

ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013
C	11. Cuarta a cafe u tura na substitue a cua cui a sa													
	<b>I 1:</b> Create a safer transportation experience		one											
	ctive 1.1: Improve security for transportation system us													
	SFPD-reported Muni-related crimes/100,000 miles	3.23	3.59	6.82	4.85	5.09	5.98	5.65	5.26	4.81	7.24	9.44	10.68	9.24
1.1.2	Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while on a Muni vehicle); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.95										
1.1.2	Customer rating: Security of transit riding experience (while waiting at a Muni stop or station); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.89										
1.1.3	SFPD-reported taxi-related crimes	SFPD does not o	currently coll	ect this data	We are e	evaluating	alternative	metrics.						
1.1.4	Security complaints to 311 (Muni)		34	35	36	42	39	27	40	31	44	29	33	25
Obje	ctive 1.2: Improve workplace safety and security.													
1.2.1	Workplace injuries/200,000 hours	14.9	16.6	14.4	14.2	17.9	13.0	15.0	18.0	13.7	13.7	12.8	11.3	
1.2.2	Security incidents involving SFMTA personnel (Muni only)		14	16	16	11	16	12	20	19	16	14	18	
1.2.3	Lost work days due to injury		3,764	3,912	4,242	4,535	3,495	3,779	3,646	3,773				
Obje	ctive 1.3: Improve the safety of the transportation syste	m.												
1.3.1	Muni collisions/100,000 miles	4.48	4.98	5.07	5.12	4.91	4.67	6.42	4.45	5.00	4.27	5.76	5.05	
1.3.2a	Collisions involving motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists	Awaiting 2012 r	esults.											
1.3.2b	Collisions involving taxis	Awaiting 2012 r	esults.											
1.3.3	Muni falls on board/100,000 miles		4.53	4.19	4.94	4.60	4.99	4.24	3.49	4.26	4.36	3.87	2.92	
1.3.4	"Unsafe operation" Muni complaints to 311		173	151	158	179	166	173	129	123	155	147	137	145
1.3.5	Customer rating: Safety of transit riding experience; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.40										
Goa	Il 2: Make transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, rid	esharing &	carshar	ing the										
Obje	ctive 2.1: Improve customer service and communication	ıs.												
2.1.1	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with transit services; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.48										
2.1.2	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with taxi availability; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.48										
2.1.3	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with bicycle network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.81										
2.1.4	Customer rating: Overall customer satisfaction with pedestrian environment; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			3.54										
2.1.5	Average time to communicate Muni service advisories to customers	This is proving challenging to quantify. We are evaluating alternative metrics.												
2.1.6	Percentage of color curb requests addressed within 30 days		87%	93%	89%	92%	88%	94%	89%	95%	96%	97%	97%	
2.1.6	Percentage of hazardous traffic sign reports addressed within 24 hours		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
2.1.6	Percentage of parking meter malfunctions addressed within 48 hours		84%	81%	82%	84%	81%	86%	63%	79%	80%	82%	87%	86%
2.1.6	Percentage of traffic and parking control requests addressed within 90 days		78%	76%		69%			76%			82%		
2.1.6	Percentage of traffic signal requests addressed within 2 hours		98%	97%	98%	94%	99%	97%	97%	97%	95%	99%	97%	93%

### Color Legend

-		
Outperforms	Underperforms	Equal to
FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg



ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013
2.1.7	Percentage of actionable 311 Muni-related complaints addressed within 14 days (60 days for ADA violations)		87%	88%	91%	93%	87%	86%	93%	82%	82%	87%	94%	
2.1.8	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni vehicles; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.39										
2.1.9	Customer rating: cleanliness of Muni facilities (stations, elevators, escalators); scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)			2.47										
Obje	ctive 2.2: Improve transit performance.													
2.2.1	Percentage of transit trips with <2 min bunching on Rapid Network	5.3%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	8.0%	7.7%	7.6%	6.5%	6.5%	6.3%	6.5%	6.6%	6.9%
2.2.1	Percentage of transit trips with + 5 min gaps on Rapid Network	13.9%	18.5%	17.7%	19.1%	20.3%	19.1%	18.8%	17.0%	18.6%	16.6%	17.0%	15.7%	15.2%
2.2.2	Percentage of on-time performance for non-Rapid Network routes	85%	61.1%	59.1%	60.0%	57.2%	56.9%	57.6%	59.1%	59.2%	60.0%	59.2%	60.4%	62.0%
2.2.3	Percentage of service pulled out at scheduled time	98.5%	96.6%	96.6%	95.3%	94.0%	95.7%	96.2%	96.7%	96.0%	97.8%	96.7%	98.4%	99.2%
2.2.4	Percentage of on-time departures from terminals	85%	77.4%	73.3%	76.4%	70.0%	70.2%	71.1%	73.1%	72.8%	74.5%	73.6%	75.0%	76.1%
2.2.5	Average Muni system speed	Results reporting	g to begin in	FY13 Q4.										
2.2.6	Percentage of on-time performance	85%	60.4%	58.7%	59.0%	55.6%	56.0%	56.6%	58.9%	59.0%	60.5%	59.8%	60.7%	61.3%
2.2.7	Percentage of trips over capacity during AM peak (8:00a-8:59a, inbound) at max load points		6.5%	7.7%	7.5%	7.7%	8.5%	9.4%	7.8%	7.1%	6.6%	7.6%	7.4%	7.0%
2.2.7	Percentage of trips over capacity during PM peak (5:00p-5:59p, outbound) at max load points		7.2%	7.7%	7.7%	10.1%	8.5%	8.9%	6.7%	8.0%	6.4%	5.9%	7.0%	7.6%
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Bus)		2,909	3,310	2,820	3,087	2,815	2,877	3,071	3,197	3,631	3,723	4,170	3,712
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (LRV)		3,208	3,813	4,211	3,358	3,657	3,660	3,910	3,167	3,927	4,440	3,984	,
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Historic)		1,898	2,571	2,454	6,566	2,200	2,144	1,990	1,891	1,958	2,316	1,620	
2.2.8	Mean distance between failure (Cable)		3,998	3,839	4,571	6,202	4,248	2,386	4,244	2,624	2,649	2,811	4,814	
2.2.9	Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered	Please see 2.2.3.		· ·		·								
2.2.10	Percentage of scheduled trips completed	Measure in deve	elopment											
2.2.11	Ridership (rubber tire, average weekday)		491,553	495,716	486,497	505,630	517,674	515,379	484,545	500,121	467,267	488,616		
2.2.12	Percentage of time that elevators are available		94.4%	96.5%	96.8%	96.8%	98.9%	96.2%	96.9%	91.7%	96.5%	95.8%	98.4%	96.7%
2.2.13	Percentage of time that escalators are available		91.8%	87.3%	84.5%	87.1%	87.1%	89.3%	87.3%	84.1%	85.7%	87.0%	93.0%	88.2%
Obje	ctive 2.3: Increase use of all non-private auto modes.													
_	Non-private auto mode share (all trips)	50%										45% (201:	Mode Sha	are Survey
Obje	ctive 2.4: Improve parking utilization and manage parking	ng demand.										-		
2.4.1	Parking reliability rate of SFpark spaces (Marina District)		48.8%	56.7%	61.5%	55.1%	53.9%	47.2%	45.4%	51.2%	64.8%	58.3%	58.3%	60.6%
2.4.2	Parking reliability of SFMTA garage spaces (median garage rate)		99.7%	99.7%	99.7%	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%	99.8%	98.2%	99.5%	99.5%	99.8%	100.0%
2.4.3	# of secure on street bicycle racks													2739
2.4.3	# of secure off street bicycle parking spaces (garage bicycle parking)													475
2.4.4	On-street payment compliance (median district rate)			56.8%	56.7%	56.5%	57.4%	56.9%	56.4%	57.0%	57.2%	57.9%	57.8%	56.3%

