6	9		7	7	7	10	4	10	7	8	6	7	6	13	4	8	9	8

Table 16: Q7f. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Crowded

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	66	70	62	74	54	80	36	71	63	69	64	55	61	67	74	63	61	69	65	64	
Very well	26	31	25	35	3	33	14	25	31	26	16	17	38	20	40	27	31	18	23	33	
Somewhat well	40	39	37	40	51	47	22	46	32	43	48	38	22	47	34	36	30	51	42	30	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	27	23	30	20	41	13	46	23	29	28	28	31	28	24	20	29	33	24	19	29	
Not too well	20	18	20	14	34	13	38	16	20	26	21	25	28	21	14	18	23	19	19	29	
Not at all well	7	5	10	6	7	0	8	6	8	2	8	6	0	4	6	11	10	5	0	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	8	6	5	7	18	6	9	3	8	13	11	9	7	8	6	7	16	7	
DK/NA	7	7	8	6	5	7	18	6	9	3	8	13	11	9	7	8	6	7	16	7	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	66	66	72	61	65	61	65	64	63	61	59	58	65	70	69	65	71	59
Very well	26	29	27	26	23	17	25	25	24	24	21	19	25	30	26	27	32	19
Somewhat well	40	37	45	35	41	44	40	39	38	37	38	39	40	39	43	38	39	40
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	27	26	23	23	28	35	27	29	30	31	33	33	27	25	25	26	24	33
Not too well	20	18	17	23	23	26	21	21	22	22	23	22	20	20	20	20	20	22
Not at all well	7	8	6	0	5	9	7	7	8	9	10	11	7	5	5	6	4	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	8	5	16	7	4	8	7	7	8	9	9	8	5	6	9	5	8
DK/NA	7	8	5	16	7	4	8	7	7	8	9	9	8	5	6	9	5	8

Table 16: Q7f. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Crowded

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	66	71	65	72	51	70	73	59	67	73	70	63	64	69	66	59	51	70	70	69
Very well	26	28	22	33	30	47	26	21	21	24	48	21	20	28	20	23	11	26	29	34
Somewhat well	40	43	43	39	21	24	47	38	46	49	22	42	44	41	45	36	40	44	40	35
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	27	28	28	24	36	20	25	34	23	24	19	27	31	22	32	36	40	23	17	25
Not too well	20	21	23	20	33	18	23	23	15	21	17	23	22	17	25	19	26	17	15	21
Not at all well	7	7	5	5	4	2	2	11	8	2	2	4	8	5	7	16	14	7	2	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	1	6	4	13	10	2	7	9	3	11	10	5	8	2	5	9	6	13	6
DK/NA	7	1	6	4	13	10	2	7	9	3	11	10	5	8	2	5	9	6	13	6

Table 17: Q7g. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easily accessible

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL WELL	78	86	87	86	71	90	56	40	77	67	81	73	84	75	77	79	78	78	79	83
Very well	30	43	25	38	22	37	15	7	33	24	32	28	36	27	29	30	32	31	34	32
Somewhat well	48	43	61	48	48	53	41	33	44	42	49	46	48	48	48	49	46	48	45	51
TOTAL NOT WELL	18	13	9	12	25	9	37	56	21	29	16	23	15	23	17	19	18	19	21	14
Not too well	13	7	9	7	18	8	22	23	16	15	12	11	11	18	11	12	16	12	13	14
Not at all well	6	6	1	5	7	1	15	33	5	14	4	11	4	6	7	7	2	6	8	0
DK/NA	3	1	4	2	4	1	7	4	2	4	3	4	1	2	5	3	4	3	0	3
DK/NA	3	1	4	2	4	1	7	4	2	4	3	4	1	2	5	3	4	3	0	3

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL WELL	78	80	89	76	74	81	77	80	79	73	73	84	77	73	79	77	83	81	64	82	66	71
Very well	30	33	48	26	30	33	31	34	30	31	26	35	37	23	34	26	27	38	8	34	11	31
Somewhat well	48	47	41	50	44	48	47	46	48	42	47	49	40	50	45	50	56	43	57	47	55	40
TOTAL NOT WELL	18	18	9	20	22	16	20	18	19	25	23	13	20	24	18	21	11	16	34	16	33	15
Not too well	13	16	5	12	17	12	13	11	13	17	17	9	14	13	13	14	11	14	16	13	16	9
Not at all well	6	2	4	8	5	4	7	7	5	8	7	5	5	11	6	8	0	2	17	4	17	6
DK/NA	3	2	2	3	4	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	6	3	2	2	2	13
DK/NA	3	2	2	3	4	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	6	3	2	2	2	13

Table 17: Q7g. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easily accessible

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	78	81	67	73	81	81	66	75	72	78	83	78	77	77	79	79	76	84	80	86	79
Very well	30	34	14	30	38	30	14	38	25	28	43	23	29	25	34	28	32	42	27	23	32
Somewhat well	48	47	53	43	43	51	52	37	47	50	39	55	48	52	45	51	43	42	53	63	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	18	16	31	15	16	15	32	22	27	19	14	20	17	19	19	18	21	16	17	10	18
Not too well	13	13	15	11	13	11	16	13	21	13	10	11	14	12	14	12	14	8	14	9	13
Not at all well	6	4	16	5	3	4	16	9	7	6	4	9	3	7	5	6	8	8	3	2	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	12	3	3	2	3	1	3	3	2	6	4	2	4	3	0	3	4	2
DK/NA	3	2	2	12	3	3	2	3	1	3	3	2	6	4	2	4	3	0	3	4	2
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	78	74	81	81	76	77	75	82	76	86	79	74	79	76	79	82	77	87	90		
Very well	30	29	31	36	39	33	24	32	30	36	29	28	32	30	32	29	31	40	15		
Somewhat well	48	46	49	45	37	44	50	50	47	50	50	46	47	45	47	52	46	48	75		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	18	23	16	15	24	18	22	17	20	12	20	21	18	21	18	18	20	13	5		
Not too well	13	14	13	10	15	12	14	13	13	9	16	14	11	15	12	10	14	9	5		
Not at all well	6	10	3	6	9	6	9	4	7	3	4	7	7	6	5	8	6	4	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3	4	0	5	3	2	4	2	1	5	3	3	3	1	3	0	5		
DK/NA	3	3	3	4	0	5	3	2	4	2	1	5	3	3	3	1	3	0	5		

Table 17: Q7g. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easily accessible

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	78	82	77	90	81	77	73	83	77	80	73	80	81	97	72	80	73	78	0
Very well	30	35	35	40	35	25	31	37	29	28	29	37	41	27	25	29	34	30	0
Somewhat well	48	47	42	50	46	52	43	46	48	51	43	44	40	70	47	51	39	48	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	18	15	22	10	14	21	21	16	20	17	23	17	19	3	24	16	26	18	0
Not too well	13	6	14	9	12	15	13	10	14	13	14	10	13	0	20	12	12	13	0
Not at all well	6	10	7	1	2	5	8	6	6	4	8	7	6	3	4	4	14	6	0
DK/NA	3	3	1	0	5	2	5	1	4	3	5	2	1	0	4	4	1	3	0
DK/NA	3	3	1	0	5	2	5	1	4	3	5	2	1	0	4	4	1	3	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	78	78	79		83	81	72	77	78	79	79	78	78	78	81	74	80	76	78	80
Very well	30	31	31		41	32	23	30	29	20	32	28	25	32	27	32	35	26	31	30
Somewhat well	48	47	49		42	49	49	47	48	59	47	50	53	46	54	42	45	50	47	50
TOTAL NOT WELL	18	17	19		16	17	24	20	19	15	18	19	18	21	13	23	16	19	20	17
Not too well	13	12	13		12	8	18	13	18	9	12	14	14	15	9	14	11	13	13	13
Not at all well	6	5	6		3	9	6	6	2	6	6	5	3	6	4	9	6	5	7	4
DK/NA	3	4	2		1	3	4	3	3	6	3	4	4	2	6	3	3	5	2	2
DK/NA	3	4	2		1	3	4	3	3	6	3	4	4	2	6	3	3	5	2	2

Table 17: Q7g. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easily accessible

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
TOTAL WELL	78	77	79	82	80	87	61	80	76	78	85	71	79	74	81	80	78	83	74	75	
Very well	30	32	32	31	21	36	27	34	31	29	27	25	46	29	33	37	24	40	34	28	
Somewhat well	48	44	47	51	59	50	34	46	45	50	58	46	33	45	47	43	53	43	40	47	
TOTAL NOT WELL	18	22	18	12	15	13	33	18	22	14	11	25	21	25	17	19	14	12	19	25	
Not too well	13	16	13	7	11	0	28	13	16	11	6	11	21	16	14	13	8	8	13	9	
Not at all well	6	6	5	4	4	13	5	5	6	3	5	14	0	9	4	6	6	5	6	16	
DK/NA	3	1	3	6	5	0	5	2	2	8	4	4	0	1	2	1	8	5	7	0	
DK/NA	3	1	3	6	5	0	5	2	2	8	4	4	0	1	2	1	8	5	7	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
TOTAL WELL	78	78	72	73	80	84	78	76	75	74	74	71	77	85	79	78	81	76
Very well	30	32	27	29	30	29	29	26	24	22	22	20	30	30	38	27	31	27
Somewhat well	48	46	45	43	51	56	49	50	51	51	52	52	46	55	41	51	50	49
TOTAL NOT WELL	18	20	24	24	14	14	19	21	21	23	22	24	20	11	18	19	16	21
Not too well	13	15	18	18	11	2	13	14	14	15	14	15	14	7	12	15	13	10
Not at all well	6	5	6	6	3	12	6	7	7	8	7	9	6	4	6	3	3	11
DK/NA	3	1	4	3	6	2	3	3	4	3	4	5	3	3	3	3	3	3
DK/NA	3	1	4	3	6	2	3	3	4	3	4	5	3	3	3	3	3	3

Table 17: Q7g. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easily accessible

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	78	66	81	79	81	81	83	77	78	83	82	75	75	78	85	77	74	80	75	80
Very well	30	38	38	27	30	34	35	25	27	34	33	33	24	41	40	27	27	27	23	26
Somewhat well	48	28	43	52	51	46	48	51	51	49	48	43	50	37	45	50	47	53	51	54
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	18	34	13	17	17	13	17	21	19	17	12	17	21	22	11	21	22	18	24	17
Not too well	13	27	8	14	15	11	13	8	14	13	10	10	5	19	8	13	14	12	22	13
Not at all well	6	7	5	3	2	2	4	13	5	3	2	6	16	4	4	8	8	5	2	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	6	4	2	6	0	3	2	0	6	8	4	0	4	1	4	3	1	3
DK/NA	3	0	6	4	2	6	0	3	2	0	6	8	4	0	4	1	4	3	1	3

Table 18: Q7h. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Reliable

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL WELL	62	73	77	74	50	83	24	8	59	48	65	55	82	62	49	62	64	61	65	67
Very well	16	26	16	24	8	22	2	0	15	14	15	18	26	14	10	17	12	16	16	18
Somewhat well	46	47	60	51	42	61	22	8	43	34	49	38	55	48	39	45	52	45	49	49
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	25	19	24	49	16	74	92	41	50	34	42	18	38	48	38	32	38	35	25
Not too well	21	18	13	16	26	15	33	25	28	14	23	14	10	22	29	22	19	22	19	19
Not at all well	15	8	6	7	23	1	41	66	13	36	11	27	8	16	19	15	13	16	16	7
DK/NA	2	1	4	2	1	0	2	0	1	3	1	3	1	0	3	1	4	1	0	8
DK/NA	2	1	4	2	1	0	2	0	1	3	1	3	1	0	3	1	4	1	0	8

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL WELL	62	63	84	53	69	76	56	71	59	50	51	74	64	57	64	59	60	68	39	66	42	57
Very well	16	19	26	11	14	21	14	17	15	12	12	18	18	13	16	15	16	23	7	18	5	16
Somewhat well	46	45	58	42	55	56	42	54	45	38	39	55	46	44	48	44	44	45	33	49	37	41
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	34	14	47	27	21	44	27	40	48	48	24	35	41	34	40	34	31	60	32	57	36
Not too well	21	27	10	24	15	15	25	16	23	27	28	14	22	18	21	21	25	20	22	21	25	23
Not at all well	15	7	4	23	11	7	18	12	17	21	20	9	13	23	13	19	9	11	37	12	32	13
DK/NA	2	2	2	0	4	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	6	1	1	1	1	7
DK/NA	2	2	2	0	4	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	6	1	1	1	1	7

Table 18: Q7h. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Reliable

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	67	44	57	67	64	47	71	34	58	81	57	50	54	66	58	71	78	64	70	69
Very well	16	18	6	16	22	14	8	25	5	13	30	12	13	12	17	12	25	30	11	12	20
Somewhat well	46	49	38	40	46	50	39	46	30	46	52	44	37	42	49	45	46	49	52	58	49
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	32	55	37	32	33	52	29	66	40	17	42	49	44	33	41	28	22	33	27	29
Not too well	21	21	22	23	22	21	22	28	29	24	10	22	32	25	20	24	15	12	21	20	17
Not at all well	15	11	33	14	10	12	30	1	36	16	7	20	17	19	13	17	12	10	13	7	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	6	1	3	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	3	3	2
DK/NA	2	1	1	6	1	3	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	3	3	2
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	54	66	56	76	51	55	76	53	69	83	53	53	62	59	70	59	81	88		
Very well	16	12	16	19	26	11	14	22	12	18	25	13	12	18	15	16	14	45	13		
Somewhat well	46	43	49	38	51	40	41	55	40	51	58	40	41	44	44	54	45	36	75		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	44	33	42	24	47	45	22	46	30	15	45	46	35	40	30	39	19	8		
Not too well	21	26	22	23	9	31	24	12	28	16	8	22	33	20	25	13	23	8	8		
Not at all well	15	18	11	19	14	16	21	10	18	13	6	23	13	15	14	16	16	10	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	2	0	2	0	2	1	1	3	2	0	3	1	0	2	0	4		
DK/NA	2	1	1	2	0	2	0	2	1	1	3	2	0	3	1	0	2	0	4		

Table 18: Q7h. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Reliable

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	62	81	85	66	64	54	50	77	55	60	48	70	80	89	54	53	63	62	0
Very well	16	30	30	19	15	7	14	26	11	11	11	27	29	20	11	10	15	16	0
Somewhat well	46	50	55	47	50	47	36	51	44	49	37	44	51	69	42	43	48	46	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	17	14	34	34	45	50	22	44	38	52	26	20	11	45	46	37	36	0
Not too well	21	9	6	18	23	29	30	11	28	24	33	12	12	0	29	31	13	21	0
Not at all well	15	8	8	17	11	17	20	11	17	14	20	14	9	11	16	15	24	15	0
DK/NA	2	3	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	2	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	2	0
DK/NA	2	3	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	2	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	2	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	62	59	66		74	60	49	60	64	72	61	64	68	62	64	56	60	57	62	71
Very well	16	15	17		16	24	10	11	14	19	18	13	16	15	18	12	17	11	19	16
Somewhat well	46	44	49		58	36	39	49	51	53	44	50	52	47	46	44	43	46	44	55
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	39	33		25	39	51	40	31	20	38	33	27	36	35	41	39	39	37	28
Not too well	21	20	23		21	23	31	20	18	10	25	17	15	22	19	26	20	20	29	14
Not at all well	15	19	10		5	16	20	20	13	11	14	16	12	14	15	15	19	19	8	13
DK/NA	2	2	1		1	1	0	0	4	8	1	3	6	2	2	3	0	4	1	2
DK/NA	2	2	1		1	1	0	0	4	8	1	3	6	2	2	3	0	4	1	2

Table 18: Q7h. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Reliable

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
TOTAL WELL	62	60	64	62	66	62	50	60	64	57	72	60	50	56	62	66	63	57	49	72	
Very well	16	15	15	23	12	15	9	15	15	17	20	4	30	11	16	20	17	23	18	11	
Somewhat well	46	46	49	39	55	47	41	45	49	39	51	56	19	45	47	46	46	34	31	61	
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	38	34	38	30	38	45	39	34	40	28	36	50	42	36	34	37	41	44	28	
Not too well	21	25	19	23	14	33	19	20	23	19	19	21	36	25	23	17	23	21	33	16	
Not at all well	15	14	15	15	16	5	25	19	10	21	9	15	14	16	13	18	14	20	11	12	
DK/NA	2	1	2	0	4	0	5	1	2	3	0	4	0	2	2	0	0	2	7	0	
DK/NA	2	1	2	0	4	0	5	1	2	3	0	4	0	2	2	0	0	2	7	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
TOTAL WELL	62	62	58	58	64	67	61	58	56	53	52	51	62	61	65	65	67	50
Very well	16	14	14	12	21	14	15	14	12	11	10	8	15	19	18	17	16	11
Somewhat well	46	47	44	46	43	53	46	44	44	42	42	43	47	43	47	48	51	39
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	37	38	38	35	33	38	41	43	46	47	47	36	38	33	33	32	48
Not too well	21	24	21	19	20	16	22	23	24	26	26	27	21	23	20	21	24	21
Not at all well	15	13	17	19	14	17	15	18	19	20	20	20	15	15	13	12	9	26
DK/NA	2	1	3	3	2	0	2	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	2	2	1	2
DK/NA	2	1	3	3	2	0	2	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	2	2	1	2

Table 18: Q7h. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Reliable

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	62	48	75	77	59	69	65	52	60	68	69	66	52	53	80	52	60	54	65	68
Very well	16	12	14	18	27	21	21	11	14	21	21	11	9	15	14	14	9	24	18	17
Somewhat well	46	36	61	59	32	47	44	41	46	46	48	55	43	39	65	37	51	30	46	51
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	52	21	23	37	28	35	47	39	32	28	29	47	47	18	48	38	41	35	32
Not too well	21	33	12	15	25	20	27	20	24	23	20	18	18	26	9	25	24	25	27	17
Not at all well	15	19	10	8	13	9	9	27	14	9	8	11	28	20	9	24	14	16	9	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	4	0	4	3	0	1	1	0	3	5	2	0	2	0	2	4	0	0
DK/NA	2	0	4	0	4	3	0	1	1	0	3	5	2	0	2	0	2	4	0	0

Table 19: Q7i. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Up-to-date technologically

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL WELL	55	67	49	62	48	67	35	26	53	50	56	53	63	59	47	54	58	56	52	60
Very well	12	18	14	17	6	16	5	3	12	9	13	11	20	14	5	13	8	12	19	0
Somewhat well	43	49	35	45	42	51	30	22	41	42	44	42	43	45	42	41	50	43	32	60
TOTAL NOT WELL	37	29	32	30	43	26	57	69	41	40	37	37	28	35	44	38	32	39	39	21
Not too well	22	20	28	22	22	20	27	17	27	15	24	15	19	18	27	22	22	24	19	15
Not at all well	14	9	3	8	21	6	30	52	14	25	13	22	9	17	17	16	10	15	20	6
DK/NA	9	4	19	8	9	7	8	5	6	10	6	10	9	7	9	8	10	6	10	18
DK/NA	9	4	19	8	9	7	8	5	6	10	6	10	9	7	9	8	10	6	10	18

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL WELL	55	64	71	53	43	60	53	63	52	50	52	59	56	50	59	52	41	60	41	59	45	37
Very well	12	14	31	5	12	12	11	15	11	8	8	16	13	5	14	10	5	15	2	13	2	14
Somewhat well	43	50	40	48	31	48	42	48	41	42	44	43	44	45	45	42	36	45	40	45	43	23
TOTAL NOT WELL	37	30	18	40	49	31	40	29	41	46	42	32	38	42	35	40	32	36	51	35	48	30
Not too well	22	21	10	24	32	24	21	18	25	25	23	22	23	23	21	24	23	22	19	23	19	20
Not at all well	14	9	8	16	17	7	19	12	16	21	18	10	14	19	14	16	9	13	32	12	29	11
DK/NA	9	6	11	7	8	9	7	8	7	4	6	10	6	8	5	8	26	5	8	6	8	33
DK/NA	9	6	11	7	8	9	7	8	7	4	6	10	6	8	5	8	26	5	8	6	8	33

Table 19: Q7i. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Up-to-date technologically

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	58	43	46	62	52	47	35	39	54	61	50	57	52	56	52	70	57	52	50	59
Very well	12	13	3	11	14	13	3	14	4	11	15	14	9	12	11	8	21	6	15	16	16
Somewhat well	43	45	40	35	48	39	44	21	34	43	46	37	48	40	45	44	49	52	37	34	44
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	37	34	49	31	33	36	46	36	57	38	27	40	41	40	35	40	28	26	35	34	32
Not too well	22	23	21	16	20	25	20	27	28	23	18	27	24	26	20	24	16	21	23	24	20
Not at all well	14	11	28	15	12	11	27	9	29	15	9	13	17	14	15	16	12	6	12	10	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	7	8	23	5	12	7	29	5	8	12	10	2	8	9	9	2	16	12	16	9
DK/NA	9	7	8	23	5	12	7	29	5	8	12	10	2	8	9	9	2	16	12	16	9
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	59	59	54	54	53	52	60	53	61	59	49	56	50	57	56	54	72	50		
Very well	12	11	11	16	14	8	12	15	10	16	14	9	10	9	12	17	11	36	8		
Somewhat well	43	49	47	38	39	45	40	45	43	45	45	41	46	41	46	39	44	36	42		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	37	30	37	40	38	40	42	30	41	29	30	44	37	38	36	36	38	28	24		
Not too well	22	16	25	22	20	25	21	20	23	14	25	23	23	19	22	28	22	20	24		
Not at all well	14	15	11	18	18	15	21	10	17	15	5	21	14	19	14	7	16	8	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	10	4	7	9	7	6	10	7	10	10	7	7	12	7	9	8	0	26		
DK/NA	9	10	4	7	9	7	6	10	7	10	10	7	7	12	7	9	8	0	26		

Table 19: Q7i. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Up-to-date technologically

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	55	57	74	60	54	48	53	64	51	51	51	62	63	70	42	56	47	55	0
Very well	12	17	22	16	11	6	8	18	8	9	6	13	20	26	6	9	8	12	0
Somewhat well	43	40	52	44	43	41	45	46	43	42	45	49	43	43	36	48	39	43	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	37	21	19	34	38	45	44	25	43	42	44	27	26	18	49	39	47	37	0
Not too well	22	15	13	30	17	26	25	19	24	22	26	19	20	18	19	23	31	22	0
Not at all well	14	6	7	4	21	19	19	6	19	20	18	7	6	0	30	16	15	14	0
DK/NA	9	22	6	6	8	7	3	11	6	7	5	12	11	12	9	4	6	9	0
DK/NA	9	22	6	6	8	7	3	11	6	7	5	12	11	12	9	4	6	9	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	55	53	57		60	61	49	52	53	47	57	52	51	57	52	52	56	49	59	54
Very well	12	11	12		16	9	9	15	12	5	11	12	9	12	11	14	11	12	11	13
Somewhat well	43	42	45		44	52	40	38	41	42	46	39	41	45	41	38	45	37	47	41
TOTAL NOT WELL	37	38	34		34	34	45	39	39	22	37	36	32	37	35	40	37	40	36	32
Not too well	22	20	24		25	18	25	23	28	13	22	22	22	22	22	24	17	24	26	21
Not at all well	14	19	10		9	16	21	17	11	8	15	13	10	15	13	16	20	16	10	11
DK/NA	9	8	9		5	5	6	8	8	31	6	13	17	7	13	8	6	11	5	14
DK/NA	9	8	9		5	5	6	8	8	31	6	13	17	7	13	8	6	11	5	14

Table 19: Q7i. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Up-to-date technologically

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	56	58	61	40	53	50	55	58	48	56	56	43	63	56	54	57	53	55	62	
Very well	12	11	13	14	8	5	22	11	12	12	10	11	20	11	11	15	11	16	18	12	
Somewhat well	43	45	45	47	32	48	27	44	46	36	46	45	23	52	45	39	46	37	37	51	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	37	39	33	32	39	40	41	37	36	40	30	40	40	29	40	39	31	41	30	38	
Not too well	22	22	21	20	25	26	22	17	26	26	19	19	31	14	27	20	21	21	23	22	
Not at all well	14	17	12	12	14	13	19	20	10	14	12	21	8	15	13	19	10	20	6	16	
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	5	9	6	21	7	9	8	6	12	14	4	17	8	4	8	12	6	16	0	
DK/NA	9	5	9	6	21	7	9	8	6	12	14	4	17	8	4	8	12	6	16	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	58	48	53	51	60	54	53	52	49	48	54	55	55	53	55	61	51
Very well	12	11	9	10	10	21	10	9	9	8	7	8	10	18	10	12	7	17
Somewhat well	43	47	38	43	42	39	44	43	42	41	41	46	45	37	43	44	54	34
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	37	35	48	37	33	36	37	39	40	43	44	38	37	36	37	32	35	45
Not too well	22	21	33	27	23	14	22	23	24	25	26	21	22	22	22	20	25	24
Not at all well	14	15	15	10	10	21	14	16	16	18	18	17	14	14	15	12	10	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	7	4	10	15	5	9	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	10	12	4	5
DK/NA	9	7	4	10	15	5	9	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	10	12	4	5

Table 19: Q7i. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Up-to-date technologically

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	55	48	49	61	54	67	63	50	54	61	69	46	56	48	51	44	44	70	59	57
Very well	12	4	11	21	13	14	10	14	9	9	15	4	19	6	13	11	9	14	9	18
Somewhat well	43	44	39	40	41	53	52	36	45	51	55	41	37	42	38	32	35	56	50	39
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	37	47	37	29	25	28	37	44	37	37	27	37	39	43	37	53	39	27	30	31
Not too well	22	18	26	24	7	17	25	26	23	25	17	29	20	28	25	26	21	13	15	27
Not at all well	14	29	11	5	18	11	12	18	14	12	10	9	18	15	12	26	19	15	15	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	5	14	10	21	5	0	6	9	3	4	17	5	9	12	3	17	3	11	12
DK/NA	9	5	14	10	21	5	0	6	9	3	4	17	5	9	12	3	17	3	11	12

Table 20: Q7j. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Safe from crime

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
TOTAL WELL	42	56	38	51	32	54	20	13	48	18	48	26	55	39	34	45	34	42	51	36
Very well	6	13	8	12	1	8	2	2	6	4	7	9	13	3	4	7	5	6	9	5
Somewhat well	35	43	30	39	31	46	18	11	42	14	42	17	42	36	30	38	29	36	42	31
TOTAL NOT WELL	54	40	54	44	64	41	76	85	48	77	48	70	41	57	61	51	61	55	45	58
Not too well	32	24	42	29	35	32	33	22	27	30	31	33	30	37	31	33	29	34	23	22
Not at all well	22	16	12	15	29	10	43	64	21	47	17	37	11	20	30	19	32	21	21	35
DK/NA	4	3	7	4	4	5	4	2	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	6
DK/NA	4	3	7	4	4	5	4	2	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	6

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
TOTAL WELL	42	44	51	43	40	41	41	46	42	49	46	38	54	25	52	30	28	51	9	49	11	28
Very well	6	9	11	5	6	7	7	8	7	8	6	7	8	4	7	4	8	7	0	7	1	8
Somewhat well	35	35	40	39	35	35	34	38	36	41	40	31	45	22	44	25	20	44	9	42	11	20
TOTAL NOT WELL	54	53	43	54	57	54	55	51	54	46	49	59	44	70	46	65	63	46	89	47	86	66
Not too well	32	42	22	31	31	31	34	25	35	20	27	37	31	27	33	31	32	30	30	31	32	43
Not at all well	22	11	22	23	26	24	21	25	20	25	23	22	13	43	13	34	31	16	59	16	54	24
DK/NA	4	3	6	3	3	4	3	4	4	5	5	3	2	4	2	6	10	4	2	4	3	5
DK/NA	4	3	6	3	3	4	3	4	4	5	5	3	2	4	2	6	10	4	2	4	3	5

Table 20: Q7j. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Safe from crime

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	49	19	16	50	44	17	51	33	42	42	40	40	40	44	49	46	37	30	29	36
Very well	6	7	5	1	8	6	4	15	1	6	10	6	4	5	7	6	10	6	5	6	7
Somewhat well	35	42	14	16	42	38	13	36	32	36	31	33	37	34	37	43	35	31	24	23	29
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	54	47	78	76	46	50	80	43	65	54	51	57	55	57	51	47	51	56	65	63	59
Not too well	32	31	33	44	31	33	33	12	37	30	39	32	34	32	31	31	21	33	44	50	35
Not at all well	22	16	45	32	15	17	47	31	28	24	13	26	21	24	20	16	30	23	21	13	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	3	8	3	6	3	6	2	4	7	3	5	3	5	3	3	7	5	7	5
DK/NA	4	4	3	8	3	6	3	6	2	4	7	3	5	3	5	3	3	7	5	7	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	53	50	39	13	44	43	40	44	40	40	44	44	43	41	49	41	61	34		
Very well	6	6	9	9	0	7	4	7	6	8	6	8	4	7	6	9	6	20	4		
Somewhat well	35	47	41	30	13	36	40	33	38	33	33	36	40	36	35	40	35	41	31		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	54	43	49	56	83	52	52	56	52	55	57	52	51	51	56	46	54	33	61		
Not too well	32	28	31	29	42	31	28	35	30	30	39	27	34	32	32	26	31	23	56		
Not at all well	22	15	18	27	41	20	24	21	22	25	18	26	17	19	24	19	24	10	5		
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	1	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	6	3	6	4	6	4		
DK/NA	4	4	1	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	6	3	6	4	6	4		

Table 20: Q7j. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Safe from crime

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
TOTAL WELL	42	38	45	53	46	41	40	45	42	43	41	46	40	54	41	41	47	42	0
Very well	6	5	15	11	4	6	8	11	6	6	6	10	11	11	5	5	12	6	0
Somewhat well	35	32	30	41	42	36	32	34	36	37	34	36	29	43	36	37	35	35	0
TOTAL NOT WELL	54	53	52	47	48	55	58	51	55	52	58	48	56	46	53	56	50	54	0
Not too well	32	30	37	31	31	30	29	32	30	27	33	32	32	38	33	30	22	32	0
Not at all well	22	24	16	17	17	26	29	19	25	25	25	16	25	8	20	25	28	22	0
DK/NA	4	9	2	0	6	3	1	4	3	5	1	6	4	0	5	3	3	4	0
DK/NA	4	9	2	0	6	3	1	4	3	5	1	6	4	0	5	3	3	4	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
TOTAL WELL	42	42	42		52	47	38	37	37	37	46	37	37	45	41	19	49	32	43	41
Very well	6	8	5		11	8	3	4	8	3	8	5	6	7	6	4	11	4	5	6
Somewhat well	35	34	37		41	38	34	32	29	35	38	32	31	38	35	15	39	28	38	35
TOTAL NOT WELL	54	54	53		44	51	58	58	60	52	51	58	57	51	54	81	48	63	54	53
Not too well	32	29	35		36	25	32	30	43	34	30	35	40	33	31	33	26	32	34	37
Not at all well	22	25	18		8	27	26	29	17	18	21	23	17	18	24	47	21	31	20	15
DK/NA	4	4	4		4	2	4	5	3	10	3	6	6	5	5	0	3	5	3	6
DK/NA	4	4	4		4	2	4	5	3	10	3	6	6	5	5	0	3	5	3	6

Table 20: Q7j. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Safe from crime

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	47	42	48	32	21	17	47	43	41	42	17	25	53	46	41	59	27	39	5	
Very well	6	8	6	9	3	0	9	9	5	8	4	0	14	7	9	6	6	9	12	0	
Somewhat well	35	39	37	40	29	21	8	38	38	33	38	17	11	46	37	35	53	18	27	5	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	54	49	53	49	61	79	83	47	53	57	51	83	75	43	53	54	40	65	61	95	
Not too well	32	32	33	24	40	42	25	26	38	33	30	30	41	29	32	33	25	29	25	49	
Not at all well	22	17	19	26	21	37	58	21	15	25	21	54	34	14	20	21	16	36	36	46	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	5	2	8	0	0	5	4	2	6	0	0	5	2	5	1	8	0	0	
DK/NA	4	4	5	2	8	0	0	5	4	2	6	0	0	5	2	5	1	8	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	45	43	21	42	37	41	42	41	40	41	40	43	38	56	37	44	31
Very well	6	7	5	6	6	8	6	6	5	5	5	4	6	9	7	6	6	8
Somewhat well	35	38	38	16	37	29	35	36	36	35	36	37	37	29	49	31	38	24
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	54	50	55	79	53	59	55	54	54	55	54	54	54	54	42	56	53	65
Not too well	32	33	31	34	31	30	33	32	32	31	31	30	31	36	26	32	35	38
Not at all well	22	17	24	45	22	29	22	22	22	24	22	24	23	18	16	25	19	27
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	2	0	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	8	2	7	3	4
DK/NA	4	5	2	0	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	8	2	7	3	4

Table 20: Q7j. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Safe from crime

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	42	73	47	29	37	38	47	34	42	43	42	52	25	68	45	34	49	37	30	33
Very well	6	6	3	11	10	2	10	7	7	9	3	4	4	8	5	9	8	6	4	10
Somewhat well	35	67	44	18	27	35	37	27	35	34	39	47	21	59	40	25	41	32	25	23
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	54	27	48	65	50	59	53	62	54	54	55	44	67	32	51	64	48	52	64	63
Not too well	32	17	32	32	30	42	30	34	32	33	38	21	34	23	37	40	34	28	31	33
Not at all well	22	10	16	33	21	18	23	28	22	22	17	24	33	10	14	25	15	24	33	30
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	5	6	12	3	0	5	4	3	3	4	8	0	4	1	3	11	6	4
DK/NA	4	0	5	6	12	3	0	5	4	3	3	4	8	0	4	1	3	11	6	4

Table 21: Q7k. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Inefficient

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
TOTAL WELL	51	50	50	50	52	42	69	77	55	53	51	47	52	45	54	51	51	52	48	65		
Very well	17	14	15	14	21	8	37	49	22	27	16	21	19	12	19	18	17	17	18	24		
Somewhat well	34	37	35	36	31	34	32	28	33	26	35	26	33	33	35	33	34	35	29	41		
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	42	44	43	42	51	29	23	39	43	43	48	44	50	38	44	39	44	47	28		
Not too well	29	28	31	28	29	34	18	8	29	25	30	33	26	41	24	29	28	32	26	15		
Not at all well	14	15	13	14	13	17	11	15	10	18	13	15	18	10	13	15	11	13	21	13		
DK/NA	7	7	6	7	6	7	2	0	7	4	6	5	5	4	8	5	10	4	5	7		
DK/NA	7	7	6	7	6	7	2	0	7	4	6	5	5	4	8	5	10	4	5	7		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL WELL	51	49	64	56	38	41	55	49	54	58	52	52	56	48	54	50	42	49	43	52	56	40
Very well	17	16	22	20	7	9	20	18	17	22	19	15	20	21	17	21	9	17	25	17	21	14
Somewhat well	34	33	42	36	31	32	34	31	37	35	32	36	36	27	37	29	33	32	18	34	35	27
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	44	30	40	55	50	41	41	43	38	44	41	41	48	41	46	37	44	50	42	38	47
Not too well	29	34	22	27	30	31	29	28	29	28	29	29	28	28	29	30	23	29	26	29	22	32
Not at all well	14	10	8	12	25	19	12	13	14	10	15	12	12	20	12	16	14	15	24	13	16	15
DK/NA	7	7	6	4	7	8	4	11	3	5	4	7	3	4	5	4	21	7	7	6	6	13
DK/NA	7	7	6	4	7	8	4	11	3	5	4	7	3	4	5	4	21	7	7	6	6	13

Table 21: Q7k. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Inefficient

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	51	52	50	50	51	55	46	75	50	54	45	51	47	56	47	54	56	51	45	53
Very well	17	16	24	18	16	16	23	4	43	18	13	17	19	18	19	17	19	24	10	9	16
Somewhat well	34	35	29	32	33	35	32	41	32	32	41	28	33	29	37	30	35	32	41	37	38
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	43	43	40	44	41	40	43	24	43	39	51	41	48	37	46	39	34	44	49	41
Not too well	29	29	25	28	30	29	24	31	12	31	20	35	25	32	27	33	26	23	30	34	27
Not at all well	14	13	17	11	14	12	16	12	12	13	18	17	16	16	10	13	13	10	14	16	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	5	10	6	8	5	11	1	6	7	4	7	5	7	7	7	10	5	5	6
DK/NA	7	6	5	10	6	8	5	11	1	6	7	4	7	5	7	7	7	10	5	5	6
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	51	54	47	65	55	50	46	53	45	48	58	48	53	52	44	51	67	39		
Very well	17	14	18	18	27	19	19	13	19	15	12	19	19	21	15	15	18	18	7		
Somewhat well	34	37	36	29	37	36	31	33	34	30	36	38	29	32	37	29	33	49	32		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	45	40	49	28	40	45	45	42	45	44	38	45	39	43	47	43	28	53		
Not too well	29	39	24	29	19	33	29	26	31	29	23	26	37	24	31	31	28	17	43		
Not at all well	14	6	16	20	9	7	16	19	11	16	21	12	9	15	12	16	14	11	9		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	4	7	4	8	5	5	9	5	10	8	4	6	7	4	9	6	5	8		
DK/NA	7	4	7	4	8	5	5	9	5	10	8	4	6	7	4	9	6	5	8		

Table 21: Q7k. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Inefficient

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	51	50	49	43	58	56	43	47	52	59	45	47	56	31	64	47	52	0	51
Very well	17	13	15	10	22	22	16	13	20	23	17	14	10	14	30	17	16	0	17
Somewhat well	34	37	34	33	36	34	27	35	32	36	27	33	46	17	34	30	37	0	34
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	35	43	52	40	38	54	43	44	37	53	42	39	54	35	48	46	0	42
Not too well	29	17	22	36	37	23	41	25	33	27	40	24	25	28	22	36	38	0	29
Not at all well	14	18	20	16	3	15	13	18	11	10	14	18	14	26	13	12	8	0	14
DK/NA	7	15	8	6	2	5	3	9	4	5	2	11	5	15	1	5	2	0	7
DK/NA	7	15	8	6	2	5	3	9	4	5	2	11	5	15	1	5	2	0	7

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	51	54	48		45	51	58	52	56	39	51	51	49	51	50	54	55	52	46	51
Very well	17	18	17		12	20	23	15	19	12	18	16	16	18	14	25	22	11	14	19
Somewhat well	34	36	32		32	31	35	37	36	27	33	35	33	34	35	28	33	41	32	32
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	40	45		46	44	37	42	36	54	43	42	43	42	44	43	40	40	46	43
Not too well	29	27	31		32	30	23	31	24	33	28	29	28	29	28	28	28	25	30	32
Not at all well	14	13	14		14	14	15	11	12	21	14	13	15	13	16	15	13	15	16	11
DK/NA	7	6	7		10	5	4	6	8	7	6	7	8	7	7	3	5	8	8	6
DK/NA	7	6	7		10	5	4	6	8	7	6	7	8	7	7	3	5	8	8	6

Table 21: Q7k. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Inefficient

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
TOTAL WELL	51	52	51	48	53	61	45	52	51	56	42	55	52	51	52	55	59	45	35	65	
Very well	17	19	17	15	13	29	20	16	19	18	10	29	17	15	19	19	13	19	15	33	
Somewhat well	34	33	34	33	41	31	25	36	31	38	32	26	35	36	33	36	46	27	20	32	
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	40	43	47	38	39	47	42	42	38	50	40	48	44	43	40	32	48	60	35	
Not too well	29	27	32	28	27	39	14	26	31	25	32	36	13	39	26	24	24	29	46	17	
Not at all well	14	13	12	18	11	0	33	15	10	13	18	4	35	5	17	16	8	19	13	18	
DK/NA	7	7	6	6	9	0	8	6	7	6	8	5	0	5	5	5	9	6	5	0	
DK/NA	7	7	6	6	9	0	8	6	7	6	8	5	0	5	5	5	9	6	5	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
TOTAL WELL	51	52	56	48	50	48	51	50	52	52	54	56	52	47	50	46	52	60
Very well	17	19	25	21	14	12	17	17	16	16	18	21	16	22	18	14	14	23
Somewhat well	34	33	31	28	36	36	34	34	36	36	36	35	36	25	32	32	37	36
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	41	37	48	46	40	43	43	43	43	40	38	42	46	43	47	40	38
Not too well	29	28	24	31	28	34	30	31	30	31	29	27	28	30	28	32	28	25
Not at all well	14	13	13	17	18	7	13	12	13	12	11	11	13	16	15	14	12	13
DK/NA	7	7	7	4	4	12	6	7	6	4	6	6	6	7	8	7	8	3
DK/NA	7	7	7	4	4	12	6	7	6	4	6	6	6	7	8	7	8	3

Table 21: Q7k. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Inefficient

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	50	53	38	52	42	60	64	47	59	41	53	60	54	49	60	48	45	49	45
Very well	17	14	21	13	20	15	15	24	15	14	12	10	23	13	26	26	22	19	9	13
Somewhat well	34	36	32	25	32	27	45	40	32	45	29	43	37	41	23	34	26	26	40	32
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	44	37	53	48	51	35	32	46	37	50	36	38	39	40	39	47	51	40	48
Not too well	29	36	20	52	28	32	27	21	30	27	34	27	26	26	14	27	27	42	25	41
Not at all well	14	7	17	0	20	19	8	12	16	10	15	10	12	12	26	11	20	10	15	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	10	9	0	7	5	3	7	4	9	11	2	7	11	1	5	4	11	8
DK/NA	7	6	10	9	0	7	5	3	7	4	9	11	2	7	11	1	5	4	11	8

Table 22: Q71. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Innovative

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
TOTAL WELL	40	48	58	50	30	52	21	9	37	40	42	40	60	35	30	42	36	36	54	54
Very well	8	13	14	13	2	10	5	3	5	13	7	11	12	7	5	8	8	7	8	17
Somewhat well	33	35	43	37	28	42	16	7	32	27	35	29	48	28	25	34	28	29	46	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	52	45	34	42	63	42	74	84	58	54	54	54	36	58	60	52	53	59	41	38
Not too well	29	30	22	27	31	29	31	22	33	20	32	25	21	38	30	30	29	35	15	19
Not at all well	23	15	12	14	32	13	43	62	25	34	22	29	14	21	30	23	24	24	26	19
DK/NA	7	7	9	8	7	6	5	6	4	6	5	6	4	6	10	6	12	5	5	8
DK/NA	7	7	9	8	7	6	5	6	4	6	5	6	4	6	10	6	12	5	5	8

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL WELL	40	39	57	34	57	45	38	47	38	32	34	47	40	36	42	38	43	46	34	43	30	30
Very well	8	7	15	7	7	13	5	16	3	6	6	9	11	7	9	6	8	10	8	9	7	3
Somewhat well	33	32	42	27	50	31	33	31	35	25	28	38	29	29	32	32	35	36	27	35	23	27
TOTAL NOT WELL	52	51	35	63	37	46	57	42	58	64	61	44	57	56	53	54	40	48	59	51	60	50
Not too well	29	33	18	36	20	26	32	27	31	30	32	28	34	26	32	26	23	28	21	30	28	29
Not at all well	23	18	17	27	17	20	25	14	27	34	29	17	23	30	21	28	16	20	38	22	32	21
DK/NA	7	10	8	4	6	10	4	12	4	4	5	8	3	8	5	8	18	6	7	5	11	19
DK/NA	7	10	8	4	6	10	4	12	4	4	5	8	3	8	5	8	18	6	7	5	11	19

Table 22: Q71. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Innovative

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	40	44	30	23	49	36	30	32	20	39	48	51	23	42	38	29	65	47	45	44	52
Very well	8	9	4	2	11	6	6	8	4	8	9	9	9	9	8	5	12	8	9	10	10
Somewhat well	33	35	26	21	38	30	25	24	16	31	40	42	13	33	30	24	53	39	35	33	42
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	52	50	61	60	46	55	61	49	79	54	44	46	69	53	53	65	29	42	49	48	41
Not too well	29	30	29	27	26	32	31	31	28	31	23	26	35	29	31	32	18	32	34	30	28
Not at all well	23	20	31	33	20	23	30	18	50	23	21	20	34	25	22	33	11	11	15	17	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	9	17	5	9	9	19	1	7	7	3	8	4	9	6	6	11	7	9	7
DK/NA	7	6	9	17	5	9	9	19	1	7	7	3	8	4	9	6	6	11	7	9	7
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	40	32	36	47	53	36	26	53	32	57	50	35	30	31	44	48	37	84	59		
Very well	8	4	8	10	22	6	5	11	6	13	10	6	5	8	7	11	7	22	16		
Somewhat well	33	28	29	37	31	30	22	42	27	44	40	29	25	22	37	37	30	62	43		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	52	63	56	50	34	59	69	36	63	33	39	62	64	56	53	45	56	11	28		
Not too well	29	38	30	24	23	29	40	25	33	15	32	31	35	31	30	28	31	7	28		
Not at all well	23	25	27	27	11	30	30	12	30	17	7	31	29	25	23	16	25	4	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	7	3	13	5	4	11	5	10	11	4	6	13	4	7	7	5	13		
DK/NA	7	5	7	3	13	5	4	11	5	10	11	4	6	13	4	7	7	5	13		

Table 22: Q71. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Innovative

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	40	50	47	41	49	34	25	46	35	39	29	40	49	51	19	37	51	0	40
Very well	8	12	9	6	14	2	4	9	6	6	5	13	5	11	2	7	9	0	8
Somewhat well	33	38	39	34	35	32	21	37	29	33	24	27	44	40	17	31	41	0	33
TOTAL NOT WELL	52	27	49	50	50	62	74	42	63	58	69	36	49	37	78	60	49	0	52
Not too well	29	17	34	35	29	36	31	29	33	34	31	22	35	29	39	32	26	0	29
Not at all well	23	10	14	14	20	26	43	13	30	24	39	14	14	8	39	29	23	0	23
DK/NA	7	22	4	9	1	4	1	12	2	3	1	24	2	13	3	3	0	0	7
DK/NA	7	22	4	9	1	4	1	12	2	3	1	24	2	13	3	3	0	0	7

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	40	43	39		52	32	31	45	39	50	38	44	44	37	46	45	38	51	36	41
Very well	8	9	7		15	7	9	4	7	5	10	5	6	5	12	10	10	7	9	4
Somewhat well	33	34	31		37	25	22	41	32	45	28	39	37	31	34	36	28	45	27	36
TOTAL NOT WELL	52	50	53		41	59	65	49	49	41	55	48	46	56	47	43	54	43	57	50
Not too well	29	25	33		31	34	32	26	23	25	33	25	24	31	29	16	26	23	40	26
Not at all well	23	25	20		11	25	33	23	26	16	23	23	22	25	18	26	28	20	16	24
DK/NA	7	7	8		7	9	4	6	11	9	7	8	10	7	6	12	7	5	7	9
DK/NA	7	7	8		7	9	4	6	11	9	7	8	10	7	6	12	7	5	7	9

Table 22: Q71. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Innovative

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	40	30	45	49	42	43	48	40	35	50	44	40	59	30	37	47	37	50	40	49	
Very well	8	6	4	17	5	7	13	6	5	14	11	8	15	2	8	9	11	17	0	18	
Somewhat well	33	24	40	32	37	37	34	34	30	35	33	32	44	29	30	38	26	32	40	31	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	52	61	49	47	47	49	35	53	58	45	48	52	21	64	57	49	58	46	49	35	
Not too well	29	34	27	32	25	23	8	25	35	25	34	24	0	38	28	25	39	24	8	25	
Not at all well	23	27	23	15	22	26	27	28	22	20	14	28	21	26	29	25	19	23	41	10	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	9	6	4	11	7	17	7	7	5	8	8	20	6	6	4	6	4	10	16	
DK/NA	7	9	6	4	11	7	17	7	7	5	8	8	20	6	6	4	6	4	10	16	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	40	33	55	39	49	46	40	38	38	37	33	33	42	35	31	50	37	40
Very well	8	5	9	5	13	12	7	6	5	5	4	6	8	7	2	10	14	7
Somewhat well	33	28	46	34	36	34	32	32	33	32	29	27	34	28	29	40	23	34
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	52	59	41	47	46	48	54	56	57	59	62	63	51	56	60	43	53	56
Not too well	29	31	23	19	29	30	30	32	32	32	35	34	28	33	32	24	34	30
Not at all well	23	27	18	28	17	18	23	24	25	27	28	28	23	23	28	19	19	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	8	4	14	6	6	7	6	5	4	5	4	7	9	9	7	10	4
DK/NA	7	8	4	14	6	6	7	6	5	4	5	4	7	9	9	7	10	4

Table 22: Q71. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Innovative

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	40	42	39	41	45	40	39	41	40	39	40	32	46	23	44	37	37	50	52	45
Very well	8	2	6	7	8	9	21	7	7	19	8	7	3	1	6	9	3	12	10	11
Somewhat well	33	40	33	35	37	32	18	35	32	20	32	25	44	22	38	28	34	39	42	34
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	52	54	45	53	55	54	53	54	52	52	52	56	50	71	37	59	61	47	39	44
Not too well	29	18	28	43	34	40	32	26	26	33	39	28	25	40	24	33	32	27	7	32
Not at all well	23	35	16	10	22	14	21	28	26	20	13	28	25	32	13	26	28	20	32	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	4	16	5	0	6	7	5	8	9	8	12	3	5	20	4	2	2	9	11
DK/NA	7	4	16	5	0	6	7	5	8	9	8	12	3	5	20	4	2	2	9	11

Table 23: Q7m. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: A vital part of the community

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
TOTAL WELL	92	94	98	95	89	97	84	68	92	83	94	86	95	93	90	92	90	94	88	93
Very well	65	70	55	66	64	71	54	49	71	50	70	56	71	67	60	66	62	66	72	58
Somewhat well	27	24	43	29	24	25	30	19	22	34	24	30	24	26	29	26	29	28	15	35
TOTAL NOT WELL	6	2	2	2	10	2	15	32	7	16	6	11	5	7	7	6	5	6	12	3
Not too well	4	2	2	2	6	2	9	14	5	12	3	8	4	5	4	5	2	4	7	0
Not at all well	2	1	0	0	4	1	6	18	2	5	2	4	1	2	3	2	3	2	5	3
DK/NA	2	4	1	3	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	4	1	4	1	0	3
DK/NA	2	4	1	3	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	4	1	4	1	0	3

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL WELL	92	89	96	94	94	93	93	90	94	86	90	95	94	88	94	89	89	96	67	94	77	92
Very well	65	68	66	68	70	63	69	66	66	63	67	62	73	63	67	61	64	76	42	67	47	66
Somewhat well	27	21	31	26	24	30	24	24	28	23	22	33	21	25	27	27	25	20	26	27	30	26
TOTAL NOT WELL	6	6	4	6	5	6	6	8	6	11	9	3	6	9	5	9	6	3	25	5	18	3
Not too well	4	2	2	4	3	4	3	7	3	8	6	1	4	3	3	5	4	1	17	3	13	3
Not at all well	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	1	6	1	4	2	2	8	2	5	0
DK/NA	2	5	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	2	2	0	3	1	2	5	1	7	1	5	5
DK/NA	2	5	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	2	2	0	3	1	2	5	1	7	1	5	5

Table 23: Q7m. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: A vital part of the community

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	92	95	77	89	96	92	79	95	88	91	94	90	90	90	94	92	93	89	94	95	93
Very well	65	68	50	59	75	60	49	68	57	65	68	60	61	60	68	70	70	67	49	44	60
Somewhat well	27	26	28	30	20	33	29	27	31	27	27	30	29	29	25	22	23	22	45	51	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	6	4	19	5	3	5	18	5	12	6	5	8	6	8	5	6	5	4	5	5	5
Not too well	4	3	14	1	1	4	13	5	6	4	3	3	6	4	4	3	2	4	5	4	4
Not at all well	2	1	5	5	2	2	5	0	6	2	2	5	1	4	1	3	3	0	1	1	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	4	5	1	2	4	0	0	2	1	2	4	3	2	2	3	7	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	1	4	5	1	2	4	0	0	2	1	2	4	3	2	2	3	7	0	0	2
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	92	94	91	91	92	92	92	92	92	91	94	92	93	93	91	93	92	88	98		
Very well	65	72	64	64	65	70	61	63	67	69	58	64	70	69	64	63	68	42	34		
Somewhat well	27	22	27	27	27	22	31	29	25	21	36	28	22	24	27	30	23	46	64		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	6	6	7	8	1	7	6	5	7	3	6	6	6	6	7	4	6	6	2		
Not too well	4	3	5	5	1	5	4	2	4	0	4	4	5	3	4	2	4	6	2		
Not at all well	2	3	2	2	0	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	0	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	2	1	7	1	2	3	1	6	0	2	1	1	2	4	2	5	0		
DK/NA	2	0	2	1	7	1	2	3	1	6	0	2	1	1	2	4	2	5	0		

Table 23: Q7m. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: A vital part of the community

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	92	87	90	95	96	91	97	91	94	92	97	92	90	91	96	93	95	0	92
Very well	65	59	62	72	69	65	72	64	68	66	71	69	59	67	67	69	66	0	65
Somewhat well	27	28	28	23	27	26	25	26	26	26	26	23	31	23	29	24	29	0	27
TOTAL NOT WELL	6	8	8	2	4	8	3	6	5	7	3	6	7	5	4	6	4	0	6
Not too well	4	6	2	1	3	5	1	3	3	5	1	5	3	3	1	4	3	0	4
Not at all well	2	1	6	1	1	3	2	3	2	2	2	1	4	2	2	2	1	0	2
DK/NA	2	5	2	3	0	2	0	3	1	1	0	2	3	5	0	1	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	5	2	3	0	2	0	3	1	1	0	2	3	5	0	1	0	0	2

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	92	92	93		94	94	90	93	85	93	93	91	88	91	94	94	92	92	94	91
Very well	65	66	65		69	74	63	63	49	64	70	59	55	67	62	63	69	60	71	59
Somewhat well	27	25	28		25	19	27	31	35	29	23	32	33	24	32	30	22	31	23	32
TOTAL NOT WELL	6	5	6		4	2	10	7	11	6	5	8	9	7	4	6	5	5	5	8
Not too well	4	3	5		4	2	7	2	6	4	4	4	5	5	2	2	4	1	5	5
Not at all well	2	3	2		0	0	3	4	5	2	1	4	4	2	2	4	1	4	0	4
DK/NA	2	3	1		2	4	0	0	4	1	2	2	3	2	2	0	3	3	1	0
DK/NA	2	3	1		2	4	0	0	4	1	2	2	3	2	2	0	3	3	1	0

Table 23: Q7m. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: A vital part of the community

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
TOTAL WELL	92	92	89	94	93	93	95	92	91	91	97	92	100	94	90	90	95	90	87	98	
Very well	65	72	60	65	55	67	59	69	66	64	60	54	87	71	66	63	68	61	51	82	
Somewhat well	27	20	29	29	38	26	36	22	25	27	37	39	13	23	25	27	27	29	36	16	
TOTAL NOT WELL	6	5	9	4	5	7	5	5	9	5	2	8	0	6	7	8	3	6	13	2	
Not too well	4	5	5	3	0	0	5	3	6	2	1	2	0	3	5	6	2	3	0	2	
Not at all well	2	0	4	1	5	7	0	2	2	3	1	6	0	2	2	1	1	3	13	0	
DK/NA	2	3	1	2	3	0	0	4	1	4	1	0	0	1	2	2	2	4	0	0	
DK/NA	2	3	1	2	3	0	0	4	1	4	1	0	0	1	2	2	2	4	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
TOTAL WELL	92	90	95	93	95	88	91	91	92	92	91	87	92	90	94	91	92	91
Very well	65	67	68	63	63	59	65	64	65	67	68	64	65	66	67	64	70	60
Somewhat well	27	23	27	30	32	29	27	27	27	25	23	23	28	24	26	27	22	31
TOTAL NOT WELL	6	7	5	7	4	8	7	6	6	7	7	11	7	4	6	7	3	9
Not too well	4	5	2	2	1	7	4	4	4	5	5	8	4	3	5	3	2	6
Not at all well	2	2	3	5	3	1	2	2	2	2	3	4	3	1	1	4	1	2
DK/NA	2	3	0	0	1	4	2	3	1	1	2	1	1	6	0	3	5	0
DK/NA	2	3	0	0	1	4	2	3	1	1	2	1	1	6	0	3	5	0

Table 23: Q7m. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: A vital part of the community

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	92	98	91	92	95	93	95	91	89	96	89	91	94	98	93	89	87	99	83	91
Very well	65	70	62	51	80	76	71	59	64	70	74	60	64	72	68	53	68	68	55	58
Somewhat well	27	28	29	42	14	17	24	33	26	26	15	32	30	26	25	36	20	31	27	33
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	6	0	7	7	0	4	0	8	9	0	5	7	6	2	5	10	10	0	14	9
Not too well	4	0	5	2	0	2	0	5	6	0	4	7	3	2	3	7	8	0	5	5
Not at all well	2	0	2	5	0	1	0	3	3	0	1	0	3	0	3	3	2	0	9	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	0	5	3	5	1	1	4	6	1	0	0	2	1	3	1	3	0
DK/NA	2	2	2	0	5	3	5	1	1	4	6	1	0	0	2	1	3	1	3	0

Table 24: Q7n. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Good for the environment

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	82	85	74	82	81	86	76	59	87	63	86	70	80	82	83	82	81	83	84	76
Very well	43	49	33	45	40	47	34	25	48	26	47	31	41	43	44	43	41	43	46	44
Somewhat well	39	36	41	37	41	39	42	34	38	37	40	39	39	40	39	39	40	41	38	31
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	8	15	10	12	9	16	30	10	22	10	17	13	12	9	11	11	10	14	15
Not too well	7	6	10	7	7	7	8	14	6	12	7	8	11	9	4	8	5	7	10	8
Not at all well	4	2	5	3	5	1	8	16	4	11	3	8	2	3	5	3	6	3	5	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	11	8	7	5	8	11	3	15	4	13	7	6	8	7	8	7	1	9
DK/NA	7	7	11	8	7	5	8	11	3	15	4	13	7	6	8	7	8	7	1	9

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	82	79	86	86	82	81	84	76	85	89	87	76	90	68	89	70	78	88	59	85	66	76
Very well	43	41	52	49	36	43	45	44	44	59	49	35	53	32	51	34	29	51	19	46	24	36
Somewhat well	39	38	35	38	46	38	39	32	41	30	38	41	37	36	39	36	49	37	39	39	42	40
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	11	7	10	14	12	10	16	10	6	9	14	5	19	7	19	9	5	23	11	17	8
Not too well	7	7	7	7	8	6	7	10	6	4	6	9	3	11	5	12	5	4	7	8	6	6
Not at all well	4	5	1	2	6	6	3	5	3	2	3	5	2	8	2	7	4	1	16	3	11	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	10	7	4	4	7	6	8	5	5	5	10	5	13	4	11	13	6	18	5	18	16
DK/NA	7	10	7	4	4	7	6	8	5	5	5	10	5	13	4	11	13	6	18	5	18	16

Table 24: Q7n. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Good for the environment

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	82	86	65	72	88	81	66	90	73	82	82	79	86	81	83	88	84	76	73	66	77
Very well	43	47	21	36	51	40	24	42	38	43	42	48	49	48	41	49	38	42	34	24	38
Somewhat well	39	39	44	36	37	40	42	47	35	39	40	31	37	33	42	39	46	33	38	42	39
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	10	18	13	6	14	16	1	19	12	8	15	7	13	9	7	10	15	17	22	14
Not too well	7	7	6	8	4	11	6	1	9	8	5	9	4	8	7	4	6	6	13	17	10
Not at all well	4	2	11	5	2	3	11	0	10	4	4	6	3	5	3	3	4	9	3	4	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	17	15	6	5	18	10	7	7	10	6	7	6	8	5	6	10	11	13	8
DK/NA	7	5	17	15	6	5	18	10	7	7	10	6	7	6	8	5	6	10	11	13	8
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	82	88	84	81	75	79	89	79	83	78	80	87	80	85	82	75	83	90	55		
Very well	43	45	51	43	41	38	54	40	44	38	42	49	39	47	42	38	44	36	18		
Somewhat well	39	44	33	38	34	41	35	39	39	40	38	38	41	38	41	37	38	54	37		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	7	9	13	11	13	7	12	11	12	12	9	12	7	11	16	11	5	22		
Not too well	7	2	5	9	10	9	4	8	7	8	8	5	8	5	7	12	6	5	22		
Not at all well	4	5	4	4	1	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	0	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	4	7	6	14	8	4	9	7	10	8	4	9	7	7	9	6	5	23		
DK/NA	7	4	7	6	14	8	4	9	7	10	8	4	9	7	7	9	6	5	23		

Table 24: Q7n. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Good for the environment

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	82	78	73	81	82	89	85	77	86	85	86	87	76	63	87	84	89	0	82
Very well	43	31	41	42	48	47	46	38	47	47	47	43	35	33	53	44	47	0	43
Somewhat well	39	47	32	39	34	42	39	40	39	38	40	44	41	30	34	40	43	0	39
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	11	22	12	15	6	11	14	10	9	11	4	16	29	10	11	8	0	11
Not too well	7	8	12	10	10	2	7	10	6	6	6	3	12	19	6	5	8	0	7
Not at all well	4	2	9	2	5	4	4	4	4	3	5	1	4	10	3	5	0	0	4
DK/NA	7	12	5	8	4	6	4	8	4	6	3	9	8	8	3	5	3	0	7
DK/NA	7	12	5	8	4	6	4	8	4	6	3	9	8	8	3	5	3	0	7

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	82	84	80		82	89	73	87	73	75	82	81	74	83	79	80	82	88	84	76
Very well	43	45	41		40	49	39	51	28	34	44	41	30	43	43	33	48	40	40	42
Somewhat well	39	39	39		42	39	34	36	45	41	39	40	44	40	36	47	34	48	44	34
TOTAL NOT WELL	11	10	11		11	6	20	7	14	14	11	11	14	10	13	11	12	6	10	13
Not too well	7	6	8		10	2	12	6	8	13	7	8	9	6	11	4	7	4	7	10
Not at all well	4	4	3		2	3	8	2	6	2	4	3	5	4	2	7	5	2	3	4
DK/NA	7	6	8		6	6	7	6	13	11	6	9	12	7	8	8	6	6	6	11
DK/NA	7	6	8		6	6	7	6	13	11	6	9	12	7	8	8	6	6	6	11

Table 24: Q7n. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Good for the environment

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	82	84	82	79	80	86	73	84	82	84	76	87	69	90	83	77	88	81	77	79	
Very well	43	41	46	50	32	41	24	44	43	49	39	40	19	45	48	41	55	47	44	35	
Somewhat well	39	43	35	29	49	46	49	40	39	35	37	47	50	45	35	36	33	35	33	44	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	9	11	15	9	14	9	10	10	10	14	11	12	5	10	13	6	13	23	7	
Not too well	7	4	8	14	5	0	9	5	6	9	13	0	12	1	6	9	4	10	0	7	
Not at all well	4	5	3	0	4	14	0	4	4	1	2	11	0	5	4	4	1	3	23	0	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	7	6	11	0	19	7	7	6	10	2	18	5	7	10	6	5	0	14	
DK/NA	7	7	7	6	11	0	19	7	7	6	10	2	18	5	7	10	6	5	0	14	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	82	83	82	77	79	84	82	82	84	84	87	87	82	80	87	82	80	75
Very well	43	46	35	33	40	44	44	43	44	45	49	47	43	40	41	44	47	38
Somewhat well	39	37	47	45	39	40	38	39	40	39	38	40	39	40	46	38	33	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	10	15	13	14	4	11	11	9	9	7	9	12	9	7	11	8	18
Not too well	7	6	9	4	12	4	7	7	6	6	5	6	7	9	4	6	5	15
Not at all well	4	5	6	9	2	0	3	3	3	4	2	3	5	0	2	5	3	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	3	10	7	12	8	8	7	7	6	4	6	12	6	6	12	7
DK/NA	7	7	3	10	7	12	8	8	7	7	6	4	6	12	6	6	12	7

Table 24: Q7n. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Good for the environment

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	82	94	81	59	100	84	82	77	83	80	82	76	71	92	86	69	96	89	80	69
Very well	43	46	39	39	61	49	47	39	39	43	50	40	31	46	37	29	55	54	29	48
Somewhat well	39	47	41	21	39	35	36	37	43	37	33	36	39	46	49	40	40	35	52	21
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	11	2	8	27	0	8	5	16	13	6	8	8	19	6	7	25	4	6	14	21
Not too well	7	2	5	20	0	4	1	11	9	3	5	4	16	6	5	16	2	6	7	12
Not at all well	4	0	3	7	0	4	3	5	4	3	4	4	3	0	2	8	2	0	7	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	11	14	0	8	13	8	5	14	10	16	10	2	7	6	0	5	5	10
DK/NA	7	5	11	14	0	8	13	8	5	14	10	16	10	2	7	6	0	5	5	10

Table 25: Q7o. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Outdated

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT/SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
TOTAL WELL	51	53	45	51	51	43	66	62	61	39	54	43	46	45	58	49	55	53	41	65
Very well	16	19	16	18	14	9	31	34	21	18	18	15	15	11	20	15	19	17	7	29
Somewhat well	35	34	28	33	37	34	35	29	40	21	37	28	31	34	38	34	36	36	33	36
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	42	51	45	39	51	29	38	34	53	40	50	46	49	36	44	36	42	54	24
Not too well	27	26	32	28	26	33	19	24	25	26	26	31	27	35	23	29	23	28	33	19
Not at all well	15	16	19	17	13	18	10	14	9	27	14	19	19	13	13	15	13	14	21	5
DK/NA	7	5	4	5	9	6	5	0	4	8	6	7	8	6	7	6	9	5	5	11
DK/NA	7	5	4	5	9	6	5	0	4	8	6	7	8	6	7	6	9	5	5	11

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL WELL	51	46	50	61	46	50	52	51	52	56	56	46	57	45	55	48	42	52	45	52	50	46
Very well	16	13	16	21	11	13	18	21	15	18	20	12	17	15	18	18	6	17	16	18	12	8
Somewhat well	35	32	34	40	35	37	34	29	38	38	36	34	39	30	37	31	36	35	29	34	38	38
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	47	44	37	42	42	43	40	43	39	39	45	40	50	41	46	33	41	47	43	42	35
Not too well	27	31	23	28	25	29	27	23	31	24	24	31	26	33	27	30	18	28	24	29	24	16
Not at all well	15	16	22	9	17	12	16	16	13	15	15	14	14	17	14	16	15	13	23	14	19	19
DK/NA	7	7	6	2	12	9	5	10	4	5	4	9	4	5	4	5	25	7	8	6	8	19
DK/NA	7	7	6	2	12	9	5	10	4	5	4	9	4	5	4	5	25	7	8	6	8	19

Table 25: Q7o. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Outdated

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	52	47	47	51	52	48	58	69	49	56	53	53	53	49	59	44	56	40	35	45
Very well	16	16	15	19	16	17	14	4	36	16	16	14	10	13	19	17	13	26	10	12	15
Somewhat well	35	36	32	27	35	35	35	54	33	33	40	39	43	40	30	42	31	30	29	23	30
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	42	44	37	43	41	44	32	29	44	36	43	34	40	44	37	49	34	51	53	47
Not too well	27	28	27	19	27	27	26	13	25	29	20	29	15	25	29	22	39	22	37	38	34
Not at all well	15	14	17	17	15	13	18	19	3	15	16	14	19	15	16	15	10	12	13	15	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	9	17	6	8	8	10	2	7	8	4	13	7	6	4	7	10	9	12	9
DK/NA	7	6	9	17	6	8	8	10	2	7	8	4	13	7	6	4	7	10	9	12	9
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	60	56	41	47	56	56	40	56	39	42	64	49	53	50	46	54	46	11		
Very well	16	21	16	12	17	17	14	16	16	16	17	18	14	17	16	17	17	12	4		
Somewhat well	35	39	41	29	30	39	42	24	40	24	25	46	34	37	35	28	37	34	7		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	37	37	52	46	38	38	50	38	51	50	33	42	39	44	43	39	49	79		
Not too well	27	26	23	34	28	25	26	31	26	35	28	22	29	20	30	31	25	36	54		
Not at all well	15	10	14	18	18	12	12	20	12	16	22	12	13	19	14	12	14	13	24		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	7	6	7	6	6	9	6	9	9	3	9	7	6	11	7	5	10		
DK/NA	7	3	7	6	7	6	6	9	6	9	9	3	9	7	6	11	7	5	10		

Table 25: Q7o. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Outdated

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	51	43	43	52	52	57	60	46	57	53	62	35	60	35	75	48	59	0	51
Very well	16	13	6	17	15	22	19	12	19	17	21	9	14	13	28	15	20	0	16
Somewhat well	35	30	37	35	37	35	42	34	38	35	41	26	46	23	47	33	39	0	35
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	39	47	43	46	38	37	43	39	43	35	51	35	47	25	46	41	0	42
Not too well	27	24	36	35	28	24	24	31	25	26	23	26	32	40	14	30	25	0	27
Not at all well	15	15	11	8	17	14	13	12	15	16	13	26	3	7	11	16	16	0	15
DK/NA	7	18	10	5	3	5	3	11	4	5	3	14	5	18	0	6	0	0	7
DK/NA	7	18	10	5	3	5	3	11	4	5	3	14	5	18	0	6	0	0	7

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	51	56	47		44	62	49	52	45	42	53	48	44	55	43	52	59	50	46	47
Very well	16	17	16		14	23	19	11	14	13	19	12	14	18	13	19	21	11	18	13
Somewhat well	35	38	31		30	39	30	41	31	29	34	36	30	37	30	33	38	39	28	34
TOTAL NOT WELL	42	39	44		45	32	47	39	48	50	40	44	49	38	48	46	36	45	46	43
Not too well	27	26	28		29	22	27	31	31	24	25	29	28	26	29	32	24	29	27	29
Not at all well	15	13	17		17	10	21	9	17	26	15	15	21	13	19	15	12	15	19	14
DK/NA	7	5	9		10	6	4	9	7	8	7	8	7	7	9	2	5	5	9	9
DK/NA	7	5	9		10	6	4	9	7	8	7	8	7	7	9	2	5	5	9	9

Table 25: Q7o. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Outdated

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	60	50	43	41	48	57	61	51	47	37	57	41	58	59	49	54	33	45	56	
Very well	16	24	11	11	16	25	12	18	18	14	11	24	9	23	18	13	11	12	4	26	
Somewhat well	35	36	39	32	26	23	45	43	33	32	25	33	32	36	41	36	43	21	42	30	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	35	42	46	51	52	39	36	40	45	53	40	59	38	36	45	37	59	50	44	
Not too well	27	23	29	26	34	42	19	24	27	30	28	28	41	28	22	31	20	35	44	25	
Not at all well	15	12	13	20	18	10	21	12	13	15	24	12	18	9	13	14	17	25	6	19	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	8	11	7	0	4	4	9	8	11	2	0	4	5	6	9	8	5	0	
DK/NA	7	5	8	11	7	0	4	4	9	8	11	2	0	4	5	6	9	8	5	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	54	52	54	41	57	52	53	53	55	55	58	51	50	46	50	51	57
Very well	16	18	16	19	13	13	17	16	16	17	15	13	16	18	9	16	20	23
Somewhat well	35	36	35	35	28	44	35	36	37	38	40	45	35	33	38	34	31	35
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	40	40	44	51	31	41	40	40	39	39	37	42	43	47	42	37	40
Not too well	27	26	28	31	32	18	27	27	27	26	26	24	26	30	25	29	21	32
Not at all well	15	14	12	13	19	13	14	13	13	13	12	13	15	13	22	14	16	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	8	2	8	12	7	7	6	7	6	5	7	7	7	7	12	3
DK/NA	7	6	8	2	8	12	7	7	6	7	6	5	7	7	7	7	12	3

Table 25: Q7o. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Outdated

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	51	43	46	35	77	41	51	60	51	52	43	43	60	49	48	52	58	65	45	43
Very well	16	8	12	12	38	17	25	25	10	26	13	9	25	5	13	25	16	24	14	7
Somewhat well	35	35	34	23	39	24	26	35	42	27	29	34	35	44	34	26	42	41	31	36
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	42	47	41	56	23	45	41	36	45	41	42	44	33	46	40	48	41	31	46	50
Not too well	27	28	26	54	18	23	24	30	24	25	22	28	32	25	23	34	27	24	20	43
Not at all well	15	19	15	3	5	22	18	6	21	16	20	16	1	22	17	14	14	8	26	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	10	14	9	0	14	7	4	4	7	16	13	6	5	13	0	1	4	10	7
DK/NA	7	10	14	9	0	14	7	4	4	7	16	13	6	5	13	0	1	4	10	7

Table 26: Q7p. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Affordable

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
TOTAL WELL	71	79	71	77	66	79	60	42	71	65	73	69	70	75	71	72	70	71	71	86		
Very well	26	33	24	31	20	32	16	16	25	20	27	28	26	28	24	27	21	25	29	30		
Somewhat well	46	46	47	46	45	47	44	26	46	44	46	41	43	47	47	45	48	47	41	56		
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	17	23	18	33	19	38	55	28	31	25	25	28	24	24	26	22	27	29	9		
Not too well	18	11	17	13	23	13	26	37	17	17	18	16	19	18	16	18	15	17	23	7		
Not at all well	8	5	6	5	10	6	12	17	11	14	7	10	9	6	8	8	7	9	6	3		
DK/NA	4	5	7	5	2	2	2	4	1	4	2	5	3	2	4	2	8	2	0	5		
DK/NA	4	5	7	5	2	2	2	4	1	4	2	5	3	2	4	2	8	2	0	5		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL WELL	71	70	82	68	83	72	72	62	79	71	68	75	78	64	77	63	69	76	52	76	58	50
Very well	26	28	35	23	29	28	25	26	26	29	25	26	32	27	28	24	20	32	13	29	13	17
Somewhat well	46	42	47	46	54	43	47	36	53	42	43	49	46	36	49	38	49	44	39	47	45	33
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	25	15	30	17	26	26	36	19	27	29	20	20	32	20	35	20	22	42	22	37	37
Not too well	18	21	12	19	15	16	19	21	16	19	20	15	12	25	14	25	14	17	23	16	24	20
Not at all well	8	4	3	12	2	10	7	15	4	9	10	6	8	7	7	10	6	5	20	6	13	16
DK/NA	4	5	3	1	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	2	3	11	3	6	2	5	13
DK/NA	4	5	3	1	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	2	3	11	3	6	2	5	13

Table 26: Q7p. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Affordable

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	76	53	58	77	71	56	52	67	71	73	75	77	75	70	75	68	66	74	75	71
Very well	26	29	15	16	33	22	14	14	21	27	22	27	39	30	23	27	25	23	19	17	23
Somewhat well	46	47	39	42	44	49	42	38	46	44	51	48	38	45	47	48	43	43	54	58	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	22	38	31	21	26	36	46	32	25	24	23	18	22	27	23	28	27	21	18	25
Not too well	18	16	25	16	17	16	23	26	23	18	16	17	11	15	18	15	19	18	15	15	18
Not at all well	8	6	13	15	4	9	13	20	10	8	8	6	7	6	9	8	9	9	6	3	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	9	11	2	3	8	2	1	4	3	2	6	3	3	2	4	7	5	7	5
DK/NA	4	2	9	11	2	3	8	2	1	4	3	2	6	3	3	2	4	7	5	7	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	74	77	77	77	73	76	68	74	72	65	78	71	69	70	76	71	88	59		
Very well	26	25	31	27	32	24	27	26	25	30	24	28	23	24	25	28	26	23	18		
Somewhat well	46	49	46	50	45	49	49	41	49	42	41	50	47	45	45	48	45	65	41		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	25	20	20	18	24	24	27	24	22	30	20	27	26	27	19	26	6	26		
Not too well	18	16	13	14	16	18	16	17	17	16	18	14	20	16	20	14	18	6	18		
Not at all well	8	8	7	6	2	6	8	10	7	5	12	6	7	9	8	5	8	0	7		
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	4	2	5	4	0	6	2	7	5	2	3	5	2	5	3	5	15		
DK/NA	4	2	4	2	5	4	0	6	2	7	5	2	3	5	2	5	3	5	15		

Table 26: Q7p. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Affordable

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	71	64	73	77	69	73	79	71	74	70	79	71	67	79	75	73	75	0	71
Very well	26	19	22	30	24	30	27	24	27	24	31	20	20	34	28	27	27	0	26
Somewhat well	46	45	51	47	44	43	52	48	47	46	48	51	48	44	47	46	48	0	46
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	26	23	23	30	23	19	24	23	27	18	23	30	17	22	24	22	0	25
Not too well	18	13	19	21	20	15	10	17	15	19	10	13	23	14	17	15	12	0	18
Not at all well	8	14	4	2	10	8	8	7	8	8	8	10	7	2	5	10	10	0	8
DK/NA	4	9	4	0	2	4	2	4	3	3	3	7	2	5	3	3	3	0	4
DK/NA	4	9	4	0	2	4	2	4	3	3	3	7	2	5	3	3	3	0	4

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	71	76	68		70	79	60	72	65	84	71	72	73	72	68	76	76	75	66	71
Very well	26	29	24		23	31	19	24	21	43	25	27	30	26	22	42	30	27	20	27
Somewhat well	46	47	44		47	48	41	48	44	42	46	46	43	46	46	34	46	49	46	43
TOTAL NOT WELL	25	21	28		29	17	39	26	23	14	27	23	19	24	27	24	21	21	32	24
Not too well	18	15	19		19	11	29	19	14	13	18	16	14	18	17	19	15	16	22	17
Not at all well	8	6	9		10	6	10	8	9	1	8	7	6	7	10	5	6	5	11	7
DK/NA	4	4	3		2	4	1	2	12	1	3	5	8	3	5	0	3	4	2	5
DK/NA	4	4	3		2	4	1	2	12	1	3	5	8	3	5	0	3	4	2	5

Table 26: Q7p. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Affordable

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	72	73	69	67	67	87	78	68	70	69	79	68	77	77	76	70	76	81	77	
Very well	26	25	27	23	20	39	45	29	24	27	18	33	60	26	31	20	24	28	48	47	
Somewhat well	46	47	46	46	47	28	42	49	44	43	50	46	8	52	46	55	46	48	33	30	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	25	24	28	24	33	13	18	29	25	27	21	32	21	21	20	24	20	19	23	
Not too well	18	18	17	16	18	29	7	14	21	19	14	13	32	13	15	16	13	13	15	19	
Not at all well	8	7	7	12	7	4	7	5	8	6	13	8	0	8	6	4	11	7	4	4	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	4	3	8	0	0	3	3	5	4	0	0	2	2	4	6	3	0	0	
DK/NA	4	3	4	3	8	0	0	3	3	5	4	0	0	2	2	4	6	3	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	71	77	73	69	72	71	71	71	70	72	75	73	65	69	69	75	76
Very well	26	24	42	39	23	23	26	25	26	25	28	27	24	31	24	24	27	29
Somewhat well	46	47	35	34	47	49	45	46	45	45	43	48	49	34	44	45	48	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	25	23	27	27	24	26	25	26	27	26	24	24	28	29	28	17	24
Not too well	18	17	23	22	16	17	18	17	18	19	18	17	17	21	18	21	12	17
Not at all well	8	8	0	5	11	7	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	11	6	4	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	0	0	4	5	4	4	3	3	3	1	3	7	3	4	9	0
DK/NA	4	4	0	0	4	5	4	4	3	3	3	1	3	7	3	4	9	0

Table 26: Q7p. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Affordable

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	71	63	72	76	64	70	74	77	70	73	70	73	77	72	72	75	75	60	70	67
Very well	26	14	25	17	18	29	25	21	33	23	31	29	21	19	26	28	33	27	26	19
Somewhat well	46	49	47	59	46	42	48	56	37	49	40	44	56	53	46	47	42	34	44	48
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	25	35	23	23	36	23	17	23	27	17	23	22	23	28	21	25	25	37	25	31
Not too well	18	22	15	13	34	15	13	19	17	13	15	16	17	19	11	16	14	33	17	22
Not at all well	8	13	8	11	2	8	4	3	10	4	7	6	6	9	10	9	11	4	8	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	6	0	0	7	9	0	4	10	7	5	0	0	6	0	1	3	5	2
DK/NA	4	2	6	0	0	7	9	0	4	10	7	5	0	0	6	0	1	3	5	2

Table 27: Q7q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Dirty

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
TOTAL WELL	60	64	56	62	59	55	72	76	58	70	60	63	54	62	65	59	65	65	48	47
Very well	18	20	9	17	20	12	32	35	19	24	18	22	13	14	24	16	26	19	14	22
Somewhat well	42	44	46	45	39	43	41	41	38	46	42	41	40	48	40	43	39	46	34	25
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	31	41	34	39	43	26	24	40	28	38	33	46	37	30	40	27	33	52	44
Not too well	27	20	33	23	30	32	18	13	31	15	28	22	33	28	22	28	21	26	31	30
Not at all well	10	12	8	11	9	11	8	12	9	14	10	11	13	9	8	11	6	8	20	14
DK/NA	3	5	3	4	2	2	1	0	3	2	2	4	0	1	6	1	8	1	0	9
DK/NA	3	5	3	4	2	2	1	0	3	2	2	4	0	1	6	1	8	1	0	9

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL WELL	60	61	70	62	52	58	62	63	60	63	60	62	61	61	59	65	54	56	65	59	70	62
Very well	18	17	21	19	13	18	19	19	19	21	19	18	20	22	17	23	13	16	27	16	25	25
Somewhat well	42	45	49	43	39	40	43	44	42	42	41	44	41	40	42	42	41	41	38	42	44	37
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	35	27	36	45	38	37	32	39	36	39	34	37	34	39	31	38	41	29	39	27	31
Not too well	27	26	15	26	35	25	29	21	29	27	28	24	24	24	28	23	30	29	13	29	15	21
Not at all well	10	9	12	10	10	13	8	10	10	9	11	9	13	10	11	8	9	12	16	10	11	10
DK/NA	3	3	3	2	3	4	1	5	1	1	2	4	1	5	1	4	8	2	6	2	4	7
DK/NA	3	3	3	2	3	4	1	5	1	1	2	4	1	5	1	4	8	2	6	2	4	7

Table 27: Q7q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Dirty

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	60	59	68	62	55	63	70	77	76	61	58	56	66	59	60	60	57	59	58	52	59
Very well	18	16	23	30	14	21	24	30	29	19	15	21	27	23	14	13	15	32	18	16	20
Somewhat well	42	43	45	32	41	42	46	48	46	42	43	35	39	36	46	47	43	27	40	35	39
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	39	29	30	43	33	27	18	24	36	40	43	30	39	36	37	37	34	40	48	38
Not too well	27	28	18	23	31	26	17	10	19	28	22	32	24	30	26	29	26	20	30	38	26
Not at all well	10	10	11	6	12	7	10	8	5	8	17	10	6	9	10	8	11	15	10	10	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3	8	2	4	3	4	0	3	2	1	4	2	4	3	6	7	1	0	3
DK/NA	3	3	3	8	2	4	3	4	0	3	2	1	4	2	4	3	6	7	1	0	3
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	60	55	61	62	68	64	64	54	64	56	53	64	65	57	65	51	61	60	52		
Very well	18	13	22	15	27	21	20	13	21	18	10	22	20	20	17	16	20	3	8		
Somewhat well	42	42	39	47	41	43	44	41	43	38	43	42	45	37	48	35	41	56	43		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	44	35	36	22	33	34	41	33	38	45	35	32	39	34	42	36	35	48		
Not too well	27	34	25	26	13	25	27	28	26	28	28	29	24	28	27	28	26	20	43		
Not at all well	10	9	10	10	9	8	6	14	7	10	16	6	7	12	7	14	10	15	5		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	4	2	9	3	2	4	2	6	3	1	3	4	1	7	3	5	0		
DK/NA	3	2	4	2	9	3	2	4	2	6	3	1	3	4	1	7	3	5	0		

Table 27: Q7q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Dirty

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	60	56	54	57	64	63	67	56	65	65	65	52	64	43	66	65	63	0	60
Very well	18	18	12	20	14	23	22	17	20	20	21	16	15	18	28	19	13	0	18
Somewhat well	42	38	42	38	50	41	45	39	45	45	44	36	49	25	38	46	51	0	42
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	40	41	41	33	34	33	41	33	32	35	43	35	48	34	33	32	0	36
Not too well	27	24	22	38	29	21	26	28	24	24	25	33	28	21	23	24	30	0	27
Not at all well	10	16	19	4	4	13	7	13	9	9	9	11	7	27	11	10	3	0	10
DK/NA	3	4	6	1	3	2	0	4	2	3	1	4	1	9	0	2	4	0	3
DK/NA	3	4	6	1	3	2	0	4	2	3	1	4	1	9	0	2	4	0	3

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293	98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	60	61	60	56	70	55	63	54	54	62	59	54	61	61	57	64	56	58	62
Very well	18	20	17	22	25	14	20	11	6	21	15	9	20	16	18	24	14	18	15
Somewhat well	42	41	43	34	45	41	43	43	48	41	44	45	41	45	39	40	42	40	47
TOTAL NOT WELL	36	36	37	38	27	43	36	42	42	35	39	42	37	34	41	33	42	38	36
Not too well	27	28	26	28	19	31	26	32	31	25	29	32	26	26	34	25	32	25	27
Not at all well	10	8	11	10	8	13	10	9	11	10	10	10	11	8	8	7	9	12	9
DK/NA	3	3	3	6	3	2	1	4	4	4	2	4	2	5	2	3	2	4	2
DK/NA	3	3	3	6	3	2	1	4	4	4	2	4	2	5	2	3	2	4	2

Table 27: Q7q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Dirty

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	60	63	58	62	60	49	66	60	61	65	56	52	70	55	61	66	61	63	50	63	
Very well	18	22	16	19	11	20	15	24	16	13	19	21	11	13	23	21	14	15	10	27	
Somewhat well	42	41	41	43	49	29	52	36	45	52	37	31	59	41	38	45	47	48	40	36	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	34	40	33	36	47	34	37	37	31	38	46	30	43	38	31	32	32	50	33	
Not too well	27	22	31	27	25	43	21	27	26	26	28	41	18	33	27	21	26	22	47	22	
Not at all well	10	12	9	7	11	4	12	10	11	6	10	5	11	11	11	10	5	10	3	11	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	5	4	4	0	3	2	4	5	3	0	2	2	4	8	5	0	4	
DK/NA	3	3	2	5	4	4	0	3	2	4	5	3	0	2	2	4	8	5	0	4	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	60	61	61	52	60	64	61	58	60	61	62	65	60	61	62	62	59	59
Very well	18	21	19	14	17	14	19	16	16	16	16	15	18	20	20	19	20	15
Somewhat well	42	40	42	37	43	50	42	43	44	45	46	50	43	41	42	43	39	44
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	37	35	46	38	28	36	38	38	38	37	34	37	34	38	34	34	39
Not too well	27	24	30	37	28	25	26	28	28	28	27	26	27	26	28	26	26	28
Not at all well	10	13	5	9	9	3	10	10	10	9	9	8	10	8	11	9	9	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	4	2	2	8	3	3	2	1	1	1	2	5	0	4	7	2
DK/NA	3	2	4	2	2	8	3	3	2	1	1	1	2	5	0	4	7	2

Table 27: Q7q. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Dirty

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	60	68	64	45	69	55	49	60	64	53	57	66	60	68	61	62	52	76	63	50
Very well	18	16	25	17	20	21	13	19	16	15	21	25	16	17	26	25	8	20	17	15
Somewhat well	42	52	39	27	48	34	36	41	48	38	36	41	44	50	35	37	44	56	46	36
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	36	30	35	49	31	39	46	37	34	43	35	34	39	31	37	36	48	20	34	42
Not too well	27	25	24	42	17	23	35	25	26	32	21	23	24	24	26	22	41	11	30	36
Not at all well	10	5	10	8	14	15	11	12	7	11	14	11	15	7	11	14	7	9	4	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	1	6	0	6	5	3	3	4	8	0	2	1	2	2	0	4	3	7
DK/NA	3	2	1	6	0	6	5	3	3	4	8	0	2	1	2	2	0	4	3	7

Table 28: Q7r. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Fast

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
TOTAL WELL	48	53	68	57	38	63	19	12	42	46	47	47	56	53	40	49	44	45	55	56
Very well	11	17	19	18	5	15	5	0	11	13	10	15	18	9	9	12	11	12	5	16
Somewhat well	36	36	48	39	33	48	14	12	31	33	36	33	38	44	31	37	34	33	50	39
TOTAL NOT WELL	49	43	29	39	60	35	81	88	56	54	51	51	42	47	55	50	49	55	42	40
Not too well	34	32	23	29	38	28	46	25	41	28	36	30	32	31	37	34	32	38	23	31
Not at all well	16	11	6	10	22	7	35	63	15	26	15	21	11	16	19	15	17	17	19	9
DK/NA	3	5	3	4	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	1	0	5	1	7	1	2	5
DK/NA	3	5	3	4	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	1	0	5	1	7	1	2	5

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL WELL	48	43	83	34	65	55	44	53	46	32	37	58	44	46	48	46	55	50	35	50	33	50
Very well	11	7	27	7	19	17	9	17	8	7	10	12	13	8	13	9	12	12	8	12	5	15
Somewhat well	36	36	56	27	46	38	35	36	38	25	27	47	31	39	35	37	42	37	27	38	28	36
TOTAL NOT WELL	49	52	15	66	31	42	54	44	53	66	61	38	55	50	50	51	40	48	58	48	62	40
Not too well	34	30	7	47	22	28	36	30	36	38	39	29	37	27	36	30	30	34	25	33	40	30
Not at all well	16	21	8	19	9	14	18	15	17	27	22	9	18	23	14	21	10	14	33	15	22	10
DK/NA	3	5	2	0	4	3	2	3	1	3	2	4	1	3	2	3	6	2	7	2	5	10
DK/NA	3	5	2	0	4	3	2	3	1	3	2	4	1	3	2	3	6	2	7	2	5	10

Table 28: Q7r. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Fast

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	48	51	35	39	51	50	33	38	29	46	56	48	28	42	50	37	71	44	57	61	60
Very well	11	13	4	9	12	13	4	15	6	11	14	17	3	13	11	8	23	14	12	11	16
Somewhat well	36	38	31	31	39	37	29	23	23	35	42	30	25	29	38	29	48	30	45	51	44
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	47	61	54	46	47	64	55	71	50	44	52	66	56	47	62	26	45	42	37	36
Not too well	34	33	38	33	34	30	42	50	34	33	35	36	34	36	34	42	15	36	29	26	25
Not at all well	16	14	23	20	12	17	22	5	37	17	9	16	32	21	13	19	11	8	13	11	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	4	7	3	3	4	7	0	4	0	1	6	2	3	2	2	11	2	2	3
DK/NA	3	2	4	7	3	3	4	7	0	4	0	1	6	2	3	2	2	11	2	2	3
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	48	41	42	47	77	38	37	65	37	57	71	37	38	39	49	61	44	84	73		
Very well	11	3	9	14	40	7	7	18	7	14	22	9	5	8	12	17	9	39	20		
Somewhat well	36	38	32	33	37	31	30	46	30	43	49	27	34	30	37	44	35	44	53		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	58	55	50	17	60	62	31	61	35	27	62	60	58	49	35	53	11	23		
Not too well	34	44	39	28	11	42	40	22	41	20	24	41	41	42	33	20	36	7	23		
Not at all well	16	13	16	22	6	19	22	8	20	14	3	21	19	15	16	14	17	4	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	3	3	5	2	1	5	2	8	2	2	2	4	2	4	3	5	4		
DK/NA	3	1	3	3	5	2	1	5	2	8	2	2	2	4	2	4	3	5	4		

Table 28: Q7r. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Fast

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	48	63	62	55	49	30	37	60	37	36	38	54	58	75	25	39	49	0	48
Very well	11	13	22	12	12	8	4	16	8	8	7	12	15	23	5	8	12	0	11
Somewhat well	36	50	40	43	38	22	33	44	29	28	31	42	44	51	20	31	38	0	36
TOTAL NOT WELL	49	32	36	39	51	67	60	36	61	62	60	38	41	21	75	58	49	0	49
Not too well	34	27	22	23	43	41	39	24	41	44	37	28	28	9	53	38	32	0	34
Not at all well	16	5	14	16	8	26	21	12	20	18	23	11	13	12	21	20	17	0	16
DK/NA	3	5	2	6	0	3	2	4	2	2	2	8	1	5	0	3	2	0	3
DK/NA	3	5	2	6	0	3	2	4	2	2	2	8	1	5	0	3	2	0	3

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	48	45	52		52	43	48	42	53	59	47	49	55	47	45	67	44	45	51	52
Very well	11	11	12		11	9	14	10	10	21	11	12	14	8	16	22	11	11	11	13
Somewhat well	36	33	40		41	34	34	33	43	38	36	37	41	39	29	44	33	34	40	40
TOTAL NOT WELL	49	51	47		46	52	51	54	44	40	50	48	42	50	52	28	52	51	48	45
Not too well	34	33	34		32	39	27	36	30	31	34	33	31	35	36	9	32	34	35	33
Not at all well	16	18	13		13	13	24	18	14	9	16	15	12	16	15	19	19	17	13	13
DK/NA	3	4	2		2	4	1	4	3	1	3	3	3	2	4	5	4	4	1	2
DK/NA	3	4	2		2	4	1	4	3	1	3	3	3	2	4	5	4	4	1	2

Table 28: Q7r. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Fast

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
TOTAL WELL	48	47	48	41	51	80	50	47	49	36	55	63	76	45	45	58	28	43	33	84	
Very well	11	5	11	17	13	29	14	8	8	14	19	24	20	3	11	14	4	24	0	42	
Somewhat well	36	42	37	24	38	51	36	39	40	22	36	39	56	42	35	44	24	19	33	42	
TOTAL NOT WELL	49	51	50	55	46	20	38	51	50	58	44	35	12	54	53	40	70	49	57	16	
Not too well	34	34	36	40	30	0	21	34	36	38	34	13	0	43	36	20	53	34	19	4	
Not at all well	16	17	14	15	15	20	17	17	14	20	10	22	12	11	16	20	17	16	37	12	
DK/NA	3	2	2	4	3	0	12	3	2	7	1	3	11	1	2	2	3	7	10	0	
DK/NA	3	2	2	4	3	0	12	3	2	7	1	3	11	1	2	2	3	7	10	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
TOTAL WELL	48	46	44	66	46	52	46	42	42	40	39	39	50	38	46	60	38	41
Very well	11	8	9	22	17	10	11	9	8	9	9	9	12	9	7	18	8	9
Somewhat well	36	38	35	45	29	42	36	33	33	31	30	29	38	29	38	42	29	32
TOTAL NOT WELL	49	51	56	28	52	42	51	55	56	59	60	60	48	54	52	38	53	59
Not too well	34	36	30	7	35	34	35	38	38	39	39	41	33	34	34	28	37	38
Not at all well	16	15	26	20	16	8	16	18	18	19	20	19	15	19	18	10	16	20
DK/NA	3	3	0	6	2	5	3	3	2	1	1	1	1	8	2	2	9	0
DK/NA	3	3	0	6	2	5	3	3	2	1	1	1	1	8	2	2	9	0

Table 28: Q7r. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Fast

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	48	42	63	61	52	35	48	39	47	46	36	60	42	32	64	42	28	60	62	66
Very well	11	5	12	11	22	6	16	9	12	15	5	8	4	0	15	13	12	20	18	17
Somewhat well	36	37	51	49	30	29	32	30	35	31	31	52	38	32	50	29	16	40	44	49
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	49	56	32	37	48	59	45	61	51	48	55	38	58	66	30	58	71	40	34	32
Not too well	34	28	23	29	39	35	36	37	36	35	36	31	32	44	19	36	53	35	16	26
Not at all well	16	28	9	9	9	24	9	23	14	13	20	7	26	22	11	22	18	6	17	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	4	2	0	6	7	0	3	7	8	2	0	2	6	0	1	0	4	2
DK/NA	3	2	4	2	0	6	7	0	3	7	8	2	0	2	6	0	1	0	4	2

Table 29: Q7s. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easy to use

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
TOTAL WELL	83	91	88	90	76	94	67	49	80	80	84	83	84	87	81	85	79	85	80	80
Very well	35	49	33	45	26	44	20	3	32	28	37	33	38	39	33	36	35	36	34	38
Somewhat well	48	41	55	45	51	49	47	46	48	52	47	50	47	48	49	49	44	50	46	42
TOTAL NOT WELL	15	6	11	8	23	6	33	51	19	20	16	15	16	13	16	15	16	14	20	16
Not too well	11	5	8	6	17	6	21	22	15	10	12	8	14	8	11	11	11	10	16	13
Not at all well	4	1	3	2	6	0	11	29	5	10	4	7	2	5	5	4	5	4	5	3
DK/NA	2	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	1	5	0	0	4
DK/NA	2	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	1	5	0	0	4

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL WELL	83	84	95	81	88	86	83	86	84	81	80	87	86	79	85	80	83	86	68	85	73	83
Very well	35	38	58	29	39	45	32	43	31	34	32	38	35	34	36	33	39	44	23	38	21	32
Somewhat well	48	46	37	52	49	41	51	43	53	47	48	49	52	45	49	47	44	43	45	47	51	51
TOTAL NOT WELL	15	13	4	19	11	12	17	13	16	18	18	11	13	19	14	19	11	12	25	14	23	11
Not too well	11	12	3	14	7	8	13	10	12	12	12	10	10	11	12	10	9	10	9	11	12	9
Not at all well	4	1	1	5	4	4	4	3	4	5	6	2	3	8	2	8	1	2	16	3	10	2
DK/NA	2	3	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	6	1	7	1	5	5
DK/NA	2	3	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	6	1	7	1	5	5

Table 29: Q7s. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easy to use

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	83	85	73	81	87	82	75	87	68	83	85	83	77	81	84	80	87	90	87	83	87
Very well	35	39	20	31	44	33	20	34	20	35	36	37	35	36	36	30	47	51	31	27	41
Somewhat well	48	47	54	49	43	50	56	53	48	47	49	46	43	45	48	51	40	38	56	56	46
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	15	14	23	13	11	16	21	13	32	15	14	16	19	17	14	19	10	3	13	17	11
Not too well	11	11	11	8	9	13	11	13	22	11	12	11	11	11	11	13	9	3	10	14	9
Not at all well	4	3	11	5	2	4	10	0	10	4	2	5	8	6	3	6	1	0	2	3	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	4	6	2	1	4	0	0	2	1	1	4	2	2	1	2	7	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	1	4	6	2	1	4	0	0	2	1	1	4	2	2	1	2	7	0	0	2
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	83	84	84	85	88	81	83	86	81	88	86	83	80	81	85	83	83	86	81		
Very well	35	37	34	40	47	29	32	44	30	48	42	28	33	35	35	40	36	45	27		
Somewhat well	48	47	50	45	41	52	51	42	51	40	43	55	47	46	51	43	48	42	55		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	15	15	14	13	6	18	17	11	18	6	14	17	18	18	13	13	15	8	19		
Not too well	11	11	12	9	3	13	13	8	13	4	11	13	13	13	10	10	11	4	17		
Not at all well	4	5	2	5	4	5	4	3	5	2	3	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	2		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	1	5	2	0	3	1	6	0	0	2	1	1	4	2	5	0		
DK/NA	2	1	2	1	5	2	0	3	1	6	0	0	2	1	1	4	2	5	0		

Table 29: Q7s. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easy to use

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	83	83	82	81	87	84	84	82	85	84	85	84	82	81	80	85	90	0	83
Very well	35	39	39	33	44	37	30	37	36	37	36	42	24	55	28	40	35	0	35
Somewhat well	48	43	42	48	44	47	54	45	48	48	49	42	57	26	52	45	54	0	48
TOTAL NOT WELL	15	13	17	18	13	14	14	16	14	14	14	13	18	15	20	12	10	0	15
Not too well	11	9	14	14	9	10	9	12	10	11	8	7	16	10	16	7	8	0	11
Not at all well	4	4	3	4	3	4	6	4	4	4	5	6	2	5	3	5	3	0	4
DK/NA	2	4	1	1	0	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	5	0	2	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	4	1	1	0	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	5	0	2	0	0	2

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	83	85	83		91	87	77	84	73	82	86	80	77	84	81	86	85	85	87	78
Very well	35	35	37		45	40	34	32	23	35	40	30	27	36	32	47	40	27	41	33
Somewhat well	48	50	46		46	47	44	52	50	48	46	50	49	48	49	39	45	58	46	45
TOTAL NOT WELL	15	13	16		7	10	23	15	24	18	13	18	21	14	17	14	12	13	12	21
Not too well	11	9	12		6	8	21	11	12	11	11	11	12	10	14	5	10	8	11	13
Not at all well	4	3	4		1	2	2	4	11	7	2	7	9	4	3	9	2	5	1	8
DK/NA	2	2	1		2	3	0	1	4	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	1		2	3	0	1	4	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	1	1

Table 29: Q7s. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easy to use

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	83	85	83	84	75	94	77	86	83	83	81	87	88	84	83	90	84	82	87	87	
Very well	35	40	31	36	25	53	40	35	37	32	34	45	54	38	36	34	28	44	57	53	
Somewhat well	48	45	52	48	50	41	37	51	46	51	47	42	33	47	47	56	56	39	29	34	
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	15	13	16	14	22	6	23	12	15	13	19	13	12	16	15	8	13	14	13	13	
Not too well	11	11	10	14	15	0	10	9	11	11	17	6	0	10	12	5	12	11	3	5	
Not at all well	4	2	6	0	7	6	13	3	5	2	2	8	12	6	2	3	1	4	10	7	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	2	3	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	3	0	0	
DK/NA	2	2	1	2	3	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	3	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	83	83	87	84	81	87	83	81	81	82	82	81	83	85	87	86	84	75
Very well	35	37	33	44	33	31	34	32	31	32	34	32	37	30	33	42	36	28
Somewhat well	48	45	54	40	48	56	48	49	50	50	49	48	46	55	54	43	48	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	15	15	11	16	18	9	15	17	18	18	17	19	16	10	13	13	10	24
Not too well	11	11	4	5	15	8	11	12	13	12	12	13	11	10	11	11	7	15
Not at all well	4	4	7	10	3	1	4	5	5	6	5	5	5	0	2	2	4	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	0	1	5	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	5	0	1	6	0
DK/NA	2	2	2	0	1	5	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	5	0	1	6	0

Table 29: Q7s. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Easy to use

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	83	89	87	85	89	82	89	76	82	88	82	91	75	80	86	82	81	92	84	78
Very well	35	30	45	39	36	38	37	24	37	36	39	39	18	28	51	32	27	42	40	41
Somewhat well	48	59	42	45	52	44	52	52	45	52	43	52	57	51	34	50	54	50	44	37
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	15	8	12	15	11	12	6	24	17	8	11	9	23	20	12	18	19	8	13	22
Not too well	11	8	10	15	11	3	6	16	12	5	4	9	16	20	10	8	11	8	7	22
Not at all well	4	0	2	0	0	9	0	7	5	2	6	0	7	0	3	9	8	0	5	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	1	0	0	6	5	1	1	4	7	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	3	0
DK/NA	2	2	1	0	0	6	5	1	1	4	7	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	3	0

Table 30: Q7t. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Does a good job of serving the whole city

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
TOTAL WELL	63	70	75	71	55	80	34	14	56	60	64	65	75	61	58	64	61	63	62	70		
Very well	21	27	24	26	16	28	10	1	17	22	20	25	29	20	17	21	20	18	26	34		
Somewhat well	42	43	50	45	39	52	25	13	39	38	44	40	46	41	41	43	41	44	36	36		
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	25	21	24	39	16	64	86	41	37	32	31	23	37	33	33	28	34	34	21		
Not too well	19	13	18	14	23	11	34	39	24	16	20	14	13	26	19	19	16	22	12	12		
Not at all well	13	12	3	10	16	5	30	46	18	21	12	16	11	12	14	13	11	12	22	9		
DK/NA	6	5	4	5	6	4	1	0	3	3	4	4	2	2	9	3	12	4	4	9		
DK/NA	6	5	4	5	6	4	1	0	3	3	4	4	2	2	9	3	12	4	4	9		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
TOTAL WELL	63	60	80	55	82	62	65	60	65	51	56	71	58	61	61	63	74	65	47	66	48	62
Very well	21	17	37	16	33	23	21	26	20	15	17	25	19	23	20	22	26	22	20	22	16	22
Somewhat well	42	44	43	40	49	39	44	34	46	37	39	46	39	39	41	41	48	43	28	44	32	40
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	34	16	41	11	32	32	33	32	43	38	25	34	34	32	34	19	30	44	30	43	24
Not too well	19	22	12	22	7	18	18	23	17	27	23	15	15	20	17	22	15	17	17	18	22	18
Not at all well	13	12	3	18	4	14	13	11	15	17	15	10	18	15	15	12	4	13	26	12	20	6
DK/NA	6	5	4	4	7	6	4	6	3	5	6	4	8	4	7	3	6	5	9	4	9	13
DK/NA	6	5	4	4	7	6	4	6	3	5	6	4	8	4	7	3	6	5	9	4	9	13

Table 30: Q7t. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Does a good job of serving the whole city

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	63	66	59	45	66	63	55	54	40	62	68	64	52	60	64	56	70	68	74	72	71
Very well	21	22	15	21	23	22	14	11	11	21	22	26	15	22	19	17	32	20	21	21	24
Somewhat well	42	44	43	25	43	41	41	43	29	41	46	38	37	38	45	39	38	48	53	51	47
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	31	29	36	41	28	32	37	31	58	32	29	33	40	35	29	36	27	25	25	27	26
Not too well	19	18	21	21	15	22	19	18	31	20	13	26	20	24	16	19	14	13	18	22	17
Not at all well	13	11	15	20	13	10	18	13	27	12	16	7	20	11	13	17	13	12	7	5	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	5	14	6	5	7	15	3	6	3	3	8	5	6	8	3	7	1	2	3
DK/NA	6	5	5	14	6	5	7	15	3	6	3	3	8	5	6	8	3	7	1	2	3
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	63	53	60	75	68	54	61	75	57	77	73	62	52	53	66	73	61	82	73		
Very well	21	12	20	28	41	15	18	29	16	34	25	16	17	18	19	32	21	30	22		
Somewhat well	42	41	39	47	27	39	43	46	40	44	48	46	35	35	47	41	41	52	51		
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	31	38	35	22	26	40	35	19	38	17	21	36	40	41	29	20	33	12	23		
Not too well	19	23	18	15	17	25	18	11	23	6	15	22	23	20	18	17	19	8	21		
Not at all well	13	15	17	7	9	15	17	8	15	11	6	13	17	21	11	3	14	4	2		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	8	6	3	6	6	4	6	5	6	6	2	8	6	5	6	6	5	4		
DK/NA	6	8	6	3	6	6	4	6	5	6	6	2	8	6	5	6	6	5	4		

Table 30: Q7t. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Does a good job of serving the whole city

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
TOTAL WELL	63	65	74	59	72	64	50	66	61	65	57	65	63	73	39	68	72	0	63
Very well	21	26	30	23	19	17	18	26	18	16	20	27	19	40	9	18	30	0	21
Somewhat well	42	39	44	36	53	47	32	40	43	49	36	39	44	32	29	50	42	0	42
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	29	20	31	24	33	44	27	35	31	39	24	31	22	59	28	20	0	31
Not too well	19	20	10	19	14	19	26	17	20	18	22	15	18	18	25	18	18	0	19
Not at all well	13	8	10	12	10	15	18	10	15	13	17	9	14	4	34	9	2	0	13
DK/NA	6	6	6	10	4	3	6	7	4	4	5	11	6	5	2	4	8	0	6
DK/NA	6	6	6	10	4	3	6	7	4	4	5	11	6	5	2	4	8	0	6

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293	98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
TOTAL WELL	63	68	60	64	59	62	65	65	68	62	65	66	63	63	71	66	70	57	63
Very well	21	23	20	27	19	29	17	17	18	24	17	17	20	21	33	25	18	23	17
Somewhat well	42	45	40	38	40	33	48	48	49	37	48	49	43	42	38	41	52	34	46
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	29	33	31	33	37	30	28	22	34	28	26	31	32	24	31	25	36	29
Not too well	19	17	21	18	14	27	23	18	7	19	19	14	19	19	14	16	17	22	19
Not at all well	13	12	12	14	19	10	7	11	14	15	9	12	12	14	11	15	8	14	10
DK/NA	6	4	7	4	7	1	5	7	11	5	7	8	6	5	5	3	5	7	8
DK/NA	6	4	7	4	7	1	5	7	11	5	7	8	6	5	5	3	5	7	8

Table 30: Q7t. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Does a good job of serving the whole city

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
TOTAL WELL	63	61	65	61	66	75	67	66	60	70	57	71	73	56	61	72	51	74	60	73	
Very well	21	22	17	26	13	33	33	23	18	21	22	27	48	14	23	26	6	33	14	48	
Somewhat well	42	38	48	35	53	42	33	43	43	49	36	44	25	42	38	46	45	41	45	24	
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	33	29	36	25	25	23	32	31	24	40	29	12	35	34	24	45	21	30	25	
Not too well	19	17	21	21	15	18	9	20	19	11	28	19	0	21	19	18	25	11	17	13	
Not at all well	13	16	8	15	11	7	14	12	12	14	11	10	12	14	15	6	20	9	13	12	
DK/NA	6	6	6	3	8	0	10	3	9	6	3	0	15	9	5	3	4	6	10	2	
DK/NA	6	6	6	3	8	0	10	3	9	6	3	0	15	9	5	3	4	6	10	2	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
TOTAL WELL	63	60	67	68	65	68	63	59	61	60	61	60	64	59	68	60	63	62
Very well	21	19	24	29	25	16	20	17	16	17	16	15	22	19	20	25	21	16
Somewhat well	42	41	43	39	40	53	43	42	45	44	45	45	43	40	48	35	42	45
TOTAL NOT WELL	31	33	30	27	32	24	31	34	34	34	33	33	31	32	30	33	22	37
Not too well	19	20	23	14	19	15	18	20	20	20	19	20	18	21	17	20	9	27
Not at all well	13	14	7	12	13	9	13	14	13	15	14	13	13	11	13	14	12	10
DK/NA	6	7	3	5	3	8	6	7	5	5	5	8	5	8	2	6	15	1
DK/NA	6	7	3	5	3	8	6	7	5	5	5	8	5	8	2	6	15	1

Table 30: Q7t. Here is a series of words and phrases that someone might use to describe Muni. After hearing each one, please tell me if it describes Muni as an organization very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not at all well: Does a good job of serving the whole city

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>TOTAL WELL</u>	63	61	71	54	46	68	62	60	66	65	66	70	56	64	70	63	57	59	66	61
Very well	21	8	33	14	23	21	26	18	21	27	17	33	15	9	36	16	19	19	28	18
Somewhat well	42	53	37	40	24	48	36	42	45	38	49	37	41	55	34	47	38	40	37	43
<u>TOTAL NOT WELL</u>	31	33	27	44	54	21	27	39	26	25	20	28	44	26	26	37	37	36	28	37
Not too well	19	16	17	31	27	6	13	29	15	12	6	25	33	11	12	28	20	25	12	27
Not at all well	13	17	9	13	27	15	14	9	11	13	14	3	12	16	14	9	17	12	16	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	3	2	0	11	11	1	8	10	14	2	0	9	4	0	6	5	6	2
DK/NA	6	7	3	2	0	11	11	1	8	10	14	2	0	9	4	0	6	5	6	2

Table 31: Q9. (1ST CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion and eliminating bottlenecks by improving public transit, OR improving pedestrian safety, OR improving bike safety, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure.

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNT	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
<u>REDUCE CONGESTION</u>	19	26	17	23	14	20	16	15	20	14	19	17	19	21	18	19	18	21	13	15
Reducing traffic congestion	19	26	17	23	14	20	16	15	20	14	19	17	19	21	18	19	18	21	13	15
<u>PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY</u>	12	18	17	18	7	15	8	7	11	11	13	13	14	9	12	10	18	11	12	16
Improving pedestrian safety	8	11	12	12	5	10	6	4	7	10	7	13	10	4	9	7	11	7	8	14
Improving bike safety	4	6	5	6	2	5	2	3	5	0	5	1	4	5	3	3	6	4	5	2
<u>IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY</u>	43	37	24	34	52	38	53	51	49	36	45	36	42	42	44	45	35	43	51	39
Improving Muni buses and trains	43	37	24	34	52	38	53	51	49	36	45	36	42	42	44	45	35	43	51	39
<u>REPAIR/MAINTAIN</u>	14	11	19	13	15	16	10	6	15	13	15	12	14	16	13	13	15	13	16	13
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	14	11	19	13	15	16	10	6	15	13	15	12	14	16	13	13	15	13	16	13
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	12	8	23	12	12	12	12	21	5	26	8	22	11	11	13	11	14	12	7	17
Other	5	1	1	1	10	4	9	18	3	14	4	13	5	7	5	6	5	5	6	6
All	3	3	14	6	0	4	1	2	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	0	5
None	1	2	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	5	1	4	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	2
DK/NA	2	1	5	2	2	2	1	2	0	5	1	3	2	1	3	2	3	2	1	4

Table 31: Q9. (1ST CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion and eliminating bottlenecks by improving public transit, OR improving pedestrian safety, OR improving bike safety, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure.

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE	PDSTN	IMPRV	RPR/	CLSR	FREQ	CHPR	FREQ	GREAT	TOTAL	NO	STR	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	STR	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA
		CGSTN	/BIKE	SPEED	MNTN	BUT	BUT	BUT	/NOT	DEAL	YES		SUPP	OPP	SUPP	OPP		SUPP	OPP	SUPP	OPP	
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
<u>REDUCE CONGESTION</u>	19	100	0	0	0	20	19	21	18	20	17	21	21	15	21	16	19	21	12	20	16	18
Reducing traffic congestion	19	100	0	0	0	20	19	21	18	20	17	21	21	15	21	16	19	21	12	20	16	18
<u>PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY</u>	12	0	100	0	0	16	11	21	10	11	10	15	16	5	15	9	8	11	9	13	8	12
Improving pedestrian safety	8	0	68	0	0	11	7	14	6	6	5	12	9	4	9	7	7	5	8	8	7	10
Improving bike safety	4	0	32	0	0	5	4	6	3	6	5	3	7	1	6	2	1	5	1	5	1	1
<u>IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY</u>	43	0	0	100	0	37	48	33	49	49	52	33	48	44	45	42	33	47	36	44	38	34
Improving Muni buses and trains	43	0	0	100	0	37	48	33	49	49	52	33	48	44	45	42	33	47	36	44	38	34
<u>REPAIR/MAINTAIN</u>	14	0	0	0	100	15	14	13	15	11	11	17	9	16	11	17	20	16	13	15	11	12
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	14	0	0	0	100	15	14	13	15	11	11	17	9	16	11	17	20	16	13	15	11	12
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	12	0	0	0	0	14	9	13	9	9	10	13	6	20	8	16	20	6	30	8	27	24
Other	5	0	0	0	0	4	6	5	5	6	7	4	4	9	4	8	7	3	17	3	15	12
All	3	0	0	0	0	5	1	5	2	0	1	6	2	4	3	3	5	2	1	4	2	2
None	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	3	1	3	1	0	5	1	3	4
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	0	4	1	3	7	0	7	1	7	6

Table 31: Q9. (1ST CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion and eliminating bottlenecks by improving public transit, OR improving pedestrian safety, OR improving bike safety, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure.

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>REDUCE CONGESTION</u>	19	20	17	17	20	19	17	17	18	19	19	17	20	18	20	18	19	16	20	20	20
Reducing traffic congestion	19	20	17	17	20	19	17	17	18	19	19	17	20	18	20	18	19	16	20	20	20
<u>PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY</u>	12	13	8	16	11	15	8	11	7	11	16	13	8	12	12	6	21	15	15	14	18
Improving pedestrian safety	8	8	5	14	5	12	6	11	3	8	11	9	5	8	8	3	13	14	12	10	13
Improving bike safety	4	5	2	1	6	3	2	0	4	4	6	4	3	4	4	3	8	1	4	4	5
<u>IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY</u>	43	44	39	34	47	40	40	41	59	43	40	40	50	43	43	53	33	32	35	28	34
Improving Muni buses and trains	43	44	39	34	47	40	40	41	59	43	40	40	50	43	43	53	33	32	35	28	34
<u>REPAIR/MAINTAIN</u>	14	15	11	12	16	14	10	15	11	14	16	19	10	16	13	14	19	15	10	11	13
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	14	15	11	12	16	14	10	15	11	14	16	19	10	16	13	14	19	15	10	11	13
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	12	9	25	21	6	13	25	15	5	13	8	12	11	12	11	8	7	22	19	27	15
Other	5	3	14	12	3	4	14	8	5	6	4	6	7	6	4	4	4	9	5	6	5
All	3	4	1	2	2	5	1	0	0	4	1	3	2	3	4	2	2	5	8	12	5
None	1	1	3	2	0	2	3	4	0	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	2	3	2
DK/NA	2	1	6	5	0	2	7	3	0	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	6	4	6	3

Table 31: Q9. (1ST CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion and eliminating bottlenecks by improving public transit, OR improving pedestrian safety, OR improving bike safety, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure.

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
REDUCE CONGESTION	19	22	17	20	21	18	21	19	19	27	12	20	18	15	23	14	18	20	27
Reducing traffic congestion	19	22	17	20	21	18	21	19	19	27	12	20	18	15	23	14	18	20	27
PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY	12	11	12	11	22	11	8	16	10	16	16	11	9	10	10	20	11	37	6
Improving pedestrian safety	8	6	8	8	17	8	7	9	7	9	10	7	7	7	6	14	8	14	6
Improving bike safety	4	6	5	4	5	3	1	6	3	7	6	3	1	3	4	7	3	23	0
IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY	43	49	47	40	33	49	48	33	49	32	34	46	52	50	41	37	46	18	14
Improving Muni buses and trains	43	49	47	40	33	49	48	33	49	32	34	46	52	50	41	37	46	18	14
REPAIR/MAINTAIN	14	12	15	18	10	12	14	16	13	13	18	14	12	14	12	18	14	13	8
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	14	12	15	18	10	12	14	16	13	13	18	14	12	14	12	18	14	13	8
ALL OTHER	12	6	8	12	14	9	9	16	9	12	20	9	9	11	13	11	10	12	45
Other	5	3	3	6	8	6	6	4	6	4	3	6	5	6	6	2	6	0	0
All	3	2	2	2	3	1	2	6	1	2	10	1	2	1	4	5	1	6	26
None	1	1	1	2	3	1	0	2	1	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	8
DK/NA	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	4	1	3	5	1	1	3	2	1	1	3	11

	Q25 INCOME										INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
REDUCE CONGESTION	19	15	16	25	11	21	20	19	18	16	21	16	21	16	13	23	10	21	17
Reducing traffic congestion	19	15	16	25	11	21	20	19	18	16	21	16	21	16	13	23	10	21	17
PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY	12	14	18	15	13	9	9	16	10	11	9	13	16	20	6	8	23	13	12
Improving pedestrian safety	8	10	9	13	9	6	4	11	6	8	4	10	10	14	4	4	16	8	9
Improving bike safety	4	4	9	3	4	3	4	5	4	3	5	3	6	6	2	3	7	5	3
IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY	43	32	37	39	48	48	48	36	48	48	48	39	37	28	62	44	43	41	45
Improving Muni buses and trains	43	32	37	39	48	48	48	36	48	48	48	39	37	28	62	44	43	41	45
REPAIR/MAINTAIN	14	12	15	15	16	14	13	14	14	15	13	16	9	22	12	15	14	13	15
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	14	12	15	15	16	14	13	14	14	15	13	16	9	22	12	15	14	13	15
ALL OTHER	12	26	14	6	12	8	11	15	10	11	9	15	17	14	8	11	9	12	12
Other	5	6	4	2	7	5	6	4	6	7	5	7	3	0	5	7	5	6	5
All	3	13	5	2	3	1	2	7	2	2	2	2	10	8	1	2	3	4	3
None	1	4	2	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	3	5	1	1	2	1	1
DK/NA	2	4	3	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	1	4	1	2	1	1	0	2	3

Table 31: Q9. (1ST CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion and eliminating bottlenecks by improving public transit, OR improving pedestrian safety, OR improving bike safety, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure.

	Q27 GENDER			AGE									PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
REDUCE CONGESTION	19	23	16	22	22	15	15	18	24	20	18	20	19	20	14	26	18	14	18
Reducing traffic congestion	19	23	16	22	22	15	15	18	24	20	18	20	19	20	14	26	18	14	18
PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY	12	13	12	14	15	14	11	6	8	14	9	7	12	12	15	16	7	13	11
Improving pedestrian safety	8	8	9	8	10	11	7	5	6	10	6	6	7	9	13	10	5	9	8
Improving bike safety	4	5	3	6	5	3	4	1	2	5	3	1	4	3	3	6	2	4	3
IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY	43	41	44	41	43	44	48	41	33	43	43	38	45	39	41	40	42	45	43
Improving Muni buses and trains	43	41	44	41	43	44	48	41	33	43	43	38	45	39	41	40	42	45	43
REPAIR/MAINTAIN	14	14	14	15	10	15	13	18	17	13	16	18	15	11	20	11	18	14	14
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	14	14	14	15	10	15	13	18	17	13	16	18	15	11	20	11	18	14	14
ALL OTHER	12	10	14	8	10	13	13	17	17	10	15	17	10	18	10	7	15	14	14
Other	5	6	4	3	5	8	5	6	7	5	6	6	5	5	8	5	7	5	3
All	3	1	5	2	2	3	4	5	4	2	4	4	2	6	0	0	2	5	6
None	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	3	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	3	1	3	1	1	4	5	2	3	4	1	4	0	1	3	3	3

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
REDUCE CONGESTION	19	20	19	21	17	19	8	23	16	24	16	17	7	21	19	21	19	21	13	17
Reducing traffic congestion	19	20	19	21	17	19	8	23	16	24	16	17	7	21	19	21	19	21	13	17
PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY	12	14	9	15	8	20	10	12	11	12	13	19	8	11	11	14	15	13	14	18
Improving pedestrian safety	8	8	6	11	6	15	10	7	8	9	10	15	8	5	8	8	8	11	7	18
Improving bike safety	4	5	3	4	2	5	0	5	4	3	3	4	0	6	3	6	7	2	7	0
IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY	43	45	44	38	42	41	40	40	49	44	35	39	44	49	48	36	46	39	35	45
Improving Muni buses and trains	43	45	44	38	42	41	40	40	49	44	35	39	44	49	48	36	46	39	35	45
REPAIR/MAINTAIN	14	12	18	13	8	14	27	16	13	8	14	18	25	13	14	19	12	10	30	14
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	14	12	18	13	8	14	27	16	13	8	14	18	25	13	14	19	12	10	30	14
ALL OTHER	12	9	10	13	24	5	16	9	10	13	22	7	16	6	8	11	8	17	8	5
Other	5	5	5	5	6	5	11	6	4	5	4	6	12	4	4	5	2	9	8	3
All	3	2	2	3	12	0	0	1	3	2	11	0	0	1	3	2	1	4	0	0
None	1	0	2	2	2	0	4	2	1	1	3	1	4	0	1	2	2	3	0	3
DK/NA	2	1	2	4	5	0	0	1	2	4	5	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	0	0

Table 31: Q9. (1ST CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion and eliminating bottlenecks by improving public transit, OR improving pedestrian safety, OR improving bike safety, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure.

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
<u>REDUCE CONGESTION</u>	19	19	25	16	19	16	19	18	17	17	16	16	17	26	20	16	23	19
Reducing traffic congestion	19	19	25	16	19	16	19	18	17	17	16	16	17	26	20	16	23	19
<u>PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY</u>	12	11	8	15	12	20	12	10	10	9	8	7	12	13	9	15	12	12
Improving pedestrian safety	8	7	4	11	9	14	8	6	6	6	5	5	9	7	6	10	9	8
Improving bike safety	4	4	4	3	2	6	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	6	3	5	3	4
<u>IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY</u>	43	47	33	34	40	40	43	45	46	47	48	48	44	37	49	38	38	46
Improving Muni buses and trains	43	47	33	34	40	40	43	45	46	47	48	48	44	37	49	38	38	46
<u>REPAIR/MAINTAIN</u>	14	12	26	24	11	11	14	14	15	15	16	18	14	13	11	17	16	12
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	14	12	26	24	11	11	14	14	15	15	16	18	14	13	11	17	16	12
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	12	10	8	11	17	13	12	13	12	12	12	10	12	11	11	14	11	11
Other	5	5	5	8	4	7	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	4	6	5	4	6
All	3	2	2	0	7	4	3	3	3	3	3	1	3	2	2	4	4	3
None	1	1	1	3	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	5	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	3	2	4	1	2

Table 31: Q9. (1ST CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority: Reducing traffic congestion and eliminating bottlenecks by improving public transit, OR improving pedestrian safety, OR improving bike safety, OR improving the speed, frequency, and reliability of Muni buses and trains, OR repairing and maintaining buses, trains, and transit infrastructure.

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>REDUCE CONGESTION</u>	19	23	19	20	24	24	26	20	13	24	26	16	26	18	20	13	21	16	10	18
Reducing traffic congestion	19	23	19	20	24	24	26	20	13	24	26	16	26	18	20	13	21	16	10	18
<u>PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY</u>	12	10	10	12	14	13	17	11	12	15	13	9	15	8	11	8	7	16	21	14
Improving pedestrian safety	8	0	5	7	8	12	11	8	10	10	11	6	10	1	5	6	6	9	17	9
Improving bike safety	4	10	4	5	5	1	6	4	3	5	1	2	4	7	6	2	1	6	4	5
<u>IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY</u>	43	44	45	26	45	38	31	46	47	35	36	50	41	51	40	50	56	44	36	26
Improving Muni buses and trains	43	44	45	26	45	38	31	46	47	35	36	50	41	51	40	50	56	44	36	26
<u>REPAIR/MAINTAIN</u>	14	10	15	29	10	18	15	12	12	16	17	9	8	11	20	15	7	14	14	25
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	14	10	15	29	10	18	15	12	12	16	17	9	8	11	20	15	7	14	14	25
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	12	14	12	13	7	7	11	11	15	10	9	16	10	13	9	13	10	10	19	17
Other	5	6	6	4	0	3	4	6	7	4	3	7	5	6	6	7	6	1	10	3
All	3	5	1	5	6	1	7	3	3	6	1	1	2	3	0	6	3	7	2	6
None	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	3	4	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	4
DK/NA	2	3	3	3	1	2	0	2	3	0	2	4	3	1	2	0	1	2	5	4

Table 32: Q9. (2ND CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority.

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI			
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
<u>REDUCE CONGESTION</u>	23	22	17	21	26	24	23	14	24	20	24	24	21	25	24	25	20	23	27	17
Reducing traffic congestion	23	22	17	21	26	24	23	14	24	20	24	24	21	25	24	25	20	23	27	17
<u>PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY</u>	16	24	19	22	10	18	13	13	17	13	18	13	21	17	13	15	22	15	17	26
Improving pedestrian safety	11	15	14	15	7	12	9	10	11	9	12	10	15	12	8	10	15	10	12	16
Improving bike safety	5	8	5	7	3	6	4	3	6	4	6	4	7	5	4	5	7	5	5	10
<u>IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY</u>	23	25	21	24	22	24	21	22	25	18	24	19	23	22	23	24	19	24	20	20
Improving Muni buses and trains	23	25	21	24	22	24	21	22	25	18	24	19	23	22	23	24	19	24	20	20
<u>REPAIR/MAINTAIN</u>	25	19	16	18	31	22	31	31	28	24	25	24	24	23	26	25	24	25	29	23
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	25	19	16	18	31	22	31	31	28	24	25	24	24	23	26	25	24	25	29	23
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	13	10	27	14	11	12	13	20	6	25	9	20	10	13	14	12	15	13	6	14
Other	5	1	2	1	8	3	9	15	3	10	4	8	1	8	5	5	4	5	5	3
All	3	4	14	7	0	5	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	0	5
None	2	2	5	3	0	2	0	0	0	5	1	4	2	1	2	1	2	2	0	2
DK/NA	3	2	6	3	3	3	2	3	1	8	2	5	3	1	4	3	5	3	2	5

Table 32: Q9. (2ND CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority.

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE	PDSTN	IMPRV	RPR/	CLSR	FREQ	CHPR	FREQ	GREAT	TOTAL	NO	STR	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA	STR	STR	TOTAL	TOTAL	DK/NA
		CGSTN	/BIKE	SPEED	MNTN	BUT	BUT	BUT	/NOT	DEAL	YES		SUPP	OPP	SUPP	OPP		SUPP	OPP	SUPP	OPP	
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
REDUCE CONGESTION	23	0	23	38	27	21	25	20	26	24	24	23	24	17	25	22	20	24	16	24	18	21
Reducing traffic congestion	23	0	23	38	27	21	25	20	26	24	24	23	24	17	25	22	20	24	16	24	18	21
PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY	16	21	26	14	19	18	16	23	14	19	16	18	19	14	19	15	10	19	10	18	12	13
Improving pedestrian safety	11	13	15	10	16	14	10	16	9	10	9	13	11	12	11	13	7	13	5	12	8	9
Improving bike safety	5	8	11	4	3	4	6	7	5	9	6	4	8	2	7	2	4	6	5	6	4	4
IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY	23	55	31	0	49	22	24	23	24	22	22	25	24	20	24	21	23	23	22	23	22	22
Improving Muni buses and trains	23	55	31	0	49	22	24	23	24	22	22	25	24	20	24	21	23	23	22	23	22	22
REPAIR/MAINTAIN	25	20	14	43	0	23	26	22	27	25	29	20	26	29	24	26	24	26	23	25	23	23
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	25	20	14	43	0	23	26	22	27	25	29	20	26	29	24	26	24	26	23	25	23	23
ALL OTHER	13	5	5	5	5	15	9	12	9	10	10	15	6	20	8	17	22	7	29	10	26	22
Other	5	3	2	4	4	5	4	2	5	7	6	4	3	8	3	7	5	3	15	3	12	7
All	3	0	1	0	0	6	2	5	2	0	1	6	2	4	3	3	6	2	1	4	2	2
None	2	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	3	1	3	2	0	5	1	3	6
DK/NA	3	1	2	1	0	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	1	5	1	4	9	1	8	2	9	7

Table 32: Q9. (2ND CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority.

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>REDUCE CONGESTION</u>	23	24	19	22	25	24	18	22	28	24	21	21	22	22	24	28	22	14	22	20	21
Reducing traffic congestion	23	24	19	22	25	24	18	22	28	24	21	21	22	22	24	28	22	14	22	20	21
<u>PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY</u>	16	17	13	15	19	14	14	16	12	16	18	13	19	15	18	13	24	18	16	18	19
Improving pedestrian safety	11	11	9	11	12	10	10	12	11	11	13	11	13	11	11	8	18	12	11	12	14
Improving bike safety	5	6	4	4	7	4	4	4	1	5	5	3	7	4	7	5	6	6	5	6	5
<u>IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY</u>	23	24	19	22	23	24	20	24	23	23	22	28	23	26	22	22	26	28	21	18	24
Improving Muni buses and trains	23	24	19	22	23	24	20	24	23	23	22	28	23	26	22	22	26	28	21	18	24
<u>REPAIR/MAINTAIN</u>	25	25	25	21	25	24	24	25	32	24	29	25	28	26	24	29	17	25	22	18	20
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	25	25	25	21	25	24	24	25	32	24	29	25	28	26	24	29	17	25	22	18	20
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	13	10	24	21	8	13	23	12	5	13	9	12	8	11	13	8	12	15	20	26	16
Other	5	3	11	8	4	3	11	4	4	5	3	5	4	5	4	4	7	1	4	2	4
All	3	4	1	2	3	5	1	0	1	4	1	3	2	3	4	2	2	5	8	12	5
None	2	1	3	4	1	2	3	4	0	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	3	4	2
DK/NA	3	2	8	7	1	3	9	4	0	3	4	2	1	2	3	2	2	6	5	7	4

Table 32: Q9. (2ND CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority.

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
REDUCE CONGESTION	23	26	22	27	19	23	26	23	24	26	20	23	26	26	21	28	25	10	9
Reducing traffic congestion	23	26	22	27	19	23	26	23	24	26	20	23	26	26	21	28	25	10	9
PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY	16	14	19	17	15	16	12	19	15	18	20	14	15	15	15	22	15	50	12
Improving pedestrian safety	11	9	12	13	11	9	7	16	8	14	17	8	9	9	10	17	10	42	6
Improving bike safety	5	5	6	4	4	7	5	3	6	4	3	7	6	6	5	6	5	8	6
IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY	23	22	22	22	38	23	23	23	23	28	18	25	21	23	25	19	24	20	18
Improving Muni buses and trains	23	22	22	22	38	23	23	23	23	28	18	25	21	23	25	19	24	20	18
REPAIR/MAINTAIN	25	30	29	21	17	28	28	19	28	19	19	28	28	25	27	17	27	5	11
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	25	30	29	21	17	28	28	19	28	19	19	28	28	25	27	17	27	5	11
ALL OTHER	13	9	8	14	11	9	11	17	10	10	23	10	10	12	12	14	10	14	50
Other	5	5	2	6	5	5	6	3	5	2	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	0	0
All	3	2	2	3	3	1	2	6	2	2	10	1	2	2	4	5	2	6	26
None	2	1	1	2	3	1	0	3	1	3	3	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	10
DK/NA	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	2	3	6	2	2	4	3	3	2	5	14
		Q25 INCOME										INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
REDUCE CONGESTION	23	16	22	20	30	26	29	19	28	27	29	19	17	23	33	24	34	22	25
Reducing traffic congestion	23	16	22	20	30	26	29	19	28	27	29	19	17	23	33	24	34	22	25
PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY	16	17	25	18	16	12	9	20	12	13	11	11	21	34	13	12	13	17	16
Improving pedestrian safety	11	15	19	12	7	7	7	15	7	7	7	7	15	29	7	8	4	12	10
Improving bike safety	5	3	7	6	9	5	2	5	5	6	3	4	6	5	6	3	9	5	6
IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY	23	21	20	26	20	26	26	22	25	23	27	29	22	13	17	29	21	23	23
Improving Muni buses and trains	23	21	20	26	20	26	26	22	25	23	27	29	22	13	17	29	21	23	23
REPAIR/MAINTAIN	25	18	17	27	25	28	25	21	26	28	25	24	24	7	27	27	24	26	23
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	25	18	17	27	25	28	25	21	26	28	25	24	24	7	27	27	24	26	23
ALL OTHER	13	28	15	9	9	8	10	17	9	9	9	16	17	22	9	9	9	13	13
Other	5	5	5	3	3	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	2	8	6	4	3	5	5
All	3	13	5	3	4	1	2	7	2	2	2	3	10	8	1	2	3	4	3
None	2	5	2	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	4	3	5	1	1	2	2	2
DK/NA	3	5	3	1	1	3	1	3	2	2	1	5	2	2	2	2	2	3	4

Table 32: Q9. (2ND CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority.

	Q27 GENDER			AGE									PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
<u>REDUCE CONGESTION</u>	23	24	23	30	25	18	23	15	26	25	21	19	24	20	29	25	22	25	21
Reducing traffic congestion	23	24	23	30	25	18	23	15	26	25	21	19	24	20	29	25	22	25	21
<u>PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY</u>	16	16	17	19	18	13	14	18	18	17	16	18	17	17	13	17	14	17	18
Improving pedestrian safety	11	10	12	13	9	10	10	14	13	11	12	14	12	10	10	10	10	11	13
Improving bike safety	5	6	5	6	9	3	3	4	5	6	4	4	5	6	3	7	3	5	5
<u>IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY</u>	23	27	20	22	25	25	22	22	20	24	21	21	23	21	33	28	24	20	20
Improving Muni buses and trains	23	27	20	22	25	25	22	22	20	24	21	21	23	21	33	28	24	20	20
<u>REPAIR/MAINTAIN</u>	25	24	25	21	24	28	28	26	17	24	25	23	26	24	17	24	26	25	25
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	25	24	25	21	24	28	28	26	17	24	25	23	26	24	17	24	26	25	25
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	13	10	15	8	8	16	14	19	19	10	16	19	10	19	8	6	15	14	17
Other	5	4	4	2	2	9	5	6	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	3	6	5	4
All	3	1	6	3	2	3	4	5	4	3	4	4	2	6	1	1	2	5	6
None	2	1	2	2	0	1	2	1	5	1	3	3	1	2	2	0	3	1	2
DK/NA	3	3	3	1	3	3	1	6	7	3	4	7	2	6	0	2	4	3	4

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>REDUCE CONGESTION</u>	23	26	22	21	18	33	25	25	25	21	19	28	34	29	24	23	17	24	33	30
Reducing traffic congestion	23	26	22	21	18	33	25	25	25	21	19	28	34	29	24	23	17	24	33	30
<u>PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY</u>	16	15	18	22	9	7	20	13	19	21	13	11	18	13	16	21	25	14	8	14
Improving pedestrian safety	11	10	14	13	6	3	18	10	13	11	9	8	14	9	9	17	16	8	8	10
Improving bike safety	5	5	4	9	3	4	3	4	6	10	4	3	4	4	6	4	9	5	0	4
<u>IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY</u>	23	22	24	24	16	42	22	30	18	18	23	35	28	23	22	26	19	23	28	36
Improving Muni buses and trains	23	22	24	24	16	42	22	30	18	18	23	35	28	23	22	26	19	23	28	36
<u>REPAIR/MAINTAIN</u>	25	27	24	22	28	13	20	24	27	27	21	19	12	25	31	18	31	24	19	14
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	25	27	24	22	28	13	20	24	27	27	21	19	12	25	31	18	31	24	19	14
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	13	10	11	12	28	5	12	8	12	13	24	8	8	9	7	13	8	16	12	6
Other	5	5	5	2	6	2	8	5	4	3	4	5	4	6	3	5	1	7	8	3
All	3	3	2	3	12	3	0	1	4	2	11	2	0	2	3	2	1	4	4	0
None	2	0	2	2	3	0	4	2	1	1	4	1	4	0	1	2	2	3	0	3
DK/NA	3	2	3	5	7	0	0	1	3	6	5	0	0	1	2	4	3	2	0	0

Table 32: Q9. (2ND CHOICE) I'm going to read you some different goals Muni may have. Please tell me which one should be the highest priority.

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209
REDUCE CONGESTION	23	25	22	27	19	26	23	24	24	25	25	24	24	19	23	22	27	22
Reducing traffic congestion	23	25	22	27	19	26	23	24	24	25	25	24	24	19	23	22	27	22
PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY	16	16	16	12	17	21	16	16	15	14	13	13	15	21	13	19	17	15
Improving pedestrian safety	11	11	12	11	10	13	11	10	10	8	7	8	10	14	7	14	11	10
Improving bike safety	5	4	4	2	7	9	5	5	6	6	6	5	5	7	5	5	6	5
IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY	23	22	27	38	22	18	23	22	22	22	22	23	22	25	26	21	23	23
Improving Muni buses and trains	23	22	27	38	22	18	23	22	22	22	22	23	22	25	26	21	23	23
REPAIR/MAINTAIN	25	26	24	14	25	23	25	25	27	28	27	29	25	22	27	23	21	28
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	25	26	24	14	25	23	25	25	27	28	27	29	25	22	27	23	21	28
ALL OTHER	13	11	10	9	19	12	13	13	12	12	13	11	13	12	11	15	12	11
Other	5	5	7	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	5	5	5	4	3	6
All	3	2	2	2	7	4	3	3	3	3	3	1	4	2	2	5	4	3
None	2	1	1	3	3	0	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1
DK/NA	3	3	0	0	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	4	2	5	3	2

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT												
	Total	CHTWN			MRNA/PH/SC			SNST/TWIN PEAKS			ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
		WSTRN ADDTN	/FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	PH/SC	RCHMD	PEAKS	OTHER													
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92		
REDUCE CONGESTION	23	19	26	18	26	28	25	24	21	25	28	27	21	23	24	21	21	30	20	17		
Reducing traffic congestion	23	19	26	18	26	28	25	24	21	25	28	27	21	23	24	21	21	30	20	17		
PEDESTRIAN/BIKE SAFETY	16	14	14	30	22	18	16	17	13	16	18	13	23	13	16	8	16	14	18	26		
Improving pedestrian safety	11	7	10	25	15	11	12	13	8	12	11	8	17	6	12	7	8	11	11	20		
Improving bike safety	5	6	4	5	8	7	4	4	5	4	7	4	7	7	4	1	8	3	6	6		
IMPROVE SPEED/RELBLTY	23	31	24	25	20	32	23	22	19	23	30	21	23	25	24	25	19	21	18	21		
Improving Muni buses and trains	23	31	24	25	20	32	23	22	19	23	30	21	23	25	24	25	19	21	18	21		
REPAIR/MAINTAIN	25	20	26	12	23	13	25	27	30	25	13	24	24	28	28	30	33	21	30	13		
Repairing buses, trains, and transit	25	20	26	12	23	13	25	27	30	25	13	24	24	28	28	30	33	21	30	13		
ALL OTHER	13	16	11	16	9	8	11	10	16	11	11	15	9	11	7	16	12	14	14	23		
Other	5	6	3	3	2	3	3	5	7	2	3	4	4	3	2	9	8	4	5	5		
All	3	7	1	6	6	1	7	3	3	6	1	1	2	4	0	6	3	7	2	7		
None	2	0	3	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	3	4	0	3	3	0	0	0	2	4		
DK/NA	3	3	4	5	1	3	1	2	4	3	3	6	3	1	2	1	1	3	5	8		

Table 33: Q10a. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Having a Muni stop very close to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are slightly further from where I live.

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MINTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
<u>CLOSER BUT INFREQ</u>	27	29	36	31	22	30	21	20	24	26	26	31	29	21	28	25	33	25	28	38		
Stop close to where I live	27	29	36	31	22	30	21	20	24	26	26	31	29	21	28	25	33	25	28	38		
<u>FREQ BUT FARTHER</u>	64	61	52	59	70	61	73	70	68	65	66	62	63	67	64	68	55	67	68	48		
Most frequent, reliable buses & trains	64	61	52	59	70	61	73	70	68	65	66	62	63	67	64	68	55	67	68	48		
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	9	11	10	8	9	6	10	8	9	8	7	8	11	8	8	12	8	4	14		
Both	2	4	3	4	0	2	1	1	2	1	2	0	3	1	1	2	2	2	1	6		
Neither	1	1	4	2	0	1	0	0	1	4	0	3	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	1		
DK/NA	6	5	5	5	8	5	6	9	6	4	6	4	4	8	6	4	11	5	3	7		
		Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL				Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
<u>CLOSER BUT INFREQ</u>	27	28	34	23	28	100	0	38	23	27	25	29	25	33	25	32	19	28	30	27	31	24
Stop close to where I live	27	28	34	23	28	100	0	38	23	27	25	29	25	33	25	32	19	28	30	27	31	24
<u>FREQ BUT FARTHER</u>	64	63	56	72	63	0	100	55	72	66	68	60	68	58	67	60	65	66	61	66	62	56
Most frequent, reliable buses & trains	64	63	56	72	63	0	100	55	72	66	68	60	68	58	67	60	65	66	61	66	62	56
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	10	9	5	8	0	0	7	5	7	7	10	6	8	8	8	16	6	9	8	7	20
Both	2	2	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	2	2	2	2	1	2	0	2	0	1
Neither	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	1	2	3
DK/NA	6	6	8	4	6	0	0	5	4	5	5	7	5	5	6	4	13	4	7	5	5	16

Table 33: Q10a. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Having a Muni stop very close to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are slightly further from where I live.

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>CLOSER BUT INFREQ</u>	27	27	29	23	26	27	30	43	24	27	26	28	22	26	28	27	28	38	25	27	28
Stop close to where I live	27	27	29	23	26	27	30	43	24	27	26	28	22	26	28	27	28	38	25	27	28
<u>FREQ BUT FARTHER</u>	64	65	65	57	67	61	64	48	72	65	62	63	72	66	64	67	60	51	65	64	61
Most frequent, reliable buses & trains	64	65	65	57	67	61	64	48	72	65	62	63	72	66	64	67	60	51	65	64	61
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	8	6	20	7	12	6	9	5	8	12	9	6	8	8	7	12	11	10	9	10
Both	2	2	0	3	2	2	0	0	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	2	5	2	1	1	2
Neither	1	1	2	2	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	2	1
DK/NA	6	5	5	16	4	8	4	6	3	6	8	6	4	5	5	4	7	7	8	6	7
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
<u>CLOSER BUT INFREQ</u>	27	30	26	27	24	23	27	31	25	24	36	22	27	26	27	26	26	42	25		
Stop close to where I live	27	30	26	27	24	23	27	31	25	24	36	22	27	26	27	26	26	42	25		
<u>FREQ BUT FARTHER</u>	64	58	69	67	60	71	67	57	69	64	50	72	67	65	64	66	66	40	56		
Most frequent, reliable buses & trains	64	58	69	67	60	71	67	57	69	64	50	72	67	65	64	66	66	40	56		
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	11	5	6	15	6	6	13	6	11	14	6	6	9	9	9	8	18	19		
Both	2	3	2	1	4	1	2	3	1	2	4	1	1	1	3	1	1	13	2		
Neither	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	5		
DK/NA	6	7	3	5	11	5	3	8	4	7	8	5	4	6	5	6	6	5	12		

Table 33: Q10a. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Having a Muni stop very close to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are slightly further from where I live.

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
<u>CLOSER BUT INFREQ</u>	27	35	34	27	24	25	26	32	25	25	25	28	36	32	25	25	25	29	25
Stop close to where I live	27	35	34	27	24	25	26	32	25	25	25	28	36	32	25	25	25	29	25
<u>FREQ BUT FARTHER</u>	64	46	56	66	70	69	68	56	69	68	70	62	51	54	69	68	71	64	65
Most frequent, reliable buses & trains	64	46	56	66	70	69	68	56	69	68	70	62	51	54	69	68	71	64	65
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	19	9	7	6	6	6	12	6	7	5	10	13	14	6	6	4	8	10
Both	2	5	3	3	0	1	1	4	1	1	0	0	7	3	1	1	1	1	3
Neither	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
DK/NA	6	12	5	4	5	5	5	7	5	6	4	7	6	11	6	5	3	6	6

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
<u>CLOSER BUT INFREQ</u>	27	23	31		29	24	20	29	28	34	25	30	31	29	24	23	20	27	30	32
Stop close to where I live	27	23	31		29	24	20	29	28	34	25	30	31	29	24	23	20	27	30	32
<u>FREQ BUT FARTHER</u>	64	69	60		62	69	72	64	58	54	68	60	56	63	65	73	72	65	63	56
Most frequent, reliable buses & trains	64	69	60		62	69	72	64	58	54	68	60	56	63	65	73	72	65	63	56
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	8	9		9	7	7	7	14	12	8	10	13	8	11	4	9	8	7	11
Both	2	2	2		2	1	2	3	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	3
Neither	1	1	1		1	1	1	0	1	4	1	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	1
DK/NA	6	6	6		6	6	5	4	11	7	6	7	9	6	7	2	6	6	5	7

Table 33: Q10a. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Having a Muni stop very close to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are slightly further from where I live.

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39	
<u>CLOSER BUT INFREQ</u>	27	26	32	24	25	14	33	24	33	21	28	22	25	30	28	29	24	26	13	30	
Stop close to where I live	27	26	32	24	25	14	33	24	33	21	28	22	25	30	28	29	24	26	13	30	
<u>FREQ BUT FARTHER</u>	64	67	58	65	64	86	59	68	59	69	60	74	71	61	67	61	65	66	84	65	
Most frequent, reliable buses & trains	64	67	58	65	64	86	59	68	59	69	60	74	71	61	67	61	65	66	84	65	
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	7	10	11	12	0	8	8	8	10	12	4	4	9	5	10	11	8	4	5	
Both	2	1	2	2	2	0	5	1	2	3	2	2	4	2	2	0	4	2	0	5	
Neither	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	
DK/NA	6	6	7	6	7	0	3	7	6	6	7	2	0	7	3	10	5	5	4	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
<u>CLOSER BUT INFREQ</u>	27	29	24	24	24	28	27	27	26	25	25	25	27	25	24	28	31	25
Stop close to where I live	27	29	24	24	24	28	27	27	26	25	25	25	27	25	24	28	31	25
<u>FREQ BUT FARTHER</u>	64	63	65	71	65	63	64	64	65	67	67	65	64	66	73	61	59	64
Most frequent, reliable buses & trains	64	63	65	71	65	63	64	64	65	67	67	65	64	66	73	61	59	64
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	8	11	5	11	10	9	9	8	8	7	9	9	10	3	11	9	11
Both	2	1	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	3	1	3
Neither	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	1
DK/NA	6	6	9	2	6	7	6	6	5	5	5	6	6	7	3	7	7	7

Table 33: Q10a. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Having a Muni stop very close to where I live, even if the buses or trains run less frequently, OR having the most frequent and reliable buses and trains, even if stops are slightly further from where I live.

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>CLOSER BUT INFREQ</u>	27	29	29	21	37	29	34	20	26	32	29	24	22	23	31	23	30	32	27	21
Stop close to where I live	27	29	29	21	37	29	34	20	26	32	29	24	22	23	31	23	30	32	27	21
<u>FREQ BUT FARTHER</u>	64	65	63	58	58	64	59	71	65	62	61	72	70	73	57	67	64	62	60	61
Most frequent, reliable buses & trains	64	65	63	58	58	64	59	71	65	62	61	72	70	73	57	67	64	62	60	61
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	6	8	21	5	7	7	9	9	7	10	4	8	4	13	10	6	6	13	18
Both	2	1	1	3	4	1	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	0	1	3	2	4	4	3
Neither	1	0	1	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
DK/NA	6	5	6	15	1	4	7	6	6	7	6	2	6	4	10	7	4	1	7	12

Table 34: Q10b. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Lowering fares for everyone rather than having more frequent, reliable Muni service, OR having more frequent, more reliable service rather than lowering fares for everyone.

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
CHEAPER BUT INFREQ Lowering fares for everyone	28 28	36 36	39 39	37 37	19 19	30 30	23 23	27 27	22 22	39 39	25 25	38 38	33 33	23 23	27 27	26 26	34 34	27 27	25 25	37 37
FREQ/NOT CHEAPER More frequent, reliable service	63 63	55 55	45 45	52 52	74 74	62 62	70 70	66 66	70 70	51 51	67 67	55 55	58 58	69 69	63 63	65 65	56 56	64 64	70 70	53 53
BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA	9	9	16	11	7	8	7	7	8	10	8	7	9	9	9	9	10	8	5	11
Both	3	5	8	6	0	4	1	2	3	3	3	2	5	2	2	3	2	3	2	3
Neither	1	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
DK/NA	6	3	6	4	7	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	3	6	6	5	8	5	2	6

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
CHEAPER BUT INFREQ Lowering fares for everyone	28 28	31 31	47 47	22 22	26 26	39 39	24 24	100 100	0 0	19 19	22 22	35 35	29 29	31 31	28 28	32 32	18 18	26 26	38 38	27 27	36 36	23 23
FREQ/NOT CHEAPER More frequent, reliable service	63 63	59 59	50 50	72 72	66 66	54 54	71 71	0 0	100 100	74 74	71 71	55 55	66 66	62 62	65 65	61 61	57 57	66 66	53 53	65 65	55 55	58 58
BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA	9	10	2	6	8	7	5	0	0	7	7	10	6	7	7	7	25	8	9	8	10	19
Both	3	2	0	2	4	2	2	0	0	2	2	4	2	3	3	2	5	3	2	3	3	1
Neither	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
DK/NA	6	7	2	4	4	5	3	0	0	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	19	5	6	4	5	18

Table 34: Q10b. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Lowering fares for everyone rather than having more frequent, reliable Muni service, OR having more frequent, more reliable service rather than lowering fares for everyone.

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>CHEAPER BUT INFREQ</u> Lowering fares for everyone	28 28	27 27	37 37	19 19	24 24	29 29	34 34	29 29	23 23	27 27	33 33	22 22	16 16	20 20	32 32	20 20	39 39	43 43	31 31	33 33	35 35
<u>FREQ/NOT CHEAPER</u> More frequent, reliable service	63 63	65 65	55 55	62 62	68 68	60 60	57 57	59 59	74 74	64 64	58 58	71 71	73 73	72 72	58 58	72 72	49 49	48 48	61 61	56 56	55 55
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	8	8	20	8	11	8	12	4	9	9	7	11	8	10	8	12	10	8	11	10
Both	3	3	3	3	3	4	2	0	1	3	2	1	5	2	4	2	5	1	4	6	4
Neither	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
DK/NA	6	5	5	17	5	7	5	12	2	6	5	4	5	4	6	6	7	9	3	4	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
<u>CHEAPER BUT INFREQ</u> Lowering fares for everyone	28 28	32 32	26 26	24 24	24 24	26 26	20 20	35 35	24 24	34 34	36 36	22 22	26 26	31 31	24 24	35 35	27 27	32 32	38 38		
<u>FREQ/NOT CHEAPER</u> More frequent, reliable service	63 63	59 59	67 67	67 67	65 65	67 67	72 72	54 54	69 69	58 58	50 50	71 71	66 66	63 63	65 65	56 56	65 65	49 49	40 40		
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	9	7	9	11	7	8	11	8	8	14	7	8	6	11	8	8	19	22		
Both	3	3	3	2	4	3	2	4	2	2	6	2	3	2	4	3	2	12	14		
Neither	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3		
DK/NA	6	5	4	7	7	4	6	7	5	5	8	4	5	4	7	6	5	7	5		

Table 34: Q10b. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Lowering fares for everyone rather than having more frequent, reliable Muni service, OR having more frequent, more reliable service rather than lowering fares for everyone.

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
<u>CHEAPER BUT INFREQ</u>	28	45	35	29	37	21	14	37	22	27	16	42	30	44	20	23	22	28	28
Lowering fares for everyone	28	45	35	29	37	21	14	37	22	27	16	42	30	44	20	23	22	28	28
<u>FREQ/NOT CHEAPER</u>	63	36	55	61	60	73	78	51	72	68	76	50	55	41	76	70	72	65	61
More frequent, reliable service	63	36	55	61	60	73	78	51	72	68	76	50	55	41	76	70	72	65	61
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	19	10	10	4	6	8	13	6	5	8	8	16	15	3	7	5	7	11
Both	3	7	1	6	0	2	2	5	2	1	2	1	9	3	2	2	2	2	4
Neither	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	6	11	8	3	3	4	5	7	4	3	5	6	7	12	2	5	3	5	6

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
<u>CHEAPER BUT INFREQ</u>	28	26	30		50	27	24	24	17	23	33	21	19	28	28	30	31	18	35	24
Lowering fares for everyone	28	26	30		50	27	24	24	17	23	33	21	19	28	28	30	31	18	35	24
<u>FREQ/NOT CHEAPER</u>	63	67	59		45	66	69	65	72	62	60	66	68	65	59	63	63	72	57	62
More frequent, reliable service	63	67	59		45	66	69	65	72	62	60	66	68	65	59	63	63	72	57	62
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	7	11		5	8	7	11	12	15	7	12	13	7	13	7	6	10	8	14
Both	3	2	4		1	3	2	5	4	2	2	4	3	2	5	2	2	3	2	5
Neither	1	1	0		0	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
DK/NA	6	4	6		4	5	5	6	7	10	4	7	8	5	7	5	3	6	5	8

Table 34: Q10b. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Lowering fares for everyone rather than having more frequent, reliable Muni service, OR having more frequent, more reliable service rather than lowering fares for everyone.

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>CHEAPER BUT INFREQ</u>	28	34	20	32	23	34	26	25	30	27	31	33	25	31	24	22	34	23	27	35	
Lowering fares for everyone	28	34	20	32	23	34	26	25	30	27	31	33	25	31	24	22	34	23	27	35	
<u>FREQ/NOT CHEAPER</u>	63	62	69	58	61	62	64	70	61	63	54	58	71	62	69	71	55	64	61	61	
More frequent, reliable service	63	62	69	58	61	62	64	70	61	63	54	58	71	62	69	71	55	64	61	61	
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	5	11	11	16	5	10	6	9	10	16	9	4	7	7	8	11	12	13	4	
Both	3	1	3	4	6	0	4	1	3	5	5	1	4	2	3	2	5	3	0	3	
Neither	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
DK/NA	6	3	6	6	9	5	6	4	5	4	10	7	0	5	4	5	5	9	13	1	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209
<u>CHEAPER BUT INFREQ</u>	28	27	29	31	29	30	27	24	23	23	21	20	29	26	20	31	30	31
Lowering fares for everyone	28	27	29	31	29	30	27	24	23	23	21	20	29	26	20	31	30	31
<u>FREQ/NOT CHEAPER</u>	63	65	65	61	58	63	64	67	69	69	70	71	63	65	71	59	60	61
More frequent, reliable service	63	65	65	61	58	63	64	67	69	69	70	71	63	65	71	59	60	61
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	8	6	9	13	7	9	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	10	10	8
Both	3	2	4	3	5	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	4	1	4
Neither	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
DK/NA	6	5	3	6	7	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	5	7	6	6	7	4

Table 34: Q10b. I am going to read you several pairs of statements about Muni. When thinking about improving Muni service, which is more important? Lowering fares for everyone rather than having more frequent, reliable Muni service, OR having more frequent, more reliable service rather than lowering fares for everyone.

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>CHEAPER BUT INFREQ</u>	28	21	25	35	35	19	36	29	28	33	19	26	37	24	22	26	29	24	36	33
Lowering fares for everyone	28	21	25	35	35	19	36	29	28	33	19	26	37	24	22	26	29	24	36	33
<u>FREQ/NOT CHEAPER</u>	63	62	67	56	50	73	55	66	63	57	72	63	59	66	68	67	61	66	55	57
More frequent, reliable service	63	62	67	56	50	73	55	66	63	57	72	63	59	66	68	67	61	66	55	57
<u>BOTH/NEITHER/DK/NA</u>	9	17	8	10	15	8	9	5	9	10	9	11	4	10	10	7	10	9	10	10
Both	3	6	2	2	6	1	1	2	4	1	2	6	2	2	2	3	6	4	2	4
Neither	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
DK/NA	6	11	6	6	9	5	8	2	5	9	5	5	2	8	7	4	4	5	8	4

Table 35: Q11. Have you heard, seen, or read anything recently about the Slow Streets Program?

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
TOTAL YES	53	41	28	38	68	48	64	65	63	48	57	46	47	50	58	53	52	55	53	48
Yes, a great deal	26	22	14	20	32	23	32	35	35	23	28	22	24	22	29	26	26	27	24	22
Yes, a little	27	20	14	18	36	25	31	30	29	24	29	24	23	28	29	27	25	27	29	26
NO/DK/NA	47	59	72	62	32	52	36	35	37	52	43	54	53	50	42	47	48	45	47	52
No	45	58	66	60	29	50	35	35	36	51	41	53	50	49	39	44	47	44	46	49
DK/NA	2	1	6	2	2	2	1	0	1	2	2	2	3	1	3	3	1	2	1	3

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
TOTAL YES	53	47	43	64	43	50	55	41	59	100	100	0	66	49	59	47	39	59	52	54	50	49
Yes, a great deal	26	27	24	29	21	26	26	18	30	100	49	0	37	26	30	23	12	29	24	26	25	27
Yes, a little	27	20	18	35	23	24	29	23	29	0	51	0	28	23	29	24	27	31	29	28	24	22
NO/DK/NA	47	53	57	36	57	50	45	59	41	0	0	100	34	51	41	53	61	41	48	46	50	51
No	45	50	55	35	56	49	42	57	39	0	0	100	34	50	39	52	50	39	47	45	48	40
DK/NA	2	3	2	1	1	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	11	2	1	2	2	11

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
TOTAL YES	53	53	49	54	59	47	50	63	62	55	45	60	65	61	49	66	46	34	35	25	40
Yes, a great deal	26	25	26	32	29	22	26	26	32	28	17	32	30	31	23	30	22	13	20	15	20
Yes, a little	27	28	23	22	30	25	24	36	30	27	28	28	34	30	26	36	24	21	15	10	19
NO/DK/NA	47	47	51	46	41	53	50	37	38	45	55	40	35	39	51	34	54	66	65	75	60
No	45	45	48	39	39	50	47	31	37	43	51	39	35	37	48	33	53	66	59	67	57
DK/NA	2	2	3	7	2	3	2	7	0	2	4	1	1	1	3	1	2	0	6	8	4

Table 35: Q11. Have you heard, seen, or read anything recently about the Slow Streets Program?

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
TOTAL YES	53	63	63	48	31	59	73	35	64	36	34	63	65	53	56	43	56	58	2
Yes, a great deal	26	30	32	24	12	28	39	15	33	18	12	32	32	25	28	19	27	33	0
Yes, a little	27	34	31	23	18	30	34	20	31	17	22	30	33	29	27	23	29	25	2
NO/DK/NA	47	37	37	52	69	41	27	65	36	64	66	37	35	47	44	57	44	42	98
No	45	36	36	50	65	39	26	62	34	63	61	36	33	44	42	56	42	42	82
DK/NA	2	1	1	2	4	2	1	3	2	2	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	15
		Q25 INCOME							INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE							A/B SPLIT			
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
TOTAL YES	53	39	39	41	50	59	68	39	60	54	68	45	40	28	62	63	48	53	52
Yes, a great deal	26	17	19	15	20	27	38	17	29	22	38	18	21	5	33	29	24	25	26
Yes, a little	27	22	20	26	29	32	30	22	31	32	30	27	19	23	29	34	24	28	26
NO/DK/NA	47	61	61	59	50	41	32	61	40	46	32	55	60	72	38	37	52	47	48
No	45	55	61	59	47	40	30	58	38	44	31	52	59	68	38	35	51	44	46
DK/NA	2	6	0	1	3	1	1	2	2	2	1	3	1	4	1	2	1	3	2
		Q27 GENDER				AGE				PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE						
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
TOTAL YES	53	53	52	37	54	59	63	48	48	50	56	48	60	43	34	48	60	52	52
Yes, a great deal	26	27	24	18	29	28	30	21	24	25	26	22	30	21	11	25	30	25	22
Yes, a little	27	25	28	19	25	31	33	28	25	25	30	27	30	22	23	22	30	27	29
NO/DK/NA	47	47	48	63	46	41	37	52	52	50	44	52	40	57	66	52	40	48	48
No	45	46	45	61	45	38	37	50	42	48	41	47	38	54	64	51	38	45	45
DK/NA	2	2	3	2	1	3	0	2	9	2	3	5	2	3	2	1	2	3	3

Table 35: Q11. Have you heard, seen, or read anything recently about the Slow Streets Program?

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
TOTAL YES	53	57	63	42	44	29	39	62	57	42	42	31	41	64	65	50	59	41	44	24
Yes, a great deal	26	29	31	22	18	7	16	32	27	24	17	8	18	31	31	27	30	20	20	4
Yes, a little	27	28	33	20	26	22	23	30	30	18	25	22	23	33	33	23	28	21	23	21
NO/DK/NA	47	43	37	58	56	71	61	38	43	58	58	69	59	36	35	50	41	59	56	76
No	45	41	35	56	51	66	61	36	41	56	54	69	51	35	34	46	41	58	56	70
DK/NA	2	2	2	1	5	5	0	2	2	2	4	0	8	1	2	3	0	1	0	5

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
TOTAL YES	53	61	63	34	43	41	54	60	63	66	70	71	54	49	58	43	62	55
Yes, a great deal	26	30	32	12	21	19	27	31	33	35	37	38	26	23	31	19	30	26
Yes, a little	27	31	31	22	22	22	28	29	30	31	33	32	27	26	26	24	32	28
NO/DK/NA	47	39	37	66	57	59	46	40	37	34	30	29	46	51	42	57	38	45
No	45	37	36	63	53	57	44	38	35	33	29	27	44	49	40	54	36	45
DK/NA	2	2	1	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	3	2	2	4	2	0

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
TOTAL YES	53	72	37	36	58	53	64	55	54	65	53	39	51	72	38	48	75	56	36	35
Yes, a great deal	26	38	17	10	25	21	36	29	27	36	21	15	33	38	17	20	38	30	16	12
Yes, a little	27	33	19	26	33	32	28	25	27	29	32	24	19	34	20	28	37	26	20	23
NO/DK/NA	47	28	63	64	42	47	36	45	46	35	47	61	49	28	62	52	25	44	64	65
No	45	28	57	60	41	45	36	45	43	35	45	56	48	26	56	52	24	43	60	59
DK/NA	2	0	6	4	1	2	0	1	3	0	1	5	1	2	6	0	2	1	4	6

Table 36: Q12. Some people have proposed making existing Slow Streets permanent and expanding the program to include more streets in San Francisco. Do you support or oppose that proposal?

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
TOTAL SUPPORT	55	70	40	61	48	58	51	37	66	34	61	40	55	54	54	56	51	55	62	50
Strongly support	36	47	18	39	33	37	35	28	50	20	41	25	36	36	37	37	35	37	40	34
Somewhat support	19	22	21	22	15	21	16	9	17	13	20	15	20	18	17	20	16	18	22	17
TOTAL OPPOSE	34	26	46	32	36	30	40	57	23	61	28	53	32	36	34	32	39	34	31	37
Somewhat oppose	11	11	15	12	11	12	10	14	8	14	11	12	11	17	9	12	9	12	7	9
Strongly oppose	23	15	31	20	26	19	30	44	15	48	17	41	21	20	26	20	30	22	24	28
DK/NA	11	4	14	7	16	12	9	6	11	5	11	6	13	9	11	12	10	11	7	12
DK/NA	11	4	14	7	16	12	9	6	11	5	11	6	13	9	11	12	10	11	7	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
TOTAL SUPPORT	55	61	66	58	44	52	57	54	57	64	61	48	100	0	100	0	0	68	20	62	22	40
Strongly support	36	40	47	40	23	34	39	37	38	53	45	27	100	0	66	0	0	52	14	41	15	23
Somewhat support	19	21	19	18	21	18	18	17	19	11	16	21	0	0	34	0	0	16	6	21	7	17
TOTAL OPPOSE	34	28	26	33	40	40	32	39	33	31	30	39	0	100	0	100	0	23	77	28	74	31
Somewhat oppose	11	10	17	10	15	12	11	14	11	8	9	14	0	0	0	33	0	8	9	11	13	9
Strongly oppose	23	18	9	24	25	28	21	25	22	23	21	25	0	100	0	67	0	15	68	16	60	22
DK/NA	11	11	8	9	16	8	11	7	10	5	8	12	0	0	0	0	100	9	3	10	4	28
DK/NA	11	11	8	9	16	8	11	7	10	5	8	12	0	0	0	0	100	9	3	10	4	28

Table 36: Q12. Some people have proposed making existing Slow Streets permanent and expanding the program to include more streets in San Francisco. Do you support or oppose that proposal?

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
TOTAL SUPPORT	55	63	23	38	69	52	26	56	59	53	64	46	68	53	58	64	59	37	44	37	49
Strongly support	36	42	17	18	54	26	18	35	42	34	45	26	53	34	39	44	37	21	26	17	30
Somewhat support	19	21	6	20	15	27	8	21	17	19	19	20	15	18	19	20	22	16	19	20	19
TOTAL OPPOSE	34	26	73	38	21	33	69	19	37	37	21	45	22	38	31	28	31	50	38	43	37
Somewhat oppose	11	11	14	13	8	14	12	6	10	12	10	12	8	11	12	10	10	22	14	14	13
Strongly oppose	23	15	60	24	13	19	56	13	26	25	11	33	14	27	18	18	22	28	24	29	24
DK/NA	11	11	4	24	10	15	5	25	5	10	15	9	10	10	11	8	10	13	18	20	14
DK/NA	11	11	4	24	10	15	5	25	5	10	15	9	10	10	11	8	10	13	18	20	14
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
TOTAL SUPPORT	55	70	61	47	49	64	56	46	61	44	47	62	60	58	57	46	55	80	35		
Strongly support	36	53	40	29	32	45	41	25	44	28	21	47	41	41	38	27	38	43	7		
Somewhat support	19	17	21	18	17	18	15	22	17	16	26	16	19	17	20	19	17	37	28		
TOTAL OPPOSE	34	21	29	45	42	28	34	39	30	48	33	30	30	31	32	42	35	15	39		
Somewhat oppose	11	10	14	10	6	10	11	13	10	11	14	9	12	7	11	19	11	5	14		
Strongly oppose	23	11	15	35	35	18	23	26	20	37	18	21	18	24	21	23	23	10	26		
DK/NA	11	9	10	8	10	8	11	14	9	7	20	8	10	11	11	12	10	5	26		
DK/NA	11	9	10	8	10	8	11	14	9	7	20	8	10	11	11	12	10	5	26		

Table 36: Q12. Some people have proposed making existing Slow Streets permanent and expanding the program to include more streets in San Francisco. Do you support or oppose that proposal?

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	55	51	52	50	47	66	64	51	61	58	66	50	55	41	70	59	56	56	54
Strongly support	36	24	32	31	31	49	50	29	45	40	52	33	34	12	54	43	40	38	34
Somewhat support	19	27	20	19	16	17	14	22	16	18	13	18	22	29	16	16	17	18	20
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	34	28	36	39	43	28	27	34	31	36	26	35	33	37	26	31	41	34	34
Somewhat oppose	11	8	10	14	18	10	8	10	11	14	8	7	10	17	7	11	18	12	10
Strongly oppose	23	20	26	25	25	18	19	24	20	22	18	28	23	19	19	20	23	22	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	11	21	12	11	9	6	9	15	8	7	9	14	12	22	4	10	2	10	12
DK/NA	11	21	12	11	9	6	9	15	8	7	9	14	12	22	4	10	2	10	12
	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	55	56	55	62	68	53	48	46	40	62	46	44	61	48	31	62	46	62	46
Strongly support	36	40	33	40	52	35	30	25	19	44	26	22	40	32	19	46	30	41	23
Somewhat support	19	16	22	22	15	18	18	21	21	18	20	21	21	16	12	15	16	21	23
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	34	37	31	29	24	37	42	39	40	29	40	39	28	39	66	32	43	26	37
Somewhat oppose	11	10	13	17	9	11	11	11	8	12	10	10	9	15	14	10	8	14	12
Strongly oppose	23	27	18	12	15	25	30	28	32	17	30	29	19	24	52	22	35	12	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	11	8	14	10	8	10	10	16	20	9	14	17	11	13	3	6	10	12	17
DK/NA	11	8	14	10	8	10	10	16	20	9	14	17	11	13	3	6	10	12	17

Table 36: Q12. Some people have proposed making existing Slow Streets permanent and expanding the program to include more streets in San Francisco. Do you support or oppose that proposal?

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
TOTAL SUPPORT	55	70	51	52	42	40	21	60	62	54	42	33	27	69	63	56	64	44	38	34
Strongly support	36	48	30	38	23	29	8	43	38	40	24	19	20	50	42	33	49	30	23	21
Somewhat support	19	21	21	14	19	11	12	17	25	14	19	14	7	19	21	22	15	14	15	13
TOTAL OPPOSE	34	22	35	36	43	60	72	31	25	39	38	62	73	21	27	34	27	48	54	65
Somewhat oppose	11	9	10	17	11	14	13	8	10	10	20	13	16	8	12	5	19	12	8	14
Strongly oppose	23	14	25	18	32	46	59	23	15	28	19	49	57	12	15	29	9	36	47	51
DK/NA	11	8	14	13	14	0	7	9	13	7	19	5	0	10	10	11	9	8	8	1
DK/NA	11	8	14	13	14	0	7	9	13	7	19	5	0	10	10	11	9	8	8	1

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
TOTAL SUPPORT	55	63	57	26	51	44	56	57	56	55	56	54	57	48	65	54	58	42
Strongly support	36	41	40	18	37	23	37	38	39	39	40	35	39	26	47	33	39	26
Somewhat support	19	22	16	8	15	21	19	18	17	17	17	18	18	21	18	20	19	17
TOTAL OPPOSE	34	26	32	70	36	43	33	32	32	33	32	33	32	44	25	32	35	47
Somewhat oppose	11	7	15	17	14	15	11	9	10	11	9	9	10	17	9	10	8	18
Strongly oppose	23	19	18	54	22	27	22	23	22	23	23	24	22	27	15	22	27	29
DK/NA	11	11	11	3	13	14	11	12	11	11	12	13	12	8	10	14	7	10
DK/NA	11	11	11	3	13	14	11	12	11	11	12	13	12	8	10	14	7	10

Table 36: Q12. Some people have proposed making existing Slow Streets permanent and expanding the program to include more streets in San Francisco. Do you support or oppose that proposal?

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	55	71	56	48	65	68	54	42	54	54	66	58	40	74	57	37	63	62	47	41
Strongly support	36	46	39	23	42	52	36	24	36	36	50	40	23	49	37	24	47	40	24	21
Somewhat support	19	25	17	25	23	17	19	17	17	18	16	18	17	25	20	13	15	22	23	19
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	34	21	28	26	22	27	38	49	36	39	28	27	53	20	26	49	27	28	42	37
Somewhat oppose	11	8	9	4	13	7	10	18	12	9	8	10	17	6	7	22	11	11	11	13
Strongly oppose	23	13	19	22	9	19	28	31	24	30	20	18	36	13	19	26	16	17	32	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	11	8	16	25	13	5	7	10	10	7	6	15	7	7	17	14	10	11	11	22
DK/NA	11	8	16	25	13	5	7	10	10	7	6	15	7	7	17	14	10	11	11	22

Table 37: Q13a. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	79	69	76	84	80	83	75	87	63	83	70	79	86	79	83	74	80	90	76
Extremely important	43	35	32	34	52	42	47	40	53	28	47	35	47	43	42	46	34	42	60	36
Very important	37	44	38	42	32	38	36	35	34	35	36	35	32	43	38	37	39	38	30	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	18	22	19	14	16	15	20	12	29	15	23	15	12	19	14	23	18	10	13
Somewhat important	14	16	19	17	12	15	10	12	8	19	13	16	11	10	17	11	22	15	7	12
Not too important	3	2	3	3	2	1	5	7	3	10	2	7	4	2	2	3	1	3	2	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	9	4	2	4	2	5	1	8	2	7	5	2	2	3	3	2	1	11
DK/NA	3	3	9	4	2	4	2	5	1	8	2	7	5	2	2	3	3	2	1	11

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	73	80	87	89	84	80	77	83	86	83	78	85	78	81	79	80	87	56	85	61	68
Extremely important	43	42	34	46	56	42	45	39	46	46	46	40	44	38	43	40	52	53	28	47	25	38
Very important	37	31	46	41	33	42	34	38	37	40	37	38	40	41	38	38	28	34	28	38	36	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	20	19	12	9	15	18	21	15	11	13	20	13	19	16	20	12	12	40	13	35	20
Somewhat important	14	19	12	10	9	12	16	15	13	10	11	17	10	14	14	16	11	9	30	11	26	19
Not too important	3	1	7	2	0	3	3	5	1	0	2	3	3	5	2	4	2	3	10	2	9	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	7	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	2	2	2	3	2	8	1	4	2	5	12
DK/NA	3	7	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	2	2	2	3	2	8	1	4	2	5	12

Table 37: Q13a. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	85	62	68	88	80	63	90	84	82	76	78	87	81	83	82	84	85	72	67	78
Extremely important	43	47	27	33	55	39	27	41	42	43	44	37	58	44	44	43	44	42	37	30	41
Very important	37	38	35	34	33	41	36	49	42	39	32	41	30	37	38	39	40	43	35	37	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	13	32	26	11	16	31	3	15	16	17	18	13	17	16	16	11	15	23	25	18
Somewhat important	14	11	24	26	10	14	25	3	13	15	11	17	12	15	14	15	7	12	21	23	14
Not too important	3	2	8	1	1	2	7	0	3	1	6	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	2	2	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	7	6	1	4	6	7	1	2	7	4	0	3	2	2	4	0	5	7	4
DK/NA	3	2	7	6	1	4	6	7	1	2	7	4	0	3	2	2	4	0	5	7	4
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	83	90	77	81	79	85	79	81	74	83	78	85	81	80	85	82	88	50		
Extremely important	43	42	53	42	37	40	50	42	44	34	49	41	47	42	47	36	45	44	17		
Very important	37	40	37	35	44	39	35	37	38	40	34	37	38	39	33	49	37	44	33		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	16	8	21	11	19	13	16	17	21	12	19	14	16	18	13	16	7	34		
Somewhat important	14	13	8	15	9	17	11	12	15	13	12	17	14	11	17	10	13	7	30		
Not too important	3	3	0	5	2	2	1	4	1	8	0	2	1	4	1	3	3	0	3		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	2	2	8	2	2	5	2	4	5	3	1	3	2	3	2	5	16		
DK/NA	3	1	2	2	8	2	2	5	2	4	5	3	1	3	2	3	2	5	16		

Table 37: Q13a. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	69	88	87	76	86	76	81	81	82	79	79	82	83	85	79	83	80	0
Extremely important	43	43	46	49	50	40	43	46	43	46	39	42	50	42	42	46	37	43	0
Very important	37	25	42	38	26	46	34	35	38	36	40	37	32	41	43	33	46	37	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	26	12	13	22	13	21	17	18	17	19	19	18	11	15	18	17	17	0
Somewhat important	14	19	12	12	20	11	19	14	15	15	16	11	18	11	14	17	12	14	0
Not too important	3	7	0	2	2	2	2	3	2	1	3	8	0	0	1	1	6	3	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	0	0	2	1	3	2	2	1	2	3	0	5	0	3	0	3	0
DK/NA	3	5	0	0	2	1	3	2	2	1	2	3	0	5	0	3	0	3	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	76	84		81	75	88	81	89	65	80	80	79	83	77	68	76	77	84	83
Extremely important	43	38	47		35	35	47	48	59	36	39	49	50	45	42	27	34	44	43	53
Very important	37	38	37		45	40	40	34	30	28	42	31	29	38	35	41	42	33	41	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	20	14		16	23	11	16	9	23	18	16	15	13	21	30	22	17	14	14
Somewhat important	14	15	13		15	19	8	12	9	23	15	14	15	12	17	23	16	14	13	13
Not too important	3	4	1		2	4	3	4	0	0	3	2	0	1	4	7	5	3	1	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	2		3	2	1	2	2	13	2	4	6	3	3	2	2	5	2	3
DK/NA	3	4	2		3	2	1	2	2	13	2	4	6	3	3	2	2	5	2	3

Table 37: Q13a. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	83	84	79	74	64	72	80	87	72	81	71	60	82	89	77	91	82	59	78	
Extremely important	43	42	51	39	45	7	48	43	47	37	47	16	52	43	50	47	54	42	36	6	
Very important	37	41	34	39	29	57	24	37	39	35	35	55	8	39	39	30	37	40	23	72	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	14	12	21	21	36	24	16	11	26	16	27	40	17	8	16	9	18	41	18	
Somewhat important	14	12	11	16	17	32	15	13	10	20	15	16	40	13	8	14	9	10	35	11	
Not too important	3	2	0	4	4	5	9	2	1	7	1	10	0	3	0	1	0	8	6	6	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4	1	5	0	4	5	2	2	3	3	0	1	2	7	0	0	0	4	
DK/NA	3	3	4	1	5	0	4	5	2	2	3	3	0	1	2	7	0	0	0	4	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	85	81	65	75	79	81	79	80	80	80	81	80	80	81	80	79	80
Extremely important	43	48	42	25	40	40	44	43	42	43	43	46	43	41	40	46	40	44
Very important	37	37	39	40	35	39	37	37	38	37	37	35	37	39	40	34	39	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	12	12	35	22	20	16	17	16	16	16	15	16	19	18	16	16	17
Somewhat important	14	10	12	26	18	17	14	15	14	14	15	13	13	18	15	14	15	13
Not too important	3	2	0	9	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	2	1	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	7	0	3	1	3	4	4	4	4	5	3	1	1	4	5	2
DK/NA	3	3	7	0	3	1	3	4	4	4	4	5	3	1	1	4	5	2

Table 37: Q13a. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Repairing and maintaining Muni equipment and facilities to ensure vehicles' safety, frequency, and reliability

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	85	84	77	83	65	88	81	80	87	64	78	83	78	87	88	82	79	82	76
Extremely important	43	29	45	49	47	41	45	44	42	45	38	42	34	29	50	58	50	42	38	49
Very important	37	56	39	28	36	24	43	37	38	41	26	36	49	49	38	30	32	36	45	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	11	12	13	17	30	8	16	19	10	31	22	15	18	6	11	17	21	16	17
Somewhat important	14	11	10	10	13	23	6	11	18	8	24	21	8	15	4	11	15	20	16	15
Not too important	3	0	1	3	3	7	2	5	1	2	7	1	7	3	2	0	2	1	0	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	4	10	0	5	4	3	1	3	5	0	1	4	7	1	2	0	2	7
DK/NA	3	4	4	10	0	5	4	3	1	3	5	0	1	4	7	1	2	0	2	7

Table 38: Q13b. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Allowing restaurants and businesses to use curbside and public outdoor spaces permanently

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT/SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	46	56	24	47	45	45	48	51	57	25	51	36	47	44	48	47	45	47	54	33
Extremely important	24	27	13	23	25	21	29	33	33	19	26	22	24	24	25	24	26	25	29	18
Very important	22	29	11	24	20	24	19	18	25	6	24	13	23	20	23	23	19	22	25	15
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	49	41	52	44	53	49	50	47	41	68	46	58	45	53	48	48	52	49	42	50
Somewhat important	20	21	23	21	19	21	20	12	19	16	22	15	17	24	20	21	19	21	18	16
Not too important	28	21	29	23	34	28	30	35	22	52	24	43	28	29	28	27	32	28	24	34
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	2	24	8	2	6	3	2	1	8	4	6	8	3	4	6	4	3	4	17
DK/NA	5	2	24	8	2	6	3	2	1	8	4	6	8	3	4	6	4	3	4	17

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	46	45	55	52	35	49	45	45	48	60	54	38	67	21	61	24	40	57	29	52	25	32
Extremely important	24	26	30	24	19	27	22	23	25	34	30	19	40	10	33	10	27	33	16	27	13	20
Very important	22	19	25	28	16	23	22	22	23	26	25	20	28	11	28	14	14	24	13	25	12	12
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	49	46	41	45	63	47	50	52	47	38	44	55	31	76	36	73	37	41	68	45	71	43
Somewhat important	20	23	17	19	24	16	22	15	24	19	21	20	18	15	20	22	16	19	15	21	19	12
Not too important	28	23	23	26	39	31	28	37	23	19	22	35	13	61	16	51	21	21	53	24	52	31
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	9	4	3	2	4	5	3	5	1	2	7	2	3	3	3	23	2	4	3	4	25
DK/NA	5	9	4	3	2	4	5	3	5	1	2	7	2	3	3	3	23	2	4	3	4	25

Table 38: Q13b. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Allowing restaurants and businesses to use curbside and public outdoor spaces permanently

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	46	51	24	47	57	43	29	45	54	48	40	41	55	46	49	54	57	39	33	29	43
Extremely important	24	27	9	28	31	23	12	23	34	25	22	19	32	23	25	29	30	26	17	13	23
Very important	22	24	15	19	26	20	17	21	20	23	18	22	24	23	24	26	28	14	17	17	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	49	45	70	38	41	48	66	37	45	49	48	54	42	50	47	44	39	61	52	50	49
Somewhat important	20	21	18	15	21	21	16	11	12	21	18	17	23	19	21	23	10	23	18	15	16
Not too important	28	24	53	24	21	27	50	26	33	28	30	37	19	31	26	20	29	38	34	34	33
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	5	15	2	8	5	18	1	4	11	5	2	4	4	2	4	0	15	21	9
DK/NA	5	4	5	15	2	8	5	18	1	4	11	5	2	4	4	2	4	0	15	21	9
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	46	50	56	47	37	52	48	42	50	49	36	49	52	50	49	42	48	75	5		
Extremely important	24	26	30	27	12	27	27	22	27	22	21	27	27	33	23	19	26	36	0		
Very important	22	24	26	21	24	25	21	20	24	27	15	22	25	17	25	23	22	39	5		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	49	46	42	50	62	47	50	48	48	47	49	50	46	44	48	54	50	17	49		
Somewhat important	20	27	20	16	20	20	25	18	22	13	21	18	26	18	19	26	21	8	13		
Not too important	28	19	22	34	42	26	25	31	26	34	28	32	19	27	29	28	29	9	36		
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	3	3	1	1	3	10	2	4	15	2	2	6	4	4	2	8	46		
DK/NA	5	4	3	3	1	1	3	10	2	4	15	2	2	6	4	4	2	8	46		

Table 38: Q13b. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Allowing restaurants and businesses to use curbside and public outdoor spaces permanently

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	46	23	45	46	46	52	64	38	55	49	62	36	40	37	65	52	48	46	0
Extremely important	24	20	25	24	23	23	38	23	28	21	37	25	21	24	43	23	25	24	0
Very important	22	3	20	23	23	29	26	15	27	28	25	11	19	13	22	30	23	22	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	49	64	52	54	53	46	36	56	44	49	38	60	54	54	35	46	50	49	0
Somewhat important	20	23	15	26	21	23	18	21	21	22	20	21	20	22	16	21	29	20	0
Not too important	28	41	37	28	32	23	18	35	23	27	18	39	35	32	18	25	22	28	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	14	3	0	1	2	0	6	1	2	0	4	6	9	0	1	2	5	0
DK/NA	5	14	3	0	1	2	0	6	1	2	0	4	6	9	0	1	2	5	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	46	49	45		52	58	54	39	38	17	55	34	29	50	41	37	57	37	54	32
Extremely important	24	25	24		30	30	29	22	18	6	30	17	13	26	26	8	31	17	29	18
Very important	22	24	21		23	29	24	17	20	11	26	17	17	25	15	29	27	19	25	15
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	49	49	48		46	41	44	58	50	58	43	56	53	46	50	62	41	60	44	52
Somewhat important	20	16	24		19	22	16	22	22	20	19	21	21	19	22	22	15	17	23	25
Not too important	28	33	24		27	19	28	36	28	38	24	34	32	26	31	40	26	42	21	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	2	8		2	1	2	3	12	25	2	10	17	3	10	1	2	4	1	16
DK/NA	5	2	8		2	1	2	3	12	25	2	10	17	3	10	1	2	4	1	16

Table 38: Q13b. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Allowing restaurants and businesses to use curbside and public outdoor spaces permanently

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	46	58	40	50	28	57	17	52	48	44	39	40	32	54	58	43	44	46	43	48	
Extremely important	24	29	20	33	15	14	3	27	24	24	27	12	0	29	29	23	26	29	4	16	
Very important	22	28	19	17	13	44	14	25	24	20	11	28	32	25	30	20	18	17	39	32	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	49	40	55	50	50	43	81	45	47	53	46	60	68	41	40	54	52	53	57	52	
Somewhat important	20	19	23	21	16	14	30	15	25	16	23	22	19	22	21	17	27	17	16	27	
Not too important	28	21	32	29	34	29	52	30	22	37	23	37	48	19	20	37	25	36	41	25	
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	2	5	0	22	0	1	3	4	3	16	0	0	5	1	4	4	1	0	0	
DK/NA	5	2	5	0	22	0	1	3	4	3	16	0	0	5	1	4	4	1	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	46	53	41	36	41	41	46	49	49	47	49	44	47	43	53	44	55	36
Extremely important	24	26	22	9	26	22	24	28	28	25	28	21	25	23	25	22	37	16
Very important	22	26	19	28	15	19	22	21	21	22	22	24	22	20	28	22	17	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	49	44	52	63	48	56	49	45	45	47	45	48	48	54	43	48	42	62
Somewhat important	20	19	26	22	18	23	21	21	21	21	19	19	19	25	19	16	19	30
Not too important	28	25	26	41	30	34	27	24	24	26	25	28	28	28	24	32	22	32
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	7	1	11	2	5	6	6	6	6	8	5	4	4	8	4	2
DK/NA	5	3	7	1	11	2	5	6	6	6	6	8	5	4	4	8	4	2

Table 38: Q13b. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Allowing restaurants and businesses to use curbside and public outdoor spaces permanently

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	46	68	44	44	51	59	50	38	42	50	60	49	38	58	44	31	44	55	37	39
Extremely important	24	30	23	17	27	43	34	16	21	34	42	21	16	28	25	14	23	24	20	17
Very important	22	38	21	28	24	16	15	22	21	16	19	28	22	31	19	17	21	31	17	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	49	29	46	42	41	41	44	61	53	44	40	42	62	38	47	69	47	39	58	49
Somewhat important	20	17	14	16	18	13	26	29	21	25	13	14	22	20	15	38	26	17	11	20
Not too important	28	11	32	25	24	28	19	32	32	19	27	28	40	18	32	31	21	22	48	30
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	10	14	7	0	6	1	5	5	0	9	0	4	9	0	9	6	5	12
DK/NA	5	3	10	14	7	0	6	1	5	5	0	9	0	4	9	0	9	6	5	12

Table 39: Q13c. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Maintaining and restoring cable car service

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	49	36	45	52	51	46	41	50	42	52	46	52	44	49	49	50	48	54	53
Extremely important	24	23	18	21	27	25	23	21	28	14	26	19	29	27	20	26	18	24	35	21
Very important	25	27	18	24	25	26	23	19	22	27	25	28	23	17	29	23	32	24	19	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	50	43	48	47	45	52	58	50	54	46	51	41	54	49	48	47	50	42	35
Somewhat important	32	34	36	35	29	32	31	34	34	31	32	31	30	36	30	31	33	33	29	27
Not too important	16	16	7	13	18	13	21	24	16	23	14	20	11	18	18	16	14	17	14	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	21	6	1	4	2	1	0	5	2	3	7	2	3	4	3	2	3	12
DK/NA	4	0	21	6	1	4	2	1	0	5	2	3	7	2	3	4	3	2	3	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	43	64	48	48	54	47	46	51	54	51	47	45	47	49	45	59	53	45	50	43	45
Extremely important	24	25	24	24	23	23	24	20	26	21	23	26	22	18	24	21	36	29	19	26	17	16
Very important	25	18	41	24	25	31	23	27	24	32	28	22	23	29	25	25	23	24	26	24	27	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	51	32	51	48	44	50	51	47	45	48	49	54	50	49	51	26	46	50	48	50	37
Somewhat important	32	33	26	31	37	33	31	35	31	25	29	36	34	34	32	35	21	31	27	34	24	23
Not too important	16	18	6	20	12	11	19	15	16	21	19	13	20	16	18	16	5	15	23	14	26	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	3	1	4	3	3	3	3	1	1	4	0	3	1	3	16	0	6	2	6	18
DK/NA	4	5	3	1	4	3	3	3	3	1	1	4	0	3	1	3	16	0	6	2	6	18

Table 39: Q13c. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Maintaining and restoring cable car service

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	50	42	56	52	48	45	46	39	48	54	53	49	51	47	46	70	54	39	30	52
Extremely important	24	26	17	21	29	22	18	16	17	22	32	19	29	23	24	21	33	28	17	12	25
Very important	25	24	25	36	23	26	27	30	22	26	22	33	19	29	22	24	36	26	22	18	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	49	51	29	48	47	49	43	61	50	38	44	51	47	50	54	29	46	48	51	42
Somewhat important	32	35	24	18	30	37	23	27	42	34	23	30	35	32	33	38	18	28	33	34	27
Not too important	16	14	27	11	17	10	26	16	18	16	16	14	16	15	17	16	11	18	15	17	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	7	14	0	5	6	11	0	3	8	3	0	2	3	1	1	0	13	19	7
DK/NA	4	2	7	14	0	5	6	11	0	3	8	3	0	2	3	1	1	0	13	19	7
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	38	55	52	68	42	49	55	45	58	53	43	46	48	50	44	49	85	21		
Extremely important	24	16	28	28	32	18	27	28	21	30	26	24	19	26	24	16	25	36	6		
Very important	25	21	27	24	36	24	22	27	23	28	28	19	27	22	25	28	24	49	15		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	60	45	46	31	57	49	38	54	37	38	55	53	47	49	52	50	10	43		
Somewhat important	32	43	30	32	19	35	32	29	34	21	36	31	38	30	32	38	33	6	38		
Not too important	16	17	15	14	13	22	17	9	20	17	2	25	15	17	17	14	17	3	5		
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	0	3	1	1	2	7	1	5	9	2	1	5	2	4	1	5	36		
DK/NA	4	2	0	3	1	1	2	7	1	5	9	2	1	5	2	4	1	5	36		