### Color Legend

Outperforms Underperforms Equal to FY12 Avg FY12 Avg FY12 Avg



ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013
Goa	3: Improve the environment and quality or	f life in San I	Franciso	0										
Objec	ctive 3.1: Reduce the Agency's and the transportation s	ystem's resourd	ce consun	nption, emi	ssions, w	aste, and	noise.							
3.1.1	Metric tons of CO2e for the transportation system	1,515,000		1									2,155,	000 (2010)
3.1.2	% of SFMTA non-revenue and taxi fleet that is alternative fuel/zero emissions													94%
3.1.3	% biodiesel to diesel used by SFMTA													2% (FY11)
3.1.4	Number of electric vehicle charging stations													31
3.1.5	Citywide gasoline consumption rate												149,156,	,104 (2009)
3.1.6	Agency electricity consumption (kWh)												123,746,	,104 (FY11)
3.1.6	Agency gas consumption (therms)												579,	,043 (FY11)
3.1.6	Agency water production (gallons)												21,301,	,010 (FY11)
3.1.7	Agency compost production (tonnes)													13 (CY09)
3.1.7	Agency recycling production (tonnes)													534 (CY09)
3.1.7	Agency waste production (tonnes)													592 (CY09)
Objec	ctive 3.2: Increase the transportation system's positive i	impact to the e	conomy.											
3.2.1	Customer rating: Business satisfaction with transportation network; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Survey is being o	leveloped.	Results will	be report	ed for FY13	Q4.							
Objec	ctive 3.3: Allocate capital resources effectively.													
3.3.1	% of all capital projects delivered on-budget by phase	Results reporting	to begin in	FY13 Q4.										
3.3.2	% of all capital projects delivered on-time by phase	Results reporting												
3.3.3	% of all capital projects delivered in-scope by phase	This is proving ch	nallenging t	o quantify. W	e are eva	luating alte	rnative me	trics.						
Objec	ctive 3.4: Deliver services efficiently.													
3.4.1	Average annual transit cost per revenue hour	\$184	\$195											
3.4.2	Passengers per revenue hour for buses	·	70											
3.4.3	Cost per unlinked trip		\$2.77											
3.4.4	Pay hours: platform hours ratio		1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.13	1.12	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.11	1.11
3.4.5	Farebox recovery ratio		30.8%											
Objec	ctive 3.5: Reduce capital and operating structural deficit	ts.												
_	Operating and capital structural deficit				\$70M additional needed for operations, \$260M additional needed for State-of-Good Repair (SOGR) and \$1.7B 5-Year shortfall for bike, pedestrian, facilities and transit (FY12)									
Goa	4: Create a workplace that delivers outstan	nding servic	e											
Objec	ctive 4.1: Improve internal communications.				_									
4.1.1	Employee rating: Information needed to do the job? Informed about agency issues, challenges and current events?; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Results will be reported for FY13 Q4.												
4.1.2	% of employees that complete the survey	Results will be re	ported for	FY13 Q4.										
4.1.3	Employee rating: I have a clear understanding of my division's goals/objectives and how they contribute to Agency success	Results will be re												
4.1.4	Employee rating: I have received praise for my work in the last month	Results will be re	ported for	FY13 Q4.										

### Color Legend

<del>-</del>		
Outperforms	Underperforms	Equal to
FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg



ID	Metric	Goal	FY12 Avg	FY13 Avg	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013
4.1.5	Employee rating: Communication between leadership and employees has improved	Results will be re	FY13 Q4.											
4.1.6	Employee rating: My concerns, questions, and suggestions are acted upon quickly and appropriately	Results will be re	eported for	FY13 Q4.										
4.1.7	Employee rating: Discussions with my supervisor about my performance are worthwhile	Results will be re	eported for	FY13 Q4.										
Obje	ctive 4.2: Create a collaborative and innovative work en	vironment.												
4.2.1	Employee rating: Overall employee satisfaction; scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high)	Results will be r	eported for	FY13 Q4.										
4.2.2	Employee rating: My opinions seem to matter to my manager	Results will be re	eported for	FY13 Q4.										
4.2.3	Employee rating: Conflicts are resolved collaboratively	Results will be re	eported for	FY13 Q4.										
4.2.4	Employee rating: Employees in my division consistently look for more efficient/effective ways of getting the job done	Results will be re	FY13 Q4.											
4.2.5	Employee rating: Employees in my work unit share job knowledge to solve problems efficiently/effectively	Results will be re	FY13 Q4.											
4.2.6	Employee rating: I feel comfortable sharing my thoughts and opinions, even if they're different than others'	Results will be re	FY13 Q4.											
4.2.7	Employee rating: My work gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment	Results will be re	eported for	FY13 Q4.										
Obje	ctive 4.3: Improve employee accountability.													
4.3.1	% of employees with performance completed/appraisals conducted	Results will be a	vailable at	the end of th	e fiscal ye	ar.								
4.3.2	% of employees with performance plans prepared by start of fiscal year													
4.3.3	% of employees who have received feedback on their work	Results will be re	eported for	FY13 Q4.										
4.3.4	% of divisions/units that report metrics	Results will be re	FY13 Q4.											
4.3.5	Unscheduled absence rate by employee group (Transit operators)		8.7%	8.6%	9.4%	10.5%	9.3%	6.6%	7.0%	9.0%	8.9%	10.3%	8.5%	6.9%
4.3.6	Employee rating: My manager holds me accountable to achieve my written objectives	Results will be re	FY13 Q4.											
Obje	ctive 4.4: Improve relationships and partnerships with o	ur stakeholder	rs.											
		Survey is being		Results will	be report	ed for FY13	Q4.							

•		
Outperforms	Underperforms	Equal to
FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg	FY12 Avg