Table 39: Q13c. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Maintaining and restoring cable car service

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	46	57	50	48	50	43	51	47	51	42	57	48	42	45	49	44	49	0
Extremely important	24	31	29	27	24	20	23	29	22	22	22	28	27	34	27	22	15	24	0
Very important	25	15	28	23	24	30	20	22	25	29	20	29	21	9	17	27	29	25	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	46	43	50	50	50	56	46	52	48	57	40	50	52	54	50	56	48	0
Somewhat important	32	36	27	39	42	31	32	34	34	34	34	25	38	45	36	31	38	32	0
Not too important	16	9	17	11	8	19	24	12	18	14	23	15	13	7	18	19	18	16	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	8	0	0	2	0	1	3	1	1	1	3	2	5	1	1	0	4	0
DK/NA	4	8	0	0	2	0	1	3	1	1	1	3	2	5	1	1	0	4	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	49	49		36	48	50	57	58	40	45	54	51	52	42	51	44	55	45	54
Extremely important	24	26	22		16	22	25	26	39	18	21	28	30	27	19	16	25	28	17	29
Very important	25	22	27		21	26	25	32	18	22	24	26	20	25	23	35	19	27	28	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	48	47		60	52	49	40	38	40	54	40	39	45	52	49	53	42	54	37
Somewhat important	32	27	37		42	33	33	26	27	27	36	27	27	29	40	21	28	25	43	28
Not too important	16	22	10		18	19	16	14	11	14	18	13	12	16	12	29	25	17	11	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	4		4	0	1	2	4	19	1	6	10	3	6	0	2	4	1	9
DK/NA	4	3	4		4	0	1	2	4	19	1	6	10	3	6	0	2	4	1	9

Table 39: Q13c. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Maintaining and restoring cable car service

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	49	57	37	48	48	54	53	51	41	43	49	57	42	56	64	39	47	35	63	
Extremely important	24	26	30	15	24	0	31	30	25	24	15	10	28	19	31	35	12	29	15	3	
Very important	25	23	27	22	25	48	23	23	26	16	28	39	29	23	25	29	27	18	20	60	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	50	39	62	39	52	46	44	46	57	48	51	43	57	44	31	59	53	65	37	
Somewhat important	32	32	24	47	31	11	30	23	35	37	43	19	21	38	27	22	48	41	25	11	
Not too important	16	17	15	15	9	41	16	21	12	20	6	32	22	19	17	9	12	11	40	26	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	4	1	12	0	0	3	2	3	9	0	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	0	
DK/NA	4	2	4	1	12	0	0	3	2	3	9	0	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	52	56	53	41	45	49	48	48	49	49	50	50	43	56	51	41	43
Extremely important	24	30	20	11	20	21	23	24	23	22	22	26	25	18	29	27	16	21
Very important	25	22	36	42	21	24	26	25	25	27	27	24	25	24	27	25	26	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	46	39	47	52	52	48	48	49	47	46	45	46	54	42	43	59	52
Somewhat important	32	30	24	19	37	40	33	33	34	30	30	28	30	42	22	27	47	38
Not too important	16	16	15	28	15	12	15	15	15	17	16	17	17	12	19	16	11	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	5	0	7	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	2	5	0	5
DK/NA	4	2	5	0	7	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	2	5	0	5

Table 39: Q13c. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Maintaining and restoring cable car service

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	49	39	56	55	51	49	46	40	52	42	49	57	44	41	57	43	54	49	53	52
Extremely important	24	22	28	21	32	24	19	19	26	17	25	29	21	17	31	20	29	28	26	23
Very important	25	18	28	34	19	25	27	21	26	25	25	28	22	24	26	24	25	21	27	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	48	61	38	32	47	51	54	55	46	58	51	41	51	54	32	57	43	51	44	39
Somewhat important	32	36	18	26	27	44	45	38	29	49	43	26	35	30	10	42	22	34	29	29
Not too important	16	25	20	6	20	7	9	17	17	10	8	15	16	24	22	14	22	17	15	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	7	14	2	0	0	6	2	0	0	2	5	5	11	0	3	0	3	10
DK/NA	4	0	7	14	2	0	0	6	2	0	0	2	5	5	11	0	3	0	3	10

Table 40: Q13d. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for walking

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	69	52	64	65	66	62	57	78	35	72	41	66	68	63	67	59	64	76	66
Extremely important	32	31	13	26	37	29	35	33	44	14	37	18	35	36	28	34	26	32	39	27
Very important	33	37	39	38	28	37	27	24	34	21	35	23	31	32	35	33	33	32	37	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	30	34	31	34	30	37	42	21	60	26	55	29	31	35	30	39	34	23	23
Somewhat important	23	20	24	21	26	22	25	27	19	28	22	31	22	25	23	23	26	25	19	5
Not too important	9	9	11	9	9	7	11	15	3	32	4	24	7	6	12	7	14	9	4	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	14	5	0	4	1	1	1	6	2	5	5	1	2	3	2	2	1	11
DK/NA	3	2	14	5	0	4	1	1	1	6	2	5	5	1	2	3	2	2	1	11

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	72	89	62	60	65	64	61	69	70	70	60	79	35	77	45	67	76	36	71	35	56
Extremely important	32	37	45	30	26	30	32	33	32	37	36	26	44	18	39	19	33	41	17	35	15	30
Very important	33	35	44	32	35	36	32	28	36	32	33	33	35	18	38	26	35	34	19	36	20	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	26	10	37	36	34	34	37	29	29	29	38	20	61	22	53	23	23	60	27	60	35
Somewhat important	23	18	6	28	26	21	26	27	22	22	21	26	17	36	19	33	16	19	26	22	29	29
Not too important	9	7	4	9	9	13	7	9	8	8	7	12	3	25	2	20	7	5	34	5	31	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	1	1	4	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	3	2	2	10	1	4	2	5	9
DK/NA	3	2	1	1	4	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	3	2	2	10	1	4	2	5	9

Table 40: Q13d. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for walking

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	72	35	53	76	66	37	73	63	63	75	57	73	62	67	65	73	55	56	45	64
Extremely important	32	34	19	34	42	28	17	24	31	29	41	24	37	28	33	30	35	35	24	17	31
Very important	33	38	16	19	34	38	20	49	33	33	35	34	37	35	35	35	38	20	32	28	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	26	58	44	23	31	57	21	36	36	17	41	25	36	31	33	25	45	36	43	32
Somewhat important	23	21	29	36	19	25	29	12	31	26	13	29	23	27	21	27	16	26	27	33	22
Not too important	9	5	30	7	4	6	27	8	5	10	4	12	2	9	9	7	9	19	9	10	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	7	4	0	3	6	6	1	1	8	2	1	1	2	1	1	0	8	12	4
DK/NA	3	2	7	4	0	3	6	6	1	1	8	2	1	1	2	1	1	0	8	12	4
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	69	77	58	61	66	69	62	67	61	63	55	79	66	67	63	66	92	27		
Extremely important	32	32	35	34	24	33	34	30	33	37	23	25	41	34	32	28	33	42	5		
Very important	33	37	42	24	37	34	35	32	34	24	40	30	39	32	35	36	33	50	22		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	30	23	40	37	33	31	33	32	34	32	44	20	30	33	34	33	3	49		
Somewhat important	23	25	18	27	19	23	23	24	23	21	26	30	17	23	22	28	23	3	42		
Not too important	9	5	5	13	17	10	8	8	9	12	5	14	3	7	11	7	10	0	7		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	0	2	2	1	1	5	1	5	6	1	1	4	1	3	1	5	24		
DK/NA	3	1	0	2	2	1	1	5	1	5	6	1	1	4	1	3	1	5	24		

Table 40: Q13d. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for walking

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	58	68	68	66	68	65	65	67	68	65	64	68	54	69	65	71	65	0
Extremely important	32	40	32	41	27	31	30	38	30	31	28	36	41	29	36	28	25	32	0
Very important	33	18	36	27	39	38	35	27	37	37	37	28	27	25	33	37	46	33	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	33	32	32	32	32	35	32	33	31	35	32	31	41	31	34	29	32	0
Somewhat important	23	18	20	28	28	21	26	22	24	23	26	29	16	25	23	24	25	23	0
Not too important	9	15	12	4	4	11	9	10	9	9	9	3	14	16	7	11	4	9	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	9	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	2	5	0	1	0	3	0
DK/NA	3	9	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	2	5	0	1	0	3	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	57	72		66	66	71	61	73	45	68	61	62	73	54	40	59	54	75	68
Extremely important	32	30	33		32	31	36	33	33	18	33	30	27	37	25	14	28	31	36	29
Very important	33	28	39		34	35	35	28	40	28	35	31	35	36	29	26	31	23	39	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	40	25		33	34	29	36	24	38	32	33	30	25	42	58	40	40	25	26
Somewhat important	23	26	21		25	23	20	26	18	29	23	24	22	20	29	31	26	27	20	22
Not too important	9	14	4		8	11	9	10	6	9	9	9	7	5	13	28	14	13	5	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3		1	0	0	3	3	17	0	6	9	2	4	2	1	6	0	6
DK/NA	3	3	3		1	0	0	3	3	17	0	6	9	2	4	2	1	6	0	6

Table 40: Q13d. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for walking

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	75	70	57	51	44	35	66	79	47	62	37	43	70	81	70	64	54	31	48	
Extremely important	32	36	39	31	18	14	14	36	37	21	29	18	6	33	36	42	30	28	0	19	
Very important	33	39	32	26	33	30	21	30	42	26	33	19	38	37	44	27	35	26	31	29	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	25	25	43	41	56	61	32	19	50	34	61	57	28	19	27	34	46	69	48	
Somewhat important	23	19	21	30	28	25	37	25	16	29	29	31	32	23	15	21	30	26	38	26	
Not too important	9	5	4	13	13	31	24	7	3	21	5	30	25	5	5	6	4	20	31	22	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	5	0	9	0	4	3	2	3	5	3	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	4	
DK/NA	3	0	5	0	9	0	4	3	2	3	5	3	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	4	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	73	75	33	57	56	65	67	66	65	66	66	66	59	64	67	66	62
Extremely important	32	39	24	10	27	32	31	35	33	33	34	33	33	27	28	33	32	35
Very important	33	34	51	23	30	23	34	32	33	31	31	32	33	33	36	34	35	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	25	20	67	39	42	33	30	31	31	30	30	31	40	35	30	32	35
Somewhat important	23	21	18	34	25	30	23	22	23	25	24	24	23	27	30	20	24	22
Not too important	9	5	2	33	13	12	9	8	7	7	6	6	8	13	5	10	9	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	5	0	4	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	0	2	4	2	3
DK/NA	3	2	5	0	4	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	0	2	4	2	3

Table 40: Q13d. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for walking

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	70	69	65	69	76	67	59	61	66	77	57	65	60	75	57	61	72	57	67
Extremely important	32	31	32	36	35	38	34	31	28	31	39	29	39	23	32	26	33	34	24	37
Very important	33	39	37	29	34	38	33	28	33	34	38	28	26	36	44	31	28	37	33	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	30	26	21	31	21	33	37	39	34	20	39	35	35	19	41	37	28	43	24
Somewhat important	23	28	20	20	20	11	28	24	28	27	11	32	16	34	15	31	28	20	25	20
Not too important	9	3	6	2	12	10	5	13	11	7	9	7	19	1	4	11	10	9	18	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	5	14	0	3	0	4	1	0	3	4	0	5	5	1	2	0	0	10
DK/NA	3	0	5	14	0	3	0	4	1	0	3	4	0	5	5	1	2	0	0	10

Table 41: Q13e. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Restoring Muni service to pre-pandemic levels

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	65	59	63	74	68	73	58	76	46	73	52	71	69	68	72	59	70	70	63
Extremely important	32	28	23	26	37	28	39	40	37	22	34	27	31	35	31	34	23	29	47	36
Very important	37	37	36	37	37	40	35	18	39	23	39	25	39	33	37	37	36	40	23	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	31	25	30	22	25	25	36	20	43	23	37	20	29	28	22	36	27	25	21
Somewhat important	20	24	21	23	17	21	15	18	16	27	19	22	15	24	21	17	30	20	21	18
Not too important	6	7	4	7	5	4	10	18	4	16	3	15	5	4	7	5	7	7	4	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	15	7	4	8	2	6	4	12	4	11	10	3	4	6	4	4	5	16
DK/NA	5	4	15	7	4	8	2	6	4	12	4	11	10	3	4	6	4	4	5	16

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	70	61	75	71	68	70	58	76	75	73	64	72	67	68	69	69	80	55	72	55	56
Extremely important	32	30	15	42	30	30	32	26	35	35	36	27	33	29	31	30	41	40	24	35	20	20
Very important	37	39	47	33	41	38	37	32	41	40	37	37	39	38	38	39	28	40	32	37	35	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	23	28	23	25	29	25	37	21	22	23	30	23	30	25	28	22	16	39	23	39	28
Somewhat important	20	16	20	18	22	22	19	25	17	18	19	22	18	18	22	18	17	14	18	20	22	22
Not too important	6	6	8	5	3	7	6	11	4	4	5	8	5	12	4	9	5	2	21	4	17	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	8	11	2	3	3	6	5	4	3	4	6	5	2	6	3	9	4	6	4	6	15
DK/NA	5	8	11	2	3	3	6	5	4	3	4	6	5	2	6	3	9	4	6	4	6	15

Table 41: Q13e. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Restoring Muni service to pre-pandemic levels

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	72	54	62	81	61	57	73	74	70	66	74	77	75	68	72	71	61	60	54	65
Extremely important	32	34	23	26	40	27	23	19	34	32	32	32	40	35	31	33	33	33	24	14	29
Very important	37	38	31	36	41	34	35	54	40	38	34	42	37	40	37	39	38	29	36	39	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	23	39	24	16	30	36	20	25	26	20	22	21	22	28	25	20	35	31	34	27
Somewhat important	20	19	24	17	15	24	23	20	19	21	15	16	16	16	23	20	17	19	26	33	21
Not too important	6	4	15	7	2	7	14	0	6	5	6	6	5	6	6	5	2	16	5	2	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	7	14	2	8	6	7	1	4	13	5	2	4	4	3	9	3	9	12	8
DK/NA	5	4	7	14	2	8	6	7	1	4	13	5	2	4	4	3	9	3	9	12	8
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	71	73	67	73	64	77	69	69	66	71	66	71	64	73	66	69	88	50		
Extremely important	32	37	34	30	37	32	38	28	34	27	29	34	34	26	36	31	33	40	7		
Very important	37	34	39	36	36	32	40	41	35	39	42	32	37	38	38	35	36	48	43		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	24	24	28	21	32	20	23	27	22	23	30	26	27	24	27	27	4	29		
Somewhat important	20	19	20	20	16	27	14	16	22	14	18	23	22	22	19	21	21	4	25		
Not too important	6	6	4	8	5	5	6	7	5	8	5	6	4	6	5	6	6	0	4		
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	3	5	6	4	3	9	3	12	6	4	3	9	2	7	4	8	21		
DK/NA	5	5	3	5	6	4	3	9	3	12	6	4	3	9	2	7	4	8	21		

Table 41: Q13e. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Restoring Muni service to pre-pandemic levels

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	58	77	76	66	75	64	70	69	70	68	65	77	60	65	72	67	69	0
Extremely important	32	36	30	37	37	35	29	35	33	33	34	25	40	37	27	34	42	32	0
Very important	37	22	46	39	29	39	36	36	36	38	34	40	37	23	39	38	25	37	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	30	23	24	33	20	33	26	27	26	29	26	23	35	29	26	28	26	0
Somewhat important	20	27	17	21	26	17	22	22	20	21	19	22	18	35	24	20	16	20	0
Not too important	6	3	6	3	7	3	11	4	7	5	9	4	5	0	5	6	13	6	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	12	0	0	1	5	3	4	3	3	3	9	0	5	6	2	4	5	0
DK/NA	5	12	0	0	1	5	3	4	3	3	3	9	0	5	6	2	4	5	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	66	71		61	69	66	75	78	58	66	73	70	72	61	71	61	73	70	72
Extremely important	32	32	32		26	33	29	33	45	21	30	34	35	35	25	33	29	35	31	33
Very important	37	35	39		35	36	37	42	33	37	36	38	35	37	36	38	33	37	38	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	27	25		34	25	31	22	17	28	29	22	22	22	32	29	32	21	27	23
Somewhat important	20	20	20		26	20	22	17	15	20	22	17	17	18	24	18	24	16	22	18
Not too important	6	7	5		8	4	9	5	2	7	7	5	4	4	8	10	8	5	5	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	7	4		6	6	3	3	4	14	5	6	8	5	7	0	7	6	3	5
DK/NA	5	7	4		6	6	3	3	4	14	5	6	8	5	7	0	7	6	3	5

Table 41: Q13e. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Restoring Muni service to pre-pandemic levels

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	68	78	61	61	67	76	71	73	53	67	74	65	73	75	72	64	61	57	85	
Extremely important	32	35	35	22	28	15	51	37	33	23	26	23	58	38	36	36	29	30	26	26	
Very important	37	33	43	38	33	52	24	34	40	31	40	52	7	35	39	36	35	31	30	60	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	26	17	34	30	33	24	22	23	39	28	26	35	21	22	22	32	32	43	15	
Somewhat important	20	21	15	25	22	24	13	17	20	28	21	13	28	16	20	17	25	23	31	9	
Not too important	6	5	2	9	7	9	12	4	4	10	7	12	7	5	2	5	7	9	12	6	
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	6	4	5	9	0	0	7	4	8	6	0	0	6	3	6	3	7	0	0	
DK/NA	5	6	4	5	9	0	0	7	4	8	6	0	0	6	3	6	3	7	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	75	68	68	62	60	70	72	70	70	71	72	68	72	68	71	64	69
Extremely important	32	34	38	29	24	35	32	33	32	32	32	34	31	34	28	35	20	40
Very important	37	41	30	39	38	25	38	39	39	38	40	39	37	39	40	36	44	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	21	22	32	31	35	25	24	25	25	24	22	27	23	28	22	29	27
Somewhat important	20	18	19	19	22	27	20	19	19	19	19	17	20	21	21	18	23	20
Not too important	6	4	3	13	9	8	5	5	5	6	5	5	7	3	8	4	6	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	10	0	7	5	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	5	3	7	7	4
DK/NA	5	4	10	0	7	5	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	5	3	7	7	4

Table 41: Q13e. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Restoring Muni service to pre-pandemic levels

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	69	67	70	73	73	59	62	66	73	63	61	65	66	76	71	67	65	75	70	76
Extremely important	32	23	31	32	47	8	30	38	35	29	7	25	30	41	36	44	35	38	26	38
Very important	37	45	39	41	26	51	32	28	38	33	54	40	36	36	35	23	30	37	44	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	33	26	16	20	26	32	29	25	32	24	35	27	21	22	33	31	21	24	16
Somewhat important	20	33	20	16	17	20	24	22	17	25	18	26	22	21	18	24	20	13	20	16
Not too important	6	0	6	0	3	6	8	7	7	7	6	9	4	0	4	9	11	8	4	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	0	4	11	7	15	6	5	3	5	15	0	7	2	7	0	4	4	6	8
DK/NA	5	0	4	11	7	15	6	5	3	5	15	0	7	2	7	0	4	4	6	8

Table 42: Q13f. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	44	46	40	45	43	44	44	34	49	38	46	39	55	43	36	45	40	42	57	38
Extremely important	24	24	18	23	25	23	26	20	32	16	27	18	29	24	20	24	23	21	39	25
Very important	20	22	22	22	18	21	18	14	17	23	19	21	26	19	17	21	17	21	18	13
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	53	53	51	52	54	52	55	64	49	56	51	55	39	53	63	51	60	56	42	53
Somewhat important	28	25	33	27	30	30	26	29	30	18	31	17	23	29	31	29	28	30	23	24
Not too important	25	28	18	25	25	22	29	35	20	38	20	39	16	25	31	22	31	25	19	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	9	3	3	5	1	1	2	6	3	6	6	3	1	4	0	3	1	9
DK/NA	3	1	9	3	3	5	1	1	2	6	3	6	6	3	1	4	0	3	1	9

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	44	48	67	37	42	47	43	37	48	44	46	40	51	28	48	32	57	55	22	48	21	37
Extremely important	24	26	39	19	25	27	21	23	25	22	26	21	31	14	27	17	26	33	11	27	9	16
Very important	20	22	28	18	17	19	22	14	22	22	20	19	20	15	21	15	31	21	11	21	12	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	53	47	33	61	54	52	54	60	50	53	50	58	47	70	48	67	34	43	76	49	75	54
Somewhat important	28	21	15	33	36	25	30	30	27	31	28	31	26	30	29	29	22	26	30	29	27	28
Not too important	25	27	18	28	18	27	24	30	22	22	22	27	21	40	20	37	12	17	46	20	49	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	1	2	3	1	3	3	2	3	4	2	2	1	3	2	9	2	2	3	3	10
DK/NA	3	5	1	2	3	1	3	3	2	3	4	2	2	1	3	2	9	2	2	3	3	10

Table 42: Q13f. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	44	49	23	37	54	41	25	52	40	41	58	43	50	45	41	41	48	31	44	42	44
Extremely important	24	28	7	18	32	21	10	41	19	21	37	23	21	22	24	19	30	19	23	19	25
Very important	20	21	15	18	22	20	15	11	21	20	21	20	29	23	18	21	18	12	21	23	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	53	49	73	56	44	54	72	48	58	57	33	55	46	52	56	56	48	65	52	52	52
Somewhat important	28	29	24	26	27	31	26	27	27	31	17	32	26	30	28	31	21	36	32	34	28
Not too important	25	19	49	30	16	24	46	21	31	26	16	23	20	22	28	25	27	29	20	17	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4	7	2	4	4	0	2	2	8	2	3	2	2	3	4	3	4	7	4
DK/NA	3	3	4	7	2	4	4	0	2	2	8	2	3	2	2	3	4	3	4	7	4
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	44	39	50	45	49	40	47	45	43	44	45	38	48	41	46	42	42	88	31		
Extremely important	24	20	26	27	25	24	20	26	22	26	25	19	27	22	24	24	23	57	6		
Very important	20	20	23	18	24	16	27	19	21	17	21	20	21	19	21	17	19	31	25		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	53	57	48	52	50	58	50	50	55	52	49	60	49	54	53	55	56	7	54		
Somewhat important	28	30	35	23	5	30	28	27	29	16	36	28	32	32	25	35	29	7	40		
Not too important	25	27	13	29	45	28	22	23	26	35	13	32	18	22	27	21	27	0	14		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	2	3	1	1	3	5	2	4	6	2	3	5	2	3	2	5	14		
DK/NA	3	4	2	3	1	1	3	5	2	4	6	2	3	5	2	3	2	5	14		

Table 42: Q13f. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	44	45	47	58	42	36	41	50	39	38	40	47	56	37	33	39	46	44	0
Extremely important	24	36	32	32	20	14	23	34	18	17	20	30	39	22	15	17	25	24	0
Very important	20	9	15	25	22	22	18	16	21	22	19	17	17	14	18	22	20	20	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	53	50	53	42	54	63	57	49	59	59	60	51	44	58	63	59	54	53	0
Somewhat important	28	29	33	25	36	30	25	29	30	30	29	36	20	43	34	27	30	28	0
Not too important	25	21	20	17	17	33	32	19	30	29	31	15	24	15	29	32	24	25	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	0	0	4	1	1	2	2	2	1	3	0	5	3	2	0	3	0
DK/NA	3	5	0	0	4	1	1	2	2	2	1	3	0	5	3	2	0	3	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	44	40	47		33	39	46	48	52	48	39	49	51	48	39	27	36	46	43	52
Extremely important	24	22	26		21	22	29	24	31	16	23	24	24	25	24	8	18	27	29	22
Very important	20	19	21		13	17	17	24	22	32	16	25	26	23	15	19	18	19	14	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	53	57	49		64	61	49	50	45	38	59	46	42	49	57	73	63	50	54	43
Somewhat important	28	23	34		30	27	26	29	30	29	28	29	30	27	31	26	19	29	36	30
Not too important	25	34	16		34	34	23	21	15	9	31	17	12	22	27	47	43	20	18	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4		3	0	5	2	2	14	2	4	7	3	3	0	1	4	3	5
DK/NA	3	3	4		3	0	5	2	2	14	2	4	7	3	3	0	1	4	3	5

Table 42: Q13f. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	44	42	55	38	40	14	40	46	49	33	45	26	28	40	52	54	40	45	19	29	
Extremely important	24	24	27	27	21	0	15	25	25	20	29	5	13	20	26	34	24	29	0	3	
Very important	20	18	29	12	19	14	25	21	24	13	16	21	14	20	27	20	16	15	19	26	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	53	55	41	61	53	86	60	51	47	65	51	74	72	55	45	43	58	54	81	71	
Somewhat important	28	28	26	29	33	17	35	21	33	26	37	30	18	28	31	18	40	20	48	0	
Not too important	25	27	14	32	20	69	25	29	14	39	14	44	54	27	14	25	19	34	33	71	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4	1	7	0	0	3	4	3	4	0	0	4	2	4	2	1	0	0	
DK/NA	3	3	4	1	7	0	0	3	4	3	4	0	0	4	2	4	2	1	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	44	49	44	31	41	33	44	46	44	45	45	47	45	35	46	45	41	41
Extremely important	24	26	20	9	26	21	23	25	23	23	23	24	25	17	25	29	15	21
Very important	20	23	24	22	15	12	20	21	21	22	22	22	20	18	21	16	26	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	53	48	48	69	55	66	53	51	52	51	51	48	51	64	52	50	56	58
Somewhat important	28	27	32	22	29	32	29	29	30	31	32	33	26	38	30	25	29	32
Not too important	25	21	17	46	26	33	24	22	22	20	19	16	25	26	22	26	27	26
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	8	0	4	1	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	1	3	5	3	1
DK/NA	3	3	8	0	4	1	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	1	3	5	3	1

Table 42: Q13f. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing the use of Speed Safety Cameras, red light cameras, and illegal turn cameras to improve pedestrian safety

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	44	31	53	61	40	48	41	40	40	37	51	45	40	38	55	32	46	39	41	54
Extremely important	24	13	28	37	31	21	20	22	23	17	20	28	23	18	25	17	23	30	28	34
Very important	20	18	26	24	9	28	21	18	18	20	31	17	17	20	30	15	24	10	13	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	53	65	42	29	56	49	55	59	58	60	47	50	60	57	39	68	52	57	55	38
Somewhat important	28	57	20	12	28	18	32	34	30	34	18	26	32	40	18	41	27	28	28	19
Not too important	25	8	22	17	28	32	24	25	28	26	29	24	28	18	21	27	25	29	27	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	5	10	4	3	4	1	2	3	3	5	0	4	5	0	2	3	4	7
DK/NA	3	4	5	10	4	3	4	1	2	3	3	5	0	4	5	0	2	3	4	7

Table 43: Q13g. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	76	76	49	68	84	73	82	77	87	52	82	61	76	78	76	79	68	77	84	70
Extremely important	40	40	22	35	46	35	49	50	53	20	45	28	37	47	40	43	33	42	49	31
Very important	36	36	27	34	38	38	33	27	34	32	37	33	39	31	36	36	35	36	35	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	23	31	25	15	22	17	22	12	43	16	34	16	21	22	17	31	21	13	18
Somewhat important	17	22	23	22	13	20	13	15	11	29	14	23	12	19	19	14	28	18	11	13
Not too important	3	1	8	3	3	2	4	6	1	14	1	11	5	2	2	3	3	2	2	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	1	20	6	1	5	1	1	0	6	3	5	8	1	2	4	1	2	3	12
DK/NA	4	1	20	6	1	5	1	1	0	6	3	5	8	1	2	4	1	2	3	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	76	73	71	84	82	71	80	67	81	84	83	69	84	68	80	72	69	87	57	81	56	62
Extremely important	40	34	36	51	37	41	41	32	44	44	46	35	47	31	44	33	46	49	24	45	20	33
Very important	36	39	35	33	45	30	39	35	37	39	38	34	36	37	37	38	23	39	33	36	36	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	21	26	14	16	27	17	31	15	14	15	28	15	30	18	26	15	11	39	17	39	22
Somewhat important	17	19	20	12	15	24	14	25	14	12	12	24	15	24	16	21	12	11	28	15	28	21
Not too important	3	2	6	1	2	3	3	5	1	2	3	3	1	6	2	5	3	1	11	1	12	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	6	3	2	2	2	4	2	3	2	2	4	1	2	2	2	16	1	4	2	5	16
DK/NA	4	6	3	2	2	2	4	2	3	2	2	4	1	2	2	2	16	1	4	2	5	16

Table 43: Q13g. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	76	81	59	63	87	71	63	81	87	77	73	71	86	76	79	80	84	75	61	46	72
Extremely important	40	44	29	32	46	41	27	32	50	41	39	31	57	39	42	38	48	44	34	24	41
Very important	36	37	30	31	41	31	36	49	37	36	34	40	29	37	37	42	35	31	27	22	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	17	35	24	12	24	32	8	12	20	19	25	13	21	18	18	15	25	27	36	22
Somewhat important	17	15	24	23	11	21	22	8	10	18	14	21	10	17	17	17	15	19	21	30	18
Not too important	3	1	10	1	1	2	9	0	2	2	5	4	3	4	1	2	0	6	6	7	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	6	12	1	5	5	11	1	3	8	4	1	3	3	1	1	0	11	17	6
DK/NA	4	2	6	12	1	5	5	11	1	3	8	4	1	3	3	1	1	0	11	17	6
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	76	82	79	77	79	80	82	70	81	68	72	76	85	80	76	76	79	89	28		
Extremely important	40	44	41	43	40	43	44	36	43	36	35	39	48	44	42	33	42	51	5		
Very important	36	38	38	34	40	37	38	35	37	32	37	37	38	36	33	43	37	38	22		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	17	20	21	20	19	16	23	18	27	20	23	14	15	23	20	20	6	39		
Somewhat important	17	15	17	18	17	18	13	20	16	22	18	20	12	12	20	16	17	6	33		
Not too important	3	1	3	4	2	2	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	0	6		
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	1	1	2	1	1	2	7	1	6	7	1	1	5	2	5	1	5	34		
DK/NA	4	1	1	2	1	1	2	7	1	6	7	1	1	5	2	5	1	5	34		

Table 43: Q13g. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	76	66	74	73	78	85	79	71	81	80	83	73	72	61	89	77	85	76	0
Extremely important	40	42	35	49	46	41	44	42	43	41	45	33	48	38	57	39	35	40	0
Very important	36	24	39	25	31	44	36	29	38	39	38	40	24	24	32	38	50	36	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	26	25	27	22	15	20	26	18	20	16	24	26	30	11	22	15	20	0
Somewhat important	17	23	22	26	20	14	14	24	15	18	12	21	26	25	9	19	11	17	0
Not too important	3	4	2	1	2	1	5	2	3	2	4	3	1	5	2	3	4	3	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	8	2	0	0	1	1	3	1	0	1	3	2	9	0	1	0	4	0
DK/NA	4	8	2	0	0	1	1	3	1	0	1	3	2	9	0	1	0	4	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	76	72	79		78	80	79	80	78	46	79	72	64	81	68	68	76	68	82	76
Extremely important	40	38	42		38	47	43	40	46	15	43	37	33	44	35	34	41	33	44	40
Very important	36	35	37		40	33	37	39	32	31	36	35	31	37	33	34	34	35	38	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	24	17		19	20	19	19	18	34	19	22	25	16	26	32	21	28	18	16
Somewhat important	17	21	15		18	20	15	13	16	28	18	17	21	14	24	21	20	22	17	12
Not too important	3	3	2		1	0	3	6	3	6	1	5	4	3	2	11	2	6	1	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	4		4	0	2	1	4	20	2	6	11	3	6	0	3	4	1	8
DK/NA	4	3	4		4	0	2	1	4	20	2	6	11	3	6	0	3	4	1	8

Table 43: Q13g. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	76	81	81	78	55	64	71	79	83	64	71	57	89	88	79	82	72	77	60	68	
Extremely important	40	48	38	38	31	22	46	45	43	29	40	21	59	49	42	44	34	47	29	22	
Very important	36	33	43	40	24	42	25	34	40	35	31	36	30	39	37	38	38	30	30	47	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	16	16	21	32	36	29	17	15	32	21	43	11	10	19	14	28	23	40	32	
Somewhat important	17	15	12	21	27	31	12	14	13	32	18	27	11	9	17	11	25	23	27	25	
Not too important	3	2	4	0	4	5	17	3	2	0	3	16	0	1	2	3	3	0	14	6	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	3	1	13	0	0	4	2	4	8	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	
DK/NA	4	3	3	1	13	0	0	4	2	4	8	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	76	82	83	63	69	69	77	78	78	78	79	77	77	74	81	75	75	72
Extremely important	40	47	34	32	37	33	40	44	43	43	43	41	39	44	37	42	39	43
Very important	36	35	49	30	31	36	37	34	34	35	37	36	37	30	44	33	36	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	16	14	37	23	29	20	18	19	18	16	17	20	22	16	19	25	24
Somewhat important	17	14	13	24	22	22	17	15	16	14	14	14	17	18	15	16	18	21
Not too important	3	2	1	14	1	7	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	5	1	2	7	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	3	0	8	3	3	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	3	6	0	3
DK/NA	4	2	3	0	8	3	3	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	3	6	0	3

Table 43: Q13g. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays to make Muni more reliable

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	76	76	68	82	77	81	78	68	81	77	77	72	58	86	68	83	77	77	78	82
Extremely important	40	31	27	44	48	50	36	43	42	36	48	28	39	37	28	49	40	48	48	40
Very important	36	46	41	38	28	31	41	25	39	41	29	44	19	50	41	34	37	29	29	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	20	25	6	19	19	22	28	17	23	23	28	36	9	22	17	20	21	18	7
Somewhat important	17	17	24	4	16	14	14	25	15	15	18	27	34	8	20	12	18	20	15	6
Not too important	3	3	2	2	3	5	8	4	1	7	5	1	2	2	2	5	2	1	2	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	4	7	13	4	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	5	4	10	0	3	2	5	11
DK/NA	4	4	7	13	4	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	5	4	10	0	3	2	5	11

Table 44: Q13h. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Expanding reduced fares for youth, seniors, and low-income residents

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	79	61	74	62	72	59	48	77	47	73	48	73	76	61	67	69	66	80	56
Extremely important	35	36	35	35	35	36	33	34	47	24	39	26	37	43	30	36	33	34	45	33
Very important	32	43	26	38	27	36	26	15	29	23	34	23	35	33	30	31	36	33	35	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	20	30	23	36	25	39	50	21	49	23	49	23	21	38	29	30	31	19	36
Somewhat important	18	15	25	18	18	18	20	19	16	20	17	20	14	13	23	17	22	19	10	25
Not too important	11	5	5	5	17	7	19	30	6	29	7	29	9	9	14	12	8	12	9	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	9	3	3	4	2	2	2	4	3	3	5	3	2	4	1	3	1	9
DK/NA	3	1	9	3	3	4	2	2	2	4	3	3	5	3	2	4	1	3	1	9

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	73	88	63	71	70	67	79	63	58	62	74	77	53	72	61	66	78	47	73	47	57
Extremely important	35	38	43	33	36	39	33	46	29	22	29	43	43	28	38	31	36	47	24	39	24	23
Very important	32	34	46	31	35	31	34	33	33	37	33	31	34	25	35	30	31	31	23	34	24	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	22	10	35	27	29	30	19	34	36	34	25	20	45	25	39	25	20	51	25	49	37
Somewhat important	18	15	9	21	18	17	19	16	19	25	21	16	14	25	17	21	16	13	16	17	17	28
Not too important	11	7	1	14	9	11	12	3	16	11	14	9	6	20	8	18	9	7	34	7	32	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	6	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	5	4	1	3	1	3	1	9	2	2	2	3	7
DK/NA	3	6	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	5	4	1	3	1	3	1	9	2	2	2	3	7

Table 44: Q13h. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Expanding reduced fares for youth, seniors, and low-income residents

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	73	51	55	79	64	51	60	59	67	74	59	53	57	75	65	79	84	59	58	71
Extremely important	35	38	25	29	47	28	26	10	37	33	47	23	25	24	41	31	37	71	28	24	39
Very important	32	35	26	26	32	36	25	50	23	34	27	36	28	33	33	34	42	13	31	35	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	25	44	42	19	33	45	40	39	31	20	38	44	40	24	33	17	16	36	35	25
Somewhat important	18	17	18	29	13	23	17	23	19	20	9	23	31	25	14	20	10	9	24	27	17
Not too important	11	8	27	13	5	10	28	16	19	11	11	15	13	15	9	13	7	7	12	8	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	5	4	2	3	4	0	2	2	6	3	3	3	2	2	4	0	5	7	4
DK/NA	3	2	5	4	2	3	4	0	2	2	6	3	3	3	2	2	4	0	5	7	4
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	77	74	61	64	66	55	77	62	71	82	51	73	67	68	72	68	95	46		
Extremely important	35	46	38	28	27	31	28	43	30	44	42	24	36	37	37	32	36	41	20		
Very important	32	31	36	33	37	35	27	34	32	28	40	27	37	30	31	40	32	53	26		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	22	23	36	35	33	41	19	36	26	13	47	24	29	30	25	30	0	40		
Somewhat important	18	12	17	22	10	19	24	14	21	17	11	24	17	21	17	15	18	0	33		
Not too important	11	9	6	14	25	14	18	5	15	8	2	23	7	8	13	10	12	0	7		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	3	3	1	2	4	4	2	3	5	2	3	4	2	3	2	5	14		
DK/NA	3	2	3	3	1	2	4	4	2	3	5	2	3	4	2	3	2	5	14		

Table 44: Q13h. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Expanding reduced fares for youth, seniors, and low-income residents

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	71	87	74	72	73	53	77	66	72	57	75	78	78	64	65	72	68	0
Extremely important	35	41	47	40	40	34	26	43	32	34	30	41	45	42	35	34	25	35	0
Very important	32	30	40	34	32	39	27	34	33	38	27	34	33	36	29	31	47	32	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	26	13	26	26	26	43	22	32	26	40	25	22	17	32	33	27	29	0
Somewhat important	18	21	6	20	19	19	19	16	19	19	19	20	15	9	22	18	18	18	0
Not too important	11	5	7	6	7	7	25	6	13	7	21	5	7	8	10	15	9	11	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	0	0	2	1	4	1	2	2	3	0	0	5	4	2	2	3	0
DK/NA	3	2	0	0	2	1	4	1	2	2	3	0	0	5	4	2	2	3	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	58	77		79	69	67	68	64	50	71	63	58	69	66	64	61	55	81	71
Extremely important	35	31	39		46	35	32	34	41	14	38	32	30	37	34	29	36	24	39	39
Very important	32	27	38		32	33	35	34	23	37	33	31	29	32	32	35	24	31	43	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	39	20		17	31	30	30	34	40	26	33	37	28	31	35	37	42	16	25
Somewhat important	18	22	15		14	17	16	18	22	31	16	22	26	19	20	8	19	26	12	18
Not too important	11	17	5		3	14	14	13	12	9	11	12	11	9	11	28	18	16	3	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	3		4	1	3	2	2	10	2	3	5	3	3	1	2	3	3	4
DK/NA	3	2	3		4	1	3	2	2	10	2	3	5	3	3	1	2	3	3	4

Table 44: Q13h. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Expanding reduced fares for youth, seniors, and low-income residents

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	71	67	72	59	74	55	62	75	47	83	67	60	76	70	62	83	58	65	67	
Extremely important	35	37	36	39	27	33	26	35	37	25	43	27	35	44	36	30	49	25	19	27	
Very important	32	33	31	32	32	41	29	27	38	22	40	40	25	32	35	32	34	33	46	40	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	26	31	28	35	26	44	35	22	50	13	33	40	22	26	33	16	41	35	33	
Somewhat important	18	16	23	18	22	4	11	20	17	31	11	5	14	14	19	18	8	24	12	7	
Not too important	11	10	8	9	13	22	33	15	5	19	3	28	25	8	7	15	8	17	23	25	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	2	1	6	0	1	3	3	3	4	0	0	2	4	4	1	1	0	0	
DK/NA	3	4	2	1	6	0	1	3	3	3	4	0	0	2	4	4	1	1	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	70	70	67	69	57	67	63	61	60	58	58	67	69	64	73	66	64
Extremely important	35	37	30	29	36	35	34	33	32	31	32	33	36	32	32	43	36	27
Very important	32	33	40	38	33	21	33	31	30	29	25	25	31	38	32	31	30	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	28	24	32	27	42	30	33	35	36	39	38	29	30	34	22	31	35
Somewhat important	18	19	18	6	16	27	19	20	21	22	25	23	19	15	22	13	19	20
Not too important	11	9	7	26	11	16	12	13	14	14	14	15	10	15	12	9	12	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	6	1	4	1	3	3	4	4	4	5	3	0	2	5	3	1
DK/NA	3	2	6	1	4	1	3	3	4	4	4	5	3	0	2	5	3	1

Table 44: Q13h. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Expanding reduced fares for youth, seniors, and low-income residents

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	59	71	81	74	72	65	66	64	60	76	66	72	53	74	61	65	66	79	75
Extremely important	35	23	31	38	48	44	27	24	41	23	45	31	22	32	33	25	48	33	50	41
Very important	32	36	40	43	27	28	39	42	23	37	30	35	50	21	40	36	16	32	29	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	37	23	9	23	28	28	33	35	34	24	32	28	43	19	39	34	31	19	18
Somewhat important	18	19	13	4	18	14	19	19	24	25	11	22	14	28	7	22	26	22	13	9
Not too important	11	19	11	5	6	13	9	14	11	9	13	9	14	15	12	17	8	9	7	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	6	10	2	0	7	1	1	6	0	2	0	4	7	0	2	3	1	7
DK/NA	3	4	6	10	2	0	7	1	1	6	0	2	0	4	7	0	2	3	1	7

Table 45: Q13i. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	56	40	51	53	52	53	50	56	36	53	47	58	56	47	53	50	52	64	44
Extremely important	20	20	9	17	22	20	21	19	26	6	22	16	21	20	19	19	21	21	25	15
Very important	33	36	30	34	31	32	32	30	30	30	31	31	36	36	29	34	29	32	40	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	41	46	42	41	40	44	48	38	58	41	47	35	36	48	40	44	42	34	39
Somewhat important	29	33	33	33	25	28	29	31	27	27	30	25	23	27	33	30	26	29	22	25
Not too important	13	8	13	9	16	12	15	16	11	31	11	23	13	9	15	11	18	13	12	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	15	7	6	8	3	2	6	7	6	5	7	8	5	6	5	5	2	17
DK/NA	6	3	15	7	6	8	3	2	6	7	6	5	7	8	5	6	5	5	2	17

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	55	59	59	37	49	55	51	54	54	53	50	62	41	59	43	47	65	30	58	28	44
Extremely important	20	20	26	22	11	18	20	17	21	23	22	15	28	11	25	10	23	31	7	23	5	11
Very important	33	35	33	37	26	31	35	34	32	31	31	34	34	30	34	33	23	34	23	35	23	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	36	37	38	57	45	40	44	41	36	40	45	32	53	35	53	42	30	64	37	65	46
Somewhat important	29	27	24	28	32	31	29	27	30	26	30	28	24	24	28	29	32	20	34	28	31	35
Not too important	13	9	13	9	24	14	11	18	11	10	10	17	7	29	6	24	11	10	30	9	34	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	9	4	3	6	6	5	4	5	10	7	5	6	6	6	4	11	5	7	6	7	10
DK/NA	6	9	4	3	6	6	5	4	5	10	7	5	6	6	6	4	11	5	7	6	7	10

Table 45: Q13i. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	59	32	30	66	48	32	69	61	52	55	49	55	51	54	57	61	39	43	39	49
Extremely important	20	23	8	14	29	15	9	12	28	19	24	21	17	19	19	19	29	14	14	8	19
Very important	33	36	24	16	36	33	24	58	33	33	31	29	39	32	35	38	32	24	29	31	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	36	61	56	30	45	61	31	39	43	34	45	40	43	41	38	33	59	46	46	43
Somewhat important	29	27	33	33	20	36	32	31	22	29	25	28	33	30	29	29	20	34	33	36	28
Not too important	13	9	28	23	10	9	28	0	17	13	9	17	7	13	12	9	13	25	14	10	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	8	13	5	7	7	0	0	5	11	6	5	6	5	5	6	3	11	15	8
DK/NA	6	5	8	13	5	7	7	0	0	5	11	6	5	6	5	5	6	3	11	15	8
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	53	57	55	61	53	50	54	52	59	50	51	53	52	54	55	52	78	34		
Extremely important	20	17	21	24	30	21	20	18	20	28	11	23	18	20	20	18	19	49	0		
Very important	33	36	37	31	31	32	30	35	31	31	39	28	35	32	33	37	33	28	34		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	40	37	41	38	43	44	38	43	32	43	47	40	40	43	39	43	17	41		
Somewhat important	29	30	28	28	25	32	32	23	32	20	26	33	31	28	31	20	29	17	37		
Not too important	13	11	9	14	14	11	12	15	11	12	17	13	8	12	11	19	14	0	4		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	5	4	1	4	6	8	5	9	8	2	7	9	4	6	5	5	25		
DK/NA	6	7	5	4	1	4	6	8	5	9	8	2	7	9	4	6	5	5	25		

Table 45: Q13i. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	62	57	55	42	52	59	58	52	50	56	48	63	65	55	50	61	52	0
Extremely important	20	26	21	19	17	17	25	22	20	17	23	17	23	28	24	18	21	20	0
Very important	33	36	36	36	26	35	34	36	33	33	33	31	40	36	31	32	40	33	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	32	43	43	54	43	34	39	42	46	37	47	37	30	37	46	33	42	0
Somewhat important	29	25	23	36	35	33	23	28	30	35	24	35	27	15	24	34	20	29	0
Not too important	13	7	20	7	19	10	11	11	12	12	13	12	10	15	12	12	13	13	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	0	2	3	5	7	3	5	4	7	5	0	5	9	4	6	6	0
DK/NA	6	6	0	2	3	5	7	3	5	4	7	5	0	5	9	4	6	6	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	53	52		54	47	59	52	61	41	52	52	53	54	48	57	53	54	53	51
Extremely important	20	25	15		23	22	22	19	17	8	22	16	14	23	15	12	29	19	16	13
Very important	33	29	37		31	25	38	33	44	33	30	36	39	32	33	44	24	35	37	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	42	41		41	48	37	43	33	41	43	40	37	40	44	43	44	40	42	40
Somewhat important	29	29	29		22	35	28	31	24	29	29	28	26	28	31	23	30	27	28	30
Not too important	13	14	12		19	14	9	12	10	12	14	11	11	12	13	20	14	13	14	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	7		5	5	4	5	6	17	5	8	10	6	8	0	3	6	6	9
DK/NA	6	4	7		5	5	4	5	6	17	5	8	10	6	8	0	3	6	6	9

Table 45: Q13i. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	52	57	52	43	63	50	58	51	44	52	54	62	56	57	56	53	55	37	76	
Extremely important	20	24	21	18	12	23	1	30	16	18	13	10	16	20	23	30	11	23	0	27	
Very important	33	28	37	33	31	40	49	27	35	26	39	44	46	36	34	25	41	32	37	49	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	44	34	42	48	37	50	38	42	50	39	46	38	39	36	40	40	42	63	24	
Somewhat important	29	30	25	29	34	14	32	25	31	36	28	28	13	30	29	24	26	35	28	19	
Not too important	13	14	9	13	13	23	18	12	11	15	12	18	25	10	7	16	15	7	34	5	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	8	6	9	0	0	5	7	6	8	0	0	5	6	4	7	3	0	0	
DK/NA	6	4	8	6	9	0	0	5	7	6	8	0	0	5	6	4	7	3	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	57	51	61	48	41	51	50	50	49	49	50	51	59	57	47	49	59
Extremely important	20	24	19	15	14	16	19	21	21	20	21	20	21	14	21	18	19	20
Very important	33	33	31	45	34	25	32	29	29	29	28	30	30	45	35	29	30	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	37	38	39	43	57	42	42	42	43	43	42	42	40	40	46	41	37
Somewhat important	29	28	25	17	30	36	30	31	30	31	32	32	29	29	29	32	26	25
Not too important	13	9	13	22	14	21	13	11	11	11	11	10	13	12	10	15	15	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	11	0	9	1	6	8	9	8	8	8	7	1	4	7	10	5
DK/NA	6	5	11	0	9	1	6	8	9	8	8	8	7	1	4	7	10	5

Table 45: Q13i. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Installing smart traffic signals that hold green lights for transit

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	53	51	45	54	52	47	59	51	47	52	46	67	60	54	57	50	55	40	46
Extremely important	20	18	14	29	15	28	12	20	20	13	29	11	27	24	16	10	23	17	15	27
Very important	33	35	37	16	39	24	35	39	31	35	23	35	40	36	37	46	27	38	25	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	43	41	45	41	43	38	36	45	38	43	47	30	33	35	43	44	42	56	47
Somewhat important	29	32	32	25	29	26	23	25	32	24	28	36	22	24	30	30	30	35	32	28
Not too important	13	11	9	20	12	17	15	11	13	15	16	11	8	9	5	13	15	8	23	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	8	10	5	5	15	5	4	14	5	7	3	7	11	0	6	2	4	7
DK/NA	6	4	8	10	5	5	15	5	4	14	5	7	3	7	11	0	6	2	4	7

Table 46: Q13j. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM LESS			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													/MNTH	OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	88	54	79	80	82	73	67	88	54	85	59	77	84	79	79	79	80	89	73
Extremely important	44	45	26	39	48	45	40	38	56	20	51	27	47	48	40	45	41	43	58	45
Very important	35	44	27	39	31	37	33	30	32	34	35	32	30	36	39	35	38	37	30	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	9	30	15	19	14	23	28	12	37	12	35	14	15	19	16	20	17	11	14
Somewhat important	15	9	26	13	16	13	18	14	11	24	12	27	12	14	16	14	18	15	9	14
Not too important	2	0	4	1	3	0	6	13	1	13	1	9	2	1	3	2	3	3	2	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	16	6	1	4	4	5	0	9	2	6	9	2	2	5	1	3	1	13
DK/NA	4	3	16	6	1	4	4	5	0	9	2	6	9	2	2	5	1	3	1	13

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	77	90	85	76	81	80	79	81	82	83	76	88	71	85	73	71	89	59	85	60	53
Extremely important	44	42	47	46	48	49	41	49	43	43	47	40	57	32	49	35	46	59	23	50	20	23
Very important	35	35	44	39	28	32	39	31	38	39	36	36	32	39	36	38	25	30	36	35	41	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	18	9	12	20	18	17	18	16	15	14	21	9	27	12	25	15	9	35	12	33	32
Somewhat important	15	18	7	10	19	16	14	15	14	13	12	19	9	20	12	20	15	8	20	12	21	32
Not too important	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	1	7	1	5	0	1	14	1	12	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	5	1	3	4	1	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	14	2	6	2	6	15
DK/NA	4	5	1	3	4	1	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	14	2	6	2	6	15

Table 46: Q13j. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	85	62	53	90	75	64	70	85	79	82	73	84	77	82	83	87	81	66	57	76
Extremely important	44	49	20	38	59	39	21	14	50	43	46	35	45	38	48	45	50	60	31	25	42
Very important	35	36	43	15	31	36	43	57	35	36	35	38	40	39	34	38	37	21	35	32	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	12	30	37	8	19	30	23	15	18	10	24	16	21	14	14	10	19	26	30	19
Somewhat important	15	11	19	37	8	19	20	22	12	16	8	21	14	18	13	14	9	14	23	27	16
Not too important	2	1	11	0	0	0	10	1	3	2	2	3	1	3	1	1	1	5	3	2	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	7	10	1	5	6	6	0	3	8	3	0	2	4	3	3	0	8	13	5
DK/NA	4	3	7	10	1	5	6	6	0	3	8	3	0	2	4	3	3	0	8	13	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	88	87	75	71	81	82	77	81	76	78	73	90	83	79	80	83	87	30		
Extremely important	44	58	45	43	28	42	46	45	44	43	45	34	53	53	43	35	46	54	5		
Very important	35	31	42	32	43	38	36	33	37	32	33	39	37	29	35	45	36	33	25		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	9	12	23	28	17	15	17	16	20	15	24	8	11	19	17	15	8	41		
Somewhat important	15	9	11	18	23	15	12	16	14	17	15	20	7	10	18	14	13	8	36		
Not too important	2	1	0	4	5	2	3	1	2	3	0	4	0	2	1	3	2	0	5		
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	1	2	1	3	3	6	3	4	7	3	2	6	2	3	2	5	29		
DK/NA	4	2	1	2	1	3	3	6	3	4	7	3	2	6	2	3	2	5	29		

Table 46: Q13j. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	70	86	83	76	85	85	80	83	82	85	83	78	77	85	81	89	79	0
Extremely important	44	53	42	41	49	46	44	45	46	49	43	50	44	36	58	43	42	44	0
Very important	35	17	44	42	27	39	42	34	37	33	42	33	34	41	27	39	47	35	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	20	11	17	23	14	13	16	15	17	13	11	21	12	12	18	11	17	0
Somewhat important	15	19	11	17	21	12	9	16	13	14	11	11	21	12	10	16	8	15	0
Not too important	2	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	3	3	2	0	0	0	1	2	4	2	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	11	3	0	1	1	2	4	1	1	2	6	2	11	3	1	0	4	0
DK/NA	4	11	3	0	1	1	2	4	1	1	2	6	2	11	3	1	0	4	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE						PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE						
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	74	84		87	83	77	81	77	58	82	75	69	83	73	74	76	70	88	80
Extremely important	44	37	50		51	50	40	44	41	24	48	39	34	50	36	26	41	32	53	45
Very important	35	36	35		35	33	37	37	36	34	35	36	35	33	37	49	35	38	35	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	23	11		11	14	22	17	19	22	15	19	20	14	21	26	21	25	10	13
Somewhat important	15	19	11		11	13	19	12	19	19	14	16	19	13	18	19	19	20	10	12
Not too important	2	3	1		0	2	3	5	0	3	1	3	2	1	3	7	3	5	0	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	4		2	3	1	2	4	20	2	6	11	3	7	0	2	5	2	7
DK/NA	4	3	4		2	3	1	2	4	20	2	6	11	3	7	0	2	5	2	7

Table 46: Q13j. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	83	83	82	61	77	71	74	90	72	74	75	74	90	88	71	83	78	68	78	
Extremely important	44	51	48	45	25	23	28	43	55	31	40	22	35	61	48	45	41	40	5	27	
Very important	35	32	35	37	37	54	44	32	35	40	34	54	39	29	40	26	42	38	63	51	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	15	13	16	26	23	29	22	7	24	17	25	26	8	11	24	13	22	32	22	
Somewhat important	15	13	12	15	22	18	20	19	7	21	16	14	26	7	11	20	13	19	25	11	
Not too important	2	1	2	1	5	5	9	3	0	2	1	10	0	1	0	4	0	3	6	10	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	4	2	12	0	0	4	2	5	9	0	0	2	1	4	3	0	0	0	
DK/NA	4	2	4	2	12	0	0	4	2	5	9	0	0	2	1	4	3	0	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	85	78	73	74	72	79	77	77	77	77	75	79	80	83	81	79	71
Extremely important	44	51	45	25	40	32	43	45	44	43	44	42	45	39	35	51	45	42
Very important	35	34	34	48	34	39	35	33	33	34	32	33	34	41	48	30	35	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	13	19	27	17	25	17	18	19	18	18	18	16	20	15	13	19	24
Somewhat important	15	11	18	21	15	21	15	15	15	15	14	15	14	17	13	11	17	20
Not too important	2	1	1	6	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	1	2	2	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	3	0	8	3	4	5	4	5	5	7	5	1	2	5	2	5
DK/NA	4	3	3	0	8	3	4	5	4	5	5	7	5	1	2	5	2	5

Table 46: Q13j. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing and improving Muni service for the communities most dependent on transit

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	79	80	78	78	86	80	83	73	80	80	83	79	65	79	75	81	83	84	87	76
Extremely important	44	35	37	51	52	54	44	41	43	41	53	25	29	39	43	53	43	45	59	48
Very important	35	44	41	27	34	26	39	32	37	39	29	54	36	40	32	28	40	39	28	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	17	20	15	9	11	17	17	21	17	20	14	19	26	19	14	19	15	14	10	15
Somewhat important	15	20	12	9	8	17	13	16	16	17	14	17	19	19	10	18	13	11	9	15
Not too important	2	0	3	0	3	0	4	4	2	3	0	2	7	0	5	2	2	2	1	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	7	13	3	3	0	6	2	0	3	2	9	2	11	0	2	2	3	9
DK/NA	4	0	7	13	3	3	0	6	2	0	3	2	9	2	11	0	2	2	3	9

Table 47: Q13k. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to Fisherman's Wharf

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	42	45	30	41	43	43	41	32	49	27	44	34	45	47	37	41	44	43	47	28		
Extremely important	19	22	4	17	21	19	20	13	26	12	23	13	22	20	18	18	22	21	15	16		
Very important	23	23	26	24	21	24	21	20	23	16	22	21	24	27	19	23	22	21	32	12		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	51	52	51	54	49	56	65	47	62	51	58	46	48	59	52	53	53	52	55		
Somewhat important	29	30	34	31	26	30	25	16	29	16	31	20	31	21	31	29	28	30	20	29		
Not too important	24	21	18	20	27	19	31	49	18	45	20	38	16	27	28	23	24	23	32	27		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	18	8	4	8	3	3	4	11	5	8	8	5	5	7	3	5	1	17		
DK/NA	6	4	18	8	4	8	3	3	4	11	5	8	8	5	5	7	3	5	1	17		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	42	50	50	41	36	44	41	46	41	41	43	40	50	40	45	37	40	51	36	45	34	22
Extremely important	19	20	19	20	18	16	20	24	18	20	21	17	28	14	23	13	19	30	11	22	10	7
Very important	23	30	31	20	18	28	20	21	23	21	23	23	22	26	22	24	22	21	25	23	23	15
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	42	46	54	63	52	54	50	54	54	51	55	46	57	49	61	43	45	63	50	63	59
Somewhat important	29	23	32	26	36	29	28	30	29	27	26	32	28	17	30	24	35	26	17	30	16	38
Not too important	24	18	14	28	26	23	26	21	25	26	25	23	18	40	19	37	7	19	46	20	47	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	9	4	5	2	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	3	6	2	17	4	2	5	3	19
DK/NA	6	9	4	5	2	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	3	6	2	17	4	2	5	3	19

Table 47: Q13k. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to Fisherman's Wharf

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	42	45	38	19	49	37	37	41	53	39	54	42	52	45	40	40	53	42	36	33	43
Extremely important	19	22	14	6	28	14	13	4	33	17	29	15	26	19	20	17	30	15	13	8	20
Very important	23	23	25	13	21	23	24	37	20	22	25	27	25	27	20	23	24	27	23	25	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	50	56	70	47	55	58	47	43	56	34	53	46	51	55	55	41	58	52	50	49
Somewhat important	29	31	15	35	27	36	16	27	19	31	19	25	21	23	33	29	28	23	32	31	29
Not too important	24	19	41	34	20	19	42	21	24	26	15	29	26	28	22	25	12	35	21	18	20
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	5	11	4	8	5	11	4	5	12	4	2	3	6	5	6	0	12	17	8
DK/NA	6	5	5	11	4	8	5	11	4	5	12	4	2	3	6	5	6	0	12	17	8
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	42	43	39	48	64	40	44	42	42	48	37	45	39	42	43	38	41	81	26		
Extremely important	19	19	21	20	30	20	19	19	20	25	13	23	17	22	19	18	19	41	2		
Very important	23	23	18	27	34	20	25	23	22	22	24	23	22	20	24	20	21	40	24		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	52	55	49	35	56	51	50	54	47	53	53	54	48	53	58	55	14	40		
Somewhat important	29	31	34	18	24	30	27	29	29	20	38	28	29	24	30	35	29	14	35		
Not too important	24	21	21	31	12	26	23	21	25	27	15	25	25	24	23	23	27	0	5		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	6	4	1	4	5	8	4	5	10	2	7	10	4	4	4	5	34		
DK/NA	6	5	6	4	1	4	5	8	4	5	10	2	7	10	4	4	4	5	34		

Table 47: Q13k. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to Fisherman's Wharf

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	42	40	43	45	44	41	45	43	43	44	42	44	44	32	41	45	38	42	0
Extremely important	19	23	21	23	20	16	23	22	19	18	21	24	18	32	22	20	14	19	0
Very important	23	17	22	22	25	26	21	20	24	26	22	21	26	0	19	25	24	23	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	49	52	55	53	53	53	52	53	53	53	50	52	59	49	53	58	52	0
Somewhat important	29	37	30	26	31	28	27	31	29	29	28	18	33	54	28	29	29	29	0
Not too important	24	13	22	29	22	25	26	21	25	24	26	32	19	5	21	24	29	24	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	11	5	0	2	6	2	5	4	3	4	6	4	9	10	1	4	6	0
DK/NA	6	11	5	0	2	6	2	5	4	3	4	6	4	9	10	1	4	6	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	42	46	38		38	47	38	42	46	37	42	42	42	42	39	56	48	43	35	41
Extremely important	19	24	15		27	18	19	19	18	10	21	17	15	21	14	33	28	18	14	17
Very important	23	23	23		11	29	18	23	28	26	21	25	27	21	25	23	21	26	21	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	50	54		56	50	58	56	47	41	54	50	45	52	54	44	49	53	58	47
Somewhat important	29	25	33		31	27	35	31	18	25	30	26	21	29	31	14	26	24	35	29
Not too important	24	26	21		24	23	22	25	29	17	23	24	24	23	24	30	23	29	23	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	8		6	3	5	2	7	22	5	8	13	6	7	0	3	4	6	11
DK/NA	6	3	8		6	3	5	2	7	22	5	8	13	6	7	0	3	4	6	11

Table 47: Q13k. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to Fisherman's Wharf

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	42	38	46	42	34	71	40	51	34	35	42	53	64	41	38	55	45	43	52	69	
Extremely important	19	21	19	17	9	33	33	27	15	17	11	25	51	23	21	22	13	17	33	37	
Very important	23	17	27	25	25	39	7	24	19	18	31	28	13	17	17	33	31	26	19	32	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	55	49	57	51	29	60	45	58	62	47	47	36	54	55	39	51	56	48	31	
Somewhat important	29	32	26	33	28	7	22	22	35	34	30	16	11	31	33	15	36	26	28	7	
Not too important	24	23	23	24	23	22	38	24	22	28	17	31	25	23	22	24	15	30	20	24	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	5	1	15	0	0	4	8	3	11	0	0	5	7	5	5	1	0	0	
DK/NA	6	7	5	1	15	0	0	4	8	3	11	0	0	5	7	5	5	1	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	42	43	38	60	39	35	41	40	39	37	40	41	41	45	44	43	35	42
Extremely important	19	21	17	39	13	17	18	18	17	15	16	18	20	15	25	20	16	14
Very important	23	22	22	21	26	19	23	22	22	22	23	23	21	29	20	23	20	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	52	52	40	53	60	53	53	54	56	55	52	53	51	52	49	56	56
Somewhat important	29	30	29	18	32	20	29	27	28	28	28	25	30	24	27	31	27	27
Not too important	24	22	24	22	21	39	24	26	26	28	27	27	23	27	24	17	29	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	10	0	8	5	6	7	6	6	5	7	6	4	4	9	8	2
DK/NA	6	5	10	0	8	5	6	7	6	6	5	7	6	4	4	9	8	2

Table 47: Q13k. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Extending the Central Subway to bring rail service to Fisherman's Wharf

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	42	26	44	51	41	48	31	41	43	33	46	41	40	33	47	49	39	44	40	48
Extremely important	19	14	19	23	28	29	7	14	21	9	28	17	13	21	20	18	21	28	14	21
Very important	23	11	25	28	13	19	24	28	22	24	18	24	28	12	28	31	17	16	27	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	52	69	49	36	47	44	61	56	54	58	47	56	58	61	44	51	56	50	53	41
Somewhat important	29	47	23	29	36	25	29	26	28	26	25	24	24	40	23	30	29	32	33	25
Not too important	24	22	25	7	12	19	32	30	25	32	21	32	34	21	21	21	27	18	20	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	7	13	12	8	8	2	4	8	7	3	2	5	9	0	6	7	7	11
DK/NA	6	5	7	13	12	8	8	2	4	8	7	3	2	5	9	0	6	7	7	11

Table 48: Q13I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	83	57	76	61	72	61	53	80	39	75	46	71	74	65	67	74	68	82	67
Extremely important	37	44	30	40	33	37	34	30	50	17	43	22	40	44	32	37	37	35	55	36
Very important	32	39	26	36	28	35	27	23	30	22	31	24	31	30	34	30	36	33	28	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	14	28	18	35	23	33	39	18	51	22	45	20	22	31	27	24	28	16	24
Somewhat important	19	12	18	14	25	20	19	17	12	25	18	26	14	15	23	19	20	20	11	19
Not too important	7	2	10	4	10	3	15	22	5	26	4	19	6	8	8	8	4	7	5	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	2	16	6	4	5	5	8	2	10	3	8	9	4	4	6	2	5	1	9
DK/NA	5	2	16	6	4	5	5	8	2	10	3	8	9	4	4	6	2	5	1	9

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	69	84	69	70	71	67	75	67	67	69	69	78	58	74	62	60	76	39	76	39	53
Extremely important	37	38	53	35	38	41	34	47	33	29	38	36	43	27	39	32	40	48	14	43	13	18
Very important	32	30	31	34	31	30	33	28	33	38	31	34	35	31	35	30	19	28	25	33	26	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	21	15	27	29	26	28	23	28	26	26	28	18	40	21	36	22	21	55	21	55	29
Somewhat important	19	17	13	18	21	21	19	18	19	17	18	21	14	24	18	22	17	17	26	17	29	26
Not too important	7	4	2	9	7	5	9	4	9	9	8	7	4	16	3	14	4	5	28	4	25	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	10	1	3	2	3	5	2	5	6	5	3	4	2	5	2	19	2	6	4	6	19
DK/NA	5	10	1	3	2	3	5	2	5	6	5	3	4	2	5	2	19	2	6	4	6	19

Table 48: Q13I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	75	46	55	76	71	45	55	65	68	70	59	70	62	74	70	80	83	51	48	67
Extremely important	37	41	20	27	46	36	19	11	32	35	46	27	37	30	41	36	49	50	26	17	39
Very important	32	33	26	28	31	35	27	44	32	34	24	32	33	32	33	34	31	33	25	30	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	21	47	35	21	22	48	31	32	28	18	39	27	35	21	26	15	17	39	39	27
Somewhat important	19	17	25	25	17	18	27	31	23	20	16	30	19	27	15	21	11	13	27	28	19
Not too important	7	4	21	10	5	3	21	0	9	8	3	9	7	9	6	5	4	4	12	11	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	7	10	3	7	6	14	4	4	12	2	4	3	5	5	5	0	10	13	6
DK/NA	5	4	7	10	3	7	6	14	4	4	12	2	4	3	5	5	5	0	10	13	6
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	77	78	62	50	69	62	73	66	70	75	52	79	70	70	65	71	85	29		
Extremely important	37	43	42	34	27	35	32	41	34	36	45	27	41	36	37	37	38	53	8		
Very important	32	34	36	28	24	34	30	31	32	34	30	26	38	34	32	28	32	32	22		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	20	17	35	44	28	33	20	30	26	16	43	17	23	26	32	26	10	42		
Somewhat important	19	15	13	26	30	19	24	16	21	20	13	28	14	16	21	20	19	10	26		
Not too important	7	5	5	9	15	9	9	4	9	6	3	15	2	7	5	12	7	0	16		
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	7	4	4	9	4	4	7	5	3	3	5	29		
DK/NA	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	7	4	4	9	4	4	7	5	3	3	5	29		

Table 48: Q13I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	66	73	81	66	76	57	73	67	72	61	75	75	62	65	69	66	68	0
Extremely important	37	52	40	45	46	33	33	45	36	37	34	39	47	54	36	35	37	37	0
Very important	32	14	34	37	20	43	24	28	31	35	27	37	28	8	28	34	30	32	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	24	26	18	33	23	36	22	30	27	33	18	23	33	32	27	34	26	0
Somewhat important	19	21	19	16	22	18	21	19	20	19	21	16	20	22	20	21	18	19	0
Not too important	7	3	6	1	10	6	15	4	10	8	12	2	3	11	11	7	15	7	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	11	1	1	1	0	7	4	3	1	6	6	2	5	4	3	0	5	0
DK/NA	5	11	1	1	1	0	7	4	3	1	6	6	2	5	4	3	0	5	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	59	77		76	72	65	67	72	48	71	64	62	76	58	52	61	56	81	72
Extremely important	37	31	42		41	43	36	35	36	18	40	32	29	43	30	14	33	27	47	36
Very important	32	29	35		36	29	29	32	36	30	31	33	34	33	28	37	28	30	34	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	36	17		20	23	31	31	23	32	24	29	27	20	35	47	35	39	14	20
Somewhat important	19	25	14		16	17	23	18	17	28	19	20	22	15	26	30	24	25	13	15
Not too important	7	12	3		3	6	7	13	6	5	6	9	5	5	9	17	10	13	1	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	6		4	4	5	2	5	20	4	6	11	5	7	1	4	5	4	8
DK/NA	5	4	6		4	4	5	2	5	20	4	6	11	5	7	1	4	5	4	8

Table 48: Q13I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	76	75	66	46	49	54	65	85	49	65	53	52	78	80	68	72	54	45	49	
Extremely important	37	46	38	37	20	0	28	37	48	23	35	15	13	42	44	45	41	28	7	0	
Very important	32	30	37	29	26	49	26	29	37	26	30	38	38	36	36	23	31	26	38	49	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	18	21	31	40	51	43	30	11	47	25	45	48	20	15	27	22	45	55	48	
Somewhat important	19	13	17	24	27	46	15	20	10	33	20	27	34	16	12	19	15	33	45	27	
Not too important	7	5	4	6	13	5	29	10	1	13	5	18	14	5	4	8	8	11	10	21	
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	3	3	13	0	3	5	4	4	10	2	0	2	5	5	6	1	0	3	
DK/NA	5	5	3	3	13	0	3	5	4	4	10	2	0	2	5	5	6	1	0	3	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	77	74	56	59	56	68	68	67	67	66	67	69	68	67	68	68	70
Extremely important	37	45	35	13	31	31	36	35	34	34	33	32	38	32	30	43	33	38
Very important	32	32	38	43	29	25	31	33	32	33	34	35	31	36	38	25	35	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	19	21	43	33	39	27	26	27	27	28	26	25	30	27	27	24	26
Somewhat important	19	14	15	28	23	31	20	18	18	18	19	18	20	17	21	21	18	15
Not too important	7	5	6	14	10	8	7	8	9	10	9	9	6	13	7	6	5	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	5	2	8	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	2	5	5	8	4
DK/NA	5	4	5	2	8	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	2	5	5	8	4

Table 48: Q13I. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving access to public transit for people who are disabled

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	63	65	77	74	75	67	70	65	63	78	59	68	64	68	71	73	63	70	72
Extremely important	37	31	32	53	43	41	33	36	35	29	42	24	29	28	38	42	43	39	39	50
Very important	32	32	33	24	32	33	35	34	30	35	36	35	39	36	31	29	30	24	31	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	26	33	24	10	23	20	22	25	33	28	16	34	27	32	19	27	24	35	30	19
Somewhat important	19	22	18	7	18	16	15	13	27	21	12	26	10	20	12	17	20	30	30	13
Not too important	7	11	6	3	5	4	7	13	6	6	4	8	17	12	7	11	4	5	0	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	10	13	2	5	10	5	2	9	6	7	5	4	12	2	3	2	0	9
DK/NA	5	4	10	13	2	5	10	5	2	9	6	7	5	4	12	2	3	2	0	9

Table 49: Q13m. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Building a modern light rail system

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	54	43	51	60	55	58	56	64	34	61	40	60	56	53	57	51	55	68	52
Extremely important	29	27	13	23	36	26	37	39	36	14	34	20	28	28	32	29	29	30	34	25
Very important	26	26	30	27	25	29	21	17	28	20	28	20	32	29	21	27	22	25	34	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	41	36	40	35	37	38	38	33	58	34	52	30	37	42	36	42	41	24	29
Somewhat important	24	28	25	27	21	25	22	13	22	24	24	25	18	21	28	24	24	26	12	21
Not too important	14	13	11	13	15	12	17	25	10	34	10	27	11	17	14	12	18	15	12	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	21	9	4	8	4	6	3	8	5	8	10	6	5	7	7	5	8	19
DK/NA	7	5	21	9	4	8	4	6	3	8	5	8	10	6	5	7	7	5	8	19

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	59	59	59	48	58	57	46	61	65	61	50	65	43	63	42	57	65	34	61	31	47
Extremely important	29	29	25	33	25	31	30	20	35	38	35	23	38	19	34	21	32	39	14	33	13	22
Very important	26	30	34	26	23	26	27	26	26	27	25	27	27	24	29	22	25	26	19	28	18	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	33	34	35	45	36	38	47	34	32	36	41	32	48	33	50	25	30	58	34	60	35
Somewhat important	24	23	23	25	23	23	24	29	22	22	24	24	21	25	23	27	22	19	22	23	28	25
Not too important	14	10	12	10	22	12	14	18	12	10	11	18	11	23	10	23	3	11	36	11	33	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	7	6	7	7	5	7	5	3	4	9	3	9	4	8	18	5	8	5	9	18
DK/NA	7	7	7	6	7	7	5	7	5	3	4	9	3	9	4	8	18	5	8	5	9	18

Table 49: Q13m. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Building a modern light rail system

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	61	36	40	68	52	35	52	73	55	57	50	70	57	57	60	65	43	46	41	52
Extremely important	29	34	14	20	41	25	14	26	47	31	24	24	37	28	32	33	28	25	24	20	26
Very important	26	27	22	20	26	28	21	27	26	25	33	27	32	29	25	28	37	17	22	21	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	34	54	42	28	39	56	38	26	38	33	45	25	38	37	36	30	43	40	40	37
Somewhat important	24	24	23	26	20	27	26	38	17	25	19	29	17	25	24	26	15	16	31	32	23
Not too important	14	10	30	17	8	11	31	0	8	13	15	15	9	13	13	10	15	27	10	8	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	10	18	4	9	9	10	1	6	10	5	5	5	6	3	5	15	14	19	11
DK/NA	7	5	10	18	4	9	9	10	1	6	10	5	5	5	6	3	5	15	14	19	11
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	64	61	53	55	58	61	50	59	58	43	57	61	56	60	44	56	88	28		
Extremely important	29	38	30	31	20	33	31	25	32	33	18	34	30	34	31	21	31	39	0		
Very important	26	26	31	22	35	25	30	25	27	25	26	22	31	22	29	24	25	50	28		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	32	34	40	44	38	35	38	37	34	41	40	35	36	35	47	39	7	39		
Somewhat important	24	24	23	24	23	26	25	22	26	17	25	24	27	21	23	29	25	3	31		
Not too important	14	9	11	17	21	13	10	16	12	16	16	15	7	15	12	17	15	3	7		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	4	5	6	1	4	4	12	4	8	16	3	4	7	5	9	5	5	33		
DK/NA	7	4	5	6	1	4	4	12	4	8	16	3	4	7	5	9	5	5	33		

Table 49: Q13m. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Building a modern light rail system

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$74.5K-\$175K (2-3)	\$175K+ (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	46	49	59	58	61	65	52	62	59	65	47	58	38	69	61	53	55	0
Extremely important	29	22	28	28	31	30	42	26	34	30	39	22	32	20	50	30	25	29	0
Very important	26	24	22	31	27	31	22	25	27	29	25	25	27	18	18	31	28	26	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	38	46	36	39	37	34	40	37	39	34	44	35	49	30	37	45	38	0
Somewhat important	24	25	25	19	27	26	25	23	26	25	26	23	20	35	20	26	31	24	0
Not too important	14	13	21	16	12	11	9	17	11	13	7	21	15	14	10	11	13	14	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	16	4	5	3	2	1	9	2	2	2	9	7	13	1	2	2	7	0
DK/NA	7	16	4	5	3	2	1	9	2	2	2	9	7	13	1	2	2	7	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	57	54		58	51	62	59	57	39	56	54	49	63	42	48	59	54	53	55
Extremely important	29	34	25		34	30	35	30	25	11	33	25	19	36	19	20	39	27	26	24
Very important	26	23	29		24	21	27	28	31	28	24	29	30	27	23	28	21	27	27	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	38	37		37	43	34	38	34	35	39	36	35	31	48	50	36	39	41	32
Somewhat important	24	22	26		23	26	20	24	23	27	24	24	25	19	31	36	20	24	28	24
Not too important	14	16	11		14	17	14	14	12	7	15	12	10	12	18	14	17	15	13	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	9		5	5	5	3	9	26	5	10	16	6	10	2	4	7	6	12
DK/NA	7	5	9		5	5	5	3	9	26	5	10	16	6	10	2	4	7	6	12

Table 49: Q13m. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Building a modern light rail system

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	63	63	44	39	50	46	65	61	43	40	49	47	62	67	67	55	39	37	59	
Extremely important	29	39	31	22	16	20	19	42	30	24	15	18	24	41	32	41	26	18	9	19	
Very important	26	24	32	22	24	29	27	24	31	20	25	31	23	21	35	26	29	21	29	40	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	32	29	50	46	50	50	29	32	50	46	51	47	33	28	28	44	54	63	41	
Somewhat important	24	18	21	32	29	37	35	16	22	27	33	37	36	24	19	11	31	32	43	36	
Not too important	14	14	9	18	18	13	15	13	10	23	13	14	11	9	9	17	12	22	20	5	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	8	6	14	0	4	6	7	6	13	0	6	5	5	5	1	7	0	0	
DK/NA	7	5	8	6	14	0	4	6	7	6	13	0	6	5	5	5	1	7	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	65	58	48	44	44	55	57	57	56	57	60	56	51	58	57	51	52
Extremely important	29	37	32	20	20	22	29	31	31	31	32	28	30	26	32	29	29	28
Very important	26	28	26	28	23	23	26	27	26	25	25	31	26	25	26	28	23	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	31	27	50	46	49	38	35	37	38	36	32	37	42	37	34	44	40
Somewhat important	24	21	13	36	27	33	24	23	24	25	23	24	23	28	21	20	27	31
Not too important	14	10	14	14	19	16	13	12	13	14	13	8	14	14	16	14	16	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	15	2	11	7	7	7	6	6	6	8	7	7	5	9	5	8
DK/NA	7	3	15	2	11	7	7	7	6	6	6	8	7	7	5	9	5	8

Table 49: Q13m. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Building a modern light rail system

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	55	55	62	56	67	55	53	51	53	54	52	55	55	51	67	56	55	59	50	55
Extremely important	29	33	31	26	30	26	32	26	31	30	24	27	25	35	35	32	31	26	33	23
Very important	26	21	31	30	37	29	21	25	22	24	27	28	31	16	32	24	24	33	17	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	38	43	28	29	29	40	43	42	40	43	44	35	38	42	24	43	41	37	36	29
Somewhat important	24	34	19	18	15	23	18	32	25	21	26	25	27	30	16	31	25	24	19	18
Not too important	14	8	10	11	14	18	24	11	15	21	18	9	11	11	8	12	16	13	18	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	9	15	4	4	4	7	7	3	4	11	7	7	9	1	4	3	13	16
DK/NA	7	3	9	15	4	4	4	7	7	3	4	11	7	7	9	1	4	3	13	16

Table 50: Q13n. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on freeways and highways to provide express service for longer trips within San Francisco and the region

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	41	35	39	32	38	32	37	42	23	40	23	49	37	27	36	34	33	57	37
Extremely important	16	22	5	17	14	16	15	17	21	9	19	9	23	17	11	14	20	15	30	10
Very important	20	19	30	22	18	22	17	20	21	14	21	14	26	20	16	22	14	18	27	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	59	57	51	55	63	57	63	60	52	69	55	70	45	56	68	57	64	62	40	53
Somewhat important	32	31	25	29	34	33	31	29	35	24	34	26	27	38	32	35	22	35	21	24
Not too important	27	26	25	26	28	24	32	31	17	45	21	45	18	19	36	22	42	27	19	29
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	14	6	5	6	5	2	6	8	5	6	6	7	5	7	2	5	3	10
DK/NA	6	3	14	6	5	6	5	2	6	8	5	6	6	7	5	7	2	5	3	10

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	43	50	29	39	35	33	38	35	33	33	39	44	19	43	23	39	43	9	42	10	24
Extremely important	16	18	26	12	17	15	15	21	15	16	15	18	24	10	21	8	12	21	6	19	5	4
Very important	20	24	24	16	22	20	19	18	21	17	19	21	20	9	22	15	27	21	4	23	5	20
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	59	52	48	65	59	61	62	60	59	62	61	58	52	79	53	74	38	53	89	54	87	57
Somewhat important	32	28	25	39	22	28	35	33	33	37	36	28	37	28	35	29	27	34	26	32	29	32
Not too important	27	25	23	26	37	32	27	28	27	25	26	29	15	50	18	46	11	19	63	21	58	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	2	6	2	4	5	1	5	5	5	4	5	2	4	3	23	5	2	5	3	19
DK/NA	6	5	2	6	2	4	5	1	5	5	5	4	5	2	4	3	23	5	2	5	3	19

Table 50: Q13n. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on freeways and highways to provide express service for longer trips within San Francisco and the region

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	41	18	19	43	37	16	23	34	34	44	32	36	33	36	31	53	39	35	31	42
Extremely important	16	18	11	3	22	12	10	5	16	15	19	9	17	12	16	11	27	24	14	9	21
Very important	20	23	7	16	21	24	6	18	18	19	24	23	18	22	19	20	26	15	21	22	21
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	59	54	78	71	52	56	80	56	64	62	44	65	59	63	59	64	41	60	56	55	51
Somewhat important	32	33	27	33	34	32	27	27	38	32	33	29	33	31	34	37	24	19	30	32	26
Not too important	27	21	51	38	18	24	53	29	26	30	11	35	25	32	24	27	17	41	26	23	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	4	10	4	7	4	21	2	4	12	3	5	4	6	5	5	1	10	14	7
DK/NA	6	5	4	10	4	7	4	21	2	4	12	3	5	4	6	5	5	1	10	14	7
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	39	35	35	44	31	31	43	31	40	46	30	33	36	34	41	34	79	28		
Extremely important	16	16	12	21	15	17	10	18	14	24	13	14	15	19	13	20	16	37	3		
Very important	20	23	23	14	29	14	21	25	17	16	33	15	18	18	21	20	18	42	25		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	59	55	60	62	55	65	64	50	65	54	46	66	63	54	62	57	62	16	44		
Somewhat important	32	29	39	34	19	38	34	25	36	29	21	32	42	30	34	29	34	16	21		
Not too important	27	26	21	28	36	27	29	25	28	25	25	34	22	24	28	28	29	0	23		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	4	3	1	4	5	7	4	6	8	4	4	9	4	3	4	5	28		
DK/NA	6	6	4	3	1	4	5	7	4	6	8	4	4	9	4	3	4	5	28		

Table 50: Q13n. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on freeways and highways to provide express service for longer trips within San Francisco and the region

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	43	43	38	40	32	26	42	32	36	26	38	46	39	35	27	43	36	0
Extremely important	16	24	27	18	17	12	9	23	12	14	9	22	22	31	18	7	18	16	0
Very important	20	19	16	20	23	20	17	18	20	22	17	16	24	8	18	20	25	20	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	59	45	54	59	57	65	70	53	65	62	68	58	48	52	57	71	57	59	0
Somewhat important	32	24	39	43	36	30	35	35	33	31	35	34	31	47	32	35	25	32	0
Not too important	27	21	15	17	22	35	35	18	32	31	33	24	17	5	25	35	33	27	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	12	3	2	3	3	4	6	3	2	5	4	6	9	8	2	0	6	0
DK/NA	6	12	3	2	3	3	4	6	3	2	5	4	6	9	8	2	0	6	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	34	38		47	33	27	32	47	29	36	36	39	40	28	36	36	30	36	41
Extremely important	16	18	14		22	21	15	11	18	3	19	11	12	19	10	13	24	10	15	13
Very important	20	16	24		26	13	12	21	29	26	17	24	28	21	17	23	12	20	21	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	59	62	56		51	63	70	63	47	50	61	56	48	55	66	64	60	64	62	48
Somewhat important	32	31	33		30	29	42	35	26	24	33	30	25	31	35	23	30	33	36	29
Not too important	27	31	23		21	33	28	28	21	26	28	26	23	23	31	41	30	32	26	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	6		2	4	3	5	6	22	3	9	12	6	6	0	4	6	2	11
DK/NA	6	5	6		2	4	3	5	6	22	3	9	12	6	6	0	4	6	2	11

Table 50: Q13n. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on freeways and highways to provide express service for longer trips within San Francisco and the region

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	41	38	27	29	29	43	38	42	27	29	29	52	41	39	46	28	28	18	48	
Extremely important	16	23	12	14	6	0	25	22	16	14	8	6	28	18	15	28	6	14	12	0	
Very important	20	17	26	13	23	29	18	16	25	13	21	23	24	23	24	18	22	14	7	48	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	59	56	53	70	60	71	57	56	53	69	63	71	48	54	56	49	68	72	82	52	
Somewhat important	32	34	28	33	37	25	20	30	33	37	33	20	31	28	36	28	43	35	55	0	
Not too important	27	22	26	37	23	46	36	27	20	31	30	51	17	26	20	21	24	37	27	52	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	9	3	11	0	0	6	5	4	7	0	0	5	5	6	4	0	0	0	
DK/NA	6	4	9	3	11	0	0	6	5	4	7	0	0	5	5	6	4	0	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	39	43	38	28	31	35	34	33	31	30	29	36	34	32	42	37	29
Extremely important	16	20	13	11	11	16	15	14	13	13	12	11	16	15	16	19	11	15
Very important	20	19	29	27	17	16	20	21	20	18	18	18	20	19	17	23	26	13
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	59	54	53	62	64	68	59	59	61	62	62	61	58	63	62	50	61	68
Somewhat important	32	33	23	22	32	38	33	33	33	33	34	32	32	29	34	25	38	35
Not too important	27	21	30	41	32	30	26	27	27	28	28	28	26	34	28	25	22	32
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	7	4	0	8	1	6	6	7	7	8	10	6	3	6	8	3	3
DK/NA	6	7	4	0	8	1	6	6	7	7	8	10	6	3	6	8	3	3

Table 50: Q13n. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on freeways and highways to provide express service for longer trips within San Francisco and the region

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	36	38	41	50	33	34	43	27	35	43	30	26	33	31	51	31	26	35	42	48
Extremely important	16	25	18	19	19	18	10	13	14	9	18	8	20	13	24	14	13	19	15	21
Very important	20	13	23	31	15	16	34	13	21	35	11	17	13	18	27	17	12	16	26	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	59	62	49	33	54	63	55	69	62	55	67	63	66	67	41	64	62	63	57	38
Somewhat important	32	41	28	20	32	37	32	39	28	34	40	41	35	39	21	36	27	43	17	16
Not too important	27	22	21	13	22	25	23	30	34	22	27	22	31	28	20	29	34	20	40	22
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	0	10	17	13	4	2	4	3	1	4	12	1	2	8	5	13	1	1	14
DK/NA	6	0	10	17	13	4	2	4	3	1	4	12	1	2	8	5	13	1	1	14

Table 51: Q13o. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	58	32	51	56	51	57	45	67	37	59	40	53	53	54	53	54	54	58	50		
Extremely important	26	28	9	22	29	24	27	20	37	13	30	17	25	29	25	26	26	26	35	24		
Very important	27	30	23	28	27	27	29	25	29	24	29	23	28	24	29	27	29	28	23	26		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	41	48	43	42	42	42	55	29	57	37	55	38	42	44	41	44	42	38	41		
Somewhat important	27	24	33	27	28	30	24	28	24	25	29	25	25	31	27	28	26	27	25	23		
Not too important	15	16	15	16	13	12	18	28	5	32	8	31	14	12	17	13	19	15	13	18		
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	1	21	7	3	7	1	0	4	7	4	5	9	5	2	6	2	4	4	9		
DK/NA	5	1	21	7	3	7	1	0	4	7	4	5	9	5	2	6	2	4	4	9		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	66	57	54	53	52	56	52	57	61	61	46	66	33	65	33	55	64	31	59	27	42
Extremely important	26	31	32	24	28	26	27	26	27	31	30	21	39	16	33	13	28	35	13	29	12	20
Very important	27	35	25	30	25	26	29	26	30	30	30	25	27	17	32	20	27	29	19	30	15	21
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	29	39	43	45	44	41	44	40	35	36	50	30	65	31	65	27	33	67	37	69	40
Somewhat important	27	17	26	30	32	31	26	26	27	26	24	31	27	29	27	32	15	27	22	29	22	26
Not too important	15	12	13	13	13	13	15	18	12	9	11	19	3	36	4	32	12	6	45	9	47	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	6	4	3	2	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	2	4	2	19	3	2	3	4	18
DK/NA	5	6	4	3	2	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	2	4	2	19	3	2	3	4	18

Table 51: Q13o. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	60	28	42	65	53	30	60	64	54	50	52	64	56	52	58	61	32	46	35	49
Extremely important	26	29	14	19	37	20	14	25	28	25	27	21	35	25	26	28	29	10	21	11	23
Very important	27	31	14	23	28	33	15	35	36	28	23	32	29	31	25	30	32	21	25	24	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	37	67	45	32	41	66	34	36	43	39	44	35	41	44	38	35	68	44	49	45
Somewhat important	27	28	25	31	27	28	25	18	30	28	27	26	26	26	30	29	24	34	28	28	28
Not too important	15	9	42	13	5	13	40	16	6	15	12	19	8	15	14	9	11	34	16	21	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	5	13	3	6	5	6	0	3	11	3	1	3	4	4	3	0	10	16	6
DK/NA	5	4	5	13	3	6	5	6	0	3	11	3	1	3	4	4	3	0	10	16	6
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	69	59	50	37	53	60	50	56	54	47	52	60	52	57	43	55	65	27		
Extremely important	26	36	27	26	13	26	28	25	27	30	21	26	28	26	29	19	27	29	3		
Very important	27	33	32	23	24	27	32	25	29	24	26	27	32	26	29	25	27	35	23		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	26	39	47	62	45	37	40	42	39	41	46	38	41	40	52	43	30	42		
Somewhat important	27	20	31	29	25	32	24	25	29	22	28	30	28	29	27	27	27	27	27		
Not too important	15	6	8	18	37	13	13	15	13	18	13	16	10	12	13	25	15	3	15		
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	2	4	1	1	2	10	2	7	12	2	2	7	3	5	3	5	31		
DK/NA	5	5	2	4	1	1	2	10	2	7	12	2	2	7	3	5	3	5	31		

Table 51: Q13o. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	39	56	52	49	61	57	49	57	55	60	41	59	31	61	57	51	53	0
Extremely important	26	21	32	27	26	24	29	27	26	24	30	27	30	19	24	28	24	26	0
Very important	27	17	24	25	23	36	28	22	30	31	30	14	30	12	37	28	27	27	0
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	41	44	48	50	37	42	44	42	43	39	53	35	55	33	43	49	42	0
Somewhat important	27	29	28	34	33	25	25	30	27	29	25	45	23	19	19	30	26	27	0
Not too important	15	12	16	15	17	12	17	14	15	15	15	8	12	36	14	13	23	15	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	20	0	0	1	2	1	7	2	2	1	6	6	14	5	1	0	5	0
DK/NA	5	20	0	0	1	2	1	7	2	2	1	6	6	14	5	1	0	5	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	53	54		55	56	58	51	52	41	56	49	47	63	40	27	56	48	57	51
Extremely important	26	27	25		30	28	26	26	23	15	28	23	19	33	16	7	29	25	28	21
Very important	27	26	29		25	28	32	25	29	26	28	26	28	30	24	20	28	23	29	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	44	40		42	42	40	46	46	31	41	43	40	34	50	73	42	47	41	38
Somewhat important	27	27	28		28	28	30	27	27	19	29	26	24	27	30	23	29	24	28	26
Not too important	15	17	12		14	14	10	19	19	12	13	17	16	8	20	51	13	23	12	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	6		3	2	2	3	2	28	2	8	13	3	9	0	2	5	2	11
DK/NA	5	3	6		3	2	2	3	2	28	2	8	13	3	9	0	2	5	2	11

Table 51: Q13o. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	64	62	49	29	19	35	63	63	41	40	27	28	75	59	63	57	34	25	20	
Extremely important	26	36	29	19	13	0	14	35	31	18	14	4	13	45	28	34	16	17	7	0	
Very important	27	28	33	30	16	19	21	28	32	23	25	22	14	30	31	29	41	18	18	20	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	34	35	49	53	81	65	35	33	52	48	73	72	23	39	32	36	63	75	80	
Somewhat important	27	28	25	30	30	31	14	29	24	28	32	14	40	16	34	23	25	36	33	17	
Not too important	15	6	10	18	23	50	51	6	9	24	16	59	32	7	5	9	11	27	41	63	
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	2	4	2	18	0	0	2	3	7	12	0	0	2	2	4	7	2	0	0	
DK/NA	5	2	4	2	18	0	0	2	3	7	12	0	0	2	2	4	7	2	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	64	62	23	40	46	53	56	56	56	57	58	55	46	55	50	58	52
Extremely important	26	33	30	9	16	21	26	26	26	26	28	26	27	22	28	23	32	23
Very important	27	31	32	14	23	25	27	30	31	30	28	32	28	24	27	27	26	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	33	33	77	49	53	42	38	38	39	38	37	40	53	42	42	37	46
Somewhat important	27	26	24	26	30	30	28	27	26	27	27	26	27	28	31	27	27	25
Not too important	15	7	9	51	19	23	14	12	12	12	11	10	12	25	12	15	10	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	5	0	11	1	5	6	5	4	5	5	5	1	3	8	5	2
DK/NA	5	3	5	0	11	1	5	6	5	4	5	5	5	1	3	8	5	2

Table 51: Q13o. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Converting Muni buses to all electric vehicles

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN/ PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	53	62	45	61	56	62	57	50	51	54	64	44	46	66	46	51	48	54	56	54
Extremely important	26	20	24	31	21	40	32	21	25	29	38	22	17	36	21	25	21	24	19	29
Very important	27	41	22	31	35	22	25	29	27	25	26	22	29	29	24	26	27	30	36	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	42	35	46	26	32	34	40	48	47	40	33	56	52	30	41	49	44	42	44	37
Somewhat important	27	24	32	17	20	26	30	24	31	32	25	38	22	24	31	26	28	32	22	24
Not too important	15	11	14	9	12	8	9	23	16	8	8	18	30	6	10	23	16	9	22	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	9	13	12	4	4	2	2	6	3	0	2	4	14	0	8	4	0	9
DK/NA	5	4	9	13	12	4	4	2	2	6	3	0	2	4	14	0	8	4	0	9

Table 52: Q13p. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving Muni's real-time update digital signs

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	53	51	53	61	58	58	65	65	46	60	52	63	61	51	57	57	57	56	60		
Extremely important	25	21	15	19	31	25	27	38	25	20	26	23	31	23	22	24	26	23	31	29		
Very important	32	32	37	33	30	34	30	27	39	25	34	29	32	38	28	32	32	34	25	31		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	44	39	43	36	38	40	29	34	50	39	45	37	37	43	40	38	41	44	33		
Somewhat important	30	33	35	34	26	30	27	21	28	24	31	27	31	27	31	29	32	29	37	30		
Not too important	10	11	4	9	11	8	13	8	6	26	8	18	6	10	12	11	6	12	7	3		
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	9	5	2	3	2	6	1	4	1	3	1	2	6	3	5	2	0	8		
DK/NA	4	3	9	5	2	3	2	6	1	4	1	3	1	2	6	3	5	2	0	8		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	55	52	61	66	59	58	59	56	57	60	54	58	54	56	54	69	65	39	60	42	50
Extremely important	25	23	26	25	32	22	27	29	26	23	26	24	26	23	25	23	30	33	16	27	12	27
Very important	32	32	26	36	35	37	30	30	30	34	34	30	32	31	31	31	39	31	23	33	30	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	43	41	38	31	38	40	36	43	42	38	42	42	43	42	43	20	33	54	37	52	44
Somewhat important	30	32	34	28	23	27	31	29	32	27	27	34	31	28	33	28	20	28	29	30	30	31
Not too important	10	11	7	10	9	11	9	8	11	14	11	8	10	14	9	15	0	5	25	7	22	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	7	1	2	3	2	4	2	1	1	4	1	3	2	3	11	2	7	3	6	6
DK/NA	4	2	7	1	2	3	2	4	2	1	1	4	1	3	2	3	11	2	7	3	6	6

Table 52: Q13p. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving Muni's real-time update digital signs

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	60	43	45	63	55	42	57	58	56	60	62	54	60	57	52	71	64	52	44	60
Extremely important	25	27	15	19	31	22	14	26	32	23	31	26	25	26	24	18	35	26	24	18	28
Very important	32	33	28	25	32	33	27	31	25	33	28	36	29	34	32	33	36	38	28	26	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	37	51	45	35	40	53	43	42	40	39	37	45	40	39	47	23	34	41	46	35
Somewhat important	30	30	31	25	30	30	32	32	32	30	30	29	32	30	30	37	17	26	29	31	25
Not too important	10	7	20	21	5	10	20	10	10	10	9	9	13	10	9	10	6	8	12	14	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	6	10	2	5	6	0	1	4	2	1	1	1	5	1	6	2	7	11	6
DK/NA	4	2	6	10	2	5	6	0	1	4	2	1	1	1	5	1	6	2	7	11	6
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	58	58	56	64	55	59	57	56	62	53	55	59	53	61	50	57	90	31		
Extremely important	25	23	20	26	35	24	23	26	23	28	25	26	21	19	29	21	25	44	13		
Very important	32	35	37	30	28	31	36	31	33	34	28	29	38	34	31	29	32	46	18		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	42	41	42	36	43	40	37	42	35	38	44	40	43	37	44	42	0	45		
Somewhat important	30	32	32	27	29	31	29	30	30	30	30	32	28	30	29	34	32	0	33		
Not too important	10	10	9	15	7	12	11	6	12	5	7	12	11	13	8	10	10	0	12		
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	0	2	2	0	2	1	6	2	3	10	2	2	4	3	5	2	10	24		
DK/NA	4	0	2	2	0	2	1	6	2	3	10	2	2	4	3	5	2	10	24		

Table 52: Q13p. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving Muni's real-time update digital signs

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	64	61	47	73	50	51	57	56	58	54	59	61	48	52	60	49	0	57
Extremely important	25	26	34	19	19	25	21	26	22	21	23	24	30	23	15	27	15	0	25
Very important	32	38	26	28	53	25	31	31	34	37	31	35	31	24	37	33	33	0	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	25	36	53	27	48	48	38	43	41	45	33	38	45	48	38	51	0	40
Somewhat important	30	22	27	45	25	33	31	31	30	30	30	26	33	35	29	29	38	0	30
Not too important	10	4	8	8	2	15	17	7	13	11	15	7	5	9	19	10	13	0	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	11	4	0	0	2	1	5	1	1	1	8	1	7	1	2	0	0	4
DK/NA	4	11	4	0	0	2	1	5	1	1	1	8	1	7	1	2	0	0	4

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	57	56		60	50	55	65	56	54	54	60	55	57	55	64	55	61	52	61
Extremely important	25	27	23		27	19	25	29	25	26	23	27	25	26	22	26	27	26	19	28
Very important	32	31	33		32	30	30	37	31	28	31	33	30	31	33	37	28	35	33	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	41	39		39	50	40	32	34	41	44	34	37	40	39	36	44	35	44	33
Somewhat important	30	31	29		30	40	29	22	26	30	34	25	28	31	27	32	34	27	34	23
Not too important	10	9	10		9	9	12	10	8	11	10	9	9	9	12	3	10	8	10	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	5		2	1	5	3	10	5	2	5	8	3	6	1	1	5	4	6
DK/NA	4	2	5		2	1	5	3	10	5	2	5	8	3	6	1	1	5	4	6

Table 52: Q13p. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving Muni's real-time update digital signs

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	51	64	57	51	66	61	58	57	57	51	55	83	58	57	63	57	55	63	55	
Extremely important	25	23	30	21	23	31	20	31	23	20	24	25	29	25	21	41	18	19	6	29	
Very important	32	28	34	35	28	35	40	27	34	37	28	30	55	33	36	22	40	36	58	26	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	47	33	41	37	34	37	41	40	39	40	44	17	42	42	34	39	45	34	45	
Somewhat important	30	38	23	27	28	34	30	32	30	28	27	40	17	33	33	25	29	23	34	39	
Not too important	10	9	9	14	10	0	7	9	9	12	13	4	0	9	9	9	11	21	0	6	
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	3	3	12	0	2	1	4	4	9	1	0	0	1	3	3	0	3	0	
DK/NA	4	2	3	3	12	0	2	1	4	4	9	1	0	0	1	3	3	0	3	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	56	59	64	51	68	56	57	57	59	58	58	58	51	56	55	61	56
Extremely important	25	24	41	26	23	23	25	22	23	23	23	22	25	25	25	27	24	23
Very important	32	32	18	38	28	45	31	34	35	36	36	36	33	27	32	28	37	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	42	40	34	42	30	41	41	40	39	39	41	38	46	42	39	35	41
Somewhat important	30	32	35	34	28	21	31	31	31	29	28	31	28	37	28	30	28	33
Not too important	10	10	5	0	13	8	10	10	9	10	11	9	10	9	14	9	7	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	3	1	1	7	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	4	3	2	6	3	2
DK/NA	4	3	1	1	7	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	4	3	2	6	3	2

Table 52: Q13p. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving Muni's real-time update digital signs

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	57	57	60	52	64	60	70	52	53	71	54	52	45	56	61	66	62	55	53	46
Extremely important	25	25	33	23	34	27	24	25	20	28	22	33	22	23	29	20	25	30	24	18
Very important	32	32	27	29	30	33	46	27	33	43	32	19	22	33	32	46	37	25	29	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	40	41	36	46	34	36	30	45	42	27	42	45	53	44	33	30	38	45	36	45
Somewhat important	30	31	22	36	24	27	21	35	34	20	31	32	41	36	20	23	30	36	27	36
Not too important	10	10	14	11	10	9	9	10	8	8	11	14	12	8	13	7	9	9	9	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	4	2	4	2	2	4	0	3	5	2	4	2	2	0	6	4	0	0	11	10
DK/NA	4	2	4	2	2	4	0	3	5	2	4	2	2	0	6	4	0	0	11	10

Table 53: Q13q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	83	68	79	77	80	75	80	87	53	83	61	80	77	77	77	80	79	74	80
Extremely important	39	37	31	35	43	41	35	34	49	25	42	30	45	43	33	37	43	38	42	39
Very important	39	46	37	44	34	39	40	46	37	29	41	31	35	34	44	40	37	41	32	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	16	27	19	22	19	24	20	13	43	16	37	19	22	20	22	17	20	25	14
Somewhat important	16	13	24	16	16	15	18	11	10	30	13	28	17	17	15	17	14	16	17	13
Not too important	4	3	2	3	6	3	7	9	2	13	3	9	2	6	5	5	3	4	8	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	5	2	1	1	0	0	1	4	1	2	1	1	3	1	3	1	1	6
DK/NA	2	1	5	2	1	1	0	0	1	4	1	2	1	1	3	1	3	1	1	6

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	73	88	83	84	77	79	82	75	76	80	75	87	70	82	69	83	90	47	83	51	72
Extremely important	39	45	37	41	40	40	37	45	34	39	41	37	49	32	43	29	46	51	18	41	21	39
Very important	39	28	51	42	43	38	41	38	41	37	39	38	38	38	39	39	37	39	28	41	30	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	26	10	17	14	22	20	16	23	24	19	23	12	27	17	29	14	9	50	16	47	22
Somewhat important	16	19	9	14	9	17	16	12	18	16	14	20	9	20	14	22	10	7	40	14	34	13
Not too important	4	7	1	3	5	4	4	3	5	7	5	3	3	7	2	7	4	2	9	2	12	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	3	1	2	3	1	4	1	3	7
DK/NA	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	3	1	2	3	1	4	1	3	7

Table 53: Q13q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	84	51	69	90	74	53	82	80	77	82	71	74	72	82	81	90	82	67	57	77
Extremely important	39	42	27	28	51	30	27	37	38	36	50	35	35	35	42	41	48	46	29	22	37
Very important	39	42	23	40	39	44	26	45	43	41	32	36	39	37	39	40	41	36	38	35	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	15	47	24	9	23	45	18	19	21	17	27	26	27	17	19	6	16	29	40	20
Somewhat important	16	12	36	19	7	19	34	8	14	16	16	21	24	22	13	15	4	13	27	36	17
Not too important	4	3	11	5	2	5	11	10	4	5	2	6	2	5	4	4	2	3	2	4	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2	7	1	2	2	0	1	2	1	2	0	2	1	0	4	2	3	3	3
DK/NA	2	1	2	7	1	2	2	0	1	2	1	2	0	2	1	0	4	2	3	3	3
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	90	79	66	81	77	73	81	76	82	81	73	78	77	79	76	79	88	46		
Extremely important	39	52	36	33	33	37	32	44	35	43	44	31	38	41	39	34	40	44	20		
Very important	39	38	44	34	47	40	41	38	41	39	37	42	40	36	40	42	40	44	25		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	10	20	31	19	21	27	16	23	15	16	26	21	21	20	21	20	2	48		
Somewhat important	16	8	16	23	12	18	20	12	19	12	13	18	19	16	16	15	15	2	48		
Not too important	4	1	4	9	7	4	7	3	5	3	3	8	2	5	3	5	5	0	0		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	3	1	3	3	1	1	2	1	3	1	10	6		
DK/NA	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	3	1	3	3	1	1	2	1	3	1	10	6		

Table 53: Q13q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	77	80	83	83	77	75	80	78	78	78	81	82	76	72	79	81	0	78
Extremely important	39	50	50	42	39	31	32	47	33	34	33	48	49	42	32	35	30	0	39
Very important	39	28	30	41	44	46	43	33	44	44	45	34	33	34	39	45	52	0	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	18	19	15	17	23	24	18	22	22	21	17	16	21	27	21	18	0	20
Somewhat important	16	14	19	13	14	19	16	15	17	19	13	14	15	17	20	16	13	0	16
Not too important	4	4	0	3	3	4	8	2	5	3	8	3	1	4	7	5	5	0	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	4	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	4	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	2

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	77	79		85	83	76	73	71	74	82	73	72	81	72	76	80	71	83	75
Extremely important	39	36	41		56	37	32	33	39	35	41	35	38	39	41	25	37	34	46	36
Very important	39	41	38		28	47	44	40	31	39	41	37	34	42	31	52	43	37	38	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	22	19		14	16	24	24	27	20	18	24	24	17	26	24	19	26	16	22
Somewhat important	16	16	17		10	13	18	18	25	17	13	20	22	14	22	15	13	21	14	20
Not too important	4	6	2		4	3	6	6	2	3	4	4	3	4	5	9	7	5	2	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2		2	1	0	2	2	6	1	3	4	2	1	0	0	3	1	3
DK/NA	2	1	2		2	1	0	2	2	6	1	3	4	2	1	0	0	3	1	3

Table 53: Q13q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	86	75	75	68	82	70	80	82	70	74	78	76	94	79	69	80	66	62	88	
Extremely important	39	42	35	42	41	29	20	38	40	36	46	25	26	51	34	30	51	37	22	28	
Very important	39	43	40	33	27	53	49	43	41	33	28	53	50	42	45	39	30	29	40	60	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	13	22	25	29	18	30	18	16	29	24	22	24	6	20	27	20	34	38	12	
Somewhat important	16	10	18	19	27	13	17	12	14	22	23	16	13	6	16	19	18	24	24	6	
Not too important	4	3	4	6	2	5	13	6	2	7	2	6	11	1	4	8	2	10	14	6	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	3	0	4	0	0	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	
DK/NA	2	1	3	0	4	0	0	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	80	79	74	72	80	77	75	76	77	77	77	79	73	73	82	81	75
Extremely important	39	38	44	21	42	43	38	36	38	39	40	35	40	33	38	46	31	34
Very important	39	43	35	53	30	37	39	39	38	37	37	42	39	40	35	36	49	41
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	18	21	26	26	17	21	23	23	22	22	21	19	24	26	15	18	24
Somewhat important	16	15	13	17	22	12	16	19	19	18	18	15	15	19	22	13	13	17
Not too important	4	3	8	9	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	6	4	5	4	3	5	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	0	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	3	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	3	1	1

Table 53: Q13q. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Ensuring Muni service is inclusive and accessible to all

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	78	67	75	72	93	85	89	73	77	88	81	69	68	66	75	76	84	90	81	75
Extremely important	39	26	53	41	56	39	24	30	40	25	36	42	31	31	59	28	41	46	44	46
Very important	39	41	22	31	37	46	64	43	37	63	45	27	38	34	16	48	44	44	37	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	20	33	22	27	7	15	11	25	20	11	18	31	29	34	20	24	16	10	11	25
Somewhat important	16	33	19	24	7	10	11	17	14	11	11	27	20	28	17	18	12	10	9	20
Not too important	4	0	3	4	0	5	0	8	6	0	6	4	8	6	3	6	4	0	2	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	8	0
DK/NA	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	8	0

Table 54: Q13r. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more transit only lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT/SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	54	55	38	50	58	58	49	50	63	36	58	47	62	57	47	56	47	50	67	72		
Extremely important	25	24	19	23	27	25	27	23	35	10	29	14	35	25	18	28	15	22	40	25		
Very important	29	31	19	28	31	33	22	28	28	26	29	33	27	32	29	28	32	28	27	47		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	43	42	57	46	39	40	48	49	35	59	40	50	36	38	50	42	46	48	30	22		
Somewhat important	27	31	40	33	21	28	25	15	23	31	27	30	28	20	30	27	28	30	22	13		
Not too important	15	11	17	13	19	12	23	34	12	28	13	20	8	18	19	15	18	18	8	8		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	5	4	3	3	3	1	2	5	2	4	2	5	3	2	7	2	3	6		
DK/NA	3	3	5	4	3	3	3	1	2	5	2	4	2	5	3	2	7	2	3	6		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	54	56	65	56	54	54	54	54	55	49	53	54	67	35	63	35	68	67	22	59	27	46
Extremely important	25	32	25	25	30	26	25	24	26	26	26	23	36	15	32	14	24	33	11	28	9	18
Very important	29	24	41	31	24	28	29	29	29	23	27	31	31	20	31	21	44	35	11	31	18	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	43	41	35	41	45	44	44	44	42	48	44	43	32	63	35	62	24	31	75	39	68	45
Somewhat important	27	28	23	27	25	26	29	25	28	27	25	30	25	29	26	31	21	24	29	28	28	23
Not too important	15	13	12	14	20	18	15	19	14	21	19	12	6	34	9	31	2	8	46	11	39	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	0	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	3	3	9	1	4	2	5	8
DK/NA	3	2	0	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	3	3	9	1	4	2	5	8

Table 54: Q13r. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more transit only lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	54	60	28	40	68	48	30	57	54	50	71	46	59	50	58	53	68	50	47	38	55
Extremely important	25	29	11	11	33	22	11	23	29	22	39	23	19	21	28	23	31	29	21	14	25
Very important	29	31	17	30	36	26	19	34	25	29	32	24	41	29	30	29	37	21	26	24	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	43	37	67	51	30	47	66	41	43	46	27	51	37	47	39	44	28	45	49	57	41
Somewhat important	27	26	32	31	24	29	32	13	23	28	22	29	24	27	26	30	19	27	32	40	25
Not too important	15	11	35	21	6	18	34	28	19	18	5	23	13	20	13	14	9	18	17	17	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	5	8	1	5	4	2	4	4	2	3	4	3	3	3	4	5	4	5	4
DK/NA	3	3	5	8	1	5	4	2	4	4	2	3	4	3	3	3	4	5	4	5	4
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	54	61	52	56	46	52	52	58	52	54	61	56	49	51	57	52	54	81	25		
Extremely important	25	27	23	30	15	26	22	25	25	22	28	29	20	24	26	22	25	46	5		
Very important	29	33	29	26	31	25	30	33	27	32	33	26	29	26	30	29	29	35	20		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	43	39	44	42	54	45	44	39	45	41	37	42	46	47	39	45	43	9	65		
Somewhat important	27	25	31	23	31	32	27	24	30	25	23	27	33	31	24	31	27	9	42		
Not too important	15	14	13	19	24	14	17	15	15	16	14	15	13	16	15	14	16	0	23		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	4	3	0	3	4	4	3	5	2	2	5	2	4	3	3	10	10		
DK/NA	3	1	4	3	0	3	4	4	3	5	2	2	5	2	4	3	3	10	10		

Table 54: Q13r. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more transit only lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	54	57	74	48	60	52	41	59	50	55	45	53	62	62	46	53	49	0	54
Extremely important	25	21	35	25	19	27	23	27	24	23	24	22	26	34	26	25	14	0	25
Very important	29	36	39	24	41	25	19	33	27	32	20	32	36	28	20	28	35	0	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	43	37	25	52	40	42	56	38	46	41	53	44	36	35	53	43	49	0	43
Somewhat important	27	24	20	34	24	25	37	26	29	24	35	26	24	31	39	24	30	0	27
Not too important	15	13	5	18	16	17	19	12	17	17	18	17	12	5	14	19	19	0	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	7	1	0	0	5	3	3	3	4	2	3	2	3	1	4	2	0	3
DK/NA	3	7	1	0	0	5	3	3	3	4	2	3	2	3	1	4	2	0	3

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	54	55	54		56	55	51	56	51	50	54	53	51	55	54	45	55	55	55	53
Extremely important	25	27	24		28	22	27	26	26	17	25	24	23	26	23	21	29	23	22	25
Very important	29	28	31		28	33	24	30	25	33	29	29	28	29	31	25	26	32	33	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	43	42	42		39	42	49	41	42	46	43	42	43	42	42	54	42	41	43	42
Somewhat important	27	26	29		30	28	33	21	23	30	30	23	25	28	25	27	29	20	31	26
Not too important	15	16	14		9	14	16	19	19	16	13	19	18	13	17	27	13	21	12	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4		5	3	0	3	7	4	2	5	6	4	4	1	3	4	2	5
DK/NA	3	3	4		5	3	0	3	7	4	2	5	6	4	4	1	3	4	2	5

Table 54: Q13r. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more transit only lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	54	55	55	57	49	38	54	54	57	62	48	42	52	60	52	53	55	56	72	35	
Extremely important	25	26	26	24	21	25	15	27	26	25	21	28	6	28	22	32	25	21	38	15	
Very important	29	29	29	33	27	13	39	26	31	37	27	15	46	33	30	21	30	36	34	20	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	43	43	40	40	46	62	44	44	39	34	49	58	48	39	43	44	43	43	28	65	
Somewhat important	27	33	23	24	27	36	16	30	27	20	31	23	36	26	32	27	25	20	8	38	
Not too important	15	10	18	16	19	26	28	14	12	14	18	34	11	13	11	17	18	22	19	27	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	5	3	5	0	2	3	4	5	3	0	0	1	4	3	2	1	0	0	
DK/NA	3	3	5	3	5	0	2	3	4	5	3	0	0	1	4	3	2	1	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	54	54	57	46	55	54	53	50	51	49	52	58	56	46	55	58	51	49
Extremely important	25	25	32	22	25	19	24	23	24	23	24	20	25	24	31	25	20	21
Very important	29	29	25	24	30	35	29	27	27	26	28	37	31	22	24	33	30	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	43	42	43	53	42	41	44	46	46	47	44	39	41	50	40	39	47	47
Somewhat important	27	29	19	30	25	27	28	28	27	28	27	20	26	32	30	24	25	31
Not too important	15	13	23	23	17	14	16	18	19	19	17	19	15	19	10	15	22	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	0	1	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	5	3	2	4
DK/NA	3	4	0	1	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	5	3	2	4

Table 54: Q13r. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding more transit only lanes to keep buses from getting stuck in traffic

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	63	52	52	46	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	54	41	58	57	62	58	53	48	55	53	56	50	43	54	65	49	54	55	55	59
Extremely important	25	19	30	28	42	37	12	20	22	15	35	23	21	25	35	18	27	31	17	25
Very important	29	22	28	28	20	21	41	28	33	39	21	27	23	30	30	30	27	24	37	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	43	56	37	43	35	39	47	49	40	47	40	48	52	43	29	47	41	43	40	41
Somewhat important	27	34	25	24	19	31	19	34	26	21	30	34	36	27	19	30	28	29	20	26
Not too important	15	22	12	19	16	8	28	15	14	26	10	14	17	16	9	17	13	14	19	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	5	0	3	3	0	3	4	0	4	2	4	3	6	4	5	2	6	0
DK/NA	3	3	5	0	3	3	0	3	4	0	4	2	4	3	6	4	5	2	6	0

Table 55: Q13s. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM LESS			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													/MNTN	OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	63	31	54	50	52	49	36	58	35	55	43	52	52	52	51	54	51	48	62		
Extremely important	22	25	11	21	24	22	23	14	27	8	25	15	24	26	20	22	25	21	25	30		
Very important	30	38	20	33	26	30	26	23	32	28	30	28	28	26	32	30	29	30	23	32		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	36	65	44	49	47	50	64	41	64	44	56	45	47	47	47	45	48	51	32		
Somewhat important	27	24	42	29	25	29	25	22	26	28	29	28	31	31	22	28	24	27	36	19		
Not too important	19	12	22	15	24	18	25	42	15	36	15	29	14	16	25	19	20	21	15	14		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	2	1	2	1	1	6		
DK/NA	2	1	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	2	1	2	1	1	6		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	54	79	50	50	46	54	55	50	52	54	49	76	18	70	23	51	66	17	57	27	44
Extremely important	22	23	42	18	21	20	23	27	22	21	23	22	34	8	32	9	14	31	11	25	10	15
Very important	30	31	37	32	29	26	30	28	28	32	31	28	42	10	38	14	37	34	6	32	16	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	45	21	49	49	53	45	42	49	48	45	48	24	80	29	76	41	33	79	42	71	54
Somewhat important	27	31	16	29	26	29	28	29	28	25	24	31	17	38	21	37	27	20	27	27	27	32
Not too important	19	15	5	20	23	23	17	13	21	23	21	18	7	43	8	39	15	13	53	15	44	23
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	0	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	1	2	1	2	1	1	7	2	4	2	2	1
DK/NA	2	1	0	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	1	2	1	2	1	1	7	2	4	2	2	1

Table 55: Q13s. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	58	22	48	67	47	25	51	48	50	62	51	59	53	54	54	69	64	42	30	54
Extremely important	22	25	9	17	32	18	10	11	25	20	32	20	21	20	25	19	35	42	16	11	26
Very important	30	33	12	31	35	29	16	40	23	30	30	31	38	33	30	34	34	22	26	19	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	41	76	51	31	52	73	49	51	49	36	48	41	46	44	46	28	34	57	68	43
Somewhat important	27	26	33	27	20	33	33	35	29	27	26	24	22	23	28	27	17	24	34	35	26
Not too important	19	15	43	24	12	20	40	14	22	21	10	24	19	23	16	19	11	11	23	33	18
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	3	2	1	2	2
DK/NA	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	3	2	1	2	2
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	65	55	46	46	52	49	54	51	54	54	52	49	49	54	53	53	76	22		
Extremely important	22	22	23	22	24	21	16	28	19	26	29	19	19	21	22	25	23	42	0		
Very important	30	43	32	25	21	31	33	26	32	28	24	34	30	28	32	28	30	34	22		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	35	44	51	52	47	49	44	48	44	45	47	48	49	45	44	46	14	73		
Somewhat important	27	20	30	23	27	33	24	24	30	22	26	26	33	29	27	23	27	14	37		
Not too important	19	15	14	29	25	14	25	20	18	22	19	21	15	20	18	21	19	0	36		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	0	3	1	1	3	1	10	5		
DK/NA	2	0	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	0	3	1	1	3	1	10	5		

Table 55: Q13s. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	52	69	54	41	57	53	58	52	51	53	54	59	63	50	53	53	0	52
Extremely important	22	30	32	22	19	25	21	28	22	23	21	26	24	38	20	23	22	0	22
Very important	30	22	37	32	22	32	32	30	30	28	32	29	35	25	30	30	31	0	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	44	30	44	58	43	47	40	48	48	47	43	39	34	49	47	47	0	46
Somewhat important	27	26	24	21	41	22	27	23	28	30	26	19	27	23	32	28	25	0	27
Not too important	19	18	7	24	17	20	21	16	19	18	21	25	12	12	17	20	22	0	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	4	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	4	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	2

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	53	51		63	62	39	55	38	39	56	47	39	57	48	29	56	49	57	46
Extremely important	22	22	23		30	23	16	27	16	15	23	21	16	24	20	17	24	19	23	23
Very important	30	31	28		33	39	23	28	22	24	33	25	23	32	28	12	32	30	34	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	46	46		35	38	60	43	59	57	43	50	58	42	50	71	44	49	42	51
Somewhat important	27	24	30		24	23	37	21	30	37	27	27	33	27	27	31	23	27	32	27
Not too important	19	22	17		11	15	23	22	28	20	16	24	25	15	23	40	22	22	9	24
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	2		2	0	1	2	3	4	1	3	4	2	2	0	0	2	2	3
DK/NA	2	1	2		2	0	1	2	3	4	1	3	4	2	2	0	0	2	2	3

Table 55: Q13s. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	61	51	50	44	39	17	58	56	50	46	37	13	68	55	54	55	46	33	35	
Extremely important	22	25	24	19	20	28	4	22	26	21	17	21	10	25	25	22	13	23	20	20	
Very important	30	36	28	31	23	11	13	35	30	29	28	16	3	43	30	31	42	23	13	15	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	38	46	49	52	61	83	41	42	49	51	63	87	32	45	43	44	53	67	65	
Somewhat important	27	27	26	26	29	34	27	24	29	25	28	20	55	20	30	22	25	23	36	24	
Not too important	19	10	20	23	24	27	55	17	13	23	23	43	31	11	15	21	19	30	32	42	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	3	1	4	0	0	1	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	
DK/NA	2	1	3	1	4	0	0	1	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	59	49	29	48	48	52	49	48	49	51	51	55	43	53	56	51	47
Extremely important	22	25	16	16	21	21	22	20	20	20	21	17	24	18	23	30	14	17
Very important	30	33	32	12	27	28	30	29	28	28	30	35	31	25	29	26	37	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	40	51	71	50	49	47	50	51	50	47	47	44	55	46	42	48	51
Somewhat important	27	26	26	35	28	26	27	29	30	28	26	25	25	36	32	20	29	31
Not too important	19	14	25	37	22	23	19	20	21	21	21	22	19	20	15	22	19	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	0	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	1

Table 55: Q13s. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making street safety improvements for people bicycling, like protected bikeways

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	52	42	59	51	48	58	49	45	55	50	53	53	41	46	62	44	60	50	62	50
Extremely important	22	12	33	29	31	28	9	19	21	10	24	27	16	18	36	15	15	30	33	27
Very important	30	31	26	22	17	30	40	26	34	40	29	26	26	28	26	29	45	20	29	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	58	38	48	52	42	49	53	43	49	46	43	57	52	36	56	40	50	33	49
Somewhat important	27	34	20	31	41	30	29	31	22	27	33	28	36	31	15	38	26	32	10	20
Not too important	19	23	19	17	11	12	21	22	21	21	13	15	21	21	21	18	14	17	23	28
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	3	2	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	4	2	2	2	0	0	0	6	1
DK/NA	2	0	3	2	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	4	2	2	2	0	0	0	6	1

Table 56: Q13t. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing Muni service above pre-pandemic levels

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	55	57	56	60	58	62	78	74	47	64	45	70	54	52	59	54	57	65	60		
Extremely important	25	18	16	18	32	25	27	31	39	12	29	13	38	24	16	28	14	23	37	25		
Very important	33	37	41	38	28	33	35	46	35	34	34	33	31	30	36	31	40	34	28	35		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	41	38	40	32	38	31	14	24	48	33	50	28	42	39	36	38	38	33	31		
Somewhat important	27	33	31	33	21	28	25	9	19	26	27	30	19	34	29	27	27	28	22	29		
Not too important	9	8	6	8	11	10	6	5	5	22	6	20	9	7	11	9	11	10	12	3		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	5	4	8	4	7	9	1	6	4	5	2	5	9	5	8	5	1	9		
DK/NA	6	4	5	4	8	4	7	9	1	6	4	5	2	5	9	5	8	5	1	9		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	63	54	61	60	52	59	59	57	63	57	59	65	51	60	52	62	68	41	63	38	40
Extremely important	25	31	14	28	25	22	26	22	26	35	29	19	35	20	28	19	28	34	12	28	8	18
Very important	33	32	40	34	35	31	33	37	31	28	28	39	30	32	33	33	34	34	29	35	30	22
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	34	39	35	35	42	35	34	38	32	37	36	32	41	35	42	25	29	53	33	53	44
Somewhat important	27	22	29	28	28	32	26	23	30	22	27	28	23	28	27	29	22	24	28	27	29	24
Not too important	9	11	11	7	7	10	9	11	8	10	10	9	9	14	8	13	4	5	25	6	24	19
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	3	7	4	5	6	5	6	5	5	5	5	3	7	4	7	13	3	7	4	10	16
DK/NA	6	3	7	4	5	6	5	6	5	5	5	5	3	7	4	7	13	3	7	4	10	16

Table 56: Q13t. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing Muni service above pre-pandemic levels

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	62	38	52	69	53	39	50	73	57	61	62	69	64	54	58	67	67	48	38	59
Extremely important	25	28	12	16	35	19	11	23	32	23	32	23	34	27	23	23	33	28	23	18	26
Very important	33	34	27	36	34	34	28	27	41	34	28	39	35	38	31	35	35	40	25	20	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	34	53	30	28	38	53	38	24	36	38	36	26	33	39	36	28	31	46	53	36
Somewhat important	27	28	31	14	23	29	33	24	21	27	27	31	15	26	29	26	25	28	34	39	29
Not too important	9	6	22	16	6	9	20	15	3	9	11	5	11	7	10	9	3	3	12	14	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	4	8	18	3	9	8	12	3	7	1	2	4	3	7	7	5	2	6	8	5
DK/NA	6	4	8	18	3	9	8	12	3	7	1	2	4	3	7	7	5	2	6	8	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	59	59	57	53	57	55	60	56	73	50	56	56	53	59	59	59	65	37		
Extremely important	25	27	26	22	13	22	27	26	24	33	20	26	21	21	28	22	26	25	11		
Very important	33	32	32	36	40	35	28	34	32	40	30	29	36	32	32	37	33	39	25		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	40	33	40	38	40	38	33	39	22	43	40	37	40	35	36	36	25	51		
Somewhat important	27	34	24	29	25	31	25	26	28	18	33	32	24	30	27	23	26	25	39		
Not too important	9	6	9	11	13	9	13	8	11	4	10	8	13	10	8	13	10	0	12		
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	1	8	3	8	4	8	6	5	5	7	4	6	7	5	5	5	10	13		
DK/NA	6	1	8	3	8	4	8	6	5	5	7	4	6	7	5	5	5	10	13		

Table 56: Q13t. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing Muni service above pre-pandemic levels

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	65	61	65	42	60	51	64	52	49	57	59	69	59	44	54	61	0	58
Extremely important	25	33	29	24	14	24	22	29	21	21	22	25	33	24	14	26	15	0	25
Very important	33	32	31	41	28	35	28	35	31	28	35	33	36	35	30	28	47	0	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	27	39	30	57	34	44	32	43	46	38	33	30	33	48	41	39	0	36
Somewhat important	27	20	27	26	50	26	30	24	33	39	27	22	24	29	41	33	22	0	27
Not too important	9	8	12	3	7	8	14	8	10	8	12	11	6	5	8	9	16	0	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	8	1	5	1	7	5	5	5	5	5	8	1	7	8	5	0	0	6
DK/NA	6	8	1	5	1	7	5	5	5	5	5	8	1	7	8	5	0	0	6

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	62	54		62	56	49	60	62	56	56	60	59	59	55	56	62	63	50	58
Extremely important	25	29	21		29	23	21	24	27	27	24	25	27	25	26	17	28	31	20	21
Very important	33	33	33		33	33	28	37	35	29	32	35	33	35	28	39	34	32	30	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	33	39		35	39	42	32	32	37	39	33	34	35	39	32	33	33	45	33
Somewhat important	27	26	27		28	30	32	23	20	29	30	23	23	26	32	13	28	24	32	23
Not too important	9	7	12		7	9	10	9	12	9	9	10	11	9	7	19	5	9	13	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	7		3	5	9	7	6	7	5	7	7	5	6	11	5	4	5	8
DK/NA	6	5	7		3	5	9	7	6	7	5	7	7	5	6	11	5	4	5	8

Table 56: Q13t. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing Muni service above pre-pandemic levels

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	55	64	59	47	53	61	62	57	61	49	65	39	61	62	59	48	55	53	56	
Extremely important	25	22	28	29	23	23	10	30	20	29	24	24	3	24	28	17	31	24	18	13	
Very important	33	33	37	30	25	29	51	32	37	33	25	41	36	37	34	42	17	30	35	43	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	40	29	35	46	40	23	34	37	34	44	25	50	38	31	38	47	43	32	33	
Somewhat important	27	32	20	30	34	14	12	29	25	29	33	5	32	33	23	28	37	35	3	15	
Not too important	9	9	9	5	12	26	11	5	12	5	10	20	18	5	8	10	10	8	30	18	
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	5	6	6	7	7	16	4	6	5	8	10	11	1	7	3	4	3	14	11	
DK/NA	6	5	6	6	7	7	16	4	6	5	8	10	11	1	7	3	4	3	14	11	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	59	76	57	56	46	58	58	59	60	60	61	58	57	54	60	51	65
Extremely important	25	23	42	20	28	16	25	23	24	24	23	21	25	24	31	23	19	25
Very important	33	36	33	37	28	30	34	34	35	35	36	40	33	33	23	37	31	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	35	22	30	39	48	36	37	35	35	34	32	36	36	42	32	41	33
Somewhat important	27	26	14	15	32	34	27	27	26	26	25	23	27	26	30	28	28	22
Not too important	9	9	9	15	7	14	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	10	12	4	13	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	6	2	13	5	6	5	6	5	6	7	6	6	7	4	9	9	2
DK/NA	6	6	2	13	5	6	5	6	5	6	7	6	6	7	4	9	9	2

Table 56: Q13t. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Increasing Muni service above pre-pandemic levels

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	58	46	66	59	54	44	55	63	58	57	41	51	58	46	75	59	66	62	62	56
Extremely important	25	26	37	26	10	17	19	22	26	20	15	26	23	28	41	18	30	26	21	25
Very important	33	20	29	33	44	27	37	41	32	37	26	25	35	18	35	41	36	36	41	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	36	52	29	38	46	51	38	34	31	37	53	43	40	46	21	35	31	37	26	29
Somewhat important	27	44	19	36	40	39	26	20	24	25	37	25	18	36	15	33	23	33	22	28
Not too important	9	8	10	2	6	12	12	14	7	11	16	18	23	10	6	3	7	4	3	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	6	2	5	3	0	5	7	3	11	6	6	6	2	8	4	6	3	2	12	14
DK/NA	6	2	5	3	0	5	7	3	11	6	6	6	2	8	4	6	3	2	12	14

Table 57: Q13u. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making the Slow Streets Program permanent

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	32	43	25	38	25	35	27	16	37	17	35	30	33	28	34	29	40	30	30	49
Extremely important	15	23	4	18	11	16	14	9	19	6	17	13	18	11	15	14	19	14	15	24
Very important	17	20	20	20	14	19	14	6	18	10	18	17	15	17	20	16	21	17	15	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	60	50	63	53	67	59	65	80	59	74	60	64	59	65	58	62	53	63	63	40
Somewhat important	25	26	21	25	25	27	23	12	32	16	29	16	28	30	19	28	16	26	30	9
Not too important	35	24	41	29	42	31	43	68	28	57	31	48	31	34	39	35	37	37	33	32
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	13	9	7	7	8	4	4	10	5	6	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	11
DK/NA	8	7	13	9	7	7	8	4	4	10	5	6	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	11

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	32	37	49	29	29	28	33	35	30	39	38	27	70	7	53	6	10	43	21	35	19	20
Extremely important	15	19	27	13	11	16	14	15	14	24	20	9	35	1	26	1	4	21	7	17	6	7
Very important	17	18	22	16	18	12	19	20	16	15	18	18	35	6	28	5	6	22	13	19	13	13
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	60	55	40	67	62	69	60	56	64	59	58	63	30	90	44	89	51	53	76	58	76	58
Somewhat important	25	23	20	28	22	27	25	24	25	18	22	28	24	6	35	10	20	24	11	27	12	21
Not too important	35	32	20	38	40	42	35	32	39	41	36	36	6	84	9	79	31	29	65	31	64	37
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	8	11	5	8	3	8	9	6	2	4	10	0	3	3	5	39	4	4	7	5	22
DK/NA	8	8	11	5	8	3	8	9	6	2	4	10	0	3	3	5	39	4	4	7	5	22

Table 57: Q13u. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making the Slow Streets Program permanent

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	32	35	17	26	43	26	18	14	26	31	38	34	44	37	30	30	45	22	32	24	34
Extremely important	15	17	7	7	20	12	7	5	16	14	18	15	24	18	14	15	19	13	13	9	15
Very important	17	18	10	19	23	14	10	9	11	17	19	19	19	19	16	15	26	8	19	15	19
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	60	57	77	53	52	62	77	70	71	62	51	59	53	57	62	66	47	73	52	57	55
Somewhat important	25	28	11	15	26	28	12	36	27	25	23	17	25	19	29	29	27	35	17	20	24
Not too important	35	29	66	38	26	34	65	34	44	37	28	43	27	38	33	37	20	38	36	37	31
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	6	21	5	12	5	15	2	7	11	6	4	5	8	4	8	5	15	19	11
DK/NA	8	7	6	21	5	12	5	15	2	7	11	6	4	5	8	4	8	5	15	19	11
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	32	39	33	31	40	34	32	30	33	30	30	39	28	24	36	33	31	78	14		
Extremely important	15	17	16	16	20	16	17	12	16	13	11	20	13	12	16	16	14	49	4		
Very important	17	22	17	14	20	18	16	18	17	16	19	19	15	12	21	17	17	29	10		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	60	57	60	65	57	59	62	60	60	63	58	57	63	71	56	55	63	13	61		
Somewhat important	25	26	28	20	21	25	21	27	23	23	31	22	25	33	19	28	26	2	31		
Not too important	35	31	31	45	37	34	42	33	37	41	27	35	38	38	36	27	37	10	30		
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	5	7	4	3	8	5	10	7	7	12	4	10	5	8	12	7	10	25		
DK/NA	8	5	7	4	3	8	5	10	7	7	12	4	10	5	8	12	7	10	25		

Table 57: Q13u. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making the Slow Streets Program permanent

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	32	34	32	23	26	40	36	30	35	31	40	20	38	28	27	39	34	0	32
Extremely important	15	16	18	8	13	21	13	14	16	17	16	7	15	22	17	17	13	0	15
Very important	17	18	14	14	13	19	22	15	19	15	23	13	23	6	10	22	21	0	17
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	60	53	63	73	70	53	63	63	61	63	58	70	61	59	72	56	61	0	60
Somewhat important	25	22	36	31	26	20	31	29	25	24	27	27	24	43	40	20	22	0	25
Not too important	35	32	27	42	44	33	32	34	35	39	31	42	37	17	32	36	39	0	35
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	12	5	4	4	7	1	7	4	6	2	11	1	13	1	5	5	0	8
DK/NA	8	12	5	4	4	7	1	7	4	6	2	11	1	13	1	5	5	0	8

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	32	36	29		29	40	27	37	25	25	33	31	25	37	27	16	36	34	29	29
Extremely important	15	18	12		15	18	12	17	8	14	16	14	10	17	11	12	20	15	11	13
Very important	17	18	17		14	21	14	20	17	12	17	17	15	20	15	4	17	20	18	16
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	60	59	60		56	56	67	59	64	62	59	61	63	57	60	82	58	60	59	62
Somewhat important	25	22	28		25	32	27	20	21	15	29	19	19	24	27	19	23	20	35	20
Not too important	35	37	33		30	24	40	38	43	47	30	41	45	33	33	63	35	40	24	42
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	5	11		15	5	6	4	11	13	8	8	12	6	13	2	5	5	12	10
DK/NA	8	5	11		15	5	6	4	11	13	8	8	12	6	13	2	5	5	12	10

Table 57: Q13u. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making the Slow Streets Program permanent

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	32	38	35	27	26	19	12	39	35	35	18	24	0	41	34	42	31	30	13	22	
Extremely important	15	17	16	12	10	19	4	19	15	15	7	18	0	18	14	23	17	12	8	18	
Very important	17	20	19	15	15	0	9	19	20	19	11	6	0	23	20	18	14	18	5	4	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	60	56	59	61	59	81	83	56	58	60	60	73	100	56	59	53	58	67	83	77	
Somewhat important	25	28	20	32	18	21	17	21	27	24	30	19	20	24	28	15	31	27	9	23	
Not too important	35	28	39	29	41	60	66	35	31	36	30	55	80	32	31	38	27	40	74	54	
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	5	12	15	0	5	5	7	5	22	3	0	3	7	5	11	3	4	2	
DK/NA	8	7	5	12	15	0	5	5	7	5	22	3	0	3	7	5	11	3	4	2	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	32	36	43	14	28	27	33	32	32	33	34	36	32	32	32	35	36	25
Extremely important	15	18	19	10	12	10	15	14	14	14	15	12	13	21	14	16	17	12
Very important	17	19	24	4	16	17	17	18	18	19	19	24	19	11	18	19	19	13
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	60	59	53	83	61	54	60	61	61	60	60	59	59	62	60	55	60	66
Somewhat important	25	25	19	20	27	24	24	23	22	19	18	17	25	24	28	25	19	24
Not too important	35	34	34	64	34	30	35	38	40	41	42	42	35	38	31	30	41	42
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	5	4	2	12	19	8	7	7	7	6	5	8	6	8	10	4	9
DK/NA	8	5	4	2	12	19	8	7	7	7	6	5	8	6	8	10	4	9

Table 57: Q13u. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Making the Slow Streets Program permanent

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	32	34	32	33	44	43	35	25	29	35	40	34	32	37	30	15	32	42	24	30
Extremely important	15	8	15	20	26	27	11	9	14	13	24	12	11	11	16	9	18	18	12	17
Very important	17	26	16	13	19	16	24	16	16	23	16	21	21	26	14	6	14	24	13	13
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	60	56	55	51	56	54	63	69	62	63	56	47	59	60	59	76	61	55	65	53
Somewhat important	25	24	25	36	22	19	19	25	26	18	20	20	11	30	31	34	30	20	28	28
Not too important	35	33	30	15	34	35	44	44	35	45	36	27	48	30	28	43	31	35	36	25
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	10	14	16	0	3	2	6	9	1	4	19	9	3	11	8	7	3	11	17
DK/NA	8	10	14	16	0	3	2	6	9	1	4	19	9	3	11	8	7	3	11	17

Table 58: Q13v. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing crowding on Muni

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	67	48	62	68	65	68	73	72	52	68	60	71	61	63	67	60	63	72	66		
Extremely important	32	33	22	30	34	32	35	42	37	19	36	26	37	35	27	34	27	31	41	25		
Very important	33	34	26	32	35	33	32	31	35	33	33	34	34	25	37	33	33	31	32	41		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	30	42	33	31	33	30	24	27	43	31	37	28	37	32	31	34	35	28	28		
Somewhat important	24	20	31	23	25	25	21	19	21	24	24	24	21	29	23	23	26	26	20	25		
Not too important	8	9	11	10	6	8	9	5	7	19	7	14	7	8	9	8	8	9	8	4		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	10	5	1	2	2	3	0	5	1	3	1	2	5	2	6	2	0	6		
DK/NA	3	3	10	5	1	2	2	3	0	5	1	3	1	2	5	2	6	2	0	6		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	58	65	70	75	71	64	60	66	60	65	65	70	60	66	61	73	73	48	69	52	51
Extremely important	32	42	29	35	26	38	30	31	33	29	32	32	38	27	33	27	38	41	20	35	14	25
Very important	33	16	36	35	48	33	34	28	33	31	33	33	33	33	32	34	35	32	28	33	38	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	37	33	30	23	26	35	36	32	40	34	31	29	38	31	37	21	26	48	29	41	41
Somewhat important	24	29	23	21	19	19	26	28	23	32	27	22	22	29	24	26	17	20	31	22	27	32
Not too important	8	9	9	8	4	7	9	9	9	8	7	10	7	9	7	10	5	6	17	7	14	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	4	2	1	2	3	1	4	2	0	1	4	0	2	3	2	6	1	4	2	7	8
DK/NA	3	4	2	1	2	3	1	4	2	0	1	4	0	2	3	2	6	1	4	2	7	8

Table 58: Q13v. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing crowding on Muni

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	68	49	61	72	63	51	55	71	63	73	69	65	67	63	62	74	80	58	49	67
Extremely important	32	35	20	20	40	29	18	16	36	30	39	31	37	33	31	30	40	42	26	24	33
Very important	33	33	29	40	32	34	32	39	35	33	34	37	28	34	31	33	33	38	32	25	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	30	47	27	27	32	44	42	27	33	27	30	35	31	34	35	23	18	36	42	29
Somewhat important	24	23	32	22	22	23	31	32	21	25	19	23	27	25	25	26	19	7	25	30	21
Not too important	8	7	14	5	5	9	13	10	7	8	8	6	8	7	9	9	4	11	11	12	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	5	12	1	5	5	3	1	4	1	2	0	1	4	2	3	2	6	9	4
DK/NA	3	2	5	12	1	5	5	3	1	4	1	2	0	1	4	2	3	2	6	9	4
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	63	70	68	62	63	66	66	64	63	68	63	66	62	66	65	66	80	37		
Extremely important	32	34	30	31	38	31	29	34	30	35	34	33	28	31	33	30	32	50	16		
Very important	33	29	40	36	24	33	37	32	34	28	34	30	38	31	34	36	34	30	21		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	35	28	30	38	34	33	30	33	33	27	34	32	36	30	31	32	10	45		
Somewhat important	24	30	19	21	27	24	28	21	26	20	22	23	28	27	24	20	24	10	36		
Not too important	8	5	9	9	11	9	5	8	8	13	5	11	4	8	7	12	9	0	9		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	2	0	3	1	5	2	4	5	2	2	3	3	3	2	10	18		
DK/NA	3	2	2	2	0	3	1	5	2	4	5	2	2	3	3	3	2	10	18		

Table 58: Q13v. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing crowding on Muni

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$74.5K-\$175K (2-3)	\$175K+ (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	67	72	73	65	56	65	71	61	59	63	60	78	74	64	63	49	0	65
Extremely important	32	36	43	37	28	31	27	38	29	30	27	30	39	49	32	30	16	0	32
Very important	33	32	30	36	37	25	38	32	33	30	36	30	39	25	32	33	33	0	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	26	27	27	35	38	34	27	36	37	36	35	22	24	35	33	50	0	32
Somewhat important	24	19	23	23	26	27	26	22	26	24	29	28	17	19	25	27	25	0	24
Not too important	8	7	4	4	9	12	8	5	10	12	7	7	4	5	9	6	26	0	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	7	1	0	0	5	1	3	2	4	1	5	1	3	1	4	0	0	3
DK/NA	3	7	1	0	0	5	1	3	2	4	1	5	1	3	1	4	0	0	3

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	63	67		62	63	69	74	58	57	64	66	58	65	63	68	60	69	69	64
Extremely important	32	34	31		33	29	31	36	30	30	31	33	30	32	32	32	32	36	30	32
Very important	33	30	36		29	33	38	37	28	27	33	33	28	33	32	36	28	33	39	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	34	30		34	35	31	24	35	37	33	30	36	32	33	32	37	28	29	31
Somewhat important	24	22	25		23	26	27	17	27	28	25	22	28	23	26	19	24	19	26	24
Not too important	8	12	5		11	9	4	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	7	13	13	9	3	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3		4	3	0	2	7	6	2	4	6	3	4	0	3	3	2	5
DK/NA	3	3	3		4	3	0	2	7	6	2	4	6	3	4	0	3	3	2	5

Table 58: Q13v. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing crowding on Muni

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	60	71	68	55	79	54	63	67	62	65	67	71	59	71	73	68	60	65	67	
Extremely important	32	28	36	34	28	38	26	32	32	39	26	24	49	29	32	38	34	30	35	30	
Very important	33	32	35	34	28	42	29	31	35	24	39	42	23	30	39	36	34	30	30	37	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	36	26	31	35	21	46	33	30	35	30	33	29	38	28	23	29	40	35	33	
Somewhat important	24	27	19	24	29	14	25	21	25	26	26	19	20	31	16	19	29	27	19	18	
Not too important	8	9	7	7	6	7	20	13	5	9	4	14	9	6	11	4	0	13	15	15	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3	1	10	0	0	4	3	3	6	0	0	3	1	4	3	0	0	0	
DK/NA	3	3	3	1	10	0	0	4	3	3	6	0	0	3	1	4	3	0	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	65	71	71	66	57	64	63	64	64	66	65	65	64	67	69	57	64
Extremely important	32	31	33	36	33	30	32	30	29	29	29	25	30	39	39	33	21	31
Very important	33	34	38	34	33	27	33	33	35	35	37	39	35	25	28	35	36	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	33	26	29	29	41	33	35	34	33	31	33	32	31	32	26	41	34
Somewhat important	24	23	24	21	23	30	24	26	26	25	23	24	25	19	22	22	31	23
Not too important	8	10	2	9	5	11	8	8	8	8	8	9	7	12	9	5	10	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	3	0	5	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	5	1	5	3	3
DK/NA	3	3	3	0	5	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	5	1	5	3	3

Table 58: Q13v. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing crowding on Muni

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	65	53	68	51	80	59	62	68	67	62	62	56	65	60	74	65	68	72	66	62
Extremely important	32	31	38	24	66	29	16	27	32	19	28	32	29	34	37	29	41	43	30	25
Very important	33	22	30	27	14	30	46	41	34	43	34	24	35	26	37	36	27	29	36	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	32	47	28	49	16	36	38	28	30	38	33	40	32	40	22	31	32	25	24	35
Somewhat important	24	36	18	49	13	27	29	17	22	29	25	30	19	32	13	22	23	23	13	35
Not too important	8	11	10	0	3	9	9	11	7	9	8	11	13	8	9	9	8	2	11	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	3	0	4	5	0	4	4	0	5	4	3	0	4	4	0	3	9	3
DK/NA	3	0	3	0	4	5	0	4	4	0	5	4	3	0	4	4	0	3	9	3

Table 59: Q13w. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Addressing the backlog of maintenance work

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	62	59	61	74	68	69	73	78	63	72	63	75	67	63	68	66	67	75	67		
Extremely important	27	24	20	23	30	27	29	42	33	20	29	20	27	23	28	28	23	27	25	30		
Very important	41	38	39	38	44	41	40	30	45	43	43	43	48	43	35	40	43	40	50	37		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	32	32	32	18	26	25	23	19	24	24	26	20	28	28	25	26	27	21	25		
Somewhat important	22	25	29	26	17	22	21	19	15	15	21	19	16	23	25	21	23	23	16	21		
Not too important	4	7	3	6	1	5	3	4	3	10	3	7	4	5	3	4	3	4	4	4		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	8	6	7	6	6	4	3	13	4	11	5	6	9	7	8	6	4	7		
DK/NA	7	6	8	6	7	6	6	4	3	13	4	11	5	6	9	7	8	6	4	7		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	55	77	69	90	69	69	66	70	76	74	61	71	68	67	66	74	75	48	71	45	66
Extremely important	27	20	21	28	47	29	25	24	29	27	29	24	27	29	28	26	22	34	19	29	15	21
Very important	41	35	56	41	43	40	43	42	41	49	45	37	44	39	39	40	52	41	29	42	30	45
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	39	14	26	8	25	25	26	26	18	20	32	26	27	28	27	9	19	38	23	42	23
Somewhat important	22	35	13	21	6	22	21	22	22	15	18	26	22	20	25	21	8	16	29	20	35	21
Not too important	4	4	1	5	2	3	4	4	4	3	2	6	4	8	3	7	1	3	9	4	7	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	9	5	2	6	6	9	4	5	5	7	4	5	5	6	18	6	13	5	13	12
DK/NA	7	6	9	5	2	6	6	9	4	5	5	7	4	5	5	6	18	6	13	5	13	12

Table 59: Q13w. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Addressing the backlog of maintenance work

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	70	54	69	75	66	51	56	74	66	77	71	60	68	67	67	80	71	59	52	68
Extremely important	27	28	19	22	33	24	17	14	31	25	31	28	27	28	27	24	36	43	20	16	28
Very important	41	42	35	46	42	43	33	42	43	40	46	43	32	40	41	42	44	28	40	37	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	25	35	18	19	27	39	40	22	27	16	25	33	28	25	28	14	22	31	36	24
Somewhat important	22	21	28	18	16	24	31	37	21	24	12	22	33	25	21	25	12	13	29	33	20
Not too important	4	4	7	0	3	3	8	3	1	3	4	3	1	2	4	3	2	9	2	3	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	12	13	6	6	11	5	4	7	7	4	7	5	8	5	6	7	9	11	8
DK/NA	7	5	12	13	6	6	11	5	4	7	7	4	7	5	8	5	6	7	9	11	8
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	76	73	60	68	62	82	66	69	69	63	68	70	67	69	67	69	90	29		
Extremely important	27	34	26	23	19	22	36	26	27	27	24	30	24	27	26	27	27	37	7		
Very important	41	42	47	37	48	40	46	40	42	42	38	38	46	39	43	40	42	54	23		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	21	22	35	22	32	14	26	25	26	26	26	24	28	25	24	25	0	49		
Somewhat important	22	18	19	28	20	28	12	21	21	20	22	23	21	23	22	20	21	0	44		
Not too important	4	3	3	7	3	4	2	4	4	6	3	4	3	5	3	4	4	0	5		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	3	5	5	10	6	5	9	6	5	12	5	6	6	6	9	6	10	22		
DK/NA	7	3	5	5	10	6	5	9	6	5	12	5	6	6	6	9	6	10	22		

Table 59: Q13w. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Addressing the backlog of maintenance work

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	69	68	69	74	64	65	69	66	68	65	71	70	62	61	68	69	0	68
Extremely important	27	23	32	29	20	31	26	28	27	26	28	26	27	31	26	28	24	0	27
Very important	41	46	36	40	54	33	38	41	40	42	37	45	43	31	35	40	45	0	41
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	18	31	30	22	30	31	26	29	27	30	24	28	24	33	27	26	0	25
Somewhat important	22	14	26	28	19	26	24	23	24	25	23	20	27	19	27	22	25	0	22
Not too important	4	4	4	2	3	4	7	3	5	3	8	5	1	5	6	5	2	0	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	14	1	1	4	6	4	5	5	5	5	4	2	14	5	5	5	0	7
DK/NA	7	14	1	1	4	6	4	5	5	5	5	4	2	14	5	5	5	0	7

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	68	67		74	61	63	74	72	62	65	71	68	73	57	70	65	74	65	69
Extremely important	27	29	24		31	17	28	27	33	31	24	30	32	29	21	27	28	31	20	28
Very important	41	39	43		44	43	35	46	39	31	41	41	36	43	36	43	38	43	45	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	26	24		22	35	27	20	20	26	29	21	22	22	32	25	30	21	28	21
Somewhat important	22	22	21		19	28	26	17	17	21	24	18	19	19	28	19	24	18	25	17
Not too important	4	4	3		3	7	1	3	3	5	4	3	4	3	4	6	5	3	3	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	9		4	4	10	7	8	12	6	8	10	5	11	5	5	5	7	10
DK/NA	7	5	9		4	4	10	7	8	12	6	8	10	5	11	5	5	5	7	10

Table 59: Q13w. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Addressing the backlog of maintenance work

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	69	77	58	57	75	63	75	71	56	57	73	62	76	73	74	73	46	79	68	
Extremely important	27	28	31	19	24	19	37	35	25	21	19	21	40	36	25	30	27	13	22	27	
Very important	41	41	46	38	33	57	25	40	46	35	38	53	22	40	48	44	46	33	57	41	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	28	16	32	32	25	25	21	23	36	29	27	20	22	22	23	21	44	21	26	
Somewhat important	22	24	14	27	28	18	19	19	19	29	28	18	20	19	18	21	19	35	10	21	
Not too important	4	4	3	4	4	6	6	2	4	7	1	9	0	2	4	2	2	9	11	5	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	4	7	11	11	0	12	4	6	8	14	0	17	3	5	3	6	10	0	5	
DK/NA	7	4	7	11	11	0	12	4	6	8	14	0	17	3	5	3	6	10	0	5	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	71	76	68	59	66	68	66	69	70	73	75	70	60	65	71	62	71
Extremely important	27	29	20	26	21	31	26	25	26	27	29	32	27	24	28	31	19	25
Very important	41	42	56	42	38	35	42	41	43	43	44	43	43	36	37	39	44	46
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	23	24	26	31	25	26	27	25	24	21	19	24	32	26	22	31	26
Somewhat important	22	20	19	20	26	24	22	23	23	21	19	18	20	28	22	19	24	23
Not too important	4	3	5	6	5	1	4	4	2	2	2	1	4	5	3	3	8	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	6	0	6	10	9	6	7	6	7	6	6	7	8	10	7	6	3
DK/NA	7	6	0	6	10	9	6	7	6	7	6	6	7	8	10	7	6	3

Table 59: Q13w. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Addressing the backlog of maintenance work

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	68	49	71	69	80	61	66	66	71	66	58	56	62	53	82	74	79	73	75	61
Extremely important	27	18	29	37	29	28	13	18	32	17	24	16	17	23	35	28	35	25	37	32
Very important	41	31	43	32	51	33	53	48	38	49	35	40	45	30	47	46	44	48	38	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	25	45	19	28	20	35	24	30	20	24	37	30	33	40	12	22	17	26	13	27
Somewhat important	22	45	15	23	18	20	19	26	19	20	21	25	31	40	9	17	17	24	11	23
Not too important	4	0	4	5	3	15	4	4	1	4	16	5	3	0	3	5	0	2	1	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	9	3	0	4	11	4	9	10	5	14	4	7	6	4	4	1	12	12
DK/NA	7	7	9	3	0	4	11	4	9	10	5	14	4	7	6	4	4	1	12	12

Table 60: Q13x. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM LESS			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													/MNTH	OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	79	68	76	84	79	85	75	90	54	85	68	81	83	77	80	80	80	84	82		
Extremely important	44	40	27	37	51	43	49	49	55	21	48	36	47	47	40	43	46	43	51	45		
Very important	36	38	40	39	33	36	36	26	35	33	37	32	35	36	37	37	33	37	33	38		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	19	27	21	15	19	14	23	10	44	15	30	18	16	20	18	18	19	16	11		
Somewhat important	15	16	25	18	12	17	11	19	9	25	14	19	14	14	16	16	13	17	10	10		
Not too important	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	1	19	1	11	3	2	4	3	5	3	6	1		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	5	3	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	3	2	2	1	0	6		
DK/NA	2	2	5	3	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	3	2	2	1	0	6		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	81	68	88	82	78	82	77	84	81	83	77	88	74	85	71	81	89	51	84	59	75
Extremely important	44	50	33	48	39	42	44	43	45	44	45	43	52	36	47	35	55	53	20	47	24	42
Very important	36	31	35	40	43	36	39	34	39	38	38	34	35	38	38	36	26	36	31	37	35	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	18	32	11	17	21	16	21	15	18	15	22	12	24	14	27	11	10	44	15	37	23
Somewhat important	15	17	28	10	14	17	13	17	14	14	13	18	8	18	12	21	11	9	21	14	22	19
Not too important	3	1	4	1	3	4	3	5	2	4	3	4	4	5	2	6	0	1	23	1	15	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	0	0	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	8	1	6	2	4	2
DK/NA	2	1	0	0	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	8	1	6	2	4	2

Table 60: Q13x. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	84	61	70	89	76	63	93	87	77	90	78	79	79	81	85	87	82	65	53	76
Extremely important	44	48	31	28	52	40	30	40	54	40	58	37	51	42	45	43	57	69	32	24	46
Very important	36	36	31	43	37	36	33	52	34	37	32	41	28	37	36	42	30	13	32	29	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	14	36	27	10	21	34	6	11	20	9	22	19	21	17	14	9	16	32	41	21
Somewhat important	15	13	22	24	9	19	21	6	11	17	8	15	16	16	15	13	9	13	25	33	17
Not too important	3	1	14	3	0	2	13	0	0	3	1	6	3	5	2	1	0	3	7	8	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3	2	1	3	3	2	1	2	1	0	2	1	2	0	4	2	3	5	3
DK/NA	2	2	3	2	1	3	3	2	1	2	1	0	2	1	2	0	4	2	3	5	3
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	90	86	71	69	79	82	79	80	77	80	80	81	81	81	75	83	82	33		
Extremely important	44	58	38	41	46	40	45	46	42	40	50	39	45	47	46	33	46	45	9		
Very important	36	33	48	30	23	39	37	33	38	37	30	41	35	34	35	42	37	37	24		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	10	13	28	29	20	17	18	19	20	16	19	18	18	17	22	16	8	54		
Somewhat important	15	9	12	23	25	17	14	15	16	18	12	16	15	17	15	14	14	8	40		
Not too important	3	1	2	5	4	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	1	3	8	3	0	14		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	3	4	1	1	1	2	3	1	10	13		
DK/NA	2	0	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	3	4	1	1	1	2	3	1	10	13		

Table 60: Q13x. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	80	81	80	69	84	81	80	79	77	83	77	85	74	84	79	73	0	80
Extremely important	44	54	50	46	43	46	29	50	40	46	32	50	54	41	41	42	27	0	44
Very important	36	26	31	34	26	38	52	30	40	31	51	27	31	33	44	37	47	0	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	17	17	19	31	16	19	18	21	23	17	20	13	23	16	21	27	0	18
Somewhat important	15	14	13	17	27	13	16	15	17	20	13	18	13	14	16	18	18	0	15
Not too important	3	4	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	2	0	9	0	3	9	0	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	2
DK/NA	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	2

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	79	81		84	84	78	82	67	80	82	77	72	84	73	75	82	75	82	79
Extremely important	44	40	47		56	42	35	47	37	43	44	43	39	46	41	34	42	38	47	47
Very important	36	39	34		28	42	43	35	30	37	38	34	33	37	32	42	40	37	35	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	19	17		15	16	20	16	29	15	17	20	24	15	24	25	18	21	15	19
Somewhat important	15	17	14		15	15	14	11	25	13	15	16	20	12	20	18	16	17	12	15
Not too important	3	2	4		0	1	6	5	4	2	2	4	3	3	3	7	2	4	3	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	2		2	0	3	2	4	4	1	3	4	2	3	0	0	4	3	2
DK/NA	2	2	2		2	0	3	2	4	4	1	3	4	2	3	0	0	4	3	2

Table 60: Q13x. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	87	80	76	69	73	79	84	83	73	74	70	87	94	86	75	81	64	90	71	
Extremely important	44	45	47	43	37	41	25	44	48	37	45	27	46	61	38	47	43	38	29	43	
Very important	36	42	32	33	32	32	54	40	35	36	29	43	41	33	47	28	37	26	60	28	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	12	18	22	26	27	21	15	15	24	23	30	13	6	14	22	17	36	10	29	
Somewhat important	15	11	14	19	22	21	14	14	11	20	20	20	13	6	12	20	15	29	7	22	
Not too important	3	1	4	3	5	7	7	0	4	4	3	10	0	0	2	2	2	7	4	7	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	3	2	4	0	0	1	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	
DK/NA	2	1	3	2	4	0	0	1	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	84	87	76	72	78	80	81	81	82	83	86	79	82	79	84	77	77
Extremely important	44	48	42	34	40	41	43	41	42	44	47	46	45	40	51	47	33	40
Very important	36	36	45	42	32	36	36	40	39	38	36	40	35	42	28	37	45	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	14	13	24	25	21	19	18	17	17	15	13	19	16	19	13	21	22
Somewhat important	15	12	13	20	21	16	15	14	14	13	12	10	16	13	16	10	16	20
Not too important	3	3	0	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	0	0	4	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	3	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	4	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	3	1	1

Table 60: Q13x. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Providing quick, convenient transit access to all parts of San Francisco

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	80	77	83	76	93	86	80	77	78	78	83	72	74	77	89	72	84	89	80	78
Extremely important	44	43	62	46	55	37	34	35	42	32	36	53	31	47	66	37	45	50	33	53
Very important	36	34	21	30	38	49	46	41	36	45	46	19	43	30	23	35	39	39	47	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	18	19	15	24	6	14	20	22	19	22	15	26	24	22	8	28	16	11	14	17
Somewhat important	15	19	13	19	6	9	17	19	15	19	8	21	19	22	7	27	12	11	8	12
Not too important	3	0	2	5	0	5	3	3	4	3	6	4	5	0	1	1	4	0	6	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	4	2	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	3	2	2	1	3	0	1	0	6	5
DK/NA	2	4	2	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	3	2	2	1	3	0	1	0	6	5

Table 61: Q13y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Rebuilding San Francisco's aging rail network

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	65	55	62	72	68	66	60	79	50	73	59	76	66	61	69	62	63	83	79
Extremely important	33	30	22	28	38	33	34	33	46	24	36	25	44	29	28	33	31	30	43	37
Very important	34	35	33	34	34	35	32	28	33	26	36	34	33	38	33	35	31	33	40	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	31	32	31	25	28	30	36	20	43	25	36	21	29	32	26	32	33	15	16
Somewhat important	22	25	24	25	20	23	24	33	18	25	21	23	16	25	25	21	25	25	15	13
Not too important	6	5	8	6	5	6	6	4	2	17	4	12	5	4	7	5	7	7	0	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	13	7	4	4	4	3	1	8	2	6	2	5	7	5	6	4	2	6
DK/NA	5	4	13	7	4	4	4	3	1	8	2	6	2	5	7	5	6	4	2	6

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	63	68	71	82	71	67	59	72	74	72	62	76	63	69	62	71	78	41	72	44	52
Extremely important	33	37	29	30	50	40	31	34	35	40	35	31	40	30	36	29	30	45	14	37	15	19
Very important	34	26	39	40	32	31	36	25	38	34	37	31	36	33	34	33	41	33	28	35	29	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	34	22	28	15	24	30	35	26	23	25	32	23	31	26	33	19	19	51	24	50	34
Somewhat important	22	29	10	24	10	18	24	27	21	18	21	25	19	23	22	25	14	16	38	20	38	18
Not too important	6	5	12	3	5	6	5	8	4	5	5	7	4	8	4	8	6	3	13	3	12	16
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	10	1	3	5	4	6	2	3	3	5	1	6	4	5	10	3	8	4	6	14
DK/NA	5	4	10	1	3	5	4	6	2	3	3	5	1	6	4	5	10	3	8	4	6	14

Table 61: Q13y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Rebuilding San Francisco's aging rail network

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	72	44	54	80	61	47	71	66	65	74	68	72	69	67	69	81	76	48	38	65
Extremely important	33	38	15	18	45	27	15	28	43	31	39	30	36	31	34	32	43	47	24	20	34
Very important	34	35	29	35	35	34	32	44	23	34	35	38	37	38	33	37	37	29	24	18	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	24	50	26	17	32	47	24	32	30	19	30	24	28	28	28	13	22	41	47	28
Somewhat important	22	21	35	15	15	26	33	19	30	24	13	25	19	23	23	25	12	13	32	33	22
Not too important	6	3	15	12	2	6	15	5	2	5	6	5	5	5	5	4	2	8	9	14	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	3	6	20	3	7	6	5	2	5	7	2	4	3	5	3	6	2	10	15	7
DK/NA	5	3	6	20	3	7	6	5	2	5	7	2	4	3	5	3	6	2	10	15	7
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	75	70	61	64	67	72	65	69	70	60	67	71	65	68	69	69	90	22		
Extremely important	33	33	34	33	38	30	33	35	31	43	29	33	30	27	36	35	34	39	7		
Very important	34	42	36	29	26	36	39	29	37	27	31	33	41	38	32	34	34	51	16		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	24	25	35	31	30	25	27	28	23	31	31	25	31	28	24	28	0	48		
Somewhat important	22	21	18	29	23	25	22	20	24	16	23	29	19	25	24	15	22	0	38		
Not too important	6	3	6	6	9	5	4	7	5	7	8	3	6	6	4	9	6	0	10		
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	1	5	3	5	3	2	8	3	6	9	2	4	4	4	7	3	10	30		
DK/NA	5	1	5	3	5	3	2	8	3	6	9	2	4	4	4	7	3	10	30		

Table 61: Q13y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Rebuilding San Francisco's aging rail network

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	64	76	66	66	69	62	68	66	68	63	63	74	66	70	63	68	0	67
Extremely important	33	32	40	45	30	28	30	39	29	27	30	32	39	50	23	34	18	0	33
Very important	34	32	36	20	37	41	32	29	37	40	33	31	35	16	46	29	50	0	34
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	25	22	30	32	28	35	26	31	29	34	32	23	22	26	34	31	0	28
Somewhat important	22	20	13	27	27	24	29	20	27	24	29	25	21	11	25	29	19	0	22
Not too important	6	5	8	4	5	4	6	6	5	5	4	7	2	11	1	5	11	0	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	11	2	4	2	3	3	6	3	3	3	5	3	12	4	3	1	0	5
DK/NA	5	11	2	4	2	3	3	6	3	3	3	5	3	12	4	3	1	0	5

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	68	66		70	67	64	73	56	68	67	67	61	71	60	66	68	67	66	67
Extremely important	33	37	30		33	34	34	36	22	33	34	31	26	34	29	39	39	33	29	31
Very important	34	31	36		36	33	30	36	34	35	33	35	34	37	31	27	29	34	37	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	29	27		25	31	31	22	33	25	29	26	30	24	34	30	30	26	28	26
Somewhat important	22	25	20		19	28	27	16	22	20	25	19	22	19	28	24	27	20	22	18
Not too important	6	4	7		6	3	4	6	11	4	4	7	8	5	6	6	3	6	5	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	7		6	2	5	5	11	7	4	7	9	5	6	4	1	7	7	7
DK/NA	5	4	7		6	2	5	5	11	7	4	7	9	5	6	4	1	7	7	7

Table 61: Q13y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Rebuilding San Francisco's aging rail network

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	69	72	63	55	69	62	73	69	60	60	64	71	76	71	66	67	58	60	69	
Extremely important	33	33	34	32	25	48	27	41	29	30	30	36	46	34	33	35	34	30	25	48	
Very important	34	36	38	31	30	21	34	32	40	30	29	28	25	42	37	31	33	27	36	21	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	26	23	34	34	31	29	24	25	36	32	35	22	23	24	30	31	39	40	25	
Somewhat important	22	22	16	29	28	31	16	21	18	30	27	30	13	20	17	25	26	31	40	14	
Not too important	6	4	7	6	6	0	13	3	7	6	5	4	9	3	7	5	5	8	0	11	
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	5	3	11	0	9	4	6	4	8	1	8	0	6	4	3	3	0	6	
DK/NA	5	5	5	3	11	0	9	4	6	4	8	1	8	0	6	4	3	3	0	6	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	68	82	64	58	74	67	66	67	67	68	73	68	63	67	68	59	73
Extremely important	33	34	42	39	29	28	32	31	31	33	35	35	32	36	33	37	25	34
Very important	34	34	40	25	29	46	35	35	36	34	33	38	36	27	34	31	34	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	27	13	31	35	22	28	29	29	29	27	22	27	31	27	26	37	24
Somewhat important	22	21	13	26	30	13	23	23	23	22	21	18	21	28	25	20	27	19
Not too important	6	6	0	5	5	9	6	6	6	7	6	5	6	3	3	5	10	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	5	5	5	7	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	5	3
DK/NA	5	5	5	5	7	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	5	3

Table 61: Q13y. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Rebuilding San Francisco's aging rail network

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	67	53	67	66	80	70	59	74	65	58	67	58	66	64	72	77	67	72	73	58
Extremely important	33	20	38	37	49	36	24	34	30	23	32	25	23	24	44	36	40	38	38	34
Very important	34	33	29	29	31	34	35	40	35	36	35	33	42	40	28	41	27	34	35	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	43	26	34	20	25	38	23	27	40	26	28	30	34	21	20	33	27	14	33
Somewhat important	22	34	19	25	20	21	28	15	24	29	22	21	18	28	16	18	33	21	11	25
Not too important	6	9	6	9	0	5	10	8	3	11	4	8	12	6	4	2	0	6	3	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	5	4	7	0	0	5	2	4	8	2	7	14	4	2	8	2	0	1	12	9
DK/NA	5	4	7	0	0	5	2	4	8	2	7	14	4	2	8	2	0	1	12	9

Table 62: Q13z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding a new subway line on Geary and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	54	50	53	49	53	51	54	64	34	56	40	55	50	49	50	53	50	52	56
Extremely important	24	23	14	21	29	26	24	27	35	11	28	15	34	21	20	25	24	23	27	30
Very important	27	31	36	32	20	27	27	27	29	23	28	24	21	29	29	26	29	27	25	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	42	39	41	41	41	41	40	32	62	38	55	39	41	42	42	38	42	43	34
Somewhat important	25	25	21	24	26	27	23	18	20	27	25	28	25	23	26	27	20	26	25	21
Not too important	16	17	18	17	14	13	19	23	12	36	13	26	14	18	16	15	18	16	18	13
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	4	10	6	10	6	8	6	4	4	6	5	6	9	9	7	9	7	5	11
DK/NA	8	4	10	6	10	6	8	6	4	4	6	5	6	9	9	7	9	7	5	11

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	55	65	48	62	47	54	51	53	58	52	49	59	44	57	44	46	63	23	56	25	40
Extremely important	24	36	25	22	23	25	25	22	25	34	30	17	32	20	28	18	27	34	6	27	7	25
Very important	27	19	40	26	39	22	29	29	27	24	22	32	27	24	29	26	19	30	17	29	18	15
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	39	30	47	29	46	40	44	40	37	42	41	34	48	37	49	36	33	68	38	63	41
Somewhat important	25	28	17	25	22	31	23	27	24	17	23	29	24	23	26	23	27	22	22	24	26	30
Not too important	16	11	13	21	7	15	16	17	15	20	19	13	10	25	11	25	9	11	46	13	37	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	5	5	9	7	7	5	8	5	6	9	7	8	6	8	18	4	9	6	12	19
DK/NA	8	6	5	5	9	7	7	5	8	5	6	9	7	8	6	8	18	4	9	6	12	19

Table 62: Q13z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding a new subway line on Geary and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	57	27	40	65	45	27	44	62	51	52	53	38	48	53	49	72	53	43	34	55
Extremely important	24	28	11	17	35	19	11	23	33	25	22	26	20	24	26	26	33	24	19	15	25
Very important	27	29	16	23	31	26	16	21	29	26	30	27	18	24	28	23	39	29	24	19	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	37	64	39	31	43	64	48	36	41	38	41	45	42	41	44	22	38	47	54	36
Somewhat important	25	24	28	28	21	29	26	36	20	25	25	27	22	26	26	26	17	20	33	41	24
Not too important	16	13	35	11	10	14	38	13	16	16	13	14	24	17	14	17	6	19	14	13	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	10	21	4	12	9	7	2	8	10	6	17	10	6	8	6	9	10	12	9
DK/NA	8	6	10	21	4	12	9	7	2	8	10	6	17	10	6	8	6	9	10	12	9
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	63	53	47	42	53	52	49	52	47	51	60	45	49	54	49	51	82	28		
Extremely important	24	33	23	25	17	24	28	23	26	23	24	32	19	21	27	24	24	46	11		
Very important	27	30	30	22	25	29	24	26	27	25	27	27	26	28	27	25	27	36	16		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	34	39	47	50	42	40	41	41	44	39	37	45	42	39	43	42	8	48		
Somewhat important	25	22	23	29	22	29	21	24	26	25	23	23	29	25	24	27	25	2	44		
Not too important	16	12	16	18	28	13	19	17	15	19	16	14	16	17	15	16	17	6	4		
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	3	8	6	8	6	8	10	6	9	11	3	9	9	7	8	7	10	24		
DK/NA	8	3	8	6	8	6	8	10	6	9	11	3	9	9	7	8	7	10	24		

Table 62: Q13z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding a new subway line on Geary and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	56	50	42	38	55	64	49	54	47	63	41	58	44	56	51	62	0	51	
Extremely important	24	25	31	14	20	29	28	23	27	25	29	17	29	21	24	26	32	0	24	
Very important	27	31	19	27	18	27	35	26	27	22	34	25	29	23	31	25	30	0	27	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	30	49	51	61	34	31	43	39	45	32	45	38	51	37	42	32	0	41	
Somewhat important	25	18	32	33	29	26	24	27	26	29	22	28	26	31	22	27	27	0	25	
Not too important	16	13	17	17	32	8	7	16	13	16	10	17	13	20	15	15	5	0	16	
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	13	1	8	1	11	6	7	7	8	5	14	4	5	7	7	6	0	8	
DK/NA	8	13	1	8	1	11	6	7	7	8	5	14	4	5	7	7	6	0	8	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	55	47		51	56	56	53	40	39	55	46	40	54	49	38	60	48	49	46
Extremely important	24	29	21		17	30	23	26	24	22	24	25	23	25	24	18	30	28	19	23
Very important	27	26	27		34	26	32	27	16	18	30	22	17	28	25	19	30	20	30	23
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	39	43		41	37	39	38	49	53	39	44	51	40	42	47	36	44	41	45
Somewhat important	25	24	27		33	16	27	25	28	26	24	26	28	26	24	22	19	31	30	23
Not too important	16	15	16		8	20	12	13	21	27	14	18	23	14	18	24	17	13	11	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	10		8	7	5	10	11	8	7	10	10	7	9	16	4	8	10	10
DK/NA	8	6	10		8	7	5	10	11	8	7	10	10	7	9	16	4	8	10	10

Table 62: Q13z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding a new subway line on Geary and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	55	52	53	41	54	17	61	48	48	50	48	16	63	51	57	60	38	52	30	
Extremely important	24	23	28	26	22	30	4	31	21	26	23	25	3	32	23	28	30	20	22	13	
Very important	27	32	24	28	19	24	13	29	28	22	27	23	12	32	28	29	30	18	31	17	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	39	40	39	48	33	64	35	43	46	38	37	68	35	41	37	31	60	33	54	
Somewhat important	25	27	25	22	28	16	30	26	27	23	25	15	40	23	25	28	16	31	17	19	
Not too important	16	12	15	17	20	17	34	10	16	23	14	23	28	12	15	10	15	28	16	35	
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	6	8	8	11	13	19	4	9	5	12	15	16	2	8	6	9	2	14	16	
DK/NA	8	6	8	8	11	13	19	4	9	5	12	15	16	2	8	6	9	2	14	16	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	52	71	37	48	50	51	50	51	51	52	54	52	49	48	56	48	49
Extremely important	24	25	32	17	23	23	24	24	26	25	28	27	24	25	27	25	20	24
Very important	27	26	39	21	25	27	27	26	26	25	24	27	27	23	21	31	28	25
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	41	28	48	43	40	42	42	41	42	41	38	40	44	43	33	46	47
Somewhat important	25	27	15	24	26	21	26	26	27	26	25	24	25	25	25	23	23	30
Not too important	16	14	13	24	16	19	16	16	15	16	15	14	15	18	17	10	23	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	2	15	9	9	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	9	11	6	4
DK/NA	8	7	2	15	9	9	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	9	11	6	4

Table 62: Q13z. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Adding a new subway line on Geary and 19th Avenue to better serve the western neighborhoods

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	51	53	50	36	69	52	53	56	48	50	53	39	52	51	56	47	39	68	63	44
Extremely important	24	27	31	16	35	24	23	23	22	23	23	18	18	32	35	16	29	30	23	20
Very important	27	26	20	20	34	29	29	33	25	27	30	21	34	20	21	31	10	38	39	24
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	41	40	36	57	29	41	47	40	41	49	40	54	41	40	26	53	54	29	24	41
Somewhat important	25	25	21	46	22	19	25	22	27	23	20	30	21	29	15	40	33	21	14	31
Not too important	16	15	15	12	7	22	23	19	14	26	20	25	20	12	11	13	22	8	9	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	14	7	2	7	0	4	12	2	7	7	7	8	18	0	7	3	14	16
DK/NA	8	7	14	7	2	7	0	4	12	2	7	7	7	8	18	0	7	3	14	16

Table 63: Q13aa. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving the flow of traffic

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	73	58	69	70	67	76	73	74	70	70	70	76	65	67	69	72	68	73	71
Extremely important	30	28	23	26	34	30	33	41	35	25	31	27	37	31	26	31	29	29	37	28
Very important	39	45	35	42	36	37	43	33	38	44	39	43	40	34	42	38	43	39	36	43
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	26	36	29	28	32	22	24	26	27	29	27	23	32	30	30	24	31	27	21
Somewhat important	24	21	32	24	24	28	17	18	21	22	24	22	18	29	25	25	19	25	24	19
Not too important	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	6	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	6	5	3	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	6	3	2	1	2	2	1	3	1	3	1	2	3	2	4	2	0	8
DK/NA	2	1	6	3	2	1	2	2	1	3	1	3	1	2	3	2	4	2	0	8

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	78	72	72	60	71	71	67	73	72	71	68	73	65	70	69	69	71	65	71	66	65
Extremely important	30	45	25	31	18	30	31	30	30	37	33	27	33	30	30	30	28	36	22	31	23	30
Very important	39	33	47	41	42	41	40	37	43	35	38	41	40	35	39	39	41	35	43	39	43	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	20	27	27	38	28	28	31	26	27	27	30	26	31	28	28	26	29	31	28	32	26
Somewhat important	24	20	20	23	33	20	24	28	20	20	21	27	19	26	23	24	24	23	26	24	26	22
Not too important	4	0	7	4	5	7	4	2	6	7	6	3	7	5	5	4	3	5	5	4	6	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	2	3	4	1	4	1	2	10
DK/NA	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	2	3	4	1	4	1	2	10

Table 63: Q13aa. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving the flow of traffic

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	70	70	65	69	70	69	71	78	67	79	70	53	65	72	70	72	81	62	55	70
Extremely important	30	31	26	30	35	27	25	24	37	29	35	30	26	29	32	29	32	36	25	22	30
Very important	39	39	44	35	34	43	44	48	41	38	44	40	27	36	39	41	39	45	36	33	40
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	29	27	25	30	26	29	27	21	31	19	28	42	32	27	29	25	17	35	42	28
Somewhat important	24	25	23	17	25	22	24	21	19	26	14	23	36	27	23	24	23	12	31	36	24
Not too important	4	4	3	8	5	4	5	5	2	4	5	5	6	5	4	5	2	5	4	6	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	3	10	1	4	3	2	1	2	2	2	4	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	3
DK/NA	2	1	3	10	1	4	3	2	1	2	2	2	4	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	3
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	70	70	71	65	64	79	68	70	73	65	74	66	62	72	72	71	84	43		
Extremely important	30	29	26	31	35	27	32	31	29	34	29	32	26	25	35	27	30	44	20		
Very important	39	41	45	40	30	37	47	37	41	39	36	42	40	36	38	46	41	40	23		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	30	29	26	35	33	20	29	28	25	33	24	31	37	25	24	28	6	49		
Somewhat important	24	25	23	22	30	28	16	25	23	21	29	20	27	30	22	22	24	0	47		
Not too important	4	5	6	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	7	4	2	4	6	2		
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	1	3	0	3	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	1	10	8		
DK/NA	2	1	1	3	0	3	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	1	10	8		

Table 63: Q13aa. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving the flow of traffic

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	70	65	69	62	75	67	68	69	67	72	63	76	61	58	71	80	0	70
Extremely important	30	34	38	26	21	33	20	32	26	27	24	29	39	24	18	29	28	0	30
Very important	39	37	27	43	41	42	47	36	43	39	48	34	37	37	41	42	52	0	39
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	27	35	31	38	22	31	31	29	31	26	35	24	36	39	27	18	0	28
Somewhat important	24	23	35	27	28	19	22	28	22	24	19	30	21	36	29	21	13	0	24
Not too important	4	4	0	4	10	3	9	3	7	7	7	5	2	0	10	6	6	0	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	3	1	0	0	3	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	3	3	2	2	0	2
DK/NA	2	3	1	0	0	3	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	3	3	2	2	0	2

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	74	66		65	74	66	73	70	63	69	70	68	73	62	70	75	73	64	69
Extremely important	30	33	27		29	27	33	33	28	31	29	31	29	32	27	30	34	33	25	30
Very important	39	41	39		36	47	33	40	42	32	40	39	38	41	35	41	41	41	39	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	24	31		32	26	34	24	26	29	30	25	27	25	36	27	25	22	35	28
Somewhat important	24	20	27		24	23	26	21	24	27	24	23	25	20	31	26	20	19	28	26
Not too important	4	4	4		8	4	7	3	1	2	6	2	1	5	4	2	5	3	7	1
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3		3	0	0	3	4	8	1	4	6	2	2	3	0	5	2	4
DK/NA	2	2	3		3	0	0	3	4	8	1	4	6	2	2	3	0	5	2	4

Table 63: Q13aa. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving the flow of traffic

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	72	74	61	65	80	58	77	70	67	59	80	52	70	72	80	67	58	54	77	
Extremely important	30	29	35	29	24	38	20	35	29	31	22	31	29	28	28	39	24	28	14	31	
Very important	39	44	38	33	41	43	38	42	41	35	37	49	23	42	44	41	43	31	40	46	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	26	23	39	30	20	37	21	27	32	39	18	48	29	27	15	33	41	46	23	
Somewhat important	24	19	20	33	28	20	33	17	22	27	36	16	48	23	21	15	30	32	42	22	
Not too important	4	7	2	5	2	0	3	4	5	5	3	2	0	6	7	1	2	9	4	1	
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	1	4	0	5	0	6	2	3	2	2	3	0	1	1	4	0	1	0	0	
DK/NA	2	1	4	0	5	0	6	2	3	2	2	3	0	1	1	4	0	1	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	72	81	71	62	68	69	67	68	69	70	68	71	63	68	68	70	72
Extremely important	30	31	37	29	29	27	29	29	29	31	26	30	32	32	36	29	24	30
Very important	39	41	45	42	33	41	40	39	39	39	39	42	41	32	32	39	47	42
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	26	16	26	36	29	29	30	29	28	27	29	27	33	30	28	28	27
Somewhat important	24	20	16	24	32	27	24	24	24	23	22	24	22	30	23	22	25	25
Not too important	4	6	0	2	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	6	6	3	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	2	4	1	1
DK/NA	2	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	2	4	1	1

Table 63: Q13aa. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Improving the flow of traffic

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	70	63	72	62	68	79	69	69	69	71	74	73	64	65	70	78	63	70	73	66
Extremely important	30	36	39	20	43	24	24	25	31	27	22	38	25	34	34	26	43	33	26	22
Very important	39	27	34	43	25	55	45	45	38	44	52	35	39	31	36	52	20	37	47	44
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	28	37	26	36	32	19	31	29	26	29	23	27	34	32	27	20	36	30	17	33
Somewhat important	24	37	17	34	27	15	24	27	22	22	20	19	31	32	16	19	34	24	12	30
Not too important	4	0	8	2	4	4	7	3	4	7	4	8	3	0	10	2	2	6	5	3
<u>DK/NA</u>	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	4	0	3	0	2	3	4	1	1	0	11	1
DK/NA	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	4	0	3	0	2	3	4	1	1	0	11	1

Table 64: Q13bb. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Updating bus yards for earthquake safety

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	47	49	50	50	43	50	37	35	55	26	51	35	54	44	42	47	45	43	51	65
Extremely important	20	21	23	22	17	24	11	9	26	6	22	10	25	16	18	20	19	17	15	36
Very important	27	28	28	28	26	27	26	26	29	19	29	24	29	28	24	27	26	25	35	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	48	42	46	46	44	53	53	40	71	43	60	42	44	50	46	48	50	44	27
Somewhat important	32	36	32	35	29	32	33	34	29	39	32	35	33	31	32	32	31	35	28	21
Not too important	14	12	9	11	17	12	19	19	11	32	11	25	9	13	18	13	16	15	17	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	3	8	4	11	5	10	13	5	4	6	5	4	12	8	7	8	7	5	8
DK/NA	8	3	8	4	11	5	10	13	5	4	6	5	4	12	8	7	8	7	5	8

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	47	48	61	41	64	46	44	53	42	45	42	50	51	42	49	39	55	58	24	51	18	43
Extremely important	20	23	29	16	27	25	16	25	16	15	16	23	19	20	20	16	25	28	5	22	3	14
Very important	27	25	32	25	37	21	28	29	27	29	26	28	32	21	29	23	29	30	18	29	14	29
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	47	37	50	30	47	49	40	50	45	49	44	43	51	44	54	33	35	71	42	71	44
Somewhat important	32	34	26	36	21	33	34	33	34	27	32	32	31	29	32	34	25	28	36	32	41	23
Not too important	14	14	11	14	10	14	15	7	17	17	16	12	12	23	12	20	8	7	35	11	30	21
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	5	2	8	5	6	7	7	8	11	9	6	7	7	7	7	13	6	6	7	11	12
DK/NA	8	5	2	8	5	6	7	7	8	11	9	6	7	7	7	7	13	6	6	7	11	12

Table 64: Q13bb. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Updating bus yards for earthquake safety

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	47	52	24	33	59	42	23	41	45	45	55	51	30	45	48	39	80	60	34	30	53
Extremely important	20	23	7	8	29	15	6	19	22	19	20	21	14	19	20	14	42	31	11	11	25
Very important	27	29	17	25	30	27	16	22	22	25	35	31	15	26	28	26	37	30	22	19	28
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	41	67	53	34	50	68	46	50	47	41	45	50	47	45	51	14	38	60	63	42
Somewhat important	32	30	39	37	26	35	40	27	37	33	29	31	34	32	33	35	10	26	45	42	30
Not too important	14	11	28	16	7	15	28	20	13	14	12	15	16	15	12	16	4	12	16	20	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	7	9	14	7	8	9	13	6	8	4	3	20	8	7	10	6	2	6	7	5
DK/NA	8	7	9	14	7	8	9	13	6	8	4	3	20	8	7	10	6	2	6	7	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	47	57	45	37	48	38	44	56	41	56	57	40	40	42	47	54	46	81	23		
Extremely important	20	26	15	19	13	11	15	31	13	28	33	13	12	16	19	28	20	25	7		
Very important	27	30	30	17	35	27	29	26	28	28	24	27	28	26	29	26	26	56	16		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	34	48	58	45	53	45	40	50	39	41	51	50	48	45	41	47	9	66		
Somewhat important	32	25	34	36	33	39	29	27	36	26	28	36	36	33	33	26	32	5	51		
Not too important	14	9	14	22	12	14	16	13	14	12	13	15	14	15	12	15	14	4	15		
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	9	7	5	7	8	11	4	9	6	2	9	9	10	7	5	7	10	11		
DK/NA	8	9	7	5	7	8	11	4	9	6	2	9	9	10	7	5	7	10	11		

Table 64: Q13bb. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Updating bus yards for earthquake safety

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	47	58	60	46	48	35	35	55	38	41	34	48	55	67	36	39	38	0	47
Extremely important	20	21	33	23	14	12	16	26	14	10	18	17	23	45	9	17	8	0	20
Very important	27	37	26	24	33	23	19	29	24	31	16	30	32	22	27	22	31	0	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	33	37	49	50	55	55	40	54	50	58	42	42	30	54	53	57	0	46
Somewhat important	32	22	30	42	37	37	33	31	36	35	37	36	30	23	27	40	35	0	32
Not too important	14	11	7	8	12	18	22	8	18	16	21	6	12	7	26	13	21	0	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	9	3	5	2	10	10	6	8	9	7	11	3	3	10	8	5	0	8
DK/NA	8	9	3	5	2	10	10	6	8	9	7	11	3	3	10	8	5	0	8
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293	98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	47	46	47	57	41	50	46	43	41	48	44	42	49	45	29	49	41	48	47
Extremely important	20	19	21	30	18	14	18	19	15	21	18	18	20	19	14	21	14	21	21
Very important	27	27	26	27	23	36	27	24	26	28	26	25	29	26	15	27	27	27	26
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	46	46	38	53	47	43	47	46	47	45	47	43	49	59	46	46	48	44
Somewhat important	32	32	32	30	39	34	22	33	40	35	28	35	31	35	33	34	29	36	28
Not too important	14	14	14	8	14	13	21	14	7	12	17	11	12	14	26	11	17	13	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	8	7	5	6	3	11	10	13	5	11	11	8	6	13	6	13	4	10
DK/NA	8	8	7	5	6	3	11	10	13	5	11	11	8	6	13	6	13	4	10

Table 64: Q13bb. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Updating bus yards for earthquake safety

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	47	52	46	46	43	31	25	49	50	46	43	27	34	56	46	44	50	42	16	27	
Extremely important	20	20	20	22	14	17	10	18	22	21	18	14	14	27	15	18	20	22	8	8	
Very important	27	32	26	24	29	14	16	31	28	25	25	13	19	30	31	26	30	20	8	19	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	43	43	51	44	56	62	42	43	47	51	61	53	34	46	52	44	55	69	59	
Somewhat important	32	32	29	38	29	42	20	32	30	30	40	38	22	26	31	41	37	29	25	38	
Not too important	14	11	15	14	15	14	41	10	14	17	12	23	31	8	15	11	8	26	44	21	
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	5	10	2	13	13	13	9	7	7	5	12	13	10	8	4	6	3	14	14	
DK/NA	8	5	10	2	13	13	13	9	7	7	5	12	13	10	8	4	6	3	14	14	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	47	47	54	33	44	52	45	43	44	43	43	43	47	43	43	54	39	47
Extremely important	20	20	26	16	20	16	18	16	16	17	18	16	21	14	18	25	16	17
Very important	27	28	28	17	24	36	27	27	27	26	25	27	26	29	25	29	23	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	45	41	57	50	39	47	48	47	48	47	47	44	53	46	39	56	48
Somewhat important	32	32	31	28	37	26	33	32	33	34	33	33	31	37	30	27	39	36
Not too important	14	13	10	29	13	13	14	16	14	14	14	14	13	16	16	12	17	12
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	8	5	10	6	10	8	9	9	9	11	10	8	4	11	8	5	5
DK/NA	8	8	5	10	6	10	8	9	9	9	11	10	8	4	11	8	5	5

Table 64: Q13bb. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Updating bus yards for earthquake safety

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	47	34	51	58	67	42	43	42	45	44	38	39	39	38	55	44	52	56	53	50
Extremely important	20	10	32	26	24	18	15	14	19	16	16	18	8	11	37	20	17	26	26	20
Very important	27	24	19	32	44	24	28	28	27	28	22	22	31	28	17	24	36	30	27	30
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	46	51	38	40	31	56	53	50	45	53	55	53	53	54	32	48	38	43	36	40
Somewhat important	32	42	25	23	20	35	41	36	32	42	35	27	37	39	25	42	24	33	23	24
Not too important	14	9	13	17	11	21	12	14	14	11	21	27	16	15	7	5	14	10	13	17
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	15	11	2	2	2	3	8	9	3	6	7	8	8	13	8	9	1	10	9
DK/NA	8	15	11	2	2	2	3	8	9	3	6	7	8	8	13	8	9	1	10	9

Table 65: Q13cc. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays and train congestion in the Muni Metro subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	58	42	54	71	60	70	72	72	56	66	55	73	55	58	64	56	60	64	69
Extremely important	30	21	12	18	42	26	41	48	38	23	33	21	33	27	30	31	27	29	33	28
Very important	32	37	30	35	29	34	29	24	35	33	33	34	40	28	29	33	29	31	31	41
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	34	42	36	21	32	22	22	22	32	27	35	23	34	29	29	29	30	33	22
Somewhat important	22	27	33	28	14	25	15	13	17	23	22	24	19	25	21	22	20	22	26	18
Not too important	7	8	8	8	6	7	6	9	4	10	6	11	4	9	8	7	9	7	7	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	8	17	10	9	8	8	6	6	12	6	10	4	11	12	8	15	10	3	9
DK/NA	9	8	17	10	9	8	8	6	6	12	6	10	4	11	12	8	15	10	3	9

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	53	65	70	65	64	63	64	63	64	68	56	66	52	64	56	65	69	48	66	47	49
Extremely important	30	27	26	35	32	33	30	31	31	34	37	22	36	26	31	24	38	40	23	33	21	17
Very important	32	26	40	35	33	32	33	33	32	30	31	34	30	26	33	32	28	29	25	33	26	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	38	23	23	30	26	29	31	29	30	25	34	27	36	29	34	14	24	42	27	41	31
Somewhat important	22	33	15	17	24	17	24	22	23	19	18	27	21	23	24	24	7	18	22	21	25	19
Not too important	7	5	8	6	6	9	5	9	6	11	8	7	6	13	5	10	6	6	20	5	17	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	9	11	7	5	10	8	5	7	6	7	10	7	12	7	10	21	7	10	8	12	20
DK/NA	9	9	11	7	5	10	8	5	7	6	7	10	7	12	7	10	21	7	10	8	12	20

Table 65: Q13cc. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays and train congestion in the Muni Metro subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	67	43	46	69	60	45	71	71	61	64	65	53	61	61	63	79	58	50	40	62
Extremely important	30	33	21	11	39	24	20	21	42	30	31	34	28	33	29	30	41	22	23	16	28
Very important	32	33	22	35	30	36	25	49	29	32	33	31	25	29	31	33	38	36	27	24	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	26	42	27	24	29	42	23	27	29	27	29	27	28	30	27	17	34	38	45	30
Somewhat important	22	21	30	17	18	23	28	12	23	22	20	21	16	19	24	20	11	23	31	38	23
Not too important	7	6	13	11	5	6	14	11	4	7	8	8	11	9	6	7	6	10	7	7	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	7	14	27	7	11	13	6	2	10	9	6	20	10	9	10	5	8	12	15	8
DK/NA	9	7	14	27	7	11	13	6	2	10	9	6	20	10	9	10	5	8	12	15	8
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	68	62	64	53	58	70	60	63	58	63	62	63	60	62	62	63	80	31		
Extremely important	30	35	28	29	26	29	36	27	32	23	31	34	29	25	32	31	31	44	4		
Very important	32	33	34	34	27	29	34	33	31	35	32	28	34	35	30	32	32	36	27		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	28	30	25	38	32	26	28	30	30	25	35	25	31	27	31	29	10	39		
Somewhat important	22	22	23	19	23	22	23	21	23	20	21	25	20	22	22	21	22	4	37		
Not too important	7	6	7	6	16	10	3	7	7	10	5	10	5	10	5	10	8	6	2		
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	4	7	11	9	9	4	12	7	12	12	3	11	9	10	6	8	10	30		
DK/NA	9	4	7	11	9	9	4	12	7	12	12	3	11	9	10	6	8	10	30		

Table 65: Q13cc. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays and train congestion in the Muni Metro subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	64	66	75	59	56	59	68	58	55	61	64	73	64	56	57	62	0	62
Extremely important	30	16	44	36	26	28	32	32	29	28	30	25	33	40	27	31	24	0	30
Very important	32	48	22	39	32	28	27	36	29	27	31	40	40	24	28	26	39	0	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	26	31	17	34	35	30	25	33	35	30	26	22	30	36	32	31	0	29
Somewhat important	22	17	23	16	23	29	24	18	26	27	24	17	19	20	30	26	17	0	22
Not too important	7	9	8	2	11	6	6	7	7	8	6	9	2	11	6	6	14	0	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	10	4	8	7	8	11	7	9	10	9	10	5	6	8	10	7	0	9
DK/NA	9	10	4	8	7	8	11	7	9	10	9	10	5	6	8	10	7	0	9

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	61	63		58	61	66	65	63	52	61	62	59	65	57	55	60	61	63	63
Extremely important	30	30	30		27	20	37	36	29	31	27	33	30	32	28	21	26	37	29	31
Very important	32	30	33		31	40	29	29	33	21	34	29	29	33	29	34	34	24	35	32
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	33	25		34	30	26	28	23	29	30	27	26	26	34	33	34	31	26	24
Somewhat important	22	23	20		21	23	22	23	15	26	22	21	19	20	24	26	24	21	20	20
Not too important	7	10	5		13	7	4	6	8	4	8	6	7	6	10	7	10	10	6	4
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	7	12		7	9	8	6	14	18	8	11	16	9	10	12	6	8	11	13
DK/NA	9	7	12		7	9	8	6	14	18	8	11	16	9	10	12	6	8	11	13

Table 65: Q13cc. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays and train congestion in the Muni Metro subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	62	69	60	50	63	44	62	67	59	55	56	55	65	63	72	66	54	59	55
Extremely important	30	27	37	28	27	20	21	33	32	28	27	20	24	33	27	38	37	21	24	24
Very important	32	35	32	32	23	43	23	29	36	31	27	36	31	32	37	34	29	33	35	31
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	28	24	35	33	29	37	31	21	34	33	34	29	30	29	17	31	37	35	31
Somewhat important	22	21	19	23	27	29	22	23	18	21	26	29	20	23	23	15	24	21	32	20
Not too important	7	6	5	12	6	0	16	9	4	13	7	6	9	7	7	2	7	16	4	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	10	8	5	18	7	19	6	11	7	12	10	16	5	8	11	4	9	5	14
DK/NA	9	10	8	5	18	7	19	6	11	7	12	10	16	5	8	11	4	9	5	14

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	65	76	55	61	46	62	63	65	67	69	72	63	57	60	68	45	68
Extremely important	30	34	32	18	30	17	29	30	32	34	36	36	29	32	32	34	14	33
Very important	32	31	44	36	31	28	32	33	33	33	33	36	34	25	28	34	31	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	25	22	31	31	41	29	27	27	24	21	20	28	32	28	23	41	27
Somewhat important	22	19	20	23	25	26	22	19	19	17	15	14	22	22	22	16	30	22
Not too important	7	6	2	8	6	15	7	8	8	7	6	6	6	11	6	8	10	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	10	3	14	8	13	9	9	9	9	10	7	9	11	11	8	14	5
DK/NA	9	10	3	14	8	13	9	9	9	9	10	7	9	11	11	8	14	5

Table 65: Q13cc. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Reducing delays and train congestion in the Muni Metro subway from Embarcadero to Castro Street

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	62	52	61	49	76	47	44	65	71	44	49	47	54	62	67	69	84	74	71	50
Extremely important	30	29	26	24	47	20	4	31	38	4	23	18	28	34	29	33	60	33	33	23
Very important	32	23	35	24	29	27	40	34	33	40	26	29	26	27	38	37	24	41	38	27
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	29	33	29	44	17	38	46	31	19	43	38	40	39	24	22	25	16	21	15	40
Somewhat important	22	27	22	36	8	30	34	25	13	32	29	28	28	21	16	25	12	10	12	30
Not too important	7	6	7	8	9	8	12	6	6	12	8	12	12	3	6	0	4	11	4	9
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	16	10	7	7	15	10	5	10	13	13	13	6	15	11	6	0	5	14	10
DK/NA	9	16	10	7	7	15	10	5	10	13	13	13	6	15	11	6	0	5	14	10

Table 66: Q13dd. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	58	53	57	64	63	58	52	72	49	65	54	72	59	53	61	57	58	65	74		
Extremely important	25	25	20	24	26	26	24	16	35	23	27	19	34	27	17	27	18	21	35	37		
Very important	35	33	33	33	38	37	34	36	37	26	38	35	38	32	36	34	39	37	30	37		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	38	37	37	29	33	34	34	23	46	30	43	23	34	40	32	38	36	28	18		
Somewhat important	26	30	29	30	22	27	25	24	18	27	24	31	17	27	31	25	30	29	17	16		
Not too important	7	7	8	8	7	6	9	11	4	19	5	12	6	7	8	7	9	7	11	3		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	10	6	7	4	8	14	5	4	5	3	5	7	8	7	4	6	7	8		
DK/NA	7	5	10	6	7	4	8	14	5	4	5	3	5	7	8	7	4	6	7	8		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	63	58	60	73	65	59	58	61	62	64	59	62	60	64	51	69	72	45	65	36	49
Extremely important	25	28	22	24	29	27	23	33	22	30	26	24	27	24	27	19	29	34	16	28	13	15
Very important	35	35	37	37	44	37	36	25	39	32	37	35	35	36	37	32	40	39	28	38	23	33
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	30	37	34	24	32	34	36	34	31	30	36	33	34	31	42	19	25	48	30	55	38
Somewhat important	26	22	33	26	19	24	26	28	27	19	22	29	24	26	24	34	15	20	28	25	32	27
Not too important	7	8	4	8	5	8	8	7	7	12	8	7	8	8	7	9	4	5	21	4	23	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	7	5	6	3	4	6	7	6	6	6	5	5	6	5	6	12	3	7	5	9	14
DK/NA	7	7	5	6	3	4	6	7	6	6	6	5	5	6	5	6	12	3	7	5	9	14

Table 66: Q13dd. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	65	41	51	73	56	38	56	64	58	69	60	64	62	60	59	75	51	52	44	61
Extremely important	25	27	15	19	33	20	14	13	26	22	34	19	28	22	25	20	33	32	20	17	26
Very important	35	38	25	31	40	35	24	43	38	36	35	41	36	40	34	38	42	19	31	27	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	30	52	34	24	34	55	39	30	34	29	36	27	34	33	34	20	47	41	45	33
Somewhat important	26	25	31	30	22	28	33	21	23	28	20	28	19	25	27	26	18	41	32	34	27
Not too important	7	5	21	4	3	6	23	18	7	7	8	8	8	8	6	8	2	6	9	11	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	8	16	3	10	7	5	6	8	2	3	9	5	7	7	5	2	8	11	6
DK/NA	7	5	8	16	3	10	7	5	6	8	2	3	9	5	7	7	5	2	8	11	6
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	64	65	55	61	56	63	63	59	65	62	59	58	55	63	61	61	90	27		
Extremely important	25	33	20	18	19	21	24	28	22	30	27	22	22	24	25	26	25	36	13		
Very important	35	32	45	37	42	35	38	35	36	34	35	37	36	31	38	35	36	54	14		
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	31	30	42	33	37	34	30	36	28	32	37	34	37	31	34	34	0	53		
Somewhat important	26	25	23	32	27	26	27	26	27	23	28	28	26	32	23	29	26	0	47		
Not too important	7	6	8	10	6	10	7	4	9	5	4	10	8	6	8	6	8	0	5		
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	4	5	3	5	7	3	7	6	7	6	4	8	7	6	5	5	10	20		
DK/NA	7	4	5	3	5	7	3	7	6	7	6	4	8	7	6	5	5	10	20		

Table 66: Q13dd. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	69	65	58	54	65	46	64	56	59	52	58	69	62	49	59	55	0	60
Extremely important	25	30	39	19	25	24	14	29	21	25	15	23	32	33	22	21	15	0	25
Very important	35	38	27	39	29	41	32	35	35	33	37	36	37	30	27	38	40	0	35
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	23	28	37	43	31	47	29	39	37	42	34	25	32	44	37	43	0	33
Somewhat important	26	18	26	29	33	24	37	24	31	28	34	28	20	27	38	27	33	0	26
Not too important	7	5	2	7	10	7	10	5	9	9	9	6	5	5	5	10	10	0	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	8	7	5	3	4	7	7	5	4	5	8	6	6	8	5	1	0	7
DK/NA	7	8	7	5	3	4	7	7	5	4	5	8	6	6	8	5	1	0	7

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	61	60		67	54	53	65	58	68	58	64	62	62	55	70	57	67	58	61
Extremely important	25	25	24		35	24	19	24	21	24	26	23	22	25	25	20	28	22	24	24
Very important	35	35	36		33	29	35	41	38	44	32	40	40	37	29	49	29	45	35	37
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	34	33		29	41	39	27	33	25	37	29	30	33	36	24	38	27	35	30
Somewhat important	26	26	27		18	34	32	21	26	21	29	23	24	26	28	19	30	19	28	25
Not too important	7	8	6		12	6	6	6	7	4	8	6	6	7	7	5	8	7	7	5
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	7		3	6	8	8	9	7	6	8	8	5	9	6	5	7	6	9
DK/NA	7	5	7		3	6	8	8	9	7	6	8	8	5	9	6	5	7	6	9

Table 66: Q13dd. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	56	68	58	49	67	74	64	60	53	57	67	80	63	64	60	68	49	88	60	
Extremely important	25	25	25	29	19	19	23	28	23	23	27	19	25	30	20	20	31	17	8	20	
Very important	35	32	43	29	30	48	51	36	37	29	30	48	55	34	44	39	36	32	81	40	
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	39	27	36	36	26	21	31	34	41	31	25	20	32	31	37	31	49	12	29	
Somewhat important	26	29	22	30	26	21	17	23	28	34	23	19	20	25	24	29	24	37	8	23	
Not too important	7	9	5	6	9	5	4	9	6	7	8	6	0	8	7	8	7	12	4	6	
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	5	6	15	7	5	4	6	6	12	8	0	5	6	3	1	2	0	11	
DK/NA	7	5	5	6	15	7	5	4	6	6	12	8	0	5	6	3	1	2	0	11	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	60	67	69	53	67	60	61	62	60	60	59	61	57	61	59	60	62
Extremely important	25	23	26	19	26	29	24	23	24	25	25	24	25	23	25	29	19	23
Very important	35	37	41	49	28	38	36	38	38	35	34	35	36	34	36	30	41	38
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	35	31	24	35	30	34	33	32	34	33	35	32	38	32	31	37	35
Somewhat important	26	27	26	19	29	22	27	26	26	28	28	30	25	30	28	23	26	29
Not too important	7	8	5	5	6	8	7	6	6	6	5	4	7	8	4	8	11	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	5	2	7	11	4	6	6	6	6	7	6	7	5	7	10	3	3
DK/NA	7	5	2	7	11	4	6	6	6	6	7	6	7	5	7	10	3	3

Table 66: Q13dd. I am going to read you a list of projects SFMTA might prioritize in the future to improve service to San Franciscans. After I read each one, please tell me how important of a priority each project should be for Muni: Getting Muni on firmer financial footing

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT</u>	60	49	69	53	78	59	59	59	58	58	60	63	58	57	72	55	63	74	50	53
Extremely important	25	11	35	19	56	27	12	23	22	11	25	17	25	23	43	16	33	33	25	17
Very important	35	37	34	34	22	33	47	36	36	47	35	45	34	33	29	39	30	40	25	36
<u>SMWT/NOT TOO IMPORTANT</u>	33	39	27	44	19	39	37	35	32	38	37	35	34	31	23	43	34	24	35	32
Somewhat important	26	36	19	35	13	26	27	27	27	26	28	24	23	28	17	41	32	20	24	22
Not too important	7	3	8	9	7	12	10	8	5	12	9	10	10	3	6	3	1	4	11	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	7	12	5	3	3	2	4	6	10	3	3	3	8	12	5	2	4	2	15	16
DK/NA	7	12	5	3	3	2	4	6	10	3	3	3	8	12	5	2	4	2	15	16

Table 67: Q14. Some funding proposals may appear on a future ballot to finance the Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure that we have been discussing, including bringing service to pre-pandemic levels, improving reliability and frequency, improving pedestrian and bike safety, supporting the transition to electric vehicles and repairing and maintaining aging Muni infrastructure. In general, do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
TOTAL SUPPORT	78	87	76	84	72	85	69	54	92	31	89	46	84	83	72	81	72	80	83	72
Strongly support	49	53	31	47	52	55	40	33	69	13	60	19	56	51	44	52	40	50	56	46
Somewhat support	29	35	45	37	20	29	29	20	24	18	29	26	28	32	28	28	31	30	27	27
TOTAL OPPOSE	13	9	15	11	16	8	23	38	2	58	4	41	9	8	18	11	19	12	13	18
Somewhat oppose	4	3	6	4	3	3	5	10	0	13	1	11	3	3	5	3	5	3	4	8
Strongly oppose	9	6	9	7	12	5	18	28	2	46	3	31	7	5	14	8	14	9	9	9
DK/NA	9	4	10	5	12	8	8	8	5	10	7	13	6	9	10	8	10	8	4	10
DK/NA	9	4	10	5	12	8	8	8	5	10	7	13	6	9	10	8	10	8	4	10

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
TOTAL SUPPORT	78	81	83	82	82	77	80	76	81	78	79	78	89	57	88	64	73	100	0	100	0	0
Strongly support	49	54	42	54	55	51	50	45	52	55	55	43	70	33	61	33	41	100	0	63	0	0
Somewhat support	29	27	41	27	27	26	29	31	29	23	24	35	19	24	28	30	32	0	0	37	0	0
TOTAL OPPOSE	13	11	8	12	10	15	13	17	11	13	12	14	5	35	5	28	5	0	100	0	100	0
Somewhat oppose	4	5	2	4	2	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	2	7	2	7	2	0	0	0	28	0
Strongly oppose	9	6	7	8	9	11	9	13	8	9	9	10	4	28	3	22	3	0	100	0	72	0
DK/NA	9	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	8	9	8	8	6	8	6	8	22	0	0	0	0	100
DK/NA	9	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	8	9	8	8	6	8	6	8	22	0	0	0	0	100

Table 67: Q14. Some funding proposals may appear on a future ballot to finance the Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure that we have been discussing, including bringing service to pre-pandemic levels, improving reliability and frequency, improving pedestrian and bike safety, supporting the transition to electric vehicles and repairing and maintaining aging Muni infrastructure. In general, do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT								Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
TOTAL SUPPORT	78	95	15	35	100	82	14	0	100	78	81	71	79	74	83	83	87	79	70	68	78
Strongly support	49	62	3	13	100	18	2	0	62	48	57	44	53	46	52	58	56	43	32	23	43
Somewhat support	29	33	12	22	0	64	11	0	38	31	24	28	27	27	31	25	31	36	38	45	35
TOTAL OPPOSE	13	1	78	7	0	0	80	24	0	14	8	21	11	18	9	9	9	16	17	17	14
Somewhat oppose	4	1	21	0	0	0	22	15	0	4	3	5	3	4	3	3	1	1	6	7	3
Strongly oppose	9	0	57	7	0	0	58	9	0	10	4	16	9	14	6	6	8	15	11	10	11
DK/NA	9	4	7	58	0	18	6	76	0	8	12	8	9	8	8	7	3	5	13	15	8
DK/NA	9	4	7	58	0	18	6	76	0	8	12	8	9	8	8	7	3	5	13	15	8
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
TOTAL SUPPORT	78	89	88	72	65	79	80	78	79	78	78	80	80	80	80	78	78	96	62		
Strongly support	49	66	54	43	40	47	56	48	51	55	43	51	51	55	49	46	51	67	15		
Somewhat support	29	23	34	29	25	32	24	30	29	23	36	29	29	26	30	33	28	29	47		
TOTAL OPPOSE	13	5	6	20	28	12	12	13	12	18	9	14	10	9	13	16	13	4	17		
Somewhat oppose	4	2	2	5	9	4	4	3	4	3	2	5	3	2	4	5	4	0	6		
Strongly oppose	9	3	4	14	19	8	9	10	8	15	7	9	7	7	9	11	10	4	12		
DK/NA	9	6	6	9	7	9	7	9	8	3	13	6	10	11	8	6	8	0	21		
DK/NA	9	6	6	9	7	9	7	9	8	3	13	6	10	11	8	6	8	0	21		

Table 67: Q14. Some funding proposals may appear on a future ballot to finance the Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure that we have been discussing, including bringing service to pre-pandemic levels, improving reliability and frequency, improving pedestrian and bike safety, supporting the transition to electric vehicles and repairing and maintaining aging Muni infrastructure. In general, do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
TOTAL SUPPORT	78	86	80	83	82	82	77	83	81	82	79	78	85	85	85	79	79	78	79
Strongly support	49	44	47	47	53	56	54	46	55	55	55	46	45	48	64	54	46	48	51
Somewhat support	29	41	33	35	29	26	23	37	26	27	24	32	40	37	21	25	33	30	28
TOTAL OPPOSE	13	4	12	10	13	13	14	9	13	13	13	10	8	10	7	14	18	15	12
Somewhat oppose	4	0	4	5	1	2	4	3	3	2	3	3	2	3	1	3	3	3	4
Strongly oppose	9	4	8	6	11	10	10	6	10	11	10	7	5	7	6	11	14	11	8
DK/NA	9	10	8	7	5	5	9	8	7	5	8	11	7	6	8	7	4	8	9
DK/NA	9	10	8	7	5	5	9	8	7	5	8	11	7	6	8	7	4	8	9

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
TOTAL SUPPORT	78	79	79		86	83	78	72	75	71	82	73	73	84	73	53	84	71	82	75
Strongly support	49	52	47		54	56	48	43	48	42	53	44	45	56	41	28	55	48	52	42
Somewhat support	29	27	32		32	27	31	29	27	29	29	29	28	28	32	24	29	23	30	33
TOTAL OPPOSE	13	16	10		8	12	14	18	15	11	11	16	13	8	17	40	13	22	10	10
Somewhat oppose	4	5	3		4	4	4	2	4	6	4	4	5	2	5	13	5	5	3	2
Strongly oppose	9	11	7		4	9	10	15	11	5	8	12	8	6	12	27	8	16	7	8
DK/NA	9	5	11		6	5	8	10	10	18	6	12	13	8	10	7	4	7	8	15
DK/NA	9	5	11		6	5	8	10	10	18	6	12	13	8	10	7	4	7	8	15

Table 67: Q14. Some funding proposals may appear on a future ballot to finance the Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure that we have been discussing, including bringing service to pre-pandemic levels, improving reliability and frequency, improving pedestrian and bike safety, supporting the transition to electric vehicles and repairing and maintaining aging Muni infrastructure. In general, do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39	
TOTAL SUPPORT	78	86	81	81	62	59	47	85	83	76	72	52	57	89	90	76	86	71	43	61	
Strongly support	49	57	54	50	27	29	27	60	52	46	38	25	36	68	55	48	56	43	15	33	
Somewhat support	29	29	27	30	34	29	19	25	31	30	35	27	20	21	35	28	30	28	28	28	
TOTAL OPPOSE	13	8	8	12	24	36	44	9	7	21	12	44	28	5	5	13	7	24	44	34	
Somewhat oppose	4	3	2	3	7	18	7	3	2	6	3	15	9	3	1	4	4	4	15	15	
Strongly oppose	9	6	7	9	17	19	36	6	6	14	9	30	19	3	4	8	4	20	29	19	
DK/NA	9	6	11	7	14	5	10	6	9	3	15	4	15	5	5	12	7	5	13	5	
DK/NA	9	6	11	7	14	5	10	6	9	3	15	4	15	5	5	12	7	5	13	5	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
TOTAL SUPPORT	78	84	87	55	74	69	78	77	78	78	78	78	79	75	79	78	78	78
Strongly support	49	56	61	30	42	38	49	49	50	50	52	52	50	47	51	51	49	44
Somewhat support	29	28	26	25	32	31	28	29	28	28	26	26	29	28	28	27	29	34
TOTAL OPPOSE	13	8	5	36	15	23	14	13	13	13	12	11	12	16	10	13	14	17
Somewhat oppose	4	2	1	10	4	7	4	3	3	3	3	2	4	2	4	3	5	4
Strongly oppose	9	6	5	26	12	16	10	10	10	10	9	9	8	14	7	10	9	13
DK/NA	9	8	8	9	10	8	9	10	9	9	9	11	9	9	11	9	8	6
DK/NA	9	8	8	9	10	8	9	10	9	9	9	11	9	9	11	9	8	6

Table 67: Q14. Some funding proposals may appear on a future ballot to finance the Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure that we have been discussing, including bringing service to pre-pandemic levels, improving reliability and frequency, improving pedestrian and bike safety, supporting the transition to electric vehicles and repairing and maintaining aging Muni infrastructure. In general, do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	78	90	74	77	88	78	81	77	76	80	77	67	70	86	77	84	84	84	75	73
Strongly support	49	54	51	39	65	53	53	41	48	52	52	41	31	53	54	47	60	60	46	40
Somewhat support	29	36	23	38	23	25	28	36	28	27	25	25	40	33	23	37	23	24	29	34
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	13	1	15	8	5	15	14	18	14	14	15	22	24	3	10	11	10	9	17	14
Somewhat oppose	4	0	5	1	0	4	7	3	4	7	4	7	5	0	3	3	5	4	0	4
Strongly oppose	9	1	10	7	5	11	6	15	10	7	11	15	19	3	7	8	5	5	17	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	9	9	11	15	7	7	5	5	10	7	8	12	5	12	13	6	7	8	8	12
DK/NA	9	9	11	15	7	7	5	5	10	7	8	12	5	12	13	6	7	8	8	12

Table 68: Q15a. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Less frequent and reliable service

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	70	66	50	62	80	70	74	72	83	43	78	51	75	72	67	73	64	71	75	72		
Extremely concerning	37	29	24	28	47	36	42	46	52	13	44	18	45	37	33	40	28	38	49	25		
Very concerning	33	36	27	34	33	34	32	26	31	30	34	33	30	34	35	32	36	34	27	48		
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	27	33	39	35	19	27	25	28	17	52	21	45	23	28	29	25	34	27	25	24		
Somewhat concerning	21	25	34	27	15	23	17	16	14	32	17	29	19	22	21	20	24	20	20	21		
Not too concerning	6	9	6	8	4	5	7	12	3	19	3	15	4	5	8	5	10	7	5	3		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	10	4	2	3	1	0	1	5	1	4	3	1	3	3	3	2	0	4		
DK/NA	3	1	10	4	2	3	1	0	1	5	1	4	3	1	3	3	3	2	0	4		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	70	70	59	81	66	64	74	57	78	77	78	63	79	60	76	61	71	83	40	76	42	61
Extremely concerning	37	34	24	47	35	33	39	29	41	43	43	30	45	29	41	28	46	50	17	42	14	30
Very concerning	33	35	35	35	31	31	35	28	37	34	34	33	34	31	35	33	26	33	23	34	28	32
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	27	27	36	18	33	34	24	40	20	22	21	34	20	39	22	38	19	16	60	23	56	22
Somewhat concerning	21	21	29	14	27	25	19	30	16	17	16	26	15	28	17	29	17	14	26	19	31	20
Not too concerning	6	6	8	3	6	9	5	11	4	5	5	8	5	11	5	9	2	2	34	3	25	2
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4	1	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	3	1	1	2	1	10	1	0	1	2	16
DK/NA	3	3	4	1	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	3	1	1	2	1	10	1	0	1	2	16

Table 68: Q15a. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Less frequent and reliable service

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	70	77	44	58	83	67	47	77	82	70	74	69	78	72	72	76	73	76	58	47	66
Extremely concerning	37	42	20	28	51	31	18	27	48	36	44	32	45	36	40	40	39	43	29	20	35
Very concerning	33	35	25	30	32	36	29	50	34	34	31	37	33	36	32	35	34	33	29	27	31
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	27	22	53	28	16	28	51	20	18	28	21	30	22	27	26	23	24	24	36	44	30
Somewhat concerning	21	19	28	26	14	25	28	20	16	21	18	22	20	21	20	19	18	15	30	38	23
Not too concerning	6	3	25	2	2	3	22	0	2	6	3	8	2	6	6	5	6	9	6	6	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	3	14	1	5	2	3	0	2	4	1	1	1	2	1	3	0	6	8	4
DK/NA	3	2	3	14	1	5	2	3	0	2	4	1	1	1	2	1	3	0	6	8	4
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	70	75	74	68	70	71	76	66	73	69	64	71	75	73	72	65	73	81	29		
Extremely concerning	37	42	38	33	40	37	40	36	38	34	37	35	41	46	36	31	39	46	11		
Very concerning	33	33	36	35	29	34	36	30	35	34	27	36	34	27	36	34	34	35	18		
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	27	24	24	30	30	27	23	29	25	29	29	28	23	24	26	31	26	14	55		
Somewhat concerning	21	19	20	21	19	21	18	22	20	20	24	22	18	19	19	26	20	9	45		
Not too concerning	6	5	4	9	11	6	5	7	6	9	5	6	5	5	6	5	6	5	9		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	3	2	0	2	1	5	1	2	7	1	2	3	2	5	2	5	16		
DK/NA	3	1	3	2	0	2	1	5	1	2	7	1	2	3	2	5	2	5	16		

Table 68: Q15a. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Less frequent and reliable service

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
EXT/VERY CONCERNING	70	67	73	75	62	75	71	72	71	69	73	73	74	65	73	71	67	70	71
Extremely concerning	37	40	37	39	34	41	32	39	37	39	34	40	38	39	50	35	22	35	39
Very concerning	33	27	36	36	28	34	39	33	34	31	39	33	36	26	23	36	44	35	31
SMWT/NOT CONCERNING	27	24	23	25	37	24	28	24	28	30	27	22	25	25	27	27	33	28	26
Somewhat concerning	21	17	15	22	30	18	21	18	22	23	20	16	18	21	21	21	26	21	20
Not too concerning	6	7	8	3	7	6	7	6	6	6	7	6	7	4	5	6	8	6	6
DK/NA	3	9	3	0	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	5	1	10	0	1	0	2	3
DK/NA	3	9	3	0	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	5	1	10	0	1	0	2	3

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
EXT/VERY CONCERNING	70	70	71		65	70	73	73	73	66	70	71	70	77	60	59	70	70	69	73
Extremely concerning	37	35	40		35	33	39	43	40	31	35	40	37	43	28	29	33	37	37	42
Very concerning	33	35	31		30	38	34	30	32	35	35	31	33	34	32	30	37	33	32	31
SMWT/NOT CONCERNING	27	28	25		33	28	25	25	23	26	29	24	24	21	35	41	29	28	29	21
Somewhat concerning	21	21	20		26	22	18	17	20	22	22	19	21	16	29	30	22	21	22	18
Not too concerning	6	7	5		7	6	7	7	2	4	7	5	3	6	6	11	7	7	6	3
DK/NA	3	1	4		2	1	2	2	5	8	2	4	6	2	5	0	1	2	2	6
DK/NA	3	1	4		2	1	2	2	5	8	2	4	6	2	5	0	1	2	2	6

Table 68: Q15a. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Less frequent and reliable service

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	70	75	79	63	56	57	61	77	77	64	57	51	78	77	80	74	57	67	49	63	
Extremely concerning	37	41	45	27	31	25	34	41	44	29	28	21	47	44	43	42	26	31	17	34	
Very concerning	33	34	35	36	26	32	27	36	33	36	28	30	30	33	37	32	32	37	33	29	
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	27	24	18	35	34	43	38	21	21	35	35	49	22	22	19	24	37	32	51	37	
Somewhat concerning	21	17	15	30	27	32	28	16	15	27	31	34	22	17	14	16	37	20	45	22	
Not too concerning	6	7	4	5	7	11	10	5	6	7	3	15	0	5	5	7	1	12	5	14	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	2	2	9	0	1	2	2	1	9	0	0	1	1	2	5	1	0	0	
DK/NA	3	1	2	2	9	0	1	2	2	1	9	0	0	1	1	2	5	1	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	70	78	76	58	63	59	70	72	73	72	76	77	70	73	77	70	61	71
Extremely concerning	37	45	38	33	29	26	38	39	40	40	41	41	37	37	40	39	30	36
Very concerning	33	33	38	25	34	33	33	32	32	32	34	36	33	36	37	31	31	35
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	27	20	22	42	32	37	27	26	25	25	23	21	28	24	21	26	37	27
Somewhat concerning	21	14	21	30	27	31	21	19	20	20	18	16	22	17	16	21	28	20
Not too concerning	6	6	2	12	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	6	7	5	5	9	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	1	0	5	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	3	2
DK/NA	3	2	1	0	5	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	3	2

Table 68: Q15a. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Less frequent and reliable service

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	70	75	74	67	75	66	55	68	75	57	64	70	60	80	75	77	78	76	71	64
Extremely concerning	37	35	40	30	47	37	28	35	39	28	33	31	32	40	46	36	45	42	39	31
Very concerning	33	39	33	36	28	29	27	33	36	29	31	39	28	40	28	40	33	33	32	33
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	27	25	24	30	25	31	43	29	22	41	32	27	38	18	24	21	22	21	23	32
Somewhat concerning	21	17	17	20	22	23	35	22	19	33	23	19	25	15	17	17	20	16	21	25
Not too concerning	6	8	7	11	3	8	8	7	3	8	9	7	13	3	6	4	2	5	2	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	2	3	0	3	2	3	4	2	4	3	2	1	2	2	1	3	6	4
DK/NA	3	0	2	3	0	3	2	3	4	2	4	3	2	1	2	2	1	3	6	4

Table 69: Q15b. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46		
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	62	44	57	58	65	47	32	77	22	68	29	60	60	56	57	58	60	61	52		
Extremely concerning	28	29	17	25	30	31	21	14	43	11	34	12	30	29	27	28	26	28	27	31		
Very concerning	30	33	27	32	28	34	26	18	34	12	34	17	30	32	30	29	32	31	34	21		
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	39	35	45	38	40	31	51	65	22	71	31	65	36	36	41	39	39	37	39	45		
Somewhat concerning	26	26	34	28	24	25	27	23	15	34	23	36	26	23	26	25	29	25	23	32		
Not too concerning	13	9	11	10	16	6	25	42	6	37	8	29	10	14	14	14	10	12	16	12		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	11	5	2	4	2	3	1	6	2	6	4	3	3	4	3	3	0	3		
DK/NA	3	3	11	5	2	4	2	3	1	6	2	6	4	3	3	4	3	3	0	3		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	58	56	61	60	59	56	56	61	58	60	57	70	43	66	48	46	74	25	67	19	38
Extremely concerning	28	28	22	29	32	28	26	25	30	22	28	27	34	17	32	20	29	41	11	33	8	18
Very concerning	30	29	34	32	28	31	30	31	31	35	32	29	37	26	34	27	17	34	14	34	11	19
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	39	37	44	37	38	38	41	43	36	41	38	40	28	57	31	51	42	24	75	31	79	42
Somewhat concerning	26	30	32	20	29	29	26	34	22	25	24	29	20	30	22	31	37	18	27	24	35	28
Not too concerning	13	7	12	17	9	9	15	9	14	16	14	11	8	26	9	21	5	6	47	7	44	14
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	0	2	2	3	3	1	3	1	2	3	2	1	3	1	11	1	1	2	1	21
DK/NA	3	5	0	2	2	3	3	1	3	1	2	3	2	1	3	1	11	1	1	2	1	21

Table 69: Q15b. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	67	21	44	77	54	24	46	62	58	57	50	60	53	62	61	69	63	44	35	56
Extremely concerning	28	33	7	16	42	22	10	26	29	27	34	16	38	23	32	26	43	33	21	14	30
Very concerning	30	33	14	28	35	32	14	19	33	31	23	35	22	31	30	35	26	30	23	21	27
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	39	31	77	39	22	39	75	47	38	39	35	47	40	45	35	37	26	37	51	57	39
Somewhat concerning	26	24	36	25	15	33	35	28	28	27	23	28	27	28	26	25	19	23	38	46	28
Not too concerning	13	6	42	14	7	6	40	19	11	13	12	19	13	17	10	12	7	14	14	10	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	17	1	6	1	7	0	3	8	3	0	2	3	2	5	0	5	8	4
DK/NA	3	2	2	17	1	6	1	7	0	3	8	3	0	2	3	2	5	0	5	8	4
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	69	67	46	55	58	57	59	57	56	61	47	68	62	58	55	59	84	18		
Extremely concerning	28	33	32	26	29	24	25	34	24	30	38	16	32	35	29	20	28	51	9		
Very concerning	30	36	34	20	26	34	32	25	33	26	23	31	36	27	30	35	31	32	10		
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	39	28	31	52	40	39	41	37	40	41	33	49	30	35	38	42	38	11	65		
Somewhat concerning	26	19	25	35	19	25	26	27	25	26	28	28	23	27	23	30	25	5	55		
Not too concerning	13	9	7	18	21	13	15	10	14	14	5	22	6	8	15	12	13	6	10		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	2	2	5	4	2	4	3	3	5	3	3	3	4	2	2	5	16		
DK/NA	3	3	2	2	5	4	2	4	3	3	5	3	3	3	4	2	2	5	16		

Table 69: Q15b. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	54	60	64	66	61	54	59	60	61	57	60	62	48	67	58	56	58	0
Extremely concerning	28	36	28	35	32	30	24	33	29	30	26	28	38	28	43	26	19	28	0
Very concerning	30	18	32	30	33	31	30	27	31	31	31	32	23	20	24	32	37	30	0
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	39	33	40	36	33	36	42	36	38	36	39	34	35	47	31	38	44	39	0
Somewhat concerning	26	24	31	26	20	26	26	27	25	24	26	29	21	43	22	25	30	26	0
Not too concerning	13	9	9	10	13	10	16	9	13	12	14	5	14	3	9	13	14	13	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	13	0	0	2	3	3	4	3	3	3	6	3	5	2	4	0	3	0
DK/NA	3	13	0	0	2	3	3	4	3	3	3	6	3	5	2	4	0	3	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	50	65		74	61	58	51	51	42	64	49	48	66	44	45	57	41	71	57
Extremely concerning	28	21	34		42	31	21	26	24	13	32	23	19	33	18	28	26	15	37	31
Very concerning	30	29	31		32	30	37	25	27	29	32	26	28	33	26	18	31	27	34	26
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	39	47	31		26	35	41	47	45	43	34	45	44	32	50	55	41	54	27	37
Somewhat concerning	26	27	25		20	22	29	30	30	27	24	30	29	22	35	26	26	29	22	30
Not too concerning	13	19	6		6	13	12	16	15	15	10	16	15	10	15	29	15	25	5	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	3	4		0	4	2	3	4	15	2	5	8	3	6	0	2	5	2	6
DK/NA	3	3	4		0	4	2	3	4	15	2	5	8	3	6	0	2	5	2	6

Table 69: Q15b. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	69	61	54	32	60	31	58	72	38	51	40	60	68	70	59	63	34	33	62	
Extremely concerning	28	35	30	25	10	36	20	26	39	13	23	16	55	36	36	30	19	22	27	31	
Very concerning	30	35	31	29	22	24	11	32	33	25	28	24	6	31	34	29	44	12	6	31	
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	39	28	36	44	56	40	69	38	27	60	40	60	40	29	30	37	30	64	67	38	
Somewhat concerning	26	18	27	34	36	25	26	22	22	38	32	26	26	20	23	22	26	41	52	13	
Not too concerning	13	10	9	10	21	15	42	16	5	21	8	34	14	9	7	15	4	23	15	25	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	3	2	12	0	0	4	1	3	9	0	0	3	0	4	7	3	0	0	
DK/NA	3	2	3	2	12	0	0	4	1	3	9	0	0	3	0	4	7	3	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	68	60	47	47	44	57	58	56	55	56	56	58	58	56	62	54	55
Extremely concerning	28	33	33	35	21	15	28	28	26	23	22	22	28	29	21	37	30	19
Very concerning	30	34	28	12	27	29	29	31	31	32	34	34	30	30	35	25	24	37
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	39	30	34	53	47	53	39	37	40	41	41	40	39	39	40	35	41	42
Somewhat concerning	26	22	25	32	31	30	26	24	26	26	26	25	27	23	25	24	30	27
Not too concerning	13	9	9	21	15	22	13	14	14	15	15	16	12	16	15	11	11	15
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	6	0	6	3	4	4	4	4	2	3	4	3	4	3	5	3
DK/NA	3	2	6	0	6	3	4	4	4	4	2	3	4	3	4	3	5	3

Table 69: Q15b. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	58	63	57	65	59	45	55	55	61	57	46	40	52	67	66	59	60	60	65	61
Extremely concerning	28	19	22	38	42	33	27	20	30	26	32	12	13	32	29	20	27	30	48	35
Very concerning	30	43	35	27	17	13	28	36	31	31	14	29	39	35	37	39	33	29	17	25
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	39	37	39	29	41	50	41	42	35	40	49	55	45	30	28	41	38	37	33	35
Somewhat concerning	26	24	27	17	25	33	31	27	24	29	34	38	25	21	18	29	25	21	25	25
Not too concerning	13	13	12	11	15	16	10	15	11	11	15	17	20	9	10	13	12	16	8	10
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	4	7	0	5	4	3	4	3	6	5	3	2	6	0	3	3	2	5
DK/NA	3	0	4	7	0	5	4	3	4	3	6	5	3	2	6	0	3	3	2	5

Table 70: Q15c. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs leading to a 15 percent cut in service

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65		
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	72	65	70	72	75	67	63	88	27	82	37	78	69	68	74	64	71	76	79		
Extremely concerning	39	33	27	31	48	45	31	28	58	14	47	16	49	41	32	42	31	37	50	39		
Very concerning	32	39	38	39	24	30	36	35	30	13	35	21	30	28	36	32	33	34	26	40		
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	26	26	27	26	26	24	31	36	12	65	17	56	20	30	29	24	32	27	24	18		
Somewhat concerning	20	22	25	22	17	20	20	22	10	30	15	34	17	24	19	19	22	20	18	13		
Not too concerning	7	4	2	4	10	4	11	14	2	35	2	22	3	5	10	5	11	7	6	5		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	8	4	2	2	2	1	0	8	1	7	1	1	3	2	4	2	0	3		
DK/NA	3	2	8	4	2	2	2	1	0	8	1	7	1	1	3	2	4	2	0	3		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	71	68	78	77	76	72	72	72	79	77	65	79	67	75	64	73	88	25	79	28	59
Extremely concerning	39	37	28	45	49	43	39	37	40	45	45	33	46	34	43	31	43	56	13	43	13	37
Very concerning	32	34	40	33	28	33	33	35	31	33	32	33	33	33	32	33	30	32	12	36	15	22
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	26	28	28	22	21	23	26	26	26	21	22	31	20	32	22	34	23	11	73	20	70	26
Somewhat concerning	20	22	20	18	17	14	20	18	21	13	14	26	14	17	18	22	21	9	22	17	37	18
Not too concerning	7	6	8	4	4	8	6	9	5	7	8	5	6	15	4	12	3	2	51	2	33	8
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	4	0	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	3	2	4	1	1	1	3	15
DK/NA	3	1	4	0	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	3	2	4	1	1	1	3	15

Table 70: Q15c. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs leading to a 15 percent cut in service

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	80	31	56	89	66	34	82	77	70	76	66	65	66	76	74	88	68	59	49	71
Extremely concerning	39	45	16	26	58	27	17	49	40	37	48	38	31	36	42	40	49	39	31	22	39
Very concerning	32	35	15	30	31	39	17	33	36	33	28	28	34	30	34	34	38	29	28	27	31
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	26	19	64	34	10	30	61	16	23	27	22	31	33	31	22	24	11	32	38	46	27
Somewhat concerning	20	17	35	19	8	26	33	16	19	20	19	22	24	22	18	19	8	24	27	32	20
Not too concerning	7	2	29	15	2	4	28	0	4	7	4	9	8	9	5	4	3	8	10	14	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	5	11	1	4	5	2	0	3	2	3	2	3	1	2	1	0	4	6	2
DK/NA	3	1	5	11	1	4	5	2	0	3	2	3	2	3	1	2	1	0	4	6	2
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	87	73	60	64	66	77	73	70	76	71	69	72	75	70	70	73	86	34		
Extremely concerning	39	56	38	27	28	34	40	43	36	38	47	32	41	46	35	41	41	41	12		
Very concerning	32	30	35	33	36	32	36	30	34	38	24	37	31	29	35	29	32	45	23		
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	26	13	25	36	36	32	23	22	29	21	23	30	26	22	27	29	25	10	52		
Somewhat concerning	20	13	20	22	31	26	13	17	21	15	18	22	20	17	21	20	19	10	43		
Not too concerning	7	0	5	14	6	6	11	5	7	6	5	8	6	6	6	9	7	0	9		
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	2	4	0	2	0	5	1	2	7	0	2	3	3	1	2	4	14		
DK/NA	3	0	2	4	0	2	0	5	1	2	7	0	2	3	3	1	2	4	14		

Table 70: Q15c. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs leading to a 15 percent cut in service

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	71	80	76	77	71	67	76	71	73	70	80	74	73	69	72	71	0	71
Extremely concerning	39	40	45	45	30	44	34	44	37	39	35	44	38	52	46	34	34	0	39
Very concerning	32	31	35	31	47	28	33	32	34	34	34	36	36	21	23	38	37	0	32
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	26	24	18	21	22	27	32	21	27	26	30	17	23	24	31	25	29	0	26
Somewhat concerning	20	19	12	17	16	17	26	16	20	18	22	11	21	15	26	17	20	0	20
Not too concerning	7	5	6	4	5	10	6	5	8	8	7	5	2	9	6	8	9	0	7
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	5	2	3	1	2	1	3	1	2	1	4	3	3	0	2	0	0	3
DK/NA	3	5	2	3	1	2	1	3	1	2	1	4	3	3	0	2	0	0	3

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	72	72		74	74	71	67	67	74	73	68	70	76	63	61	74	67	73	69
Extremely concerning	39	35	43		43	38	34	45	36	34	38	40	35	44	33	27	32	40	46	41
Very concerning	32	37	28		30	37	37	22	31	41	35	28	34	33	30	34	42	27	28	29
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	26	27	25		26	22	29	30	27	21	25	28	25	22	33	38	25	31	25	25
Somewhat concerning	20	20	19		24	17	21	19	18	18	20	19	18	16	26	26	20	19	20	19
Not too concerning	7	7	5		2	5	7	11	10	2	5	9	7	6	7	12	5	12	5	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	1	4		0	3	0	3	6	5	1	4	5	2	4	1	1	1	2	6
DK/NA	3	1	4		0	3	0	3	6	5	1	4	5	2	4	1	1	1	2	6

Table 70: Q15c. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs leading to a 15 percent cut in service

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
	Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	78	74	67	55	60	63	76	77	69	58	59	69	89	73	63	78	55	50	75	
Extremely concerning	39	42	45	36	27	17	40	38	48	32	33	23	37	55	41	30	43	25	19	31	
Very concerning	32	36	29	31	28	43	23	37	30	36	24	35	32	34	32	32	35	31	32	43	
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	26	20	24	32	36	40	35	23	20	31	35	41	31	11	26	31	20	44	50	25	
Somewhat concerning	20	16	16	26	26	34	17	17	15	22	29	28	22	11	19	23	20	27	33	22	
Not too concerning	7	4	8	6	10	6	19	6	5	8	5	13	8	0	6	8	0	17	17	3	
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	2	1	9	0	2	1	2	1	8	0	0	0	1	6	2	1	0	0	
DK/NA	3	2	2	1	9	0	2	1	2	1	8	0	0	0	1	6	2	1	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	75	85	68	63	66	71	72	74	73	76	77	72	68	68	72	74	71
Extremely concerning	39	46	40	30	33	29	39	40	43	42	45	45	39	41	41	46	30	35
Very concerning	32	29	45	38	30	37	32	33	31	31	31	32	33	27	27	27	43	36
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	26	23	15	31	33	31	26	25	24	24	21	22	25	30	30	24	24	27
Somewhat concerning	20	17	13	20	25	23	20	18	17	17	15	18	19	24	23	20	12	21
Not too concerning	7	6	1	12	7	8	7	6	7	7	7	4	7	6	7	4	12	6
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	2	0	1	4	3	3	3	2	3	3	1	3	2	2	4	2	2
DK/NA	3	2	0	1	4	3	3	3	2	3	3	1	3	2	2	4	2	2

Table 70: Q15c. I am going to read you a list of potential outcomes if Muni does not receive additional funding. After hearing each one, tell me if it is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not too concerning: Muni employee layoffs leading to a 15 percent cut in service

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>EXT/VERY CONCERNING</u>	71	76	66	65	86	72	68	71	72	69	71	63	62	82	69	75	75	81	67	64
Extremely concerning	39	32	44	39	59	36	26	29	45	28	32	30	38	40	49	23	53	53	39	40
Very concerning	32	44	22	26	27	36	42	42	27	41	39	33	24	42	20	51	22	28	28	24
<u>SMWT/NOT CONCERNING</u>	26	24	31	32	14	22	32	27	25	31	24	34	38	17	27	21	24	16	27	33
Somewhat concerning	20	22	20	27	14	11	16	20	21	17	13	25	28	16	17	17	17	16	22	33
Not too concerning	7	2	11	4	0	12	15	7	4	14	11	9	10	1	10	5	7	0	5	0
<u>DK/NA</u>	3	0	4	4	0	5	0	2	3	0	6	3	0	1	4	4	1	3	5	3
DK/NA	3	0	4	4	0	5	0	2	3	0	6	3	0	1	4	4	1	3	5	3

Table 71: Q16a. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street improvements and transit-only lanes to protect buses and trains from traffic, allowing us to create a network of fast, frequent bus and rail routes that ensure riders never have to wait more than 5 minutes for transit when riding on the City's most popular routes. Buses will only need to stop to pick up and drop off riders instead of getting stuck in traffic, providing quick and convenient access throughout San Francisco

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI			
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	76	58	71	73	79	58	44	84	31	82	42	76	72	69	73	70	73	80	71
Very convincing	36	42	25	38	35	40	29	22	50	14	42	17	39	43	31	37	34	35	52	37
Somewhat convincing	36	34	33	34	38	40	29	22	34	17	40	25	37	29	38	36	35	38	28	34
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	14	13	14	13	14	12	18	21	9	24	10	22	6	22	15	13	17	14	6	11
Not convincing	14	13	14	13	14	12	18	21	9	24	10	22	6	22	15	13	17	14	6	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	11	28	16	13	9	24	35	7	45	8	36	19	6	15	14	13	13	13	18
Don't believe	11	9	7	9	12	5	21	32	6	37	6	30	11	5	13	10	12	12	10	5
DK/NA	4	2	20	7	0	4	3	2	1	8	2	5	8	1	2	4	1	2	3	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	69	78	75	70	74	71	67	76	68	71	74	84	45	82	55	74	88	22	83	25	54
Very convincing	36	45	33	36	33	40	34	31	39	33	39	33	48	20	43	25	35	53	5	43	8	21
Somewhat convincing	36	23	44	40	38	34	38	37	37	35	32	41	36	25	39	30	39	35	17	40	18	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	14	15	11	13	16	16	14	19	11	18	16	12	9	24	9	23	9	7	31	11	27	17
Not convincing	14	15	11	13	16	16	14	19	11	18	16	12	9	24	9	23	9	7	31	11	27	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	16	11	12	13	10	15	13	13	14	13	13	7	31	9	21	16	5	47	6	48	28
Don't believe	11	10	9	10	12	10	11	11	10	14	12	9	6	28	7	20	0	4	44	4	43	14
DK/NA	4	7	2	2	2	1	4	2	3	0	1	4	1	2	3	2	16	1	3	2	5	14

Table 71: Q16a. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street improvements and transit-only lanes to protect buses and trains from traffic, allowing us to create a network of fast, frequent bus and rail routes that ensure riders never have to wait more than 5 minutes for transit when riding on the City's most popular routes. Buses will only need to stop to pick up and drop off riders instead of getting stuck in traffic, providing quick and convenient access throughout San Francisco

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT									Q19 ACCESS TO CAR				Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY				
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
																						TARGETS
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	84	18	65	90	75	27	81	74	71	80	67	79	71	76	78	74	73	65	57	70	
Very convincing	36	45	5	11	59	27	8	58	40	34	46	26	45	32	39	39	38	41	28	22	34	
Somewhat convincing	36	39	13	54	31	48	18	23	34	37	34	41	34	39	37	39	36	33	37	35	36	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	14	9	35	15	7	13	31	5	20	16	4	12	14	13	14	11	15	15	17	21	16	
Not convincing	14	9	35	15	7	13	31	5	20	16	4	12	14	13	14	11	15	15	17	21	16	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	7	47	19	4	12	42	14	7	13	17	21	7	16	10	11	11	12	18	22	15	
Don't believe	11	4	41	11	3	7	36	7	7	11	8	16	7	13	8	10	9	12	7	5	8	
DK/NA	4	3	6	8	1	5	6	6	0	2	9	5	0	3	2	1	2	0	11	16	6	
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION					EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE			
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39			
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	79	81	65	67	72	73	73	73	65	79	65	80	72	73	79	73	84	53			
Very convincing	36	43	40	35	37	35	34	40	35	38	42	29	40	37	35	41	38	45	6			
Somewhat convincing	36	36	41	30	31	37	39	33	38	28	37	37	40	35	37	38	35	39	46			
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	14	10	12	18	16	13	13	15	13	24	8	17	9	12	15	9	14	8	11			
Not convincing	14	10	12	18	16	13	13	15	13	24	8	17	9	12	15	9	14	8	11			
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	10	7	18	16	15	14	12	15	11	13	18	11	16	12	11	13	8	36			
Don't believe	11	9	5	15	15	13	12	6	13	8	4	16	10	12	9	10	12	0	0			
DK/NA	4	2	2	3	1	2	2	7	2	3	10	2	2	4	3	2	1	8	36			

Table 71: Q16a. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street improvements and transit-only lanes to protect buses and trains from traffic, allowing us to create a network of fast, frequent bus and rail routes that ensure riders never have to wait more than 5 minutes for transit when riding on the City's most popular routes. Buses will only need to stop to pick up and drop off riders instead of getting stuck in traffic, providing quick and convenient access throughout San Francisco

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	80	67	85	77	74	75	77	75	75	75	72	83	72	77	72	84	72	0
Very convincing	36	45	26	41	46	40	28	37	37	44	29	29	44	39	44	32	47	36	0
Somewhat convincing	36	36	41	43	32	33	47	40	38	31	46	43	39	33	33	40	37	36	0
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	14	10	26	7	14	16	12	14	14	16	12	15	13	19	12	17	6	14	0
Not convincing	14	10	26	7	14	16	12	14	14	16	12	15	13	19	12	17	6	14	0
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	10	7	9	8	10	13	8	11	9	13	14	4	9	11	11	10	14	0
Don't believe	11	1	7	9	8	9	12	5	10	8	12	10	3	3	9	10	10	11	0
DK/NA	4	9	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	4	2	5	2	1	0	4	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	65	79		82	76	71	68	71	56	77	66	65	77	66	58	67	63	86	70
Very convincing	36	32	40		51	36	43	31	32	14	43	28	24	41	29	28	36	26	49	29
Somewhat convincing	36	33	39		31	40	28	37	39	42	34	39	40	36	37	30	31	37	38	40
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	14	20	9		11	11	19	17	8	15	14	14	11	12	16	20	21	17	6	11
Not convincing	14	20	9		11	11	19	17	8	15	14	14	11	12	16	20	21	17	6	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	15	12		6	12	10	15	21	29	10	20	25	11	18	23	12	20	8	19
Don't believe	11	13	7		6	11	8	13	13	12	9	13	13	9	11	23	11	16	6	9
DK/NA	4	2	5		0	2	2	2	8	17	1	7	12	2	7	0	1	4	1	10

Table 71: Q16a. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street improvements and transit-only lanes to protect buses and trains from traffic, allowing us to create a network of fast, frequent bus and rail routes that ensure riders never have to wait more than 5 minutes for transit when riding on the City's most popular routes. Buses will only need to stop to pick up and drop off riders instead of getting stuck in traffic, providing quick and convenient access throughout San Francisco

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	79	74	74	56	68	48	69	83	61	71	54	68	80	85	69	73	62	60	66
Very convincing	36	46	34	38	17	34	22	37	43	22	35	27	31	43	46	36	32	37	31	27
Somewhat convincing	36	33	40	35	39	34	25	32	40	39	36	27	36	36	39	33	41	25	29	39
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	14	11	14	19	13	19	21	18	7	21	12	26	8	9	9	14	19	21	7	28
Not convincing	14	11	14	19	13	19	21	18	7	21	12	26	8	9	9	14	19	21	7	28
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	11	12	8	31	13	32	13	10	18	17	20	24	11	6	17	8	17	33	6
Don't believe	11	9	9	8	15	13	32	11	7	15	6	20	24	9	5	13	6	16	33	6
DK/NA	4	2	3	0	16	0	0	2	3	3	11	0	0	2	2	4	3	1	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	80	75	53	69	57	73	71	70	67	68	67	73	68	80	73	70	63
Very convincing	36	40	45	31	33	22	36	34	32	32	32	32	38	30	37	37	37	33
Somewhat convincing	36	39	30	22	36	35	37	36	38	36	36	35	35	39	43	36	33	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	14	10	18	25	13	19	13	13	14	16	15	15	12	20	9	12	13	23
Not convincing	14	10	18	25	13	19	13	13	14	16	15	15	12	20	9	12	13	23
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	10	8	22	18	24	14	16	16	17	17	19	15	12	11	15	16	15
Don't believe	11	8	5	22	10	18	10	12	12	13	13	13	11	10	9	8	15	13
DK/NA	4	2	2	0	7	6	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	2	2	7	2	2

Table 71: Q16a. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FIVE MINUTE NETWORK) This funding would build street improvements and transit-only lanes to protect buses and trains from traffic, allowing us to create a network of fast, frequent bus and rail routes that ensure riders never have to wait more than 5 minutes for transit when riding on the City's most popular routes. Buses will only need to stop to pick up and drop off riders instead of getting stuck in traffic, providing quick and convenient access throughout San Francisco

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN/ PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	72	79	74	82	79	77	63	63	72	63	80	82	52	78	68	72	79	75	62	81
Very convincing	36	35	32	42	40	49	40	33	33	37	53	30	28	34	36	40	31	28	40	39
Somewhat convincing	36	44	42	40	40	29	23	30	39	26	27	52	24	44	32	32	48	48	22	42
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	14	18	12	4	9	6	19	24	12	19	5	8	34	12	17	13	6	13	20	3
Not convincing	14	18	12	4	9	6	19	24	12	19	5	8	34	12	17	13	6	13	20	3
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	3	13	14	12	17	18	13	16	18	15	10	14	9	15	15	15	11	17	16
Don't believe	11	3	7	2	6	17	14	11	13	14	15	9	12	7	6	15	13	6	10	7
DK/NA	4	0	6	13	6	0	4	2	3	3	0	1	2	2	9	0	2	5	7	9

Table 72: Q16b. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EFFICIENT APPROACH) During the pandemic, SFMTA has been busy making improvements to its service to benefit riders in the long term. The organization has been focusing on projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans and working with the community to decide what should become permanent. This approach ensures Muni listens to San Franciscans' input while delivering improvements quickly and using funds efficiently

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI			
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	73	53	67	57	71	45	39	71	34	68	44	64	70	57	62	62	62	69	60
Very convincing	20	27	14	23	17	26	11	7	27	4	24	9	24	27	15	21	18	20	31	18
Somewhat convincing	42	46	38	44	40	46	34	32	44	30	44	34	40	43	42	41	44	42	38	42
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	17	17	17	25	17	27	24	17	22	20	25	18	15	25	20	25	22	17	19
Not convincing	21	17	17	17	25	17	27	24	17	22	20	25	18	15	25	20	25	22	17	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	10	30	16	18	11	28	36	12	44	12	32	18	15	18	18	13	16	15	21
Don't believe	11	8	5	7	15	5	24	36	8	36	8	26	8	12	14	12	11	12	9	7
DK/NA	6	2	25	9	2	7	4	1	4	8	5	6	10	3	4	7	2	4	6	14

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	68	72	57	68	59	63	65	61	62	60	66	71	43	69	52	58	75	15	71	18	57
Very convincing	20	22	18	19	31	24	19	17	22	18	20	20	29	7	27	11	13	32	0	25	1	10
Somewhat convincing	42	46	55	38	37	35	44	48	39	44	40	46	42	36	42	41	45	43	15	46	17	47
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	19	20	25	15	26	20	20	22	20	22	21	16	33	17	29	17	18	38	18	38	19
Not convincing	21	19	20	25	15	26	20	20	22	20	22	21	16	33	17	29	17	18	38	18	38	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	13	8	18	17	15	17	15	17	18	18	13	13	24	14	19	26	7	46	11	44	24
Don't believe	11	7	7	13	15	12	11	10	12	16	14	8	9	20	9	16	8	4	44	6	40	11
DK/NA	6	6	1	5	2	3	6	5	5	2	4	6	4	4	5	3	18	3	3	5	4	13

Table 72: Q16b. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EFFICIENT APPROACH) During the pandemic, SFMTA has been busy making improvements to its service to benefit riders in the long term. The organization has been focusing on projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans and working with the community to decide what should become permanent. This approach ensures Muni listens to San Franciscans' input while delivering improvements quickly and using funds efficiently

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT									Q19 ACCESS TO CAR				Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY				
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
																						TARGETS
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	73	21	43	77	64	25	75	55	59	77	52	66	56	68	62	70	67	57	55	63	
Very convincing	20	26	3	0	36	12	3	26	14	17	33	15	18	16	24	25	19	14	16	10	17	
Somewhat convincing	42	47	18	43	41	51	22	50	40	42	43	37	47	40	43	37	51	53	41	45	46	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	17	38	27	16	19	37	12	33	24	10	27	17	24	19	24	21	10	19	19	18	
Not convincing	21	17	38	27	16	19	37	12	33	24	10	27	17	24	19	24	21	10	19	19	18	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	10	41	30	7	17	38	13	12	17	13	21	17	20	13	14	9	23	24	26	18	
Don't believe	11	5	35	21	4	9	33	6	11	12	4	17	13	16	8	11	7	19	9	5	10	
DK/NA	6	5	5	8	3	8	5	6	1	5	9	4	4	4	5	3	2	4	14	22	8	

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	66	69	60	53	60	62	65	61	61	68	56	66	65	63	61	62	87	46
Very convincing	20	26	23	15	36	20	20	21	20	22	21	19	22	20	22	19	20	41	6
Somewhat convincing	42	41	46	45	17	40	42	44	41	40	48	37	44	45	42	42	42	45	40
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	21	18	22	33	22	21	20	21	28	14	25	18	20	19	26	22	8	12
Not convincing	21	21	18	22	33	22	21	20	21	28	14	25	18	20	19	26	22	8	12
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	12	12	18	14	18	17	14	18	10	18	19	16	15	17	13	16	5	42
Don't believe	11	8	9	14	13	15	15	4	15	7	2	17	12	8	13	6	13	0	0
DK/NA	6	4	4	4	1	3	2	10	3	4	16	1	4	7	4	7	3	5	42

Table 72: Q16b. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EFFICIENT APPROACH) During the pandemic, SFMTA has been busy making improvements to its service to benefit riders in the long term. The organization has been focusing on projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans and working with the community to decide what should become permanent. This approach ensures Muni listens to San Franciscans' input while delivering improvements quickly and using funds efficiently

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	80	72	75	67	60	56	76	60	61	59	80	76	64	56	62	58	62	0
Very convincing	20	20	25	18	31	22	15	21	22	24	19	14	30	11	27	20	23	20	0
Somewhat convincing	42	60	47	57	35	38	41	55	38	37	40	66	46	53	30	43	35	42	0
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	1	19	21	14	28	24	14	24	24	24	10	15	18	29	21	25	21	0
Not convincing	21	1	19	21	14	28	24	14	24	24	24	10	15	18	29	21	25	21	0
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	19	9	4	19	12	21	11	16	15	18	10	9	17	15	17	17	17	0
Don't believe	11	2	6	4	10	9	19	4	13	11	16	7	3	0	7	16	13	11	0
DK/NA	6	17	3	0	9	2	1	7	3	4	2	3	6	17	8	1	4	6	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	58	67	69	67	57	61	58	56	65	59	57	65	60	45	56	61	74	58	
Very convincing	20	20	21	29	17	16	23	20	13	20	20	17	24	12	25	18	22	23	18	
Somewhat convincing	42	38	46	40	49	41	38	38	43	44	39	40	41	48	20	38	38	50	40	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	26	16	19	18	29	23	19	15	22	20	18	20	20	30	29	23	15	18	
Not convincing	21	26	16	19	18	29	23	19	15	22	20	18	20	20	30	29	23	15	18	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	16	17	12	15	14	17	23	28	14	21	25	14	20	25	15	17	12	24	
Don't believe	11	14	8	9	11	12	12	14	10	11	12	12	10	10	25	13	14	8	9	
DK/NA	6	2	9	3	4	2	5	8	19	3	9	13	4	10	0	2	2	4	15	

Table 72: Q16b. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EFFICIENT APPROACH) During the pandemic, SFMTA has been busy making improvements to its service to benefit riders in the long term. The organization has been focusing on projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans and working with the community to decide what should become permanent. This approach ensures Muni listens to San Franciscans' input while delivering improvements quickly and using funds efficiently

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	63	68	71	46	49	41	64	67	53	67	35	68	67	67	66	71	54	38	56
Very convincing	20	23	25	14	9	23	26	26	23	7	16	20	35	28	25	27	19	10	5	39
Somewhat convincing	42	40	43	57	37	25	15	38	44	46	50	15	32	40	42	38	52	43	33	17
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	22	19	17	23	40	20	21	20	31	11	43	0	21	20	19	18	29	29	32
Not convincing	21	22	19	17	23	40	20	21	20	31	11	43	0	21	20	19	18	29	29	32
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	15	13	11	31	12	39	15	14	16	22	22	32	12	13	15	10	17	33	12
Don't believe	11	11	9	9	10	12	39	12	8	14	4	22	32	9	11	10	3	15	33	12
DK/NA	6	4	4	2	20	0	0	2	5	3	17	0	0	3	3	5	7	2	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	66	70	44	62	49	62	61	60	58	58	61	62	61	70	61	63	54
Very convincing	20	24	29	24	14	10	20	21	20	19	19	19	21	16	21	18	26	19
Somewhat convincing	42	42	41	20	48	40	42	40	41	39	39	42	41	45	49	43	37	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	19	21	24	16	36	20	19	20	20	21	20	20	27	19	19	17	30
Not convincing	21	19	21	24	16	36	20	19	20	20	21	20	20	27	19	19	17	30
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	15	9	32	22	14	17	19	20	22	21	19	18	12	11	20	20	16
Don't believe	11	11	7	32	10	10	12	13	14	15	14	13	12	9	8	9	15	15
DK/NA	6	4	3	0	11	5	5	6	6	7	7	6	6	3	3	11	4	1

Table 72: Q16b. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EFFICIENT APPROACH) During the pandemic, SFMTA has been busy making improvements to its service to benefit riders in the long term. The organization has been focusing on projects that are low-cost and have immediate benefits to San Franciscans and working with the community to decide what should become permanent. This approach ensures Muni listens to San Franciscans' input while delivering improvements quickly and using funds efficiently

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	65	62	68	56	70	56	53	66	58	71	60	53	63	67	63	61	61	58	66
Very convincing	20	30	22	31	10	24	24	18	18	24	26	19	16	20	26	23	16	10	19	22
Somewhat convincing	42	35	39	37	47	46	32	35	49	34	46	41	37	43	41	40	45	51	39	44
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	19	19	15	14	18	19	31	21	18	17	22	27	26	14	29	15	26	25	12
Not convincing	21	19	19	15	14	18	19	31	21	18	17	22	27	26	14	29	15	26	25	12
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	17	20	17	30	12	25	16	13	23	11	17	20	11	19	8	24	13	17	22
Don't believe	11	6	13	5	12	12	19	14	9	18	11	14	20	6	10	8	13	7	8	8
DK/NA	6	10	7	13	18	0	6	1	4	5	0	3	0	5	9	0	10	6	9	14

Table 73: Q16c. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. As San Franciscans recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, investing in Muni service and bike and pedestrian safety is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI			
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST	LESS	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG	RDNG	HEARD
													FW TM /MNTN	OFTEN				PRE-COVID	SINCE COVID	ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	87	61	80	78	86	68	48	89	43	86	56	80	83	75	79	77	81	84	65
Very convincing	47	57	23	47	47	53	39	23	62	14	54	22	50	53	43	49	43	49	57	37
Somewhat convincing	31	30	38	32	30	33	29	26	27	30	31	34	30	30	32	31	34	32	26	28
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	10	17	12	13	8	17	26	8	23	10	20	8	13	16	11	17	11	11	21
Not convincing	13	10	17	12	13	8	17	26	8	23	10	20	8	13	16	11	17	11	11	21
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	3	22	8	9	6	15	26	3	34	4	24	11	4	9	9	6	8	5	15
Don't believe	5	2	5	3	8	2	13	25	2	24	2	17	5	3	7	5	5	7	2	2
DK/NA	3	1	17	6	1	4	2	1	1	10	2	7	7	1	2	4	1	1	2	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	79	85	82	81	78	80	79	80	74	79	80	90	59	88	66	74	94	29	89	32	66
Very convincing	47	56	37	52	47	51	45	45	49	43	50	43	61	31	56	35	42	67	5	58	5	25
Somewhat convincing	31	23	48	29	34	27	35	34	31	31	28	37	30	28	32	31	32	27	24	31	28	42
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	13	13	11	8	18	10	14	12	17	11	15	7	24	8	20	11	5	36	8	36	16
Not convincing	13	13	13	11	8	18	10	14	12	17	11	15	7	24	8	20	11	5	36	8	36	16
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	7	2	7	10	4	9	7	8	9	10	5	3	18	4	14	15	1	35	3	32	17
Don't believe	5	2	2	6	8	4	6	6	5	8	8	2	2	16	2	13	2	1	34	1	28	6
DK/NA	3	5	1	1	2	0	3	1	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	13	1	2	2	4	11

Table 73: Q16c. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. As San Franciscans recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, investing in Muni service and bike and pedestrian safety is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	90	31	72	95	81	36	83	88	78	82	72	83	76	84	85	78	75	70	63	74
Very convincing	47	58	8	24	73	39	8	24	60	46	56	34	57	42	54	56	47	49	33	26	41
Somewhat convincing	31	32	23	48	22	42	28	59	28	33	26	38	26	34	30	29	31	26	37	36	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	7	37	17	3	12	35	10	8	14	4	14	11	13	11	9	14	16	17	20	16
Not convincing	13	7	37	17	3	12	35	10	8	14	4	14	11	13	11	9	14	16	17	20	16
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	3	32	11	2	7	29	6	4	7	14	14	6	11	5	6	8	9	13	18	10
Don't believe	5	1	27	5	1	2	25	0	4	6	3	9	6	8	3	5	6	9	3	3	5
DK/NA	3	3	5	5	1	5	4	6	0	2	11	5	0	3	2	1	2	0	10	15	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE			
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	87	89	73	63	80	81	78	80	71	84	76	85	83	80	79	80	85	56		
Very convincing	47	67	57	33	39	47	54	46	49	32	57	42	57	54	48	42	50	53	14		
Somewhat convincing	31	20	32	40	24	33	27	32	31	39	27	34	28	29	32	37	31	32	42		
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	9	7	17	25	12	13	12	12	17	8	15	10	10	11	14	13	10	13		
Not convincing	13	9	7	17	25	12	13	12	12	17	8	15	10	10	11	14	13	10	13		
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	4	4	10	11	8	6	10	8	11	9	9	6	7	8	7	7	5	31		
Don't believe	5	3	2	8	10	7	5	3	6	7	1	7	5	4	6	5	6	0	0		
DK/NA	3	0	2	2	1	1	2	6	1	4	8	2	1	3	3	2	1	5	31		

Table 73: Q16c. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. As San Franciscans recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, investing in Muni service and bike and pedestrian safety is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	89	77	89	82	82	80	85	81	80	83	87	83	83	85	81	81	79	0
Very convincing	47	53	37	55	60	49	49	48	51	52	51	52	47	41	58	52	40	47	0
Somewhat convincing	31	36	39	35	22	33	31	37	30	28	32	35	36	43	26	28	40	31	0
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	2	18	9	11	13	12	10	13	14	11	7	13	8	12	12	14	13	0
Not convincing	13	2	18	9	11	13	12	10	13	14	11	7	13	8	12	12	14	13	0
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	9	5	2	7	5	7	6	6	6	6	6	4	9	4	7	6	9	0
Don't believe	5	2	5	2	7	4	6	3	5	6	5	3	3	3	3	7	6	5	0
DK/NA	3	7	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	3	2	5	1	1	0	3	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	74	84		86	84	79	77	73	62	83	73	68	84	73	61	78	69	89	76
Very convincing	47	38	56		54	56	47	42	42	31	53	40	37	55	36	32	43	32	63	47
Somewhat convincing	31	36	27		32	28	32	35	31	31	30	33	31	29	38	29	35	37	26	29
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	16	9		9	11	13	14	14	19	11	15	16	10	17	21	14	19	7	11
Not convincing	13	16	9		9	11	13	14	14	19	11	15	16	10	17	21	14	19	7	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	10	7		5	5	8	9	13	19	6	12	16	7	10	19	8	12	3	13
Don't believe	5	7	4		5	4	6	8	5	3	5	6	4	5	4	19	7	7	3	4
DK/NA	3	3	4		0	1	2	2	8	16	1	6	11	2	6	0	1	5	0	8

Table 73: Q16c. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. As San Franciscans recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, investing in Muni service and bike and pedestrian safety is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	85	81	82	61	68	54	80	87	69	78	56	75	87	90	77	86	63	73	62
Very convincing	47	60	48	44	24	28	36	46	63	28	42	21	57	65	62	44	57	23	24	39
Somewhat convincing	31	26	33	38	37	40	18	34	24	41	36	34	18	22	28	33	30	40	49	23
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	9	10	12	23	21	20	12	7	21	13	25	8	9	7	11	10	29	0	32
Not convincing	13	9	10	12	23	21	20	12	7	21	13	25	8	9	7	11	10	29	0	32
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	6	9	5	16	12	26	8	6	10	9	19	17	4	3	12	4	8	27	6
Don't believe	5	5	4	4	4	12	26	6	4	6	1	19	17	4	2	7	0	8	27	6
DK/NA	3	1	4	1	12	0	0	3	2	4	8	0	0	1	1	4	4	0	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	86	87	59	76	60	79	77	76	74	75	73	80	71	86	79	85	65
Very convincing	47	57	56	33	39	25	48	48	47	44	43	45	51	31	47	45	58	41
Somewhat convincing	31	28	31	26	37	35	31	29	29	30	32	28	29	41	38	33	27	24
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	8	8	17	15	25	12	12	13	14	13	12	10	22	11	10	7	24
Not convincing	13	8	8	17	15	25	12	12	13	14	13	12	10	22	11	10	7	24
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	6	4	23	9	15	9	11	11	12	12	15	9	6	3	12	8	11
Don't believe	5	4	2	23	3	10	6	7	7	7	7	8	6	4	3	6	5	8
DK/NA	3	2	2	0	6	5	3	4	4	5	5	7	4	2	0	6	3	2

Table 73: Q16c. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (RECOVERY) An affordable, reliable, and safe public transit system is a critical part of a thriving and equitable community. As San Franciscans recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, investing in Muni service and bike and pedestrian safety is essential to ensuring everyone in the city can access jobs and get where they need to go easily, regardless of their income or neighborhood

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	90	76	77	89	88	84	64	80	85	87	80	62	79	76	72	81	90	73	80
Very convincing	47	61	47	58	59	61	62	42	36	58	62	40	30	45	55	54	42	46	34	53
Somewhat convincing	31	29	29	19	30	26	22	22	45	26	25	41	32	34	21	19	39	44	39	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	10	14	5	5	4	9	23	13	9	4	10	22	19	15	19	14	8	12	3
Not convincing	13	10	14	5	5	4	9	23	13	9	4	10	22	19	15	19	14	8	12	3
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	0	10	18	6	8	7	14	6	6	9	9	16	2	9	8	5	1	15	17
Don't believe	5	0	5	5	3	6	3	11	5	2	6	8	14	0	4	7	4	0	10	8
DK/NA	3	0	4	13	3	3	4	3	2	4	3	2	2	2	5	1	2	1	6	9

Table 74: Q16d. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their families and things they would like to do. But Muni has made improvements that fight traffic congestion, make public transit quicker and more convenient, and expand bike lanes; continuing to invest in Muni will make those improvements permanent

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	71	57	67	57	68	52	40	73	30	70	41	70	67	55	63	60	62	78	49
Very convincing	23	28	15	24	21	25	20	12	29	12	26	17	29	30	14	24	18	23	35	10
Somewhat convincing	40	44	42	43	36	43	32	28	44	18	45	24	40	37	40	39	41	39	43	40
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	19	16	18	24	21	22	24	19	27	20	25	12	23	26	20	23	23	13	15
Not convincing	21	19	16	18	24	21	22	24	19	27	20	25	12	23	26	20	23	23	13	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	10	27	14	19	11	26	36	8	44	10	35	18	10	19	16	17	15	10	36
Don't believe	13	8	8	8	17	6	24	34	6	36	8	28	10	7	17	11	16	13	6	21
DK/NA	4	1	19	6	1	5	2	2	1	8	2	6	8	3	2	5	1	2	4	14

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	63	76	64	63	62	63	64	64	62	63	62	75	34	74	42	66	76	14	73	14	45
Very convincing	23	35	24	20	22	25	22	23	24	20	24	22	33	8	30	12	17	36	4	28	3	8
Somewhat convincing	40	28	52	44	41	37	41	41	40	42	40	41	42	26	44	31	48	40	11	45	11	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	22	14	22	21	23	20	23	20	21	20	23	17	31	16	32	11	16	30	18	34	24
Not convincing	21	22	14	22	21	23	20	23	20	21	20	23	17	31	16	32	11	16	30	18	34	24
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	15	10	14	16	15	17	13	16	17	16	15	7	35	10	26	23	8	55	9	51	31
Don't believe	13	8	7	12	13	14	12	11	12	16	14	11	5	32	7	24	8	6	52	6	46	16
DK/NA	4	7	3	2	3	1	5	2	4	0	2	4	2	3	3	2	15	2	3	3	5	15

Table 74: Q16d. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their families and things they would like to do. But Muni has made improvements that fight traffic congestion, make public transit quicker and more convenient, and expand bike lanes; continuing to invest in Muni will make those improvements permanent

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT									Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	TARGETS				DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
					CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER														
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	74	19	39	81	62	22	84	60	61	70	56	65	59	67	68	70	37	58	50	59	
Very convincing	23	28	2	11	42	12	5	22	23	21	30	15	24	18	27	25	32	8	18	11	22	
Somewhat convincing	40	46	17	28	38	51	17	62	37	40	40	41	41	41	40	44	38	29	39	39	37	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	17	37	26	13	24	34	5	24	22	15	24	23	24	19	19	21	28	22	25	22	
Not convincing	21	17	37	26	13	24	34	5	24	22	15	24	23	24	19	19	21	28	22	25	22	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	9	45	35	7	14	45	11	17	17	14	20	12	17	14	12	9	35	20	25	18	
Don't believe	13	6	39	27	4	9	40	1	14	14	5	16	11	14	11	11	6	35	10	10	12	
DK/NA	4	3	6	8	2	5	5	10	2	3	10	4	1	3	3	2	3	0	11	15	6	

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	74	66	57	60	66	55	64	62	65	64	59	66	65	64	62	62	87	46
Very convincing	23	27	25	20	35	24	18	25	22	26	24	20	23	22	24	24	22	55	3
Somewhat convincing	40	47	41	37	26	42	37	39	40	39	40	39	42	43	40	38	40	32	43
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	18	23	21	26	20	26	18	23	22	15	20	25	20	19	27	22	5	14
Not convincing	21	18	23	21	26	20	26	18	23	22	15	20	25	20	19	27	22	5	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	8	11	21	14	14	18	18	15	14	21	21	9	15	17	11	15	8	40
Don't believe	13	6	8	18	13	12	16	11	14	9	12	18	8	11	14	7	14	0	6
DK/NA	4	2	3	3	1	2	2	7	2	5	10	2	1	4	3	4	1	8	34

Table 74: Q16d. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their families and things they would like to do. But Muni has made improvements that fight traffic congestion, make public transit quicker and more convenient, and expand bike lanes; continuing to invest in Muni will make those improvements permanent

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	74	62	79	65	67	59	72	64	65	62	65	77	71	66	63	66	62	0
Very convincing	23	14	32	23	26	24	22	23	24	27	20	17	29	19	24	24	23	23	0
Somewhat convincing	40	60	31	56	38	42	38	49	40	38	42	48	47	52	42	38	43	40	0
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	7	22	12	23	25	23	14	24	25	23	19	9	20	24	23	25	21	0
Not convincing	21	7	22	12	23	25	23	14	24	25	23	19	9	20	24	23	25	21	0
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	19	15	9	12	8	18	14	12	10	15	17	15	9	10	14	9	17	0
Don't believe	13	11	14	7	12	7	16	11	11	9	13	13	13	0	9	13	7	13	0
DK/NA	4	8	2	1	0	1	2	4	1	1	2	4	2	9	1	1	2	4	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	61	65		70	64	64	59	59	52	66	58	56	68	54	49	64	57	69	60
Very convincing	23	22	24		32	24	22	18	22	13	26	18	18	28	14	14	24	18	28	18
Somewhat convincing	40	39	41		38	40	42	41	38	39	40	39	38	40	41	35	40	39	41	41
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	21	21		23	21	17	23	24	16	20	22	21	19	24	25	18	25	23	18
Not convincing	21	21	21		23	21	17	23	24	16	20	22	21	19	24	25	18	25	23	18
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	18	15		7	15	19	18	16	32	14	20	23	13	22	26	18	18	9	22
Don't believe	13	15	10		5	14	17	15	8	14	12	13	11	10	15	26	15	14	9	11
DK/NA	4	3	5		2	1	2	3	8	18	1	7	12	3	7	0	3	4	0	11

Table 74: Q16d. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their families and things they would like to do. But Muni has made improvements that fight traffic congestion, make public transit quicker and more convenient, and expand bike lanes; continuing to invest in Muni will make those improvements permanent

	PARTY BY AGE						PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	69	67	62	44	54	45	66	70	57	53	45	61	79	68	64	59	53	50	56
Very convincing	23	30	25	18	8	23	6	28	28	11	16	14	16	32	27	31	17	16	0	34
Somewhat convincing	40	39	41	44	36	30	40	37	43	46	37	31	45	47	41	32	42	37	50	22
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	18	21	23	25	33	17	16	21	27	21	33	8	13	22	18	31	24	23	38
Not convincing	21	18	21	23	25	33	17	16	21	27	21	33	8	13	22	18	31	24	23	38
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	13	13	15	31	13	38	18	8	16	26	22	31	9	11	18	10	23	27	6
Don't believe	13	11	8	14	16	13	38	14	6	13	15	22	31	6	8	14	6	22	27	6
DK/NA	4	2	4	1	15	0	0	4	2	3	11	0	0	2	2	4	4	1	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	69	71	42	57	49	62	61	60	57	56	55	64	56	65	62	65	57
Very convincing	23	30	24	16	15	11	23	24	22	20	18	18	23	21	18	24	31	20
Somewhat convincing	40	39	47	25	42	38	39	38	38	37	38	36	41	35	47	38	35	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	19	16	27	19	35	21	21	23	23	23	23	19	28	21	17	21	27
Not convincing	21	19	16	27	19	35	21	21	23	23	23	23	19	28	21	17	21	27
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	12	13	31	24	15	17	18	18	20	21	22	17	16	14	20	14	15
Don't believe	13	9	11	31	17	11	13	13	13	15	16	15	12	14	12	13	11	14
DK/NA	4	3	2	0	7	5	4	4	4	5	5	7	4	2	3	7	3	1

Table 74: Q16d. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (TRAFFIC) Too many San Franciscans spend hours and hours in their cars every week – keeping them away from their families and things they would like to do. But Muni has made improvements that fight traffic congestion, make public transit quicker and more convenient, and expand bike lanes; continuing to invest in Muni will make those improvements permanent

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN/ PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	62	76	56	66	73	67	59	57	62	60	68	57	53	72	58	68	59	72	49	68
Very convincing	23	18	20	33	33	29	27	20	19	28	32	19	21	17	25	19	16	24	21	30
Somewhat convincing	40	58	36	33	41	38	33	37	43	33	37	38	32	55	33	49	42	49	29	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	12	22	18	19	19	27	26	20	24	19	27	31	13	18	21	26	22	17	15
Not convincing	21	12	22	18	19	19	27	26	20	24	19	27	31	13	18	21	26	22	17	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	12	22	16	8	14	13	17	18	16	12	16	16	15	25	11	15	6	34	18
Don't believe	13	12	14	4	5	13	9	15	15	11	12	15	16	11	14	11	11	3	28	7
DK/NA	4	0	8	13	3	1	4	1	4	5	0	2	0	4	11	0	3	3	6	11

Table 75: Q16e. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (CABLE CARS) San Francisco's cable cars are a National Historic Landmark and are both a source of civic pride and of tourism dollars; but without additional funding SFMTA won't be able to restore service of the iconic cable cars

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	65	69	53	65	65	70	56	43	72	44	71	50	72	60	63	66	63	64	79	63
Very convincing	28	32	14	27	30	29	26	10	34	8	33	14	35	28	25	28	29	28	40	27
Somewhat convincing	37	37	40	38	36	41	30	32	38	36	39	36	37	32	38	38	34	36	39	36
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	21	17	20	22	21	22	31	18	27	19	25	12	28	24	19	26	23	12	15
Not convincing	21	21	17	20	22	21	22	31	18	27	19	25	12	28	24	19	26	23	12	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	9	30	15	13	9	22	26	9	30	10	25	16	12	13	15	11	13	9	22
Don't believe	9	8	8	8	11	5	19	25	8	21	7	19	8	9	11	10	9	10	6	10
DK/NA	5	1	22	7	2	5	3	1	1	8	3	6	9	3	3	5	2	3	3	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	65	60	73	66	72	71	64	62	68	59	65	67	68	51	70	58	61	78	32	73	31	48
Very convincing	28	25	23	31	46	30	27	21	31	25	26	31	30	20	30	23	35	40	4	33	5	23
Somewhat convincing	37	35	50	35	27	41	36	41	37	34	39	36	38	30	40	35	26	39	28	40	26	25
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	24	19	22	17	21	21	29	17	27	21	21	21	31	18	26	15	15	32	18	36	22
Not convincing	21	24	19	22	17	21	21	29	17	27	21	21	21	31	18	26	15	15	32	18	36	22
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	16	8	13	10	8	15	9	15	14	14	11	11	19	11	15	23	7	36	9	33	30
Don't believe	9	8	8	10	8	8	9	7	10	14	13	6	10	15	9	13	4	5	33	6	28	13
DK/NA	5	9	1	3	2	0	6	2	5	0	2	5	1	3	3	3	19	1	3	3	5	17

Table 75: Q16e. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (CABLE CARS) San Francisco's cable cars are a National Historic Landmark and are both a source of civic pride and of tourism dollars; but without additional funding SFMTA won't be able to restore service of the iconic cable cars

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	65	74	28	55	79	66	33	63	71	65	67	64	75	67	67	67	74	75	55	47	65
Very convincing	28	35	6	13	42	25	6	26	33	27	34	24	39	29	30	31	36	39	19	9	27
Somewhat convincing	37	40	22	42	37	40	27	37	37	38	33	39	37	38	37	36	38	37	36	38	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	17	39	21	16	19	35	15	21	22	14	18	19	19	21	19	18	13	29	32	22
Not convincing	21	17	39	21	16	19	35	15	21	22	14	18	19	19	21	19	18	13	29	32	22
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	9	33	24	5	15	31	21	8	13	19	18	6	14	12	14	8	12	15	20	13
Don't believe	9	5	27	15	4	8	26	8	8	10	8	13	5	10	9	12	6	10	2	0	6
DK/NA	5	4	6	9	1	7	6	13	0	3	11	5	0	4	3	2	3	2	13	20	7
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39		
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	65	67	74	60	65	63	70	65	66	60	69	59	74	67	65	68	66	87	39		
Very convincing	28	27	35	26	32	24	31	32	27	28	36	24	30	33	28	26	29	41	6		
Somewhat convincing	37	40	39	34	33	39	39	33	39	32	33	35	44	35	37	42	37	46	33		
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	23	16	25	17	20	19	23	20	30	16	23	17	17	22	20	22	5	20		
Not convincing	21	23	16	25	17	20	19	23	20	30	16	23	17	17	22	20	22	5	20		
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	10	10	15	18	16	10	12	14	10	14	19	10	16	13	12	12	8	42		
Don't believe	9	8	6	12	16	14	8	5	12	6	4	15	8	11	9	9	11	3	0		
DK/NA	5	2	3	3	2	2	3	8	2	4	11	3	2	5	4	3	2	5	42		

Table 75: Q16e. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (CABLE CARS) San Francisco's cable cars are a National Historic Landmark and are both a source of civic pride and of tourism dollars; but without additional funding SFMTA won't be able to restore service of the iconic cable cars

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	65	66	73	62	80	70	62	67	70	72	66	69	65	70	71	70	66	65	0
Very convincing	28	26	29	23	40	30	30	26	32	34	30	25	25	31	41	31	25	28	0
Somewhat convincing	37	40	44	39	41	40	32	41	37	38	36	44	39	39	30	39	41	37	0
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	19	20	23	14	20	19	21	19	19	18	12	26	24	22	17	17	21	0
Not convincing	21	19	20	23	14	20	19	21	19	19	18	12	26	24	22	17	17	21	0
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	15	7	14	6	10	19	12	12	8	16	19	9	5	8	12	17	14	0
Don't believe	9	5	6	12	4	8	18	8	11	7	16	16	4	0	6	11	17	9	0
DK/NA	5	10	0	3	1	1	1	4	1	2	1	3	5	5	2	1	0	5	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294		98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	65	59	71		66	65	71	66	64	51	67	63	59	70	59	48	58	61	77	64
Very convincing	28	25	32		34	23	34	25	38	18	29	27	30	32	23	24	24	26	34	29
Somewhat convincing	37	34	39		33	42	37	42	26	33	38	35	29	38	37	25	33	35	42	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	25	17		25	21	19	21	16	23	22	20	19	18	23	40	26	24	17	17
Not convincing	21	25	17		25	21	19	21	16	23	22	20	19	18	23	40	26	24	17	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	16	12		8	14	10	13	20	26	11	18	22	12	17	12	16	15	7	20
Don't believe	9	12	7		6	13	7	11	10	7	9	10	9	9	9	12	14	10	5	9
DK/NA	5	3	6		3	1	3	2	10	19	2	8	14	3	8	0	3	4	1	11

Table 75: Q16e. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (CABLE CARS) San Francisco's cable cars are a National Historic Landmark and are both a source of civic pride and of tourism dollars; but without additional funding SFMTA won't be able to restore service of the iconic cable cars

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	65	68	73	69	47	49	48	63	77	55	63	50	43	71	74	69	66	56	45	56	
Very convincing	28	30	34	27	16	28	19	30	34	16	29	24	23	31	35	32	27	23	13	34	
Somewhat convincing	37	38	39	42	30	21	28	33	43	38	34	26	20	40	40	37	39	33	32	22	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	19	16	24	23	40	39	20	15	29	18	44	32	20	15	14	25	28	30	44	
Not convincing	21	19	16	24	23	40	39	20	15	29	18	44	32	20	15	14	25	28	30	44	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	13	11	7	31	12	13	17	9	16	19	6	24	9	10	17	10	16	25	0	
Don't believe	9	11	7	6	13	12	13	13	5	12	7	6	24	7	8	12	5	15	25	0	
DK/NA	5	3	4	1	17	0	0	3	3	4	11	0	0	2	3	5	4	1	0	0	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	65	70	72	39	62	60	65	63	63	62	62	59	66	60	70	66	71	55
Very convincing	28	32	40	23	24	18	29	28	28	27	27	29	30	20	34	27	29	24
Somewhat convincing	37	38	33	16	38	42	36	35	36	35	35	30	36	40	36	39	42	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	17	24	45	22	20	20	21	21	21	20	22	20	25	17	17	18	35
Not convincing	21	17	24	45	22	20	20	21	21	21	20	22	20	25	17	17	18	35
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	13	4	15	17	19	14	16	16	17	18	20	14	16	13	18	11	11
Don't believe	9	10	2	15	8	15	10	11	11	12	12	12	9	11	11	10	9	8
DK/NA	5	3	2	0	9	5	4	5	5	6	5	8	4	5	3	8	3	3

Table 75: Q16e. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (CABLE CARS) San Francisco's cable cars are a National Historic Landmark and are both a source of civic pride and of tourism dollars; but without additional funding SFMTA won't be able to restore service of the iconic cable cars

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	65	69	62	68	69	83	61	51	68	63	80	68	45	68	58	62	67	69	70	66
Very convincing	28	41	29	42	21	30	24	23	29	23	29	33	19	33	31	29	34	20	23	40
Somewhat convincing	37	27	33	26	48	53	37	28	39	40	51	35	27	35	28	33	32	49	47	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	21	18	18	7	16	8	26	37	20	26	10	13	45	15	19	32	22	18	17	9
Not convincing	21	18	18	7	16	8	26	37	20	26	10	13	45	15	19	32	22	18	17	9
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	14	13	20	25	15	9	13	12	12	12	10	20	9	16	23	6	11	13	13	24
Don't believe	9	13	11	11	9	9	7	8	9	6	10	15	8	11	12	6	7	12	6	12
DK/NA	5	0	9	14	6	0	6	4	3	5	0	5	2	5	11	0	4	1	7	13

Table 76: Q16f. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SAVE MUNI) Experience from other global cities shows the long-term economic damage of cutting service deeply in response to drop in demand and economic shocks, preventing transit systems from recovery. Without additional funding, Muni will only be able to restore 85 percent of its pre-pandemic service and service levels will decline over time. Additional funding will help save Muni and put San Francisco's public transit on firmer financial footing

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI			
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	576	210	80	290	286	358	194	62	239	71	425	103	174	132	264	433	141	427	72	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	77	57	71	71	79	59	41	82	36	80	47	74	77	65	72	68	72	73	66
Very convincing	34	40	24	36	32	39	28	14	46	11	40	16	40	38	29	36	27	35	45	25
Somewhat convincing	37	37	32	36	39	40	31	26	36	25	39	32	34	39	36	36	41	37	28	41
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	13	14	13	19	13	21	28	12	30	12	27	9	17	20	15	20	16	15	12
Not convincing	16	13	14	13	19	13	21	28	12	30	12	27	9	17	20	15	20	16	15	12
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	10	29	16	10	8	20	32	6	35	8	26	16	6	15	13	12	11	12	22
Don't believe	9	9	7	9	9	3	18	31	5	28	5	21	8	4	12	8	12	9	6	10
DK/NA	4	1	22	7	1	5	2	1	1	7	3	6	9	2	3	5	1	2	6	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	576	123	72	234	75	166	367	162	374	147	305	255	220	126	321	197	59	276	65	447	84	45
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	73	74	72	77	69	72	68	75	72	73	70	80	44	80	55	76	85	11	83	14	63
Very convincing	34	37	19	41	38	35	35	31	36	33	37	31	46	13	42	21	31	50	2	42	2	16
Somewhat convincing	37	36	55	32	40	34	38	37	38	39	35	39	34	31	38	34	45	35	8	41	12	47
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	16	15	14	12	20	14	20	14	14	16	17	12	29	11	26	9	10	44	11	42	20
Not convincing	16	16	15	14	12	20	14	20	14	14	16	17	12	29	11	26	9	10	44	11	42	20
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	10	11	14	11	11	13	12	11	14	11	13	7	27	9	19	15	5	45	7	44	18
Don't believe	9	5	7	11	9	9	9	10	7	13	10	8	5	24	5	17	0	3	43	3	40	5
DK/NA	4	6	3	3	2	2	5	3	4	0	2	5	2	3	3	3	15	2	2	3	4	12

Table 76: Q16f. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SAVE MUNI) Experience from other global cities shows the long-term economic damage of cutting service deeply in response to drop in demand and economic shocks, preventing transit systems from recovery. Without additional funding, Muni will only be able to restore 85 percent of its pre-pandemic service and service levels will decline over time. Additional funding will help save Muni and put San Francisco's public transit on firmer financial footing

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT									Q19 ACCESS TO CAR				Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY				
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
																						TARGETS
Column n-Size	576	439	93	44	231	241	104	20	83	470	101	140	67	206	325	251	95	40	135	86	279	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	84	18	54	87	77	22	75	80	70	76	67	80	71	74	78	66	52	69	63	66	
Very convincing	34	43	4	8	56	26	5	33	41	33	39	28	41	32	37	41	33	23	28	21	30	
Somewhat convincing	37	41	14	46	32	51	17	42	40	37	36	39	39	39	37	37	33	29	41	41	36	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	10	38	29	8	13	39	13	16	18	7	13	14	13	17	13	21	28	13	13	17	
Not convincing	16	10	38	29	8	13	39	13	16	18	7	13	14	13	17	13	21	28	13	13	17	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	6	44	17	4	10	39	12	3	12	17	20	6	16	9	8	13	20	18	24	16	
Don't believe	9	3	38	9	3	4	34	1	3	9	6	16	5	12	7	7	11	20	6	5	10	
DK/NA	4	3	6	8	2	6	5	11	0	3	11	4	1	3	3	2	2	0	12	19	7	
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE			
																				TARGETS	TARGETS	TARGETS
Column n-Size	576	132	170	141	48	213	132	219	346	98	119	170	170	152	299	100	509	28	39			
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	80	84	61	60	74	69	72	72	66	75	68	77	71	73	72	72	77	48			
Very convincing	34	49	40	25	36	40	31	32	37	28	34	32	42	32	37	30	36	48	3			
Somewhat convincing	37	31	44	37	24	34	38	40	36	38	41	37	35	39	36	41	37	29	45			
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	15	10	17	26	14	20	14	16	19	11	16	15	20	14	13	16	15	11			
Not convincing	16	15	10	17	26	14	20	14	16	19	11	16	15	20	14	13	16	15	11			
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	5	6	22	14	13	10	14	12	15	14	15	8	9	13	16	11	8	41			
Don't believe	9	4	3	19	13	10	9	7	10	10	4	12	7	5	10	11	10	3	4			
DK/NA	4	2	3	3	1	2	1	8	2	6	9	3	1	4	3	5	2	5	37			

Table 76: Q16f. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SAVE MUNI) Experience from other global cities shows the long-term economic damage of cutting service deeply in response to drop in demand and economic shocks, preventing transit systems from recovery. Without additional funding, Muni will only be able to restore 85 percent of its pre-pandemic service and service levels will decline over time. Additional funding will help save Muni and put San Francisco's public transit on firmer financial footing

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	576	57	58	54	62	130	98	169	291	165	125	61	81	26	71	171	46	576	0
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	80	77	85	81	73	66	81	72	74	69	75	84	84	73	72	73	71	0
Very convincing	34	30	39	43	36	41	30	37	36	38	34	32	42	36	33	41	26	34	0
Somewhat convincing	37	51	38	41	45	32	36	44	36	37	35	43	41	48	40	31	47	37	0
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	3	14	7	10	22	19	8	18	18	18	20	2	0	21	18	13	16	0
Not convincing	16	3	14	7	10	22	19	8	18	18	18	20	2	0	21	18	13	16	0
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	17	9	8	9	5	15	11	9	7	12	6	14	16	6	10	14	13	0
Don't believe	9	8	8	8	8	5	14	8	9	7	11	5	10	7	4	9	14	9	0
DK/NA	4	9	2	0	1	0	1	4	1	1	1	1	4	9	2	1	0	4	0
	Q27 GENDER			AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	576	271	294	98	138	92	127	71	50	328	248	121	357	179	40	156	114	166	127
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	67	75	80	71	69	71	63	67	73	68	65	75	67	49	67	67	80	70
Very convincing	34	30	38	48	36	32	29	29	23	38	28	27	39	27	19	33	25	43	31
Somewhat convincing	37	37	37	33	35	38	41	34	44	35	40	38	36	40	31	34	42	36	39
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	18	14	9	20	18	16	19	9	16	16	15	15	15	24	18	17	14	13
Not convincing	16	18	14	9	20	18	16	19	9	16	16	15	15	15	24	18	17	14	13
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	15	11	11	9	12	13	18	24	10	16	20	9	17	26	15	16	7	17
Don't believe	9	11	7	8	8	10	10	6	9	9	9	8	6	10	26	12	11	6	7
DK/NA	4	4	5	3	1	2	2	11	15	2	7	13	3	7	0	3	5	0	10

Table 76: Q16f. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SAVE MUNI) Experience from other global cities shows the long-term economic damage of cutting service deeply in response to drop in demand and economic shocks, preventing transit systems from recovery. Without additional funding, Muni will only be able to restore 85 percent of its pre-pandemic service and service levels will decline over time. Additional funding will help save Muni and put San Francisco's public transit on firmer financial footing

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	576	207	150	101	78	20	20	163	189	81	92	27	12	104	129	90	67	68	16	17
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	74	78	77	54	49	50	71	80	69	67	42	68	79	83	68	86	56	45	60
Very convincing	34	41	37	35	17	35	3	34	43	27	27	12	34	46	43	34	43	21	14	31
Somewhat convincing	37	33	40	42	38	14	47	36	36	42	40	30	34	34	40	34	43	35	31	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	16	14	13	19	30	18	17	14	15	14	31	8	15	13	17	8	21	10	34
Not convincing	16	16	14	13	19	30	18	17	14	15	14	31	8	15	13	17	8	21	10	34
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	10	9	10	27	21	32	12	7	17	19	27	24	6	4	15	6	24	45	6
Don't believe	9	7	5	9	11	21	32	8	4	11	10	27	24	4	3	12	2	21	45	6
DK/NA	4	3	4	1	16	0	0	4	3	5	9	0	0	2	2	4	4	2	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	576	261	67	32	144	72	539	417	373	326	267	166	471	105	150	202	101	123
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	77	77	48	67	64	72	71	70	67	68	66	72	69	83	64	73	66
Very convincing	34	39	42	23	32	17	34	34	33	32	31	29	35	31	36	29	40	34
Somewhat convincing	37	37	34	24	36	47	37	37	37	35	37	37	37	37	47	35	33	31
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	15	18	24	14	17	16	17	17	19	18	18	16	16	9	19	15	21
Not convincing	16	15	18	24	14	17	16	17	17	19	18	18	16	16	9	19	15	21
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	8	5	28	19	19	13	12	13	14	15	16	13	15	8	17	12	14
Don't believe	9	6	3	28	11	12	9	8	8	9	10	9	8	10	6	10	10	9
DK/NA	4	2	2	0	8	7	3	4	4	5	4	6	4	5	1	8	2	4

Table 76: Q16f. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SAVE MUNI) Experience from other global cities shows the long-term economic damage of cutting service deeply in response to drop in demand and economic shocks, preventing transit systems from recovery. Without additional funding, Muni will only be able to restore 85 percent of its pre-pandemic service and service levels will decline over time. Additional funding will help save Muni and put San Francisco's public transit on firmer financial footing

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	576	31	81	33	40	58	46	102	185	52	58	40	52	58	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	90	72	73	68	76	71	66	69	72	76	67	56	87	76	76	76	73	49	70
Very convincing	34	39	34	44	26	39	46	34	28	45	43	26	27	43	39	40	21	29	24	36
Somewhat convincing	37	51	38	29	41	37	24	31	41	27	33	42	29	44	37	35	55	44	24	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	10	15	6	22	14	15	20	17	15	13	16	21	8	13	19	15	21	29	7
Not convincing	16	10	15	6	22	14	15	20	17	15	13	16	21	8	13	19	15	21	29	7
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	0	13	20	10	10	14	14	14	14	11	17	23	5	11	5	10	6	22	23
Don't believe	9	0	7	8	3	10	10	10	11	10	11	11	16	3	6	5	6	4	16	9
DK/NA	4	0	6	13	7	0	4	5	3	3	0	5	7	2	5	0	3	2	6	14

Table 77: Q16g. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. By investing in electric buses, improving the reliability and speed of Muni service, and making San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike, SFMTA is helping to get cars off the road and make San Francisco more sustainable

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	77	81	65	77	77	81	71	55	87	35	85	55	80	79	75	80	69	78	82	74
Very convincing	49	53	33	48	50	52	44	32	63	17	57	27	54	49	46	51	42	47	64	49
Somewhat convincing	28	28	33	29	27	29	27	23	24	18	28	28	25	30	29	28	26	31	18	25
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	14	14	14	13	11	17	25	9	36	9	27	10	15	15	11	21	15	10	13
Not convincing	13	14	14	14	13	11	17	25	9	36	9	27	10	15	15	11	21	15	10	13
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	5	21	9	10	8	12	21	4	29	5	18	10	6	11	9	10	8	7	13
Don't believe	6	3	8	5	7	5	8	14	3	20	4	13	6	3	7	6	6	5	6	6
DK/NA	4	2	13	5	3	3	4	7	2	9	2	5	5	3	3	4	4	2	1	7

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDRSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	77	81	83	79	72	74	79	72	81	78	79	76	88	53	87	60	78	88	23	85	36	63
Very convincing	49	52	46	53	47	50	52	52	51	49	52	47	68	27	62	30	44	67	13	56	20	25
Somewhat convincing	28	28	37	25	25	24	27	20	30	29	27	29	20	26	26	29	34	21	10	28	16	39
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	13	8	16	13	17	12	17	12	12	13	15	8	30	7	24	11	9	47	10	36	14
Not convincing	13	13	8	16	13	17	12	17	12	12	13	15	8	30	7	24	11	9	47	10	36	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	6	9	5	15	9	9	11	7	10	9	10	4	16	5	16	11	3	30	6	27	22
Don't believe	6	2	3	4	10	7	5	7	5	7	7	5	2	14	3	12	1	2	30	3	21	12
DK/NA	4	4	6	1	5	1	4	4	3	3	2	4	1	3	2	4	10	1	0	3	6	11

Table 77: Q16g. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. By investing in electric buses, improving the reliability and speed of Muni service, and making San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike, SFMTA is helping to get cars off the road and make San Francisco more sustainable

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	77	86	40	54	90	76	40	80	78	76	83	74	83	76	80	80	80	81	73	63	76
Very convincing	49	57	16	25	69	40	19	42	48	47	58	43	46	44	53	55	57	56	34	21	46
Somewhat convincing	28	29	23	29	22	37	21	38	30	29	25	30	37	32	26	26	23	26	38	43	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	9	35	22	7	12	35	8	14	15	8	18	9	15	12	12	14	8	15	19	14
Not convincing	13	9	35	22	7	12	35	8	14	15	8	18	9	15	12	12	14	8	15	19	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	5	26	24	3	11	25	11	8	10	9	9	8	8	8	8	6	11	13	18	10
Don't believe	6	3	18	10	2	5	18	11	8	6	4	7	8	7	5	5	3	9	4	5	4
DK/NA	4	2	7	14	1	6	7	0	0	4	5	2	0	1	3	2	4	2	8	13	6
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	77	85	82	66	76	79	81	72	80	71	73	82	79	75	78	78	78	80	64		
Very convincing	49	65	53	38	36	50	51	47	51	51	43	51	51	50	50	47	52	48	7		
Somewhat convincing	28	21	30	28	40	29	31	25	29	20	30	31	28	24	28	31	26	32	57		
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	12	10	21	8	14	13	14	14	18	10	11	16	16	12	12	14	8	11		
Not convincing	13	12	10	21	8	14	13	14	14	18	10	11	16	16	12	12	14	8	11		
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	3	8	13	16	7	6	14	6	10	17	7	5	9	10	9	8	12	26		
Don't believe	6	3	4	8	10	5	5	7	5	5	9	6	3	5	6	6	6	3	6		
DK/NA	4	0	4	5	6	1	2	7	1	5	9	0	3	4	4	4	3	9	19		

Table 77: Q16g. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. By investing in electric buses, improving the reliability and speed of Muni service, and making San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike, SFMTA is helping to get cars off the road and make San Francisco more sustainable

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	77	81	74	80	81	80	79	78	80	81	79	78	79	77	77	80	84	0	77
Very convincing	49	43	48	48	51	53	54	46	53	53	53	51	45	41	55	51	55	0	49
Somewhat convincing	28	38	26	32	30	26	26	32	27	28	25	27	34	36	22	29	29	0	28
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	7	21	12	18	14	12	13	14	16	13	13	13	13	21	12	10	0	13
Not convincing	13	7	21	12	18	14	12	13	14	16	13	13	13	13	21	12	10	0	13
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	13	5	8	1	6	9	9	6	3	9	9	8	10	2	8	5	0	10
Don't believe	6	5	3	6	1	4	7	5	4	2	7	4	3	8	2	6	2	0	6
DK/NA	4	8	3	2	0	2	2	4	2	2	1	5	4	3	0	2	4	0	4

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	77	78	77		81	81	78	78	64	72	80	73	67	79	76	61	82	71	79	74
Very convincing	49	51	48		54	57	50	51	31	37	54	42	33	54	44	26	55	45	54	41
Somewhat convincing	28	26	29		27	24	28	27	33	35	26	30	34	25	33	35	27	26	25	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	14	13		14	13	15	14	12	13	14	13	12	12	16	12	12	17	16	11
Not convincing	13	14	13		14	13	15	14	12	13	14	13	12	12	16	12	12	17	16	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	9	10		5	6	7	9	24	15	6	14	20	8	8	27	6	12	5	15
Don't believe	6	6	5		5	3	4	5	13	9	4	8	11	4	5	22	4	8	3	8
DK/NA	4	3	5		0	3	3	3	11	6	2	6	9	4	4	5	2	4	3	8

Table 77: Q16g. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. By investing in electric buses, improving the reliability and speed of Muni service, and making San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike, SFMTA is helping to get cars off the road and make San Francisco more sustainable

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	77	80	78	81	67	77	41	80	78	77	76	65	54	85	83	69	87	67	62	65	
Very convincing	49	60	48	47	38	40	8	56	54	50	39	33	10	68	52	42	58	34	31	29	
Somewhat convincing	28	20	31	35	29	37	33	25	25	27	37	32	44	17	31	27	30	33	31	36	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	15	10	13	21	7	18	14	11	12	19	14	9	12	9	15	10	23	24	8	
Not convincing	13	15	10	13	21	7	18	14	11	12	19	14	9	12	9	15	10	23	24	8	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	5	12	6	13	15	41	5	11	10	5	21	36	3	8	15	3	10	14	27	
Don't believe	6	3	6	5	4	8	39	3	5	7	1	15	36	3	4	6	1	9	14	19	
DK/NA	4	2	6	1	8	7	2	2	6	3	4	6	0	0	4	10	2	1	0	8	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	77	80	78	60	75	77	77	77	77	76	79	80	76	80	78	76	76	78
Very convincing	49	55	55	26	46	39	49	48	49	50	52	53	49	50	49	53	44	47
Somewhat convincing	28	25	23	33	29	38	28	29	28	26	27	27	28	29	29	23	31	31
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	11	20	9	19	9	13	13	13	13	11	11	14	11	11	15	12	15
Not convincing	13	11	20	9	19	9	13	13	13	13	11	11	14	11	11	15	12	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	9	2	31	6	14	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	11	9	12	7
Don't believe	6	5	2	25	3	5	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	7	8	3	9	5
DK/NA	4	4	0	6	3	9	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	2	3	6	4	2

Table 77: Q16g. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (SUSTAINABILITY) Strong public transit systems are one of the most important tools we have to fight climate change. By investing in electric buses, improving the reliability and speed of Muni service, and making San Francisco a safer place to walk and bike, SFMTA is helping to get cars off the road and make San Francisco more sustainable

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	77	86	73	66	74	80	72	79	79	72	80	70	73	81	76	75	89	78	80	66
Very convincing	49	53	43	37	63	52	42	50	51	40	54	37	42	47	45	41	68	62	54	39
Somewhat convincing	28	33	29	29	12	28	30	29	28	32	26	33	31	34	31	34	21	16	25	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	13	12	12	19	26	7	18	13	12	17	8	14	18	8	11	19	9	16	17	14
Not convincing	13	12	12	19	26	7	18	13	12	17	8	14	18	8	11	19	9	16	17	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	2	15	16	0	13	10	8	9	12	13	16	10	11	13	5	2	6	3	19
Don't believe	6	2	9	9	0	10	6	5	4	9	9	9	5	9	9	5	2	0	1	8
DK/NA	4	0	6	6	0	3	3	3	5	3	4	8	5	2	4	0	0	6	3	12

Table 78: Q16h. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (COMMUNITY) The pandemic has affected all San Franciscans. In this time, Muni has proven to be essential to San Francisco's quality of life and social fabric by creating community through its Slow Streets Program which increases walkability and bikeability, and accommodating restaurants and businesses' need to use curbside spaces

	QA ON CELL PHONE			MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	63	77	55	71	55	70	52	32	74	29	71	42	71	62	59	64	61	63	70	61
Very convincing	28	45	16	37	19	33	20	6	35	9	33	18	35	27	25	28	29	26	32	38
Somewhat convincing	35	32	39	34	36	37	32	26	39	19	38	25	36	35	34	36	32	37	37	23
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	15	20	16	24	17	25	24	15	39	17	34	16	19	23	19	23	21	21	23
Not convincing	20	15	20	16	24	17	25	24	15	39	17	34	16	19	23	19	23	21	21	23
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	8	25	13	21	13	22	44	11	32	12	24	13	19	18	17	15	17	10	16
Don't believe	11	2	12	5	18	8	18	40	8	21	9	17	7	11	14	11	11	12	8	9
DK/NA	6	6	12	8	4	6	5	4	3	11	3	7	5	8	4	6	4	5	1	7

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	63	61	85	63	63	65	64	67	64	59	61	67	83	37	79	39	62	79	16	71	24	49
Very convincing	28	31	27	28	33	30	28	39	24	25	29	28	45	15	39	14	18	43	6	34	5	13
Somewhat convincing	35	30	57	34	31	35	35	28	39	34	32	38	38	22	39	24	44	36	10	37	20	36
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	18	6	25	17	21	20	17	22	21	20	20	13	31	14	30	18	14	50	17	44	15
Not convincing	20	18	6	25	17	21	20	17	22	21	20	20	13	31	14	30	18	14	50	17	44	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	21	9	12	19	14	16	16	14	20	18	13	4	32	7	31	20	7	34	13	32	36
Don't believe	11	10	8	10	14	12	11	11	11	18	15	7	2	29	3	28	3	6	34	8	26	19
DK/NA	6	11	2	3	6	2	5	5	3	3	3	7	1	3	5	3	17	1	0	4	6	17

Table 78: Q16h. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (COMMUNITY) The pandemic has affected all San Franciscans. In this time, Muni has proven to be essential to San Francisco's quality of life and social fabric by creating community through its Slow Streets Program which increases walkability and bikeability, and accommodating restaurants and businesses' need to use curbside spaces

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	63	75	24	23	82	58	26	71	61	61	73	57	64	59	67	62	79	75	55	48	66
Very convincing	28	35	7	2	44	20	7	21	26	26	37	20	32	24	32	25	42	43	18	13	31
Somewhat convincing	35	39	17	21	38	37	18	50	35	35	36	37	32	35	35	37	37	32	37	36	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	14	47	30	11	19	46	10	21	21	14	23	20	22	18	22	12	15	22	22	17
Not convincing	20	14	47	30	11	19	46	10	21	21	14	23	20	22	18	22	12	15	22	22	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	11	29	47	6	24	28	19	17	18	13	19	16	18	15	16	9	9	22	30	16
Don't believe	11	8	22	26	6	13	22	19	16	12	7	14	13	14	10	12	5	7	11	11	9
DK/NA	6	4	7	22	1	10	7	0	2	6	7	5	2	4	5	4	4	2	12	18	7
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	63	77	65	56	61	65	57	66	62	71	61	68	57	59	62	75	63	86	44		
Very convincing	28	44	26	24	24	30	26	28	29	35	22	30	27	27	26	38	29	44	7		
Somewhat convincing	35	32	39	32	37	34	32	38	33	36	39	37	30	31	36	36	34	41	38		
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	15	21	21	16	22	25	14	23	15	14	21	25	20	21	14	21	5	8		
Not convincing	20	15	21	21	16	22	25	14	23	15	14	21	25	20	21	14	21	5	8		
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	9	14	23	23	13	17	20	15	14	25	11	18	21	17	12	15	9	48		
Don't believe	11	7	9	17	13	9	16	11	11	8	13	11	12	15	9	9	12	0	10		
DK/NA	6	1	5	5	10	4	2	9	3	6	12	0	6	6	7	2	3	9	38		

Table 78: Q16h. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (COMMUNITY) The pandemic has affected all San Franciscans. In this time, Muni has proven to be essential to San Francisco's quality of life and social fabric by creating community through its Slow Streets Program which increases walkability and bikeability, and accommodating restaurants and businesses' need to use curbside spaces

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	63	68	67	68	68	65	60	68	64	65	62	57	68	85	65	62	71	0	63
Very convincing	28	29	33	25	39	30	26	29	31	30	32	27	22	44	33	28	37	0	28
Somewhat convincing	35	39	35	44	29	35	34	39	33	35	30	30	46	41	32	33	34	0	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	12	24	16	23	19	26	17	23	21	24	21	19	5	21	24	20	0	20
Not convincing	20	12	24	16	23	19	26	17	23	21	24	21	19	5	21	24	20	0	20
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	21	9	16	9	16	14	15	14	14	14	22	12	10	15	14	8	0	17
Don't believe	11	9	4	12	8	13	10	8	11	11	10	13	5	7	14	10	8	0	11
DK/NA	6	12	5	3	1	3	4	7	3	3	3	9	7	3	1	5	0	0	6

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293	98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	63	69	59	77	74	61	58	48	46	71	53	48	65	62	51	73	61	70	48
Very convincing	28	32	25	50	36	24	24	8	15	37	17	11	30	28	13	38	22	36	14
Somewhat convincing	35	37	34	26	38	37	34	40	31	34	35	37	35	34	37	35	39	34	34
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	19	21	19	16	23	23	17	23	19	21	19	21	17	20	18	19	19	22
Not convincing	20	19	21	19	16	23	23	17	23	19	21	19	21	17	20	18	19	19	22
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	13	21	5	9	16	20	35	31	10	26	33	14	20	29	9	20	12	30
Don't believe	11	10	12	5	6	10	13	23	20	7	17	22	9	12	24	7	15	7	18
DK/NA	6	3	8	0	3	7	7	12	11	3	9	12	4	9	5	2	5	5	12

Table 78: Q16h. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (COMMUNITY) The pandemic has affected all San Franciscans. In this time, Muni has proven to be essential to San Francisco's quality of life and social fabric by creating community through its Slow Streets Program which increases walkability and bikeability, and accommodating restaurants and businesses' need to use curbside spaces

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	63	72	58	72	45	66	31	68	64	72	52	59	34	76	64	58	77	56	46	59	
Very convincing	28	39	20	36	13	19	6	30	30	39	18	19	0	44	27	24	36	28	13	16	
Somewhat convincing	35	32	38	35	32	47	25	38	33	34	34	39	34	32	37	34	41	28	32	43	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	22	20	15	21	13	29	19	22	15	18	25	9	17	23	16	8	25	31	15	
Not convincing	20	22	20	15	21	13	29	19	22	15	18	25	9	17	23	16	8	25	31	15	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	7	22	13	34	21	40	12	14	13	30	16	57	7	13	26	15	19	24	26	
Don't believe	11	5	14	8	19	13	38	10	8	10	15	10	57	6	8	17	13	14	24	18	
DK/NA	6	1	8	5	15	7	2	3	6	3	15	6	0	2	5	8	2	5	0	8	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	63	64	74	51	62	62	63	61	59	59	60	58	64	59	60	66	65	61
Very convincing	28	30	34	11	29	26	29	27	26	26	23	28	30	25	31	34	24	24
Somewhat convincing	35	34	40	40	33	36	34	34	34	33	34	34	36	29	35	35	32	38
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	21	18	18	17	21	20	19	21	21	20	21	19	22	24	17	19	19
Not convincing	20	21	18	18	17	21	20	19	21	21	20	21	19	22	24	17	19	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	15	8	30	20	17	17	20	20	20	21	21	16	19	16	17	15	20
Don't believe	11	10	8	25	11	10	12	14	14	15	15	17	11	13	9	9	12	15
DK/NA	6	5	0	6	9	7	6	6	5	5	6	5	6	6	6	8	3	4

Table 78: Q16h. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (COMMUNITY) The pandemic has affected all San Franciscans. In this time, Muni has proven to be essential to San Francisco's quality of life and social fabric by creating community through its Slow Streets Program which increases walkability and bikeability, and accommodating restaurants and businesses' need to use curbside spaces

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	63	55	66	59	65	60	78	62	62	75	61	56	56	64	66	60	63	64	73	57
Very convincing	28	20	25	28	39	32	41	19	31	38	32	22	21	23	25	18	34	38	32	27
Somewhat convincing	35	36	41	31	25	28	38	43	32	38	29	34	35	40	41	42	29	27	41	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	20	24	20	16	22	20	10	18	23	11	21	31	20	26	17	21	19	21	15	15
Not convincing	20	24	20	16	22	20	10	18	23	11	21	31	20	26	17	21	19	21	15	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	17	21	15	26	13	20	12	20	15	13	18	13	24	11	17	19	18	15	11	28
Don't believe	11	16	7	11	13	13	10	16	9	12	11	6	18	9	11	12	15	8	6	13
DK/NA	6	5	7	15	0	7	1	4	6	1	8	7	6	2	6	7	3	6	5	15

Table 79: Q16i. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	83	77	81	76	84	69	58	89	40	86	59	82	78	77	81	74	80	84	75
Very convincing	49	53	34	48	49	50	48	40	67	24	57	30	54	44	48	51	43	50	53	42
Somewhat convincing	30	30	44	33	27	34	21	17	22	16	30	29	29	34	29	30	30	30	31	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	11	11	6	10	12	9	14	19	8	29	8	20	11	12	10	10	14	12	8	11
Not convincing	11	11	6	10	12	9	14	19	8	29	8	20	11	12	10	10	14	12	8	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	6	17	9	12	7	17	23	3	30	5	21	7	9	12	10	12	8	8	14
Don't believe	5	4	5	4	7	3	11	19	2	18	3	13	3	4	8	5	6	6	6	7
DK/NA	5	2	12	5	5	4	6	4	1	12	2	8	4	5	5	4	6	3	2	7

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	79	75	84	75	84	78	85	77	75	79	79	87	59	88	62	87	92	30	86	38	69
Very convincing	49	41	48	55	51	46	52	57	47	50	51	46	61	32	58	34	50	64	15	54	15	42
Somewhat convincing	30	38	27	28	24	38	26	28	30	26	27	33	26	26	30	28	37	28	16	32	23	27
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	11	11	12	12	12	7	12	7	13	15	12	10	8	19	7	20	2	6	36	9	27	6
Not convincing	11	11	12	12	12	7	12	7	13	15	12	10	8	19	7	20	2	6	36	9	27	6
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	11	13	5	14	8	10	8	10	10	9	11	4	22	6	18	11	2	34	5	35	25
Don't believe	5	5	8	4	5	6	5	4	7	7	6	5	3	17	2	13	1	2	33	2	28	5
DK/NA	5	6	5	1	9	2	4	4	3	3	3	6	2	5	4	5	9	0	1	3	7	20

Table 79: Q16i. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	88	40	58	92	78	44	91	76	78	84	72	79	74	83	85	78	84	74	70	77
Very convincing	49	55	20	33	67	41	20	48	50	46	58	36	47	39	55	53	56	54	34	29	46
Somewhat convincing	30	33	20	25	26	37	24	44	26	31	27	36	32	35	29	32	22	30	40	41	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	11	8	26	15	5	12	25	3	19	12	6	14	13	14	10	8	11	9	13	10	11
Not convincing	11	8	26	15	5	12	25	3	19	12	6	14	13	14	10	8	11	9	13	10	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	4	34	27	2	11	31	6	5	10	10	14	7	12	7	7	12	7	14	20	12
Don't believe	5	2	26	6	2	3	24	0	3	6	2	10	7	9	3	5	6	5	5	6	5
DK/NA	5	2	8	21	0	8	7	6	1	4	8	4	0	3	4	2	6	2	9	14	7

	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	91	84	65	61	81	79	77	80	68	84	76	85	84	77	79	80	77	71
Very convincing	49	69	49	33	23	54	49	43	52	40	46	44	61	55	47	46	51	53	7
Somewhat convincing	30	22	35	31	38	26	30	34	28	28	39	32	24	29	30	33	28	24	64
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	11	6	9	21	12	12	12	9	12	18	1	14	10	8	12	11	11	8	4
Not convincing	11	6	9	21	12	12	12	9	12	18	1	14	10	8	12	11	11	8	4
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	3	7	14	27	7	9	14	8	13	14	10	5	8	11	10	9	15	25
Don't believe	5	2	3	7	20	5	7	5	6	7	3	9	3	3	7	5	6	6	4
DK/NA	5	1	4	7	7	2	2	9	2	6	11	1	3	6	4	6	3	9	21

Table 79: Q16i. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	84	82	80	80	78	78	82	78	80	77	83	81	82	86	75	79	0	79
Very convincing	49	48	47	43	52	59	49	46	54	57	50	50	42	46	61	50	56	0	49
Somewhat convincing	30	36	35	37	28	19	29	36	25	23	26	33	39	36	25	25	23	0	30
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	11	4	9	10	12	14	12	8	13	13	13	7	9	6	10	15	12	0	11
Not convincing	11	4	9	10	12	14	12	8	13	13	13	7	9	6	10	15	12	0	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	12	9	10	8	8	10	10	9	7	11	10	10	11	5	10	10	0	10
Don't believe	5	3	6	3	7	4	9	4	6	4	10	2	6	5	3	8	6	0	5
DK/NA	5	9	3	7	1	4	2	6	2	3	1	8	4	7	2	2	4	0	5

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293	98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	73	85	92	76	78	78	71	77	81	76	74	84	74	56	74	71	90	79
Very convincing	49	43	54	64	47	54	47	34	36	54	41	35	56	42	12	46	38	64	44
Somewhat convincing	30	30	31	28	28	23	31	37	42	27	35	39	28	32	44	28	33	26	35
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	11	16	6	3	14	16	11	12	6	11	10	9	7	14	26	16	15	6	6
Not convincing	11	16	6	3	14	16	11	12	6	11	10	9	7	14	26	16	15	6	6
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	11	9	4	10	7	11	17	17	7	14	17	9	12	18	10	13	4	14
Don't believe	5	8	3	4	5	5	6	8	6	5	7	7	5	6	10	8	9	1	5
DK/NA	5	3	6	0	6	2	5	9	11	3	7	10	4	5	8	3	5	3	9

Table 79: Q16i. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	86	82	77	68	64	46	80	88	67	82	54	62	93	84	68	86	60	65	54	
Very convincing	49	64	47	46	34	14	11	49	62	41	43	17	3	75	50	36	48	31	25	8	
Somewhat convincing	30	22	35	31	34	50	36	31	25	26	39	37	59	18	34	32	38	28	40	46	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	11	7	8	15	12	29	23	11	5	19	8	32	14	3	9	13	12	24	20	23	
Not convincing	11	7	8	15	12	29	23	11	5	19	8	32	14	3	9	13	12	24	20	23	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	7	10	8	20	7	31	9	8	15	9	14	24	4	7	19	2	16	14	23	
Don't believe	5	5	4	5	8	0	21	7	2	10	3	8	12	3	4	8	0	13	4	15	
DK/NA	5	3	6	2	12	7	9	2	6	5	6	6	11	1	3	11	2	3	10	8	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	84	87	53	75	77	78	78	79	78	79	78	78	83	83	76	76	80
Very convincing	49	56	57	13	44	43	48	48	50	49	52	53	49	48	54	48	44	47
Somewhat convincing	30	28	31	40	31	34	30	30	28	29	27	26	29	35	30	28	32	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	11	7	9	28	15	8	11	11	11	11	11	12	11	10	10	10	14	11
Not convincing	11	7	9	28	15	8	11	11	11	11	11	12	11	10	10	10	14	11
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	9	4	19	10	15	11	11	10	11	10	9	11	7	6	14	10	9
Don't believe	5	5	4	9	6	6	6	5	5	6	5	5	6	3	4	6	4	7
DK/NA	5	4	0	10	4	9	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	4	2	8	6	2

Table 79: Q16i. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (EQUITY - TRANSIT) San Francisco cannot be an equitable and just city if we don't fund transit. Seniors, people with disabilities, people of color and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	79	87	81	74	94	77	78	74	78	76	78	81	77	82	80	77	90	77	77	70
Very convincing	49	51	48	43	64	43	49	41	52	45	46	46	50	57	47	37	59	53	51	37
Somewhat convincing	30	36	33	31	30	34	29	32	26	30	32	35	27	25	32	40	31	24	26	33
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	11	8	11	9	6	13	14	16	9	17	11	15	9	9	9	17	6	10	11	7
Not convincing	11	8	11	9	6	13	14	16	9	17	11	15	9	9	9	17	6	10	11	7
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	5	9	17	0	9	8	10	13	8	11	4	14	9	11	6	4	13	12	23
Don't believe	5	5	3	2	0	6	2	8	7	2	7	1	10	5	4	6	4	7	6	7
DK/NA	5	0	5	15	0	3	6	2	6	5	4	3	4	4	6	0	0	6	5	16

Table 80: Q16j. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable fast service that is reliable. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability of the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and S-F State/Parkmerced

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI			
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MINTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	80	85	73	82	79	83	78	73	91	42	89	55	85	83	77	82	76	81	88	78
Very convincing	35	35	29	33	36	37	32	21	50	11	40	15	39	36	31	34	35	33	46	31
Somewhat convincing	46	50	44	48	43	46	46	52	42	31	48	40	45	47	46	48	40	48	42	47
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	10	8	13	9	10	8	10	10	4	23	7	20	8	8	12	9	11	11	6	8
Not convincing	10	8	13	9	10	8	10	10	4	23	7	20	8	8	12	9	11	11	6	8
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	7	15	9	11	9	11	17	5	35	5	25	7	9	12	9	13	8	6	14
Don't believe	5	4	3	4	7	4	8	13	2	24	3	17	3	3	8	5	7	5	6	7
DK/NA	5	3	12	5	4	5	4	4	2	11	2	8	4	6	4	4	6	3	0	7

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	80	84	83	83	79	85	80	78	82	84	81	81	86	66	87	70	82	91	34	88	43	66
Very convincing	35	33	28	39	42	35	36	35	35	32	36	34	38	30	38	30	32	50	10	41	9	14
Somewhat convincing	46	51	56	44	37	50	44	43	47	52	45	46	48	36	49	40	50	41	24	47	34	53
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	10	11	8	11	6	7	10	13	9	6	9	11	8	18	7	16	4	6	27	8	23	10
Not convincing	10	11	8	11	6	7	10	13	9	6	9	11	8	18	7	16	4	6	27	8	23	10
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	6	9	6	15	8	10	10	9	10	11	9	6	16	6	14	15	3	39	5	34	24
Don't believe	5	2	5	4	7	6	5	7	5	6	7	4	4	14	3	10	0	1	36	2	27	9
DK/NA	5	3	4	2	7	2	5	3	4	4	4	5	2	3	3	3	15	2	3	3	7	15

Table 80: Q16j. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable fast service that is reliable. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability of the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and S-F State/Parkmerced

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT									Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY				
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	TARGETS				DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
					CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER													
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	80	90	42	57	92	82	42	85	89	82	75	77	81	78	84	81	87	91	75	67	82
Very convincing	35	42	9	11	54	24	9	20	37	34	38	34	29	33	36	35	45	46	22	15	35
Somewhat convincing	46	48	32	46	38	58	34	65	51	48	37	43	52	46	48	46	43	45	52	53	47
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	10	6	23	20	5	9	24	9	7	9	13	11	10	11	9	11	8	4	11	15	9
Not convincing	10	6	23	20	5	9	24	9	7	9	13	11	10	11	9	11	8	4	11	15	9
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	4	36	23	3	9	34	6	5	9	12	12	9	11	6	8	5	5	14	18	9
Don't believe	5	1	27	7	1	2	26	3	4	5	4	9	6	8	3	4	1	3	6	5	4
DK/NA	5	3	9	16	1	7	9	3	1	4	8	3	4	3	3	4	4	2	8	12	5
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	80	86	84	71	83	83	82	77	83	76	77	79	87	81	82	77	81	88	63		
Very convincing	35	41	37	33	31	39	32	32	36	39	27	35	37	32	35	40	37	30	8		
Somewhat convincing	46	45	47	38	52	44	50	45	47	38	50	43	50	48	48	38	45	58	55		
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	10	10	8	13	10	10	10	9	10	11	8	13	8	11	8	12	10	0	18		
Not convincing	10	10	8	13	10	10	10	9	10	11	8	13	8	11	8	12	10	0	18		
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	4	8	16	7	7	8	14	7	13	15	9	5	8	10	11	9	12	19		
Don't believe	5	3	3	9	6	4	5	6	5	5	6	6	3	4	5	7	6	3	0		
DK/NA	5	1	5	6	1	2	3	8	2	7	9	3	2	4	5	4	3	9	19		

Table 80: Q16j. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable fast service that is reliable. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability of the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and S-F State/Parkmerced

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
	Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	80	84	75	95	78	79	84	85	80	81	81	89	83	84	80	74	0	80		
Very convincing	35	34	38	46	28	41	35	39	36	37	35	39	37	42	30	37	44	0	35	
Somewhat convincing	46	50	37	49	49	38	49	46	44	43	46	42	52	42	54	44	31	0	46	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	10	1	14	4	14	10	10	6	11	11	11	8	5	7	14	9	15	0	10	
Not convincing	10	1	14	4	14	10	10	6	11	11	11	8	5	7	14	9	15	0	10	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	15	10	1	8	11	6	9	9	9	8	11	6	10	2	11	11	0	10	
Don't believe	5	6	6	1	6	5	3	4	5	5	5	6	2	7	1	6	7	0	5	
DK/NA	5	9	4	0	2	6	3	4	4	5	3	5	4	3	1	5	4	0	5	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	575	270	293	98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	80	78	83	92	82	86	79	65	69	86	73	67	84	78	63	82	72	91	74	
Very convincing	35	37	33	43	35	28	41	27	27	35	34	27	38	31	17	36	37	34	32	
Somewhat convincing	46	42	49	49	48	58	38	39	42	51	39	40	46	47	46	46	35	56	42	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	10	12	8	4	11	4	13	12	17	7	13	14	8	11	16	10	14	3	13	
Not convincing	10	12	8	4	11	4	13	12	17	7	13	14	8	11	16	10	14	3	13	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	10	10	4	7	10	8	23	14	7	14	19	8	11	21	7	14	6	13	
Don't believe	5	6	5	3	4	8	4	10	4	5	6	7	3	6	17	5	7	4	5	
DK/NA	5	4	5	1	3	2	4	13	10	2	8	12	4	5	4	3	7	2	8	

Table 80: Q16j. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable fast service that is reliable. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability of the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and S-F State/Parkmerced

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	80	88	78	86	64	69	55	84	84	74	82	62	67	87	84	80	83	74	63	68
Very convincing	35	39	37	34	27	11	25	41	36	35	29	19	15	45	39	31	27	40	26	16
Somewhat convincing	46	50	41	52	37	59	30	43	47	39	53	43	52	42	45	49	55	33	37	52
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	10	6	11	6	19	17	15	10	7	13	9	20	9	10	8	7	10	14	19	19
Not convincing	10	6	11	6	19	17	15	10	7	13	9	20	9	10	8	7	10	14	19	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	6	11	8	17	13	30	7	9	13	9	18	24	3	8	13	8	13	18	13
Don't believe	5	3	4	6	6	13	21	3	3	8	4	13	24	2	3	4	3	10	13	10
DK/NA	5	3	7	2	11	0	9	3	5	6	5	5	0	1	5	8	5	2	5	3

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	80	83	88	69	77	78	80	78	80	79	81	82	80	81	83	82	69	85
Very convincing	35	37	47	17	32	30	34	33	33	33	33	34	34	38	27	36	28	46
Somewhat convincing	46	46	41	52	45	48	46	45	47	46	48	48	47	43	56	46	40	39
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	10	8	8	11	13	10	10	11	10	10	9	10	10	11	6	9	16	10
Not convincing	10	8	8	11	13	10	10	11	10	10	9	10	10	11	6	9	16	10
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	8	3	20	10	12	10	11	11	11	9	8	10	9	11	9	15	5
Don't believe	5	4	2	16	6	5	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	6	6	4	8	3
DK/NA	5	4	2	5	4	8	5	6	6	7	7	6	5	3	5	5	7	2

Table 80: Q16j. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (MODERN RAIL) Additional funding will help Muni Metro become a modern rail system that can better serve our growing communities and provide predictable fast service that is reliable. It will update Muni Metro controls and infrastructure, improve reliability of the T Third, provide longer trains on the N Judah, and provide higher quality service on the street level M Ocean View between West Portal and S-F State/Parkmerced

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	80	82	79	78	85	73	66	81	86	64	75	75	72	84	79	91	91	89	84	77
Very convincing	35	19	36	23	27	29	29	43	39	28	29	31	41	23	37	48	29	41	49	26
Somewhat convincing	46	63	43	55	57	44	37	38	47	36	46	43	32	61	42	43	62	48	35	51
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	10	9	8	4	15	10	22	13	6	21	10	8	17	9	8	7	6	5	11	6
Not convincing	10	9	8	4	15	10	22	13	6	21	10	8	17	9	8	7	6	5	11	6
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	10	9	13	18	0	17	12	7	8	15	14	17	10	8	13	2	3	6	5	18
Don't believe	5	6	5	10	0	9	7	5	4	9	7	9	6	4	5	2	3	0	2	11
DK/NA	5	3	8	8	0	8	4	2	4	6	7	8	4	4	8	0	0	6	3	7

Table 81: Q16k. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FACILITIES) Many Muni bus yards were built decades ago, are too small to accommodate our fleet and do not meet current seismic safety standards, slowing down bus repairs. Additional funds will help support reliable Muni service, modern maintenance and cleaning, technology for electric buses, and enable frontline staff to safely and efficiently do their jobs

	QA ON CELL PHONE			MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	75	77	75	77	73	78	69	67	80	51	82	56	74	80	73	77	69	78	68	73
Very convincing	32	40	31	38	26	33	28	20	42	14	37	17	37	29	30	33	28	29	38	48
Somewhat convincing	43	37	44	39	47	46	41	46	38	37	45	40	36	51	43	44	41	49	30	25
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	16	11	14	18	15	21	17	16	22	14	26	18	13	16	15	20	15	26	13
Not convincing	16	16	11	14	18	15	21	17	16	22	14	26	18	13	16	15	20	15	26	13
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	7	15	9	9	7	11	17	4	27	5	17	8	7	11	8	11	7	6	14
Don't believe	4	3	2	3	5	3	5	14	1	15	2	9	4	1	5	4	4	3	4	6
DK/NA	6	4	13	6	5	4	5	3	3	12	3	8	4	5	6	5	8	4	1	8

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	75	73	82	75	83	80	73	73	78	67	71	81	80	66	80	68	70	84	30	81	43	65
Very convincing	32	27	32	29	51	38	30	40	29	27	30	36	39	28	36	25	32	47	5	37	9	17
Somewhat convincing	43	45	49	46	32	42	43	33	49	40	41	45	41	39	44	43	38	38	24	43	34	49
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	18	10	20	7	15	18	19	16	25	21	11	17	23	14	20	12	13	43	13	33	16
Not convincing	16	18	10	20	7	15	18	19	16	25	21	11	17	23	14	20	12	13	43	13	33	16
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	9	8	5	10	5	9	8	7	8	8	8	3	10	6	12	18	3	28	6	23	19
Don't believe	4	4	6	1	3	4	3	5	3	5	5	3	2	7	2	7	2	1	26	2	19	3
DK/NA	6	5	2	3	7	1	6	3	4	2	4	6	1	4	4	5	16	2	2	4	5	16

Table 81: Q16k. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FACILITIES) Many Muni bus yards were built decades ago, are too small to accommodate our fleet and do not meet current seismic safety standards, slowing down bus repairs. Additional funds will help support reliable Muni service, modern maintenance and cleaning, technology for electric buses, and enable frontline staff to safely and efficiently do their jobs

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT									Q19 ACCESS TO CAR				Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR		
																						TARGETS	TARGETS
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282		
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	75	83	38	56	87	74	40	88	74	75	76	72	76	73	78	74	84	82	71	63	77		
Very convincing	32	39	5	9	48	24	9	42	26	30	42	31	27	30	34	27	50	49	21	16	38		
Somewhat convincing	43	44	33	47	39	50	31	46	48	45	34	41	49	43	44	47	33	33	49	46	39		
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	12	40	12	12	12	38	9	21	16	15	19	18	19	14	19	12	13	12	14	13		
Not convincing	16	12	40	12	12	12	38	9	21	16	15	19	18	19	14	19	12	13	12	14	13		
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	4	22	32	1	13	21	3	4	9	8	9	6	8	8	7	5	5	17	23	11		
Don't believe	4	1	15	10	0	3	15	3	1	4	2	4	3	4	3	3	0	3	5	7	3		
DK/NA	6	3	7	22	0	10	7	0	3	5	6	5	3	4	5	3	5	2	12	17	7		
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE						
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE				
																				TARGETS	TARGETS	TARGETS	TARGETS
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35				
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	75	82	74	70	84	72	73	79	73	80	78	68	77	79	71	82	75	83	62				
Very convincing	32	39	30	31	33	30	26	38	29	34	42	26	31	32	29	42	33	48	4				
Somewhat convincing	43	43	44	39	51	42	47	41	44	46	36	41	47	47	42	40	42	35	58				
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	15	20	17	10	20	20	9	20	10	8	25	16	13	20	8	17	8	4				
Not convincing	16	15	20	17	10	20	20	9	20	10	8	25	16	13	20	8	17	8	4				
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	3	7	13	7	7	7	13	7	11	14	8	7	8	10	10	8	9	34				
Don't believe	4	2	2	6	4	5	3	3	4	4	2	5	3	3	3	5	4	0	6				
DK/NA	6	1	5	6	3	3	4	10	3	7	12	3	4	5	6	4	4	9	28				

Table 81: Q16k. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FACILITIES) Many Muni bus yards were built decades ago, are too small to accommodate our fleet and do not meet current seismic safety standards, slowing down bus repairs. Additional funds will help support reliable Muni service, modern maintenance and cleaning, technology for electric buses, and enable frontline staff to safely and efficiently do their jobs

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	75	73	81	86	72	75	76	80	74	73	76	84	76	83	79	69	86	0	75
Very convincing	32	36	45	36	29	36	21	39	29	32	26	40	33	51	26	28	37	0	32
Somewhat convincing	43	37	36	50	43	39	54	41	45	42	49	44	43	32	52	41	50	0	43
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	10	10	13	23	17	19	11	19	20	19	9	15	6	18	23	5	0	16
Not convincing	16	10	10	13	23	17	19	11	19	20	19	9	15	6	18	23	5	0	16
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	17	9	1	6	8	5	9	7	7	6	7	9	12	4	7	8	0	9
Don't believe	4	6	5	0	6	2	2	4	3	3	3	1	3	8	2	3	5	0	4
DK/NA	6	11	4	1	0	6	3	5	4	5	3	6	6	4	2	5	3	0	6

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	575	270	293		98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	75	72	77		85	73	80	69	68	77	78	70	72	76	72	76	74	68	83	72
Very convincing	32	29	35		53	32	28	25	23	28	37	25	25	34	31	18	34	21	42	28
Somewhat convincing	43	43	42		32	41	52	44	45	49	41	45	47	42	41	58	40	48	41	43
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	20	13		13	22	11	18	14	13	16	16	13	16	15	16	20	18	12	14
Not convincing	16	20	13		13	22	11	18	14	13	16	16	13	16	15	16	20	18	12	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	8	10		2	5	9	14	18	9	5	14	15	8	13	7	6	13	5	15
Don't believe	4	4	3		2	2	4	5	6	0	3	5	4	3	5	6	3	6	2	4
DK/NA	6	4	7		0	3	5	8	12	9	3	10	11	5	8	1	2	7	3	11

Table 81: Q16k. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FACILITIES) Many Muni bus yards were built decades ago, are too small to accommodate our fleet and do not meet current seismic safety standards, slowing down bus repairs. Additional funds will help support reliable Muni service, modern maintenance and cleaning, technology for electric buses, and enable frontline staff to safely and efficiently do their jobs

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	75	79	73	77	63	81	71	74	78	70	74	71	92	84	73	79	77	67	72	80
Very convincing	32	40	28	37	19	16	21	31	37	30	33	17	22	45	29	36	26	33	29	15
Somewhat convincing	43	39	45	40	45	65	50	43	41	40	40	54	70	39	44	43	51	34	42	64
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	17	15	14	17	19	13	19	14	19	11	24	0	13	20	9	19	20	26	15
Not convincing	16	17	15	14	17	19	13	19	14	19	11	24	0	13	20	9	19	20	26	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	4	12	9	20	0	16	7	8	11	15	5	8	3	7	13	4	12	3	5
Don't believe	4	2	4	5	5	0	14	3	2	6	3	5	8	2	3	4	2	7	3	5
DK/NA	6	2	8	4	15	0	2	4	5	5	12	0	0	1	5	8	3	5	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	75	75	78	77	73	74	74	73	73	72	74	74	75	73	75	75	71	79
Very convincing	32	34	41	21	32	24	31	28	27	26	27	26	32	31	27	36	29	35
Somewhat convincing	43	41	37	56	41	51	43	45	46	45	47	48	43	42	48	39	42	44
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	17	18	15	15	14	17	17	18	19	18	18	15	19	17	15	18	15
Not convincing	16	17	18	15	15	14	17	17	18	19	18	18	15	19	17	15	18	15
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	8	4	8	12	12	9	9	9	9	9	8	10	8	8	11	11	6
Don't believe	4	3	1	7	4	4	4	3	3	3	2	2	4	4	3	3	5	4
DK/NA	6	5	3	1	8	8	5	6	6	6	7	6	6	4	5	8	6	2

Table 81: Q16k. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (FACILITIES) Many Muni bus yards were built decades ago, are too small to accommodate our fleet and do not meet current seismic safety standards, slowing down bus repairs. Additional funds will help support reliable Muni service, modern maintenance and cleaning, technology for electric buses, and enable frontline staff to safely and efficiently do their jobs

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	75	84	75	79	77	66	78	75	73	74	69	68	71	80	81	85	74	82	76	60
Very convincing	32	22	40	33	36	24	38	31	31	35	25	30	30	26	44	37	21	45	32	30
Somewhat convincing	43	63	35	46	41	42	40	44	42	39	44	38	41	54	36	47	54	38	44	31
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	11	16	11	23	15	16	15	17	16	17	23	15	15	12	14	20	10	15	19
Not convincing	16	11	16	11	23	15	16	15	17	16	17	23	15	15	12	14	20	10	15	19
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	9	5	9	10	0	19	6	9	10	10	14	9	13	5	8	2	6	7	8	20
Don't believe	4	5	1	2	0	10	1	5	4	3	7	2	8	3	2	0	4	0	3	7
DK/NA	6	0	7	8	0	9	5	4	7	7	7	7	5	2	6	2	1	7	5	13

Table 82: Q16I. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	575	216	81	297	278	354	180	47	230	65	419	108	173	141	259	429	144	395	84	65
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	77	55	71	71	76	63	51	79	32	80	48	71	74	70	72	68	72	77	68
Very convincing	31	31	25	29	34	32	29	21	40	14	37	15	40	31	26	33	26	29	45	29
Somewhat convincing	40	47	30	42	37	44	34	30	38	19	43	34	31	43	44	39	41	42	33	39
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	14	18	15	16	11	23	30	12	34	12	27	14	15	17	15	19	17	13	14
Not convincing	16	14	18	15	16	11	23	30	12	34	12	27	14	15	17	15	19	17	13	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	9	27	14	13	13	14	19	10	34	8	25	16	11	13	13	13	11	9	18
Don't believe	6	4	11	6	7	6	7	12	4	21	3	16	7	3	7	6	8	5	7	11
DK/NA	7	5	16	8	6	7	7	7	6	13	5	9	9	8	5	8	5	6	3	7

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	575	96	68	257	86	144	374	160	351	149	301	262	197	135	312	194	70	290	44	454	67	54
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	84	73	71	71	73	71	71	72	73	72	72	80	55	78	58	76	88	19	80	23	53
Very convincing	31	35	14	33	45	32	32	32	31	31	30	33	37	30	34	26	36	49	5	37	7	15
Somewhat convincing	40	49	59	39	26	41	39	39	41	43	42	38	43	26	44	33	40	39	14	43	16	38
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	6	14	19	14	15	16	16	17	17	17	15	14	26	12	25	4	9	42	12	40	13
Not convincing	16	6	14	19	14	15	16	16	17	17	17	15	14	26	12	25	4	9	42	12	40	13
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	10	13	10	15	12	13	13	11	9	11	14	6	18	10	17	20	3	39	8	37	34
Don't believe	6	3	8	4	8	6	7	7	6	6	5	8	4	14	3	12	4	0	39	2	29	13
DK/NA	7	7	5	5	7	6	7	5	5	4	6	6	2	4	6	5	16	3	0	6	8	21

Table 82: Q16I. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	575	448	79	48	245	244	85	25	107	458	114	140	60	201	334	238	100	47	133	86	282
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	82	25	44	91	67	24	61	74	70	75	68	72	69	74	77	72	82	57	50	68
Very convincing	31	38	8	9	53	17	7	21	35	30	37	25	29	26	35	31	47	34	15	12	31
Somewhat convincing	40	44	18	35	37	50	17	40	39	40	37	44	43	43	39	46	25	48	42	38	37
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	11	39	24	7	16	39	10	20	17	11	17	17	17	15	11	16	9	24	25	17
Not convincing	16	11	39	24	7	16	39	10	20	17	11	17	17	17	15	11	16	9	24	25	17
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	8	36	31	3	16	37	29	6	13	15	14	11	13	12	12	13	9	19	25	15
Don't believe	6	2	28	10	0	5	28	9	1	6	6	9	9	9	4	5	7	7	7	9	7
DK/NA	7	6	8	21	3	11	9	20	5	7	9	5	2	4	8	7	6	2	12	16	8
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	575	131	179	126	43	213	132	218	345	97	120	169	169	158	295	108	511	29	35		
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	78	78	66	67	73	77	65	74	69	62	74	76	71	71	72	72	85	49		
Very convincing	31	38	33	28	21	29	32	32	30	35	31	31	30	32	31	31	32	47	9		
Somewhat convincing	40	40	45	38	46	43	45	33	44	35	32	43	45	39	40	41	40	39	40		
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	16	12	21	16	18	14	14	17	18	11	18	15	15	15	19	16	6	17		
Not convincing	16	16	12	21	16	18	14	14	17	18	11	18	15	15	15	19	16	6	17		
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	6	10	14	17	9	9	20	9	12	27	8	9	15	14	10	12	9	34		
Don't believe	6	3	5	8	10	5	5	8	5	6	10	7	3	6	6	5	7	0	6		
DK/NA	7	3	5	6	7	4	4	12	4	7	17	2	6	9	8	4	6	9	27		

Table 82: Q16I. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	575	72	68	70	62	108	89	210	258	142	117	73	89	48	68	151	40	0	575
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	67	67	80	79	75	76	71	76	79	73	72	69	72	76	76	79	0	71
Very convincing	31	37	34	30	33	36	23	34	31	34	26	36	29	37	27	33	29	0	31
Somewhat convincing	40	30	32	50	46	40	52	37	46	45	47	36	41	34	49	43	50	0	40
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	14	18	10	14	14	15	14	15	12	19	18	14	11	11	15	20	0	16
Not convincing	16	14	18	10	14	14	15	14	15	12	19	18	14	11	11	15	20	0	16
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	19	15	10	7	10	9	15	9	10	8	10	17	18	13	9	1	0	13
Don't believe	6	4	11	5	3	6	8	7	6	5	7	2	9	10	7	6	1	0	6
DK/NA	7	15	4	5	4	4	1	8	3	5	1	8	9	7	6	3	0	0	7

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	575	270	293	98	138	92	127	74	47	328	247	121	356	178	40	171	99	151	142
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	72	71	78	73	70	75	52	71	74	67	59	76	65	55	75	68	74	67
Very convincing	31	32	31	42	30	27	30	26	34	33	29	29	34	29	21	32	32	33	28
Somewhat convincing	40	40	40	37	43	42	46	26	37	41	38	30	42	36	34	42	36	40	39
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	18	13	13	16	20	12	24	10	16	15	18	13	17	30	18	16	13	14
Not convincing	16	18	13	13	16	20	12	24	10	16	15	18	13	17	30	18	16	13	14
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	10	16	9	11	10	13	25	20	10	18	23	11	18	15	7	16	13	19
Don't believe	6	6	6	6	6	2	7	12	6	5	8	9	5	8	10	4	10	4	7
DK/NA	7	4	10	3	5	9	6	13	14	6	9	13	6	10	5	3	6	9	12

Table 82: Q16I. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	575	190	166	115	63	22	18	154	198	88	83	27	12	103	147	71	57	68	13	22	
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	76	75	73	50	57	53	78	74	68	63	54	58	75	80	77	81	59	66	51	
Very convincing	31	34	33	33	21	19	24	37	31	29	29	16	33	36	32	32	40	22	34	18	
Somewhat convincing	40	42	42	40	29	38	28	41	43	39	33	38	25	39	48	45	41	37	32	33	
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	16	10	13	25	36	23	15	12	18	14	33	25	17	12	8	9	28	27	31	
Not convincing	16	16	10	13	25	36	23	15	12	18	14	33	25	17	12	8	9	28	27	31	
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	8	14	15	25	7	24	7	13	14	23	13	16	7	8	15	10	13	7	18	
Don't believe	6	3	7	8	9	0	23	5	4	8	7	7	16	4	4	6	5	10	7	10	
DK/NA	7	5	8	7	16	7	2	2	9	6	16	6	0	3	4	9	4	3	0	8	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	575	284	41	35	145	70	548	412	362	314	254	161	457	118	149	192	107	128
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	74	82	54	64	73	71	71	71	71	72	77	70	74	72	68	70	75
Very convincing	31	33	43	15	28	32	30	29	28	28	28	30	31	32	30	31	27	35
Somewhat convincing	40	41	40	39	36	40	41	42	43	43	44	48	39	41	41	37	42	40
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	14	11	29	17	14	16	13	14	15	15	13	17	12	12	13	22	18
Not convincing	16	14	11	29	17	14	16	13	14	15	15	13	17	12	12	13	22	18
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	12	6	17	18	13	13	15	15	15	13	9	13	15	16	18	9	7
Don't believe	6	5	3	12	8	5	7	7	7	8	6	4	6	8	8	8	3	4
DK/NA	7	6	3	6	10	8	7	8	8	7	7	5	7	7	8	10	5	3

Table 82: Q16I. I'm going to read some statements made by people who SUPPORT a funding proposal. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to support a funding proposal: (BUDGET) Over the last twenty years the demands on San Francisco's transportation system have increased while revenues haven't kept up. This proposal would create a stable source of funding for San Francisco's transportation needs, reduce the ongoing budget shortfall and put SFMTA on the path to firmer financial footing for the future

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	575	38	82	38	35	52	48	96	186	52	58	40	52	57	52	52	63	52	52	46
<u>VERY/SMWT CONVINCING</u>	71	69	71	62	79	63	71	73	73	68	66	67	70	75	70	77	80	71	76	54
Very convincing	31	16	36	33	19	30	28	28	37	28	31	26	21	32	39	38	29	34	40	26
Somewhat convincing	40	53	35	29	60	33	43	45	36	41	35	41	50	43	31	40	51	37	37	28
<u>NOT CONVINCING</u>	16	9	15	17	9	24	21	18	13	22	24	15	15	11	18	20	9	12	7	20
Not convincing	16	9	15	17	9	24	21	18	13	22	24	15	15	11	18	20	9	12	7	20
<u>DON'T BELIEVE/DK/NA</u>	13	21	14	21	12	13	8	9	15	10	10	19	15	13	12	3	10	17	17	26
Don't believe	6	8	9	14	2	6	1	5	6	4	3	13	8	6	8	2	5	5	7	12
DK/NA	7	13	5	6	10	7	7	4	9	6	7	6	6	8	4	1	6	13	10	14

Table 83: Q17. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential funding proposal that could appear on a San Francisco ballot for Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure. Do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
TOTAL SUPPORT	77	87	72	83	71	84	66	48	90	32	87	46	83	80	72	80	70	77	82	77
Strongly support	50	52	36	48	53	56	41	31	70	10	60	18	54	53	47	54	39	52	55	39
Somewhat support	27	34	35	35	19	28	25	16	20	22	27	28	29	27	25	26	30	25	27	38
TOTAL OPPOSE	15	11	21	14	16	10	24	41	5	61	6	45	13	12	18	13	20	15	16	14
Somewhat oppose	5	4	11	6	4	4	6	10	2	13	3	12	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	6
Strongly oppose	10	7	10	8	12	5	18	31	2	47	3	33	8	6	13	8	15	10	11	8
DK/NA	8	2	8	4	12	7	10	11	5	7	7	9	5	8	10	7	10	8	3	9
DK/NA	8	2	8	4	12	7	10	11	5	7	7	9	5	8	10	7	10	8	3	9

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
TOTAL SUPPORT	77	79	80	80	82	77	78	75	79	75	78	77	89	52	88	59	77	97	4	94	7	34
Strongly support	50	54	43	55	58	45	53	46	53	55	55	45	69	30	61	32	52	84	0	63	0	11
Somewhat support	27	26	37	25	24	32	25	29	26	20	23	32	20	22	28	26	26	13	4	31	7	23
TOTAL OPPOSE	15	13	10	14	11	16	15	20	13	15	14	16	7	39	6	32	5	1	91	3	89	12
Somewhat oppose	5	7	4	4	2	5	6	5	5	5	4	6	3	8	3	9	3	0	6	2	23	6
Strongly oppose	10	7	5	10	9	11	9	15	8	10	10	10	3	31	3	24	2	0	85	1	66	7
DK/NA	8	7	10	6	7	7	7	5	8	10	8	7	4	8	6	9	17	2	6	4	4	53
DK/NA	8	7	10	6	7	7	7	5	8	10	8	7	4	8	6	9	17	2	6	4	4	53

Table 83: Q17. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential funding proposal that could appear on a San Francisco ballot for Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure. Do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
TOTAL SUPPORT	77	100	0	0	100	82	6	100	92	76	82	69	77	71	82	83	84	75	70	66	75
Strongly support	50	65	0	0	100	21	0	24	57	48	62	43	48	45	55	56	60	51	33	24	46
Somewhat support	27	35	0	0	0	62	6	76	35	29	21	25	28	26	27	27	24	24	37	42	29
TOTAL OPPOSE	15	0	100	0	0	0	91	0	5	15	12	22	13	19	11	10	12	17	19	21	17
Somewhat oppose	5	0	34	0	0	0	31	0	3	5	7	6	5	6	4	4	2	6	8	8	6
Strongly oppose	10	0	66	0	0	0	60	0	1	11	5	16	7	13	7	6	10	11	12	13	11
DK/NA	8	0	0	100	0	18	3	0	4	8	6	9	11	10	7	7	4	8	11	13	8
DK/NA	8	0	0	100	0	18	3	0	4	8	6	9	11	10	7	7	4	8	11	13	8
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
TOTAL SUPPORT	77	86	86	70	68	75	80	79	77	76	81	77	77	79	78	77	77	93	63		
Strongly support	50	69	55	39	39	50	52	50	51	54	48	50	52	53	51	47	52	62	21		
Somewhat support	27	17	31	31	29	25	28	29	26	22	34	27	25	26	27	30	26	30	42		
TOTAL OPPOSE	15	6	9	23	26	17	11	14	15	20	9	16	13	12	15	17	15	6	22		
Somewhat oppose	5	2	4	8	8	8	3	4	6	5	3	7	5	4	5	6	5	0	9		
Strongly oppose	10	4	4	15	19	9	9	10	9	15	6	9	9	8	9	11	10	6	13		
DK/NA	8	7	5	7	6	8	9	7	9	4	9	7	9	9	7	6	8	2	15		
DK/NA	8	7	5	7	6	8	9	7	9	4	9	7	9	9	7	6	8	2	15		

Table 83: Q17. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential funding proposal that could appear on a San Francisco ballot for Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure. Do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575	
TOTAL SUPPORT	77	87	75	86	80	79	77	83	78	79	78	80	85	84	81	77	79	76	78	
Strongly support	50	49	45	53	51	59	47	49	53	57	48	47	52	46	62	52	45	47	53	
Somewhat support	27	38	30	33	29	20	30	34	25	22	30	33	33	38	19	25	34	29	25	
TOTAL OPPOSE	15	4	18	11	16	14	13	11	14	15	12	11	11	13	9	15	16	16	14	
Somewhat oppose	5	1	9	5	6	4	3	5	4	5	3	6	5	4	2	4	5	4	6	
Strongly oppose	10	4	9	6	10	10	10	6	10	10	10	4	6	9	7	10	11	12	8	
DK/NA	8	9	7	3	5	7	11	6	8	6	10	9	4	3	10	8	5	8	8	
DK/NA	8	9	7	3	5	7	11	6	8	6	10	9	4	3	10	8	5	8	8	
		Q27 GENDER						AGE						PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270	
TOTAL SUPPORT	77	76	79	87	81	80	72	68	64	83	70	67	81	73	58	82	68	85	72	
Strongly support	50	51	50	57	57	52	46	44	36	55	43	41	57	41	29	54	46	57	42	
Somewhat support	27	25	29	30	25	29	27	24	28	28	26	26	24	32	29	28	21	28	30	
TOTAL OPPOSE	15	18	12	10	12	13	20	20	18	12	19	19	11	17	37	13	25	9	15	
Somewhat oppose	5	6	4	5	5	3	5	7	9	4	6	8	5	5	9	6	6	2	6	
Strongly oppose	10	11	8	5	7	10	15	12	9	7	13	11	7	12	28	7	18	7	9	
DK/NA	8	6	9	3	7	6	8	12	18	6	11	15	7	10	5	5	8	6	13	
DK/NA	8	6	9	3	7	6	8	12	18	6	11	15	7	10	5	5	8	6	13	

Table 83: Q17. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential funding proposal that could appear on a San Francisco ballot for Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure. Do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP	
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39	
TOTAL SUPPORT	77	85	77	81	59	68	46	82	82	74	74	54	68	87	86	75	87	68	51	65	
Strongly support	50	61	53	49	27	33	24	58	57	46	37	26	35	68	58	48	55	34	21	29	
Somewhat support	27	24	24	32	32	35	23	23	25	28	37	28	32	18	27	27	32	33	30	36	
TOTAL OPPOSE	15	10	13	10	28	32	42	12	11	22	12	40	28	7	8	17	8	26	42	30	
Somewhat oppose	5	4	5	4	8	11	6	6	4	7	4	8	12	3	4	9	4	5	11	11	
Strongly oppose	10	6	7	7	21	21	36	6	7	15	8	33	16	4	4	8	4	21	31	20	
DK/NA	8	5	10	8	12	0	11	7	8	4	14	5	4	7	6	8	5	7	7	5	
DK/NA	8	5	10	8	12	0	11	7	8	4	14	5	4	7	6	8	5	7	7	5	

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
TOTAL SUPPORT	77	82	84	59	74	67	76	75	75	74	74	76	78	71	80	77	76	75
Strongly support	50	57	61	31	41	41	50	50	50	50	51	52	52	44	51	52	45	49
Somewhat support	27	25	23	28	32	26	26	25	25	24	24	24	27	28	29	25	30	25
TOTAL OPPOSE	15	11	8	36	16	25	15	15	15	16	14	13	14	18	12	14	16	19
Somewhat oppose	5	5	3	8	4	8	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	5	4	6	6
Strongly oppose	10	6	5	28	11	17	10	10	10	11	9	9	9	12	7	10	10	13
DK/NA	8	7	9	5	11	8	8	10	10	10	11	11	7	11	8	9	8	6
DK/NA	8	7	9	5	11	8	8	10	10	10	11	11	7	11	8	9	8	6

Table 83: Q17. Having heard this information let me ask you again about a potential funding proposal that could appear on a San Francisco ballot for Muni services, equipment, and infrastructure. Do you support or oppose this funding proposal?

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>TOTAL SUPPORT</u>	77	83	74	78	83	75	77	74	78	75	75	69	69	87	77	77	84	82	75	73
Strongly support	50	52	49	46	59	48	49	48	51	47	47	41	42	59	52	47	56	56	52	47
Somewhat support	27	31	26	32	24	27	28	26	27	28	28	28	27	28	24	29	28	27	23	25
<u>TOTAL OPPOSE</u>	15	9	18	11	5	18	13	20	14	14	17	20	25	7	16	19	9	9	15	17
Somewhat oppose	5	6	9	3	0	5	5	5	5	5	6	8	5	4	8	9	2	3	1	6
Strongly oppose	10	3	10	8	5	13	8	15	9	10	11	12	19	3	8	10	7	6	14	11
<u>DK/NA</u>	8	8	7	11	12	7	10	6	7	10	8	11	7	6	7	4	7	9	9	10
DK/NA	8	8	7	11	12	7	10	6	7	10	8	11	7	6	7	4	7	9	9	10

Table 84: Q18a. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride a bicycle

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
Yes	27	39	17	33	22	28	26	25	29	26	28	27	29	29	26	27	29	28	29	26
No	72	61	83	67	78	71	74	74	70	72	72	72	70	71	74	73	70	72	71	73
DK/NA	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Yes	27	32	39	27	18	26	28	30	26	40	31	24	39	21	35	21	10	30	25	28	30	18
No	72	68	60	73	81	73	71	70	74	60	68	76	61	78	64	79	89	69	75	71	70	82
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
Yes	27	27	28	25	30	25	29	28	26	29	20	27	24	26	29	30	35	21	19	14	25
No	72	72	71	75	70	75	71	71	74	70	79	72	76	73	70	69	64	79	81	86	75
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
Yes	27	34	26	32	26	30	26	27	28	35	20	33	23	27	27	30	28	42	4
No	72	66	73	68	74	70	74	73	71	64	80	66	77	72	73	70	71	57	96
DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0

Table 84: Q18a. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride a bicycle

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575	
Yes	27	25	31	29	28	30	30	28	30	28	31	26	34	20	32	27	36	25	30	
No	72	73	68	70	72	69	70	71	70	71	69	72	66	78	68	73	64	74	70	
DK/NA	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Yes	27	33	21		31	36	27	28	16	9	32	21	14	27	28	26	38	26	26	16
No	72	66	79		68	64	72	72	83	89	67	79	85	72	72	74	61	72	74	84
DK/NA	0	1	0		0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER					PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Yes	27	32	21	34	20	30	22	30	24	41	16	31	16	35	24	25	30	39	39	23
No	72	68	78	66	80	70	78	69	76	59	84	69	84	65	75	74	70	61	61	77
DK/NA	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT						
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST		
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250		
Yes	27	28	26	30	28	25	27	28	28	27	28	24	27	27	27	27	35	23		
No	72	72	73	70	72	75	72	72	72	72	72	75	72	73	73	72	65	77		
DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0		

Table 84: Q18a. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride a bicycle

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Yes	27	37	19	15	46	27	42	26	25	39	30	21	30	32	20	20	31	38	23	14
No	72	63	81	84	53	71	58	74	75	61	69	79	70	68	80	80	69	60	77	85
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1

Table 85: Q18b. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride Muni

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
Yes	75	70	77	72	78	77	78	81	78	72	77	70	93	84	59	100	0	77	89	53
No	25	29	23	28	22	23	22	19	22	27	22	30	6	16	41	0	100	23	11	46
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Yes	75	76	64	80	72	69	79	70	78	74	75	74	76	67	77	71	78	79	63	77	64	71
No	25	23	36	20	27	30	21	30	22	25	24	26	24	33	23	29	22	20	37	23	35	28
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
Yes	75	77	66	67	81	73	65	69	77	72	89	67	76	70	78	76	76	73	73	76	74
No	25	22	33	31	19	27	34	31	23	28	11	32	24	29	22	23	24	27	27	24	26
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
Yes	75	76	77	71	63	73	76	76	74	75	77	73	75	80	73	74	74	70	85
No	25	23	23	28	37	26	24	24	25	25	23	26	25	19	27	26	25	28	15
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

Table 85: Q18b. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride Muni

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575	
Yes	75	79	76	75	72	71	76	77	73	73	74	81	73	77	77	72	74	75	75	
No	25	20	23	25	28	28	24	23	27	27	26	19	26	23	22	28	26	25	25	
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Yes	75	74	76		73	69	77	77	80	79	73	78	79	74	77	72	72	76	72	80
No	25	26	24		27	31	22	23	20	20	27	22	20	25	23	28	27	24	28	20
DK/NA	0	1	0		0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER					PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Yes	75	72	77	73	83	72	72	72	76	78	75	69	80	74	77	67	79	70	66	75
No	25	27	23	27	17	28	28	27	24	22	25	31	20	25	22	33	21	30	34	25
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT						
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST		
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250		
Yes	75	75	74	72	80	66	74	76	76	76	76	78	75	75	84	71	66	78		
No	25	24	26	28	20	33	25	24	23	24	24	21	25	25	16	28	34	22		
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		

Table 85: Q18b. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride Muni

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Yes	75	83	80	78	85	65	75	73	73	72	66	75	67	81	81	83	83	75	61	78
No	25	17	20	22	14	35	25	27	27	28	33	25	32	19	19	17	17	24	39	22
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0

Table 86: Q18c. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride BART

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
Yes	46	49	50	50	42	49	43	38	50	38	48	38	60	46	36	54	19	45	53	38
No	53	50	49	50	57	50	57	61	50	60	51	60	39	53	64	45	80	53	47	61
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	1

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Yes	46	44	50	44	53	42	47	46	46	42	44	48	51	39	50	40	40	52	32	49	33	38
No	53	54	50	55	47	57	53	54	54	58	56	51	49	60	49	60	56	47	67	51	66	60
DK/NA	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	4	1	1	1	1	2

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
Yes	46	48	35	40	53	42	35	37	43	43	57	44	38	42	49	44	54	56	39	39	48
No	53	51	64	58	46	57	64	63	57	56	43	55	62	57	50	56	44	44	60	60	51
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
Yes	46	48	50	38	50	44	48	47	45	47	46	48	44	52	45	40	45	49	51
No	53	51	50	62	50	55	52	52	54	52	52	52	56	47	55	58	54	51	46
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	2	0	2	1	0	2

Table 86: Q18c. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride BART

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$74.5K (2-3)	\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
Yes	46	57	54	50	46	41	47	54	44	45	43	58	52	50	46	44	40	46	46
No	53	40	43	50	53	59	52	45	56	55	57	39	48	46	53	56	59	53	54
DK/NA	1	3	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	4	1	0	1	1	1

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Yes	46	48	45		37	51	51	46	43	41	47	44	42	45	48	40	49	46	45	44
No	53	52	55		61	49	48	54	57	55	52	55	56	54	51	59	50	53	54	55
DK/NA	1	1	1		2	0	1	0	0	4	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER					PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Yes	46	46	44	48	48	47	32	47	44	51	47	44	32	43	50	40	57	42	35	49
No	53	53	55	52	51	51	68	52	55	49	52	54	68	55	50	60	43	58	61	51
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
Yes	46	48	38	44	52	30	45	46	46	45	45	45	46	43	47	55	31	42
No	53	50	62	55	47	70	54	54	54	54	55	54	53	57	52	45	68	56
DK/NA	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1

Table 86: Q18c. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride BART

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Yes	46	43	53	65	66	35	31	38	47	29	37	52	29	37	54	55	54	61	40	58
No	53	57	46	35	33	63	68	62	52	70	62	48	71	63	44	42	45	38	60	42
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	1	0	0

Table 87: Q18d. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride Caltrain

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
Yes	18	22	24	22	13	19	17	18	19	15	19	14	27	18	11	21	8	17	25	14
No	81	77	75	76	87	80	83	80	80	84	80	85	71	81	88	78	91	82	75	84
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	2

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Yes	18	20	20	16	19	16	18	21	16	16	14	22	21	11	23	12	11	19	12	19	13	14
No	81	79	79	84	80	84	81	79	83	84	85	78	78	89	76	88	86	80	88	81	86	81
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	3	1	1	1	1	5

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
Yes	18	19	14	11	19	18	15	24	19	16	24	13	11	12	21	13	26	21	16	15	21
No	81	80	85	85	81	81	84	73	81	83	74	86	89	87	78	86	72	79	83	84	78
DK/NA	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	4	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	1

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
Yes	18	15	21	13	26	18	13	20	16	20	21	17	14	18	18	16	17	34	20
No	81	84	78	85	74	81	87	78	84	79	78	82	86	80	81	83	83	62	76
DK/NA	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	4	4

Table 87: Q18d. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride Caltrain

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
Yes	18	28	23	14	18	12	18	22	15	14	16	20	21	26	19	16	5	17	18
No	81	68	76	85	82	87	82	76	84	85	83	78	78	72	81	83	94	82	81
DK/NA	1	4	1	1	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	3	1	2	1	1	1	1	1

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Yes	18	18	17		17	20	19	18	15	13	19	16	14	16	20	21	20	15	18	17
No	81	80	82		82	80	80	81	85	83	80	83	84	83	79	77	78	84	82	81
DK/NA	1	2	1		1	1	1	1	0	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	0	2

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER					PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Yes	18	17	15	19	22	33	9	15	17	21	20	28	8	15	18	16	25	15	21	28
No	81	82	84	81	76	65	91	83	83	79	79	70	92	84	81	83	75	84	75	72
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	2	2	0	2	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	3	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
Yes	18	15	24	25	22	12	17	16	15	13	12	11	19	11	19	19	15	17
No	81	84	76	74	77	87	82	83	85	87	88	89	80	87	80	80	84	83
DK/NA	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	0

Table 87: Q18d. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Ride Caltrain

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Yes	18	20	16	29	21	23	11	17	16	10	23	17	17	15	19	21	15	18	19	20
No	81	80	83	68	76	75	87	83	84	89	76	83	82	85	79	79	84	79	81	77
DK/NA	1	0	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	3	0	3

Table 88: Q18e. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Carpool

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
Yes	26	37	21	33	19	26	25	26	30	30	26	26	30	23	25	25	29	25	23	31
No	73	62	76	66	80	73	74	74	70	68	74	73	68	77	75	74	70	74	76	67
DK/NA	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	2

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Yes	26	27	33	25	26	30	23	27	24	25	22	31	27	26	25	28	24	28	31	25	35	20
No	73	73	65	75	73	70	75	72	75	74	78	68	73	74	74	72	73	72	69	74	65	77
DK/NA	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	2

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
Yes	26	26	28	27	28	22	31	35	20	27	21	25	19	23	29	21	39	34	22	17	31
No	73	74	72	71	71	76	69	63	80	72	79	74	81	76	71	79	60	66	76	80	68
DK/NA	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	3	1

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
Yes	26	32	21	28	36	28	15	31	23	31	30	22	24	15	27	43	26	46	11
No	73	67	78	72	63	72	85	68	77	69	68	77	76	84	73	57	74	52	83
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	6

Table 88: Q18e. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Carpool

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
Yes	26	32	35	29	25	27	22	32	25	26	23	15	39	45	15	23	47	26	26
No	73	66	65	71	74	73	78	67	75	73	77	83	61	54	85	77	53	73	73
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Yes	26	26	26		45	34	17	24	12	8	32	18	11	25	26	32	31	18	34	17
No	73	73	73		54	66	82	76	85	90	67	81	87	74	73	68	68	82	65	82
DK/NA	1	1	1		1	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	2

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER					PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Yes	26	31	18	32	18	45	17	22	28	28	25	42	12	34	21	25	24	33	28	35
No	73	67	82	68	80	55	83	77	71	72	73	58	88	65	78	74	76	67	72	65
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
Yes	26	24	27	34	26	30	26	23	21	20	19	16	25	28	16	30	30	29
No	73	75	72	66	73	68	73	76	78	79	80	83	73	72	83	69	70	70
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1

Table 88: Q18e. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Carpool

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Yes	26	11	15	26	32	25	39	28	29	36	26	18	33	14	14	26	20	29	40	32
No	73	89	84	73	66	75	61	71	70	64	74	81	65	86	84	74	79	70	58	68
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	1

Table 89: Q18f. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Drive alone

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
Yes	55	56	53	55	54	53	54	60	49	63	53	62	39	55	65	47	78	55	40	69
No	45	44	47	45	46	47	46	39	51	37	47	38	61	45	35	53	22	44	60	30
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Yes	55	53	62	51	54	61	51	55	54	60	55	55	43	72	48	68	45	46	70	52	70	55
No	45	47	38	49	46	39	49	45	46	40	45	45	57	28	52	32	55	54	30	48	30	45
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
Yes	55	52	66	59	44	60	66	60	56	64	11	72	50	65	48	54	60	49	54	52	55
No	45	48	34	41	56	40	34	40	44	35	89	28	50	35	52	46	40	51	46	48	45
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
Yes	55	49	50	62	76	53	57	54	54	58	52	54	55	43	56	68	55	65	47
No	45	51	49	38	24	47	43	46	45	42	48	45	45	57	44	32	45	35	53
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 89: Q18f. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Drive alone

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
Yes	55	37	59	50	55	58	57	49	57	54	60	38	50	64	46	58	70	57	52
No	45	63	41	50	45	42	43	51	43	45	40	62	50	36	54	42	30	42	48
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Yes	55	56	53		54	47	60	63	52	49	53	57	51	53	53	69	51	64	55	51
No	45	44	47		46	53	40	37	48	51	47	43	49	46	47	31	49	36	45	49
DK/NA	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER					PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Yes	55	50	58	55	51	72	66	54	53	52	55	78	51	50	48	67	53	59	63	79
No	45	50	42	45	49	28	34	46	47	48	45	22	49	50	52	33	47	41	37	21
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
Yes	55	51	59	69	48	69	55	55	55	55	54	53	55	54	41	57	61	62
No	45	48	41	31	52	31	45	45	45	45	46	47	45	46	59	43	39	38
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 89: Q18f. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Drive alone

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Yes	55	43	35	46	44	57	65	63	61	65	53	42	61	43	32	63	51	62	77	50
No	45	57	65	54	56	43	35	37	39	35	47	58	39	57	68	37	49	37	23	50
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

Table 90: Q18g. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Use a ride hail service like Uber or Lyft

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI			
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
Yes	52	65	39	58	46	50	54	56	55	51	53	49	52	48	55	54	48	53	53	49
No	47	35	59	42	52	50	45	44	45	49	47	51	47	52	45	45	52	47	46	50
DK/NA	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Yes	52	53	53	54	49	54	52	55	51	50	51	54	58	49	57	47	46	54	55	53	54	44
No	47	45	46	46	50	45	47	44	48	50	49	44	42	51	43	52	52	45	45	47	45	49
DK/NA	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	7

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
Yes	52	54	51	36	54	50	51	59	60	52	54	43	51	45	57	52	61	63	41	35	51
No	47	45	48	59	45	48	49	36	40	47	46	57	49	54	42	47	38	35	58	63	48
DK/NA	1	0	0	5	0	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	2	1

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
Yes	52	52	57	49	62	59	50	47	56	58	38	55	57	52	53	50	54	54	31
No	47	47	42	51	38	40	50	51	44	42	59	44	43	47	46	49	46	46	65
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	4

Table 90: Q18g. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Use a ride hail service like Uber or Lyft

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
Yes	52	43	53	50	58	55	68	48	60	56	64	46	48	53	63	60	55	52	52
No	47	55	47	49	42	45	32	51	40	43	36	52	51	46	37	40	45	46	48
DK/NA	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	0

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Yes	52	56	49		62	69	55	48	29	27	63	38	28	54	48	58	65	43	62	34
No	47	43	50		38	31	44	52	69	71	37	61	70	45	52	42	35	56	38	65
DK/NA	1	1	1		0	0	1	1	2	2	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	2

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER					PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Yes	52	68	36	53	39	67	48	55	53	55	41	67	38	54	59	48	49	53	52	67
No	47	32	62	46	59	33	52	44	46	45	58	33	62	45	40	52	51	47	48	33
DK/NA	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
Yes	52	55	50	58	49	47	52	50	46	45	44	40	52	54	51	52	58	50
No	47	44	47	42	50	52	47	49	53	54	55	59	47	46	48	47	42	50
DK/NA	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1

Table 90: Q18g. Before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is at least two or three times per week, use any of the following modes of transportation? By that I mean for any purpose, including commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation: Use a ride hail service like Uber or Lyft

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Yes	52	51	49	57	52	62	58	49	50	55	63	47	51	51	50	53	46	55	55	46
No	47	49	50	42	46	38	42	51	48	45	37	53	49	49	47	47	52	43	43	53
DK/NA	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	2	1	1

Table 91: Q19. ACCESS TO CAR

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
HAVE ACCESS	81	79	79	79	82	79	82	87	79	83	80	82	66	82	90	77	91	83	65	86
Yes, have car	64	64	58	62	66	61	66	70	61	73	62	72	42	65	77	57	84	67	38	74
No, but have access to car	17	16	22	17	16	18	16	17	18	10	18	10	24	16	12	20	7	16	27	11
NO ACCESS	19	21	21	21	17	20	17	10	21	15	19	17	34	18	10	22	8	16	35	14
No, no access to car	19	21	21	21	17	20	17	10	21	15	19	17	34	18	10	22	8	16	35	14
DK/NA/REFUSED	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
HAVE ACCESS	81	81	75	81	78	81	82	78	82	87	84	78	77	90	78	88	74	78	89	80	87	72
Yes, have car	64	65	63	63	65	65	64	61	65	72	67	61	57	79	59	75	54	60	81	62	79	60
No, but have access to car	17	16	12	18	14	16	18	16	17	15	16	17	19	11	19	13	20	18	8	19	8	12
NO ACCESS	19	19	25	18	21	18	18	22	17	12	16	21	23	9	22	12	25	22	8	19	11	25
No, no access to car	19	19	25	18	21	18	18	22	17	12	16	21	23	9	22	12	25	22	8	19	11	25
DK/NA/REFUSED	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	2	3
DK/NA/Refused	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	2	3

Table 91: Q19. ACCESS TO CAR

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
HAVE ACCESS	81	80	83	85	77	83	83	68	86	100	0	94	89	92	76	86	79	62	80	80	76
Yes, have car	64	61	76	71	57	66	75	53	65	79	0	85	77	83	54	68	62	51	64	59	60
No, but have access to car	17	19	7	13	20	18	7	16	21	21	0	9	12	10	22	18	17	11	16	21	16
NO ACCESS	19	20	15	13	23	16	16	31	14	0	100	6	11	8	24	14	20	37	20	20	23
No, no access to car	19	20	15	13	23	16	16	31	14	0	100	6	11	8	24	14	20	37	20	20	23
DK/NA/REFUSED	1	0	2	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	2	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
HAVE ACCESS	81	79	82	84	80	84	85	76	84	77	74	84	84	69	85	89	81	80	76		
Yes, have car	64	60	64	71	74	71	72	53	71	58	50	70	73	50	69	72	64	69	52		
No, but have access to car	17	19	17	13	7	14	13	22	13	20	24	14	12	19	16	18	17	11	24		
NO ACCESS	19	21	18	15	20	16	15	23	16	22	24	15	16	31	15	10	18	20	24		
No, no access to car	19	21	18	15	20	16	15	23	16	22	24	15	16	31	15	10	18	20	24		
DK/NA/REFUSED	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0		
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0		
	Q25 INCOME								INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT						
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B			
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575		
HAVE ACCESS	81	64	72	84	82	86	92	73	87	84	92	63	77	83	78	89	95	82	80		
Yes, have car	64	36	53	66	65	73	79	51	73	68	80	42	54	61	56	79	81	65	63		
No, but have access to car	17	28	19	17	17	14	12	22	14	16	12	20	22	22	23	10	14	17	17		
NO ACCESS	19	35	27	16	18	14	8	26	13	16	8	37	22	15	22	11	5	18	20		
No, no access to car	19	35	27	16	18	14	8	26	13	16	8	37	22	15	22	11	5	18	20		
DK/NA/REFUSED	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0		
DK/NA/Refused	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0		

Table 91: Q19. ACCESS TO CAR

	Q27 GENDER			AGE									PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
HAVE ACCESS	81	82	80	78	82	86	85	73	69	82	79	72	80	79	89	82	82	83	76
Yes, have car	64	65	63	53	66	69	69	63	56	63	65	60	65	58	80	61	72	66	59
No, but have access to car	17	16	17	25	16	17	16	10	13	19	14	11	16	21	9	20	10	17	17
NO ACCESS	19	18	19	22	18	12	14	25	29	18	20	27	19	21	11	18	18	17	22
No, no access to car	19	18	19	22	18	12	14	25	29	18	20	27	19	21	11	18	18	17	22
DK/NA/REFUSED	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
HAVE ACCESS	81	83	78	80	78	87	91	81	80	81	77	89	90	80	81	81	79	84	89	86
Yes, have car	64	65	65	57	59	74	88	67	63	58	58	77	90	64	63	74	58	66	84	73
No, but have access to car	17	18	13	23	19	13	3	14	17	22	20	12	0	17	17	8	21	17	5	13
NO ACCESS	19	17	21	19	22	13	9	18	19	19	23	11	10	19	19	18	21	16	11	14
No, no access to car	19	17	21	19	22	13	9	18	19	19	23	11	10	19	19	18	21	16	11	14
DK/NA/REFUSED	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
HAVE ACCESS	81	78	87	90	76	91	81	81	82	82	82	81	80	83	71	80	87	87
Yes, have car	64	64	69	81	53	75	65	66	67	68	69	69	63	69	51	65	71	71
No, but have access to car	17	14	19	9	23	16	16	15	15	14	13	13	17	15	20	15	16	16
NO ACCESS	19	21	11	10	24	9	19	18	17	17	17	18	19	17	28	19	13	11
No, no access to car	19	21	11	10	24	9	19	18	17	17	17	18	19	17	28	19	13	11
DK/NA/REFUSED	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
DK/NA/Refused	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2

Table 91: Q19. ACCESS TO CAR

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>HAVE ACCESS</u>	81	83	66	70	76	82	84	88	84	84	82	67	87	81	64	89	81	83	88	77
Yes, have car	64	59	48	60	48	65	69	71	70	71	65	55	73	57	43	67	64	63	78	64
No, but have access to car	17	24	18	10	28	17	14	18	14	13	18	12	14	23	21	22	18	20	10	13
<u>NO ACCESS</u>	19	17	33	30	24	18	16	10	15	16	17	32	11	19	36	10	17	16	12	23
No, no access to car	19	17	33	30	24	18	16	10	15	16	17	32	11	19	36	10	17	16	12	23
<u>DK/NA/REFUSED</u>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0

Table 92: Q20. RESIDENCE

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
OWN	35	26	35	28	43	33	41	38	35	45	34	44	25	34	43	33	42	38	21	38
Own a single-family home	24	17	31	21	28	24	27	26	23	35	23	31	18	21	30	22	31	26	14	28
Own a condominium	11	9	4	7	15	9	14	12	12	10	11	13	7	13	13	11	11	12	7	10
RENT	57	70	53	65	49	60	52	53	61	42	60	45	66	60	49	60	50	56	71	52
Rent an apartment or home	57	70	53	65	49	60	52	53	61	42	60	45	66	60	49	60	50	56	71	52
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	5	12	7	8	7	7	9	4	13	6	11	9	6	8	7	8	6	8	10
DK/NA/Refused	7	5	12	7	8	7	7	9	4	13	6	11	9	6	8	7	8	6	8	10

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL				Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
OWN	35	33	34	36	41	34	36	26	40	43	41	29	34	42	34	39	30	33	51	33	48	35
Own a single-family home	24	21	26	23	33	25	24	19	27	30	28	21	17	35	20	32	20	22	41	22	38	23
Own a condominium	11	12	7	13	8	9	12	6	13	13	14	8	16	7	14	7	10	12	10	11	10	12
RENT	57	62	57	58	53	59	57	65	53	51	53	62	62	47	61	52	55	61	36	61	41	50
Rent an apartment or home	57	62	57	58	53	59	57	65	53	51	53	62	62	47	61	52	55	61	36	61	41	50
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	5	10	6	7	7	7	9	7	6	6	9	4	11	5	9	14	6	13	6	11	15
DK/NA/Refused	7	5	10	6	7	7	7	9	7	6	6	9	4	11	5	9	14	6	13	6	11	15

Table 92: Q20. RESIDENCE

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
OWN	35	33	45	43	31	36	44	30	32	41	14	100	100	100	0	38	26	27	41	38	33
Own a single-family home	24	22	36	28	20	24	35	21	19	28	8	100	0	69	0	25	20	21	28	28	24
Own a condominium	11	11	9	15	11	12	9	8	14	12	6	0	100	31	0	13	6	6	13	10	9
RENT	57	61	43	47	64	56	45	59	65	54	73	0	0	0	100	61	65	67	48	48	57
Rent an apartment or home	57	61	43	47	64	56	45	59	65	54	73	0	0	0	100	61	65	67	48	48	57
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	6	12	10	5	8	11	11	2	5	13	0	0	0	0	2	10	7	11	14	10
DK/NA/Refused	7	6	12	10	5	8	11	11	2	5	13	0	0	0	0	2	10	7	11	14	10
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
OWN	35	27	38	47	44	35	56	25	43	25	25	42	44	21	43	39	35	36	33		
Own a single-family home	24	17	25	33	34	22	36	20	27	19	21	27	28	8	30	34	24	31	30		
Own a condominium	11	10	14	14	9	13	20	4	16	5	3	16	16	12	13	5	12	5	3		
RENT	57	70	57	45	53	61	42	65	53	65	65	55	52	75	53	51	58	59	50		
Rent an apartment or home	57	70	57	45	53	61	42	65	53	65	65	55	52	75	53	51	58	59	50		
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	3	5	8	3	5	3	11	4	11	11	3	4	4	4	9	7	5	17		
DK/NA/Refused	7	3	5	8	3	5	3	11	4	11	11	3	4	4	4	9	7	5	17		
	Q25 INCOME								INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT						
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B			
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575		
OWN	35	15	18	31	32	39	58	21	44	36	54	12	27	25	29	49	49	36	35		
Own a single-family home	24	11	15	25	21	23	34	17	27	23	32	9	23	18	7	30	45	24	24		
Own a condominium	11	4	3	6	10	16	24	4	17	13	22	3	4	7	22	19	4	12	11		
RENT	57	71	80	65	64	60	39	72	54	62	43	83	68	62	71	49	45	56	58		
Rent an apartment or home	57	71	80	65	64	60	39	72	54	62	43	83	68	62	71	49	45	56	58		
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	14	2	3	4	1	2	7	2	2	3	5	5	13	0	1	6	8	7		
DK/NA/Refused	7	14	2	3	4	1	2	7	2	2	3	5	5	13	0	1	6	8	7		

Table 92: Q20. RESIDENCE

	Q27 GENDER			AGE									PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270	
OWN	35	36	35	15	29	36	45	48	49	27	47	48	36	33	41	25	52	29	43	
Own a single-family home	24	24	25	13	14	26	31	38	36	17	34	37	25	23	25	16	37	19	32	
Own a condominium	11	12	10	2	15	10	14	10	14	10	13	12	11	11	16	10	15	10	11	
RENT	57	58	57	77	66	58	47	43	39	67	44	41	57	59	57	69	42	66	47	
Rent an apartment or home	57	58	57	77	66	58	47	43	39	67	44	41	57	59	57	69	42	66	47	
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	6	8	8	6	5	8	9	12	6	9	10	8	8	2	6	6	5	10	
DK/NA/Refused	7	6	8	8	6	5	8	9	12	6	9	10	8	8	2	6	6	5	10	
				PARTY BY AGE				PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
OWN	35	27	47	28	41	19	66	36	36	34	33	38	47	28	38	51	33	42	60	30
Own a single-family home	24	17	35	18	30	11	40	25	25	21	25	27	20	18	26	39	19	29	36	22
Own a condominium	11	9	12	10	11	9	25	11	10	13	8	11	28	10	12	12	14	14	24	8
RENT	57	67	43	64	50	78	32	58	56	58	60	60	50	69	57	41	64	51	37	70
Rent an apartment or home	57	67	43	64	50	78	32	58	56	58	60	60	50	69	57	41	64	51	37	70
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	6	10	7	10	2	2	6	8	7	7	2	3	3	5	8	3	7	3	0
DK/NA/Refused	7	6	10	7	10	2	2	6	8	7	7	2	3	3	5	8	3	7	3	0
		HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT					
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST		
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250		
OWN	35	33	48	39	32	41	37	40	42	44	47	48	36	33	24	40	37	40		
Own a single-family home	24	22	38	21	21	32	25	27	28	30	31	32	25	22	11	29	22	35		
Own a condominium	11	11	10	17	11	9	12	13	14	15	16	16	11	11	13	11	15	5		
RENT	57	61	42	59	60	49	56	53	51	49	47	45	56	62	72	52	56	49		
Rent an apartment or home	57	61	42	59	60	49	56	53	51	49	47	45	56	62	72	52	56	49		
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	6	10	3	8	10	7	6	6	7	6	6	8	5	4	8	7	11		
DK/NA/Refused	7	6	10	3	8	10	7	6	6	7	6	6	8	5	4	8	7	11		

Table 92: Q20. RESIDENCE

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>OWN</u>	35	21	22	46	31	35	36	41	40	35	35	24	44	23	23	39	39	42	39	46
Own a single-family home	24	7	8	43	15	17	24	38	28	25	18	9	42	8	7	36	22	32	27	43
Own a condominium	11	14	14	3	16	18	11	3	12	11	16	14	2	15	16	4	17	10	12	2
<u>RENT</u>	57	74	73	46	67	60	55	48	52	56	59	72	41	71	71	57	56	50	52	47
Rent an apartment or home	57	74	73	46	67	60	55	48	52	56	59	72	41	71	71	57	56	50	52	47
<u>DK/NA/REFUSED</u>	7	5	5	8	3	5	10	11	8	9	7	5	15	6	7	4	6	7	9	7
DK/NA/Refused	7	5	5	8	3	5	10	11	8	9	7	5	15	6	7	4	6	7	9	7

Table 93: Q21. RACE/ETHNICITY

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
WHITE	48	49	25	42	54	46	51	44	54	35	51	41	40	48	53	49	44	49	49	42		
White and/or Caucasian	48	49	25	42	54	46	51	44	54	35	51	41	40	48	53	49	44	49	49	42		
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	50	77	57	43	55	41	46	45	55	48	53	58	51	45	49	53	49	50	54		
Asian	23	17	49	26	21	26	18	21	15	39	19	33	21	26	23	23	26	23	16	26		
Black and/or African American	8	10	9	10	5	7	8	8	7	6	7	10	8	7	7	7	8	8	5	3		
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	21	16	20	14	19	14	16	20	9	19	8	25	17	12	17	16	15	24	22		
Middle Eastern and/or North African	2	1	3	2	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	2	3	2	1	2	1	2	1	1		
Native American	1	2	0	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	0		
Pacific Islander	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2		
Another race or ethnicity	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	0	1	1	2	2	1	2		
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	6	1	4	10	5	12	15	7	16	7	11	6	8	8	7	7	7	8	9		
DK/NA/Refused	7	6	1	4	10	5	12	15	7	16	7	11	6	8	8	7	7	7	8	9		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
WHITE	48	47	27	59	45	46	50	38	53	56	58	37	59	39	56	40	33	56	30	51	34	42
White and/or Caucasian	48	47	27	59	45	46	50	38	53	56	58	37	59	39	56	40	33	56	30	51	34	42
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	53	72	40	50	53	48	65	44	39	38	64	41	54	45	56	62	44	57	50	54	51
Asian	23	24	29	19	17	22	23	25	23	18	16	30	16	25	19	26	37	15	27	21	31	35
Black and/or African American	8	6	9	6	8	11	6	11	6	4	5	11	4	9	5	11	8	7	12	8	9	4
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	17	29	13	23	18	16	23	13	15	15	20	17	16	18	16	15	19	15	19	12	7
Middle Eastern and/or North African	2	4	4	1	0	2	2	3	2	4	3	1	2	2	1	3	1	2	0	2	0	0
Native American	1	3	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	3	1	2	2
Pacific Islander	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	2
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	3	1	3	1	2	3	1	1	1	2	2	3	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	2
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	6	6	7	7	5	8	5	8	12	9	5	7	11	6	9	9	6	17	5	17	13
DK/NA/Refused	7	6	6	7	7	5	8	5	8	12	9	5	7	11	6	9	9	6	17	5	17	13

Table 93: Q21. RACE/ETHNICITY

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
WHITE	48	51	34	43	56	45	35	55	62	50	40	47	56	50	51	100	10	13	6	4	10
White and/or Caucasian	48	51	34	43	56	45	35	55	62	50	40	47	56	50	51	100	10	13	6	4	10
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	49	56	51	44	54	55	38	38	48	62	49	43	47	50	0	100	100	100	100	100
Asian	23	21	30	31	15	29	29	26	15	23	25	27	27	27	20	0	5	2	100	100	48
Black and/or African American	8	7	8	8	7	8	9	3	6	6	15	6	4	6	9	0	1	100	1	0	15
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	18	14	9	20	16	13	3	14	17	18	14	8	12	19	0	100	2	3	2	35
Middle Eastern and/or North African	2	2	1	2	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	2	1	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	4
Native American	1	1	3	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	3	1	2	1	2	0	3	5	0	0	3
Pacific Islander	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	0	1
Another race or ethnicity	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	4	3	1	3	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	5	16	12	6	5	15	8	5	7	7	8	7	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	7	5	16	12	6	5	15	8	5	7	7	8	7	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
WHITE	48	64	57	43	34	55	63	33	58	32	34	64	53	58	50	32	54	0	0
White and/or Caucasian	48	64	57	43	34	55	63	33	58	32	34	64	53	58	50	32	54	0	0
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	40	43	54	66	42	33	70	39	71	69	33	44	44	47	70	44	100	100
Asian	23	11	18	28	32	22	20	27	21	21	33	19	23	20	20	37	19	0	100
Black and/or African American	8	8	7	6	6	5	6	11	5	14	9	3	8	9	7	7	8	0	0
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	17	16	20	24	14	5	28	10	34	23	10	11	12	18	25	14	100	0
Middle Eastern and/or North African	2	5	0	1	3	2	3	2	2	2	1	3	3	3	2	2	2	0	0
Native American	1	2	1	0	1	1	3	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	0	1	0	0
Pacific Islander	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	3	1	1	0	1	1	2	1	0	0
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	3	2	0	1	2	1	1	2	0	0
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	4	5	7	6	9	9	3	9	2	2	7	8	4	8	2	8	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	7	4	5	7	6	9	9	3	9	2	2	7	8	4	8	2	8	0	0

Table 93: Q21. RACE/ETHNICITY

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
	Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576
<u>WHITE</u>	48	26	38	51	53	60	63	38	60	58	62	52	39	13	67	59	51	48	48
White and/or Caucasian	48	26	38	51	53	60	63	38	60	58	62	52	39	13	67	59	51	48	48
<u>VOTERS OF COLOR</u>	50	75	68	49	47	40	36	64	40	43	37	49	64	93	37	39	48	50	51
Asian	23	31	20	23	21	19	20	25	20	20	20	19	22	40	19	17	30	23	23
Black and/or African American	8	15	8	8	8	6	6	10	6	6	7	11	10	11	8	7	2	7	8
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	25	34	19	12	13	9	26	11	13	9	16	28	39	6	13	15	16	17
Middle Eastern and/or North African	2	3	3	2	1	3	0	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	1	1	2	2
Native American	1	2	4	0	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	3	2	0	0	2	0	1	1
Pacific Islander	1	3	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	2	0	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1
Another race or ethnicity	1	5	1	0	3	0	1	2	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
<u>DK/NA/REFUSED</u>	7	7	1	3	4	4	6	4	5	4	6	5	3	0	3	7	2	7	8
DK/NA/Refused	7	7	1	3	4	4	6	4	5	4	6	5	3	0	3	7	2	7	8

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317
<u>WHITE</u>	48	52	45	46	54	43	45	45	52	49	46	48	53	40	40	52	52	47	43	
White and/or Caucasian	48	52	45	46	54	43	45	45	52	49	46	48	53	40	40	52	52	47	43	
<u>VOTERS OF COLOR</u>	50	47	55	60	46	52	51	47	45	52	48	46	47	57	51	48	45	56	52	
Asian	23	20	27	25	17	30	19	26	30	23	24	28	17	34	26	19	21	28	26	
Black and/or African American	8	7	8	9	11	5	6	5	6	9	6	5	8	8	5	9	3	9	8	
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	18	16	25	16	15	21	11	6	18	15	9	18	14	18	20	16	18	14	
Middle Eastern and/or North African	2	1	3	2	3	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	2	4	1	
Native American	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	0	1	2	2	1	0	2	1	1	1	2	
Pacific Islander	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	3	1	1	0	1	
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	2	
<u>DK/NA/REFUSED</u>	7	6	6	5	4	11	8	10	6	6	8	8	6	9	9	5	7	6	7	
DK/NA/Refused	7	6	6	5	4	11	8	10	6	6	8	8	6	9	9	5	7	6	7	

Table 93: Q21. RACE/ETHNICITY

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
WHITE	48	53	53	43	34	39	40	55	52	48	33	46	26	63	59	41	60	37	41	47
White and/or Caucasian	48	53	53	43	34	39	40	55	52	48	33	46	26	63	59	41	60	37	41	47
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	48	45	57	57	60	42	46	48	49	68	51	54	42	41	60	43	59	49	47
Asian	23	19	15	28	43	31	21	17	18	25	46	21	37	11	14	28	24	31	25	21
Black and/or African American	8	8	7	10	4	7	2	7	8	6	10	7	0	8	9	5	5	7	2	8
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	18	18	18	8	24	13	18	19	18	10	21	13	18	16	22	10	22	22	18
Middle Eastern and/or North African	2	2	2	3	1	0	0	2	2	0	4	0	0	4	0	2	4	1	0	0
Native American	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	0
Pacific Islander	1	1	1	0	0	2	4	2	1	0	0	2	7	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	1	3	1	0	6	1	1	3	2	0	10	1	1	1	2	4	0	2
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	6	6	8	11	1	18	4	6	10	4	3	20	3	5	6	5	8	9	6
DK/NA/Refused	7	6	6	8	11	1	18	4	6	10	4	3	20	3	5	6	5	8	9	6

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT				
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
WHITE	48	57	45	39	39	36	49	53	54	56	60	61	48	45	61	35	57	43
White and/or Caucasian	48	57	45	39	39	36	49	53	54	56	60	61	48	45	61	35	57	43
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	42	58	51	58	59	49	45	42	40	36	35	50	51	39	65	36	53
Asian	23	14	20	24	35	39	23	21	21	21	19	16	23	25	16	26	24	26
Black and/or African American	8	9	6	6	7	5	7	7	6	6	5	6	7	9	8	8	6	7
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	16	31	20	14	15	16	14	13	11	10	11	17	15	10	28	6	17
Middle Eastern and/or North African	2	3	0	0	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2
Native American	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	0	0	1
Pacific Islander	1	1	0	4	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
Another race or ethnicity	1	1	1	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	0	2
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	6	5	10	9	9	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	8	6	4	12	10
DK/NA/Refused	7	6	5	10	9	9	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	8	6	4	12	10

Table 93: Q21. RACE/ETHNICITY

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
WHITE	48	62	47	17	47	70	47	43	47	49	69	52	28	62	45	50	65	44	29	20
White and/or Caucasian	48	62	47	17	47	70	47	43	47	49	69	52	28	62	45	50	65	44	29	20
VOTERS OF COLOR	50	38	56	79	57	27	46	52	50	45	28	50	64	35	56	47	30	61	71	77
Asian	23	16	27	38	13	10	29	27	22	29	11	32	37	17	22	21	11	20	22	42
Black and/or African American	8	10	10	6	5	5	9	7	8	8	5	2	6	8	14	8	6	2	17	5
Hispanic and/or Latina, Latino or Latinx	17	10	12	35	35	11	8	15	19	7	12	10	17	9	14	16	12	37	27	28
Middle Eastern and/or North African	2	2	3	3	5	0	1	2	1	1	0	3	4	1	3	0	0	5	1	3
Native American	1	3	3	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	3	2	1	3	3	1	0	0	1	0
Pacific Islander	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	3	1
Another race or ethnicity	1	0	1	1	2	4	1	2	1	0	4	2	0	0	1	4	1	1	2	1
DK/NA/REFUSED	7	5	4	7	2	10	10	11	7	9	12	7	14	7	4	8	6	3	4	4
DK/NA/Refused	7	5	4	7	2	10	10	11	7	9	12	7	14	7	4	8	6	3	4	4

Table 94: Q22. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	276	72	81	153	123	191	72	23	76	52	168	71	78	73	121	200	76	193	26	30
Chinese	63	61	88	75	47	64	59	58	45	65	57	64	73	55	61	65	55	60	58	64
Filipino	12	8	2	5	20	11	14	9	24	4	15	6	9	19	9	11	13	12	13	11
Indian	5	9	0	4	5	5	5	0	4	0	6	2	2	1	8	6	1	6	0	3
Japanese	3	2	0	1	6	2	6	10	3	4	2	5	1	5	4	2	7	4	0	5
Korean	3	3	0	1	4	2	2	4	5	4	3	3	4	2	2	3	1	3	4	2
Vietnamese	3	4	3	3	4	5	1	0	3	4	4	5	2	5	3	3	5	3	4	5
Mixed race	5	7	2	4	6	4	6	10	6	9	5	7	2	7	6	5	7	5	11	5
Other	3	2	3	3	4	4	1	0	6	0	5	1	3	4	3	3	6	4	3	6
DK/NA/Refused	4	4	2	3	5	2	7	8	4	9	3	8	4	3	3	3	6	3	7	1

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	276	56	42	97	28	72	178	85	169	54	98	162	70	68	124	105	47	92	30	193	47	36
Chinese	63	62	57	50	69	64	62	67	57	49	44	72	43	73	52	70	72	43	59	61	62	73
Filipino	12	12	7	16	17	13	9	13	12	9	14	10	19	8	13	6	19	20	8	13	6	10
Indian	5	10	7	4	0	3	6	3	6	11	7	2	16	0	10	0	0	9	0	5	0	8
Japanese	3	1	0	6	7	4	2	1	5	7	8	1	1	3	3	4	0	3	7	2	5	4
Korean	3	1	1	6	2	2	3	1	4	4	3	3	4	0	3	3	0	4	0	2	5	1
Vietnamese	3	4	10	2	3	0	5	3	4	4	5	3	5	2	5	2	2	4	1	4	3	0
Mixed race	5	2	9	7	2	5	6	3	7	8	7	4	8	3	6	5	5	6	6	5	6	4
Other	3	4	1	5	0	5	3	4	3	4	4	3	1	5	3	5	2	7	0	5	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	4	5	8	4	0	5	4	4	3	4	8	2	3	6	4	5	0	4	18	2	13	0

Table 94: Q22. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	276	194	53	29	77	143	56	13	31	222	54	76	35	111	136	0	10	3	268	173	271
Chinese	63	59	67	79	40	74	65	45	53	62	65	64	48	59	61	0	30	0	64	100	64
Filipino	12	14	7	4	22	8	6	19	9	11	15	10	14	11	13	0	45	47	10	0	11
Indian	5	5	0	7	9	4	0	12	6	4	7	2	13	6	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Japanese	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	5	8	3	2	5	4	5	2	0	0	0	3	0	3
Korean	3	2	4	1	4	1	4	0	0	3	2	4	2	3	2	0	0	0	3	0	3
Vietnamese	3	4	3	0	5	3	3	0	7	4	3	2	3	2	4	0	0	0	4	0	4
Mixed race	5	4	8	6	5	4	8	0	9	5	4	4	7	5	7	0	21	53	5	0	5
Other	3	5	0	0	8	2	0	0	8	4	0	2	6	3	4	0	0	0	2	0	2
DK/NA/Refused	4	4	7	0	3	2	11	19	0	4	3	8	3	6	2	0	5	0	4	0	4

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	276	31	64	76	30	93	53	126	146	44	81	67	78	63	121	79	202	0	74
Chinese	63	42	54	65	52	52	52	74	52	77	73	56	49	63	55	70	49	0	100
Filipino	12	23	9	11	21	12	10	12	11	10	13	12	10	9	14	12	16	0	0
Indian	5	3	13	2	7	7	11	0	9	0	0	8	9	7	6	1	6	0	0
Japanese	3	3	5	6	0	4	6	2	4	2	1	3	6	3	3	2	4	0	0
Korean	3	1	4	4	3	3	7	0	4	1	0	2	6	2	4	1	3	0	0
Vietnamese	3	12	3	2	6	5	3	2	4	2	2	5	4	4	4	1	5	0	0
Mixed race	5	11	5	7	3	7	5	4	7	5	3	6	7	6	5	5	7	0	0
Other	3	5	2	2	0	4	2	4	3	3	5	3	3	2	6	1	5	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	4	0	5	3	8	6	5	2	6	0	3	6	5	3	4	5	5	0	0

	Q25 INCOME										INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
Column n-Size	276	44	27	28	28	46	38	99	112	64	47	27	41	31	27	55	27	138	138
Chinese	63	77	73	69	62	38	46	74	47	53	38	76	63	86	51	43	49	63	62
Filipino	12	7	19	18	15	20	6	14	14	15	14	16	11	14	5	20	12	10	13
Indian	5	0	0	5	7	6	15	2	9	7	12	0	4	0	17	9	3	6	3
Japanese	3	0	2	3	0	2	11	2	5	1	9	0	4	0	7	4	4	4	3
Korean	3	1	0	0	0	5	8	1	5	2	10	0	1	0	5	5	4	2	3
Vietnamese	3	4	0	0	7	5	2	2	5	5	4	2	3	0	5	5	0	3	4
Mixed race	5	5	0	0	0	12	4	2	6	5	8	6	1	0	3	8	8	5	5
Other	3	6	0	5	6	2	2	4	3	4	2	0	10	0	5	2	3	3	4
DK/NA/Refused	4	0	5	0	3	10	5	1	6	7	5	0	3	0	2	4	16	5	3

Table 94: Q22. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER

	Q27 GENDER			AGE									PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	276	113	162	52	46	58	52	39	30	156	120	69	130	123	23	66	47	88	73
Chinese	63	64	62	64	43	50	65	84	83	52	76	84	50	76	59	55	76	50	76
Filipino	12	11	12	8	19	15	12	6	4	14	8	5	15	7	15	11	11	16	6
Indian	5	5	5	11	9	5	0	0	0	8	0	0	3	6	8	8	0	8	0
Japanese	3	3	3	5	0	3	4	2	4	3	3	3	5	2	0	1	5	4	3
Korean	3	1	3	0	6	1	3	4	0	2	3	2	3	2	4	2	1	3	4
Vietnamese	3	4	3	6	2	6	4	1	0	4	2	1	6	1	2	6	2	3	2
Mixed race	5	6	5	4	11	11	1	1	0	9	1	1	8	3	0	9	0	8	1
Other	3	3	4	2	5	0	7	0	9	2	5	4	4	2	7	3	4	2	6
DK/NA/Refused	4	3	4	2	6	9	3	1	1	5	2	1	5	2	4	5	1	6	2

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	276	80	50	62	61	14	10	59	70	42	81	13	11	24	41	47	29	42	7	9
Chinese	63	42	63	63	89	61	56	49	52	82	74	79	36	40	51	49	56	76	76	41
Filipino	12	15	16	12	1	17	11	17	14	2	9	10	21	17	14	18	9	7	5	27
Indian	5	5	0	11	0	14	0	4	2	6	5	0	18	4	7	0	19	3	0	22
Japanese	3	4	6	2	2	0	0	3	6	3	1	0	0	4	5	7	4	3	0	0
Korean	3	3	2	2	2	0	11	2	3	1	2	0	9	1	2	5	7	1	0	11
Vietnamese	3	9	1	0	3	0	4	8	4	0	2	3	0	16	2	4	4	1	6	0
Mixed race	5	12	2	6	0	0	0	7	10	6	1	0	0	11	7	11	2	2	0	0
Other	3	4	5	0	3	0	18	6	3	0	2	0	16	6	4	3	0	0	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	4	6	4	4	0	7	0	5	6	0	3	8	0	0	8	3	0	6	14	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	276	78	21	18	101	57	260	183	158	136	99	53	218	58	50	108	51	67
Chinese	63	50	47	52	77	64	62	62	61	61	60	63	63	59	59	63	51	72
Filipino	12	14	19	15	5	16	12	13	14	13	13	16	12	11	7	14	16	7
Indian	5	5	0	11	5	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	5	4	4	3	9	4
Japanese	3	5	8	0	2	1	3	4	4	5	6	8	4	0	3	1	5	4
Korean	3	3	0	6	2	1	3	3	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	3	1	3
Vietnamese	3	6	4	2	2	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	5	3	3	2
Mixed race	5	8	7	0	2	7	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	9	10	4	5	4
Other	3	3	10	9	2	1	3	4	4	4	2	0	2	7	5	4	3	2
DK/NA/Refused	4	5	5	5	2	4	4	3	3	3	4	2	4	2	4	4	6	1

Table 94: Q22. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	276	12	45	28	10	12	29	55	87	32	13	26	39	20	23	23	14	21	26	40
Chinese	63	59	66	76	76	37	54	81	50	51	38	74	84	60	63	63	47	54	47	71
Filipino	12	8	3	19	0	19	20	5	15	18	25	2	5	10	4	3	17	17	8	22
Indian	5	16	5	0	0	13	4	0	6	4	12	0	0	19	10	7	9	0	3	0
Japanese	3	0	5	0	0	9	0	5	3	3	13	2	3	0	6	8	0	5	0	0
Korean	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	6	2	0	0	0	5	1	4	8	6	5	0
Vietnamese	3	0	3	3	7	10	1	2	5	1	9	2	3	6	4	0	0	3	9	3
Mixed race	5	0	11	2	7	12	4	1	6	8	2	14	2	0	5	10	0	8	6	1
Other	3	17	0	1	9	0	5	2	4	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	19	4	12	1
DK/NA/Refused	4	0	6	0	0	0	11	2	4	10	0	5	0	0	6	5	0	2	9	2

Table 95: Q23. EDUCATION

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	38	38	67	46	30	43	27	25	34	31	37	33	53	37	28	39	37	35	44	44
High school or less	12	13	33	19	5	16	5	7	8	18	9	15	20	10	7	14	7	11	11	17
Some college	20	19	25	21	19	20	18	15	21	12	22	15	24	21	16	19	23	19	23	22
Business/vocational school	6	6	10	7	5	7	4	3	5	1	6	4	8	7	4	6	7	6	10	5
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	61	30	53	67	56	69	70	65	63	62	63	45	61	70	60	61	63	54	53
College graduate (4)	37	43	20	37	37	34	42	46	39	40	38	39	28	40	42	36	39	39	33	33
Post-graduate work/Professional	23	18	11	16	30	22	27	24	26	23	24	24	17	21	29	23	22	25	21	20
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	2	1	2	1	3	1	4	6	1	6	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
DK/Refused	2	1	2	1	3	1	4	6	1	6	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	38	38	49	29	43	43	34	47	32	22	25	52	26	44	32	44	49	37	42	38	38	39
High school or less	12	14	19	7	11	15	9	19	8	4	5	18	5	15	9	15	19	8	14	11	14	20
Some college	20	18	22	16	28	24	18	23	19	14	15	26	18	21	18	21	26	24	22	21	19	13
Business/vocational school	6	6	8	6	4	4	6	5	6	4	4	8	3	8	5	8	4	5	6	6	5	6
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	60	48	69	56	55	65	51	66	76	73	46	73	52	66	54	49	62	53	61	57	57
College graduate (4)	37	35	33	43	33	32	41	34	39	41	41	32	47	29	43	31	26	35	32	37	35	38
Post-graduate work/Professional	23	25	15	26	24	23	24	17	26	35	32	13	26	23	23	23	22	26	21	24	22	19
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	2	2	3	2	0	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	1	6	1	6	4
DK/Refused	2	2	3	2	0	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	1	6	1	6	4

Table 95: Q23. EDUCATION

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	38	39	35	34	39	38	37	45	29	36	47	32	15	27	43	25	62	55	44	54	52
High school or less	12	11	14	16	8	15	14	16	4	10	20	11	1	8	13	3	22	13	22	31	21
Some college	20	21	19	13	26	15	19	19	20	19	24	18	13	16	22	18	28	31	16	17	23
Business/vocational school	6	7	2	5	5	8	4	10	5	6	4	4	1	3	8	4	12	11	6	6	9
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	60	60	64	60	61	58	50	70	63	50	67	85	73	56	74	37	43	54	44	46
College graduate (4)	37	36	42	39	35	37	40	33	42	39	31	33	44	36	39	43	30	24	34	28	31
Post-graduate work/Professional	23	24	17	26	25	23	19	17	29	24	18	34	41	36	17	31	7	19	20	16	15
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	2	1	5	2	1	2	5	5	1	2	3	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
DK/Refused	2	1	5	2	1	2	5	5	1	2	3	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE			
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	38	30	29	39	47	0	0	100	0	100	100	0	0	36	32	57	32	80	82		
High school or less	12	5	7	10	20	0	0	32	0	32	32	0	0	11	9	23	8	32	56		
Some college	20	22	17	22	23	0	0	53	0	58	48	0	0	21	18	26	19	32	21		
Business/vocational school	6	3	4	7	4	0	0	16	0	11	20	0	0	4	6	8	6	16	5		
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	70	71	60	48	100	100	0	100	0	0	100	100	62	67	43	66	19	14		
College graduate (4)	37	42	42	39	30	100	0	62	0	0	63	61	38	41	29	40	19	19	12		
Post-graduate work/Professional	23	27	29	21	18	0	100	0	38	0	0	37	39	24	26	14	26	0	2		
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	2	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	1	4		
DK/Refused	2	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	1	4		

Table 95: Q23. EDUCATION

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	38	74	65	40	28	25	11	60	21	27	13	50	59	80	19	16	41	38	38
High school or less	12	35	23	5	3	6	3	21	5	6	2	22	16	34	1	3	16	12	12
Some college	20	29	31	24	22	16	7	28	14	19	9	22	30	35	17	11	21	20	20
Business/vocational school	6	10	10	10	2	2	1	10	2	2	1	6	13	11	2	1	4	6	6
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	24	35	59	71	75	89	39	79	73	87	47	41	20	81	84	59	60	60
College graduate (4)	37	17	27	46	48	50	43	30	47	49	45	31	33	20	49	49	37	37	37
Post-graduate work/Professional	23	7	8	13	23	25	46	10	32	23	43	16	8	0	32	35	22	23	23
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
DK/Refused	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	38	36	41	45	25	32	41	49	47	33	45	48	34	46	41	34	39	33	50
High school or less	12	11	13	12	7	11	13	16	22	9	16	19	9	18	13	11	12	7	19
Some college	20	21	20	30	13	14	22	26	17	18	22	23	20	20	19	19	23	18	22
Business/vocational school	6	4	8	4	5	8	6	7	7	6	7	7	5	8	9	3	4	8	9
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	63	58	55	74	65	55	49	50	66	52	49	65	52	57	65	59	66	48
College graduate (4)	37	39	35	44	51	36	30	27	17	45	26	23	40	30	38	44	32	46	22
Post-graduate work/Professional	23	23	23	10	23	29	25	22	33	21	26	27	24	21	19	21	27	20	25
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	2	1	2	1	1	3	3	2	3	1	3	3	2	3	2	1	2	1	2
DK/Refused	2	1	2	1	1	3	3	2	3	1	3	3	2	3	2	1	2	1	2

Table 95: Q23. EDUCATION

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	38	27	42	42	51	41	40	31	37	42	51	44	34	31	27	41	30	45	30	41
High school or less	12	7	11	11	29	21	4	8	9	16	21	15	10	5	6	13	7	13	12	14
Some college	20	16	26	23	15	14	24	20	20	21	19	21	12	25	16	22	17	25	11	22
Business/vocational school	6	4	6	8	7	6	12	3	7	5	10	8	12	2	4	6	6	8	6	5
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	71	56	57	43	55	59	68	62	55	48	53	66	69	72	58	70	52	70	55
College graduate (4)	37	51	26	33	26	43	32	43	38	35	26	33	49	45	42	37	38	34	51	30
Post-graduate work/Professional	23	20	30	24	18	12	27	26	23	20	22	20	16	24	30	21	33	18	20	25
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	2	1	2	1	6	4	1	0	2	3	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	4
DK/Refused	2	1	2	1	6	4	1	0	2	3	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	4

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	38	34	37	45	43	42	36	32	32	30	28	27	37	41	32	50	31	32
High school or less	12	9	10	16	19	12	10	8	8	7	6	6	12	11	11	18	7	9
Some college	20	21	18	21	17	23	20	19	19	19	17	18	20	22	18	24	21	15
Business/vocational school	6	4	10	8	7	7	6	5	5	5	4	3	6	8	4	8	3	8
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	65	61	52	55	55	62	66	67	68	71	72	61	57	66	47	68	66
College graduate (4)	37	40	38	40	32	32	38	39	37	37	36	34	37	37	39	30	41	42
Post-graduate work/Professional	23	24	22	13	23	24	24	27	30	31	35	38	24	20	27	17	27	24
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	2	1	2	3	3	3	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	2
DK/Refused	2	1	2	3	3	3	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	2

Table 95: Q23. EDUCATION

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>NON-COLLEGE</u>	38	36	47	53	44	24	39	32	38	37	23	33	32	34	52	29	26	47	56	54
High school or less	12	9	19	21	21	6	9	9	10	9	5	14	13	7	21	6	6	17	16	23
Some college	20	24	22	27	16	18	24	14	21	23	18	15	12	21	25	17	14	23	26	27
Business/vocational school	6	3	6	5	7	0	6	8	7	6	0	4	7	7	6	6	6	7	14	4
<u>COLLEGE+</u>	60	62	52	46	52	76	60	66	60	62	76	64	64	66	47	70	70	50	41	43
College graduate (4)	37	42	32	32	31	47	36	44	34	37	47	42	47	41	27	45	29	33	29	30
Post-graduate work/Professional	23	21	20	14	21	29	24	22	25	26	29	22	17	25	20	25	41	17	12	13
<u>DK/REFUSED</u>	2	2	1	1	4	0	1	2	3	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	3	2	3	3
DK/Refused	2	2	1	1	4	0	1	2	3	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	3	2	3	3

Table 96: Q24. IDEOLOGY

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
Progressive	23	26	11	22	24	24	21	24	32	9	26	13	18	28	23	23	21	24	21	23
Liberal	30	32	28	31	30	31	29	17	33	20	33	23	29	29	32	31	28	32	28	24
Moderate	23	24	19	23	24	22	26	27	19	38	20	36	25	23	22	22	27	23	23	25
Conservative	8	10	8	9	7	8	9	10	5	11	7	12	6	9	8	7	12	7	8	9
Other	3	3	6	4	2	3	3	2	2	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	4	2	5	7
DK/NA/Refused	13	5	29	12	14	13	13	20	9	18	11	12	19	8	11	14	8	12	15	12

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Progressive	23	26	22	26	19	26	21	26	22	26	27	18	33	11	29	14	19	31	8	26	9	15
Liberal	30	28	31	33	32	30	32	29	32	38	36	24	34	20	34	26	26	34	12	34	13	21
Moderate	23	24	22	22	29	24	24	20	25	22	21	26	19	36	20	31	17	20	35	21	35	24
Conservative	8	9	14	6	6	7	7	7	8	4	5	11	7	12	7	10	7	6	16	7	17	7
Other	3	1	5	3	4	4	3	5	2	2	2	4	2	5	2	5	4	2	5	3	5	4
DK/NA/Refused	13	12	7	10	10	10	13	14	11	8	9	16	6	16	9	15	27	7	24	10	20	29

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
Progressive	23	26	10	21	33	18	11	15	27	22	26	16	21	18	28	30	22	25	11	8	18
Liberal	30	34	17	20	33	33	18	26	34	31	29	31	37	33	30	37	28	30	23	20	26
Moderate	23	21	36	21	18	24	34	16	23	24	19	31	29	31	18	21	27	17	27	28	25
Conservative	8	7	14	6	7	6	15	16	7	8	8	11	7	10	7	5	11	6	11	9	10
Other	3	3	6	1	2	3	6	3	2	3	5	2	1	2	4	2	3	9	3	3	4
DK/NA/Refused	13	10	17	31	7	16	17	24	7	12	13	9	4	7	13	4	8	12	24	32	17

Table 96: Q24. IDEOLOGY

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
Progressive	23	100	0	0	0	26	27	18	27	18	18	30	23	28	23	17	25	8	1
Liberal	30	0	100	0	0	34	38	23	36	18	27	32	41	32	33	25	32	33	10
Moderate	23	0	0	100	0	24	21	24	23	33	16	25	22	21	23	26	23	37	11
Conservative	8	0	0	0	100	6	6	10	6	12	8	8	5	5	8	13	7	17	13
Other	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	2	4	6	1	2	2	3	5	3	5	2
DK/NA/Refused	13	0	0	0	0	7	6	20	7	14	25	5	8	12	10	13	10	0	64
	Q25 INCOME									INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE							A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
Progressive	23	15	24	25	24	29	27	21	27	25	30	24	24	11	31	27	23	23	23
Liberal	30	20	32	35	31	34	36	29	34	33	34	29	31	25	38	35	26	29	31
Moderate	23	22	22	26	26	23	25	23	25	24	25	21	24	27	22	25	29	24	22
Conservative	8	5	7	9	9	10	8	7	9	11	7	5	7	11	5	9	15	8	7
Other	3	10	4	0	3	0	1	5	1	1	1	4	5	7	1	2	0	2	4
DK/NA/Refused	13	27	11	6	8	4	3	15	4	6	3	17	10	19	4	4	7	13	12
	Q27 GENDER				AGE					PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE						
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Progressive	23	26	21	31	31	19	19	20	6	28	17	14	29	15	3	30	19	25	15
Liberal	30	27	35	29	28	35	35	23	28	30	30	25	39	20	4	26	28	36	33
Moderate	23	28	19	21	21	22	24	27	27	21	26	27	19	30	29	26	31	17	22
Conservative	8	10	6	7	9	9	6	9	9	8	7	9	3	8	48	11	8	6	7
Other	3	2	4	3	3	3	4	3	5	3	4	3	2	5	4	2	2	3	5
DK/NA/Refused	13	8	15	10	7	12	13	18	25	10	17	21	8	22	12	6	12	12	19

Table 96: Q24. IDEOLOGY

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Progressive	23	34	23	20	7	4	3	32	27	20	10	5	0	100	0	0	43	0	9	0
Liberal	30	37	40	23	15	3	5	38	40	14	27	3	6	0	100	0	57	0	10	0
Moderate	23	15	24	31	29	31	26	21	18	41	21	29	30	0	0	85	0	79	81	0
Conservative	8	3	3	8	7	54	43	3	3	9	7	52	41	0	0	15	0	21	0	100
Other	3	2	1	4	8	0	8	1	3	4	6	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA/Refused	13	7	9	14	34	9	16	5	9	13	29	9	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
Progressive	23	31	27	4	16	11	23	25	26	26	27	28	23	20	25	25	17	22
Liberal	30	39	40	4	18	29	31	33	33	32	32	35	31	29	35	24	39	27
Moderate	23	19	19	29	31	25	22	22	22	24	23	23	22	22	21	22	27	25
Conservative	8	3	4	48	6	15	8	6	5	5	4	4	7	10	5	9	7	11
Other	3	2	2	4	6	4	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	5	1	4	2	4
DK/NA/Refused	13	7	8	11	24	16	12	11	11	11	11	9	13	13	13	16	8	11

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Progressive	23	30	19	18	36	12	24	19	27	21	12	17	21	32	22	15	32	33	24	18
Liberal	30	39	28	20	23	45	31	28	30	30	46	37	22	33	22	34	32	24	28	24
Moderate	23	13	28	21	17	27	30	28	19	32	26	25	24	18	29	26	16	22	16	23
Conservative	8	0	6	14	7	8	7	11	8	6	8	7	13	2	6	12	4	10	10	10
Other	3	7	3	3	4	2	1	4	3	2	1	1	6	2	4	3	4	1	8	0
DK/NA/Refused	13	12	16	23	12	6	8	11	14	8	7	12	14	13	17	10	11	11	13	24

Table 97: Q25. INCOME

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
\$0-\$74.5K	33	35	47	39	27	36	26	28	32	25	33	28	47	34	23	34	30	30	43	38
Less than \$34,499	11	10	24	14	8	12	9	7	11	9	10	10	18	9	8	12	9	9	18	14
\$34,500 - \$52,499	11	13	10	12	9	12	7	9	10	10	11	11	16	14	6	11	10	10	13	22
\$52,500 - \$74,499	11	12	13	12	9	11	10	12	11	6	12	7	13	12	9	11	11	12	11	3
\$74.5K+	48	52	23	44	52	45	54	51	52	44	50	47	33	48	58	47	51	52	36	34
\$74,500 - \$99,999	11	13	8	11	10	12	10	9	12	12	11	12	11	11	10	10	12	11	12	7
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	16	6	13	19	14	18	18	17	13	17	14	10	16	19	16	17	17	10	11
\$175,000 - \$199,999	5	5	4	5	4	5	6	5	6	5	5	5	1	6	7	4	7	6	2	3
\$200,000 or more	16	18	5	14	18	15	20	19	17	14	17	16	10	14	22	17	15	18	11	13
REFUSED	19	13	29	17	21	19	20	21	16	31	17	25	21	18	19	19	19	17	21	27
Refused	19	13	29	17	21	19	20	21	16	31	17	25	21	18	19	19	19	17	21	27

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
\$0-\$74.5K	33	32	43	28	33	40	29	43	27	22	25	43	26	34	31	33	44	31	21	35	22	32
Less than \$34,499	11	9	13	9	10	15	8	18	6	8	8	14	7	10	10	9	21	10	5	12	4	13
\$34,500 - \$52,499	11	9	16	9	12	14	10	14	9	8	8	15	10	12	10	11	12	10	9	11	10	10
\$52,500 - \$74,499	11	14	14	10	11	11	11	11	11	6	8	14	9	12	10	13	11	10	7	11	9	9
\$74.5K+	48	46	39	54	48	45	51	38	54	54	54	41	60	42	53	44	33	53	52	49	47	36
\$74,500 - \$99,999	11	6	12	12	12	10	12	14	10	9	10	11	9	12	9	14	9	12	13	11	10	7
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	16	12	18	16	15	16	12	19	14	17	15	20	14	19	14	8	18	18	17	16	9
\$175,000 - \$199,999	5	6	4	6	5	4	6	4	5	7	6	4	8	3	6	3	4	6	5	5	4	3
\$200,000 or more	16	17	12	18	15	16	17	8	20	24	21	11	22	14	19	13	13	18	17	16	17	18
REFUSED	19	22	18	19	19	16	20	19	19	24	21	17	14	23	16	23	23	16	26	16	30	32
Refused	19	22	18	19	19	16	20	19	19	24	21	17	14	23	16	23	23	16	26	16	30	32

Table 97: Q25. INCOME

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
\$0-\$74.5K	33	35	25	25	31	37	27	40	28	30	46	23	13	20	41	25	50	46	35	42	42
Less than \$34,499	11	13	3	12	10	15	4	14	7	9	21	5	4	5	14	5	16	22	15	19	17
\$34,500 - \$52,499	11	11	13	9	10	11	15	10	12	10	16	7	3	5	15	8	22	12	9	11	15
\$52,500 - \$74,499	11	12	8	4	11	12	8	16	9	11	9	11	6	10	12	12	12	11	10	11	11
\$74.5K+	48	49	44	46	53	44	44	31	59	52	32	52	75	59	45	61	32	40	41	30	38
\$74,500 - \$99,999	11	11	11	6	12	9	11	3	9	11	10	10	10	10	12	12	8	11	10	10	9
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	16	16	14	19	13	16	8	22	17	13	15	22	17	17	21	13	10	13	10	13
\$175,000 - \$199,999	5	5	4	4	5	5	3	1	5	5	2	5	7	5	5	6	2	7	4	0	4
\$200,000 or more	16	16	14	22	16	17	15	19	22	19	7	23	35	27	11	22	9	12	14	10	12
REFUSED	19	16	31	28	16	19	29	29	13	18	22	25	12	21	14	14	17	15	24	28	20
Refused	19	16	31	28	16	19	29	29	13	18	22	25	12	21	14	14	17	15	24	28	20

	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
\$0-\$74.5K	33	31	31	33	30	26	14	52	22	47	56	21	23	43	28	36	31	53	47
Less than \$34,499	11	7	7	11	8	5	3	22	4	18	25	5	4	17	9	10	9	23	30
\$34,500 - \$52,499	11	11	11	10	10	8	4	18	6	19	18	6	6	10	10	17	11	16	6
\$52,500 - \$74,499	11	12	12	12	12	13	6	11	11	10	12	10	12	16	10	9	11	14	11
\$74.5K+	48	57	53	50	53	61	66	26	63	36	18	67	60	45	54	41	52	14	15
\$74,500 - \$99,999	11	11	11	12	12	14	11	8	13	8	8	12	14	11	11	10	11	5	6
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	18	18	15	24	21	16	11	19	19	5	21	18	18	17	13	17	5	3
\$175,000 - \$199,999	5	8	5	5	2	7	6	2	6	3	2	6	7	4	6	4	5	2	0
\$200,000 or more	16	19	19	18	16	19	32	5	24	7	3	28	21	11	21	15	18	2	6
REFUSED	19	13	16	16	17	13	20	22	16	17	26	12	18	12	17	23	17	33	38
Refused	19	13	16	16	17	13	20	22	16	17	26	12	18	12	17	23	17	33	38

Table 97: Q25. INCOME

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$74.5K- \$175K+	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
	Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576
<u>\$0-\$74.5K</u>	33	100	100	100	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	100	100	100	0	0	0	29	37
Less than \$34,499	11	100	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	40	31	28	0	0	0	10	13
\$34,500 - \$52,499	11	0	100	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	23	35	47	0	0	0	10	12
\$52,500 - \$74,499	11	0	0	100	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	37	34	25	0	0	0	9	12
<u>\$74.5K+</u>	48	0	0	0	100	100	100	0	100	100	100	0	0	0	100	100	100	50	45
\$74,500 - \$99,999	11	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	23	41	0	0	0	0	26	20	24	11	11
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	0	0	0	0	77	0	0	33	59	0	0	0	0	40	31	32	18	14
\$175,000 - \$199,999	5	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	10	0	23	0	0	0	10	11	9	5	5
\$200,000 or more	16	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	34	0	77	0	0	0	25	38	35	17	15
<u>REFUSED</u>	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	18
Refused	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	18

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317
<u>\$0-\$74.5K</u>	33	30	36	38	28	26	34	41	37	30	37	39	32	36	31	29	33	32	41	
Less than \$34,499	11	10	13	10	8	7	15	14	18	8	15	16	9	17	4	8	13	9	18	
\$34,500 - \$52,499	11	11	11	16	8	10	10	11	12	11	11	12	12	11	6	11	11	11	11	
\$52,500 - \$74,499	11	10	12	12	12	8	9	15	7	11	11	12	11	8	21	11	9	12	12	
<u>\$74.5K+</u>	48	55	42	44	64	52	47	31	27	55	39	30	49	43	53	59	50	51	31	
\$74,500 - \$99,999	11	10	11	15	12	10	11	7	7	12	9	7	10	11	15	11	9	14	9	
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	20	12	17	19	14	17	13	10	17	14	12	16	14	20	20	21	15	10	
\$175,000 - \$199,999	5	5	5	4	6	4	6	4	2	5	4	3	6	3	4	4	6	6	4	
\$200,000 or more	16	20	13	8	27	24	14	8	8	20	11	8	17	15	14	24	14	17	9	
<u>REFUSED</u>	19	14	22	18	8	23	19	28	35	15	25	31	19	21	16	12	17	17	28	
Refused	19	14	22	18	8	23	19	28	35	15	25	31	19	21	16	12	17	17	28	

Table 97: Q25. INCOME

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
\$0-\$74.5K	33	28	37	34	38	32	30	30	33	32	41	26	44	32	30	33	29	33	40	26
Less than \$34,499	11	7	12	12	24	0	9	9	9	14	21	3	9	8	7	9	7	15	3	2
\$34,500 - \$52,499	11	10	14	14	6	11	0	12	12	10	12	8	0	12	11	14	11	8	16	0
\$52,500 - \$74,499	11	11	11	8	7	22	20	10	12	8	9	15	35	12	13	10	10	10	21	23
\$74.5K+	48	56	41	52	31	58	47	55	45	54	35	61	36	56	56	43	51	57	51	58
\$74,500 - \$99,999	11	11	9	14	7	18	11	9	11	12	11	13	19	9	11	9	15	13	14	15
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	17	15	17	11	18	23	19	13	19	11	26	8	17	20	14	16	19	12	25
\$175,000 - \$199,999	5	6	5	3	4	5	2	5	6	4	2	5	0	10	5	4	3	5	5	4
\$200,000 or more	16	22	12	19	9	17	11	21	14	19	11	17	9	19	20	15	17	20	20	14
REFUSED	19	16	22	14	31	10	23	14	22	15	24	13	20	12	14	24	20	9	8	16
Refused	19	16	22	14	31	10	23	14	22	15	24	13	20	12	14	24	20	9	8	16

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
\$0-\$74.5K	33	34	18	35	39	27	32	30	28	27	26	23	33	34	35	38	26	29
Less than \$34,499	11	10	7	5	19	8	10	10	9	8	8	8	12	9	11	14	9	10
\$34,500 - \$52,499	11	13	3	5	12	9	11	9	9	8	8	6	11	12	13	12	8	10
\$52,500 - \$74,499	11	12	8	25	7	10	11	11	10	10	11	9	11	12	11	12	9	10
\$74.5K+	48	49	56	50	42	47	49	51	53	53	54	57	47	49	51	41	54	49
\$74,500 - \$99,999	11	10	7	15	11	15	11	10	10	11	10	11	12	7	10	11	11	10
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	15	20	20	14	18	16	17	19	18	19	18	14	23	15	14	18	18
\$175,000 - \$199,999	5	6	10	3	3	1	5	5	6	6	6	7	5	4	5	3	8	5
\$200,000 or more	16	18	19	13	14	14	17	18	18	19	19	20	17	15	21	13	16	15
REFUSED	19	17	26	15	19	25	19	19	20	20	20	20	20	17	14	22	20	22
Refused	19	17	26	15	19	25	19	19	20	20	20	20	20	17	14	22	20	22

Table 97: Q25. INCOME

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>\$0-\$74.5K</u>	33	43	49	38	33	22	26	29	31	25	24	40	31	36	52	25	24	37	34	41
Less than \$34,499	11	14	18	10	17	7	11	10	9	10	7	13	13	10	22	6	7	14	13	10
\$34,500 - \$52,499	11	17	17	17	5	10	6	8	10	7	10	12	9	14	17	8	7	9	12	17
\$52,500 - \$74,499	11	12	14	10	11	5	9	11	11	9	8	14	9	12	12	10	9	14	9	14
<u>\$74.5K+</u>	48	44	40	35	43	64	51	48	50	52	61	44	44	53	39	56	51	41	46	32
\$74,500 - \$99,999	11	7	7	13	10	9	11	11	13	10	10	11	11	10	6	13	12	9	11	16
\$100,000 - \$174,999	16	11	14	6	18	24	15	17	16	16	22	14	18	15	15	20	14	15	19	6
\$175,000 - \$199,999	5	8	3	3	3	6	10	5	4	11	4	3	2	12	3	5	4	2	4	3
\$200,000 or more	16	18	15	13	12	24	15	15	17	15	26	15	13	16	15	18	21	15	12	8
<u>REFUSED</u>	19	12	11	27	24	14	24	24	20	22	15	16	24	11	9	19	25	22	21	27
Refused	19	12	11	27	24	14	24	24	20	22	15	16	24	11	9	19	25	22	21	27

Table 98: Q26. HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
1	27	25	25	25	29	26	29	26	29	21	28	23	31	27	24	29	21	27	34	23
1	27	25	25	25	29	26	29	26	29	21	28	23	31	27	24	29	21	27	34	23
2-3	52	53	49	52	51	51	50	52	55	48	51	49	45	55	54	50	56	54	46	47
2-3	52	53	49	52	51	51	50	52	55	48	51	49	45	55	54	50	56	54	46	47
4+	18	20	22	21	15	19	16	15	15	24	18	22	21	14	17	18	19	17	16	24
4-5	17	20	20	20	14	18	14	11	13	21	16	20	19	13	16	16	18	16	13	23
6 or more	2	1	3	1	2	2	1	5	2	3	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	3	0
REFUSED	3	1	4	2	5	3	4	6	1	7	3	6	2	3	4	3	4	3	4	7
Refused	3	1	4	2	5	3	4	6	1	7	3	6	2	3	4	3	4	3	4	7

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
1	27	21	21	32	28	26	27	29	27	26	27	26	31	28	28	25	26	30	21	28	19	33
1	27	21	21	32	28	26	27	29	27	26	27	26	31	28	28	25	26	30	21	28	19	33
2-3	52	62	44	50	46	53	51	44	53	57	55	48	54	48	54	49	49	52	49	52	50	45
2-3	52	62	44	50	46	53	51	44	53	57	55	48	54	48	54	49	49	52	49	52	50	45
4+	18	14	30	16	23	17	18	23	16	14	15	22	14	18	15	23	19	17	20	18	22	13
4-5	17	13	27	15	21	16	17	21	15	13	14	20	13	16	14	20	16	15	17	17	19	12
6 or more	2	1	4	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1
REFUSED	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	2	5	2	4	6	2	10	2	9	9
Refused	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	2	5	2	4	6	2	10	2	9	9

Table 98: Q26. HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
1	27	28	21	31	29	27	22	22	32	23	45	9	30	16	35	33	18	31	23	23	23
1	27	28	21	31	29	27	22	22	32	23	45	9	30	16	35	33	18	31	23	23	23
2-3	52	53	50	45	52	51	50	56	53	54	40	64	59	62	48	55	54	51	44	39	49
2-3	52	53	50	45	52	51	50	56	53	54	40	64	59	62	48	55	54	51	44	39	49
4+	18	18	20	14	17	18	20	16	15	20	10	25	9	20	16	12	26	18	29	32	25
4-5	17	17	18	12	15	18	18	13	14	19	9	22	9	18	16	11	23	16	26	30	22
6 or more	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	1	3	0	2	1	0	3	1	3	2	3
REFUSED	3	2	9	9	2	3	8	6	1	2	5	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	5	6	3
Refused	3	2	9	9	2	3	8	6	1	2	5	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	5	6	3

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
1	27	33	28	25	15	27	28	25	28	24	27	29	27	100	0	0	29	5	20
1	27	33	28	25	15	27	28	25	28	24	27	29	27	100	0	0	29	5	20
2-3	52	53	56	52	52	57	58	44	58	45	43	57	59	0	100	0	52	62	43
2-3	52	53	56	52	52	57	58	44	58	45	43	57	59	0	100	0	52	62	43
4+	18	14	15	21	30	14	11	27	13	28	27	12	14	0	0	100	17	33	27
4-5	17	13	14	20	25	14	11	24	13	24	24	12	14	0	0	92	15	31	24
6 or more	2	0	1	1	5	0	0	4	0	4	3	1	0	0	0	8	1	2	2
REFUSED	3	1	2	2	2	1	2	4	2	3	4	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	11
Refused	3	1	2	2	2	1	2	4	2	3	4	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	11

Table 98: Q26. HOUSEHOLD SIZE

Column n-Size	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
	Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576
1	27	41	24	40	29	29	18	35	25	30	20	100	0	0	100	0	0	26	27
1	27	41	24	40	29	29	18	35	25	30	20	100	0	0	100	0	0	26	27
2-3	52	40	48	46	53	56	65	45	59	54	64	0	100	0	0	100	0	52	51
2-3	52	40	48	46	53	56	65	45	59	54	64	0	100	0	0	100	0	52	51
4+	18	16	28	15	17	15	16	20	16	16	16	0	0	100	0	0	100	17	19
4-5	17	15	27	10	17	13	15	17	15	15	15	0	0	89	0	0	94	16	17
6 or more	2	1	1	5	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	11	0	0	6	1	2
REFUSED	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2
Refused	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2

Column n-Size	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317
1	27	27	27	20	30	26	22	39	28	26	28	34	27	29	19	27	28	25	29
1	27	27	27	20	30	26	22	39	28	26	28	34	27	29	19	27	28	25	29
2-3	52	52	52	42	56	45	56	52	61	49	56	55	54	46	55	50	56	49	55
2-3	52	52	52	42	56	45	56	52	61	49	56	55	54	46	55	50	56	49	55
4+	18	18	19	36	13	25	18	5	4	23	11	5	16	22	23	22	12	25	11
4-5	17	16	18	34	11	24	17	2	4	22	10	3	14	20	20	19	11	24	10
6 or more	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	3	0	2	1	2	2	1	3	2	1	1	1
REFUSED	3	2	3	2	1	4	5	4	7	2	5	6	3	3	3	2	3	1	5
Refused	3	2	3	2	1	4	5	4	7	2	5	6	3	3	3	2	3	1	5

Table 98: Q26. HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
1	27	25	29	30	27	14	25	28	26	30	28	17	26	32	27	20	34	27	11	28
1	27	25	29	30	27	14	25	28	26	30	28	17	26	32	27	20	34	27	11	28
2-3	52	52	56	41	54	53	57	56	53	46	48	51	64	55	58	57	45	45	71	46
2-3	52	52	56	41	54	53	57	56	53	46	48	51	64	55	58	57	45	45	71	46
4+	18	20	11	27	13	33	12	14	18	21	23	30	6	13	13	21	21	26	16	24
4-5	17	18	9	26	12	31	9	12	16	20	22	27	6	12	11	19	21	24	13	21
6 or more	2	2	1	2	0	2	3	2	1	1	1	4	0	1	1	2	0	2	3	3
REFUSED	3	2	5	2	6	0	7	2	3	3	1	2	4	1	2	3	0	2	1	2
Refused	3	2	5	2	6	0	7	2	3	3	1	2	4	1	2	3	0	2	1	2

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
1	27	33	6	19	34	8	26	28	28	28	29	28	27	26	38	25	28	17
1	27	33	6	19	34	8	26	28	28	28	29	28	27	26	38	25	28	17
2-3	52	52	61	55	46	52	53	54	53	54	54	57	51	53	51	52	51	53
2-3	52	52	61	55	46	52	53	54	53	54	54	57	51	53	51	52	51	53
4+	18	12	30	22	17	35	18	15	15	15	13	11	18	19	9	20	18	26
4-5	17	11	24	21	16	31	16	14	14	14	12	11	17	17	9	17	18	24
6 or more	2	0	6	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	0	3	0	2
REFUSED	3	3	3	3	3	5	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	2	2	3	3	5
Refused	3	3	3	3	3	5	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	2	2	3	3	5

Table 98: Q26. HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
1	27	29	49	12	40	37	19	14	23	20	35	38	11	29	52	18	34	28	15	12
1	27	29	49	12	40	37	19	14	23	20	35	38	11	29	52	18	34	28	15	12
2-3	52	63	38	58	45	49	51	53	56	51	52	46	50	59	36	55	51	53	60	53
2-3	52	63	38	58	45	49	51	53	56	51	52	46	50	59	36	55	51	53	60	53
4+	18	6	10	26	14	11	27	27	18	26	10	11	31	8	9	25	11	18	21	32
4-5	17	6	10	19	14	11	26	25	16	25	10	9	28	8	9	25	11	15	19	25
6 or more	2	0	0	7	0	0	1	2	2	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	3	1	8
REFUSED	3	2	3	4	1	2	3	6	3	3	3	5	7	4	2	3	3	0	4	3
Refused	3	2	3	4	1	2	3	6	3	3	3	5	7	4	2	3	3	0	4	3

Table 99: Q27. GENDER

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI					
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT			
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----			
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112			
<u>MEN</u>	47	54	35	49	45	47	50	57	46	57	45	56	51	45	46	46	49	47	53	46			
Men	47	54	35	49	45	47	50	57	45	57	45	56	51	44	46	46	49	46	52	46			
Trans Men	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0			
<u>WOMEN</u>	51	45	65	51	51	52	46	37	53	39	53	40	48	52	52	52	49	52	45	52			
Women	51	45	65	50	51	52	46	36	53	38	53	39	47	52	52	51	48	51	44	52			
Trans Women	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0			
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	2	1	0	0	4	1	4	6	2	4	2	3	1	3	2	2	2	2	3	2			
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0			
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Refused	2	0	0	0	3	1	3	6	1	4	1	3	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	2			
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99	
<u>MEN</u>	47	57	49	45	46	40	50	44	50	50	47	48	52	56	48	51	33	50	55	47	58	28	
Men	47	57	49	45	46	40	50	44	49	50	47	48	51	56	47	51	33	50	55	47	58	28	
Trans Men	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>WOMEN</u>	51	42	49	53	52	59	48	55	48	47	50	51	46	41	51	47	65	49	39	51	38	66	
Women	51	42	49	52	52	57	47	55	47	46	50	51	46	40	50	46	65	49	38	51	37	65	
Trans Women	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	1	2	3	2	3	2	1	6	1	4	6	
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Refused	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	2	2	1	1	3	1	2	2	1	6	1	4	4	

Table 99: Q27. GENDER

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>MEN</u>	47	47	56	35	50	41	54	29	59	48	45	46	50	47	48	52	51	44	40	42	44
Men	47	46	56	35	50	41	54	29	58	47	45	46	49	47	48	52	51	44	40	42	44
Trans Men	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>WOMEN</u>	51	52	40	59	49	57	42	68	39	50	53	52	48	51	51	47	48	56	59	58	55
Women	51	52	39	58	49	56	41	68	39	50	53	52	48	51	50	47	48	54	59	58	55
Trans Women	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	2	1	4	6	1	2	4	3	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	1	4	4	1	1	4	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION			EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
<u>MEN</u>	47	52	41	56	60	50	48	44	49	100	0	100	0	48	48	47	49	44	27
Men	47	52	41	56	60	50	47	44	49	99	0	100	0	48	47	46	48	44	27
Trans Men	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<u>WOMEN</u>	51	46	58	43	40	48	50	55	49	0	100	0	100	51	51	53	49	56	73
Women	51	45	58	43	40	48	50	54	49	0	99	0	99	49	51	52	49	56	73
Trans Women	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	2	1	1	1	0	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	2	0	0
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	0

Table 99: Q27. GENDER

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576
<u>MEN</u>	47	40	46	43	45	57	58	43	55	54	56	48	40	43	51	56	54	47	47
Men	47	40	46	43	45	56	58	43	54	53	55	48	40	43	51	56	52	47	47
Trans Men	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
<u>WOMEN</u>	51	58	52	56	54	42	41	56	45	46	43	50	59	57	47	43	46	51	51
Women	51	57	52	54	54	42	40	55	44	46	43	48	59	55	46	43	46	50	51
Trans Women	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	2	2
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
<u>MEN</u>	47	100	0	46	57	44	46	41	39	50	43	40	44	47	68	100	100	0	0
Men	47	100	0	45	57	43	46	41	39	50	43	40	44	47	68	99	100	0	0
Trans Men	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<u>WOMEN</u>	51	0	100	54	41	53	52	57	59	48	55	57	54	49	31	0	0	100	100
Women	51	0	99	54	41	52	51	57	59	48	54	57	54	49	31	0	0	99	99
Trans Women	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	2	0	0	0	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	0
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	0

Table 99: Q27. GENDER

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>MEN</u>	47	47	41	50	44	76	59	100	0	100	0	100	0	49	43	48	45	62	69	74
Men	47	47	41	50	44	76	59	99	0	100	0	100	0	48	43	48	45	62	69	74
Trans Men	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>WOMEN</u>	51	52	57	47	53	23	39	0	100	0	100	0	100	50	56	52	53	36	31	26
Women	51	52	57	46	53	23	39	0	99	0	99	0	100	49	56	52	51	36	31	26
Trans Women	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	2	1	2	4	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	0	0
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	0	2	2	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
<u>MEN</u>	47	45	48	65	46	48	46	45	46	46	47	49	47	49	52	43	47	48
Men	47	44	48	65	46	48	46	45	46	46	47	48	46	49	52	43	47	47
Trans Men	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<u>WOMEN</u>	51	54	52	34	50	50	52	53	52	52	51	49	51	49	46	55	50	50
Women	51	53	52	34	50	50	52	52	51	51	50	48	51	49	46	55	50	50
Trans Women	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	2	2	0	1	4	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	2
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	1	0	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2

Table 99: Q27. GENDER

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>MEN</u>	47	47	53	23	38	52	46	50	48	46	52	45	49	47	57	47	53	43	47	26
Men	47	47	53	23	38	52	46	49	48	46	52	45	49	46	57	47	53	43	46	26
Trans Men	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
<u>WOMEN</u>	51	51	45	75	60	46	51	48	50	52	45	53	50	51	41	50	44	56	52	73
Women	51	51	44	75	59	46	51	47	50	52	45	53	48	51	39	50	44	55	52	73
Trans Women	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	2	1	2	2	3	3	1	1	1
Genderqueer/Gender Non-Binary	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refused	2	0	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	1	0	1	3	2	0	1	1

Table 100: LANGUAGE

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
English	89	86	55	78	100	85	95	96	94	85	90	90	79	92	94	88	90	90	91	85		
Spanish	5	9	11	10	0	6	2	2	5	1	6	0	11	4	1	5	6	5	7	7		
Chinese	6	4	34	13	0	8	3	2	1	15	4	10	10	4	5	7	4	5	2	8		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
English	89	86	82	96	92	86	91	86	92	94	94	84	93	91	89	90	82	91	90	89	90	84
Spanish	5	5	15	2	5	8	3	6	4	6	5	5	6	2	7	2	2	7	2	6	2	0
Chinese	6	9	3	2	4	6	6	9	4	0	0	12	1	7	4	7	15	2	8	5	8	16
	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562	
English	89	89	89	87	91	86	90	92	97	89	86	86	96	89	89	100	71	100	72	57	77	
Spanish	5	6	2	1	7	4	2	0	2	5	5	6	2	5	5	0	29	0	0	0	10	
Chinese	6	5	9	12	2	10	9	8	1	6	8	8	2	6	6	0	0	0	28	43	13	
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE			
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74			
English	89	98	93	89	79	95	99	76	97	84	69	96	97	94	89	81	100	0	0			
Spanish	5	2	5	8	11	3	0	10	2	9	11	2	1	1	6	9	0	100	0			
Chinese	6	0	2	3	10	2	1	14	2	7	20	2	1	5	5	9	0	0	100			

Table 100: LANGUAGE

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
English	89	73	89	87	94	98	97	83	97	96	97	94	79	72	99	97	91	88	89	
Spanish	5	10	7	6	2	2	1	8	1	2	1	1	11	13	0	2	3	5	5	
Chinese	6	17	3	7	4	1	2	9	2	2	2	6	10	15	1	1	6	7	6	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	
	Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
English	89	92	85	95	97	93	82	80	74	95	80	78	91	83	89	96	85	94	75	
Spanish	5	5	5	4	1	3	11	5	5	2	8	5	6	2	5	3	7	1	10	
Chinese	6	4	9	2	2	5	6	14	20	3	11	17	2	15	7	1	8	5	14	
	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
	Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29
English	89	97	84	93	69	90	87	93	90	90	76	91	83	98	93	82	95	91	90	90
Spanish	5	3	11	1	3	3	7	6	7	2	1	4	7	2	6	15	2	3	10	2
Chinese	6	0	5	6	28	7	6	1	3	8	22	5	10	0	1	4	3	6	0	8
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT						
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST		
	Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250	
English	89	92	85	90	82	89	89	90	91	92	92	94	88	90	93	80	97	90		
Spanish	5	5	12	6	1	5	5	5	4	3	3	2	5	3	3	9	0	5		
Chinese	6	2	2	5	16	7	6	6	5	5	5	4	6	7	4	11	3	5		

Table 100: LANGUAGE

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
English	89	95	90	71	73	97	95	90	88	96	97	89	91	94	91	89	90	80	84	70
Spanish	5	1	1	11	20	3	0	5	5	0	3	0	4	2	1	4	7	15	9	10
Chinese	6	4	9	18	7	0	5	5	7	4	0	11	5	4	8	6	3	6	8	20

Table 101: PARTY REGISTRATION

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
DEMOCRAT	62	62	50	59	65	62	60	52	70	35	67	45	58	62	65	62	63	63	64	61
Democrat	62	62	50	59	65	62	60	52	70	35	67	45	58	62	65	62	63	63	64	61
INDEPENDENT	31	30	46	35	27	31	31	34	26	49	28	41	36	31	27	32	29	30	28	31
No Party Preference	27	24	42	29	24	27	26	26	21	44	23	37	29	30	24	28	24	27	21	27
Other	4	6	4	5	3	4	5	8	5	5	5	4	7	2	4	4	5	4	7	3
REPUBLICAN	7	8	4	7	7	6	9	14	4	15	5	13	6	7	8	7	8	6	8	9
Republican	7	8	4	7	7	6	9	14	4	15	5	13	6	7	8	7	8	6	8	9

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
DEMOCRAT	62	63	60	65	65	66	61	61	64	72	70	53	69	51	69	51	61	70	40	66	39	58
Democrat	62	63	60	65	65	66	61	61	64	72	70	53	69	51	69	51	61	70	40	66	39	58
INDEPENDENT	31	32	32	29	25	28	31	31	29	25	25	37	27	33	27	35	37	26	40	29	40	36
No Party Preference	27	27	27	25	21	23	27	26	26	20	21	33	22	29	23	32	33	22	36	25	36	33
Other	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	6	3	5	4	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
REPUBLICAN	7	5	9	7	10	6	8	8	7	3	5	10	4	16	4	14	2	4	20	5	21	6
Republican	7	5	9	7	10	6	8	8	7	3	5	10	4	16	4	14	2	4	20	5	21	6

Table 101: PARTY REGISTRATION

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>DEMOCRAT</u>	62	66	47	57	72	59	45	52	67	62	62	64	60	63	61	69	67	64	46	38	58
Democrat	62	66	47	57	72	59	45	52	67	62	62	64	60	63	61	69	67	64	46	38	58
<u>INDEPENDENT</u>	31	29	36	38	24	36	37	35	23	30	34	29	30	29	32	25	26	32	46	54	36
No Party Preference	27	25	32	36	20	31	32	27	18	27	28	26	28	26	26	22	20	19	44	53	31
Other	4	4	4	2	3	5	5	8	5	4	6	3	2	3	5	3	6	13	2	1	5
<u>REPUBLICAN</u>	7	5	17	5	4	6	17	13	10	8	4	7	10	8	7	7	8	4	8	8	7
Republican	7	5	17	5	4	6	17	13	10	8	4	7	10	8	7	7	8	4	8	8	7

	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
<u>DEMOCRAT</u>	62	79	79	51	26	67	66	55	67	51	59	64	70	62	65	54	64	82	22
Democrat	62	79	79	51	26	67	66	55	67	51	59	64	70	62	65	54	64	82	22
<u>INDEPENDENT</u>	31	20	20	40	31	25	29	37	27	37	37	27	25	33	28	37	29	12	71
No Party Preference	27	18	16	36	27	21	25	33	23	35	32	24	21	26	24	35	24	12	71
Other	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	1	5	3	4	7	4	1	5	0	0
<u>REPUBLICAN</u>	7	1	1	9	43	7	6	7	7	12	4	9	5	5	7	9	7	7	7
Republican	7	1	1	9	43	7	6	7	7	12	4	9	5	5	7	9	7	7	7

	Q25 INCOME								INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT				
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$74.5K- \$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
<u>DEMOCRAT</u>	62	50	66	64	59	66	66	60	64	61	68	56	66	50	66	66	56	62	62
Democrat	62	50	66	64	59	66	66	60	64	61	68	56	66	50	66	66	56	62	62
<u>INDEPENDENT</u>	31	47	31	23	32	26	28	34	28	30	26	38	28	39	29	25	37	31	31
No Party Preference	27	43	26	17	27	23	24	29	24	25	22	31	24	36	24	21	36	27	27
Other	4	4	5	6	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	8	4	3	5	4	1	4	4
<u>REPUBLICAN</u>	7	3	4	13	10	8	6	7	8	9	6	6	5	11	5	9	7	7	7
Republican	7	3	4	13	10	8	6	7	8	9	6	6	5	11	5	9	7	7	7

Table 101: PARTY REGISTRATION

	Q27 GENDER			AGE									PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
DEMOCRAT	62	59	66	62	60	61	68	62	54	61	64	59	100	0	0	58	60	65	67
Democrat	62	59	66	62	60	61	68	62	54	61	64	59	100	0	0	58	60	65	67
INDEPENDENT	31	31	30	33	33	33	26	29	35	33	29	31	0	100	0	33	29	32	28
No Party Preference	27	28	25	27	27	30	23	24	34	28	25	28	0	87	0	30	25	25	25
Other	4	3	4	6	6	2	3	5	1	5	3	4	0	13	0	3	4	7	2
REPUBLICAN	7	10	4	5	7	6	6	9	11	6	8	10	0	0	100	10	11	3	6
Republican	7	10	4	5	7	6	6	9	11	6	8	10	0	0	100	10	11	3	6

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
DEMOCRAT	62	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	100	0	0	0	0
Democrat	62	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	100	0	0	0	0
INDEPENDENT	31	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0
No Party Preference	27	0	0	85	89	0	0	0	0	90	85	0	0	0	0	0	83	90	0	0
Other	4	0	0	15	11	0	0	0	0	10	15	0	0	0	0	0	17	10	0	0
REPUBLICAN	7	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
Republican	7	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	100	100

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT				
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
DEMOCRAT	62	100	100	0	0	43	63	66	67	68	70	73	64	54	62	62	59	64
Democrat	62	100	100	0	0	43	63	66	67	68	70	73	64	54	62	62	59	64
INDEPENDENT	31	0	0	0	100	48	30	28	27	26	25	22	30	34	31	33	33	26
No Party Preference	27	0	0	0	85	44	27	24	24	23	22	20	26	30	26	29	30	22
Other	4	0	0	0	15	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	4	6	4	3	4
REPUBLICAN	7	0	0	100	0	10	7	6	5	5	5	5	6	12	7	5	8	9
Republican	7	0	0	100	0	10	7	6	5	5	5	5	6	12	7	5	8	9

Table 101: PARTY REGISTRATION

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>DEMOCRAT</u>	62	60	62	60	69	60	60	66	61	62	57	54	62	62	65	63	69	67	63	55
Democrat	62	60	62	60	69	60	60	66	61	62	57	54	62	62	65	63	69	67	63	55
<u>INDEPENDENT</u>	31	39	32	35	29	30	34	25	32	33	33	31	27	33	31	27	29	29	30	37
No Party Preference	27	34	24	30	24	29	29	22	28	28	32	28	26	29	21	20	24	25	28	34
Other	4	5	8	5	5	1	5	3	3	4	1	3	2	5	10	7	5	4	2	2
<u>REPUBLICAN</u>	7	2	7	6	2	10	6	9	8	5	10	14	11	5	3	10	3	4	6	8
Republican	7	2	7	6	2	10	6	9	8	5	10	14	11	5	3	10	3	4	6	8

Table 102: FLAGS

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
P14	30	12	42	20	40	28	35	38	29	39	29	35	27	29	33	32	26	31	28	24
G14	43	27	48	33	53	42	45	47	45	42	44	42	36	42	48	42	45	44	42	37
P16	60	46	65	51	69	58	66	68	64	62	61	61	53	60	65	60	59	62	54	54
G16	46	28	47	33	59	43	52	52	49	49	47	47	41	43	51	47	42	47	42	41
P18	63	48	67	53	73	60	69	68	68	65	64	63	55	64	68	64	61	65	57	59
G18	39	25	43	30	49	38	45	43	40	38	40	39	32	39	45	40	36	42	29	36
P20	60	47	56	50	70	58	64	61	64	55	62	55	50	62	65	61	55	63	48	54
G20	92	90	89	90	95	91	95	92	92	94	93	94	88	93	95	92	95	93	89	95
BLANK	5	9	7	8	2	7	2	2	5	3	5	4	9	5	3	6	4	5	9	4

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
P14	30	23	18	33	37	31	30	24	33	38	38	22	25	38	26	35	33	28	31	29	32	39
G14	43	35	36	47	46	42	43	32	47	57	53	31	44	46	43	42	44	43	40	43	40	45
P16	60	56	48	64	63	60	61	51	64	76	72	47	64	60	60	58	64	61	63	60	59	65
G16	46	40	32	50	50	45	47	35	50	66	59	30	47	47	46	44	49	46	47	45	45	52
P18	63	58	49	68	68	60	63	52	67	79	76	49	69	62	65	59	66	64	62	62	59	75
G18	39	33	29	43	45	37	41	29	44	52	48	29	40	40	39	38	46	40	37	40	35	44
P20	60	55	41	65	64	58	60	48	65	77	72	46	64	59	62	56	59	61	62	59	58	66
G20	92	89	89	95	95	91	93	87	95	97	96	89	94	91	94	91	90	93	95	92	94	94
BLANK	5	7	10	4	3	6	5	9	3	3	2	8	4	7	4	7	6	5	2	6	2	2

Table 102: FLAGS

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
P14	30	28	34	46	27	32	32	29	33	29	32	44	43	44	22	37	17	23	27	30	23
G14	43	41	42	57	43	43	41	30	48	43	40	53	62	56	35	51	33	36	35	36	35
P16	60	58	63	76	60	59	61	45	64	61	54	74	74	74	53	69	49	48	55	55	52
G16	46	43	48	63	45	46	48	32	49	46	43	58	64	60	37	56	28	38	39	40	36
P18	63	61	63	79	64	63	62	60	66	63	61	72	77	74	57	74	47	54	56	51	53
G18	39	38	37	53	39	41	35	32	41	40	37	47	58	51	33	50	22	33	35	35	31
P20	60	59	58	72	61	59	57	57	59	61	55	67	78	70	54	73	49	46	48	44	48
G20	92	92	94	94	93	91	94	99	92	93	91	97	98	97	91	96	87	86	91	89	89
BLANK	5	6	2	3	4	7	2	0	4	5	6	2	1	2	7	3	7	7	6	7	7

	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
P14	30	30	32	32	22	27	48	22	35	21	23	37	33	34	31	21	31	19	33
G14	43	50	48	38	28	42	62	32	50	34	31	49	51	46	45	29	44	36	36
P16	60	66	65	55	49	62	75	50	67	47	51	63	71	62	63	48	60	56	57
G16	46	52	49	47	21	45	65	34	53	33	34	53	52	46	48	37	47	23	38
P18	63	71	68	59	44	64	80	52	70	48	55	69	70	65	66	51	65	50	45
G18	39	44	43	39	24	37	60	29	46	31	27	47	45	44	41	26	41	17	38
P20	60	71	65	57	37	60	78	49	67	50	49	64	70	62	62	48	62	54	37
G20	92	94	96	90	95	95	97	88	96	85	90	94	97	89	95	91	93	90	85
BLANK	5	5	2	9	2	3	1	10	2	13	7	4	1	8	3	8	5	5	9

	Q25 INCOME								INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT				
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
P14	30	30	17	34	30	29	33	27	31	31	30	34	29	11	32	31	27	29	32
G14	43	37	32	47	42	48	44	39	45	45	46	48	40	18	45	47	38	40	45
P16	60	54	50	57	58	68	64	54	64	63	66	60	55	37	64	67	55	60	60
G16	46	34	37	42	44	51	52	38	50	46	54	44	36	27	47	52	44	45	46
P18	63	49	52	58	59	70	73	53	69	65	73	57	56	36	69	71	61	64	62
G18	39	31	23	34	39	48	50	29	47	45	49	36	30	16	49	48	38	42	37
P20	60	43	42	62	59	70	69	49	67	65	69	54	50	37	67	70	57	60	59
G20	92	82	90	94	93	96	96	88	95	95	96	84	91	91	94	97	92	91	94
BLANK	5	12	6	5	6	2	3	8	3	4	2	13	4	9	4	2	6	6	5

Table 102: FLAGS

	Q27 GENDER			AGE									PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270	
P14	30	31	29	3	15	35	40	54	60	17	48	56	33	26	28	17	53	17	44	
G14	43	43	42	16	34	39	55	63	67	30	60	65	47	34	38	30	62	29	58	
P16	60	57	63	29	55	58	74	73	83	48	76	77	64	54	50	45	75	52	75	
G16	46	45	45	16	40	42	59	64	68	33	62	66	51	38	26	32	65	33	59	
P18	63	61	64	38	62	61	72	72	83	54	74	77	68	55	51	52	74	56	74	
G18	39	41	38	14	34	38	45	61	63	29	53	62	43	33	32	30	57	27	50	
P20	60	58	61	39	58	57	70	69	69	52	70	69	67	48	46	49	71	54	69	
G20	92	91	94	89	95	88	93	96	93	91	94	95	94	88	96	88	96	95	92	
BLANK	5	7	4	8	5	9	4	2	3	7	3	3	4	9	2	10	3	4	4	
	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
P14	30	19	50	14	43	10	49	34	31	29	23	22	40	32	34	32	22	26	31	32
G14	43	34	65	26	47	15	64	46	48	39	28	33	49	51	51	42	40	30	40	32
P16	60	53	79	44	68	31	72	61	67	53	54	46	58	65	67	59	61	49	50	47
G16	46	38	68	28	55	9	44	51	51	42	34	24	27	52	52	50	46	34	33	23
P18	63	61	78	47	67	34	70	66	69	56	53	46	62	70	71	62	64	48	55	48
G18	39	31	58	26	45	19	45	44	42	38	29	27	41	45	45	38	37	32	29	37
P20	60	60	76	42	57	28	67	66	67	49	46	39	62	71	70	61	57	45	52	41
G20	92	94	95	86	90	98	95	92	96	88	87	94	100	94	97	94	94	86	95	100
BLANK	5	5	3	11	5	2	1	6	2	10	8	3	0	4	2	4	6	12	5	0
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT						
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST		
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250		
P14	30	33	29	28	26	29	32	42	47	52	59	72	30	33	36	26	29	30		
G14	43	48	44	37	32	43	45	58	64	69	77	87	42	44	46	39	43	44		
P16	60	66	64	51	53	54	64	82	89	94	97	100	59	63	63	54	62	64		
G16	46	53	50	25	39	36	48	63	71	80	91	100	47	40	49	43	43	49		
P18	63	69	70	51	54	59	67	87	95	98	99	100	64	58	66	57	66	67		
G18	39	45	41	32	33	34	42	54	61	68	78	100	41	34	46	33	40	40		
P20	60	69	66	48	48	50	63	83	87	93	98	100	61	56	64	55	61	59		
G20	92	94	99	99	86	93	98	99	99	99	100	100	93	90	91	90	96	96		
BLANK	5	5	0	1	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	7	8	2	2		

Table 102: FLAGS

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
P14	30	39	25	28	26	26	30	31	33	30	26	31	26	42	23	33	48	23	17	28
G14	43	52	37	33	39	44	38	41	48	40	42	42	36	53	35	45	58	46	36	32
P16	60	75	54	60	67	62	59	63	56	59	61	62	60	70	51	63	76	58	43	52
G16	46	61	42	31	52	39	37	50	48	38	38	41	46	62	43	44	67	45	35	34
P18	63	72	58	52	62	67	64	67	62	65	65	60	65	74	59	63	79	57	48	51
G18	39	51	41	26	32	33	41	40	41	41	33	44	37	56	41	38	55	28	29	26
P20	60	70	56	52	56	59	60	60	61	60	60	58	56	69	58	54	79	53	50	51
G20	92	95	86	90	87	94	94	96	93	95	94	98	96	97	80	95	95	88	89	90
BLANK	5	2	11	4	8	4	4	3	5	3	4	1	3	1	17	3	2	8	9	7

Table 103: PERMANENT ABSENTEE

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT			
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
Yes	81	79	78	79	82	80	82	78	81	85	80	83	80	79	82	81	80	82	73	88		
No	19	21	22	21	18	20	18	22	19	15	20	17	20	21	18	19	20	18	27	12		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV /SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Yes	81	73	79	83	82	82	80	82	80	83	82	79	86	77	83	75	85	81	71	81	77	80
No	19	27	21	17	18	18	20	18	20	17	18	21	14	23	17	25	15	19	29	19	23	20
	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562	
Yes	81	82	77	73	82	81	76	81	82	80	83	83	81	82	79	81	83	76	79	80	80	
No	19	18	23	27	18	19	24	19	18	20	17	17	19	18	21	19	17	24	21	20	20	
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE						
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE			
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74			
Yes	81	83	81	82	74	81	83	79	82	75	83	83	80	81	80	79	80	86	80			
No	19	17	19	18	26	19	17	21	18	25	17	17	20	19	20	21	20	14	20			

Table 103: PERMANENT ABSENTEE

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K+\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575	
Yes	81	84	78	78	87	74	83	80	80	78	83	81	81	77	83	79	79	82	79	
No	19	16	22	22	13	26	17	20	20	22	17	19	19	23	17	21	21	18	21	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Yes	81	80	81		80	77	84	81	82	82	80	82	82	83	79	68	79	81	80	82
No	19	20	19		20	23	16	19	18	18	20	18	18	17	21	32	21	19	20	18
	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Yes	81	83	82	75	84	67	68	81	85	81	76	72	59	81	83	86	81	78	64	69
No	19	17	18	25	16	33	32	19	15	19	24	28	41	19	17	14	19	22	36	31
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT					
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST		
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250		
Yes	81	83	80	68	81	77	81	80	82	83	83	84	100	0	82	83	79	76		
No	19	17	20	32	19	23	19	20	18	17	17	16	0	100	18	17	21	24		
	NEIGHBORHOODS										SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT									
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	92	
Yes	81	70	86	88	75	83	78	79	81	77	84	86	76	74	86	77	82	78	83	
No	19	30	14	12	25	17	22	21	19	23	16	14	24	26	14	23	18	22	17	

Table 104: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
1+ DEM	57	59	39	53	60	56	57	47	66	31	62	40	54	57	59	57	57	58	57	55
D1	47	52	27	45	50	46	49	37	55	28	51	35	45	47	49	47	47	48	49	48
D2+	9	7	12	8	11	10	8	11	11	3	11	6	9	9	10	9	10	10	8	6
1+ REP	6	6	4	6	6	5	7	10	3	13	5	10	6	5	6	6	7	6	5	7
R1	5	6	3	5	5	5	7	10	3	11	4	9	6	4	6	5	5	5	5	4
R2+	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3
1+ IND	25	26	38	29	21	25	26	25	23	40	23	31	32	26	20	27	20	23	27	29
I1+	25	26	38	29	21	25	26	25	23	40	23	31	32	26	20	27	20	23	27	29
MIXED	12	8	20	12	13	14	10	17	8	16	11	19	9	12	15	11	16	13	10	9
Mixed	12	8	20	12	13	14	10	17	8	16	11	19	9	12	15	11	16	13	10	9

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	REDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
1+ DEM	57	59	49	60	59	59	56	54	59	67	66	46	64	47	64	46	55	65	33	61	33	52
D1	47	47	42	53	42	51	47	45	49	55	55	39	53	39	54	37	45	54	28	51	29	44
D2+	9	13	6	7	17	8	10	10	10	12	11	8	10	7	10	9	10	12	5	10	4	8
1+ REP	6	5	7	5	10	5	6	6	6	3	4	8	3	14	3	12	2	4	16	4	16	6
R1	5	5	7	4	8	4	6	6	5	2	3	7	3	12	3	11	1	3	14	4	15	6
R2+	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0
1+ IND	25	25	24	24	21	23	25	26	23	21	21	30	25	25	23	27	28	22	31	24	29	31
I1+	25	25	24	24	21	23	25	26	23	21	21	30	25	25	23	27	28	22	31	24	29	31
MIXED	12	11	20	12	10	13	12	13	12	9	10	16	8	15	10	16	15	10	20	11	22	11
Mixed	12	11	20	12	10	13	12	13	12	9	10	16	8	15	10	16	15	10	20	11	22	11

Table 104: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT									TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR				
	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562				
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562				
1+ DEM	57	61	39	51	67	54	39	48	62	56	59	57	55	57	57	66	62	63	36	28	51				
D1	47	51	34	41	54	46	34	44	51	46	53	42	47	44	50	58	45	55	28	23	40				
D2+	9	10	5	10	12	8	5	5	10	10	6	15	8	13	7	8	17	7	8	6	11				
1+ REP	6	4	14	4	4	5	13	8	9	6	3	5	9	6	6	5	7	4	6	6	6				
R1	5	4	13	4	3	5	12	8	8	6	3	4	9	6	6	5	7	4	5	4	5				
R2+	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0				
1+ IND	25	24	26	34	20	29	28	26	21	24	32	21	25	23	26	19	21	24	38	45	29				
I1+	25	24	26	34	20	29	28	26	21	24	32	21	25	23	26	19	21	24	38	45	29				
MIXED	12	11	21	12	10	12	20	17	8	14	6	16	10	14	11	9	11	9	21	21	15				
Mixed	12	11	21	12	10	12	20	17	8	14	6	16	10	14	11	9	11	9	21	21	15				

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
1+ DEM	57	75	73	46	21	61	59	51	61	48	54	60	62	60	59	46	58	75	19
D1	47	64	61	38	17	52	50	42	51	37	46	51	52	58	48	30	49	52	16
D2+	9	11	12	8	4	10	9	9	10	11	8	9	10	2	11	16	9	24	3
1+ REP	6	1	1	7	36	6	3	7	5	11	4	6	4	4	6	7	6	7	4
R1	5	1	1	6	35	6	3	6	4	11	3	5	4	4	6	6	5	7	4
R2+	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
1+ IND	25	17	15	33	20	22	25	28	23	26	30	24	21	32	22	23	23	7	64
I1+	25	17	15	33	20	22	25	28	23	26	30	24	21	32	22	23	23	7	64
MIXED	12	6	12	13	24	11	13	14	11	16	12	11	12	4	13	24	12	11	13
Mixed	12	6	12	13	24	11	13	14	11	16	12	11	12	4	13	24	12	11	13

Table 104: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575	
1+ DEM	57	46	59	58	51	62	63	54	59	55	66	53	59	44	65	61	45	57	56	
D1	47	41	56	51	44	48	52	49	48	45	53	51	53	35	64	48	26	45	49	
D2+	9	6	3	7	6	14	11	5	11	10	13	2	6	8	1	13	20	12	7	
1+ REP	6	2	3	13	8	6	5	6	6	7	4	6	5	11	3	8	5	6	6	
R1	5	1	3	12	8	6	4	5	6	7	4	6	4	9	3	8	4	5	5	
R2+	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	
1+ IND	25	43	28	17	25	21	22	29	22	23	21	38	25	24	29	19	23	25	25	
I1+	25	43	28	17	25	21	22	29	22	23	21	38	25	24	29	19	23	25	25	
MIXED	12	9	10	12	17	11	11	10	12	15	9	4	11	22	3	12	27	13	12	
Mixed	12	9	10	12	17	11	11	10	12	15	9	4	11	22	3	12	27	13	12	
		Q27 GENDER						AGE					PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270	
1+ DEM	57	55	59	53	57	54	62	59	50	55	59	56	91	0	0	53	58	58	60	
D1	47	45	50	45	48	45	53	47	40	46	49	44	76	0	0	44	46	49	51	
D2+	9	10	10	8	9	9	9	13	10	9	10	12	15	0	0	8	11	9	10	
1+ REP	6	8	4	5	6	6	5	6	10	6	6	8	0	0	83	9	7	3	5	
R1	5	7	3	5	5	6	4	6	9	5	5	7	0	0	76	8	7	3	4	
R2+	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	7	1	1	0	1	
1+ IND	25	25	25	20	27	30	21	26	30	26	24	27	0	81	0	25	24	25	24	
I1+	25	25	25	20	27	30	21	26	30	26	24	27	0	81	0	25	24	25	24	
MIXED	12	13	12	22	11	10	12	9	10	14	10	9	9	19	17	14	11	14	10	
Mixed	12	13	12	22	11	10	12	9	10	14	10	9	9	19	17	14	11	14	10	

Table 104: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
1+ DEM	57	91	93	0	0	0	0	93	90	0	0	0	0	96	92	89	0	0	0	0
D1	47	76	77	0	0	0	0	77	75	0	0	0	0	82	77	73	0	0	0	0
D2+	9	14	16	0	0	0	0	16	14	0	0	0	0	14	16	16	0	0	0	0
1+ REP	6	0	0	0	0	86	80	0	0	0	0	79	92	0	0	0	0	0	85	83
R1	5	0	0	0	0	81	69	0	0	0	0	73	82	0	0	0	0	0	73	81
R2+	1	0	0	0	0	5	10	0	0	0	0	6	10	0	0	0	0	0	12	2
1+ IND	25	0	0	78	85	0	0	0	0	79	83	0	0	0	0	0	78	79	0	0
I1+	25	0	0	78	85	0	0	0	0	79	83	0	0	0	0	0	78	79	0	0
MIXED	12	9	7	22	15	14	20	7	10	21	17	21	8	4	8	11	22	21	15	17
Mixed	12	9	7	22	15	14	20	7	10	21	17	21	8	4	8	11	22	21	15	17

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
1+ DEM	57	100	100	0	0	0	58	62	62	64	65	69	58	51	60	56	55	55
D1	47	100	0	0	0	0	48	51	51	54	56	59	49	41	54	48	45	40
D2+	9	0	100	0	0	0	10	11	11	10	9	11	9	10	6	8	10	15
1+ REP	6	0	0	100	0	0	6	5	5	5	4	4	5	10	5	4	8	7
R1	5	0	0	91	0	0	6	4	4	4	4	4	4	9	5	4	8	7
R2+	1	0	0	9	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
1+ IND	25	0	0	0	100	0	24	22	22	21	20	18	25	25	27	27	25	20
I1+	25	0	0	0	100	0	24	22	22	21	20	18	25	25	27	27	25	20
MIXED	12	0	0	0	0	100	12	11	12	10	11	9	12	15	8	13	12	18
Mixed	12	0	0	0	0	100	12	11	12	10	11	9	12	15	8	13	12	18

Table 104: HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>1+ DEM</u>	57	59	59	53	63	56	56	57	55	59	53	50	52	60	65	51	66	59	55	48
D1	47	54	56	40	60	49	40	39	47	43	47	45	36	52	61	37	60	51	45	39
D2+	9	5	3	12	2	6	16	17	9	15	6	6	16	9	4	15	6	8	10	9
<u>1+ REP</u>	6	2	6	5	2	10	5	7	6	5	10	12	9	5	3	7	1	3	4	7
R1	5	2	5	5	2	9	5	6	5	5	9	10	7	5	3	7	1	3	3	7
R2+	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
<u>1+ IND</u>	25	37	31	27	26	24	25	18	24	23	29	29	18	26	31	23	24	20	27	27
I1+	25	37	31	27	26	24	25	18	24	23	29	29	18	26	31	23	24	20	27	27
<u>MIXED</u>	12	3	4	16	9	10	13	18	15	14	9	9	21	8	1	19	8	18	13	17
Mixed	12	3	4	16	9	10	13	18	15	14	9	9	21	8	1	19	8	18	13	17

Table 105: AGE

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
18-49	57	81	26	66	48	57	57	53	63	49	58	56	52	55	60	55	62	58	56	54
18-24	7	11	5	9	5	10	3	1	7	6	8	7	11	7	4	8	5	7	9	6
25-29	10	16	7	14	6	9	10	9	12	8	11	9	9	12	9	9	13	9	13	13
30-34	15	25	4	19	10	15	14	9	19	8	16	12	15	13	16	15	16	16	14	10
35-39	9	14	3	11	7	9	9	10	9	9	8	11	4	9	12	7	14	9	7	14
40-44	8	9	5	8	9	8	10	8	9	7	9	8	5	9	10	8	8	9	6	7
45-49	8	5	1	4	11	7	10	16	8	11	7	10	7	6	9	8	6	8	8	4
50+	43	19	74	34	52	43	43	47	37	51	42	44	48	45	40	45	38	42	44	46
50-54	8	4	11	6	11	7	10	11	7	8	8	9	9	5	9	8	8	9	8	8
55-59	8	5	9	6	10	8	8	9	7	8	9	8	9	9	7	8	7	7	9	12
60-64	6	3	6	4	7	5	7	5	5	9	5	7	5	6	6	6	5	6	6	2
65-74	13	3	26	10	16	13	13	17	11	15	12	11	14	14	11	13	10	12	15	12
75+	8	3	23	8	9	10	6	5	5	11	8	10	11	10	6	9	7	8	7	11

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
18-49	57	60	68	57	52	52	60	67	55	56	54	60	69	43	64	49	47	61	46	60	49	41
18-24	7	8	8	7	10	9	6	16	4	3	3	12	6	5	6	8	8	7	3	8	5	4
25-29	10	12	12	10	8	9	10	15	9	9	9	11	13	5	13	7	6	12	5	11	6	7
30-34	15	20	21	14	8	14	16	14	15	18	15	15	23	10	19	10	12	19	11	17	10	8
35-39	9	8	9	10	8	8	10	9	10	9	9	9	11	6	11	7	6	9	11	9	12	7
40-44	8	6	13	8	10	7	9	7	9	9	9	8	9	8	9	9	6	8	9	9	8	7
45-49	8	7	5	8	7	5	9	7	9	8	9	5	7	9	7	9	9	7	8	7	9	8
50+	43	40	32	43	48	48	40	33	45	44	46	40	31	57	36	51	53	39	54	40	51	59
50-54	8	6	6	10	7	7	9	8	8	10	10	6	7	11	7	10	7	7	12	8	11	9
55-59	8	8	9	8	7	11	7	7	8	9	10	6	7	10	7	11	5	8	12	8	10	7
60-64	6	3	6	6	7	6	5	3	6	6	6	5	4	8	5	6	8	5	12	5	8	10
65-74	13	12	6	12	17	13	11	7	14	10	12	14	9	15	10	14	18	12	14	12	14	15
75+	8	11	6	7	11	11	7	7	8	8	8	8	4	12	6	10	15	7	4	8	7	17

Table 105: AGE

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
18-49	57	61	44	40	63	55	48	61	64	58	54	40	50	43	67	56	62	67	56	47	59
18-24	7	8	5	4	7	8	5	9	5	7	7	4	0	3	9	6	11	11	6	7	9
25-29	10	11	6	3	12	9	6	11	15	9	13	5	3	4	14	8	14	9	12	12	12
30-34	15	16	11	15	19	12	12	5	12	15	16	8	18	11	18	17	11	25	12	7	14
35-39	9	9	8	6	9	8	10	17	8	10	7	6	14	8	10	11	12	11	5	4	8
40-44	8	9	6	7	8	9	7	12	12	9	6	9	6	8	9	8	8	9	11	8	8
45-49	8	8	9	6	7	8	8	7	12	8	5	8	9	8	8	6	7	2	10	9	8
50+	43	39	56	60	37	45	52	39	36	42	46	60	50	57	33	44	38	33	44	53	41
50-54	8	8	11	5	7	9	11	11	11	9	5	9	9	9	7	8	9	11	6	5	8
55-59	8	8	10	5	7	9	9	13	6	9	5	11	11	11	7	9	12	1	6	7	8
60-64	6	4	8	12	5	5	8	3	4	5	6	8	7	8	4	4	6	5	6	8	6
65-74	13	11	17	19	12	12	16	4	10	11	17	19	12	17	9	13	8	8	14	19	12
75+	8	7	10	19	6	10	9	8	4	7	13	12	11	12	6	10	3	7	11	14	8

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
18-49	57	69	57	53	60	69	51	49	62	57	44	63	62	55	54	73	61	27	23
18-24	7	10	8	8	0	5	1	13	3	14	13	3	4	3	4	22	8	3	1
25-29	10	13	8	8	14	15	7	7	12	9	5	11	14	10	10	12	10	10	3
30-34	15	20	14	13	13	21	13	10	18	13	8	20	16	19	16	8	17	0	5
35-39	9	13	8	9	15	12	11	6	11	9	3	13	9	8	10	9	10	5	2
40-44	8	7	11	7	12	8	10	8	9	6	9	9	8	8	7	13	9	5	7
45-49	8	6	8	8	6	8	10	6	9	6	5	7	10	7	7	9	8	4	5
50+	43	31	43	47	40	31	49	51	38	43	56	37	38	45	46	27	39	73	77
50-54	8	8	10	7	3	7	10	8	8	7	9	8	9	5	9	10	8	18	5
55-59	8	6	10	10	5	6	8	10	7	12	9	6	7	8	8	9	7	24	6
60-64	6	4	5	5	7	5	6	6	5	6	5	5	5	5	6	3	5	9	11
65-74	13	11	10	15	14	9	12	16	10	11	21	11	9	18	13	3	11	13	28
75+	8	2	8	10	9	4	12	10	7	8	13	7	7	9	10	2	7	9	26

Table 105: AGE

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
18-49	57	41	58	58	65	61	71	52	65	63	69	50	46	71	66	63	74	57	57
18-24	7	9	14	5	6	5	3	9	5	6	4	4	7	23	1	2	20	8	7
25-29	10	6	11	13	18	12	6	10	11	15	6	8	12	11	13	10	12	9	10
30-34	15	12	11	18	15	21	21	14	19	16	23	16	9	17	22	23	2	15	15
35-39	9	4	6	10	12	9	19	7	13	11	15	6	7	8	13	13	13	9	9
40-44	8	4	10	11	5	8	15	8	9	6	14	10	9	5	9	7	19	8	9
45-49	8	6	5	1	9	6	9	4	8	8	8	5	2	7	8	8	8	8	7
50+	43	59	42	42	35	39	29	48	35	37	31	50	54	29	34	37	26	43	43
50-54	8	13	7	6	8	8	10	8	9	7	10	5	11	8	6	9	12	9	8
55-59	8	10	9	9	8	12	4	9	8	11	5	8	10	12	10	7	9	7	9
60-64	6	6	4	4	6	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	7	4	6	5	1	6	5
65-74	13	16	13	17	8	10	6	15	8	9	7	23	14	5	9	10	3	12	13
75+	8	14	9	6	6	5	4	9	5	5	4	11	11	0	3	7	1	9	8

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
18-49	57	61	54	100	100	100	0	0	0	100	0	0	56	60	53	100	0	100	0
18-24	7	7	8	42	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	6	10	2	11	0	14	0
25-29	10	10	10	58	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	10	9	10	16	0	19	0
30-34	15	18	13	0	63	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	14	17	14	29	0	23	0
35-39	9	11	7	0	37	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	9	8	12	19	0	13	0
40-44	8	8	8	0	0	52	0	0	0	15	0	0	7	10	12	13	0	16	0
45-49	8	7	8	0	0	48	0	0	0	13	0	0	9	7	2	11	0	15	0
50+	43	39	46	0	0	0	100	100	100	0	100	100	44	40	47	0	100	0	100
50-54	8	7	9	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	19	0	9	8	5	0	19	0	20
55-59	8	9	8	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	19	0	10	5	8	0	22	0	17
60-64	6	6	5	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	13	0	6	5	6	0	14	0	11
65-74	13	11	14	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	29	60	13	12	16	0	28	0	30
75+	8	7	10	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	20	40	7	9	13	0	18	0	21

Table 105: AGE

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
18-49	57	100	0	100	0	100	0	59	53	63	58	59	40	66	54	47	75	62	56	58
18-24	7	12	0	16	0	4	0	7	6	8	12	3	0	10	5	4	14	9	6	0
25-29	10	19	0	14	0	20	0	11	11	9	9	9	14	15	8	9	9	9	7	12
30-34	15	25	0	28	0	26	0	17	12	19	15	17	6	17	14	11	23	14	12	19
35-39	9	16	0	14	0	22	0	10	8	12	5	15	5	12	9	8	10	12	13	14
40-44	8	13	0	17	0	23	0	7	7	10	10	11	15	6	11	3	11	13	17	8
45-49	8	16	0	11	0	4	0	8	10	6	7	3	0	6	8	11	8	5	0	5
50+	43	0	100	0	100	0	100	41	47	37	42	41	60	34	46	53	25	38	44	42
50-54	8	0	20	0	21	0	10	8	9	7	10	4	7	8	11	7	8	7	1	3
55-59	8	0	22	0	12	0	16	10	10	5	5	9	6	8	12	12	3	8	6	6
60-64	6	0	13	0	14	0	13	5	5	6	5	7	4	4	5	6	3	5	10	5
65-74	13	0	29	0	30	0	33	11	14	11	13	10	28	12	10	18	8	11	14	11
75+	8	0	17	0	24	0	28	6	9	8	11	11	16	3	9	10	3	6	13	17

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
18-49	57	56	53	55	58	64	56	51	49	46	42	35	56	59	55	58	61	55
18-24	7	5	9	3	5	20	6	4	3	2	1	0	7	9	4	7	9	10
25-29	10	11	5	11	9	10	10	8	7	7	5	2	10	8	9	10	14	8
30-34	15	15	14	14	17	12	15	15	14	13	13	9	14	19	17	15	13	15
35-39	9	9	10	9	8	8	9	10	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	10	10	6
40-44	8	7	7	15	12	5	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	9	8	8	9	8
45-49	8	8	9	3	7	8	7	8	8	8	9	9	8	4	8	8	6	8
50+	43	44	47	45	42	36	44	49	51	54	58	65	44	41	45	42	39	45
50-54	8	9	6	6	9	7	8	9	9	9	10	9	9	5	7	8	9	9
55-59	8	9	11	8	5	8	8	9	10	10	11	11	8	9	9	7	6	10
60-64	6	6	4	4	6	5	6	7	7	7	8	8	5	7	5	6	3	7
65-74	13	12	17	14	13	9	13	14	15	16	18	22	13	12	14	12	11	14
75+	8	7	9	14	10	7	9	10	11	12	12	15	9	8	9	8	10	7

Table 105: AGE

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
18-49	57	50	54	56	56	59	68	56	57	66	60	54	64	59	54	53	39	59	66	57
18-24	7	2	4	9	3	4	13	8	9	13	3	2	10	3	4	12	4	5	13	10
25-29	10	5	10	11	10	14	10	9	9	9	14	12	11	13	10	5	4	11	9	10
30-34	15	18	19	8	16	17	12	15	13	12	17	17	16	18	20	15	11	15	15	11
35-39	9	3	8	9	15	11	14	7	8	13	12	7	10	4	9	2	15	11	5	10
40-44	8	8	7	17	6	8	9	6	9	11	10	5	5	8	8	12	3	8	11	12
45-49	8	13	6	1	6	4	8	10	8	7	4	11	11	12	3	7	2	9	14	5
50+	43	50	46	44	44	41	32	44	43	34	40	46	36	41	46	47	61	41	34	43
50-54	8	12	10	6	8	6	11	9	7	10	7	6	8	7	11	9	10	6	9	8
55-59	8	9	5	4	10	8	6	9	10	6	7	5	7	9	5	10	16	9	8	5
60-64	6	4	6	7	10	5	2	8	4	2	4	6	5	6	6	8	7	8	3	6
65-74	13	12	16	18	9	7	11	13	12	12	8	23	10	10	12	17	14	12	9	15
75+	8	13	9	9	7	14	3	5	9	4	14	6	6	9	12	5	13	7	6	10

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI			
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
<u>WESTERN ADDITION</u>	6	5	7	6	6	6	6	4	6	0	6	3	6	7	6	7	4	7	5	2
Western Addition	6	5	7	6	6	6	6	4	6	0	6	3	6	7	6	7	4	7	5	2
<u>CHINATOWN/FD/SOMA</u>	14	14	14	14	14	16	12	11	13	17	13	16	20	13	11	15	12	13	21	14
Chinatown/Financial District/SOMA	14	14	14	14	14	16	12	11	13	17	13	16	20	13	11	15	12	13	21	14
<u>EXCELSIOR</u>	6	5	11	6	6	7	5	4	6	6	7	5	6	8	5	6	5	6	7	7
Excelsior	6	5	11	6	6	7	5	4	6	6	7	5	6	8	5	6	5	6	7	7
<u>MISSION</u>	7	7	9	8	5	7	7	5	8	6	7	6	9	8	4	7	4	7	6	5
Mission	7	7	9	8	5	7	7	5	8	6	7	6	9	8	4	7	4	7	6	5
<u>MARINA/PHS/SEA CLIFF</u>	10	12	6	10	9	9	9	3	10	13	10	11	8	10	10	8	13	10	10	11
Marina/Pacific Heights/Sea Cliff	10	12	6	10	9	9	9	3	10	13	10	11	8	10	10	8	13	10	10	11
<u>RICHMOND</u>	8	10	7	10	7	8	7	9	7	9	7	12	9	6	8	8	8	8	7	8
Richmond	8	10	7	10	7	8	7	9	7	9	7	12	9	6	8	8	8	8	7	8
<u>SUNSET/TWIN PEAKS</u>	17	16	20	17	17	14	23	25	17	18	17	17	15	17	19	17	18	19	13	17
Sunset/Twin Peaks	17	16	20	17	17	14	23	25	17	18	17	17	15	17	19	17	18	19	13	17
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	32	30	26	29	36	32	31	40	34	31	32	31	26	30	37	31	35	31	31	36
Castro/Noe Valley	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	2	3	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	0	4
Hunters Point	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	2	5	3	4	3	2	4	3	7	2	4	9
Other	26	24	20	23	29	26	25	33	27	25	26	25	22	26	29	26	26	26	27	24

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS

Column n-Size	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
WESTERN ADDITION	6	7	5	6	4	7	6	4	6	9	8	4	8	3	8	4	4	7	1	7	1	6
Western Addition	6	7	5	6	4	7	6	4	6	9	8	4	8	3	8	4	4	7	1	7	1	6
CHINATOWN/FD/SOMA	14	14	11	15	15	15	14	12	15	10	10	18	15	12	14	12	20	15	15	13	16	19
Chinatown/Financial District/SOMA	14	14	11	15	15	15	14	12	15	10	10	18	15	12	14	12	20	15	15	13	16	19
EXCELSIOR	6	6	6	4	13	5	6	8	5	2	4	8	4	6	5	5	14	5	5	6	4	10
Excelsior	6	6	6	4	13	5	6	8	5	2	4	8	4	6	5	5	14	5	5	6	4	10
MISSION	7	8	7	7	5	9	6	8	5	6	7	6	8	3	8	4	7	9	3	7	2	5
Mission	7	8	7	7	5	9	6	8	5	6	7	6	8	3	8	4	7	9	3	7	2	5
MARINA/PHS/SEA CLIFF	10	12	11	8	12	10	10	7	11	8	10	10	14	8	12	8	4	10	11	10	11	8
Marina/Pacific Heights/Sea Cliff	10	12	11	8	12	10	10	7	11	8	10	10	14	8	12	8	4	10	11	10	11	8
RICHMOND	8	11	11	6	9	10	7	10	7	11	10	7	8	10	8	9	5	9	6	8	9	5
Richmond	8	11	11	6	9	10	7	10	7	11	10	7	8	10	8	9	5	9	6	8	9	5
SUNSET/TWIN PEAKS	17	18	16	18	14	13	19	18	18	20	18	17	12	23	13	25	15	14	27	17	23	10
Sunset/Twin Peaks	17	18	16	18	14	13	19	18	18	20	18	17	12	23	13	25	15	14	27	17	23	10
ALL OTHER	32	23	33	36	28	31	33	32	32	34	33	31	32	34	32	34	30	32	34	31	35	37
Castro/Noe Valley	3	2	1	3	3	3	3	1	3	6	4	2	4	2	3	2	2	3	0	3	2	1
Hunters Point	4	1	8	3	3	4	3	4	3	1	2	5	1	7	3	5	3	3	7	3	5	2
Other	26	19	25	29	23	24	27	27	26	27	28	24	27	25	26	27	24	25	26	25	28	33

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>WESTERN ADDITION</u>	6	6	4	6	6	7	3	8	9	6	6	2	8	4	8	8	3	8	4	4	5
Western Addition	6	6	4	6	6	7	3	8	9	6	6	2	8	4	8	8	3	8	4	4	5
<u>CHINATOWN/FD/SOMA</u>	14	14	17	13	15	12	17	15	10	12	25	5	18	9	18	13	10	19	17	17	16
Chinatown/Financial District/SOMA	14	14	17	13	15	12	17	15	10	12	25	5	18	9	18	13	10	19	17	17	16
<u>EXCELSIOR</u>	6	6	5	8	5	8	4	6	3	5	10	11	1	8	5	2	13	5	10	12	10
Excelsior	6	6	5	8	5	8	4	6	3	5	10	11	1	8	5	2	13	5	10	12	10
<u>MISSION</u>	7	7	2	10	8	7	2	6	7	6	8	4	9	6	8	6	14	5	4	4	7
Mission	7	7	2	10	8	7	2	6	7	6	8	4	9	6	8	6	14	5	4	4	7
<u>MARINA/PHS/SEA CLIFF</u>	10	9	11	9	9	9	11	5	10	10	9	7	15	9	10	14	6	7	4	2	5
Marina/Pacific Heights/Sea Cliff	10	9	11	9	9	9	11	5	10	10	9	7	15	9	10	14	6	7	4	2	5
<u>RICHMOND</u>	8	8	7	10	8	8	7	3	10	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	4	9	10	9	8
Richmond	8	8	7	10	8	8	7	3	10	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	4	9	10	9	8
<u>SUNSET/TWIN PEAKS</u>	17	16	23	14	15	18	22	7	25	19	10	27	5	20	14	15	15	15	20	26	17
Sunset/Twin Peaks	17	16	23	14	15	18	22	7	25	19	10	27	5	20	14	15	15	15	20	26	17
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	32	33	31	30	33	30	34	51	26	34	25	37	35	36	30	33	35	32	31	25	32
Castro/Noe Valley	3	3	1	1	4	2	1	0	2	3	2	3	8	5	2	5	1	2	1	1	1
Hunters Point	4	3	3	7	3	3	5	5	4	4	2	5	2	4	3	1	6	15	3	2	6
Other	26	26	27	21	26	25	27	45	20	27	22	29	24	27	24	28	28	16	27	23	25

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
<u>WESTERN ADDITION</u>	6	8	8	3	0	7	5	6	6	5	6	7	6	6	7	2	6	1	4
Western Addition	6	8	8	3	0	7	5	6	6	5	6	7	6	6	7	2	6	1	4
<u>CHINATOWN/FD/SOMA</u>	14	12	13	17	11	12	12	17	12	19	16	14	10	26	11	8	14	2	20
Chinatown/Financial District/SOMA	14	12	13	17	11	12	12	17	12	19	16	14	10	26	11	8	14	2	20
<u>EXCELSIOR</u>	6	5	4	6	11	5	4	9	5	5	11	2	8	3	7	9	5	13	17
Excelsior	6	5	4	6	11	5	4	9	5	5	11	2	8	3	7	9	5	13	17
<u>MISSION</u>	7	10	5	5	5	5	6	8	6	5	10	5	6	10	6	5	5	27	7
Mission	7	10	5	5	5	5	6	8	6	5	10	5	6	10	6	5	5	27	7
<u>MARINA/PHS/SEA CLIFF</u>	10	5	14	11	10	12	12	6	12	9	4	12	12	13	9	6	11	5	0
Marina/Pacific Heights/Sea Cliff	10	5	14	11	10	12	12	6	12	9	4	12	12	13	9	6	11	5	0
<u>RICHMOND</u>	8	8	8	10	7	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	6	8	12	9	0	6
Richmond	8	8	8	10	7	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	6	8	12	9	0	6
<u>SUNSET/TWIN PEAKS</u>	17	14	16	20	23	20	17	14	19	18	12	19	19	9	18	26	18	18	13
Sunset/Twin Peaks	17	14	16	20	23	20	17	14	19	18	12	19	19	9	18	26	18	18	13
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	32	38	32	27	33	30	36	32	32	31	33	34	31	28	35	33	32	33	33
Castro/Noe Valley	3	4	4	1	2	3	5	1	4	1	2	5	2	3	3	3	3	2	0
Hunters Point	4	3	3	2	5	2	2	6	2	3	9	2	2	2	4	4	3	6	4
Other	26	31	25	24	25	25	29	25	26	27	22	27	27	23	28	26	26	25	29

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K+\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
<u>WESTERN ADDITION</u>	6	7	9	7	4	5	7	8	6	4	7	7	12	0	8	5	4	5	7
Western Addition	6	7	9	7	4	5	7	8	6	4	7	7	12	0	8	5	4	5	7
<u>CHINATOWN/FD/SOMA</u>	14	23	22	18	10	12	13	21	12	12	12	37	12	13	17	11	7	14	14
Chinatown/Financial District/SOMA	14	23	22	18	10	12	13	21	12	12	12	37	12	13	17	11	7	14	14
<u>EXCELSIOR</u>	6	5	10	6	7	3	5	7	5	4	5	3	9	11	1	6	5	6	7
Excelsior	6	5	10	6	7	3	5	7	5	4	5	3	9	11	1	6	5	6	7
<u>MISSION</u>	7	10	3	7	6	7	5	7	6	7	5	3	8	10	15	4	0	7	6
Mission	7	10	3	7	6	7	5	7	6	7	5	3	8	10	15	4	0	7	6
<u>MARINA/PHS/SEA CLIFF</u>	10	6	8	5	8	14	14	6	13	12	14	11	4	4	18	13	6	10	9
Marina/Pacific Heights/Sea Cliff	10	6	8	5	8	14	14	6	13	12	14	11	4	4	18	13	6	10	9
<u>RICHMOND</u>	8	8	4	7	8	10	7	6	9	8	9	7	6	6	5	9	14	8	8
Richmond	8	8	4	7	8	10	7	6	9	8	9	7	6	6	5	9	14	8	8
<u>SUNSET/TWIN PEAKS</u>	17	15	13	17	17	18	16	15	17	18	16	8	16	25	8	18	25	18	17
Sunset/Twin Peaks	17	15	13	17	17	18	16	15	17	18	16	8	16	25	8	18	25	18	17
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	32	25	31	34	39	31	33	30	34	35	31	25	34	30	29	34	40	32	32
Castro/Noe Valley	3	0	2	0	4	3	5	1	4	3	5	1	1	0	4	4	3	3	2
Hunters Point	4	7	5	2	2	5	1	5	3	4	2	2	7	5	2	3	4	5	2
Other	26	18	24	31	34	24	26	24	27	28	25	22	26	25	23	27	33	24	28

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS

	Q27 GENDER			AGE									PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
<u>WESTERN ADDITION</u>	6	6	6	3	5	8	7	6	9	5	7	7	6	7	1	7	5	4	9
Western Addition	6	6	6	3	5	8	7	6	9	5	7	7	6	7	1	7	5	4	9
<u>CHINATOWN/FD/SOMA</u>	14	16	13	11	16	12	13	18	15	14	15	17	14	14	14	14	19	13	13
Chinatown/Financial District/SOMA	14	16	13	11	16	12	13	18	15	14	15	17	14	14	14	14	19	13	13
<u>EXCELSIOR</u>	6	3	9	7	4	7	5	9	7	6	6	8	6	7	5	3	3	9	9
Excelsior	6	3	9	7	4	7	5	9	7	6	6	8	6	7	5	3	3	9	9
<u>MISSION</u>	7	5	8	5	8	5	8	5	6	6	7	5	7	6	2	6	4	7	9
Mission	7	5	8	5	8	5	8	5	6	6	7	5	7	6	2	6	4	7	9
<u>MARINA/PHS/SEA CLIFF</u>	10	11	9	10	11	7	9	6	16	10	9	10	9	9	14	12	9	8	10
Marina/Pacific Heights/Sea Cliff	10	11	9	10	11	7	9	6	16	10	9	10	9	9	14	12	9	8	10
<u>RICHMOND</u>	8	8	8	11	9	9	7	7	3	10	6	5	8	9	7	9	6	10	6
Richmond	8	8	8	11	9	9	7	7	3	10	6	5	8	9	7	9	6	10	6
<u>SUNSET/TWIN PEAKS</u>	17	18	16	18	16	18	20	18	10	17	17	15	18	14	22	17	20	16	16
Sunset/Twin Peaks	17	18	16	18	16	18	20	18	10	17	17	15	18	14	22	17	20	16	16
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	32	33	32	34	29	35	32	32	35	32	32	33	32	33	35	32	35	33	30
Castro/Noe Valley	3	3	2	1	4	1	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	0	3	5	2	2
Hunters Point	4	2	5	1	3	7	4	4	1	4	3	3	3	4	5	3	2	5	4
Other	26	27	25	32	22	27	24	25	30	26	26	27	25	26	30	27	28	26	24

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>WESTERN ADDITION</u>	6	6	6	5	11	3	0	4	7	10	5	2	0	8	6	3	11	2	4	0
Western Addition	6	6	6	5	11	3	0	4	7	10	5	2	0	8	6	3	11	2	4	0
<u>CHINATOWN/FD/SOMA</u>	14	14	14	12	18	13	15	17	12	14	15	16	8	11	14	19	12	13	19	7
Chinatown/Financial District/SOMA	14	14	14	12	18	13	15	17	12	14	15	16	8	11	14	19	12	13	19	7
<u>EXCELSIOR</u>	6	5	6	8	6	3	8	3	8	2	12	5	6	5	3	9	4	7	4	6
Excelsior	6	5	6	8	6	3	8	3	8	2	12	5	6	5	3	9	4	7	4	6
<u>MISSION</u>	7	8	6	4	9	0	5	6	8	5	7	0	7	9	6	8	9	2	6	0
Mission	7	8	6	4	9	0	5	6	8	5	7	0	7	9	6	8	9	2	6	0
<u>MARINA/PHS/SEA CLIFF</u>	10	9	10	10	8	16	11	9	9	12	6	14	14	3	14	11	14	9	13	16
Marina/Pacific Heights/Sea Cliff	10	9	10	10	8	16	11	9	9	12	6	14	14	3	14	11	14	9	13	16
<u>RICHMOND</u>	8	10	5	9	8	9	5	7	8	9	9	8	4	10	8	8	7	13	3	8
Richmond	8	10	5	9	8	9	5	7	8	9	9	8	4	10	8	8	7	13	3	8
<u>SUNSET/TWIN PEAKS</u>	17	18	19	14	14	26	18	19	18	14	13	30	5	16	17	21	10	18	17	30
Sunset/Twin Peaks	17	18	19	14	14	26	18	19	18	14	13	30	5	16	17	21	10	18	17	30
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	32	29	34	38	26	31	39	34	29	33	34	25	56	38	31	21	33	36	34	34
Castro/Noe Valley	3	2	4	3	3	0	0	4	2	3	2	0	0	4	4	0	5	3	0	0
Hunters Point	4	3	3	4	2	4	6	3	4	1	7	4	7	3	3	2	0	5	7	0
Other	26	24	27	30	21	27	33	27	24	29	24	22	49	32	24	18	28	28	27	34

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
<u>WESTERN ADDITION</u>	6	7	3	2	9	1	6	7	7	7	8	7	5	9	17	0	8	0
Western Addition	6	7	3	2	9	1	6	7	7	7	8	7	5	9	17	0	8	0
<u>CHINATOWN/FD/SOMA</u>	14	17	5	15	17	4	13	13	13	13	14	13	15	10	35	15	0	0
Chinatown/Financial District/SOMA	14	17	5	15	17	4	13	13	13	13	14	13	15	10	35	15	0	0
<u>EXCELSIOR</u>	6	5	8	5	7	8	6	6	5	4	4	4	7	4	0	16	0	2
Excelsior	6	5	8	5	7	8	6	6	5	4	4	4	7	4	0	16	0	2
<u>MISSION</u>	7	8	2	3	7	5	6	6	7	7	7	5	6	8	0	19	0	0
Mission	7	8	2	3	7	5	6	6	7	7	7	5	6	8	0	19	0	0
<u>MARINA/PHS/SEA CLIFF</u>	10	10	7	16	9	8	10	10	10	9	9	8	10	9	11	0	37	0
Marina/Pacific Heights/Sea Cliff	10	10	7	16	9	8	10	10	10	9	9	8	10	9	11	0	37	0
<u>RICHMOND</u>	8	7	14	7	8	9	8	8	8	9	7	6	8	9	0	0	45	0
Richmond	8	7	14	7	8	9	8	8	8	9	7	6	8	9	0	0	45	0
<u>SUNSET/TWIN PEAKS</u>	17	14	32	21	12	25	18	17	18	19	17	20	17	18	0	2	0	76
Sunset/Twin Peaks	17	14	32	21	12	25	18	17	18	19	17	20	17	18	0	2	0	76
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	32	32	30	31	31	40	32	31	31	32	34	37	32	32	37	48	9	22
Castro/Noe Valley	3	3	2	0	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	2	7	1	0	3
Hunters Point	4	3	5	5	5	2	3	3	2	2	3	3	4	3	0	10	0	0
Other	26	26	22	26	24	35	26	25	26	26	28	30	26	26	30	37	9	18

Table 106: NEIGHBORHOODS

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>WESTERN ADDITION</u>	6	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	52	0	0	5	0	0	0
Western Addition	6	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	52	0	0	5	0	0	0
<u>CHINATOWN/FD/SOMA</u>	14	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	0	0	96	0	0	0	0	0
Chinatown/Financial District/SOMA	14	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	0	0	96	0	0	0	0	0
<u>EXCELSIOR</u>	6	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	70
Excelsior	6	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	70
<u>MISSION</u>	7	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	17	51	0	0
Mission	7	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	17	51	0	0
<u>MARINA/PHS/SEA CLIFF</u>	10	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	5	90	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marina/Pacific Heights/Sea Cliff	10	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	5	90	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>RICHMOND</u>	8	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Richmond	8	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>SUNSET/TWIN PEAKS</u>	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	100	15	0	74	0	0	0	0
Sunset/Twin Peaks	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	100	15	0	74	0	0	0	0
<u>ALL OTHER</u>	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	4	7	20	0	32	4	20	78	49	100	30
Castro/Noe Valley	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0
Hunters Point	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0
Other	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	4	7	20	0	32	4	20	53	49	60	30

Table 107: SUPERVISOR DISTRICT

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
													FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER					
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
District 1	9	11	9	10	8	9	8	10	8	12	8	13	10	7	10	9	10	9	7	8
District 2	10	13	5	11	9	10	9	2	10	11	10	10	8	11	11	9	13	10	10	12
District 3	7	7	6	7	7	7	6	5	5	12	6	10	7	8	6	7	7	6	10	8
District 4	9	9	10	9	9	8	12	17	7	14	8	13	8	8	10	8	11	10	6	11
District 5	10	8	8	8	12	10	9	6	12	2	11	5	9	11	10	11	8	11	8	7
District 6	9	9	10	9	9	10	8	9	10	8	9	9	14	7	7	10	7	8	13	8
District 7	9	10	10	10	8	7	13	14	8	8	10	6	8	12	9	10	6	10	7	3
District 8	11	10	9	10	12	11	11	13	13	9	12	10	11	9	12	12	7	11	12	9
District 9	9	9	11	9	9	9	9	6	11	7	10	7	10	11	7	9	9	9	8	12
District 10	9	9	7	8	10	9	7	9	8	9	8	9	7	8	10	7	14	7	10	15
District 11	8	6	14	9	7	9	8	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	7

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT				
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
District 1	9	11	11	7	10	11	9	11	8	13	11	7	9	12	9	10	6	10	7	9	9	7
District 2	10	13	11	8	12	11	9	7	11	8	10	10	14	9	12	8	5	11	12	10	11	9
District 3	7	6	5	8	4	6	8	7	7	4	5	9	8	5	7	6	9	6	11	6	12	9
District 4	9	12	11	9	5	7	10	12	8	11	9	10	6	14	7	14	5	6	18	8	17	6
District 5	10	9	6	12	8	9	11	9	11	15	14	6	13	6	13	6	6	11	3	11	2	14
District 6	9	10	8	9	13	10	8	7	10	6	6	11	9	8	9	7	14	10	7	9	7	13
District 7	9	6	6	11	10	8	9	8	10	7	8	10	6	11	6	13	11	9	7	10	7	6
District 8	11	12	6	14	5	12	11	11	11	16	16	6	14	8	13	9	10	13	6	12	8	8
District 9	9	8	11	9	9	11	9	8	9	11	10	9	10	7	10	7	9	11	5	10	6	8
District 10	9	5	15	8	9	9	8	11	8	5	6	12	6	13	8	11	9	8	17	9	12	8
District 11	8	8	9	5	14	6	8	9	7	4	5	11	5	9	6	9	16	6	9	8	9	11

Table 107: SUPERVISOR DISTRICT

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
District 1	9	9	9	12	9	9	9	5	10	9	8	9	9	9	9	10	4	9	11	9	8
District 2	10	10	11	10	9	10	11	6	9	10	9	8	15	10	10	14	7	7	5	3	6
District 3	7	6	9	10	6	7	10	11	3	6	12	3	9	5	9	7	4	2	10	11	7
District 4	9	8	15	7	6	11	13	4	11	10	5	15	2	11	6	5	9	7	14	19	12
District 5	10	11	4	7	11	11	5	20	14	10	10	3	14	6	12	14	5	11	7	7	7
District 6	9	9	10	8	10	8	9	10	7	7	18	3	13	6	11	9	8	17	9	8	10
District 7	9	9	11	5	9	9	10	6	15	10	5	13	3	10	9	8	10	8	8	8	8
District 8	11	12	7	10	13	10	7	10	10	11	10	10	17	12	11	16	8	8	5	4	6
District 9	9	10	5	10	11	9	6	11	10	9	8	12	8	11	8	8	20	2	8	7	11
District 10	9	9	9	10	9	8	11	11	8	10	6	10	10	10	8	5	14	21	8	7	12
District 11	8	8	9	10	7	9	8	6	3	8	10	14	2	10	7	4	13	6	14	16	12
		Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE				
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
District 1	9	8	9	12	7	9	10	9	9	8	10	9	9	7	9	13	10	0	6		
District 2	10	5	15	11	10	13	13	6	13	9	3	12	13	13	10	6	11	5	0		
District 3	7	5	9	8	6	8	7	6	7	6	6	7	7	10	6	4	7	0	12		
District 4	9	8	7	9	15	12	7	8	10	11	5	8	11	4	9	16	9	8	7		
District 5	10	14	11	8	2	11	11	9	11	8	9	11	11	11	11	5	11	4	6		
District 6	9	9	7	11	7	7	8	12	7	15	10	9	5	18	6	4	9	2	11		
District 7	9	6	10	10	14	11	10	7	11	7	7	10	10	6	10	12	9	8	9		
District 8	11	16	12	8	6	9	20	8	13	7	8	16	10	14	11	7	11	15	5		
District 9	9	13	7	8	11	8	7	11	8	9	13	7	8	9	9	9	8	26	8		
District 10	9	10	8	6	12	7	5	13	6	13	14	7	6	5	10	10	9	16	11		
District 11	8	6	6	8	10	6	5	11	6	6	16	3	9	4	8	14	6	16	25		

Table 107: SUPERVISOR DISTRICT

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
District 1	9	8	6	8	8	12	9	7	10	9	11	8	6	6	5	10	16	9	9
District 2	10	6	9	7	9	12	16	7	13	12	14	12	5	4	17	13	5	10	10
District 3	7	8	8	9	7	6	6	8	6	7	6	13	5	8	8	7	2	7	7
District 4	9	10	7	8	10	9	7	9	8	10	6	3	9	16	5	8	12	9	9
District 5	10	9	12	11	9	13	10	11	11	9	13	10	15	2	13	11	8	10	10
District 6	9	17	14	10	5	8	9	14	7	7	8	25	10	5	12	6	5	9	9
District 7	9	5	7	9	11	11	10	7	11	11	10	5	5	13	5	12	15	9	9
District 8	11	7	7	9	12	9	14	8	12	11	13	7	9	7	18	10	7	11	11
District 9	9	11	7	11	7	7	9	10	8	8	7	7	12	12	11	7	7	9	9
District 10	9	11	10	7	9	10	7	9	9	10	7	5	13	7	6	9	11	9	9
District 11	8	7	12	10	12	3	4	10	5	6	4	5	11	18	1	6	11	8	8

	Q27 GENDER			AGE								PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE				
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
District 1	9	9	9	12	10	10	7	9	4	10	7	7	9	10	7	10	7	11	7
District 2	10	11	9	10	12	9	8	6	17	11	9	10	9	11	14	13	9	8	9
District 3	7	7	7	6	7	7	5	13	5	7	8	10	6	7	14	6	8	8	7
District 4	9	9	9	11	10	9	8	7	6	10	8	7	9	8	14	9	11	12	5
District 5	10	10	10	10	9	13	10	8	10	10	10	9	10	11	8	12	8	9	11
District 6	9	11	7	8	11	6	9	9	13	8	10	10	9	9	4	9	13	7	7
District 7	9	9	9	9	6	11	11	12	5	8	10	9	9	8	13	9	10	8	10
District 8	11	12	10	5	12	3	17	12	17	8	16	14	12	10	4	9	17	5	14
District 9	9	8	10	9	10	9	9	8	7	9	9	8	10	8	5	10	6	9	11
District 10	9	9	9	11	7	14	8	6	6	10	7	6	9	9	8	10	8	11	7
District 11	8	4	11	9	7	8	7	10	9	8	8	10	7	9	9	5	4	12	11

Table 107: SUPERVISOR DISTRICT

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
District 1	9	11	7	10	9	9	5	8	10	11	9	8	4	10	9	10	7	15	3	8
District 2	10	9	9	12	8	16	11	9	10	15	6	14	14	3	14	11	18	9	13	16
District 3	7	6	6	6	9	13	16	5	7	8	7	12	19	5	9	5	8	8	20	7
District 4	9	10	7	8	8	19	9	8	10	9	8	18	5	9	6	10	7	8	14	19
District 5	10	10	9	10	12	10	4	9	11	15	7	2	19	14	9	4	14	8	13	5
District 6	9	9	9	8	11	2	7	13	7	8	9	6	0	8	8	15	6	8	4	4
District 7	9	8	10	9	7	7	19	9	9	7	8	17	4	7	12	10	4	12	3	17
District 8	11	8	18	8	13	4	4	15	10	11	9	3	6	14	13	7	15	8	0	8
District 9	9	11	9	8	9	2	7	9	10	8	9	2	11	14	7	11	8	8	13	0
District 10	9	11	7	11	6	7	9	10	8	6	11	9	7	10	9	6	5	12	7	5
District 11	8	7	8	10	8	10	9	4	9	3	16	9	11	7	5	10	7	6	10	10

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT				
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
District 1	9	8	15	7	8	10	9	9	9	9	8	7	9	11	0	0	49	0
District 2	10	10	7	16	11	7	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	8	10	0	40	0
District 3	7	7	4	14	8	5	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5	27	0	0	0
District 4	9	7	15	13	7	16	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	11	0	0	0	41
District 5	10	11	9	9	10	7	10	11	12	12	14	14	9	13	26	0	10	7
District 6	9	12	3	5	11	1	8	8	8	9	9	9	10	7	13	16	0	0
District 7	9	7	14	11	8	14	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	11	0	2	0	38
District 8	11	14	7	2	10	8	11	13	14	15	17	16	11	10	23	7	0	11
District 9	9	10	8	4	7	13	9	8	9	8	8	6	9	10	0	26	0	0
District 10	9	9	10	7	10	10	9	8	6	6	7	7	9	8	0	26	0	0
District 11	8	7	8	10	9	11	8	7	6	6	6	6	9	5	0	22	0	3

Table 107: SUPERVISOR DISTRICT

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
District 1	9	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 2	10	5	0	0	0	94	0	0	2	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 3	7	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 4	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 5	10	86	0	0	0	1	0	9	10	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 6	9	0	61	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
District 7	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	39	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
District 8	11	9	0	0	29	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
District 9	9	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
District 10	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
District 11	8	0	0	91	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

Table 108: MODE OF INTERVIEW

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
Phone	51	100	100	100	0	58	34	20	44	51	48	55	60	46	48	49	57	49	47	62		
Online	49	0	0	0	100	42	66	80	56	49	52	45	40	54	52	51	43	51	53	38		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Phone	51	63	74	40	48	59	47	68	42	39	37	68	55	45	57	48	31	48	36	55	41	32
Online	49	37	26	60	52	41	53	32	58	61	63	32	45	55	43	52	69	52	64	45	59	68
	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562	
Phone	51	55	46	25	48	56	46	41	40	50	57	44	34	41	58	46	59	65	56	67	59	
Online	49	45	54	75	52	44	54	59	60	50	43	56	66	59	42	54	41	35	44	33	41	
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE			
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74			
Phone	51	49	52	50	60	51	36	62	45	62	62	49	43	47	51	59	45	100	100			
Online	49	51	48	50	40	49	64	38	55	38	38	51	57	53	49	41	55	0	0			

Table 108: MODE OF INTERVIEW

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+	\$74.5K- \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B
	Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576
Phone	51	64	58	58	53	46	45	60	47	47	47	50	65	67	42	47	56	50	52
Online	49	36	42	42	47	54	55	40	53	53	53	50	35	33	58	53	44	50	48

	Q27 GENDER				AGE						PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE						
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
	Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317
Phone	51	53	51		69	65	40	37	39	49	59	40	43	48	57	49	64	36	55	45
Online	49	47	49		31	35	60	63	61	51	41	60	57	52	43	51	36	64	45	55

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER					PARTY BY IDEOLOGY							
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/LM REP	CONS REP
	Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29
Phone	51	59	35	60	53	60	36	49	49	59	58	56	36	49	49	48	57	55	45	62
Online	49	41	65	40	47	40	64	51	51	41	42	44	64	51	51	52	43	45	55	38

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209
Phone	51	49	44	49	60	48	50	45	42	41	39	34	50	55	49	52	54	50
Online	49	51	56	51	40	52	50	55	58	59	61	66	50	45	51	48	46	50

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	CHTWN			MRNA/PH/SC			SNST/TWIN		DIST										
		WSTRN ADDTN	/FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	PH/SC	RCHMD	PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
Phone	51	48	51	54	60	56	60	51	45	58	55	49	51	41	52	56	46	53	47	55
Online	49	52	49	46	40	44	40	49	55	42	45	51	49	59	48	44	54	47	53	45

Table 109: A/B SPLIT

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTN	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
A	50	49	50	49	51	50	52	57	51	52	50	49	50	48	51	50	50	52	46	42		
B	50	51	50	51	49	50	48	43	49	48	50	51	50	52	49	50	50	48	54	58		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
A	50	56	52	48	47	54	49	50	52	50	50	49	53	48	51	50	46	49	60	50	55	46
B	50	44	48	52	53	46	51	50	48	50	50	51	47	52	49	50	54	51	40	50	45	54
	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562	
A	50	49	54	48	48	50	55	44	44	51	47	50	52	51	49	51	49	46	50	50	50	
B	50	51	46	52	52	50	45	56	56	49	53	50	48	49	51	49	51	54	50	50	50	
	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE			
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74			
A	50	50	49	53	52	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	49	50	48	50	49	53			
B	50	50	51	47	48	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	51	50	52	50	51	47			

Table 109: A/B SPLIT

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K+\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
A	50	44	46	44	50	55	53	45	53	54	52	46	48	36	51	53	54	100	0
B	50	56	54	56	50	45	47	55	47	46	48	54	52	64	49	47	46	0	100

	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
A	50	50	50		50	50	50	50	49	52	50	50	50	50	50	50	48	53	52	47
B	50	50	50		50	50	50	50	51	48	50	50	50	50	50	50	52	47	48	53

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
A	50	52	47	47	55	47	53	51	49	48	53	50	50	50	47	56	54	50	54	44
B	50	48	53	53	45	53	47	49	51	52	47	50	50	50	53	44	46	50	46	56

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250
A	50	48	62	48	50	51	50	50	51	51	51	51	51	47	50	51	49	49
B	50	52	38	52	50	49	50	50	49	49	49	49	49	53	50	49	51	51

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
A	50	45	50	46	54	53	49	52	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
B	50	55	50	54	46	47	51	48	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Table 110: QUADRANT

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI				
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/GOOD	NSG/POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST			YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
													FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER							
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
Northeast	26	27	18	25	27	28	23	20	29	24	27	26	29	26	24	29	17	27	31	17		
Southeast	34	31	45	35	34	35	32	34	35	32	35	31	35	34	33	33	39	31	37	44		
Northwest	18	21	15	19	17	18	16	12	15	20	17	22	16	17	20	16	25	19	15	19		
Southwest	22	21	22	21	22	19	29	34	21	23	22	21	20	23	23	23	19	24	18	20		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	PDSTN		IMPRV		CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
		RDUCE CGSTN	/BIKE SFTY	SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN																	
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
Northeast	26	27	19	30	20	23	29	18	29	32	28	23	34	17	31	19	24	27	18	26	20	33
Southeast	34	29	41	30	41	36	32	38	32	25	28	41	31	34	33	32	44	35	36	34	33	36
Northwest	18	22	18	16	21	21	17	19	17	21	21	15	20	21	19	19	12	18	17	18	20	17
Southwest	22	22	21	23	18	20	22	24	21	22	23	22	15	28	17	30	20	20	30	22	27	14
	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS					Q19 ACCESS TO CAR			Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY					
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN RENT	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562	
Northeast	26	27	21	27	27	27	22	34	24	23	39	12	31	18	32	34	16	27	18	17	20	
Southeast	34	34	32	37	36	33	33	36	27	34	36	41	35	39	31	25	56	38	39	40	44	
Northwest	18	18	19	19	17	19	19	19	19	19	13	16	25	19	18	23	6	14	19	15	13	
Southwest	22	21	28	17	20	21	26	10	30	23	13	31	10	25	19	19	22	20	25	28	23	

Table 110: QUADRANT

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE			
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE	
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74	
Northeast	26	28	30	24	15	27	31	22	29	23	22	32	25	36	26	13	27	14	18	
Southeast	34	38	27	32	38	28	25	45	27	40	49	26	28	31	34	38	31	66	57	
Northwest	18	13	23	21	16	20	22	15	21	16	13	20	21	19	18	18	20	0	8	
Southwest	22	21	20	23	30	25	23	18	24	22	16	22	26	13	22	31	22	20	17	
	Q25 INCOME								INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE							A/B SPLIT				
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575	
Northeast	26	25	31	26	25	25	34	28	28	24	32	39	24	15	37	28	14	26	26	
Southeast	34	42	36	38	35	28	27	39	29	32	26	29	44	45	30	28	33	35	33	
Northwest	18	14	13	15	19	23	18	14	21	20	21	20	12	9	20	21	21	18	19	
Southwest	22	19	19	20	21	25	20	19	22	23	21	12	20	31	13	23	32	21	22	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
Northeast	26	29	24		19	28	27	26	28	28	25	27	28	26	26	24	28	29	23	25
Southeast	34	31	37		33	36	35	34	33	33	35	34	33	34	36	25	32	30	38	36
Northwest	18	18	18		24	17	17	15	15	22	19	17	18	17	19	22	20	15	18	17
Southwest	22	22	21		24	19	21	25	23	17	21	23	21	23	18	29	20	25	22	21
	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
Northeast	26	25	28	26	27	28	20	28	24	32	22	20	30	25	32	16	30	26	32	18
Southeast	34	36	32	36	37	20	31	34	35	29	43	23	29	38	28	41	28	32	32	17
Northwest	18	19	15	19	19	26	17	16	18	22	16	20	26	14	19	20	27	19	17	25
Southwest	22	21	25	19	17	26	33	22	23	18	19	36	15	22	21	23	15	23	19	40

Table 110: QUADRANT

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209
Northeast	26	30	16	24	28	17	26	27	28	28	30	29	26	24	100	0	0	0
Southeast	34	35	30	24	37	35	33	32	31	31	31	29	35	30	0	100	0	0
Northwest	18	17	20	25	18	17	19	19	19	18	18	17	18	19	0	0	100	0
Southwest	22	18	35	27	17	32	22	22	23	23	22	25	21	26	0	0	0	100

	NEIGHBORHOODS										SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT									
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
	Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104
Northeast	26	74	63	0	0	29	0	1	30	1	27	100	0	67	38	0	55	0	0	0
Southeast	34	0	37	91	100	0	0	4	50	0	0	0	0	0	62	8	22	100	100	92
Northwest	18	26	0	0	0	71	100	0	5	99	73	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwest	22	0	0	9	0	0	0	96	15	0	0	0	100	14	0	92	22	0	0	8

Table 111: TARGETS

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED				Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI					
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLNE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE-COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT		
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112		
TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
CONS STRONG SUPPORT	41	43	28	39	44	48	30	25	59	9	51	14	49	43	36	45	31	43	49	30		
SWING	42	45	51	47	38	41	44	31	35	27	42	38	38	45	43	41	46	42	34	51		
EVER OPPOSE	16	13	21	15	18	11	26	44	5	64	7	48	14	12	20	14	23	16	17	19		
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	3	3	3	5	3	4	4	3	5	3	6	3	3	5	4	5	2	3	9		
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	3	3	3	5	3	4	4	3	5	3	6	3	3	5	4	5	2	3	9		
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	14	9	13	20	7	35	38	22	10	18	12	16	19	15	17	15	16	22	19		
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	14	9	13	20	7	35	38	22	10	18	12	16	19	15	17	15	16	22	19		
	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL				Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT					Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
Column n-Size	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS STRONG SUPPORT	41	44	37	45	47	40	43	36	45	47	47	36	62	24	52	26	36	84	0	53	0	0
SWING	42	42	52	39	41	42	40	44	40	36	38	47	30	35	40	41	57	15	0	44	0	88
EVER OPPOSE	16	15	11	15	12	18	16	20	15	17	16	17	8	41	8	33	7	1	100	3	100	12
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	4	4	4	4	6	3	4	4	4	5	3	4	2	4	2	9	0	4	0	7	34
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	4	4	4	4	6	3	4	4	4	5	3	4	2	4	2	9	0	4	0	7	34
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	15	9	23	13	15	18	13	19	21	20	14	19	19	18	18	7	21	0	21	0	0
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	15	9	23	13	15	18	13	19	21	20	14	19	19	18	18	7	21	0	21	0	0

Table 111: TARGETS

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN	RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS STRONG SUPPORT	41	54	0	0	100	0	0	0	46	39	50	34	42	36	46	48	49	36	27	18	36
SWING	42	45	0	93	0	100	0	76	49	44	36	42	45	43	41	40	39	44	53	61	46
EVER OPPOSE	16	1	100	7	0	0	100	24	5	17	14	24	13	20	13	12	12	20	21	21	18
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	5	0	0	0	7	6	100	0	3	7	3	3	3	4	5	1	1	4	3	3
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	5	0	0	0	7	6	100	0	3	7	3	3	3	4	5	1	1	4	3	3
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	20	5	7	19	19	5	0	100	18	13	13	20	15	19	22	13	13	11	10	12
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	20	5	7	19	19	5	0	100	18	13	13	20	15	19	22	13	13	11	10	12
	Q24 IDEOLOGY				Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE					
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST- GRAD	NON- COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE		
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74		
TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
CONS STRONG SUPPORT	41	59	45	33	35	40	44	42	41	47	38	42	41	45	42	39	42	59	13		
SWING	42	34	45	43	34	43	43	42	43	33	49	40	45	42	42	43	41	35	65		
EVER OPPOSE	16	8	10	24	31	18	13	16	16	20	13	17	14	13	16	18	17	6	22		
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	3	3	3	8	3	3	5	3	2	7	3	4	3	4	3	4	0	5		
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	3	3	3	8	3	3	5	3	2	7	3	4	3	4	3	4	0	5		
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	19	19	16	15	19	21	12	19	17	8	23	16	19	17	14	18	7	2		
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	19	19	16	15	19	21	12	19	17	8	23	16	19	17	14	18	7	2		

Table 111: TARGETS

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT		
	Total	\$0- \$34.5K	\$34.5K- \$52.5K	\$52.5K- \$74.5K	\$74.5K- \$100K	\$100K- \$200K	\$200K+	\$0- \$74.5K	\$74.5K+ \$175K	\$175K+	\$0- \$74.5K (1)	\$0- \$74.5K (2-3)	\$0- \$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B		
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575	
TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
CONS STRONG SUPPORT	41	38	36	43	47	49	41	39	46	49	42	37	41	38	54	44	40	40	43	
SWING	42	57	41	45	37	36	44	48	39	35	44	48	47	49	37	39	40	42	43	
EVER OPPOSE	16	5	23	12	16	15	15	13	15	16	14	15	13	13	9	16	20	18	15	
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	5	3	6	1	2	4	5	3	2	4	5	6	2	0	3	4	3	4	
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	5	3	6	1	2	4	5	3	2	4	5	6	2	0	3	4	3	4	
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	10	18	14	15	22	22	14	20	20	21	11	16	15	29	19	12	14	19	
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	10	18	14	15	22	22	14	20	20	21	11	16	15	29	19	12	14	19	
	Q27 GENDER				AGE							PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE					
	Total	MEN	WOMEN		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587		196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
TARGETS	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS STRONG SUPPORT	41	44	40		47	49	39	35	39	31	46	36	36	48	32	25	47	40	45	33
SWING	42	37	47		42	36	46	44	40	51	41	44	45	40	49	35	39	34	43	52
EVER OPPOSE	16	19	13		11	15	15	21	21	18	14	20	19	12	20	41	15	26	12	15
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	2	5		5	4	4	5	1	4	4	4	2	3	4	7	2	2	6	4
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	2	5		5	4	4	5	1	4	4	4	2	3	4	7	2	2	6	4
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	21	13		19	14	25	16	14	8	19	14	12	18	13	23	24	16	13	12
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	21	13		19	14	25	16	14	8	19	14	12	18	13	23	24	16	13	12

Table 111: TARGETS

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS STRONG SUPPORT	41	51	45	40	19	27	22	52	45	36	29	22	32	60	47	41	46	31	15	26
SWING	42	38	42	46	53	37	32	35	44	42	55	32	40	32	44	42	45	41	42	38
EVER OPPOSE	16	11	13	14	29	36	45	12	11	23	16	46	28	8	9	17	9	28	44	36
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	3	4	5	3	9	5	3	4	1	8	6	11	3	2	4	6	3	9	8
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	3	4	5	3	9	5	3	4	1	8	6	11	3	2	4	6	3	9	8
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	19	16	15	9	29	17	21	15	19	6	25	21	19	20	17	16	12	13	30
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	19	16	15	9	29	17	21	15	19	6	25	21	19	20	17	16	12	13	30
	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE					FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT						
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST		
Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209	250		
TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
CONS STRONG SUPPORT	41	48	54	27	33	33	42	41	41	41	42	44	42	38	43	43	39	39		
SWING	42	41	38	37	49	40	41	43	43	42	42	42	42	41	44	41	44	41		
EVER OPPOSE	16	12	8	36	19	27	17	16	16	17	16	14	16	20	14	16	17	20		
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	4	2	5	4	5	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	2		
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	4	2	5	4	5	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	2		
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	18	18	26	14	11	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	15	15	13	17	23		
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	18	18	26	14	11	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	15	15	13	17	23		

Table 111: TARGETS

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
TARGETS	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
CONS STRONG SUPPORT	41	44	44	32	52	40	43	35	43	41	39	33	26	46	48	41	50	48	43	34
SWING	42	47	37	56	44	42	43	44	40	43	43	43	49	46	36	41	39	41	36	48
EVER OPPOSE	16	9	20	11	5	19	15	21	17	16	18	24	25	8	16	19	11	11	21	17
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	5	4	4	3	2	1	1	6	2	3	6	2	8	4	3	4	5	5	3
POSITIVE MOVERS	4	5	4	4	3	2	1	1	6	2	3	6	2	8	4	3	4	5	5	3
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	25	11	9	19	17	19	24	13	18	15	8	19	23	14	27	15	18	15	7
DISAPP MUNI&SFMTA/SUPP	17	25	11	9	19	17	19	24	13	18	15	8	19	23	14	27	15	18	15	7

Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	QA ON CELL PHONE		MODE OF INTERVIEW		Q3 MUNI SERVICE RATE			Q4 FUNDING NEED					Q5 USED MUNI SINCE COVID			Q18B USED MUNI PRE-COVID		Q6 PERCEPTIONS OF MUNI		
	Total	YES	NO	PHONE	ONLINE	EXC/ GOOD	NSG/ POOR	POOR	GREAT	NO REAL	GREAT /SOME	LT/NO REAL	AT LST FW TM /MNTH	LESS OFTEN	NEVER	YES	NO	RDNG PRE- COVID	RDNG SINCE COVID	HEARD ABOUT RCENT
Column n-Size	1151	426	162	587	564	712	374	109	469	135	844	211	347	274	522	862	285	822	156	112
<u>INCOME BY HH SIZE</u>	80	87	70	82	78	81	80	79	84	68	83	74	79	82	80	80	81	82	78	73
\$0-\$74.5K (1)	12	11	13	11	12	13	9	13	10	9	11	10	16	12	9	13	9	11	19	9
\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	15	17	24	19	11	15	12	11	18	10	15	12	20	15	11	14	16	14	16	20
\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	6	8	10	8	4	7	4	5	5	6	7	6	11	7	3	7	6	5	7	10
\$74.5K+ (1)	12	12	4	10	14	10	17	12	15	7	13	9	10	13	13	12	11	14	10	7
\$74.5K+ (2-3)	28	31	12	26	30	28	28	30	31	24	29	28	17	31	34	27	32	30	21	20
\$74.5K+ (4+)	8	9	6	8	7	7	8	9	6	12	8	10	6	4	10	7	8	8	5	7
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	97	99	98	99	95	98	94	91	98	92	97	94	97	96	96	97	97	97	96	96
NON-COLLEGE MEN	17	21	18	21	13	18	14	13	16	19	15	21	26	16	11	17	17	16	23	16
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	21	17	49	25	16	25	12	11	18	12	21	13	27	20	16	21	19	19	20	27
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	33	16	28	31	28	35	41	30	37	29	35	24	28	34	29	31	30	30	28
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	28	14	25	35	27	32	25	35	24	31	26	20	31	35	29	30	32	23	25
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98	99	100	100	96	99	96	94	98	96	98	97	99	97	98	98	98	98	97	98
MEN 18-49	28	45	12	36	21	29	29	33	31	28	28	31	30	28	28	27	31	28	34	28
MEN 50+	19	9	23	13	24	18	21	24	14	29	17	26	21	17	18	19	18	18	19	19
WOMEN 18-49	28	36	13	30	25	27	26	17	32	19	29	23	22	26	31	27	31	29	20	26
WOMEN 50+	23	9	52	21	26	25	21	20	21	19	24	17	26	26	21	25	19	23	24	26
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	35	49	16	40	29	34	35	28	41	20	36	29	29	32	40	33	38	35	36	34
DEMOCRAT 50+	27	13	35	19	36	29	25	23	29	15	31	17	29	30	25	28	25	28	28	27
INDEPENDENT 18-49	19	26	10	22	15	19	18	19	20	24	19	21	20	20	17	18	21	19	16	19
INDEPENDENT 50+	12	4	36	13	12	12	13	15	6	26	9	21	16	12	10	14	9	12	12	12
REPUBLICAN 18-49	4	6	0	4	3	4	4	6	2	5	3	6	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	2
REPUBLICAN 50+	3	2	4	2	4	2	5	8	1	10	2	7	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	7
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	98	99	100	100	96	99	96	94	98	96	98	97	99	97	98	98	98	98	97	98
DEMOCRAT MEN	28	29	19	27	29	28	27	29	32	18	29	22	29	25	28	27	30	27	33	26
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	34	32	31	32	35	34	31	21	37	17	37	23	29	35	36	34	32	35	30	33
INDEPENDENT MEN	15	18	14	17	12	15	16	18	12	28	13	24	18	15	13	15	13	15	12	16
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	15	12	32	17	13	16	13	13	14	18	14	14	17	15	14	15	15	14	14	14
REPUBLICAN MEN	5	7	2	5	4	4	7	10	2	11	3	10	4	5	5	4	6	4	7	4
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	2	1	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	4	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	4
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	92	65	85	84	84	84	78	88	78	87	84	78	89	86	83	88	86	80	81
PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRAT	18	22	7	17	19	18	17	17	25	4	21	9	12	22	20	18	18	19	14	21
LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	24	24	21	23	25	24	23	14	27	11	27	15	23	23	26	25	22	26	25	19
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	14	13	14	13	15	14	14	14	13	14	14	16	16	12	14	12	18	13	17	15
PROG/LIB INDEPENDENT	11	12	11	12	9	12	9	8	12	13	11	11	12	11	10	11	9	11	8	7
MOD/CONS INDEPENDENT	12	14	9	13	11	10	14	14	8	23	10	21	11	15	11	11	14	12	9	14
PROG/LIB/MOD REPUBLICAN	3	3	1	2	3	2	3	2	1	6	2	6	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	2
CONSERVATIVE REPUBLICAN	3	5	3	4	3	3	5	8	1	6	2	6	3	3	4	3	3	3	5	3

Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q9 FIRST CHOICE: MUNI GOAL					Q10A STOP DIST VS BUS FREQ		Q10B PRICE VS FREQ		Q11 HEARD OF SLOW STREETS PROGRAM			Q12 SLOW STREETS EXPANSION SUPPORT				Q14 MUNI MEASURE INITIAL SUPPORT					
	Total	RDUCE CGSTN	PDSTN /BIKE SFTY	IMPRV SPEED /RLBL	RPR/ MNTN	CLSR BUT INFRQ	FREQ BUT FRTHR	CHPR BUT INFRQ	FREQ /NOT CHPR	GREAT DEAL	TOTAL YES	NO	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	STR SUPP	STR OPP	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA
	1151	219	140	491	160	310	741	322	725	296	606	518	417	261	632	390	128	566	109	901	151	99
<u>INCOME BY HH SIZE</u>	80	78	81	81	81	84	79	81	80	75	78	83	86	76	83	77	76	84	72	83	69	68
\$0-\$74.5K (1)	12	10	13	11	14	12	11	17	9	8	10	14	10	14	11	12	15	11	8	12	9	15
\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	15	16	19	13	10	20	12	16	13	12	11	19	14	15	14	16	13	8	16	9	13	
\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	6	5	11	4	10	8	5	10	4	1	3	10	2	5	5	7	13	6	5	7	5	4
\$74.5K+ (1)	12	8	6	17	10	11	13	9	15	15	14	10	18	10	15	9	5	16	8	13	7	11
\$74.5K+ (2-3)	28	34	17	29	30	26	30	23	31	31	33	22	33	24	30	25	26	31	32	28	29	22
\$74.5K+ (4+)	8	4	14	8	8	7	8	6	9	7	7	8	8	8	8	9	2	7	11	8	10	3
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	97	97	96	97	98	97	97	97	96	95	96	97	97	95	97	96	96	98	91	98	92	92
NON-COLLEGE MEN	17	24	22	13	16	15	17	21	15	12	11	23	13	28	14	24	11	19	27	17	24	7
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	21	14	27	17	27	28	16	26	17	9	13	28	12	17	18	20	37	18	15	21	14	31
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	32	26	32	30	24	33	23	33	37	35	23	38	27	33	26	21	31	27	30	31	21
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	28	21	36	25	30	31	28	31	37	36	22	33	24	32	26	26	31	22	30	23	33
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98	99	98	98	98	98	98	99	98	97	97	99	98	97	98	97	98	99	94	99	96	94
MEN 18-49	28	39	38	27	22	21	32	32	28	28	26	32	36	27	32	27	16	32	23	30	27	12
MEN 50+	19	17	11	18	24	19	19	12	21	22	21	15	15	29	16	24	17	18	32	17	31	16
WOMEN 18-49	28	20	29	29	28	30	27	35	25	27	27	28	31	15	31	21	29	29	20	29	20	27
WOMEN 50+	23	22	21	24	24	28	20	20	23	20	23	24	15	26	20	26	35	20	19	23	18	40
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	35	36	39	37	30	34	36	42	34	39	37	31	46	21	44	23	26	40	20	38	21	23
DEMOCRAT 50+	27	28	21	28	35	32	25	20	30	33	33	21	23	31	25	28	35	30	20	28	17	34
INDEPENDENT 18-49	19	21	23	17	17	17	19	21	17	16	15	24	20	15	18	20	21	19	18	19	18	15
INDEPENDENT 50+	12	11	8	12	7	11	12	10	12	9	10	14	8	17	9	16	16	7	22	10	22	21
REPUBLICAN 18-49	4	4	6	4	4	2	5	4	4	1	2	5	3	7	3	7	0	2	7	3	10	2
REPUBLICAN 50+	3	1	3	3	6	4	3	3	3	2	2	4	1	9	1	7	2	2	13	2	11	4
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	98	99	98	98	98	98	98	99	98	97	97	99	98	97	98	97	98	99	94	99	96	94
DEMOCRAT MEN	28	34	27	26	32	24	29	24	30	35	33	22	33	28	30	25	22	34	18	30	18	21
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	34	29	32	38	32	41	31	37	32	36	36	30	35	23	38	25	38	36	20	36	19	35
INDEPENDENT MEN	15	19	14	15	8	11	16	14	15	14	12	18	16	18	15	17	9	14	22	14	23	5
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	15	13	16	12	16	16	14	17	13	10	12	18	10	13	12	17	26	12	15	14	14	27
REPUBLICAN MEN	5	4	7	4	6	4	5	6	4	2	3	7	3	10	3	9	2	2	15	3	16	2
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	2	1	1	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	5	1	5	0	2	4	2	5	4
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	87	88	87	86	86	85	81	87	90	89	80	93	80	90	80	69	91	71	88	74	67
PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRAT	18	20	17	21	17	20	17	20	18	22	22	14	25	10	23	11	16	25	5	21	7	11
LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	24	24	22	27	24	25	25	21	26	29	29	18	28	16	27	19	21	27	10	28	9	15
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	14	15	16	12	19	15	13	11	16	15	13	14	13	18	14	14	13	14	12	13	13	19
PROG/LIB INDEPENDENT	11	11	13	11	9	10	11	13	9	13	12	10	14	4	12	9	9	12	4	12	6	9
MOD/CONS INDEPENDENT	12	13	12	11	9	12	12	10	12	9	9	15	10	19	9	17	8	10	25	11	22	7
PROG/LIB/MOD REPUBLICAN	3	2	3	2	5	1	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	5	2	4	2	1	8	1	8	4
CONSERVATIVE REPUBLICAN	3	3	5	4	3	4	3	4	3	1	2	5	2	8	2	6	0	2	7	3	9	2

Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q17 MUNI MEASURE FINAL SUPPORT				TARGETS				Q19 ACCESS TO CAR		Q20 RESIDENCE				Q21/Q22 RACE/ETHNICITY						
	Total	TOTAL SUPP	TOTAL OPP	DK/NA	CN STR SUPP	SWING	EVER OPP	POS MOVER	DISAP MUNI/ SUPP	HAVE ACCSS	NO ACCSS	OWN HOUSE	OWN CONDO	OWN RENT	WHITE	LATNO	AFRCN AMRCN	ASIAN /PI	CHNSE	PPLE OF CLR	
Column n-Size	1151	887	172	92	476	486	189	45	190	928	215	280	127	407	659	488	195	86	268	173	562
<u>INCOME BY HH SIZE</u>	80	84	68	70	84	81	70	71	87	81	78	75	88	79	86	86	83	85	75	71	80
\$0-\$74.5K (1)	12	12	8	14	10	13	10	15	8	9	23	4	4	4	17	13	11	17	10	12	11
\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	15	16	11	8	14	16	11	21	14	14	17	14	5	11	17	11	25	19	14	15	19
\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	6	7	6	2	6	7	5	3	6	7	5	5	4	5	7	1	15	9	11	15	12
\$74.5K+ (1)	12	13	8	15	16	10	7	0	21	12	14	3	24	10	15	17	4	12	10	8	9
\$74.5K+ (2-3)	28	28	28	27	30	26	28	23	32	31	16	35	47	39	24	36	22	25	20	14	22
\$74.5K+ (4+)	8	8	8	4	7	7	9	8	6	9	2	14	3	10	6	9	7	2	10	8	7
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	97	98	92	94	98	97	93	92	97	97	96	98	98	98	98	98	98	98	98	98	98
NON-COLLEGE MEN	17	17	22	9	19	13	21	9	18	16	20	13	8	12	19	11	34	31	15	20	24
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	21	22	13	24	19	24	16	35	10	19	27	18	6	14	24	14	28	24	29	34	29
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	30	31	26	30	28	31	21	41	31	24	33	42	35	28	41	17	13	25	22	20
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	30	26	35	29	32	24	28	28	31	25	33	42	36	27	33	20	30	29	22	26
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98	99	96	94	99	98	96	97	98	98	98	99	98	99	99	99	99	99	100	100	99
MEN 18-49	28	30	25	17	32	26	25	18	41	29	28	18	25	20	34	31	33	36	23	21	28
MEN 50+	19	16	31	18	18	15	29	12	18	19	18	28	25	27	14	21	18	8	17	21	17
WOMEN 18-49	28	30	17	21	30	28	21	43	21	28	25	22	25	23	32	25	29	31	33	26	31
WOMEN 50+	23	22	23	38	19	29	21	25	18	22	28	31	24	28	19	22	20	24	26	32	24
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	35	38	23	21	42	31	24	26	41	35	32	24	30	26	41	37	37	38	29	20	33
DEMOCRAT 50+	27	27	23	36	30	27	22	26	26	26	30	40	30	37	21	32	30	26	18	18	24
INDEPENDENT 18-49	19	20	13	19	18	20	16	26	17	19	19	14	18	15	21	15	20	25	23	23	21
INDEPENDENT 50+	12	9	23	19	6	15	21	9	7	12	15	15	12	14	11	9	6	6	23	32	14
REPUBLICAN 18-49	4	3	8	0	2	3	8	9	6	4	3	2	3	2	5	3	5	3	5	5	4
REPUBLICAN 50+	3	2	9	5	2	3	9	4	3	4	2	6	8	6	2	3	3	1	3	3	2
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	98	99	96	94	99	98	96	97	98	98	98	99	98	99	99	99	99	99	100	100	99
DEMOCRAT MEN	28	29	21	23	35	23	21	18	35	28	27	28	28	28	28	33	29	27	20	17	25
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	34	36	24	32	37	35	23	31	31	33	34	35	32	34	33	36	38	36	26	21	33
INDEPENDENT MEN	15	14	21	8	13	15	20	4	17	15	15	13	17	14	15	14	16	12	15	20	15
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	15	15	12	26	11	20	15	31	6	15	18	16	11	14	16	10	9	20	30	35	21
REPUBLICAN MEN	5	3	13	3	3	4	13	7	7	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	6	4	4	6	5
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	2	2	4	1	2	2	4	6	3	2	1	2	5	3	2	1	2	0	3	2	2
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	87	77	68	91	81	77	74	91	85	82	90	94	91	84	94	89	78	73	65	80
PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRAT	18	20	8	15	26	14	9	12	21	18	18	13	17	14	22	23	20	20	9	6	15
LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	24	27	13	19	27	25	13	10	28	24	24	25	27	26	24	31	23	28	15	12	20
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	14	14	16	14	14	14	15	14	14	14	13	22	15	20	10	11	18	10	17	14	17
PROG/LIB INDEPENDENT	11	12	6	6	12	11	6	16	11	11	12	8	13	10	12	13	6	7	11	10	9
MOD/CONS INDEPENDENT	12	10	20	10	9	11	20	8	9	12	10	14	14	14	10	9	15	11	16	18	14
PROG/LIB/MOD REPUBLICAN	3	2	7	2	1	2	7	6	2	3	1	4	5	4	2	2	3	1	3	3	3
CONSERVATIVE REPUBLICAN	3	3	7	2	2	3	7	7	6	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	2	3

Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q24 IDEOLOGY					Q23 EDUCATION				EDUCATION BY GENDER				Q26 HOUSEHOLD SIZE			LANGUAGE		
	Total	PROG	LIB	MOD	CONS	COLL GRAD	POST-GRAD	NON-COLL	COLL+	NCOLL MEN	NCOLL WOMEN	COLL+ MEN	COLL+ WOMEN	1	2-3	4+	ENGLS	SPNSH	CHNSE
Column n-Size	1151	263	349	267	91	426	265	437	691	194	239	339	339	310	594	209	1020	57	74
<u>INCOME BY HH SIZE</u>	80	87	84	83	82	87	79	77	84	83	73	88	82	88	83	77	82	67	60
\$0-\$74.5K (1)	12	12	11	10	7	10	8	15	9	16	14	9	9	43	0	0	12	1	10
\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	15	15	15	15	14	13	5	23	10	18	26	9	11	0	28	0	13	34	22
\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	6	3	5	7	9	4	0	14	2	13	14	2	3	0	0	36	5	18	15
\$74.5K+ (1)	12	16	15	11	8	16	17	6	16	6	6	18	15	45	0	0	14	0	1
\$74.5K+ (2-3)	28	33	32	29	30	37	42	12	39	19	6	42	37	0	54	0	31	9	5
\$74.5K+ (4+)	8	7	6	9	14	8	7	8	7	10	6	8	7	0	0	41	8	5	7
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	97	99	99	98	95	98	98	99	98	100	100	100	100	96	98	99	96	99	96
NON-COLLEGE MEN	17	13	10	24	26	0	0	44	0	100	0	0	0	15	15	26	16	32	18
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	21	17	18	15	21	0	0	55	0	0	100	0	0	21	17	30	16	47	64
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	39	31	31	29	50	48	0	49	0	0	100	0	32	33	20	32	11	8
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	30	39	28	19	48	50	0	49	0	0	0	100	29	33	22	32	7	6
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98	99	99	99	100	98	98	99	98	100	100	100	100	98	99	99	98	100	100
MEN 18-49	28	37	24	32	41	34	26	25	31	57	0	63	0	29	27	34	31	19	3
MEN 50+	19	15	17	25	19	16	22	19	18	43	0	37	0	19	20	13	18	25	24
WOMEN 18-49	28	31	33	20	20	34	25	24	30	0	44	0	62	25	26	39	29	8	20
WOMEN 50+	23	16	25	22	20	14	26	31	19	0	56	0	38	25	25	14	20	48	53
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	35	52	43	23	15	48	30	25	41	25	24	41	43	32	35	38	38	20	2
DEMOCRAT 50+	27	27	36	28	11	19	35	31	25	25	35	23	28	29	30	16	26	62	19
INDEPENDENT 18-49	19	17	14	25	20	17	19	21	18	23	19	18	17	21	15	28	20	5	17
INDEPENDENT 50+	12	4	6	16	11	9	9	16	9	14	19	9	8	12	13	9	10	7	54
REPUBLICAN 18-49	4	1	0	5	25	4	2	4	3	8	1	4	2	2	4	7	4	2	4
REPUBLICAN 50+	3	0	1	4	18	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	2	3	4	2	3	5	3
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	98	99	99	99	100	98	98	99	98	100	100	100	100	98	99	99	98	100	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	28	39	34	25	12	32	31	23	31	51	0	64	0	28	30	22	29	33	4
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	34	39	44	26	14	35	34	32	35	0	59	0	70	33	34	33	34	48	17
INDEPENDENT MEN	15	13	7	26	16	14	13	16	13	37	0	27	0	17	13	17	15	7	18
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	15	7	13	14	14	11	15	20	12	0	37	0	25	16	14	19	13	5	53
REPUBLICAN MEN	5	1	0	6	32	4	4	5	4	12	0	9	0	3	5	8	5	3	4
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	2	0	0	3	11	3	2	2	2	0	4	0	5	2	3	1	2	3	3
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	100	100	100	100	91	93	75	92	82	69	95	90	85	88	82	87	95	34
PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRAT	18	79	0	0	0	22	19	15	21	14	16	22	19	21	19	13	20	8	1
LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	24	0	79	0	0	27	31	17	29	15	18	26	32	24	27	17	25	27	4
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	14	0	0	51	26	14	13	15	13	16	14	13	14	10	15	16	13	41	8
PROG/LIB INDEPENDENT	11	20	20	0	0	11	15	8	13	6	10	13	12	14	9	13	11	4	6
MOD/CONS INDEPENDENT	12	0	0	40	31	11	9	14	10	21	8	12	9	12	10	17	12	8	11
PROG/LIB/MOD REPUBLICAN	3	1	1	9	0	3	2	2	3	3	1	4	2	1	3	2	3	5	0
CONSERVATIVE REPUBLICAN	3	0	0	0	43	3	4	4	3	7	1	4	2	3	3	5	3	2	4

Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q25 INCOME											INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE						A/B SPLIT	
	Total	\$0-\$34.5K	\$34.5K-\$52.5K	\$52.5K-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$100K	\$100K-\$200K	\$200K+	\$0-\$74.5K	\$74.5K-\$175K	\$175K+	\$0-\$74.5K (1)	\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	\$74.5K+ (1)	\$74.5K+ (2-3)	\$74.5K+ (4+)	A	B	
Column n-Size	1151	130	125	125	124	238	187	380	549	307	242	134	169	74	139	321	86	576	575
<u>INCOME BY HH SIZE</u>	80	98	100	100	98	100	100	99	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	79	81
\$0-\$74.5K (1)	12	41	24	40	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	11	13
\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	15	40	48	46	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	14	15
\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	6	16	28	15	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	5	8
\$74.5K+ (1)	12	0	0	0	29	29	18	0	25	30	20	0	0	0	100	0	0	12	12
\$74.5K+ (2-3)	28	0	0	0	53	56	65	0	59	54	64	0	0	0	0	100	0	30	26
\$74.5K+ (4+)	8	0	0	0	17	15	16	0	16	16	16	0	0	0	0	100	0	8	7
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	97	96	99	98	99	99	99	98	99	99	99	95	99	100	99	99	100	97	97
NON-COLLEGE MEN	17	27	29	16	12	17	7	24	13	17	8	23	21	34	9	12	23	17	17
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	21	46	35	24	16	7	3	35	8	10	5	26	37	45	10	4	17	21	21
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	12	17	26	32	39	51	18	42	37	48	23	19	8	43	44	31	29	29
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	11	17	33	38	35	38	20	37	35	38	23	22	12	37	39	29	29	29
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98	98	99	100	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	98	99	100	99	99	100	98	98
MEN 18-49	28	19	28	28	30	33	42	25	35	33	38	27	20	32	34	34	40	27	30
MEN 50+	19	21	18	15	16	24	16	18	19	21	18	22	20	10	17	22	14	20	17
WOMEN 18-49	28	21	29	30	35	27	29	27	29	29	30	21	26	39	30	28	34	29	26
WOMEN 50+	23	37	24	27	19	15	12	29	15	17	13	28	34	18	17	15	12	22	25
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	35	21	30	36	35	39	46	29	40	36	46	25	32	29	42	40	40	36	33
DEMOCRAT 50+	27	30	35	27	24	27	20	31	24	25	22	31	35	21	24	26	16	26	29
INDEPENDENT 18-49	19	20	24	15	24	17	21	20	20	21	19	22	12	32	22	17	30	17	20
INDEPENDENT 50+	12	26	7	8	8	9	7	14	8	8	7	16	16	8	8	8	7	14	11
REPUBLICAN 18-49	4	0	4	7	6	4	4	4	4	5	4	2	2	11	2	6	4	3	4
REPUBLICAN 50+	3	3	0	6	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	3	4	0	3	3	4	4	3
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	98	98	99	100	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	98	99	100	99	99	100	98	98
DEMOCRAT MEN	28	21	29	26	24	33	36	25	32	30	35	29	25	20	30	35	23	28	27
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	34	28	36	37	35	32	29	34	32	31	32	27	41	30	36	30	33	33	34
INDEPENDENT MEN	15	18	14	10	16	16	17	14	17	17	16	17	12	15	18	14	24	14	15
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	15	28	16	12	15	10	11	19	11	13	10	20	16	25	11	11	13	16	14
REPUBLICAN MEN	5	1	4	6	6	7	5	4	6	7	5	3	3	8	4	7	7	5	5
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	2	2	0	7	4	1	1	3	2	2	1	3	3	2	1	3	0	2	2
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	62	85	94	90	96	96	80	94	93	96	79	86	74	95	95	92	85	83
PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRAT	18	13	20	20	16	24	21	17	21	18	25	20	20	8	22	21	19	18	18
LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	24	15	24	28	24	29	30	22	28	28	29	20	27	15	31	29	22	22	26
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	14	11	18	13	12	12	13	14	13	12	13	7	17	18	11	14	10	16	12
PROG/LIB INDEPENDENT	11	7	11	10	15	10	11	9	12	13	10	12	6	13	15	11	8	12	10
MOD/CONS INDEPENDENT	12	15	9	11	15	14	15	12	14	15	14	13	11	12	12	11	27	12	12
PROG/LIB/MOD REPUBLICAN	3	1	4	5	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	4	0	4	2	3	2
CONSERVATIVE REPUBLICAN	3	1	0	7	5	5	3	3	4	5	3	4	1	4	3	4	5	3	4

Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	Q27 GENDER			AGE									PARTY REGISTRATION			GENDER BY AGE			
	Total	MEN	WOMEN	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	18-49	50+	65+	DEM	INDEP	REP	MEN 18-49	MEN 50+	WOMEN 18-49	WOMEN 50+
Column n-Size	1151	541	587	196	276	184	253	145	97	656	495	242	714	357	81	327	214	317	270
<u>INCOME BY HH SIZE</u>	80	85	77	82	92	76	81	72	63	84	75	68	81	79	84	87	82	83	71
\$0-\$74.5K (1)	12	12	11	9	11	11	8	22	16	10	14	19	10	14	10	11	13	9	14
\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	15	12	17	17	10	10	19	16	20	12	18	18	16	13	12	10	16	14	21
\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	6	6	7	13	7	5	7	3	0	8	4	2	5	8	10	7	4	9	5
\$74.5K+ (1)	12	13	11	10	18	13	12	8	4	14	10	7	13	11	8	15	11	13	9
\$74.5K+ (2-3)	28	33	24	20	42	26	27	21	22	31	24	22	30	23	37	34	33	28	18
\$74.5K+ (4+)	8	9	7	14	5	13	8	2	1	10	5	1	7	9	8	10	6	9	4
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	97	99	98	99	97	95	95	96	95	97	96	96	97	95	97	99	98	99	98
NON-COLLEGE MEN	17	36	0	23	15	13	19	15	15	17	17	15	14	20	30	34	39	0	0
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	21	0	41	22	10	18	22	34	31	16	27	33	20	25	11	0	0	33	50
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	63	0	24	41	30	26	26	23	33	25	25	30	26	36	65	59	0	0
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	0	58	31	31	34	28	22	26	32	26	23	33	24	20	0	0	66	48
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98	100	100	100	98	97	98	98	98	98	98	98	99	97	99	100	100	100	100
MEN 18-49	28	61	0	46	57	44	0	0	0	50	0	0	26	30	40	100	0	0	0
MEN 50+	19	39	0	0	0	0	46	41	39	0	43	40	18	17	28	0	100	0	0
WOMEN 18-49	28	0	54	54	41	53	0	0	0	48	0	0	29	28	12	0	0	100	0
WOMEN 50+	23	0	46	0	0	0	52	57	59	0	55	57	25	21	19	0	0	0	100
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	35	35	35	62	60	61	0	0	0	61	0	0	56	0	0	58	0	65	0
DEMOCRAT 50+	27	24	31	0	0	0	68	62	54	0	64	59	44	0	0	0	60	0	67
INDEPENDENT 18-49	19	20	17	33	33	33	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	60	0	33	0	32	0
INDEPENDENT 50+	12	12	13	0	0	0	26	29	35	0	29	31	0	40	0	0	29	0	28
REPUBLICAN 18-49	4	6	2	5	7	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	53	10	0	3	0
REPUBLICAN 50+	3	4	3	0	0	0	6	9	11	0	8	10	0	0	47	0	11	0	6
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	98	100	100	100	98	97	98	98	98	98	98	98	99	97	99	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	28	59	0	28	32	24	30	24	18	29	26	22	44	0	0	58	60	0	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	34	0	66	33	28	35	37	36	35	31	36	36	54	0	0	0	0	65	67
INDEPENDENT MEN	15	31	0	14	19	15	12	13	14	16	13	14	0	47	0	33	29	0	0
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	15	0	30	19	13	16	13	15	20	15	15	17	0	49	0	0	0	32	28
REPUBLICAN MEN	5	10	0	3	6	4	4	4	6	5	5	5	0	0	68	10	11	0	0
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	2	0	4	2	1	2	2	5	4	2	3	5	0	0	31	0	0	3	6
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	90	81	87	90	85	84	79	70	88	80	76	90	73	84	93	86	84	77
PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRAT	18	19	18	27	21	13	16	18	5	21	14	13	29	0	0	21	16	21	14
LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	24	22	26	17	23	28	31	18	24	23	26	21	39	0	0	21	24	25	28
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	14	14	14	11	11	13	15	20	17	11	17	19	22	0	0	13	17	11	18
PROG/LIB INDEPENDENT	11	10	11	15	15	13	7	7	4	14	6	6	0	35	0	13	7	15	6
MOD/CONS INDEPENDENT	12	16	8	13	13	13	11	10	9	13	10	10	0	38	0	16	15	10	7
PROG/LIB/MOD REPUBLICAN	3	4	2	2	3	3	2	3	4	2	3	3	0	0	36	3	4	2	2
CONSERVATIVE REPUBLICAN	3	5	2	2	5	3	2	3	7	3	3	5	0	0	48	6	4	1	2

Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	PARTY BY AGE							PARTY BY GENDER						PARTY BY IDEOLOGY						
	Total	DEM 18-49	DEM 50+	INDEP 18-49	INDEP 50+	REP 18-49	REP 50+	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	INDEP MEN	INDEP WOMEN	REP MEN	REP WOMEN	PROG DEM	LIB DEM	MD/CN DEM	PR/LB INDEP	MD/CN INDEP	P/L/M REP	CONS REP
Column n-Size	1151	398	316	216	141	42	38	317	387	169	175	55	25	207	276	160	124	135	29	39
<u>INCOME BY HH SIZE</u>	80	83	78	86	68	90	77	85	78	85	76	87	80	88	86	76	80	90	92	84
\$0-\$74.5K (1)	12	8	13	14	15	8	12	12	9	13	15	7	17	13	10	6	13	13	10	13
\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	15	14	19	10	19	6	17	14	18	12	15	8	20	16	17	18	8	14	20	5
\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	6	5	5	11	4	18	0	5	6	6	10	11	6	3	4	8	8	6	11	8
\$74.5K+ (1)	12	15	10	14	7	6	10	13	13	15	9	10	4	15	16	9	17	12	1	12
\$74.5K+ (2-3)	28	32	26	26	18	43	29	36	25	26	20	39	32	33	34	28	29	27	45	36
\$74.5K+ (4+)	8	9	4	12	4	8	8	6	7	12	6	12	0	8	7	5	5	17	5	11
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	97	98	97	96	92	95	98	100	98	97	99	97	100	99	98	98	98	96	100	95
NON-COLLEGE MEN	17	12	16	21	19	37	21	31	0	42	0	44	0	13	11	19	10	30	19	36
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	21	15	26	21	32	4	18	0	37	0	51	0	34	18	16	21	19	15	11	5
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	35	25	29	22	35	38	68	0	55	0	53	0	37	32	28	36	29	50	34
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	36	30	26	19	20	21	0	62	0	48	0	66	31	39	30	33	21	20	21
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98	99	98	96	97	99	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	100	98	99	100	100
MEN 18-49	28	47	0	50	0	76	0	59	0	63	0	59	0	33	25	26	34	39	39	49
MEN 50+	19	0	41	0	44	0	59	41	0	37	0	41	0	16	18	22	12	24	30	24
WOMEN 18-49	28	52	0	47	0	23	0	0	53	0	58	0	40	32	29	21	40	22	17	9
WOMEN 50+	23	0	57	0	53	0	39	0	47	0	42	0	60	18	27	31	13	14	14	17
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	35	100	0	0	0	0	0	59	53	0	0	0	0	66	54	47	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT 50+	27	0	100	0	0	0	0	41	47	0	0	0	0	34	46	53	0	0	0	0
INDEPENDENT 18-49	19	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	63	58	0	0	0	0	0	75	62	0	0
INDEPENDENT 50+	12	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	37	42	0	0	0	0	0	25	38	0	0
REPUBLICAN 18-49	4	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	59	40	0	0	0	0	0	56	58
REPUBLICAN 50+	3	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	41	60	0	0	0	0	0	44	42
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	98	99	98	96	97	99	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	100	98	99	100	100
DEMOCRAT MEN	28	47	41	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	49	43	48	0	0	0	0
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	34	52	57	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	50	56	52	0	0	0	0
INDEPENDENT MEN	15	0	0	50	44	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	62	0	0
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	15	0	0	47	53	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	53	36	0	0
REPUBLICAN MEN	5	0	0	0	0	76	59	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	74
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	2	0	0	0	0	23	39	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	31	26
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	90	90	82	58	91	76	94	88	83	65	89	77	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRAT	18	34	23	0	0	0	0	32	27	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	24	37	40	0	0	0	0	38	40	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	14	19	27	0	0	0	0	24	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
PROG/LIB INDEPENDENT	11	0	0	43	22	0	0	0	0	33	37	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
MOD/CONS INDEPENDENT	12	0	0	39	36	0	0	0	0	50	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
PROG/LIB/MOD REPUBLICAN	3	0	0	0	0	38	34	0	0	0	0	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
CONSERVATIVE REPUBLICAN	3	0	0	0	0	54	43	0	0	0	0	52	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE						FLAGS (P/G16, P/G18, P/G20)						PERMANENT ABSENTEE		QUADRANT			
	Total	1 DEM	2+ DEM	1+ REP	1+ INDEP	MIXED	1+/6	2+/6	3+/6	4+/6	5+/6	6/6	YES	NO	NORTH EAST	SOUTH EAST	NORTH WEST	SOUTH WEST
	Column n-Size	1151	544	108	67	289	142	1088	829	735	641	522	327	928	223	299	393	209
<u>INCOME BY HH SIZE</u>	80	83	74	85	81	73	80	81	80	79	79	79	80	82	86	78	80	77
\$0-\$74.5K (1)	12	12	3	12	17	3	11	11	11	10	12	11	12	11	18	10	12	6
\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	15	17	10	12	15	13	15	14	12	12	11	10	15	14	13	19	10	13
\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	6	5	6	12	6	11	6	5	4	4	3	1	6	8	4	9	3	9
\$74.5K+ (1)	12	16	2	6	14	3	12	13	14	14	13	12	12	11	17	11	13	7
\$74.5K+ (2-3)	28	28	38	38	22	26	29	31	32	32	33	37	27	30	30	23	32	30
\$74.5K+ (4+)	8	4	16	6	7	16	7	6	7	8	7	8	7	8	4	7	9	11
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	97	97	98	97	94	97	97	97	97	96	97	97	97	96	97	96	97	97
NON-COLLEGE MEN	17	13	19	32	17	21	15	14	14	13	12	13	16	22	15	20	15	17
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	21	20	18	13	25	21	20	18	17	17	16	14	21	18	17	30	15	15
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	31	29	31	28	25	30	31	32	32	34	36	30	26	37	22	32	30
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	33	32	22	25	29	31	34	33	34	35	35	29	30	29	24	35	35
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98	98	100	99	96	98	98	98	98	97	97	97	98	98	98	98	97	98
MEN 18-49	28	27	26	42	28	32	27	24	23	22	20	18	28	30	31	27	32	26
MEN 50+	19	18	23	23	18	16	19	21	23	24	26	30	19	18	21	16	16	22
WOMEN 18-49	28	28	27	13	27	31	28	26	24	23	21	16	27	28	24	30	27	28
WOMEN 50+	23	25	24	21	23	19	24	27	27	29	30	33	24	21	23	25	23	23
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	35	56	53	0	0	26	35	34	33	32	29	25	36	29	33	36	36	33
DEMOCRAT 50+	27	44	47	0	0	16	28	32	34	37	41	48	28	25	29	26	23	31
INDEPENDENT 18-49	19	0	0	0	58	33	18	15	14	13	11	9	18	24	19	20	20	17
INDEPENDENT 50+	12	0	0	0	42	14	12	13	13	14	14	13	13	10	13	13	13	9
REPUBLICAN 18-49	4	0	0	55	0	4	4	2	2	2	1	1	3	6	4	2	5	4
REPUBLICAN 50+	3	0	0	45	0	5	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	5	3	3	3	5
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	98	98	100	99	96	98	98	98	98	97	97	97	98	98	98	98	97	98
DEMOCRAT MEN	28	45	48	0	0	15	27	28	29	29	31	33	28	27	30	27	24	28
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	34	54	52	0	0	27	35	37	37	37	38	39	35	26	31	34	33	36
INDEPENDENT MEN	15	0	0	0	46	25	14	13	13	13	13	13	15	15	18	12	18	12
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	15	0	0	0	50	21	15	14	13	12	11	8	14	19	13	19	13	13
REPUBLICAN MEN	5	0	0	65	0	8	5	4	3	3	3	3	4	7	4	3	5	8
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	2	0	0	34	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	3	2	3	2
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	92	90	85	70	80	85	86	86	86	87	89	85	82	86	80	89	85
PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRAT	18	31	27	0	0	6	18	19	20	20	21	23	18	18	17	20	14	19
LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	24	39	40	0	0	15	25	27	27	27	27	30	25	21	30	19	25	23
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	14	22	23	0	0	12	14	14	14	14	15	14	15	10	9	17	15	15
PROG/LIB INDEPENDENT	11	0	0	0	33	19	11	11	11	11	11	9	11	10	13	9	16	7
MOD/CONS INDEPENDENT	12	0	0	0	37	20	11	10	9	9	9	8	11	13	12	11	12	13
PROG/LIB/MOD REPUBLICAN	3	0	0	37	0	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	3	2	2	2
CONSERVATIVE REPUBLICAN	3	0	0	48	0	5	4	2	3	3	3	2	3	5	2	2	5	6

Table 112: DEMOGRAPHIC COMBINATIONS

	NEIGHBORHOODS									SF SUPERVISOR DISTRICT										
	Total	WSTRN ADDTN	CHTWN /FD/ SOMA	EXCLS	MSSN	MRNA/ PH/SC	RCHMD	SNST/ TWIN PEAKS	ALL OTHER	DIST 1	DIST 2	DIST 3	DIST 4	DIST 5	DIST 6	DIST 7	DIST 8	DIST 9	DIST 10	DIST 11
Column n-Size	1151	69	163	70	75	110	94	198	371	104	115	81	104	115	104	104	127	104	104	92
<u>INCOME BY HH SIZE</u>	80	88	89	73	76	86	76	74	80	77	85	84	72	88	91	81	75	78	79	73
\$0-\$74.5K (1)	12	14	30	5	6	14	9	5	9	10	14	22	4	11	32	7	8	8	6	7
\$0-\$74.5K (2-3)	15	29	13	21	18	5	11	13	16	10	8	10	14	22	16	9	12	20	22	19
\$0-\$74.5K (4+)	6	0	6	12	10	3	5	9	6	5	3	7	12	1	4	9	4	9	5	15
\$74.5K+ (1)	12	15	15	2	27	23	7	6	11	7	21	13	7	15	16	7	20	14	8	2
\$74.5K+ (2-3)	28	24	22	27	16	37	31	29	30	32	37	29	25	31	20	37	26	21	29	20
\$74.5K+ (4+)	8	6	3	6	0	4	13	11	9	14	4	2	10	6	4	12	5	6	9	11
<u>EDUCATION BY GENDER</u>	97	97	97	97	94	98	97	96	96	97	97	96	96	97	98	97	94	97	97	96
NON-COLLEGE MEN	17	13	23	15	12	16	16	18	16	15	16	14	21	14	28	13	10	18	25	13
NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	21	22	24	37	32	8	22	14	21	22	7	19	11	19	23	16	15	29	31	40
COLLEGE+ MEN	29	33	30	8	24	36	30	32	31	31	36	31	27	32	29	34	42	23	22	11
COLLEGE+ WOMEN	29	29	21	37	26	38	29	33	28	30	38	31	37	32	18	34	27	27	18	32
<u>GENDER BY AGE</u>	98	98	98	99	98	98	97	98	98	97	97	98	99	98	98	97	97	99	99	99
MEN 18-49	28	31	28	13	27	35	32	29	28	32	36	22	27	33	30	27	25	30	31	16
MEN 50+	19	16	24	10	11	17	13	21	20	14	16	22	22	15	27	20	28	13	16	10
WOMEN 18-49	28	17	25	42	28	23	34	26	28	33	23	30	36	25	22	24	14	28	35	40
WOMEN 50+	23	34	21	33	32	23	17	21	22	19	22	23	14	26	19	26	31	28	17	33
<u>PARTY BY AGE</u>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
DEMOCRAT 18-49	35	32	35	31	44	32	42	36	32	42	31	32	39	36	36	32	24	41	40	28
DEMOCRAT 50+	27	28	27	28	25	27	18	30	29	20	26	23	22	26	29	31	45	26	23	27
INDEPENDENT 18-49	19	16	16	23	13	20	22	15	22	21	23	16	17	19	16	18	14	17	23	24
INDEPENDENT 50+	12	23	16	12	16	10	13	10	10	12	10	16	11	14	15	10	15	12	8	13
REPUBLICAN 18-49	4	2	3	2	0	6	4	6	4	4	6	7	8	4	1	3	1	1	3	4
REPUBLICAN 50+	3	0	3	4	2	4	2	3	4	2	4	8	3	1	3	7	1	3	3	4
<u>PARTY BY GENDER</u>	98	98	98	99	98	98	97	98	98	97	97	98	99	98	98	97	97	99	99	99
DEMOCRAT MEN	28	21	33	15	26	26	24	30	29	24	24	21	25	25	40	27	37	29	32	15
DEMOCRAT WOMEN	34	39	28	44	42	33	34	35	31	36	32	32	36	36	25	35	30	38	31	39
INDEPENDENT MEN	15	25	15	5	12	18	17	12	15	17	21	16	14	22	14	11	14	14	11	6
INDEPENDENT WOMEN	15	12	16	29	16	10	16	12	16	14	10	15	13	11	16	14	13	15	19	31
REPUBLICAN MEN	5	2	5	4	0	7	5	8	4	4	7	8	10	1	3	9	1	1	5	5
REPUBLICAN WOMEN	2	0	1	2	2	3	1	1	4	1	3	6	1	4	0	1	1	3	2	3
<u>PARTY BY IDEOLOGY</u>	84	82	81	74	84	92	91	85	84	90	92	87	80	84	79	87	85	88	79	75
PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRAT	18	24	14	16	25	6	22	16	21	20	5	12	17	26	16	13	22	28	21	15
LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	24	26	24	14	20	35	22	24	23	23	34	29	17	22	21	31	27	18	24	16
MOD/CONS DEMOCRAT	14	6	18	20	18	16	14	17	9	16	15	10	16	6	23	15	9	18	9	18
PROG/LIB INDEPENDENT	11	20	9	7	14	16	10	6	11	9	19	12	9	15	7	5	15	10	6	10
MOD/CONS INDEPENDENT	12	5	11	13	4	11	19	12	13	19	10	13	10	10	10	16	8	10	15	9
PROG/LIB/MOD REPUBLICAN	3	2	3	2	2	3	1	2	3	1	3	7	4	3	1	1	0	4	2	3
CONSERVATIVE REPUBLICAN	3	0	2	3	0	6	3	6	4	3	5	4	7	2	2	6	3	0	2	4